# CONTINUING EDUCATION

# **REPORT OF THE**

# VIRGINIA ADVISORY LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

То

# THE GOVERNOR

And

# THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF VIRGINIA





COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA Department of Purchases and Supply Richmond 1970

## MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

Robert C. Fitzgerald, *Chairman* Arthur H. Richardson, *Vice-Chairman* M. Caldwell Butler Russell M. Carneal C. W. Cleaton Henry E. Howell, Jr. Edward E. Lane Lewis A. McMurran, Jr. Willard J. Moody Garnett S. Moore Sam E. Pope James M. Thomson James C. Turk Edward E. Willey

## STAFF

John B. Boatwright, Jr.

Wildman S. Kincheloe, Jr.

John A. Banks, Jr.	LAURENS SARTORIS
Robert B. Cousins, Jr.	Sally T. Warthen
Katherine L. Goolsby	G. William White, Jr.
Arthur H. Horwitz	ROGER C. WILEY, JR.
L. Willis Robertson, Jr.	

## CONTINUING EDUCATION

## Report of the

### Virginia Advisory Legislative Council

Richmond, Virginia January 5, 1972

#### TO: HONORABLE LINWOOD HOLTON, Governor of Virginia

and

#### THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF VIRGINIA

Continuing education, also known as adult education, the education of those who have ceased to be full-time students, has grown in importance in the last two decades with the increase in the complexity of society and in the need for education. More and more adults are finding it necessary or advantageous to return to school on a part-time basis, to develop new skills or areas of competence, to keep current in their present specialities, or to seek cultural advancement. The more educated a community becomes, the more educational opportunity is needed and sought by its members.

In recognition of the growing importance of continuing education, the Commission for Economy in Governmental Expenditures undertook a study of the subject, and reported its findings to the 1970 session of the General Assembly. Among other things, the report recommended that further study of the subject be undertaken. The resulting resolution follows:

## SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 29

#### Directing the Virginia Advisory Legislative Council to study Continuing Education.

Whereas, the Commission for Economy in Governmental Expenditures completed a study upon which it has submitted a report to the Governor and the General Assembly, indicating that continuing education is capable of making great contributions to the higher education needs of Virginia; and

Whereas, it is believed that the total cost of higher education in relation to its contribution to the educational needs of the State may be materially less if the full potential of continuing education is developed; and

Whereas, the full development of continuing education should make available opportunities for higher education to many urban and rural citizens of Virginia who would not otherwise be afforded such opportunities; and

Whereas, there is need for clarification of the respective roles of the Statesupported institutions of higher education, the Community College system, the privately operated institutions of higher education, Educational TV, and the State Department of Education in respect to the various activities of continuing education conducted by the several institutions of higher education; and

Whereas, there is at present no accurate projection of the higher education needs of Virginia, considering the explosive increase in the need for continuing education of adults in the various professions and occupations; and

Whereas, there are now diverse and fragmented efforts to provide continuing education without a detailed plan of coordinated development; and

Whereas, many Virginia citizens are enabled to take courses offering resident degree credit solely because out-of-State institutions offer such courses at off-campus sites in Virginia; and

Whereas, the tuition rates for such courses by out-of-State institutions are much greater than the tuition rates of extension courses offered by Virginia institutions and it appears probable the out-of-State institutions conduct their Virginia operations at a profit; and

Whereas, part of the tuition of many Virginia residents attending such courses is provided by scholarships funded by Virginia taxpayers; and

Whereas, the optimum development of continuing education appears capable of more efficient utilization of existing and contemplated facilities of higher education, thus reducing the future need for expanding such facilities; and

Whereas, the cost of continuing education, if adequately planned on a State-wide basis, shows promise of being less expensive than other forms of higher education; and

Whereas, many important innovations are currently being made in the methods of continuing education; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate, the House of Delegates concurring, That the Virginia Advisory Legislative Council is directed to make a study to (1) determine accurately the components of Virginia's future educational needs that can be most economically and effectively satisfied by continuing education; and (2) determine the organization, policies and methods most suitable and economical for satisfying such needs; and (3) recommend the legislative and executive actions necessary to implement the above findings.

In making the study, the Council shall give due consideration to all factors it believes necessary, as well as the following:

(1) The definition of the types of educational services that are included within the continuing education category.

(2) The purpose, clientele, needed resources and characteristics of each such type of educational service.

(3) The optimum educational and administrative relationship between the various components of continuing education and the counterpart campus educational activities of the institutions.

(4) The costs of the various types of continuing education under present conditions and the effect on such costs of alternative concepts, volumes, and methods.

(5) The effect on the total systems cost and benefits of higher education under arrangements that would make optimum use of continuing education.

(6) The present and projected continuing education requirements of individuals in industry, science, commerce, agriculture, government, military service, school systems, professions, and other occupational groups.

(7) The educational advantages and disadvantages of campus faculty as opposed to extension faculty.

(8) The educational advantages and disadvantages of various types of media used for participation in classes by remotely located students.

(9) The capabilities, characteristics, and requirements of "special" extension, evening, and summer students as compared to regularly admitted day students.

(10) The organization concept, including policies and administrative systems best suited for governing continuing education on a State-wide basis and providing answers to such questions as:

(a) Should a single institution be made responsible for all off-campus degree credit programs? Should two or some greater number of institutions be designated?

(b) Should an administrative agency be established (or an existing agency be designated) to administer off-campus degree credit activities in which different institutions of higher education would then suprly the academic resources—instructors, admissions, criteria, texts, examinations, counseling, and the like?

(c) Should responsibility for off-campus degree credit programs be separated from responsibility for adult forums, high school debating contests, community development programs, and similar diverse activities? Should some of these other activities be relocated—for example, in the State Department of Education?

(11) Outline a comprehensive plan for the deliberate and orderly development of continuing education in Virginia.

In conducting its study, the Council may utilize the services of specialists in higher education, continuing education, educational economics, management and other professional fields as it deems necessary. Consideration shall be given to the views of the Council of Higher Education; the Boards, faculty, and administrators of State and privately supported institutions of higher education; the Community College system; and experts in continuing education. The experience of other states and jurisdictions also shall be reviewed.

The Council shall make its study and submit a report to the Governor and the General Assembly not later than December fifteen, nineteen hundred seventy-one. All agencies shall assist the Council upon request.

Pursuant to this directive, the Council appointed a Committee to conduct a study and report to it. Senator Robert C. Fitzgerald, Chairman of the Council, was designated Chairman. Appointed to serve with him were: O. T. Bonner, Superintendent of the Danville Public Schools; Emory W. Chesley, Assistant Superintendent for Instructional Services, Fairfax County Public Schools; Melvin E. Fuller, Director of Continuing Education, Roanoke College; Charles R. Greever, Editor and General Manager of the Richlands Press and the Clinch Valley Times and Chairman of the Board, Southwest Virginia Community College, Richlands; George J. Kostel, member of the House of Delegates, Clifton Forge; Paul W. Manns, State Senator, Bowling Green; Theodore V. Morrison, member of the House of Delegates, Newport News; Walker H. Quarles, Jr., Vice President, Virginia State College, Petersburg; Yvonne Rappaport, President of the Adult Education Association of Virginia; and Henry W. Tulloch, Manager-Relations, General Electric Company and member of the State Board for Community Colleges, Waynesboro. Senator Manns was unanimously elected Vice-Chairman.

The staff of the Virginia Advisory Legislative Council and the Division of Statutory Research and Drafting, represented by Sally T. Warthen, provided support and assistance. In addition, the Council received assistance from the State Council of Higher Education, the Department of Community Colleges, the Department of Education, and the institutions, both public and private, who are offering continuing education. In particular, the Council is grateful to Mrs. Rappaport, who undertook the task of drafting a questionnaire and compiling statistics, attached herewith as an appendix, to inform the Council as to the current status of continuing education in the State. Two hearings were conducted by the Committee, one of which was open to the public and the other not open to the public, the latter being designed so that the Committee could hear in private from representatives of the institutions interested in continuing education.

After considerable study and deliberation, the Committee made its report to the Council. The Council commends the Committee for its work, and makes the following report:

### RECOMMENDATIONS

I. STATE SUPPORTED INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING SHOULD DIRECT MORE ATTENTION, EFFORT AND EMPHASIS TO CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAMS, TO THE EXTENT THAT CONTINUING EDUCATION IS AFFORDED SUPPORT AND PRESTIGE EQUAL TO THAT OF CONVENTIONAL EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS. IN PARTICULAR, ATTENTION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO THE FOLLOWING:

- A. CONTINUING EDUCATION EFFORTS SHOULD BE CLOSELY COORDINATED WITH REGULAR DEGREE PROGRAMS, TO THE END THAT STANDARDS OF QUALITY FOR BOTH ARE COMPARABLE.
- B. CONSTANT EFFORT SHOULD BE EXERTED TO REPLACE EXTENSION OFFERINGS WITH DEGREE PROGRAMS REQUIRING LITTLE OR NO RESIDENCY.
- C. EFFORT SHOULD BE MADE TO OFFER PROGRAMS WHICH ANSWER THE SPECIFIC NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITIES IN WHICH THEY ARE TO BE OFFERED.

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY SHOULD EXAMINE CLOSELY PROGRESS IN THESE AREAS DURING CONSIDERATION OF THE BUDGETS OF THE INSTITUTIONS.

II. THE STATE COUNCIL OF HIGHER EDUCATION SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO SET UP REGIONAL COORDINATION COMMITTEES, WHICH SHOULD BE CHARGED WITH THE RESPONSIBILITY OF COORDINATING CONTINUING EDUCATIONAL EFFORTS IN EACH REGION. THE REGION SHOULD BE DESIGNATED BY THE STATE COUNCIL, BUT EACH SHOULD INCLUDE ONE OR MORE COMMUNITY COLLEGES AND HAVE AT LEAST ONE STATE SUPPORTED INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING OPERATING THEREIN. MEMBERSHIP OF THE COORDINATING COMMITTEE SHOULD CONSIST OF ONE REPRESENTATIVE FROM EACH PUBLIC SCHOOL DIVISION, WHO TOGETHER WOULD HAVE ONE VOTE DIVIDED BETWEEN THEM, AND ONE REPRESENTATIVE FROM EACH STATE-SUPPORTED INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING OFFERING CONTINUING EDUCATION IN THE AREA. PRIVATE INSTITUTIONS SHOULD BE INVITED TO PARTICIPATE. A STAFF MEMBER FROM THE STATE COUNCIL SHOULD BE NONVOTING CHAIRMAN OF EACH COMMITTEE.

THE COMMITTEE SHOULD HAVE THE FOLLOWING SPECIFIC CHARGES:

- A. INSURING THAT THE NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITIES IN CONTINUING EDUCATION ARE MET. THE INSTITUTIONS WOULD BE DIRECTED TO POOL THEIR RESOURCES IN FINDING THE NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITIES.
- B. INSURING THAT OPERATION OF A CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAM IS AS ECONOMICAL AS POSSIBLE, CONSISTENT WITH QUALITY, WITH NO DUPLICATION OF PROGRAMS AND FULL UTILIZATION OF EXISTING FACILITIES, AND ATTENTION TO COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS, WITH CREDIT FROM ONE INSTITUTION TRANSFERABLE TO OTHERS.

THE COORDINATING COMMITTEE WOULD BE DIRECTED TO PRESENT ANNUALLY AN OVERALL PROGRAM FOR THE REGION, AND ANY CHANGES WHICH OCCUR DURING THE YEAR, TO THE STATE COUNCIL OF HIGHER EDUCATION. THIS PROGRAM WOULD BE ADOPTED BY THE STATE COUNCIL UNLESS IT VIOLATED THE LAW, OR UNLESS A PARTICIPATING INSTITUTION OBJECTED.

III. OUT-OF-STATE AND PRIVATE INSTITUTIONS OFFERING CONTINUING EDUCATION SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO REGISTER WITH THE STATE COUNCIL AND SUBMIT COURSE AND PROGRAM INFORMATION.

IV. A GOVERNOR'S COMMISSION SHOULD BE ESTABLISHED TO CONSIDER PROGRESS AND CHANGES IN THE FIELD OF CONTINUING EDUCATION, AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE STATE COUNCIL AND THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY.

#### GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

Part-time educational programs are necessary not only to the cultural development of our country, but also to maximum employment and industrial growth in a changing economy and environment. The development of new skills and knowledge and the updating of old are of utmost importance for those who are beyond school age, and for the society which must make use of their services. In addition, proper development of continuing education may be a partial cure for the many problems and pressures on our educational systems. For a significant number of people, the combination of work and part-time education is a more effective and desirable method of education than the traditional route. Many full-time students would be benefited from a year or two of other activity but are hesitant to interrupt their education lest intervening circumstances deprive them of the opportunity altogether. To any community the availability of a fully developed continuing education program is of great value, since continuing education, with its inherent flexibility, is designed to serve many varied needs. Moreover, continuing education has great potential as a method of expanding educational opportunity with a minimal capital outlay. Regular educational institutions have costly libraries, laboratories, classrooms and equipment, some of which lie idle at night and during vacation periods. Churches, civic groups and even business enterprises are often willing to supply facilities at very low cost. In some communities, highly qualified part-time instructors whose major fields of endeavor and means of support are not teaching often are willing and eager to offer their services. Due to these factors the Council believes that many educational programs can be nearly self-supporting and yet be offered at moderate cost to the student.

For purposes of its study, the Council has defined "continuing education" as that educational process pursued by adults who are beyond high school age and not enrolled in a full time educational program as a primary endeavor. Although this definition includes both credit and non-credit enrollment, and courses offered by museums, professional groups and clubs as well as those offered by educational institutions, the Council has been forced by limited time and resources to direct its study primarily to continuing education offered for high school, college or graduate credit by educational institutions, particularly state supported institutions. In so doing, it does not wish to imply that noncredit educational opportunity is unimportant. On the contrary, non-credit offerings are of tremendous significance in the overall cultural and educational effort, and those organizations and institutions which have provided opportunities in this area should be commended and encouraged to continue to do so.

One point of difficulty in dealing with continuing education is that its definition is dependent more on the student than the type of course offering. Each institution has a different method of designating its courses. For example, to a housewife or full time employee who takes a single course in the daytime program of a college, that course is continuing education, whereas to the college it is part of its regular resident program. Institutions such as Virginia Commonwealth University and Old Dominion University and the community colleges offer night courses which are largely interchangeable with day courses for full time students in degree programs, but which have a high percentage of part-time enrollment. At some institutions there are different entrance requirements for a night student than a day student; at others, entrance requirements are identical. As a contrast, the University of Virginia's School of General Studies is a continuing education institution only. With rare exception, credit courses are offered for extension credit <sup>1</sup> only, even though they may be taught on the grounds of the University. Thus generalization is difficult and statistics not always comparable. For purposes of this report, however, the Council is addressing its recommendations not only to the activities designated by the institutions as continuing education, but also to those activities which accept and in fact have considerable enrollment of parttime students, regardless of their designation by the institution.

Although much progress has been made in increasing continuing educational opportunities over the past two decades, by the creation of the Community College System and the efforts of the colleges, universities and public school systems, much more needs to be done. In general, the Council has found that continuing education in the State has yet to realize its full potential because efforts in the field have been piecemeal and often inadequate. The presence of many out-of-state institutions offering basic programs at a cost to the student from two to ten times as great as that asked by State institutions vividly illustrates that the State's educational deficit is being used to advantage and profit at the expense of Virginia residents. Progress made by State institutions is dissipated by a lack of coordination which leads to duplication, unnecessary expense to the institutions, and frustration of the student who has credits disallowed when he must transfer from one program to another, or is forced to travel two or three hundred miles several times a week to obtain the resident credit required for his degree. Military installations, governmental agencies and industries are continually forced to turn to out-of-state institutions as far away as California, Colorado or Oklahoma to satisfy their educational needs.

The Council has found two basic causes for this inadequacy: the lack of energy, interest and support given to continuing education by the parent institutions, and the lack of coordination of effort among the different institutions offering continuing education.

#### I. INSTITUTIONAL EFFORT IN CONTINUING EDUCATION

The Council has found increasing interest in continuing education within the public school systems, colleges and universities, but this interest is often confined to a relatively small group. In all too many institutions, the evening school and other facets of a continuing education program are considered by the bulk of the administration and faculty as a poor relation whose very existence lowers the prestige of the institution. We have found those engaged in operating

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Extension credit", for purposes of this report, is defined as credit earned by a student which can only be used as a portion of the degree requirement, the rest of which must be "resident" de-

gree credit offered in the institution's regular program.

the continuing education programs to be dedicated, enthusiastic and energetic individuals, but they are often thwarted in their efforts by an institutional administration which is primarily dedicated to its regular day programs and is under pressure from its faculty to continue to place overwhelming emphasis on these programs. Continuing education, instead of being an integral part of the educational plan, is an adjunct, entirely separate, and neglected activity.

A most deplorable result of this polarization and neglect is the resort to extension credit by many institutions of higher education who are unwilling or unable to give regular degree credit for their part-time programs, leaving the student with the necessity of commuting or moving to the parent institutions for a period of residency. In many situations, it is impossible or impractical for a student to go to the parent institution for the required resident credits for his degree. Military personnel and others who are constantly transferred are often unable either to have their extension credits transferred to another institution or to fulfill a residence requirement.

Women, who often and traditionally have their educational careers interrupted, are particularly hampered, as residence at a college may be virtually impossible for them. Students are willing to pay far more and go longer distances for courses for which they will obtain full degree credit; extension courses simply do not meet their needs.

On the other hand, the Council fully understands the reluctance of the institutions to give full credit in a part-time program. It may be difficult for a dean or department head to supervise and assure standards of quality for oncampus courses given during regular hours by a full-time colleague to a student body whose qualifications and general ability are easily ascertained. When the courses are given at night by an instructor who is seldom in communication with the other school personnel, to students with highly variant and to some extent unknown backgrounds, supervision is more difficult. If the course is given two hundred miles away, it is understandable that the institution is reluctant to give full credit for it, as a course interchangeable with the regular resident courses. The colleges and universities have sought to protect their reputations by distinguishing between the types of credit offered. In the past, they have been under pressure from accrediting associations to do so; however, the accrediting associations have been in the process of modifying their standards in recognition of the importance of continuing education.

There is no evidence that extension or part-time programs are necessarily inferior in quality; indeed, some studies have shown that due to the maturity and genuine interest of those participating, courses offered in extension by part-time instructors may be superior to those offered in the regular degree programs. The reason for lesser treatment of such courses, when reduced to its essentials, lies in the lack of resources allocated to them and the lack of effort expended in supervision and organization. Indeed, the common practice of conducting a completely separate school of continuing education unrelated to the institution's regular educational program makes supervision virtually impossible, and jealousy and rivalry between the two programs almost inevitable.

The Council believes that if an appropriate amount of interest, study and resources is allocated to the problem, considering the great advance in communication and transportation, proper and adequate means of supervision can be found. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University has made great strides in this direction; in order to offer a full credit program in Reston it airlifts its regular faculty to the site. The Council believes that an effective means of supervision can be devised without so much expense if the off-campus or night programs are administered in close cooperation with, or even in conjunction with, the regular faculty and department heads of the institution, with periodic inspection or examination of curriculum if necessary.

Another result of the lack of resources and interest expended in continuing education is the frequent failure to offer an organized program which answers the needs of the community. Most typically, a course is offered because it has been offered successfully (that is, has been fully subscribed) in the past, or because there is someone who has volunteered to teach it. There is often too little effort made to put together meaningful programs, and little research done to discover what programs are especially needed or desired in the specific community where courses are offered. Because of limited resources, experimental or pilot programs are rare. Where the decisions on course offerings are made at a parent institution or by a board many miles away from the area in question the problem is aggravated. In vocational education this problem is particularly noticeable. Industrial or business groups, companies and the chamber of commerce in a community are often in a position to know what skills and specialities are in demand, but they are seldom consulted.

We therefore recommend that additional resources be expended in developing programs which answer the communities' needs. The local coordinating committees (see discussion below) can be responsible for pooling resources and directing a cooperative effort.

In general, the Council is of the feeling that great advances can be made in continuing education, and therefore in education as a whole, if all of the state supported educational institutions, including public school divisions, give attention, resources and interest to continuing education comparable to that expended in their regular programs. Continuing education should no longer be permitted to be the awkward stepchild of the institution, but should be afforded the same prestige and respect as other programs. Progress in this area should be considered by the budget and appropriations committees and the General Assembly when the budgets for State institutions are scrutinized.

#### **II. COORDINATION AMONG INSTITUTIONS**

The problem of coordination among institutions is a greater and even more vital one to the future contribution of continuing education. Overlap of programs, lack of interchangeability of credits, duplication of administration and to some extent of courses are the rule rather than the exception. For example, in Norfolk, the following State supported institutions of higher education offer separate (and to some extent similar) programs in continuing education: Norfolk State, Old Dominion, Tidewater Community College, William and Mary, and the University of Virginia. In addition, George Washington University, San Diego College and the public school system are offering courses. Until recently, there has been little or no coordination of effort. Some of the programs are duplicative, yet transfer from one to another is difficult or impossible. Since a large portion of the effort is in similar basic programs, variety is impossible. The failure to coordinate also results in the duplication of administration and equipment and inefficient utilization of facilities. These problems exist in varying degrees in every area of the State where continuing education is offered. As evidence that cooperative effort can be successful, the Virginia Association Research Campus of William and Mary at Newport News is jointly used by William and Mary and Old Dominion College for graduate study and by Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, the University of Virginia and Virginia Commonwealth University for research purposes.

The Council is cognizant that courses which appear on the surface to be duplicative may not be so on closer examination. An English program may appear similar, in the first two years, to a community college program of similar name and description, but be substantially different in approach, partly because one is oriented toward the adolescent full time student and the other towards the otherwise occupied, mature adult; and one is designed to last two years, the other four years. A course may be integrated into a certain program and thus not be truly duplicative of a similar course in an entirely different program. In such cases, if the courses or programs are fully subscribed, it is better to resolve any doubt by allowing both of them to continue. On the other hand, truly duplicative programs should be eliminated, and credits be made transferable wherever possible to avoid course duplication.

To effect this cooperation and to avoid removing the control of courses so far from the community as to discourage local participation in the choice of course offerings, the Council recommends that the State Council of Higher Education, in fulfilling its existing responsibility for coordination, divide the State into districts of reasonable size, each district to include one or more community colleges and at least one state-supported four-year college, university or center. Within each of these districts there should be a coordinating committee, to consist of a representative, chosen by the chief executive officer subject to approval of the board, of each public school division and each State supported institution offering continuing education in the area. As the representatives from public school divisions will in most cases outnumber those from the institutions of higher education, the public schools would together have only one vote in any decisions of the committees. Each other institution would have one vote. A staff member from the State Council of Higher Education should be appointed to assist the committee, act as nonvoting chairman, and be the liaison with the State Council. Private institutions would be encouraged to participate, but would not be bound by decisions of the committee.

The coordinating committee would be responsible for creating an overall program of continuing education for the area and submitting it annually to the State Council of Higher Education. Any changes or additions during the ensuing year would also be submitted during that year. Unless some members of the committee were not in agreement with the plan, or unless the plan was in violation of the law, the Council would be required to approve it, and it would be binding on all the institutions participating.

In providing the program, the local committee would have the responsibility for the following: (1) Seeing that the needs of the community are met. In so doing, the member institutions would be required to pool their resources in conducting research, to consult industrial and other groups in the community, and to hold public hearings; (2) To insure that the program is offered with utmost economy and efficiency. True duplication should be avoided; interchangeability of credit should be promoted; all available facilities and equipment should be utilized before more are requested; administration should be cooperative to the degree feasible. Where more than one institution is desirous of offering a program, the local institutions should be given preference for economic reasons if all other factors are equal. The offerings of private institutions should be taken into account in the decisions of the committees.

The program would be subject to change by the State Council of Higher Education only if it is clearly in violation of the committee's charges under law, or if one or more institutions represented on the committee objects. In such a case, the Council should closely examine the facts, and decide against the majority of the local committee only if its result is clearly not desirable under the facts and the law. If no appeal or violation occurs, the program would go into effect as presented to the Council. The Council would be charged with continual analysis of the operation, to discover whether the local coordinating committees are performing their functions adequately and effectively solving the problems of overlap and duplication.

In interpreting the law, the Council and the coordinating committees should be cautioned to allow the greatest flexibility possible. One area in which attention should be focused is the interpretation of § 23-221 of the Code, in the Community College Act. That section reads in part as follows:

In any area served by a comprehensive community college, no institution of higher education which conducts extension programs shall, after July one, nineteen hundred sixty-six, offer courses of study similar to those offered by a comprehensive community college, except as authorized by the State Council of Higher Education.

This section puts the responsibility of supervising extension offerings on the State Council of Higher Education wherever there is any chance of duplication. The Council believes that the State Council, and the regional committees operating under it, should not hesitate to exercise this responsibility. In some cases, a community college is the best institution to offer a given program or course of study; in other cases, another institution would be preferable. In some situations, programs may be similar but not duplicative, and the State Council's prerogative should be used so that both should be offered. The Council wishes to insure that utmost flexibility is preserved in the continuing education system, to preserve the integrity of every educational institution, and to insure that the needs of the communities are met wherever possible.

Where no appeal is made, the responsibility for interpretation of this statute will fall on the coordinating committees, as their decisions are to be approved by the Council if not in violation of law. Where dispute exists, the State Council should, in resolving the problem, insure that flexibility is maintained.

#### III. OUT OF STATE INSTITUTIONS

In its research, the Council has found that several out-of-state institutions are offering courses in Virginia. In general, they are doing so because they realize a profit from their activities. As they typically charge fees much higher than those asked by State institutions, and yet fill their classes, it is evident that they are profitable because they are filling an otherwise unsatisfied need.

The Council believes that, as the State's continuing education program develops, the necessity and the profitability of these programs will decrease. We do not favor any attempt to regulate them, as regulation would tend to encourage dependence upon them to satisfy certain needs. However, so that local coordinating committees will have the benefit of knowing what courses will be offered by out-of-state institutions in their areas, we recommend legislation requiring that these institutions register with the State Council and supply course information annually in advance. The local committees, and the parent institutions, will have the option of offering courses to fill the same needs or, especially in cases where courses have lower standards or requirements than State institutions are willing to compete with, leave the field to the out-of-state institutions. For instance, if the Navy asks that a professor be assigned to a submarine and give a full course in one week, and no Virginia institution wishes to give credit for such a course, it would be proper for the coordinating committee to leave that need to be filled by an out-of-state institution. On the other hand, the committees and institutions should make every effort to fill the reasonable needs of the military installations as well as the other interests in their communities so that Virginia citizens are not used for the profit of out-of-state institutions.

### IV. GOVERNOR'S COMMISSION ON CONTINUING EDUCATION

The field of continuing education, like other educational fields, is changing rapidly. Experiments with new methods of coordination, new methods of teaching and course structures and new methods of administration and accreditation are being announced every day. New York State's experiment with a "university without walls" is an example of many innovative approaches, some of which may prove effective and desirable. Teaching by television and other new instructional approaches may be refined to the extent that they reach their potential as powerful educational tools.

The Council has found that the very existence of a study committee has led to heightened interest in continuing education, to the extent that existing institutions have made improvements on their own motions.

This report recommends very minor changes in the law and operation of our educational system. The Council has carefully considered more sweeping changes, such as a central accrediting university, but feels that they are not warranted at this time, at least until less disruptive measures are tried. It may be that more drastic changes will be necessary. For these reasons, we strongly advise the Governor to appoint a permanent commission for further study of continuing education, to make periodic reports and recommendations to the State Council of Higher Education and other agencies connected with education as well as to the Governor and the General Assembly. The Commission should be made up of experts from the field of education and other citizens interested in education. The existence of the commission, as well as its reports, will help to insure that the system works smoothly and that changes are made as needed. In addition, the Commission can keep abreast of changes and innovations in the field of continuing education and make recommendations as to their adoption.

> Respectfully submitted, ROBERT C. FITZGERALD, Chairman \*ARTHUR H. RICHARDSON, Vice-Chairman M. CALDWELL BUTLER RUSSELL M. CARNEAL C.W. CLEATON \*\*HENRY E. HOWELL, JR. EDWARD E. LANE LEWIS A. McMURRAN, JR. WILLARD J. MOODY GARNETT S. MOORE SAM E. POPE JAMES M. THOMSON JAMES C. TURK EDWARD E. WILLEY

<sup>\*</sup> Mr. Richardson, because of ill health, was unable to participate in the decision on this report.

Mr. Howell withdrew from the Council on becoming Lieutenant Governor before final decision on this report was made.

## APPENDIX A

### SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO.

#### Urging the State supported institutions of higher education to give fuller and more meaningful support to continuing education...

Whereas, continuing education provides, for many people, important and necessary educational opportunities not available through more conventional programs; and

Whereas, programs in continuing education will be increasingly necessary as the continual need for sophisticated training increases with economic and social development; and

Whereas, improvement of continuing education is an important method of increasing the capacity of our educational system; and

Whereas, effort expended in continuing education by State-supported institutions of higher education has been piecemeal and often inadequate; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate, the House of Delegates concurring, That the State Council of Higher Education and the State-supported institutions of higher education are urged and encouraged to increase their attention to and efforts in continuing education, and work towards the end that the quality and prestige of continuing education be comparable to that of regular degree programs, that full degree credit be given in place of extension credit, that meaningful programs be devised, answerable to the needs of the communities in which they are offered, and that facilities and resources be used fully and economically.

Be it further resolved, That the State Council of Higher Education is urged to coordinate activities in continuing education in a flexible manner, in order to prevent the duplication of effort, but preserving variety where courses are similar in general description but significantly different in direction; to seek to insure that each course or program is offered by the appropriate institution on the basis of quality and economy; and to encourage institutions to work toward interchangeability of credit; and be it

Resolved further, That the General Assembly shall, in approving the budgets of the various educational institutions, give due consideration to the efforts of each institution in continuing education. amend and reenact § 23-9.10, as amended, of the Code of Virginia, and to amend the Code of Virginia by adding a section numbered 23-8.2, relating to the duties of the State Council of Higher Education in coordinating off-campus and extension programs.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia:

1. That § 23-9.10, as amended, of the Code of Virginia, be amended and reenacted, and the Code of Virginia be amended by adding a section numbered 23-8.2, as follows:

§ 23-8.2 (a) Any college, university or other educational institution, the major campus of which is not located in this state, which offers or intends to offer any course for degree credit, whether extension or otherwise, within this State, shall register with the State Council of Higher Education on July one of each year. Such registration shall be in accordance with the regulations of the Council, shall include the full name and address of such institution, and shall also include a full description of each degree program and the title, description, location, and the full name and address of the teacher, of each course to be offered within the State in the ensuing year. Any additions or other changes made in the course offerings of any institution shall be registered with the Council in accordance with its regulations.

(b) Any private institution of higher education located within the State which offers or intends to offer courses or programs in continuing education within the State shall register such courses and programs for the ensuing year with the State Council of Higher Education on July one of each year. The institution shall notify the Council of changes in any such course or program in accordance with regulations of the Council. For purposes of this subsection (b), any course or program designed for part-time students, or more than thirty percent of whose students are in fact part-time students, shall be deemed a course or program in continuing education.

§ 23-9.10. (a) The Council shall coordinate the continuing education, off campus extension and public service offerings of all state-controlled institutions of higher education including public school divisions, and including all credit and noncredit academic courses and programs. The Council shall divide the State into continuing education regions of reasonable size, each region to include at least one community college and have at least one four-year State-supported institution of higher education offering courses therein. In each region a coordinating committee, to consist of the superintendent, president or other chief executive officer, or his designee, subject to approval of his board, of each public school division or state-supported institution of higher learning offering courses within the region, shall prepare a program of continuing education annually for submission to the Council. In any decisions of a Committee, the representatives from public school divisions shall together have a single vote. Such program shall include all courses, both credit and noncredit, and all credit programs, offered in continuing education by the participating institutions. Changes or additions to such program shall also be submitted to the Council prior to implementation. The Committee's program shall be adopted by the Council, and be the continuing education program of such region, unless such program is in violation of the provisions of this section; or unless the Council, on appeal by any one institution or school division participating on such committee, and for good cause shown, amends such program. In addition to the foregoing, each coordinating committee shall have the following duties:

- (1.) Under regulations of the Council, formulate a cooperative plan for ascertaining the continuing educational needs and interests of the region. Such plan shall take the place of separate efforts of the participating institutions, and shall include at least one public hearing each year as well as consultation with local business, public interest and other groups;
- (2.) Insure that the continuing education program of the region, to the degree feasible, meets the needs and interests of the region;
- (3.) Insure that the continuing education program of the region is as economical as is feasible consistent with quality. In so doing, the committee shall direct its attention to nonduplication of courses and programs, nonduplication and cooperative use of facilities and equipment, transferability of credits among programs and among institutions, and the replacement of extension offerings with full resident credit offerings wherever possible. In determining which institution should offer any course or program, the committee shall consider the suitability and resources of each institution, but shall give due preference to that institution which is able to provide the needed quality at the lowest cost to the State and its citizens.

Private institutions of higher education within the region shall participate on such committees at their option, but shall have no official vote and shall not be bound by the decisions thereof. Members of the staff of the Council shall be designated by the Council as non-voting chairmen of the committees.

(b) The Council shall establish and maintain a continuing education an extension and public service advisory committee, composed of college and university representatives and such other members as the Council may select, to advise the Council and the local coordinating committees on the annual collection and analysis of data regarding existing extension and public service offerings and in the development of a rational pattern for extension and public services throughout the State. The Council shall seek the advice of the continuing education advisory committee in formulating regulations for the operation of local coordinating committees and formulating standards for coordinating continuing education under this section. the establishment of policies whereby Council approval must be obtained for an institution to increase the scope of its extension offerings at any off campus location. In addition, the Council shall seek the advice of the extension and public service advisory committee in the establishment of guidelines to be followed by any institution, agency or locality seeking to establish any new off-campus branch, division or center.

(c) For purposes of this section, the term "continuing education" shall include all courses and programs designed for, or in fact containing thirty percent or more of, adult part-time students.

# APPENDIX B

Tables and Comments on Data Collected by the Continuing Education Committee Courses Offered In Virginia By Out-Of-State Institutions

Table 1:

A large number of off-campus courses are offered in the Commonwealth of Virginia by out-of-state educational institutions, and there is every indication that these institutions will continue to be effective in meeting the needs of Virginia residents. In the spring of 1971, George Washington University offered 155 courses in the Northern Virginia area alone (41 at undergraduate level). In addition, George Washington offers many courses in the Tidewater area of Virginia at Norfolk and Hampton. American University offered 89 courses in the Spring (34 at undergraduate level) and the University of Maryland offered 48 courses (42 at undergraduate level). Other out-of-state institutions offering extension courses in Virginia include the University of Oklahoma, University of Southern California, University of Tulsa, and San Diego State.

The tuition cost to the students in taking courses from out-of-state institutions is considerably greater than for taking similar courses at Virginia institutions. Costs per credit hour are from 3 to 10 times greater. Course offerings are typically in fields of study such as Business Administration, Economics, Management, English, History, Mathematics, Education, Psychology and Political Science. These are all areas of study taught by Virginia Colleges and Universities. While these out-of-state institutions may be commended for their initiative, the inescapable question to be raised is how are they able to compete so effectively even though their tuition and fees are greater? The other inescapable conclusion is that there is a large potential market of continuing education within Virginia that has not been tapped by our own institutions. All of the foregoing is duly noted in detail in S. J. R. No. 29 which goes on to state, "it appears probable the out-of-state institutions conduct their Virginia operations at a profit." Many of the courses are offered at military installations. This, however, does not alter the situation, for the Continuing Education Committee recommends that Virginia institutions "should make every effort to fill the reasonable needs of the military installations as well as the other interests in their communities."

## TABLE 1

#### COURSES OFFERED IN VIRGINIA BY OUT-OF-STATE INSTITUTIONS (Spring 1971)

## George Washington University

<b>Lo</b> cation	Dept	Undėr– Graduate Level	Graduate Level	Total
Alexandria (Burke Branch Library)	Geography	1	-	1
Alexandria (Episcopal Churc of the Resurrection)	h Education	_	1	1
Alexandria (Hoffman Bldg)	Economics	_	1	1
Alexandria (Hoffman Bldg)	Management	_	2	2
Annandale (Methodist Church	C	n 1	_	1
Alexandria (Cameron Station)	Nanagement	-	1	1
Baileys Crossroads (Nassif Bldg)	Economics	1	-	1
Baileys Crossroads (Nassif Bldg)	Bus Administration	-	1	1
Baileys Crossroads (Nassif Bldg)	Management	-	3	3
National Airport Education Center (Bldg T-7)	Bus Administration	-	1	1
National Airport Education Center (Bldg T-7)	Management	-	3	3
Bureau of Naval Personnel Educ Center	Economics	-	1	1
Bureau of Naval Personnel Educ Center	Management	-	6	6
Crystal City (CAPSO-Navy Educ Centers)	Bus Administration	1	5	6
Crystal City (CAPSO-Navy Educ Centers)	Economics	-	1	1
Crystal City (CAPSO-Navy Educ Centers)	Education	-	1	1
Crystal City (CAPSO-Navy Educ Centers)	Management	-	6	6
Crystal City (CAPSO-Navy Educ <sup>°</sup> Centers)	Public Adminis- tration			
Parkington (CAPSO- Navy Educ Center)	Accounting	1	1	2
Parkington (CAPSO- Navy Educ Center)	Economics	-	1	1
Parkington (CAPSO- Navy Educ Center)	Management	-	4	4

## TABLE 1

<u>Location</u>	Dept	Under- Graduate Level	Graduate Level	<u>Total</u>
Falls Church (Knox Presbyterian Church)	Speech & Drama	1	-	1
Falls Church (Melpar Inc)	Management	-	1	1
Falls Church (St. Pauls Lutheran Church)	History	1	-	1
Fort Belvoir	Accounting	1	-	1
Fort Belvoir	Bus Administratio	on 2	5	7
Fort Belvoir	Statistics	1	-	1
Fort Belvoir	Economics	1	-	1
Fort Belyoir	Management	۲	11	<b>ļ</b> 1
Fort Myer	Accounting	2	-	2
Fort Myer	Bus Administratio	on 7	-	7
Fort Myer	Economics	2	-	2
Fort Myer	English	2	-	2
Fort Myer	Geology	1	-	1
Fort Myer	History	3	-	3
Fort Myer	Humanities	1	-	1
Fort Myer	Mathematics	1	-	1
Fort Myer	Political Science	e 3	. –	3
Fort Myer	Psychology	4	-	4
Fort Myer	Speech and Drama	1	-	1
Langley (Langley H.S.)	Education	-	1	1
Langley (Langley H.S.)	Management	-	4	4
Langley (Langley H.S.)	Pharmacy	-	1	1
McLean (Lewisville Presbyterian Church)	Art	ľ	. –	1
Manassas (Osbourn H.S.)	Economics	-	1	1
Manassas (Osbourn H.S.)	Education	7	1	1
Manassas, (Osbourn H.S.)	Management	-	3	3
Pentagon Education Center	Accounting	` <b>_</b>	1	1
Pentagon Education Center	Bus Administratio	on –	7	7
Pentagon Education Center	Economics	-	1	1
Pentagon Education Center	Management	-	24	24

## TABLE 1

Location	Dept	Under- Graduate Level	Graduate _Level	Total
Pentagon Education Center	Public Adm	-	3	3
Reston (Lake School & (Redeemer United Metho- dist Church)	Bus Administratio	on –	1	1
11 11	Economics	-	1	1
" "	Management	-	2	2
Reston (Washington Plaza Baptish Church)	Art	1	-	1
Rosslyn (Commonwealth Bldg)	Management	-	2	2
Quantico (U.S. Marine Corps)	Management		4	4
		կո	114	155

This totals 155 course offerings by George Washington in Virginia. In addition, George Washington offers courses at Hampton, Va.; Oceana Naval Air Station; Langley AFB; Norfolk Naval Station; Fort Monroe; Dahlgren and Fort Eustis.

## UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND

Location	Dept	Under- Graduate Level	Graduate Level	Total
Pentagon	Art	1	-	1
Pentagon	Bus Administratio	on 8	· _	8
Pentagon	Economics	3	<b>-</b> ·	3
Pentagon	Education	1	-	1
Pentagon	English	5	-	5
Pentagon	Entomology	1	-	1
Pentagon	Geography	2	-	2
Pentagon	Govt & Politics	7	6	13
Pentagon	History.	5	-	5
Pentagon	Mathematics	1	-	1
Pentagon	Journalism	1	-	1
Pentagon	Philosophy	1	-	1
Pentagon	Psychology	2	<del></del>	2
Pentagon	Sociology	1	-	1
Pentagon	Spanish	2	-	2
Pentagon	Speech	1		1
		42	6	48

## AMERICAN UNIVERSITY

Location	<u>Dept</u>	Under- Graduate Level	Graduate Level	<u>Total</u>
Pontagon	Bus Administration	n	-	2
Pontagon	Education	1	4	5
Pentagon	Math & Statistics	1	-	1
Pentagon	Technology & Adm	3	10	13
Rosslyn (RCA Educ Center)	Technology & Adm	1	3	4
Fort Myer	Technology & Adm	-	2	2
Arlington (CAPSO-Navy)	Bus Administration	1	-	1
Arlington (CAPSO-Navy)	Math & Statistics	2	1	3
Arlington (CAPSO-Navy)	Technology & Adm	3	9	12
Arlington (Arlington Hall)	Communications	-	1	1
Arlington (Arlington Hall)	Technology & Adm	2	5	7
Arlington (Arlington Hall)	Math & Statistics	2	-	2
Fort Belvoir	Education	-	6	6
Fort Belvoir	Physics	-	2	2
Fort Belvoir	Technology & Adm	1	3	4
Dahlgren(Naval Weapons Lab) """	Math & Statistics Physics Technology & Adm		4 4 1	4 4 1
Alexandria	Center for Adminis		-	6
Arlington Fairfax Vienna	tration of Justi """ """	3 4 2 34		3 4 2 89

Other Out-of-State institutions which conduct courses in Virginia include:

Univ of Oklahoma	at Dahlgren and Northern Virginia
La Verne College	at Fairfax County
Univ of Tulsa Univ of Southern Ill San Diego St	at Northern Virginia at Northern Virginia and Dahlgren at Norfolk Naval Base

#### Tables 2A, 2B and 2C:

The committee prepared an extensive survey questionnaire which was submitted to all institutions in the state with a continuing education program. For the most part the response to the questionnaire was excellent, although there are some gaps in the data, and in some cases there was an inability to respond. There are a few duplications also, e.g., Danville Community College is listed twice, because they diligently responded with the four year colleges and were tabulated at that time and then responded again with the community colleges in a later group response.

The forecast of course requirements submitted in reply to the VALC Committee questionnaire indicated extensive increases in continuing education programs for the next five years. For example, all of the community colleges project substantial increases in course requirements with five of the schools forecasting increases over 50% in course requirements. The smallest increase was still an impressive 15% gain in course offerings. Other institutions which forecast large increases in continuing education offerings include V.P.I. and State University, Norfolk State College, University of Richmond, the Central Virginia Center of the University of Virginia and selected school systems such as Arlington County and Stafford County. Continued and substantial growth in continuing educational requirements is forecast in all parts of the state.

## TABLE 2A

## VALC

.

## Forecast of Requirements

One of the survey questions was an attempt to quantify the future continuing education requirements of the individual institutions. It is recognized that these forecasts are based on conditions subject to change and must be continually modified. Nevertheless we requested the best "educated" guess possible with the following results:

Colleges/Univ.	July 71-June 72	urse Requirements 2 July 75-June 76 e or Decrease)	and Non-c July 71-June	y Fublic Service redit Offerings 72 July 75-June 76 se or Decrease)
Clinch Valley College	ed	o immediate plans ucation program. (No ise, Virginia.)		
Danville Commu- nity College	ab col	+40% pulation and industria out average. However, llege is expected to resu urse offerings.	development of an ev	ening
Lynchburg College	Same	Not Available	Same	Not Available
Norfolk St. College	+7%	+44%	+169%	+260%
	well as basic c	oper level courses as courses should be cast 106 course nd 143 in 75-76.	limited due to eff regular college attention will be giv	rvice offerings are forts to strengthen program. Major ven to programming eds of the adult
Old Dominion University	Forecast <i>400</i> cours and <i>497</i> in 75-76.	e offerings in 71-72	population.	+100%

.

TABL	E 2A		Forecast of F	Public Service
	Forecast of Course Requirements		and Non-crea	
	July 71-June 72	July 75-June 76	July 72-June 72	July 75-June 76
Colleges/Univ.	(% Increase d	or Decrease)	(% Increase of	r Decrease)
University of Richmond	+3% to 7%	+15% to 35%		+38%
	Forecast is based on population growth and continuing education pr	development of	Forecast is based on i	increase in staff.
Univ of Virginia Central Va. Center	Forecast 155 course offe and 208 in 75-76.	rings in 71-72	Increases for 71-72 90%.*	range from 2% to
Hampton Road Center	+5%	+9%	Increases for 75-76 27% *	range from 4% to
Lynchburg Ctr	+2%	+6%	* This refers to rang	e at all centers.
Madison Center	Same	+11%		
Northern Virginia Center	+3%	+6%		
	Forecast is difficult a undergraduate, lower-le will be required by the S	evel courses. There State Council of Hig	is some possibility the ther Education to stop o	at this Čenter ffering lower

Forecast is difficult at present time. "About a third of our offerings are undergraduate, lower-level courses. There is some possibility that this Center will be required by the State Council of Higher Education to stop offering lower level courses. If this happens, we will decline quite sharply .... If the lower level courses are eliminated, this flow of students into upper level courses will diminish. The future of all our undergraduate work, both lower division and upper division, is thus in question. For purposes of this report we have assumed that we would go on offering lower division courses but that we would hold them to the number offered in 1970-71. Thus, there will be very little growth in lower division and in upper division courses."

Roanoke Center+5%+5 to 10%

	TABLE 2A	Forecast of Course R	equirements	Forecast of Public S and Non-credit Offe	
(	Colleges/Univ.	July 71-June 72 Jul (% Increase or L	<b>5</b>	y 71-June 72 July (% Increase or Decr	y 75 <b>-J</b> une 76 ease)
S	Southwest Va Ctr	+10%	+17%		
]	Home Study	+ 5%	+ 5%		
		(No forecasts receive Richmond.)	ed from Centers located	at Eastern Shore, I	Martinsville or
	Virginia Common- wealth Univ.	Not determinable education definition u	under continuing atilized by VCU	"However, the dem we can meet A	ast can be made. hand is greater than very significant in- both in Dentistry
	Va. Military Inst.	Same	Same	+10%	Same
	VPI & State Univ.	+181%	+404%	+10%	+65%
		The large rate of incr to the fact that the U recently entered into off-campus credit co are made on small-ba 70; there is a hear programs which is be tapped; selected made a heavy com	Jniversity has only o a full program of urses." Projections ase figure for 1969- vy need for such only beginning to departments have	it is not unreal continued 10%-12% until the full	e years of operation, listic to expect a % increase annually programming and s of the Center are

TABLE 2A			Forecast of P	ublic Service
	Forecast of Cours	se Requirements	and Non-cred	
	July 71-June 72	July 75-June 76	July 71-June 72	July 75-June 76
Colleges/Univ.	(% Increase	e or Decrease)	(% Increase or	r Decrease)
VPI & State Univ.	that the growth the end of the opossible, graduat be established the Community will draw on th administrative s to the pattern is established in Community Coll crowded and h	rograms. It is expected rate will level off near decade. (Note: "Where the degree programs will in cooperation with College System and he facilities and local support. An exception is the Reston location an area where the ege, NVCC, is already eavily committed ad- and spacewise to a an area where the		
Virginia State College	+3%	+25% (Evening) +10% (Extension)	+10%	+10%
William & Mary	reasonable	too many factors inv prediction. To a large ex al of a proposed Cont	tent it depends on	

TABLE 2B	
VALC	
FORECAST OF REQUIREMENTS	

	Forecast of Cour	se Requirements	Forecast of Public Service and Non-credit Offerings	
	July 71-June 72	July 75-June 76	July 71-June 72	July 75-June 76
School Systems	(% Increase	or Decrease)	(% Increase of	r Decrease)
Arlington County	+10%	+50%	+2%	+5%
	vocational skill t of foreign born p in English and	t because of needed raining and the influx eople needing training d other skills. Also vocational training for		
Clark County	Same	Same	Same	Same
Dinwiddie County	Same	Same	Same	Same
Franklin County			<b>+</b> 10%	+25%
Fairfax County	No reply t	o this question		
Harrisonburg City Schools	+36%	<b>+</b> 50%		
		ingham-Harrisonburg T		

"When the Rockingham-Harrisonburg Technical School is completed, Many of our people now taking Machine Shop and Industrial Arts will find a greater selection of offerings at the Technical School." In other departments, however, there will be a continued expansion of offerings and a growth of program.

TABLE 2B			Forecast of.	Public Service	
	Forecast of Course Requirements		and Non-credit Offerings		
School Systems	U U	72 July 75-June 76 ase or Decrease)	July 71-June 72 (% Increase or	July 75-June 76 Decrease)	
King William County	Same	Same	-	_	
Montgomery County	Same	Same	+20%	· 100% *	
Northampton Public Schools	-	. —	* "If our voca we expect to o offerings!"	tional wing materializes double our Public Service	
Page County	_	_	Same	Same	
Piedmont Voca-	_	_	Same	Same	
tional School			Overall declin Germanna Co	ne because of opening of mmunity College.	
Stafford County	+33%	<b>+</b> 100%	-	_	
	Large increas expected.	e in population			
Tazewell County	+10%	+30%	<b>+</b> 10%	+30%	
	"More concent the needs of a	trated effort to meet dults."			

TABL		of Course Requirements	- For and	recast of Public Service d Non-credit Offerings
School Systems	July 71-June (% Incr	72 July 15-June 76 rease or Decrease)	July 71 (%	June 72 July 75-June 76 Increase or Decrease)
Williamsburg— James City	+20%	+20%	+20%	+20%

## TABLE 2C

## VALC

## FORECAST OF REQUIREMENTS

Community Colleges	Forecast of Course Requirements (% Increase or Decrease)		Forecast of Public Service and Non-Credit Offerings (% Increase or Decrease)	
	July 71-June 72	July 75-June 76	July 71-June 72	July 75-June 76
Blue Ridge	+6½%	+18%	+5%	+13%
Central Virginia	+16%	+34%	+10%	+17%
Danville	+25%	+40%	0	+50%
Dabney S. Lancaster	+37%	+80%	+10%	+400%
John Tyler	+10%	+53%	+43%	+23%
New River	+15%	+30%	+100%	+100%
Northern Virginia	+12 <sup>1</sup> <sub>2</sub> %	+86%	+24%	+63%
Southwest Virginia	+9%	+21%	+5%	+19%
Thomas Nelson	+10%	+15%	+10%	+25%
Tidewater	+25%	+60%	+5%	+50%
Virginia Western	+46%	+77%	Forecast 85 offerings in 71-72 and 170 in 75-76	
Wytheville	+9%	+20%	Same	+75%

### **Plant Facilities**

### Tables: 3A, 3B, 3C:

The physical capability of the Virginia education systems as a whole to meet adequately the increases forecast in continuing education requirements remains suspect and requires further intensive investigation. The institutions submitted their own estimates of the status of their physical plants for continuing education programs. While the locations were generally rated "good" to "excellent" many problems were noted as to the size and condition of the physical plants. "Unsatisfactory" conditions or "inadequate" size was the reply from William and Mary, Norfolk State College, the Madison Center of the University of Virginia, five of the community colleges and the Montgomery County school system. Many other institutions indicated that the size of the continuing education facilities was less than good. This included V.P.I. and State University, four of the University of Virginia regional centers, five additional community colleges and the Arlington County, Stafford County and Piedmont Vocational School systems.

The degree of confidence to be placed on the institutions' own ratings of plant facilities might be questioned by some analysts. The replies, however, do not seem to be self-serving. For example, when eleven of twelve community colleges note their plant condition as either "excellent" or "good" there is reason to believe that the rating of "unsatisfactory" for Tidewater Community College is an objective appraisal. In any case, the replies do serve as a useful measure of the states' immediate needs in plant facilities for continuing education.

## TABLE 3A

#### VALC

## Survey of Continuing Education Plant Facilities

Colleges and Universitities	Institution's Own Estimate of Present Status of Physical Plant For Continuing Education Program				
_	Location	Condition	Size		
Clinch Valley College of University of Virginia	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent		
Danville Community College	Good	Good	Fair		
Lynchburg College	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent		
Norfolk State College	Excellent	Good	UNSATISFACTORY		
Old Dominion University	Excellent	Fair	Good		
Richard Bland College	Good	Excellent	Good		
University of Richmond	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent		
University of Virginia (10 Regional Centers)	Excellent (5) Good (3) Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (2) Good (4) Fair (4) 1)*		
Virginia Commonwealth Univ.	Did not grade specific need	present status ls.	but noted many I		
VMI	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent		
VPI and State University (On Campus) (Off Campus)	Good Good	Good Fair	Fair Fair		
Virginia State College	Good	Good	Good		
William and Mary	Did not grade present status but noted many specific needs. Remarks indicate that present facilities are inadequate.				

\*Madison Center

## TABLE 3B

## VALC

## Survey of Continuing Education Plant Facilities Institution's Own Estimate of

Public Schools	Institution's Own Estimate of Present Status of Physical Plant For Continuing Education Program			
	Location	Condition	Size	
Arlington County	Fair	Fair	Fair	
Bath County	Good	Good	Good	
Clarke County	Good	Good	Good	
Dinwiddie County	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	
Franklin County	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	
Fairfax County	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	
Hanover County	Excellent	Good	Excellent	
Harrisonburg City	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	
King William County	Good	Good	Good	
Montgomery County	Good	Good	UNSATISFACTCRY	
Northhampton Public Schools	Good	Good	Good	
Page County	Good	Fair	Good	
Piedmont Vocational School	Good	Good	Fair	
Pittsylvania County	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	
Portsmouth City	Good	Good	Excellent	
Richmond Public Schools	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	
Stafford County	Good	Fair	Fair	
Tazewell County	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	
Virginia Beach City	Good	Good	Good	
Williamsburg-James City	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	
	•			

## TABLE 3C

## VALC

## SURVEY OF CONTINUING EDUCATION PLANT FACILITIES

## Institutions' Own Estimate of Present Status of Physical Plant for Continuing Education Program

Community Colleges	Location	Condition	Size
Blue Ridge	Excellent	Good	Unsatisfactory
Central Virginia	Good	Good	Good
Danville	Good	Good	Fair
Dabney S. Lancaster	Excelleni	Good	Unsatisfactory
John Tyler	Excellent	Excellent	Fair
New River	Good	Good	Fair
Northern Virginia	Excellent	Excellent	Fair
Southwest Virginia	Excellent	Excellent	Unsatisfactory
Thomas Nelson	Excellent	Excellent	Unsatisfactory
Tidewater	Good	Unsatisfactor <b>y</b>	Fair
Virginia Western	Good	Good	Good
Wytheville	Excellent	Excellent	Fair

# TABLE 3C (continued) VALC SURVEY OF CONTINUING EDUCATION PLANT FACILITIES

	Community Colleges	Physical Plant Improvements Required 1971-72	Physical Plant Improvements Required 1975-76		
	Blue Ridge	Shop; Library/Student Center; Class- room—60,300 sq. ft.	General Classroom/Service Bldg.—30,000 sq. ft.		
	Central Virginia	Student Lounge—Started in March 1971	Additional 102,034 sq. ft. being re- quested		
<u>1</u> 6	Danville	Bldg 47,000 sq. ft. planned for devel- opment	Administration Bldg.; Textile Bldg.; Business Service Bldg.; Physical Edu- cation Bldg.; Student Center		
	Dabney S. Lancaster	Conference Room; Laboratories, Li- brary; Office Space	Student Lounge; Learning Center; Labo- ratories; Office Space		
	John Tyler	None	Additional 140,044 sq. ft.—Includes Learning Resources Center; Labo- ratories; Student Center		
	New River	None	Additional 40,000 sq. ft.		
	Northern Virginia	None for 1971/72. Health Science Facil- ity to be completed for 1972/73.	Additional 1,039,200 sq. ft. recom- mended capital outlay program		
	Southwest Virginia	Bldgs. with 41,384 sq. ft. including Library and Classrooms	Additional 62,500 sq. ft. required.		
	Thomas Nelson	Learning Resources Bldg.; Student <sup>†</sup> Lounge	Engineering Occupational Technical Sci- ence Bldg.		

# TABLE 3C (continued) VALC SURVEY OF CONTINUING EDUCATION PLANT FACILITIES

Community Colleges	Physical Plant Improvements Required 1971-72	Physical Plant Improvements Required 1975-76
Tidewater	Need to construct modern educational buildings.	Additional 283,767 sq. ft. in capital out- lay request.
Virginia Western	123,495 sq. ft. needed	Additional 165,672 sq. ft.
Wytheville	None anticipated	Additional 107,177 sq. ft.

#### Tables 4A, 4B and 4C

An inventory of major capital equipment in continuing education institutions indicates a wide disparity in the allocation of capital equipment; a substantial dollar investment when measured by the estimated replacement value; and probably a need for some guidance on maintaining uniform accounting procedures. There appears to be an insignificant inventory of audiovisual equipment and reproduction equipment at Norfolk State College, Virginia State College and Old Dominion. Dollar expenditures for laboratory facilities and equipment is low at Thomas Nelson Community College, University of Richmond, V. P. I. and State University, as well as the schools noted above. Also to be noted is that the School for Continuing Studies at William and Mary owns virtually no capital equipment at all.

## VALC MALE IN TABLE 4A Inventory of Major Capital Equipment in Continuing Education Institutions (Estimated Dollar Replacement Value)

NOTE: Many continuing education institutions utilize equipment owned by parent organizations or other educational institutions. The request for data was to obtain information on major capital equipment cwned and utilized by continuing education programs.

	Colleges/Universities	Auto	Audio/ Visual	Repro. Equip.	Office Equip.	Lab Facilities and Equipment	Library	Other
	Danville Community College	\$7,400	\$84,592	\$ 8,273	\$73,911	\$1,241,636	\$286,235	\$ 12,150
	Norfolk State College	-	100	400	1,500	-	-	-
	Old Dominion Univ.	-		-	2,300	-	-	-
	Richard Bland College	-	3,000	15,000	70,000	100,000	150,000	-
	Univ. of Richmond	-	27,400	-	32,745	53,660	103 <u>,</u> 200	47,585
39	Univ. of Virginia (all centers)	2,000	230,986	38,876	148,739	112,637	284,121	2,200
	Virginia Commonwealth	bought	primarily for	or used exclusivel; general academic j g Education Program	program and o	uing Education Pro doubles where nece	ogram. All essary and p	items ossible
	VPI and State Univ.	64,660*	176,463	54,496	664,931	35,007	3,100**	10,451
		*All of the vehicles (23) are excess property granted to the Cooperative Extension Service and governed by Federal regulations. In addition, the Extension Division makes full use of the University Motor Pool on a reim- bursement basis.				purchased and <u>not</u> E Dollars i	í with Univ. Extension Di	ls, etc. are library funds vision funds. ary furniture te.
				with seve: facilitie:	ral community s and equipme puisitions.	as already entered y colleges to join ent for the housin It is expected th	tly use lib g and use o	rary f off <del>.</del>
	Virginia State			50	. 600			
	William and Mary	"Asi Scho	de from the u ol of Continu	sual office equipme ing Studies owns no	ent and the capital equ	limited library ho uipment."	oldings, the	

r	TABLE 4B			VALC				
Inventory of Major Capital Equipment in Continuing Education Institutions								
		(Estin	nated Dollar	Replacement	Value)			
School Systems	Auto	Audio/ Viscal	Repro. Equip.	Office Equip.	Lab. Facilities and Equipment	Library	Other	
Arlington County		\$29,569	\$ 2,818	\$117,187	\$ 2,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 5,674	
Bath County		1,620	900					
Clarke County		2,000				1,500		
Dinwiddie County				3,000				
Franklin County		800 <sup>,</sup>	~~~~		6,000			
Fairfax Count <b>y</b>	2,000			out dollar Includes 9 5 typewrit copying ma	desks, ers, 3			
King William County		15,000	3,000	10,000	30,000	50 <b>,</b> 000		
Northampton Public Schools		5 <b>,</b> 000	2,500	1,500		25,000	10,000	
Piedmont Vocational	1,000	3,000	2,000	10,000	200,000	10,000		
Pittsylvania County		8,200						
Tazewell County	4,000	3,000	600	300	500,000 (vocational school-10 shops)			

## LABLE 4C

VALC

## INVENTORY OF MAJOR CAPITAL EQUIPMENT IN CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAM (Estimated Dollar Replacement Value)

	Community Colleges	Auto	Audio/Visual	Reprod.Equip.	Office Equip.	Lab Facilitie and Equipment		Other
	Blue Ridge	\$11,200	\$12,642	ş4,214	ş <b>29,</b> 498	<sub>\$</sub> 160,130	\$176,986	ş 26,726
	Central Virginia	8,400	21,460	7,153	55,981	271,830	300,443	50,074
	Danville	7,400	84,592	8,273	73,911	1,241,636	286,235	12,150
41	Dabney S. Lancaster	8,000	20,000	2,500	24,000	70,000	245,000	23,000
	John Tyler	6,843	17,861	8,025	44,940	242,946	180,820	1,452
	New River	2,800	8,500	2,500	27,250	318,350	120,000	-
	Northern Virginia	24,244	75,454	33,837	184,745	955,746	1,056,351	184,745
	Southwest Virginia	8,100	5,600	9,760	59,965	202,915	193,820	8,173
	Thomas Nelson	6,700	31,450	9,435	73,075	20,200	215,270	266,077
	Tidewater	17,139	11,574	3,858	27,007	143,468	159,901	22,867
	Virginia Western	9,216	18,∯95	11,180	61,500	320,000	351,910	179,961
	Wytheville	Two Autos	2,000	500	12,000	70,000	150,000	25,000

#### Tables 5A, 5B and 5C:

Income derived from continuing education does not approach the total budgeted figures for expenditures. At the twelve community colleges income derived from continuing education was less than 20% of the budgetary dollars. At other institutions the percentage of income derived from continuing education was higher, but still below the budget.

The Arlington Public School system, which has one of the largest continuing education programs outside of the colleges, had a derived income that was about 25% of the \$615,000 budgeted in the year ending June 1970.

## TABLE 5A

#### VALC

## Budget and Income for Continuing Education

	Total Budget Figure For	Total Income Derive From	
Colleges/Universities	Continuing Education (Year Ending Jun 70)	Continuing Educatio (Year Ending Jun 70	
Clinch Valley College	\$5,300	\$7,950	
Danville Community College	1,185,701	182,010	
Norfolk State College	102,000	73,000	
Old Dominion University	<b>210,000</b> ·	294,000	
Richard Bland College	610,267	252,889	
University of Richmond	646,827 650,627		
University of Virginia			
(10 Centers plus Charlottesville)	2,360,190	1,830,535	
Virginia Commonwealth Univ.	Not determinable unde	r Present Methods.	
Virginia Military Institute	11,881	9,870	
Virginia Polytechnical Institute and State Univ.	9,844,186	3,984,178	
Virginia State College	104,150	45,778	
College of William and Mary	639,735	631,877	

## TABLE 5B

## VALC

·			
School Systems	Total Budget Figure For Continuing Education (Year Ending Jun 70)	Total Income Derived From Continuing Education (Year Ending Jun 70)	
Arlington Public Schools	\$614,809	\$150,000	
Bath County Schools	800	120	
Clarke County	8,000	250	
Dinwiddie County Senior High School	800	0	
Franklin County	6,000	0	
Fairfax County	No reply to	this question	
Hanover County (Lee Davis High School) (Patrick Henry High School)	545	627	
	1,175	1,020	
Harrisonburg City Schools	11,920	182	
King William County	1,500	Not applicable	
Montgomery County	29,759	No answer	
Page County	2,484	2,318	
Piedmont Vocational School	13,000	14,000	
Pittsylvania County	50,130	1,000	
Richmond Public Schools	46,908 Distributive Education	7,263 Distributive Education	
Stafford County	9,301	3,531	
Tazewell County	12,000	0	
Virginia Beach City Schools	118,300	3,000	
Williamsburg-James City	10,121	7,019	

#### Budget and Income for Continuing Education.

## TABLE 5C

#### VALC

## Budget and Income for Continuing Education

Community College	Total Budget Figure for Continuing Education (Year Ending June '70)	Total Income Derived from Continuing Education (Year ending June '70)
Blue Ridge	\$ 976,275	\$ 114,750
Central Virginia	1,112,613	149,090
Danvile	1,185,701	182,010
Dabney S. Lancaster	555,506	63,931
John Tyler	1,326,720	180,343
New River	469,262	39,320
Northern Virginia	5,496,264	1,009,075
Southwest Virginia	761,094	112,577
Thomas Nelson	1,196,515	198,311
Tidewater	1,667,860	164,801
Virginia Western	2,072,725	266,065
Wytheville	821,199	112,392

#### Tables 6A, 6B and 6C

The total printing and distribution costs for continuing education is not extensive. For example, total printing costs for all community colleges is less than \$95,000 and the Northern Virginia Community College accounts for about 44% of that. At some institutions, notably V. P. I. and State University there is extensive printing done in-house rather than by commercial contract.

#### TABLE 6A

#### VALC

Colleges/Univ.	Nr Publica- tions Con- tracted For (1969-70)	Total Priņting Cost	Distri- bution Costs	Nr Publi- cations Printed In-house	Estimated Commer- cial Cost	Comment on Printing Reqts.
Danville Commun- ity College	1	\$4,266	\$400	3	\$600	Anticipate increase due to expansion of public service offerings
Lynchburg College	-		-	-		Two small publica- tions printed with other participating schools. \$220 cost applicable to con- tinuing education.
Norfolk St College	2	840	200	3	307	The Division of Con- tinuing Education plat "to develop teaching materials in social studies, English and reading geared to the needs of the predom- inantly black and low socio-economic popu- lation which it ser- vices."
Old Dominion Univ	3	5,800	-	-	-	"Expand for more se- lected coverage and better quality"
Richard Bland Col	.1. 6	3,000	1,500	<b>-</b> '	-	

#### TABLE 6A

#### VALC

Colleges/Univ.	Nr Publica- tions Con- tracted For (1969-70)	Total Printing Cost	Distri- bution Costs	Nr Publi- cations Printed In-house	Estimated Commer- cial Cost	Comment on Printing Regts.
Univ of Richmond	55	\$20,038	\$7,242	~	\$	
Univ of Virginia	48	20,255	9,684	6	3,764	"Plan to increase our Brochure distribution from 40,000 to 70,000.
Va Commonwealth Univ.	10	4,642	1,620	4	825 <sup>.</sup>	Study is in process to determine economic justification for in- house vs commercial printing.
Virginia Military Institute	5	773	92			FQ•
VPI and State Univ	25	27,914	8,700	200	125,403	
Virginia St. Colle	ge 13	914	88	5	120	"There is the need to develop and to make available more ade- quate catalogues of the evening college and extension class offerings."
William & Mary	9	8,658	1,200			

#### TABLE 6B

#### VALC

School Systems	Nr Publica- tions Con- tracted For (1969-70)	Total Printing Cost	Distri- bution Costs	Nr Publi- cations Printed In-house	Estimated Commer- cial Cost	Comment on Printing Reqts.
Arlington County	4	\$ 6,900	\$6,000	-	\$ -	-
Fairfax County	3	30,000	3,250	Numerous	8,000	Brochure which is dis- tributed 3 times a year covers Adult Ser- vices and Recreation. About 1/2 the costs are applicable to con- tinuing education.
Harrisonburg City Public Schools	2	200	-	-	-	-
Montgomery County	-	-	-	3	55	-
Pittsylvania Count	y l	250	60	1	200	Pittsylvania County has initiated a publi- cation featuring Adult and Continuing Educa- tion. Four issues are planned, 10,000 copies each at cost of \$400 per issue.
Richmond Public Schools	1	854	120	1	150	

## TABLE 6C

## VALC

Community Colleges	No. of Publications Contracted for 1969-70	Total Printing Cost	Distribution.	No. of Publications Printed In-House	Estimated Commercial Cost
Blue Ridge	2	\$2,956	\$425	0	0
Central Virginia	4	6,989	240	1	\$2,000
Danville	2	4,227	400	1	600
Dabney S. Lancaster	1	1,861	280	0	0
John Tyler	3	14,090	Included in printing cost	0	Ο
New River	1	200	60	0	0
Northern Virginia	14	42,424	13;064	3	8,900
Southwest Virginia	(?)	4,729	840	0	0
Thomas Nelson	1	3,266	850	0	0
Tidewater	1	5,000	500	0	0
Virginia Western	6	5,037	500	10	3,600
Wytheville	1	2,500	500	1	700

#### Administrative Staffing

#### Tables 7A, 7B, and 7C:

Data on the professional and supporting staffs of continuing education institutions are shown in Tables 7A, 7B, and 7C. Information is also provided on the size of part-time staff employment and the total dollars expended for administrative salaries.

Administrative salary schedules are generally low. If the part-time staff is not taken into account at all, and the full-time administrative employees are divided into the total dollars, the results indicate a low average salary. For example, seven full-time staff at Norfolk St. College earned a total of \$58,000; and 95 full-time staff at the University of Virginia earned \$532,000 (an average of \$5600 a year per person). Other institutions have lower average salaries than this.

#### Table 7A

### VALC

## $\ Administrative \ Staffing \ of \ Continuing \ Education \ Institutions$

Colleges/Universities	Pro Full-Ti	Over	Staff rt-Time <i>Under</i> hours		Supporting Staff Full-Time Part-Time Over Under 20 hours		Total Administrative Salaries (1969-70)	Selected Salary Schedule
Clinch Valley	. —		1			_	500	_
Danville Community College	11	1	_	32	5	_	288,826	_
Norfolk State College	3	1	1	4	_	_	58,000	Salary schedule is the same as instructional scale.
Old Dominion University	4	_	1	4	_	5	52,000	
Richard Bland College	10	_		25	_	_	190,877	Professional Salary Schedule determined by Board of Visitors, Wm. and Mary
University of Richmond	11	_	_	12		9	56,085	. —
University of Virginia	34	`	. 4	61	7	-	532,082	Dean and Asst. Dean are same as faculty. Exten- sion Center Director (range) \$10,032-\$13,128

52

Virginia Commonwealth Univ. \* V.C.U. is studying the possibility of centralizing the administration of Continuing Education Activities.

#### Table 7A

## VALC

Administrative Staffing of Continuing Education Institutions

Colleges/Universities	Prof Full-Tin	fessional St me P <u>ar</u> <i>Over</i> 20 ho	t- <u>Tim</u> e <i>Under</i>	Su Full-Ti	Over	Staff art-Time <i>Under</i> hours	Total Administrative Salaries (1969-70)	Selected Salary Schedule	
Virginia Military Institute	-		1	<del></del> ,	_	4	2,856	_	
V.P.I. and State Univ.	16		· _	17		_	441,696		
Virginia State College	2	-		2	-	_	53,769	Director—Professor (range) \$14,040-19,800	
William and Mary	4	_	2	5		_	99,460	_	

[NOTE: There is little unpaid volunteer work other than advisory assistance provided by committees and groups]

## TABLE 7B

## VALC

Administrative Staffing of Continuing Education Institutions

School Systems	Profess Full Time				Ove	staff t <i>-Time</i> r Under hours	Total Administrative Salaries (1969-70)	Selected Salary Schedules	
Arlington County	3	-	_	3	7	11	\$37,000 Director Base Salary \$19,556 Asst. Director Salary \$15,694		
Clarke County	-	-	3	·	_	· 4	2,718 Director of Adult Basic Education \$50 per month	-	
Franklin County	_	-	1	_	_'		300	_	
Fairfax County	10	-	10	7	-1	<u> </u>	?	<u> </u>	
Harrisonburg City		-	1	_	—.	1	1,150	Administrator = \$5 per hour	
Page County	_	_	1		. <u> </u>	_	200	_	
Piedmont Vocational School	2	-	_	1	_	1	?	. –	
Pittsylvania County	1		. —	_	1	1.	?	-	

## TABLE 7B

## VALC

Administrative Staffing of Continuing Education Institution	IS
---	----

School Systems	Full Time				Par Ove	Staff <i>t-Time</i> r Under hours	Total Administrative Salaries (1969-70)	Selected Salary Schedules	
Portsmouth Public Schools	2		_	3	_		?	_	
Richmond Public Schools	8	1	8	3	_	8	88,782	Asst. Supt. Voc & Cmt. Education = \$21,346	
'								Supervisor, Adult Education = \$15,204	
Taze well County		-	1 ·	_		-		Education is part of duties trative Assistant. No fixed salary	
•									

## TABLE 7C

## VALC

## Administrative Staffing of Continuing Education Institutions

Community Colleges	Profes	sional Sta	ff .	Suppo	rting St	aff	Total Administrative Salaries (1969-70)	Remarks
	Full-time (	Part-ti 20 Hou Dver L		Full-time :		t-time Hours <i>Under</i>		
Blue Ridge	6	-	-	6		1	\$ 290,261	Data appears to exclude classified workers from supporting staff
Central Virginia	11	1	-	19		. —	230,677	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Dabney S. Lancaster	6	1	-	10	-	_	118,940	Unpaid volunteer work includes Coordinator of Library Services
Danville	11	1	_	32	5		288,826	
John Tyler	18			30	<del></del> .	_	357,444	
New River	6	_		<b>9</b>	~ <u> </u>	—-	103,000	Added 4 more to professional staff and 4 more to supporting staff in 1970-71
Northern Virginia	From the con it is difficult tiate the pro istrative stat positions.	to differe fessional a	n- admin-	176		_	1,892,110 (FY 70-71)	<i>,</i>

#### TABLE 7C

## VALC

#### Administrative Staffing of Continuing Education Institutions

	Community Colleges	Profe	essiona	ıl Staff	Su	pporting	g Staff	Total Administrative Salaries (1969-70)		Remarks
		Full-tim (		Part-time Hours <i>Under</i>	Full-t		Part-time Hours <i>Under</i>			
	Southwest Virginia	12			23	7	_	573,126		Some of the data—notably dollars expended
ז	Thomas Nelson	13			23	—	—	307,121		for administrative salaries is suspect. Apparently it was interpreted by some
	Tidewater	17			50	_	—	162,785		institutions to include all faculty thus the Southwest Virginia total administra-
	Virginia Western	22	_	_	44	21	44	512,136	<u></u>	tive salaries appears to be high in comparison with other schools.
	Wytheville	10	_	_	19	1	3	219,529	<u> </u>	

Note: All Community Colleges use salary schedule approved by State Board of Community Colleges—Feb. 25, 1969.

#### Tables 8A and 8B:

Tables 8A and 8B provide data on the number of faculty teaching full-time and part-time in the continuing education program, the educational degree attainments of the faculty, and the total dollars utilized for salaries. Except for the community colleges, there are few full-time faculty teaching in the continuing education program. V.P.I. and State University does have a large full-time Extension Field Staff which represents nearly 60% of the faculty salaries of the V.P.I. continuing education program. Salary schedules vary considerably within institutions, based primarily on the faculty members' education and experience. At the University of Virginia, for example, faculty may be paid from \$550 to \$1500 for teaching a 3 credit course.

Educational background of faculty measured by highest degree attained is high except for the V.P.I. and State University Extension Field Staff and some community colleges. At Virginia State college 100% of the 37 part-time faculty have a Masters Degree or higher. At Norfolk State College the percentage is 74%; at the University of Virginia the percentage is 90%; at the University of Richmond it is 75% of 115 full-time and part-time faculty. At the community colleges this percentage varies from 82% at Northern Virginia Community College to 76% at Virginia Western to 61% at John Tyler to 43% at Danville and a low of 22% at New River. At New River, a significant number of the faculty has no Bachelors Degree. The differences in the educational attainment levels of the faculty is dependent to a great extent on the nature of the course being taught and the faculty recruitment prospects for the geographical area.

	TAB	LE 8 <u>1</u>				VALC				
		Nr. Facul Teaching		TY OF CON	CINUING	EDUCATION	N INSTITUTIO	DNS		
	<u>Colleges/Universities</u>	Continuin Program ( Full Time	g Educ. 1969 <u>=70)</u>	<u>High</u> None		gree Attai <u>Masters</u>		Nr. Teaching More Than 2 courses <u>1969-70</u>	Total Faculty Salaries	Comments on <u>Schedules</u>
	Clinch Valley	0	5	1	3		1	0	\$ 5,300	
	Danville Community Col.	0	76	22	24	28	2	64	646,655	
	Lynchburg College	0	5	-	-	3	2	0	No separate sa Continuing Edu	
	Norfolk State College	0	96	6	19	61	10	0	62,653	\$9-10 Bach. Deg. 10-13 Masters 13-15 Doctorate
59	Old Dominion	0	86	1	lot ans	wered	I	1	50,000	\$200 per credit hour undergrad. course \$250 per credit hour grad. course
Ŭ	Richard Bland College	Not	answered		Not an	swered		-	299,520	
	Univ. of Richmond	36	79	-	29	64	22	25	385,523	Credit course \$550 to
	Univ. of Virginia	0	932	13	82	·552	285	120	1,071,671	1500 for 3 semester hrs based on education and experience Non-credit course \$20 p hour with ceiling of \$50
	Virginia Commonwealth	Becaus	e of the defini	tion util:	ized -			available for continui of all faculty"	ng education would	very realistically be
	Virginia Military Instit.	0	17	-	2	7	8	1	8,253	
	VPI and State Univ. Grad. Credit Courses Primarily in Support c	1	52	-	5	15	33	9	2,157,49) (Exter	nsion Faculty)
	Field Programs	94	61	-	13	64	78	Not identifiable		nsion Field Staff)
	Extension Field Staff	372	0	2	304	65	1	Not applicable		Exten. Field Staff Lary - \$10,000

#### TABLE 8A

VALC

#### SURVEY OF FACULTY OF CONTINUING EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

Colleges/Universities	Nr. Facul Teaching Continuin Program Full time	in ng Educ.	<u>Highé</u> None	<u>Highést Degree Attained</u> <u>None Bach. Masters 1</u>			Nr. Teaching More Than 2 courses 1969-70	Total Faculty Salaries	Comments on Schedules
Virginia State College	0	37	-	-	26	11	5	54,302	
Virginia Wesleyan Colleg	ge O	12	-	2	8	2	2	15,650	
William and Mary	Not	answered		Not ans	wered		-	258,825	Extension & Evening Col. Campus Instructor: \$750 for 3 credit hrs. Visiting Staff \$00 for 3 credit hrs.

TABLE 8B

VALC

#### Survey of Faculty of Continuing Education Institutions

Community Colleges		Number Faculty Teaching in Continuing Education Programs (1969-70)		Highe	st Degree Atta	Number Teaching More	Total Faculty Salaries		
		<u>Full-time</u>	Part-time	<u>None</u> (Less than Bachelors)	Bachelors	Masters	<u>Doctorate</u>	<u>1969-70</u>	
	Blue Ridge	40	18	16	5	35	2	47	453,585
	Central Virginia	58	32	5	17	61	7	76	656,213
	Dabney S. Lancaster	22	13	2	8	24	2	25	238,754
	Danville	55	19	20	22	30	2	63	646,655
6	John Tyler	58	36	9	28	53	4	65	668,046
فسر	New River	21	2	12	6	5	0	23	204,000
	Northern Virginia	192 Note:	232 Of part-time s	5 3 faculty, 113 devote less th	31 46 an 25% of thei	146 145 r time to t	10 38 eaching and 20	info. not available " O spend more than 50% of the	2,151,601 ir time in teaching
	Southwest Virginia (Community Services)	32	20 76	3 21	6 50	39 4	4 1	38 17	334,450
	Thomas Nelson	72	19	0	21	66	4	75	602,730
	Tidewater	53	13	2	15	48	1	info. not available	754,802
	Virginia Western	111	34	8	27	95	15	119	1,101,468
	Wytheville	46	25	1	16	49	5	51	404,677

Attached is salary schedule for faculty in Virginia Community College System for 1969-70.

#### SALARY SCHEDULE FOR FACULTY IN THE VIRGINIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE SYSTEM FOR 1969-70

#### (NINE-MONTH APPOINTMENTS)

All teaching faculty and departmental chairmen in the Virginia Community College System shall be on nine-month appointments for the 1969-70 academic year. Appointments begin on September 16, 1969 and end on June 15, 1970. In accordance with the provisions of the State personnel policies for institutions of higher education in the Commonwealth of Virginia, the mean (average) salary standard for academic ranks in the Virginia Community College System is based upon the national mean salary for academic ranks for comparable type and size institutions as reported by the United States Office of Education. The standards are based upon the latest national salary average information, projected with consideration of the national average salary trend for the previous three years and such other pertinent data as may be appropriate.

Except for special circumstances wherein a candidate has additional qualifications above entrance minimums, salaries for newly appointed or promoted staff shall be at entrance rates established by the State Board for Community Colleges. Exceptional circumstances include, but are not limited to, (1) actions in recognition of exceptional qualifications, (2) exceptional performance, and (3) varied competitive requirements in diverse subject fields.

	Min	imum	Max	kimum
Faculty Rank	Ratio	Salary	Ratio	Salary
Professor <sup>,</sup>	1.75	\$11,900	2.15	\$14,620
Associate Professor	1.50	10,200	1.90	12,920
Assistant Professor	1.25	8,500	1.65	11,220
Instructor	1.00	6,800	1.40	9,520

Maxim the Vir	um Average ginia Comm	Salary for above Ra unity College System	nks for	\$9,345
Assistant Instructor	0.75	\$ 5,100	1.10	\$ 7,480

#### SUMMER QUARTER EMPLOYMENT

Rates for full-time summer quarter employment, including teaching and approved curriculum development research, normally shall be the weekly equivalent (1/39th) of the full-time academic-year rate, However, the rate shall be altered if the summer quarter work load varies from the institution's requirement for the full-time academic-year work load. It is anticipated that staff (and only such staff) with continuing twelve-month responsibilities will be compensated on the basis of twelve-month standards.

#### RESIDENT STUDY

In accordance with State personnel policies, college personnel enrolling at a university for approved resident study may be paid up to one-half of their regular salary (plus registration, laboratory, and tuition fees) upon execution of a promissory note with three percent interest cancellable by return to State service for a period equal to at least twice that of the period of absence. A faculty member with at least one academic year of experience in the Virginia Community College System may become eligible to apply for this educational aid.

#### Approved by the State Board for Community Colleges January 29, 1969

#### Course Offerings and Student Information

#### Tables 9A and 9B:

Tables 9A and 9B provide data on forecast of continuing education course offerings. In addition, the tables indicate information gleaned from the questionnaires on the distances students travel to school and the number of students who take more than one course per term. At many colleges a large number of students travel more than twenty miles to attend classes. At Blue Ridge and Southwest Community Colleges over 75% of the students travel twenty miles or more. Significant percentages of students travel over twenty miles at Wytheville Community College and at V.P.I. and State University. (Data was not provided in the same format by all respondents, thus making specific comparisons difficult.)

A majority of students take more than one course at V.P.I. and State University, Old Dominion University, and at all of the community colleges.

The forecasts of course offerings by department are of interest because of the variety revealed and because the data indicates the specialized requirements in certain geographical areas of the state. The Community College system is interesting in this regard. For example, at Danville Community College nearly 60% of 503 forecast offerings are in the six departments of Electronics, Air Conditioning, Mechanics, Auto Mechanics, Drafting and Printing. At Thomas Nelson Community College, on the other hand, not one of these six departments ranks in the top six course offerings. Of 961 forecast offerings, nearly 40% are in English, Math, Biology, Arts, Data Processing and Secretarial courses. At the four year colleges, one of the primary departments which attracts continuing education students is the field of Education. This appears to be true at V.P.I. and State University, at nearly all of the University of Virginia centers (over 40% at Northern Virginia), and at Old Dominion University and Virginia State College.

A sizeable number of the course offerings are for advanced degrees. This includes Virginia State College, where 98 of 144 courses (68%) are for advanced degrees. At V.P.I. and State University all forecast course offerings are at the graduate level. At the University of Virginia Center for Continuing Education, 40% of the course offerings are at graduate level, and an additional 24% are considered upper level undergraduate.

## VALC

## Continuing Education Course Offering Information

	Colleges/Universities	No. of Students Traveling 20 miles or more	No. of Students Taking more than 1 Course a Term	Forecast Course Offerings by Dept. 1971-72	Other Institutions within 20 miles
	Lynchburg College	Not Answered	Not Answered	Total 5	Sweet Briar College
				Bus. Admin3Research1Physics1	
64	Norfolk St. College	App. 17 per semester	App. 30 per semester	Total 106 (of these 84 are undergrad. lower level) Business 16 Vocational Trade 11 English 10 Sociology 8 17 other Depts. 61	Old Dominion Univ. Tidewater Comm. Coll. Hampton Institute Wm. & Mary—Norfolk Virginia Wesleyan—Norfolk Univ. of Virginia—Norfolk George Washington—Norfolk San Diego College—Norfolk
	Old Dominion Univ.	25%	66%	Total 400 (of these 182 are undergrad. lower- level 116 are Undergrad. upper level 102 are Grad. level).	Norfolk St. College San Diego State Univ. of Virginia George Washington Univ. William and Mary VPI and State Univ. Hampton Institute

## Continuing Education Course Offering Information

Colleges/Universities	No. of Students Traveling 20 miles or more	No. of Students Taking more than 1 Course a Term	Forecast Course Offerings by Dept. 1971-72	Other Institutions within 20 miles
			Education63English41Bus. Mgt.32History25Special Educ.2028 other Depts.219	
ਲੇ Univer. of Richmond	124	740 (38%)	Total 255 (of these 88 are lower level under- grad.)	VCU John Tyler Comm. College Ú. Va.—Richmond Wm. & Mary—Richmond
			Accounting33Economics30Banking30Management25Insurance18	
VMI	Not answered	Not answered	Total 8	U. Va.—Buena Vista Dahayu Langastan Comm
			History 4 English 2 Modern Lang. 1 Philosophy 1	Dabney Lancaster Comm. College

.

## Continuing Education Course Offering Information

Colleges/Universities	No. of Students Traveling 20 miles or more	No. of Students Taking more than I Course a Term	Forecast Course 1 Offerings by Dept. 1971-72	Other Institutions within 20 miles
Virginia St. College	90	180	<ul> <li>Total 144</li> <li>(of these 46 are undergrad. level)</li> <li>Education 47</li> <li>Math. 18</li> <li>English 18</li> <li>History 18</li> <li>Commerce 18</li> <li>3 other Depts. 25</li> </ul>	Richard Bland College John Tyler Comm College Univ. of Richmond VPI and State Univ. Univ. of Virginia Wm. & Mary
William & Mary	Evening Coll. 80%	25%	Data not provided	U. Va.—Ft. Eustis Hampton Inst.—Ft. Eustis
	Extension 20%	15%	<b>T</b> . 1	George Wash.—Ft. Eustis
Univ. of Virginia	1949		Total entral Virginia Center 155 2 undergrad. lower level) Education 42 Commerce 14 English 10	Blue Ridge Comm College Madison College
		B. ¡Ha	ampton Roads Center Total 160	Old Dominion Norfolk St. College

66.

## $Continuing \ Education \ Course \ Offering \ Information$

Colleges/Universities	No. of Students Traveling 20 miles or more	No. of Student Taking more t Course a Term	han 1	Forecast Course Offerings by Dept. 1971-72		Other Institutions within 20 miles	
			Commer Educatio		evel) 85 50 25	Tidewater Comm. College Virginia Wesleyan Hampton Institute Thomas Nelson Comm. College William & Mary George Washington—Langley A.F.B., Camp Elmore, Ft. Monroe, Norfolk	
		C.	-		Total <i>94</i> Grad. 70 10	Lynchburg Coll Central Va. Comm Coll. Danville Comm. College VMI VPI and State Univ Lynchburg & Danville	
		D.	Madison English History Math 15 other		Total 64 13 8 8 35	Germanna Comm. College	

## Continuing Education Course Offering Information

Colleges/Universities	No. of Students Traveling 20 miles or more	No. of Student Taking more t Course a Term	han 1	Forecast Cour Offerings by 1 1971-72		Other Institutions within 20 miles
		E.			115	VPI and State Univ. Virginia Western Comm. Coll Roanoke College Hollins College
		F.		nd Center supplied)		VCU Univ. of Richmond John Tyler Comm. College American Univ.—Ft. Belvoir, Dahlgren George Washington—Ft. Belvoir, Dahlgren VPI and State Univ. Univ. of Oklahoma— Dahlgren Wm & Mary—Fort Lee
		G.	Region	est Virginia Office ergrad. lower le	Total <i>129</i> vel)	East Tennessee St.— Kingsport and Bristo <b>l</b> Univ. of Tennessee

## Continuing Education Course Offering Information

	Colleges/Universities	No. of Students Traveling 20 miles or more	No. of Students Taking more th Course a Term		Forecast Cours Offerings by L 1971-72		Other Institutions within 20 miles
69		•	Course a Term	Educati Arts & S Center f Educati Virgin (207 und 133 un	1971-72 on Sciences for Continuing on (Northern ia) dergrad. lower dergrad. upper ad. level) on rce & Lit.	70 35 Total <i>562</i> level	George Washington—D.C., Fairfax, Arlington, Alexandria, Pentagon, Ft. Belvoir American University—D.C., Arlington, Ft. Belvoir University of Maryland— Pentagon, Alexandria D.C. Teachers College— D.C., Fairfax Northern Virginia Comm. College Southwestern Univ.—D.C., Alexandria Catholic Univ.
							Georgetown Univ. VPI and State Univ. Univ. of Oklahoma Univ. of Southern Illinois Univ. of Colorado Univ. of Tulsa Univ. of So. California

## Continuing Education Course Offering Information

Colleges/Universities	No. of Students Traveling 20 miles or more	No. of Studen Taking more Course a Terr	than 1	Forecast Cour Offerings by I 1971-72		Other Institutions within 20 miles
VPI and State University	45%(credit classes)	I. 75%	Home (3 are ur Total	Study Tota ndergrad. level)		Due to the complete network
			Èducatio Voc. & In	ndustrial Engr. Regional Stud al Engr. m.	56 24 24 18 18 12	of Extension Division field offices located in all counties and eight cities throughout the state, Virginia Tech is within 20 miles of every educational institution (in-state and out-of- state) offering continuing education programs.

## Continuing Education Course Offering Information (Community Colleges)

	Community College	No. of Students Traveling 20 miles or more	No. of Students Taking more than 1 course a term	Forecast Course offerings by Dept. 1971-72	Other Institutions Within 20 Miles
	Blue Ridge	899 (76%)	763 (58%)	Total127Polital Science13English12Accounting10Bus. Adm.9Drafting9Mech. Engineer821 Other Depts.66	University of Virginia— Waynesboro
71	Central Virginia	633 (15%)	2,603 (63%)	Total588Mathematics56Business56Secretarial40Accounting35Mech. Engineer32Electrical/32Electronics32Economics3034 Other Depts.307	University of Virginia— Lynchburg
	Danville	461	1,615	Total503Electronics102Air Conditioning48Mechanics45Auto Mechanics40Drafting33	University of Virginia— Danville & Chatham VPI & State Univ.— Danville

Continuing Education	Course Offering	Information (	Community	Colleges)

	Community College	No. of Students travelling 20 miles or more	No. of Students Taking more than 1 course a term	Forecast Course offerings by Dept. 1971-72	Other Institutions Within 20 Miles
				Printing2526 Other Depts.210	
72	Dabney S. Lancaster	124	365	Total361Bus./Soc. Science 121English andHumanities90Math & Science86Technologies52Nursing12	University of Virginia— Clifton Forge, Allegheny Co. Bath Co.
	John Tyler	605	Not Available	Total 1055 Communications & Sciences 401 (includes 202 sections in English) Division of Bus- iness 270 (includes 64 sections in Data Processing) Natural Sciences & Math. 266 (includes 123 sections in Math.) Engineering Tech- nologies 118 (includes 24 sections in Mech. Eng.)	V.C.U. Virginia State College Richard Bland College Wm. & Mary—Ches- terfield Co. VPI & State Univ.— at John Tyler C.C. University of Virginia— at Fort Lee and John Tyler C.C.

## Continuing Education Course Offering Information (Community Colleges)

	Community College	No. of Students travelling 20 miles or more	No. of Students Taking more than 1 course a term	Forecast Course offerings by Dept. 1971-72	Other Institutions Within 20 Miles
	New River	170	424	Total241Math32Secretarial21English18Accounting15Drafting14Nursing1326 other Depts.138	VPI & State Univ.— Blacksburg Radford College— Radford
73	Northern Virginia	35%	67%	Total1429English230Biology88Secretarial85Bus. Adm.75History70Data Processing57Chemistry5736 other Depts.767	Geo. Washington—Wash- ington, Arlington, Fairfax American Univ.— Washington, Arlington Univ. of Maryland— Arlington Univ. of Oklahoma— Arlington George Mason Coll.— Fairfax Univ. of Va.—Northern Va. Center VPI and State Univ.— Reston Wm. & Mary—Fairfax, Prince William

## Continuing Education Course Offering Information (Community Colleges)

-

	Community College	No. of Students travelling 20 miles or more	No. of Students Taking more than 1 course a term	Forecast Course offerings by Dept. 1971-72	Other Institutions . Within 20 Miles
	Southwest Virginia	75-80%	80%	Total170Business80Vocational—Tech- nical60Engineering10Social Science10English5Physical Education and Health5	University of Virginia— Richlands, Va. SWCC Campus
	Thomas Nelson	55.	1575.	Total961English113Math82Biology55Arts44Data Processing43Secretarial3733 other Depts.587	Hampton Institute William & Mary Norfolk St. College Tidewater Community College Old Dominion Christopher Newport U. Va.—Hampton— Newport News George Washington— Hampton-Newport News Univ. of Colorado— Hampton-Newport News

## Continuing Education Course Offering Information (Community Colleges)

	Community College	No. of Students travelling 20 miles or more	No. of Students Taking more than 1 course a term	Forecast Course offerings by Dept. 1971-72	Other Institutions Within 20 Miles
75	Tidewater	725	1631	Total487Math80English55Drafting30Music25Secretarial24History2125 other Depts.252	Old Dominion Norfolk St. College Virginia Wesleyan Chesapeake College
	Virginia Western	861	2185	Total668Math42Music37Arts34Secretarial28Biology27Electronics2639 other Depts.474	Roanoke College Univ. of Va.—Roanoke & VWCC Campus
	Wytheville	600-700 (50-60%)	900	Total265Math27Bus. Adm.21Secretarial20English18Engineering1428 other Depts.165	No continuing education programs within 20 miles