REPORT OF THE JOINT SUBCOMMITTEE STUDYING

## Real Estate Tax Relief Programs for the Elderly

TO THE GOVERNOR AND THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF VIRGINIA



## **HOUSE DOCUMENT NO. 41**

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND 1989

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Purpose of House Joint Resolution No. 138	Pages 1 - 3
II.	Major Features of the Commonwealth's Real Estate Tax Relief Program for the Elderly	Pages 3 - 5
III.	Real Estate Tax Relief Programs in Virginia's Localities and Other States	Pages 6 - 15
IV.	Summary of Survey Results Relating to Local Real Estate Tax Relief Programs for Citizens Age 65 and Older	Pages 16 - 18
٧.	Tables 3, 4, and 5	Pages 19 - 56
VI.	Alternatives Considered	Pages 57 - 63
VII.	Recommendations	Page 64
VIII.	Appendices	Page 66
	Summary of Applicable Constitutional Provisions Summary of Applicable Statutes	

#### MEMBERS OF THE JOINT SUBCOMMITTEE

The Honorable C. Hardaway Marks, Chairman
The Honorable Howard P. Anderson, Vice Chairman
The Honorable David G. Brickley
The Honorable S. Wallace Stieffen
The Honorable Charles J. Colgan
The Honorable Benjamin J. Lambert III
Mr. Esten O. Rudolph, Citizen Member
Mr. Sam T. Barfield, Citizen Member

#### STAFF

### Legal and Research

Division of Legislative Services Regina M. McNally, Staff Attorney John A. Garka, Economist Jane C. Lewis, Executive Secretary

### Administrative and Clerical

Office of the Clerk, House of Delegates

## Report of the Joint Subcommittee Studying Real Estate Tax Relief Programs for the Elderly To

The Governor and the General Assembly of Virginia Richmond, Virginia December, 1988

TO: The Honorable Gerald L. Baliles, Governor of Virginia and
The General Assembly of Virginia

#### I. PURPOSE OF HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 138

The 1988 General Assembly established this joint subcommittee to reexamine the Commonwealth's real estate tax relief program for the elderly to determine whether the eligibility requirements, filing procedures and administrative provisions should be revised to ensure that the most equitable criteria are employed in granting real estate tax relief for the elderly. The joint subcommittee examined the following issues related to the real estate tax relief programs for the elderly:

- 1. General characteristics of the Commonwealth's population age 65 and over;
- Major features of the Commonwealth's local real estate tax relief programs;
- 3. Real estate tax relief programs in other states;
- 4. Legislative history of the constitutional and statutory provisions relating to the state eligibility requirements for real estate tax relief for the elderly; and
- 5. Solutions to resolve administrative problems associated with the real estate tax relief program.

#### GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF VIRGINIA -- 1988 SESSION

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 138

Establishing a joint subcommittee to study real estate tax relief for the elderly.

Agreed to by the House of Delegates, March 4, 1988
Agreed to by the Senate, March 2, 1988

WHEREAS, the Commonwealth currently authorizes local governments to adopt real estate tax relief programs for an individual age sixty-five or older who owns and occupies certain real estate as his sole dwelling; and

WHEREAS, the real estate tax relief programs are subject to certain conditions and

restrictions imposed both by local ordinance and state mandates; and

WHEREAS, individuals living on fixed incomes in a locality which has not adopted the tax relief program must bear the full tax burden imposed by soaring real estate tax rates; and

WHEREAS, the current eligibility requirements established in the Code of Virginia fail to recognize variances in tax burdens according to geographic regions or cost of living within the Commonwealth; and

WHEREAS, the real estate tax relief program should be reexamined to determine whether the eligibility requirements, filing procedures or administrative provisions of the program should be revised so that the most equitable criteria are used for granting real estate tax relief to individuals who are age sixty-five or older; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED by the House of Delegates, the Senate concurring, That a joint subcommittee be established to study local real estate tax relief programs for the elderly. The joint subcommittee shall consist of eight members appointed in the following manner: three members of the House of Delegates and one local elected official appointed by the Speaker of the House, and three members of the Senate Finance Committee and one local elected official appointed by the Senate Committee on Privileges and Elections.

The joint subcommittee shall complete its work by December 1, 1988.

The indirect costs of this study are estimated to be \$9,580; the direct costs of this study shall not exceed \$5,040.

Delegate C. Hardaway Marks, Chairman, held two meetings of this joint subcommittee during the course of this study. The first meeting focused primarily on background information and identifying problems encountered in administering the real estate tax relief program, as well as hearing from interested citizens about local programs. The second meeting was primarily a work session in which the joint subcommittee examined problems and reviewed alternative solutions to bring greater equity to the real estate tax relief program for the Commonwealth's elderly.

The joint subcommittee determined that the Commonwealth's elderly population is the fastest growing segment of Virginia's population, as is indicated by the 19.8% increase in this portion of the population since 1982. Additionally, 10.87% of the Commonwealth's total population is comprised of citizens age 65 and over, who primarily live in the regions of Northern Virginia, Tidewater, and Richmond. Since approximately three-fourths of citizens age 65 and over own their own homes, the joint subcommittee was concerned that the soaring real estate tax rates and assessments that have occurred in Virginia's localities may impose an undue tax burden on elderly citizens whose incomes have been substantially reduced as a result of retirement.

### II. Major Features of the Commonwealth's Real Estate Tax Relief Program for the Elderly

The General Assembly enacted constitutional and statutory provisions authorizing localities to adopt real estate tax relief programs for the elderly in 1971. Article X, Section 6 of the Constitution establishes the following constitutional requirements for providing real estate tax relief to the elderly:

- 1. The person must be 65 years of age;
- 2. The real estate must be owned and occupied as the sole dwelling of the 65-year old person; and
- 3. The General Assembly may, by general law, authorize local governments to exempt real estate owned by such persons, subject to restrictions and conditions related to income and net financial worth.

The drafters of the Constitution required income and net worth to be two limitations upon qualifying for real estate tax relief to target the relief for low income elderly citizens. A. Howard Commentaries on the Constitution of Virginia, at 1086 (1974).

The statutory provisions enacted by the General Assembly established the conditions and restrictions for qualifying for local real estate tax relief programs in §§ 58.1-3210 through 58.1-3218. A summary of the applicable statutes is listed in the Appendices. The joint subcommittee observed three significant features regarding the parameters of the real estate tax relief program for the elderly:

- Real estate tax relief programs for the elderly are exclusively a local option, and localities have the responsibility for administering and financing such programs;
- The state statutes establish the parameters of the real estate tax relief programs regarding age, income, net worth, and filing procedures; and
- 3. The current state maximum income and net worth limitations are as follows:
  - (a) The total combined income of the owner and all owners' relatives living in the dwelling cannot exceed \$22,000; however, there is a \$6,500 exemption for the income of each relative who is not the owner's spouse.
  - (b) The net worth of the owner cannot exceed \$75,000; however, the value of the dwelling, one acre of land and the value of the household furnishings must be excluded from the computation of net worth.

As indicated by Table 1, recent legislation reflects a trend by the General Assembly toward allowing localities greater flexibility in administering real estate tax relief programs and expanding the state maximum limitations relating to income, net worth and exemption amounts for relative's income. Table 1 shows that in 1971, the total combined income was \$7,500, whereas the total combined income limit has been expanded to \$22,000. Although the joint subcommittee recognized that these limits had increased substantially, they were concerned that the amount of increases did not correspond to the rapid increases in tax rates and real estate assessments, and in those regions of the Commonwealth with high incomes and real estate values. The joint subcommittee determined that because real estate tax relief is exclusively a local revenue issue, localities should be allowed flexibility to exceed the state maximums to allow a larger segment of the elderly population to qualify for real estate tax relief if desired by the local government.

TABLE 1

# Legislation Expanding Eligibility Requirements for Real Estate Tax Relief for the Elderly

Voon	וו:מ	Combined Household	Exemption of Each Relative's	
<u>Year</u>	Bill	Income	Income	<u>Worth</u>
1971	(HB 92, CH. 169)	\$ 7,500	NONE	\$20,000
1972	(SB 506, CH. 616)	\$ 7,500	\$ 1,500	\$20,000
1973	(HB 1415, CH. 496)	\$ 7,500	\$ 2,500	\$20,000
1974	(SB 144, CH. 427)	\$10,000	\$ 4,000	\$35,000
1977	(HB 1291, CH. 453)	\$10,000	\$ 4,000	\$35,000
	Allowed individuals in hospital or nursing			
1978	(SB 305, CH. 776)	\$12,000	\$ 4,000	\$50,000
1980	(SB 180, CH. 656) (HB 718, CH. 673)	\$15,000 SAME	\$ 4,000 SAME	\$55,000 SAME
	Authorized local gove since date taxpayer t		kclude any increas	se in tax liability
1981	(HB 1399, CH. 434)	\$18,000	\$ 4,000	\$65,000
1982	(HB 511, CH. 123)	\$18,000	\$ 4,000	\$65,000
	Adds mobile homes to	qualifying re	eal estate.	
1987	(SB 593, CH. 525) (HB 1157, CH. 534)	\$22,000 \$22,000	\$ 6,500 \$ 6,500	\$75,000 \$75,000
	Required proration proceeds of the sale computation.			
1988	(HB 201, CH. 334)			
	Broadened local au deadlines for exempt filing requirement		establish filin ions, in lieu of	

(HB 203, CH. 463)

Authorized local governments to exclude the value of household furnishings from the computation of net financial worth.

## III. Real Estate Tax Relief Programs in Virginia's Localities and Other States

The Constitution and statutes provides localities with substantial flexibility in devising the terms and conditions of the local real estate tax relief program for the elderly. The only limitations of such programs is that the local program must limit participation in the program based upon the amount of the taxpayer's income, net financial worth, and age. Notwithstanding the preceding limitations, Virginia's localities have adopted a variety of approaches to provide real estate tax relief to the elderly, which include variations of the following five types of real estate tax relief programs for the elderly, typically evident in other states and in many of Virginia's localities:

- A. Homestead Exemptions
- B. Real Estate Tax Credits
- C. Circuitbreakers
- D. Tax Freezes
- E. Deferral Programs

### A. Homestead Exemptions

This means a specific amount is subtracted from the assessed value of the property, prior to computing the amount of tax due.

Most states using this approach provide general property tax relief regardless of the owner's age. Thirty-seven states and the District of Columbia have homestead programs. Eleven states and the District of Columbia have  $\underline{NO}$  age restrictions. Only 1 state with a homestead program applicable to the general population establishes income limits to qualify.

In Virginia, Scott County's relief program exempts 100% on the first \$9,000 of assessed value of the property, subject to an income limit of \$8,500 and a maximum net worth of \$25,000.

#### B. Real Estate Tax Credits

This means a specific amount of the tax is directly subtracted from the property tax bill. The credit can be an amount equal to a portion of the assessed value, a specific dollar amount, or a percentage of the tax bill. Virginia has no locality that employs the real estate credit approach for providing tax relief to the elderly.

#### C. Circuitbreakers

This means that the amount of the tax relief is based upon both income and the amount of the property tax bill. Limits are established so that, as property taxes exceed a specified percentage of income, the circuitbreaker exempts or rebates the amount to the taxpayer. There are different types of circuitbreakers: the sliding scale approach and the threshold approach.

The sliding scale approach provides that the lower the income, the higher the amount of property tax exempt. As income increases, the amount of the property tax exempt decreases. MOST Virginia localities employ the sliding scale circuitbreaker approach for providing real estate tax relief.

The threshold approach sets limits on proportions of income that are determined to be a fair property tax burden. When the amount of property tax exceeds this percentage of income, the threshold circuitbreaker provides relief. This approach is employed in Newport News. If income exceeds \$10,500, the exemption equals that portion of the tax that exceeds 3% of income. There is a maximum exemption of \$465. This approach is also used in South Boston and Loudoun County.

Most states limit application of the circuitbreaker to senior citizens. Eighteen states and the District of Columbia employ the homestead exemption and circuitbreaker program. The following table indicates which states employ circuitbreakers, the income limits, and who is eligible. The circuitbreaker targets relief primarily to low income persons.

#### State Circuitbreakers

State	Income Limit
All Ages, Homeowners, Renters	
D. C. Kansas Michigan Minnesota New York Oregon Vermont Wisconsin	\$20,000 \$12,800 \$80,000 \$40,000 \$16,000 \$17,500 \$25,000 \$16,500

### State Circuitbreakers

(continued)

State	Income Limit
All Ages, Homeowners, Only Elderly Renters	
Maryland	NONE
Only Elderly Homeowners And Renters	
Arizona California Colorado Connecticut Illinois Iowa Maine Missouri Montana Nevada New Mexico North Dakota Pennsylvania Rhode Island Utah West Virginia	\$ 5,500 \$12,000 \$11,200 \$14,800 \$12,000 \$12,000 \$ 7,400 \$11,500 NONE \$14,000 \$16,000 \$10,000 \$12,000 \$12,500 \$10,000 \$ 5,000
Elderly Homeowners Only	
Arkansas Idaho Nebraska Ohio Oklahoma South Dakota Virginia	\$12,000 \$12,300 \$10,400 \$15,000 \$ 8,500 \$ 7,375 \$22,000

#### \*\*SOURCE:

State Tax Policy and Senior Citizens, 1985

Other states' income limits may have been increased since 1985 data.

#### Tax Freezes D.

Prohibit increases in property taxes once the eligible participant reaches Only three states have this program, and all limit it to senior Connecticut, South Dakota and Texas. § 58.1-3210 of the Code of Virginia authorizes localities to exempt the property tax increase since the taxpayer reached age 65: Floyd County, Roanoke County Colonial Beach, and Caroline have adopted this option. In these counties, the exemption amount is equivalent to the increase in taxes since the taxpayer reached age 65. The tax freeze program can be targeted to certain income groups. The benefit of the program is SIMPLICITY, and it allows the elderly to budget property tax payments at a time when income is reduced.

#### Deferral Programs Ε.

This allows certain taxpayers to postpone paying all or a portion of their taxes until either the owner's death or sale of property. At the death or sale, the property taxes are due. The taxes are a lien on the property and usually subject to a lower interest rate. Sixteen states and the District of Columbia have deferral programs for the residential homeowner. Except for the District of Columbia, Florida, and Iowa, the deferral programs are limited to senior citizens. Eleven states limit eligibility for deferral to certain incomes.

Section 58.1-3210 of the Code of Virginia authorizes local governments to enact deferral programs; nine cities and ten counties have deferral programs.

Cities	Counties
Alexandria	Arlington
Falls Church	Isle of Wight
Fredericksburg	King George
Hampton	Loudoun
Lexington	New Kent
Manassas	Montgomery
Newport News	Southampton

Poquoson Warren Williamsburg Colonial Beach Grayson

## Real Estate Tax Relief for the Elderly

## Homestead Exemption and Credit Programs

STATE	DESCRIPTION	FINANCING
NO AGE RESTRICTIONS	(11 states and the District of Columbia)	
Arizona	Credit for 56% of school property taxes.	State
California	Credit for tax on \$7,000 of assessed valuation.	State
District of Columbia	\$9,000 exemption.	Local
Idaho	Exemption of \$50,000 or half of assessed valuation, whichever is lower.	Local
Iowa	Credit for tax on \$4,850 of assessed valuation.	State
Louisiana	Credit for tax on \$7,500 of assessed valuation (equivalent to \$75,000).	Mostly State
Minnesota	54% credit for tax on first \$67,000 of market value up to \$650 maximum.	State
New Mexico	\$200 exemption (equivalent to \$600).	Local
Ohio	Credit for 21% of tax.	State
Oklahoma	\$1,000 exemption (equivalent to \$8,333); additional exemption if income under \$8,500.	Mostly Local
Oregon	Credit for 30% of tax (maximum \$170 in 1984, higher in previous years).	State
Wisconsin	Credit for 10% of tax.	State

## Homestead Exemption and Credit Programs (continued)

STATE	DESCRIPTION	FINANCING
SENIOR CITIZENS R	ECEIVE A LARGER EXEMPTION OR CREDIT THAN OTH	ERS (13 states)
Alabama <sup>a</sup>	ALL HOUSEHOLDS: Exemptions of varying amounts by different categories of local government.  SENIORS: Complete exemption from state tax and additional exemption if income under \$12,000.	Local Local
Alaska	ALL HOUSEHOLDS: varying amounts, at option of municipality.  SENIORS: Complete exemption.	Local State
Florida	ALL HOUSEHOLDS: \$25,000 exemption.  SENIORS: Additional \$10,000 exemption from taxes levied by	Local
Georgia	counties, cities and special districts  ALL HOUSEHOLDS: \$2,000 exemption	
	(equivalent to \$5,000).  SENIORS: \$4,000 exemption (equivalent to \$10,000) for general property taxes and \$10,000 exemption (equivalent to \$25,000) for school taxes if income under \$8,000.	Local
Hawaii	ALL HOUSEHOLDS: \$20,000 exemption.  SENIORS: \$40,000 exemption if age 60 to 70; \$50,000 if age 70 or older.	Local

## Homestead Exemption and Credit Programs (continued)

STATE	DESCRIPTION	FINANCING
Illinois	ALL HOUSEHOLDS: Exemption up to \$3,500 (equivalent to \$21,000 in Cook County and \$10,500 in other counties) for increase in assessed valuation since 1977.	Local
	SENIORS: Additional \$2,000 exemption (equivalent to \$12,000 in Cook County and \$6,000 in other counties).	Local
Indiana	ALL HOUSEHOLDS: Credit for 4% of property tax liability (effective in 1986).	State
	SENIORS: \$1,000 exemption (equivalent to \$3,000) if income under \$10,000 and assessed valuation under \$11,000.	Local
Massachusetts	ALL HOUSEHOLDS: Local option exemption up to 10% of average assessed value in locality.	Local
	<u>SENIORS:</u> Local option exemption varying according to household circumstances.	Local
Mississippi <sup>a</sup>	ALL HOUSEHOLDS: Varying exemption amounts based on value of property.	State
	<pre>SENIORS: Additional \$7,500 exemption (equivalent to \$50,000) effective in 1986.</pre>	State

# Homestead Exemption and Credit Programs (continued)

STATE	DESCRIPTION	FINANCING
Nebraska	ALL HOUSEHOLDS: \$3,000 exemption.  SENIORS: Additional \$7,000-\$35,000 exemption if income is less than \$10,400 (formula similar to a	State
	circuitbreaker).	State
New Jersey <sup>a</sup>	ALL HOUSEHOLDS: Credit depending on assessed valuation and tax rate.	State
	SENIORS: Additional \$50 credit. In addition, another \$250 credit is given to elderly households with incomes under \$10,000.	State
Texas	ALL HOUSEHOLDS: Local option exemption up to 30% of market value.	Local
	SENIORS: \$10,000 exemption for school taxes and local option additional.	Local
Wyoming	ALL HOUSEHOLDS: Credit depending on assessed valuation and tax rate.	State
	SENIORS: Additional refund for low- income senior citizens that varies. Payment also represents a portion of sales tax paid and a rebate of home utility costs.	State

## Homestead Exemption and Credit Programs (continued)

STATE	DESCRIPTION	FINANCING			
ONLY FOR SENIOR CITIZENS (13 states)					
Colorado <sup>a</sup>	Total exemption for seniors with incomes within 150% of limits prescribed for occupants of nearby low-rent public housing.				
Delaware	\$5,000 exemption if income under \$3,000.	Local			
Kentucky <sup>a</sup>	Exemption of \$7,500 in 1972 dollars, amount increased annually for inflation (1984 exemption was \$15,000).	Local			
Montana <sup>a</sup>	Varying amount based on income (but different than the state's circuit-breaker program).	Local			
New Hampshire	\$5,000 exemption if income under \$5,000 and assets under \$35,000; additional local option exemptions.	Local			
New York	Local option to exempt up to 50% of assessed value if low-income senior citizen.	Local			
North Carolina <sup>a</sup>	\$8,500 exemption if income under \$9,000.	Mostly Local			
South Carolina <sup>a</sup>	\$20,000 exemption.	State			
Tennessee <sup>a</sup>	\$12,000 exemption if income under \$8,500.	State			
Utah <sup>a</sup>	Local option to abate up to the lesser of 50% of taxes assessed or \$300 for senior citizens with incomes under \$7,500 if single or \$8,000 if married.	Local			

## Homestead Exemption and Credit Programs (continued)

STATE	DESCRIPTION	FINANCING
Virginia <sup>a</sup>	Local option to totally exempt property of senior citizens with incomes up to \$22,000.	Local
Washington <sup>a</sup>	Exemption from all special levies if income under \$15,000; \$20,000 exemption or 30% of value of residence up to \$40,000, whichever is greater, from regular levies if income under \$12,000; \$25,000 exemption or 50% of value of residence, whichever is greater, if income is below \$9,000.	Local
West Virginia <sup>a</sup>	\$20,000 exemption.	Local

SOURCE: NCSL, State Tax Policy and Senior Citizens (1985).

#### NOTES:

This table does not include programs restricted to special groups, such a widows and veterans. Most states have programs for veterans.

In states where assessments are set by law at less than full market value, the amount of market value exempted is shown in parentheses. Property often is assessed at less than the level prescribed by law, however, and the actual value of exemptions may be understated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Disabled persons are given the same benefits as senior citizens.

#### Summary of Survey Results Relating to Local Real Estate Tax Relief IV. Programs for Citizens Age 65 and Older

In addition to analyzing the types of real estate tax relief programs existing in the Commonwealth, the joint subcommittee conducted a real estate tax survey which was sent to every county and city to determine how many elderly citizens participate in the real estate tax relief programs, and whether there are significant administrative problems which should be resolved through legislation. Ninety-five counties and forty-one cities responded to the survey. The results of the survey indicated the following:

- 1. 40 cities and 69 counties have enacted real estate tax relief programs for the elderly (Table 3).
- 2. The following localities do not have such programs:

CITIES: COUNTIES: Emporia

Amelia,

Bedford, Brunswick, Buckingham, Craig, Cumberland, Essex, Halifax, Highland, King and Queen, Mecklenburg, Northumberland, Nottoway, Prince Edward, Rappahannock, Sussex (Table 1)

- Only six localities (all in Northern Virginia, except one) conform to 3. the three state maximums regarding income, exemption amount and net worth. These localities are the cities of Falls Church and Manassas, and the counties of Fairfax, Loudoun, Powhatan, and Prince William (Table 3).
- The localities in Northern Virginia also have the largest number of 4. elderly residents, and also reported the largest amounts of revenue loss resulting from real estate tax relief programs (Tables 3 and 4).
- Wise County is the only county in Southwest Virginia that conforms to the income and net worth limitations allowed by the state at \$22,000 and \$75,000 respectively; however, Wise only exempts \$4,000 of relatives' Most of the other localities in Southwest Virginia have established income and net worth limitations at an amount substantially below the state maximums (Table 3).
- Of all the counties in Virginia, Wise County has the largest number of elderly residents participating in the real estate tax relief program. Out of an elderly population of 5,130 residents, 1,975 elderly residents participate in the real estate tax relief program. Richmond and Roanoke are the only localities in which the number of elderly participating in the real estate tax relief program exceed Wise County (Richmond, 2,433; Roanoke City, 2,101; Table 3).
- The income limits established by most localities are so low that only 7. persons classified as very low income [according to the income statistics established by the Department of Housing and Community Development] qualify for real estate tax relief programs (Table ?).

8. Thirty-seven localities (towns not included) impose a maximum dollar amount upon the real estate tax relief available to an elderly taxpayer. The maximum dollar amounts range from a low of \$100 to a high of \$1,000 among the 37 jurisdictions (Table 3).

#### 9. Types of Plans:

The majority of localities have enacted plans that provide for an exemption from real estate tax, and the amount of the exemption declines as income and net worth increase. Some localities have enacted deferral programs or a combination of deferral and exemption based upon income and net worth. If the locality has a deferral program, the tax is deferred until the owner dies or the property is sold, then the taxes plus interest must be paid to the locality (Table 3).

#### 10. Revenue Impact:

Fairfax County and the City of Norfolk reported the largest revenue loss resulting from real estate tax relief for the elderly. Fairfax County estimated an annual revenue loss of \$1.6 million; Norfolk estimated a loss of \$926,264. New Kent County reported the lowest revenue loss of the counties attributable to real estate tax relief for the elderly at \$805. The city of Franklin reported a loss of \$666 attributable to real estate tax relief for the elderly (Table 4).

#### 11. Methods Used to Inform Citizens About the Real Estate Tax Relief Program:

Localities employ many different methods to inform citizens about this program. Some of the most common methods identified in the survey were the following: publication in local newspapers, television advertisement, radio announcements, lectures to senior citizen groups, notices enclosed with real estate tax bills, utility tax bills or personal property tax bills, notices to Social Service agencies, and churches. The following localities reported that they have no program to inform residents about the real estate tax relief: Fredericksburg, Galax, King George, Pittsylvania and Southampton. At the state level, the Virginia Department for the Aging publishes a pamphlet entitled "Tax Relief for Older Virginians and Disabled Virginians" which summarizes the income and property tax relief programs for the elderly.

#### 12. Problems of Administration:

The Commissioners of the Revenue reported that generally the program is administered easily and efficiently. Some common problems which were identified were related to difficulty in verifying annual incomes of applicants, and household members, taxpayers failing to notify commissioners of changes in income, net financial worth or the sale of the property, and taxpayers failing to comply with filing deadlines. The Commissioners also reported that it is difficult to determine whether all the homeowners who qualify are actually participating in the real estate tax relief programs.

TABLE 3

## REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSS <u>INCOME</u>	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
CITIES:			
ALEXANDRIA	Owners: \$19,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners: Under \$9,600 combined income exemption is 100%. For incomes between \$9,600 and \$15,100, the exemption is based on the difference between the property tax and the sum of the following:  Income  Income
			\$ 9,501-\$10,600: 3% \$12,601-\$13,600: 6% \$10,601-\$11,600: 4% \$13,601-\$14,600: 7% \$11,601-\$12,600: 5% \$14,601-\$15,100: 8% (maximum: \$1,000) Incomes over \$15,100 but under \$19,000 may only defer the tax.
BEDFORD	<u>Owners:</u> \$7,500; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$20,000	Owners:         Income         Exemption         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$3,000         100%         \$5,401 - \$6,000         50%           3,001 - 3,600         90%         6,001 - 6,600         40%           3,601 - 4,200         80%         6,601 - 7,200         30%           4,201 - 4,800         70%         7,201 - 7,500         20%           4,801 - 5,400         60%
BRISTOL	Owners: \$10,000; first \$2,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$30,000	Owners:         Net Worth (in dollars)         0 - 10,001 - 20,001 - 10,000         \$10,000 20,000 30,000         \$ 0 - \$4,000 80% 64% 56%         4,001 - 6,000 60% 48% 42%         6,001 - 8,000 40% 32% 28%         8,001 - 10,000 20% 18% 14%
BUENA VISTA	Owners: \$15,000 No exemptions	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners: 25% exemption

TABLE 3

## REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988

LOCALITY CITIES:	COMBINED GROSSINCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
CHARLOTTESVILLE	Owners: \$12,000; first \$2,500 exempt; in addition, owners may deduct first \$5,000 of disability income	<u>Owners:</u> \$35,000	Owners:       Net Worth (in dollars)       0 - 8,751 17,501 26,251       8,750 17,500 26,250 35,000       \$ 0 - \$ 4,800 100% 80% 60% 40%       4,801 - 7,200 80% 60% 40% 30%       7,201 - 9,600 60% 40% 30% 25%       9,601 - 12,000 40% 30% 25% 20%
CHESAPEAKE	<u>Owners:</u> \$18,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$65,000	Owners:         Exemption         Income         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 9,000   100%         \$13,001 - \$14,000   50%           9,001 - 10,000   90%   14,001 - 15,000   40%           10,001 - 11,000   80%   15,001 - 16,000   30%           11,001 - 12,000   70%   16,001 - 17,000   20%           12,001 - 13,000   60%   17,001 - 18,000   10%
CLIFTON FORGE	<u>Owners:</u> \$10,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$10,000	Owners: 25% exemption (maximum: \$150)
COLONIAL HEIGHTS	Owners: \$14,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$35,000	Owners:         Exemption         Income         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 5,000   100%         \$ 9,001 - \$10,000   50%           5,001 - 6,000   90%   10,001 - 11,000   40%           6,001 - 7,000   80%   11,001 - 12,000   30%           7,001 - 8,000   70%   12,001 - 13,000   20%           8,001 - 9,000   60%   13,001 - 14,000   10%           (maximum: \$750)
COVINGTON	<u>Owners:</u> \$10,000 first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$35,000	Owners: 50% exemption (maximum: \$150)

## REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988

COMBINED GROSS COMBINED RELIEF PLAN INCOME LOCALITY CITIES: Net Worth (in dollars) DANVILLE Owners: \$13,500; Owners: Owners: 5,001first \$4,000 exempt \$40,000 15,001-25,001-35,001-5,000 15,000 25,000 35,000 40,000 Income 0 - \$3,000100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 3,001 - 4,75090% 80% 70% 60% 50% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 4,751 - 6,5006.501 - 8.25070% 60% 50% 40% 30% 8,251 - 10,000 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 50% 40% 20% 10% 10,001 - 11,75030% 11,751 - 13,500 40% 30% 20% 10% 5% NONE NONE **EMPORIA** NONE Owners: Income of \$4,000 or less; 100% exemption. **FAIRFAX** Owners: \$12,000; Owners: first \$4,000 exempt \$50,000 For owners with an income over \$4,000, relief is based on difference between tax and sum of the following: Income Income \$4,001 - \$5,000 \$ 8,001 - \$ 9,000 6% 3% 9,001 - 10,000 5,001 - 6,000 7% 10,001 - 11,000 11,001 - 12,000 6,001 - 7,0004% 8% 7.001 - 8.0005% 9% (maximum: \$750) Owners: \$22,000;
first \$6,500 exempt FALLS CHURCH Owners: Owners: 100% exemption (maximum: \$600) Deferral of all over \$600 exemption \$75,000 Owners: \$6,000;
first \$4,000 exempt **FRANKLIN** Owners: Owners: \$20,000 Exemption Income Exemption \$ 4,001 - \$ 5,000 5,001 - 6,000 0 - \$2,000100% 40% 2,001 - 3,000 20% 80% 3.001 -4,000 60%

Page 21

## REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSSINCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
CITIES:			
FREDERICKSBURG	<u>Owners:</u> \$18,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$65,000	Owners: Up to \$600 deferral each year; cumulative tax deferred not to exceed 50 percent of then current value of residence.
GALAX	<u>Owners:</u> \$8,000; first \$2,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$20,000	Owners:     Income     Exemption     Exemption       \$ 0 - \$ 2,000     100%     \$ 5,001 - \$ 6,500     40%       2,001 - 3,500     80%     6,501 - 8,000     20%       3,501 - 5,000     60%       (maximum: \$150)
HAMPTON	<u>Owners:</u> \$15,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$65,000	Owners: Income less \$8,000 or less: exemption equals 100% For other qualifying applicants, relief equals the amount of tax which exceeds 3% of gross income (maximum: \$275).  Deferral up to 100%
HARRISONBURG	<u>Owners:</u> \$12,000 first \$3,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$38,000	Owners:         Income         Exemption         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 6,000         80%         \$ 8,001 - \$10,000         40%           6,001 - 8,000         60%         10,001 - 12,000         20%           (maximum: \$300)         \$300         \$300         \$300         20%
HOPEWELL	<u>Owners:</u> \$15,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$40,000	Owners:       Income       Exemption       Exemption         \$ 0 - \$ 8,500       100%       \$11,001 - 12,000       45%         8,501 - 10,000       75%       \$12,001 - 13,000       35%         \$10,001 - \$11,000       55%       \$13,001 - 15,000       25%         (maximum: \$650)
LEXINGTON	<u>Owners:</u> \$12,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners:         Net Worth (in dollars)         (in dollars)         40,001-           Income         10,000         20,000         30,000         40,001-           \$ 0 - \$ 5,000         80%         66%         56%         40%         32%           5,001 - 6,000         70%         56%         49%         35%         28%           6,001 - 7,000         60%         48%         42%         30%         24%           7,001 - 8,000         50%         40%         35%         25%         20%           8,001 - 9,000         30%         24%         21%         15%         12%           9,001 - 10,000         20%         16%         14%         10%         8%           10,001 - 11,000         15%         12%         10.5%         7.5%         6%           11,001 - 12,000         10%         8%         7%         5%         4%

Page 22

# REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988 (continued)

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSSINCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
CITIES:			
LYNCHBURG	<u>Owners:</u> \$15,000; first \$4,000 exempt	Owners: \$40,000	Owners:  Tax relief plan is based on a percentage exemption determined by the combined gross income and combined net worth. The amount of relief ranges from 100% for persons with an income of \$4,000 or less and a net worth of \$40,000 or less to a 35% exemption from the property tax for persons with an income over \$8,000 (but not more than \$15,000) and net worth of over \$35,000 (but not more than \$40,000).
MANASSAS	<u>Owners:</u> \$22,000; first \$6,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$75,000	Owners: 100% deferral
MANASSAS PARK	Owners: \$19,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$35,000	Owners:       Exemption         Income       Exemption         \$ 0 - \$16,000       100%         16,001 - 19,000       Up to \$450
MARTINSVILLE	Owners: \$12,000; first \$6,500 exempt; in addition, owners may deduct first \$7,500 of disability income	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners:         Income         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 6,000         80%         \$ 9,001 - \$10,000         40%           6,001 - 7,000         70%         10,001 - 11,000         30%           7,001 - 8,000         60%         11,001 - 12,000         20%           8,001 - 9,000         50%         (maximum: \$300)
NEWPORT NEWS	Owners: \$22,000; first \$7,000 exempt; in addition owners may deduct first \$7,500 or 50% of disability income, whichever is greater	<u>Owners:</u> \$65,000	Owners: Exemption: Income of \$10,500 or less = 100% exemption. If income exceeds \$10,500, exemption is equal to that portion of the tax which exceeds 3% of income (maximum: \$465)  Deferral: Up to 100%

TABLE 3

## REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988

LOCALITY	COMBINED GROSSINCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
CITIES:			
NORFOLK	<u>Owners:</u> \$15,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$40,000	Owners:         Income         Exemption         Income         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 7,800   100%         \$11,001 - \$11,800   50%           7,801 - 8,600   90%   11,801 - 12,600   40%         8,601 - 9,400   80%   12,601 - 13,400   30%           9,401 - 10,200   70%   13,401 - 14,200   20%         10,201 - 11,000   60%   14,201 - 15,000   10%
NORTON	<u>Owners:</u> \$12,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$20,000	Owners: 100% exemption (maximum: \$100)
PETERSBURG	<u>Owners:</u> \$14,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>0wners:</u> \$50,000	Owners: 50% exemption (maximum: \$300)
POQUOSON	Owners: \$15,000; no exemptions	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners:  Exemption or Deferral Plan. Exemption: Exemption is the sum by which the property tax exceeds the tax for the year in which the owner became 65. Any eligible owner who was 65 or permanently and totally disabled as of January 1, 1987, is eligible for exemption of a sum by which the property tax exceeds the tax due on that property for the year 1986.
PORTSMOUTH	<u>Owners:</u> \$12,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$40,000	Owners:         Income         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 7,000         100%         \$ 9,001 - \$ 9,500         50%           7,001 - 7,500         90%         9,501 - 10,000         40%           7,501 - 8,000         80%         10,001 - 10,500         30%           8,001 - 8,500         70%         10,501 - 11,000         20%           8,501 - 9,000         60%         11,001 - 12,000         10%

TABLE 3

# REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988 (continued)

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSSINCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	<u></u>	RELIEF PLAN
CITIES:				
RADFORD	Owners: \$11,000; first \$4,000 exempt; in addition, owners may deduct first \$2,500 of disability income	<u>Owners:</u> \$35,000	Owners:	100% exemption
RICHMOND	Owners: \$12,000; first \$4,000 exempt; in addition, owners may deduct first \$5,000 of disability income	<u>Owners:</u> \$40,000	Uncome  \$ 0 - \$ 6 6,001 - 8 8,001 - 10 10,001 - 12	,000 60% 51% 42% 33% ,000 40% 34% 28% 22%
ROANOKE	<u>Owners:</u> \$20,000 first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$70,000	Owners:	Elderly exemption is the sum by which the property tax for the year in which owner applied. For the permanently and totally disabled, exemption is the sum by which the property tax for the year exceeds that for the year in which the owner applied.
SALEM	Owners: \$18,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$65,000	Owners:	Exemption is the sum by which the property tax exceeds the tax for the year in which the owner became 65. Any eligible owner who was 65 as of 12/31/74 is eligible for exemption of a sum by which the property tax exceeds the tax due on that property for the year 1974. The same tax exemptions for persons determined to be permanently and totally disabled are granted whether they have reached the age of 65 or not.
SOUTH BOSTON	Owners: \$7,500; no exemptions	<u>0wners:</u> \$20,000	Owners:	Relief is equal to that portion of the tax which exceeds 2% of combined gross income.

TABLE 3

## REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988

LOCALITY CITIES:	COMBINED GROSSINCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH		RELIEF PLAN
STAUNTON	Owners: \$15,000; first \$2,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$35,000	Owners:  Income \$ 0 - \$ 9,000 9,001 - 10,500 10,501 - 12,000 12,001 - 13,500 13,501 - 15,000	Net Worth (in dollars) 0- 15,001- 20,001- 25,001- 30,001- 15,000 20,000 25,000 30,000 35,000 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 85% 75% 65% 55% 50% 70% 60% 55% 50% 45% 50% 45% 40% 35% 30% 30% 25% 20% 15% 10%
SUFFOLK	<u>Owners:</u> \$16,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$40,000	Owners:     Exemption       \$ 0 - \$10,000     100%       10,001 - 13,000     75%       13,001 - 16,000     50%	
VIRGINIA BEACH	Owners: \$18,000; first \$4,000 exempt; in addition, owners may deduct the first \$7,500 of disability income	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners:       Exemption         Income       Exemption         \$ 0 - \$10,000       100%         10,001 - 12,000       80%         12,001 - 14,000       60%	Income Exemption \$14,001 - \$16,000 40% 16,001 - 18,000 20%
WAYNESBORO	Owners: \$14,000; first \$4,000 exempt;	<u>Owners:</u> \$20,000	<u>Income</u> \$ 0 - \$ 2,800 2,801 - 5,600 5,601 - 8,400 8,401 - 11,200 11,201 - 14,000	Net Worth (in dollars) 0 - 5,001 10,001 15,001 5,000 10,000 15,000 20,000 90% 80% 70% 50% 80% 70% 50% 30% 70% 50% 30% 20% 50% 30% 20% 15% 30% 10% 10% 10%

TABLE 3

# REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSSINCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
CITIES:			
WILLIAMSBURG	<pre>Owners: \$13,000; first \$4,000 exempt</pre>	<u>Owners:</u> \$65,000	Owners: 100% deferral
WINCHESTER	<u>Owners:</u> \$12,000; first \$3,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$32,500	Owners:         Income         Exemption         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 7,000         100%         \$ 9,101 - \$ 9,800         50%           7,001 - 7,700         95%         9,801 - 10,500         35%           7,701 - 8,400         80%         10,501 - 12,000         20%           8,401 - 9,100         65%
COUNTIES:			
ACCOMACK	<u>Owners:</u> \$10,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$25,000	Owners: Income Exemption \$ 0 - \$ 7,500 100% 7,501 - 12,500 50% (maximum: \$250)
ALBEMARLE	Owners: \$12,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$55,000	Owners:         Income         Exemption         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 7,999         100%         \$10,000 - \$10,499         45%           8,000 - 8,499         85%         10,500 - 10,999         35%           8,500 - 8,999         75%         11,000 - 11,499         25%           9,000 - 9,499         65%         11,500 - 11,999         15%           9,500 - 9,999         55%
ALLEGHANY	<u>Owners:</u> \$10,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$35,000	9,500 - 9,999 55% <u>Owners:</u> 100% exemption
AMELIA	NONE	NONE	NONE

## REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSSINCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
COUNTIES:			
AMHERST	<u>Owners:</u> \$12,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$35,000	Owners:         Income       0 - 20,001 25,001 30,001 25,001 30,000 35,000         \$ 0 - \$6,000 90% 85% 80% 75%         6,001 - 8,000 70% 65% 60% 55%         8,001 - 10,000 50% 45% 40% 35%         10,001 - 12,000 30% 25% 20% 15%
APPOMATTOX	Owners: \$7,500; no exemptions	Owners: \$50,000	Owners:         Income         Exemption         Income         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 3,500         80%         \$ 5,001 - \$ 5,500         40%           3,501 - 4,000         70%         5,501 - 6,000         30%           4,001 - 4,500         60%         6,001 - 7,500         20%           4,501 - 5,000         50%
ARLINGTON	<u>Owners:</u> \$22,000; first \$6,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$65,000	Owners:  Income less than \$7,000: 100% exemption up to \$1,037.  Income between \$7,000 and \$15,000: exemption ranges from \$1,311 for an income of \$7,000 to \$100 for an income of \$14,999. Income over \$15,000 but under \$22,000 may only defer the tax.
AUGUSTA	<u>Owners:</u> \$12,000; first \$3,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$40,000	Owners: Relief is based on a complex scale with the amount of relief decreasing as income and net worth increase.  Relief ranges from 90% with income up to \$3,000 and net worth up to \$5,000 to 6% with income between \$11,000 and \$12,000 and net worth between \$35,000 and \$40,000.
ВАТН	<u>Owners:</u> \$10,000; first \$2,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$65,000	Owners:         Net Worth (in dollars)           0-         10,834-         21,646-         32,501-         43,291-         54,146-           1ncome         10,833-         21,645-         32,500-         43,290-         54,145-         65,000-           4,001-         5,500-         90%-         80%-         70%-         60%-         50%-           5,501-         7,000-         80%-         70%-         60%-         50%-         40%-         30%-           7,001-         8,500-         70%-         60%-         50%-         40%-         30%-         20%-           8,501-         10,000-         60%-         50%-         40%-         30%-         20%-

Page 28

## REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSS INCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
COUNTIES:			
BLAND	<u>Owners:</u> \$6,000; first \$2,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$20,000	Owners: 100% Exemption (maximum: \$100)
BOTETOURT	<u>Owners:</u> \$15,000; first \$1,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners:         Income       Exemption         \$ 0 - \$ 5,000       80%         5,001 - 8,000       60%         8,001 - 11,000       40%         11,001 - 15,000       20%
BUCHANAN	Owners: \$14,000; no exemptions	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners: \$125 exemption
BEDFORD	NONE	NONE	NONE
BRUNSWICK	NONE	NONE	NONE
BUCKINGHAM	NONE	NONE	NONE
CAMPBELL	Owners: \$9,000; first \$1,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners:         Income       Exemption         \$ 0 - \$ 4,999       80%       \$ 6,751 - \$ 7,500       40%         4,500 - 5,250       70%       7,501 - 8,250       30%         5,251 - 6,000       60%       8,251 - 9,000       20%         6,001 - 6,750       50%         (maximum: \$200)
CAROLINE	<u>Owners:</u> \$12,500; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$40,000	Owners: Exemption is equal to the amount by which the property tax exceeds the tax for the year in which the owner became 65.  Any owner who became 65 before December 31, 1987 is eligible for exemption in the amount of tax exceeding that levied in 1987.

# REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988

LOCALITY COUNTIES:	COMBINED GROSSINCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
CARROLL	Owners: \$12,000; first \$2,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$40,000	Owners: 50% exemption (maximum: \$100)
CHARLES CITY	Owners: \$18,000 no exemptions	<u>Owners:</u> \$65,000	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
CHESTERFIELD	<u>Owners:</u> \$15,700; first \$4,200 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$55,900	Owners:       Income     Exemption       \$ 0 - \$11,700   100%       11,701 - 13,300   50%       13,301 - 15,700   25%
CHARLOTTE	NONE	NONE	NONE
CRAIG	NONE	NONE	NONE
CUMBERLAND	NONE	NONE	NONE
CLARKE	Owners: \$12,500; no exemptions	Owners: \$30,000	Owners:         Income         Exemption         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$10,000         100%         \$11,001 - \$11,500         65%           10,001 - 10,500         95%         11,501 - 12,500         50%           10,501 - 11,000         80%

TABLE 3

## REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSS INCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
COUNTIES:			
CULPEPER	<u>Owners:</u> \$18,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$65,000	Owners:         Exemption:           Net Worth (in dollars)           0 - 18,501 34,001 49,501           Income         18,500 34,000 49,500 65,000           \$ 0 - \$ 9,000         100% 90% 81% 73%           9,001 - 11,250         90% 81% 73% 66%
			11,251 - 13,500       70%       63%       57%       51%         13,501 - 15,750       50%       45%       40%       36%         15,751 - 18,000       30%       27%       24%       22%
DICKENSON	Owners: \$13,000 first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$35,000	Owners: \$50 exemption
DINWIDDIE	<u>Owners:</u> \$13,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$26,000	Owners:         Income         Exemption         Income         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 5,000         100%         \$ 9,001 - \$10,000         50%           5,001 - 6,000         90%         10,001 - 11,000         40%           6,001 - 7,000         80%         11,001 - 12,000         30%           7,001 - 8,000         70%         12,001 - 13,000         20%           8,001 - 9,000         60%
ESSEX	NONE	NONE	NONE
FAIRFAX	<u>Owners:</u> \$22,000; first \$6,500 exempt	<u>0wners:</u> \$75,000	Owners: 100% exemption on incomes up to \$22,000.
FAUQUIER	Owners: \$18,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners: 100% exemption

TABLE 3

## REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988 (continued)

LOCALITY	COMBINED GROSSINCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
COUNTIES:			
FLOYD	<u>Owners:</u> \$12,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$45,000	Owners: Exemption is equal to the amount by which the property tax exceeds the tax for the year in which the owner became 65.  Any owner who became 65 before December 31, 1986 is eligible for exemption in the amount of tax exceeding that levied on the property in 1986.
FLUVANNA	<u>Owners:</u> \$8,000; first \$2,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$20,000	Owners:         Income       0 - 5,001 10,001 15,001 15,001 15,000 20,000         \$ 0 - \$ 3,000 10,000 15,000 20,000       \$ 5,000 10,000 15,000 20,000         \$ 3,001 - 4,500 75% 50% 35% 15% 4,501 - 6,000 50% 35% 20% 10% 50% 35% 15% 10% 5% (maximum: \$200)
FRANKLIN	<u>Owners:</u> \$15,000;	<u>Owners:</u> \$25,000	Owners:         Net Worth (in dollars)         0 - 10,001- 20,001-         \$10,000 20,000 25,000         \$ 0 - \$5,000       80% 64% 56%         5,001 - 8,000       60% 48% 42%         8,001 - 11,000       40% 32% 28%         11,001 - 15,000       20% 16% 14%
FREDERICK	<u>Owners:</u> 16,000; first \$3,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$30,000	Owners:     Income     Exemption       \$ 0 - \$ 5,000 100%     100%       5,001 - 7,000 95%     95%       7,001 - 9,000 85%     85%       9,001 - 11,000 70%     70%       11,001 - 13,000 50%     50%       13,001 - 16,000 25%

# REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988 (continued)

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSSINCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
COUNTIES:			
GILES	Owners: \$10,000; first \$1,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$35,000	Owners:         Income         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 4,500         80%         \$ 6,001 - \$ 7,500         40%           4,501 - 6,000         60%         7,501 - 10,000         20%           (maximum: \$200)         \$ 6,001 - \$ 7,500         \$ 6,001 - \$ 7,500         \$ 6,001 - \$ 7,501
GLOUCESTER	<u>Owners:</u> \$10,000; first \$3,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$30,000	Owners:         Income         Exemption         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 4,000         100%         \$ 6,001 - \$ 8,000         60%           4,001 - 6,000         80%         8,001 - 10,000         40%           (maximum: \$360)         \$ 6,001 - \$ 8,000         \$ 6,001 - \$ 8,000         \$ 6,001 - \$ 8,000
G00CHLAND	Owners: \$12,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners: 100% exemption (maximum: \$200)
GRAYSON	Owners: \$5,000; no exemptions	<u>Owners:</u> \$10,000	Owners: Up to 100% deferral
GREENE	NONE	NONE	NONE
HALIFAX	NONE	NONE	NONE
HANOVER	<u>Owners:</u> \$12,950; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$53,950	Owners:
	Income \$ 0 - \$ 3,780 3,781 - 5,395 5,396 - 7,015 7,016 - 8,635 8,636 - 10,790 10,791 - 12,950		Net Worth (in dollars)  0- 12,951 19,426- 25,901- 32,376- 37,766- 43,161- 48,556-  12,950 19,425 25,900 32,375 37,765 43,160 48,555 53,950  100% 100% 100% 100% 90% 80% 70% 60%  100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30%  100% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20%  100% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10%  90% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10%  90% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10%  10% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10%  10% (maximum: \$350)

TABLE 3

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSS  INCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
COUNTIES:			
HENRICO	<u>Owners:</u> \$16,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$60,000	Owners:         Net Worth (in dollars)         0 - 15,001 30,001 45,001         15,000 30.000 45,000 60,000         \$ 0 - \$10,000 100% 100% 80% 60%         10,001 - 12,000 100% 80% 60% 40%         12,001 - 14,000 80% 60% 45% 30%         14,001 - 16,000 (maximum: \$500)
HENRY	<u>Owners:</u> \$12,500; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners:         Income         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 7,032         90%         \$ 9,376 - \$10,156         50%           7,033 - 7,782         80%         10,157 - 10,938         40%           7,782 - 8,954         70%         10,939 - 11,718         30%           8,595 - 9,375         60%         11,719 - 12,500         20%           (maximum: \$300)
HIGHLAND	NONE	NONE	NONE
ISLE OF WIGHT	Owners: \$18,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$65,000	Owners: Up to 100% deferral
JAMES CITY	<u>Owners:</u> \$18,500; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners: 100% exemption (maximum: \$350)
KING GEORGE	<u>Owners:</u> \$9,000; first \$1,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$34,750	Owners:         Income         Exemption         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 4,000         60%         \$ 6,001 - \$ 7,000         30%           4,001 - 5,000         50%         7,001 - 8,000         20%           5,001 - 6,000         40%         8,001 - 9,000         10%           Deferral: Up to 100%

Page 34

## REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988 (continued)

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSS  INCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
COUNTIES:			
KING & QUEEN	NONE	NONE	NONE
LANCASTER	NONE	NONE	NONE
LEE	<u>Owners:</u> \$12,000; first \$2,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$30,000	Owners:         Exemption           Income         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 6,000   100%           6,001 - 8,000   75%           8,001 - 10,000   50%           10,001 - 12,000   33%           (maximum: \$100)
LOUDOUN	Owners: \$22,000; first \$6,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$75,000	Owners: Exemption: Amount by which the real state exceeds 2% of gross combined income (maximum: \$700).  Deferral: Up to 100%
LOUISA	Owners: \$15,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$55,000	Owners: 50% exemption (maximum: \$200)
LUNENBURG	NONE	NONE	NONE
MATHEWS	Owners: \$13,000; no exemptions	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners: Income
MADISON	NONE	NONE	NONE

TABLE 3

LOCALITY COUNTIES:	COMBINED GROSSINCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN	
MECKLENBURG	NONE	NONE	NONE	
MIDDLESEX	Owners: \$8,000; first \$1,500 exempt	Owners: \$20,000	Owners: Income Exemption \$ 0 - \$ 3,500 100% 3,501 - 5,000 80% 5,001 - 6,500 60% 6,501 - 8,000 40% (maximum: \$250)	
MONTGOMERY	<u>Owners:</u> \$14,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners: Income Exemption \$ 0 - \$ 9,000 100% 9,001 - 11,000 60% 11,001 - 14,000 40% Deferral: Up to 100%	
NELSON	Owners: \$8,000; first \$1,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners:         Net Worth 10,001 - 20,001 - 30,001 - 30,001 - 30,001 - 30,000 - 30,000 - 30,000 - 40,000           Income         10,000 20,000 30,000 40,000           \$ 0 - \$ 4,000 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 5,001 - 6,500 60% 50% 40% 30% 6,501 - 9,000         60% 50% 40% 30% 20%	40,001- 50,000 40% 30% 20% 10%
NEW KENT	<u>Owners:</u> \$15,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners: Deferral up to 100%	
NORTHAMPTON	Owners: \$5,000; first \$3,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$20,000	Owners: Income Deferral \$ 0 - \$ 3,500 100% 3,501 - 5,000 50%	

Page 36

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSS  INCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
COUNTIES:			
NORTHUMBERLAND	NONE	NONE	NONE
NOTTOWAY	NONE	NONE	NONE
ORANGE	<u>Owners:</u> \$15,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$55,000	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
PAGE	Owners: \$8,000; no exemptions	<u>Owners:</u> \$40,000	Owners:         Income         Exemption         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$7,000 100%         \$9,001 - \$10,000 40%           7,001 - 8,000 80%         10,001 - 11,000 30%           8,001 - 9,000 60%         11,001 - 12,000 25%           12,000 and above         0%
PATRICK	NONE	NONE	NONE
PITTSYLVANIA	Owners: \$6,000; no exemptions	Owners: \$15,000	Owners:         Income         Exemption         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 1,000   100%         \$ 3,501 - \$ 4,000   50%           1,001 - 2,000   90%         4,001 - 4,500   40%           2,001 - 2,500   80%         4,501 - 5,000   30%           2,501 - 3,000   70%         5,001 - 5,500   20%           3,001 - 3,500   60%         5,501 - 6,000   10%           (maximum: \$200)         \$ 5,501 - 6,000   10%
POWHATAN	<u>Owners:</u> \$22,000; first \$6,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$75,000	Owners:       Exemption         Income       Exemption         \$ 0 - \$11,000       75%         11,001 - 22,000       50%

LOCALITY COUNTIES:	COMBINED GROSS  INCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN	-
PRINCE EDWARD	NONE	NONE	NONE	
PRINCE GEORGE	Owners: \$15,000; no exemptions	<u>0wners:</u> \$55,000	Owners: 50% exemption	
PRINCE WILLIAM	Owners: \$22,000; first \$6,500 exempt; in addition, owners may deduct the first \$7,500 of disability income	<u>Owners:</u> \$75,000	Owners: 100% exemption	
PULASKI	Owners: \$12,500; first \$2,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$35,000	Owners:       Income     Exemption       \$ 0 - \$ 6,500     80%       6,501 - 8,500     60%       8,501 - 10,500     40%       10,501 - 12,500     20%	
RAPPAHANNOCK	NONE	NONE	NONE	
ROANOKE	<u>Owners:</u> \$22,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$75,000	Owners:  Exemption is equal to the amount by which the property tax exceeds the tax for the year in which the owner became 65.  Any owner who became 65 before December 31, 1974 is eligible for exemption in the amount of tax exceeding that levied on the property in 1974.	
ROCKBRIDGE	Owners: \$12,000; first \$4,000 exempt; in addition, owners may deduct the first \$5,000 of disability income	<u>Owners:</u> \$75,000	Income     12,000     24,000     36,000     48,000     75,       \$ 0 - \$ 6,000     80%     64%     52%     40%     2       6,001 - 9,000     60%     48%     39%     30%     1	,001- .000 24% 18% 9%

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSS <u>INCOME</u>	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
COUNTIES:			
ROCKINGHAM	<u>Owners:</u> \$11,000; first \$2,000 exempt	<u>0wners:</u> \$30,000	<pre>Owners: Exemption:</pre>
			Income     0 - 15,001 20,001 25,001 25,001 30,000       \$ 0 - \$6,500 80% 64% 56% 40% 6,501 - 8,000 8,001 - 9,500 40% 32% 28% 20% 9,501 - 11,000     40% 32% 28% 20% 16% 14% 10%
RUSSELL	Owners: \$9,500; first \$1,500 exempt	Owners: \$50,000	Owners: 100% exemption (maximum: \$135)
SCOTT	<u>Owners:</u> \$8,500; first \$1,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$25,000	Owners: 100% exemption on first \$9,000 of assessed value
SHENANDOAH	<u>Owners:</u> \$8,500; first \$1,200 exempt	Owners: \$55,000, including dwelling and land; \$20,000 excluding dwelling and land	Owners:       Exemption         \$ 0 - \$ 6,500 75%         6,501 - 7,000 65%         7,001 - 7,500 55%         7,501 - 8,000 45%         8,001 - 8,500 35%
SMYTH	<u>Owners:</u> \$10,000; first \$1,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$25,000	Owners:         Exemption:           Net Worth (in dollars)           0 - 15,001- 20,001-           \$15,000 20,000 25,000           \$ 0 - \$4,000         80% 64% 56%           4,001 - 6,000         60% 48% 42%           6,001 - 8,000         40% 32% 28%           8,001 - 10,000         20% 16% 14%

LOCALITY	COMBINED GROSS INCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
COUNTIES:			
SOUTHAMPTON	<pre>Owners: \$7,500; first \$3,000 exempt</pre>	<u>Owners:</u> \$25,000	Owners: Up to 100% deferral
SPOTSYLVANIA	<u>Owners:</u> \$17,500; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners: 100% exemption (maximum: \$400)
STAFFORD	Category I: Exemptions for income under \$22,000	s	
	<u>Owners:</u> \$22,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>0wners:</u> \$75,000	Owners: 100% exemption
SURRY	<u>Owners:</u> \$10,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$30,000	Owners: Income Exemption \$ 0 - \$ 2,500
SUSSEX	NONE	NONE	NONE
TAZEWELL	Owners: \$10,000; first \$1,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$30,000	Owners: 100% exemption (maximum: \$125)

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSS  INCOME	<u>COMBINED</u> NET WORTH		REL	IEF PLAN	
COUNTIES:						
WARREN	Category I: Ages 65 to 74					
	<u>Owners:</u> \$15,000, first \$1,500 exempt	<u>0wners:</u> \$75,000	Owners:	Deferral: 100%		
	Category II: 75 and over					
	<pre>Owners: \$22,000; first \$1,500 exempt</pre>	<u>Owners:</u> \$75,000	<u>Owners:</u>	Exemption: 100%		
WASHINGTON	<u>Owners:</u> \$10,000; first \$2,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$30,000	Owners:	Exemption:	0 - 10,	(in dollars) ,001- 20,001-
			Income \$ 0 - \$4, 4,001 - 6, 6,001 - 8, 8,001 - 10,	000 000	80% 6 60% 4 40% 3	.000     30.000       54%     56%       48%     42%       32%     28%       18%     14%
WISE	<u>Owners:</u> \$22,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>0wners:</u> \$75,000	<u>Owners:</u>	\$100 exemption		
				Wise County also prov tax on mobile homes i income elderly with t as set forth for real	f used as a reside he same income and	ence for the low d net worth requirements
WYTHE	<u>Owners:</u> \$11,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$35,000	Owners:	100% exemption (maxi	mum: \$125)	

LOCALITY COUNTIES:	COMBINED GROSS INCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH		RELIEF PLAN
YORK	Owners: \$15,000; first \$4,000 exempt; in addition, owners may deduct the first \$5,000 of disability income	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners: 100% exemption	(maximum: \$400)
TOWNS:				
ABINGDON	Owners: \$10,000; first \$2,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$30,000	Owners: Exemption:  Income \$ 0 - \$4,000 4,001 - 6,000 6,001 - 8,000 8,001 - 10,000	Net Worth (in dollars) 0 - 10,001- 20,001- \$10,000 20,000 30,000 80% 64% 56% 60% 48% 42% 40% 32% 28% 20% 18% 14%
ALTAVISTA	(Same as plan for Cam	pbell County)		
ASHLAND	Owners: \$15,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$55,000	Owners: 50% exemption	
BERRYVILLE	Owners: \$12,500; no exemptions	<u>Owners:</u> \$30,000	Owners:         Exemption           1ncome         Exemption           0 - \$10,000         100%           10,001 - 10,500         95%           10,501 - 11,000         80%           11,001 - 11,500         65%           11,501 - 12,500         50%	_

## REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988 (continued)

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSS <u>INCOME</u>	COMBINED NET WORTH		RELIEF PLAN
TOWNS:				
BIG STONE GAP	Owners: \$18,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$65,000	Owners:	100% exemption
BLACKSBURG	<pre>Owners: \$14,000; first \$4,000 exempt</pre>	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners: Income \$ 0 - \$ 9 9,001 - 11 11,001 - 14	,000 60% 40%
BLUEFIELD	Owners: \$10,000; first \$4,000 exempt	Owners: \$25,000	Owners:	100% exemption
BROADWAY	(Same as plan for Roc	kingham County)		
BROOKNEAL	(Same as plan for Cam	pbell County)		
COEBURN	<u>Owners:</u> \$12,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners:	50% exemption (maximum: \$50)
COLONIAL BEACH	<u>Owners:</u> \$12,000	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners:	Deferral is equal to the amount by which the property tax exceeds the tax for the year in which the owner became 65 or disabled.
CREWE	Owners: \$6,000 first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$25,000	<u>Owners:</u>	100% exemption
DUBLIN	(Same as plan for Pul	aski County)		

## REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988 (continued)

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSSINCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH		RELIEF PLAN	
TOWNS:					
DUMFRIES	<u>Owners:</u> \$19,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$65,000	<u>Owners:</u>	100% exemption	
FRONT ROYAL	Owners: \$7,500; first \$1,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$30,000		Deferral   Income   Exemption	
GLASGOW	(Same as plan for Roc	kbridge County)			
GORDONSVILLE	(Same as plan for Orange County)				
HERNDON	(Same as plan for Fairfax County except no deferral plan offered)				
HILLSVILLE	(Same as plan for Car	roll County)			
HURT	Owners: \$12,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>0wners:</u> \$30,000	Owners:	20% reduction on the existing rate on assessed valuation. (1984 real property tax rate for elderly is $16\emptyset$ per \$100.)	
INDEPENDENCE	Owners: \$5,000; no exemption	<u>Owners:</u> \$11,000	<u>Owners:</u>	For income up to \$10,000, 100% deferral. For incomes over \$10,000 but under \$11,000 the deferred is to be reduced by the amount total income exceeds \$10,000.	
LEBANON	<u>Owners:</u> \$9,500; first \$1,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$25,000	Owners:	50% exemption	
LEESBURG	<u>Owners:</u> \$18,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$65,000	Owners:	Exemption: 100% Deferral: Up to 100%	

<u>LOCALITY</u>	COMBINED GROSS  INCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	RELIEF PLAN
TOWNS: LURAY	Owners: \$6,000; no exemptions	<u>Owners:</u> \$20,000	Owners:     Exemption       \$ 0 - \$ 3,000 80%       3,001 - 4,000 60%       4,001 - 5,000 40%       5,001 - 6,000 20%
MARION	<u>Owners:</u> \$6,000; first \$1,500 exempt	Owners: \$10,000	Owners: 50% exemption
MIDDLEBURG	<u>Owners:</u> \$15,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$55,000	Owners: 25% exemption
MT. JACKSON	(Same as plan for Shena	andoah County)	
OCCOQUAN		<u>Owners:</u> \$35,000	Owners: 100% exemption
ORANGE	(Same as plan for Orang	ge County)	
POUND	<pre>Owners: \$12,000; first \$4,000 exempt</pre>	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners: 50% exemption (maximum: \$50)
PULASKI	<u>Owners:</u> \$10,000; first \$2,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$35,000	Owners:         Exemption           \$ 0 - \$ 4,500 80%           4,501 - 6,000 60%           6,001 - 7,500 40%           7,501 - 10,000 20%

Page 45

LOCALITY	COMBINED GROSS  INCOME	COMBINED NET WORTH	<del></del>		RELIEF	PLAN					
TOWNS:											
PURCELLVILLE	<u>Owners:</u> \$15,000; first \$2,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$65,000	Owners:	Exemption: Among the Among	combined	ich the	e real est	ate tax e	xecced 1/	'2	
QUANTICO	(Same as plan for Pr	ince William Cour	nty.)								
STANLEY	(Same as plan for Pag	ge County.)									
STEPHENS CITY	Owners: \$12,500; first \$2,500 exempt	Owners: \$30,000	4,001 - 6,001 - 8,001 -	6 4,000 6,000 8,000 10,000 12,000		0- 5.000 100% 95% 85% 70% 50%	Net Wort 5,001- 10,000 98% 93% 83% 68% 48%	th (in do 10,001- 15,000 94% 89% 79% 64% 44%	11ars) 15,001- 20,000 88% 83% 73% 58% 38%	20,001- 25,000 80% 75% 65% 50% 30%	25,001- 30,000 70% 65% 55% 40% 20%
STRASBURG	(Same as plan for She	enandoah County.)									
TAZEWELL	<u>Owners:</u> \$10,000; first \$1,500 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$30,000	Owners:	100% exemption	(maximum	: \$125	5)				
THE PLAINS	(Same as for Fauquie	r County.)									
VIENNA	(Same as plan for Fai	irfax County.)									
VINTON	(Same as plan for Roa	anoke County.)									

## REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY CITIES, COUNTIES AND SELECTED TOWNS, 1988 (continued)

LOCALITY TOWNS:	COMBINED GROSS <u>INCOME</u>	<u>COMBINED</u> <u>NET WORTH</u>		RELIEF PLAN
WARRENTON	Owners: \$12,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$50,000	Owners:	100% exemption
WISE	(Same as plan for Wisc	e County.)		
WYTHEVILLE	<u>Owners:</u> \$10,000; first \$4,000 exempt	<u>Owners:</u> \$35,000	Owners:	100% exemption (maximum: \$62.50)

SOURCE:

Division of Legislative Services 1988 Survey and Tax Rates in Virginia's Counties, Cities and Towns (Institute of Government).

TABLE 4

## Revenue Loss Attributable to Real Estate Tax Relief Programs for Elderly Homeowners in 1987 or 1988

Locality	Revenue Loss	Total Real Estate Tax Collections
COUNTIES:		
Accomack	\$ 24,968	\$ 4,142,399
Albemarle	\$ 60,344	\$ 15,875,615
Alleghany	with sales made wind visus from 1000 and 1000 and	\$ 1,682,003
Amelia	<b>\$</b> 0	\$ 1,208,236
Amherst	\$ 11,518	\$ 2,743,052
Appomattox	\$ 3,686	\$ 1,334,252
Arlington	\$ 295,586	\$101,799,252
Augusta	\$ 28,725	\$ 6,991,202
Bath	\$ 4,332	\$ 648,999 \$ 5 483 246
Bedford	\$ 0	\$ 5,482,349
Bland	\$ 1,984	\$ 664,419
Botetourt	\$ 12,860	\$ 3,700,098
Brunswick	<b>\$</b> 0	\$ 1,474,925
Buchanan	<b>\$ 4</b> 0,563	\$ 4,855,894
Buckingham	\$ 0	\$ 1,720,771
Campbell	\$ 11,271	\$ 3,915,444
Caroline	\$ 82,430	\$ 2,382,846
Carroll	\$ 18,959	\$ 2,124,595
Charles City	\$ 10,716	\$ 1,670,969
Charlotte	\$ 0	\$ 1,137,841
Chesterfield	\$ 175,987	\$ 54,626,186
Clarke	\$ 16,268	<b>\$ 2,456,868</b>
Craig	\$ 0	\$ 503,867
Culpeper	\$ 50,031	\$ 3,983,363
Cumberland	<b>\$</b> 0	\$ 502,175
Dickenson	\$ 9,799	\$ 2,414,691
Dinwiddie		\$ 2,886,697
Essex	<b>\$</b> 0	\$ 1,363,011
Fairfax	\$1,692,416	\$461,909,296
Fauquier	\$ 53,393	\$ 10,207,622
Floyd	\$ 3,151	\$ 1,591,417
Fluvanna	\$ 3,151 \$ 5,579	\$ 1,546,634
Franklin	\$ 4,068	\$ 4,159,277
Frederick	\$ 30,898	\$ 5,700,798
Giles	<b>\$</b> 13,095	\$ 1,629,609

# Revenue Loss Attributable to Real Estate Tax Relief Programs for Elderly Homeowners in 1987 or 1988 (continued)

Locality	Revenue Loss	Total Real Estate Tax Collections
COUNTIES:		
Gloucester Goochland Grayson Greene Greensville	\$ 24,424 \$ 8,230 \$ 0	\$ 5,353,522 \$ 2,746,449 \$ 1,415,417 \$ 1,479,294 \$ 937,627
Halifax Hanover Henrico Henry Highland	\$ 0 \$ 57,036 \$ 431,500 \$ 62,338 \$ 0	\$ 1,836,322 \$ 9,850,745 \$ 60,166,313 \$ 5,290,589 \$ 630,810
Isle of Wight James City King George King and Queen King William	\$ 4,845 \$ 30,919 \$ 94,700 \$ 0	\$ 3,082,543 \$ 8,414,045 \$ 2,159,449 \$ 1,243,595 \$ 1,490,382
Lancaster Lee Loudoun Louisa Lunenburg	\$ 0  \$ 148,589 \$ 21,768 \$ 0	\$ 1,810,901 \$ 2,233,798 \$ 34,020,299 \$ 1,778,288 \$ 1,425,146
Madison Mathews Mecklenburg Middlesex Montgomery	\$ 0 \$ 4,658  \$ 3,380 \$ 64,920	\$ 1,935,179 \$ 1,497,610 \$ 2,384,374 \$ 1,310,821 \$ 8,313,078
Nelson New Kent Northampton Northumberland Nottoway	\$ 8,565 \$ 805 \$ 0 \$ 0	\$ 2,841,491 \$ 2,674,521 \$ 1,947,568 \$ 2,018,021 \$ 1,132,374
Orange Page Patrick Pittsylvania Powhatan	\$ 18,215 \$ 17,017 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 28,192	\$ 3,179,756 \$ 1,990,328 \$ 1,511,629 \$ 3,543,779 \$ 2,237,583

# Revenue Loss Attributable to Real Estate Tax Relief Programs for Elderly Homeowners in 1987 or 1988 (continued)

	(concin	uea,
Locality	Revenue Loss	Total Real Estate Tax Collections
COUNTIES:		
Prince Edward		\$ 1,201,107
Prince George	<b>\$</b> 7,517	\$ 3,088,523
Prince William	<b>\$ 434,830</b>	\$ 78,170,889
Pulaski	\$ 39,023 \$ 0	\$ 4,823,179
Rappahannock	\$ 0	\$ 1,239,342
Richmond	<b>\$</b> 0	\$ 943,036
Roanoke	\$ 246,876	\$ 22,882,144
Rockbridge	\$ 9,800	\$ 2,025,018
Rockingham	\$ 31,530	\$ 6,546,387
Russell	\$ 99,484	\$ 2,541,741
Scott		\$ 1,913,735
Shenandoah	\$ 9,838	\$ 4,503,272
Smyth	\$ 17,095	\$ 2,589,172
Southampton	\$ 0	\$ 1,881,973
Spotsylvania	allow straight straig	\$ 7,937,705
Stafford	\$ 14,296	\$ 14,067,605
Surry		\$ 888,9 <b>4</b> 8
Sussex	\$ 0	<b>\$ 1,258,547</b>
Tazewell	\$ 25,985 \$ 29,316	\$ 4,555,655
Warren	\$ 29,316	\$ 2,595,873
Washington		\$ 5,209,114
Westmoreland		<b>\$</b> 2, <b>4</b> 51, <b>7</b> 69
Wise	\$ 145,000	\$ 2,116,248
Wythe		\$ 2,021,904
York	\$ 79,250	\$ 7,338,240
CITIES:		
	<b>4</b> 000 000	<b>*</b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Alexandria	\$ 329,392	\$ 84,522,355
Bedford	\$ 2,814	\$ 1,014,738
Bristol	d 23 43 6	\$ 4,042,437
Buena Vista	\$ 21,416 \$ 73.295	\$ 917,563
Charlottesville	\$ 73,295	\$ 11,946,300

## Revenue Loss Attributable to Real Estate Tax Relief Programs for Elderly Homeowners in 1987 or 1988

(continued)

Locality	Revenue Loss	Total Real Estate Tax Collections
CITIES:		
Chesapeake	\$ 290,199	\$ 36,207,511
Clifton Forge		\$ 772,932
Colonial Heights	\$ 44,778	\$ 4,786,256
Covington	\$ 16,303	\$ 979,304
Danville	\$ 34,751	\$ 5,326,818
Emporia	<b>\$</b> 0	\$ 760,988
Fairfax		\$ 12,166,290
Falls Church	\$ 25,193	\$ 6,148,107
Franklin	\$ 666	\$ 1,363,108
Fredericksburg	\$ 7,647	\$ 5,908,694
Galax	\$ 4,327	\$ 1,243,275
Hampton	\$ 264,766	\$ 32,673,461
Harrisonburg	\$ 16,054	\$ 4,696,519
Hopewell	\$ 51,418	\$ 5,099,507
Lexington	\$ 14,551	\$ 1,210,288
Lynchburg	\$ 320,471	\$ 15,034,944
Manassas	\$ 19,354	\$ 10,961,198
Manassas Park	\$ 23,590	\$ 2,513,338
Martinsville	\$ 15,402	\$ 2,741,517
Newport News	\$ 164,870	\$ 45,120,434
Norfolk	\$ 926,264	\$ 58,640,684
Norton	\$ 7,352	\$ 601,047
Petersburg	\$ 68,767	\$ 9,358,932
Poquoson	\$ 14,597	\$ 2,711,217
Portsmouth	المنا الباد الله دائل بيانا يوناه المنا المنا المنا المنا	\$ 24,954,566
Radford	\$ 28,339	\$ 1,675,900
Richmond	<b>\$</b> 766,956	\$ 85,853,121
Roanoke	\$ 353,743	\$ 24,652,259
Salem	<b>\$</b> 77,337	\$ 6,490,654
South Boston	\$ 3,509	\$ 1,215,5 <b>4</b> 6
Staunton	\$ 54,362	\$ 5,025,394
Suffolk	\$ 57,070	\$ 9,488,745
Virginia Beach	\$ 313,693	\$ 93,994,540
Waynesboro	\$ 24,627	\$ 4,393,601
Williamsburg		\$ 2,282,076
Winchester		\$ 5,340,132

<sup>---- =</sup> Locality did not respond

<sup>0 =</sup> Locality does not have a relief program

TABLE 5

		Total Number	
		Participating in	
	m.i.1 mil1		
	Total Elderly	Real Estate Tax	
Counties	Population/65+	Relief Programs	Percentage
Accomack	5,660	247	4.4%
Albemarle	5,470	262	4.7%
Alleghany	1,860		
Amelia	1,170	0*	0.0%
Amherst	3,400	153	4.5%
Appomattox	1,710	74	4.3%
Arlington	21,010	372	1.7%
Augusta	6,040	450	7.4%
Bath	740	75	10.1%
Bedford	5,150	0*	0.0%
Bland	810	27	3.3%
Botetourt	2,860	179	6.2%
Brunswick	2,530	0*	0.0%
Buchanan	2,990	444	14.8%
Buckingham	1,850	0*	0.0%
Campbel1	5,130	203	3.9%
Caroline	2,240	25	1.1%
Carroll	4,270	411	9.6%
Charles City	680	148	21.7%
Charlotte	1,870	0*	0.0%
Chesterfield	11,050	524	4.7%
Clarke	1,540	67	4.3%
Craig	550	0*	0.0%
Culpeper	3,280	191	5.8%
Cumberland	1,260	0*	0.0%
Dickenson	2,080	216	10.3%
Dinwiddie	2,750		
Essex	1,500	0*	0.0%
Fairfax	48,020	1,344	2.7%
Fauquier	4,180	172	4.1%

(continued)

Total Number	er
Participating	j in
Real Estate	lax
D-11-C D	

		raititipating in	
	Total Elderly	Real Estate Tax	
A	•		_
Counties	Population/65+	Relief Programs	Percentage
Floyd	1,970	49	2.4%
Fluvanna	1,410	56	3.9%
Franklin	4,730	84	1.7%
Frederick	3,690	237	6.4%
Giles	2,770	238	8.5%
Gloucester	3,480	119	3.4%
Goochland	1,640	56	3.4%
Grayson	2,650	None	
Greene	850		
Greensville	1,380		
Halifax	4,800	0*	0.0%
Hanover	6,370	269	4.2%
Henrico	26,520	1,200	4.5%
Henry	6,280	593	9.4%
Highland	490	0*	0.0%
Isle of Wight	2,790	17	0.6%
James City	3,180	134	4.2%
_	1,170		0.6%
King George		8 0*	
King & Queen	1,050	U*	0.0%
King William	1,300		
Lancaster	2,890	0*	0.0%
Lee	3,580		
Loudoun	4,650	278	5.9%
Louisa	2,670	263	9.8%
Lunenburg	1,970	0*	0.0%
Madison	1,610	0*	0.0%
Mathews	2,030	39	1.9%
Mecklenburg	5,200	0*	0.0%
Middlesex	1,890	26	1.3%
Montgomery	4,990	269	5.3%
	-,		

(continued)

To	tal	Num	bei	•
Part:	icij	pati	ng	in
Real	Es	tate	Ta	X

	Total Elderly	Real Estate Tax	
Counties	Population/65+	Relief Programs	<u>Percentage</u>
Nelson	1,970	88	4.4%
New Kent	1,120	3	0.2%
Northampton	2,620	None	
Northumberland	2,420	0*	0.0%
Nottoway	2,740	0*	0.0%
Orange	2,960	189	6.3%
Page	2,980	144	4.8%
Patrick	2,740		
Pittsylvania	8,690	None	
Powhatan	1,140	166	14.5%
Prince Edward	2,440	0*	0.0%
Prince George	1,360	64	4.7%
Prince William	5,860	426	7.2%
Pulaski	4,720	366	7.7%
Rappahannock	880	0*	0.0%
Richmond	1,260	0*	0.0%
Roanoke	9,680	1,300	13.4%
Rockbridge	2,570	121	4.7%
Rockingham	6,480	244	3.7%
Russell	3,600	959	26.6%
Scott	3,990	and with the time time and	
Shenandoah	4,700	97	2.0%
Smyth	5,030	306	6.0%
Southampton	2,500	None	
Spotsylvania	2,900	UNAVAILABLE	ment cann rate rases man
Stafford Surry	3,420	460	13.4%
Sussex	1,540	0*	0.0%
Tazewell	5,700	304	5.3%
Warren	3,220	140	4.3%
Washington	6,240		
Westmoreland	2,790	ware cable after order more most	
Wise	5,130	1,975	38.4%
Wyth	3,720	mini mini mini mini	
York	3,150	278	8.8%

(continued)

Total Number
Participating in
Real Estate Tax

	Total Elderly	Real Estate Tax	
Cities	Population/65+	Relief Programs	Percentage
Alexandrıa	12,360	342	2.7%
Bedford	1,890	32	1 6%
Bristol	3,010	UNAVAILABLE	
Buena Vista	920	246	26.7%
Charlottesville	4,750	257	5.4%
Chesapeake	11,670	977	8.3%
Clifton Forge	1,340	46	3.4%
Colonial Heights	2,300	132	5.7%
Covington	1,670	165	9.8%
Danville	8,410	324	3.8%
Emporia	110	0*	0.0%
Fairfax	1,390		
Falls Church	1,690	42	2.4%
Franklın	1,280	10	0.7%
Fredericksburg	2,940	10	0.3%
Galax	1,510	56	3.7%
Hampton	11,920	650	5.4%
Harrisonburg	3,150	83	2.6%
Hopewell	3,370	208	6.1%
Lexington	940	96	10.2%
Lynchburg	10,060	1,073	10.6%
Manassas	900	25	2.7%
Manassas Park	260	28	10.7%
Martinsville	4,140	139	3.3%
Newport News	15,730	689	4.3%
Norfolk	31,570	1,923	6.0%
Norton	660	78	11.8%
Petersburg	5,210	352	6.7%
Poquoson	750	26	3.4%
Portsmouth	15,630		
Radford	1,330	120	9.0%
Richmond	33,070	2,433	7.3%
Roanoke	16,890	2,101	12.4%
Salem	3,990	421	10.5%
South Boston	1,440	26	1.8%

#### Counties and Cities (continued)

Total Number Participating in Total Elderly Real Estate Tax Population/65+ Relief Programs Percentage 4.7% 195 4,140 7,560 160 2.1% 2.9% Virginia Beach 21,270 617 3,050 125 4.0%

1,220

3,390

TOTAL

Waynesboro Williamsburg

Winchester

Cities

Staunton

Suffolk

31,356 4.8% 644,790

\*Localities that have not enacted a real estate tax relief program for the elderly.

---- Localities that did not respond to the survey.

#### SOURCES:

Department of Planning and Budget 1988 Population Estimates

1988 Division of Legislative Services Survey on Real Estate Tax Relief for the Elderly

#### V. Alternatives Considered

After examining the results of the tax survey and listening to comments from administrators of the real estate tax relief program, the joint subcommittee concluded that the following administrative problems existed, and also considered several possible solutions to such problems

#### PROBLEM IDENTIFIED

1. The income limitations set forth in § 58.1-3211 may be too restrictive in localities where the incomes, net worth and property tax bills are higher comparative to other regions of the Commonwealth. One objective is to allow localities more flexibility to raise income limitations and still target low-income elderly needing real estate tax relief. The current Code establishes a specific income maximum, and if a specific dollar amount is not adjusted for inflation, the value of tax relief to the elderly taxpayer is undermined.

#### POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVE APPROACHES

Authorize localities to employ an alternative method for determining income and net worth limitations in lieu of the dollar maximums in § 58 1-3211 One alternative method would be to use the income limits annually published by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development for either qualifying for federal housing assistance pursuant to \$ 235 of the National Housing Act (12 USC 1715Z), or alternatively the median family income median family income were the maximum, a larger portion of the elderly would be eligible for relief. The primary advantage of using HUD statistics for either median family income or lower income is that the income limits make adjustments for areas of unusually high or low income or housing costs These annual income limits are a specified dollar amount based on family size and the median income in the respective county or city (the income limits are attached). This approach provides a uniform standard while allowing localities flexibility to increase the income amounts if the state maximums are too restrictive for the area, or to use the state maximums if the federal income limits are too low for the area. The state net worth and exemption amounts would still apply to qualify in order to meet the Constitutional criteria. For example, establish that any taxpayer 65 years or older with an income at or below the median family income as determined by HUD for the metropolitan statistical area or the respective locality, and a property tax burden exceeding 5% of income shall be deemed to be bearing an extraordinary tax burden and shall be eligible for any local real estate tax relief in the respective jurisdiction, provided the taxpayer's net worth does not exceed \$75,000.

- B. Allow a locality to increase the current income limits established in § 58.1-3211 by an amount equal to the annual percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index for the preceding calendar year (KENTUCKY APPROACH), or by a maximum of \$5,000 annually if the limits are determined to be too restrictive based on the cost of living in the region of the Commonwealth.
- C. Authorize a specific dollar increase in the current state income limits to \$30,000, net worth at \$100,000 with a \$10,000 exemption for <u>EACH</u> relative's income who is NOT the spouse of the owner. This is the approach which the General Assembly has been traditionally using.

			§235 Income Limits by Standard  Metropolitan Statistical Area  For Qualifying for Federal  Housing Assistance		Combined Maximum Income Limits for Real Estate Tax Relief for the Elderly	
	Median <u>Family Income</u>		1 Person	2 Persons	Current Local  Maximum Incomes	<u>Exemptions</u>
Charlottesville, VA FY 1988 Median Family Income:	\$33,600	Lower Income	\$22,350	\$25,500	\$12,000	\$2,500
Danville, VA FY 1988 Median Family Income:	\$26,500	Lower Income	\$17,750	\$20,300	\$13,500	\$4,000
Johnston City-Kingsport- Bristol, TN-VA FY 1988 Median Family Income:	\$23,100	Lower Income	\$15,350	\$17,550	\$10,000	\$2,500
Lynchburg, VA FY 1988 Median Family Income:	\$30,600	Lower Income	\$20,350	\$23,250	\$15,000	\$4,000
Norfolk-Virginia Beach- Newport News, VA FY 1988 Median Family Income:	\$31,200	Lower Income	\$20,750	\$23,700	\$22,000	\$7,000
Richmond-Petersburg, VA FY 1988 Median Family Income:	\$35,300	Lower Income	\$23,500	\$26,850	\$14,000	\$4,000
Roanoke, VA FY 1988 Median Family Income:	\$31,600	Lower Income	\$21,000	\$24,000	\$22,000	\$4,000
Washington, DC-MD, VA FY 1988 Median Family Income:	\$46,900	Lower Income	\$26,950	\$30,800	\$22,000	\$6,500

1925 Income Limite by Standard

#### Lower Income = 95% of Median Family Income

			Metropolitan Statistical Area For Qualifying for Federal Housing Assistance		Combined Maximum Income Limits for Real Estate Tax Relief for the Elderly	
	Median <u>Family Income</u>		<u>l Person</u>	2 Persons	Current Local  Maximum Incomes	<u>Exemptions</u>
: VA Accomack FY 1988 Median Family Income:	\$21,500	Low Income	\$17,750	\$20,300	\$10,000	\$4,000
: VA Alleghany FY 1988 Median Family Income:	\$29,500	Low Income	\$19,650	\$22,450	\$10,000	\$4,000
: VA Amelia FY 1988 Median Family Income:	\$25,900	Low Income	\$17,750	\$20,300	NONE	NONE (no real estate tax relief program)
: VA Appomattox FY 1988 Median Family Income:	\$29,000	Low Income	\$19,300	\$22,050	\$7,500	0

#### Definitions:

"Median family income"

#### Sources:

- Income Guidelines Department of Housing and Community Development
- Legislative Services 1988 Tax Survey

Median -- is the middle value -- half of the projected 1.6 million families in the state will have incomes exceeding it and half will have less

 <sup>&</sup>lt;u>Family</u> — is defined by the Bureau of Census as a "householder and one or more persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage or adoption."
 In 1986, the average number of persons per family in Virginia was 3.25 persons.

#### PROBLEM IDENTIFIED

2. Currently, the Constitution requires the owner of the property to be 65 years old and property jointly held will qualify as long as one owner is 65. If a 65-year old spouse dies, and the surviving spouse is not 65, the surviving spouse is disqualified from the real estate tax relief, and the taxes must be prorated under § 58.1-3215. Often, disqualification of the surviving spouse results in an inequity because except for age, the surviving spouse would otherwise meet the income and net worth requirements to qualify for real estate tax relief.

#### POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVE APPROACH

Amend § 58.1-3215 to allow the locality to continue the exemption for the entire tax year; if the surviving spouse would otherwise qualify under the income limits and net worth requirements. At the beginning of the new tax year, the spouse, if not 65, would be assessed the full tax; or alternatively, amend the Constitution to allow surviving spouses to qualify if the income and net worth requirements are met, and the surviving spouse is at least 60 years old.

#### PROBLEM IDENTIFIED

3. Elderly persons' tax bills may be extremely high because of the increases in local tax rates and assessments on property since the time the property was originally purchased.

#### POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVE APPROACH

Authorize localities to establish an additional tax credit or larger exemption amount based on the period of time for which the owner has held the property. For example, any person age 65 who has owned the same home for 15 years may be entitled to a higher exemption amount, provided the taxpayer otherwise meets the income and net worth qualifications.

#### PROBLEM IDENTIFIED

4. In computing the total combined income, the local tax assessor must consider the total combined income from all sources of the owners of the dwelling and relatives living therein; \$6,500 of income of each relative may be excluded. When an elderly person becomes ill, and requires a relative to move in to provide care, the elderly person risks being disqualified if the relative has a substantial income.

#### POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVE APPROACH

Amend § 58.1-3211 to expressly state that the incomes of relatives who provide nursing assistance to an elderly owner shall not be included in the income calculation, provided such elderly person obtains certification by the doctor that such services are essential for the elderly homeowner. Alternatively, recommend that the income of relatives living in the dwelling be completely excluded from the total combined income calculation of the elderly homeowner.

#### PROBLEM IDENTIFIED

5. Localities are not required to publish notice of the real estate tax relief program in the jurisdiction. This means that in some localities, elderly citizens may not be aware that the real estate tax relief program exists.

#### POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVE APPROACH

Require all localities to enclose notices of the real estate tax relief program with the tax bill to ensure that citizens are informed about the program.

#### PROBLEM IDENTIFIED

Section 58.1-3215 currently allows localities to prorate the real estate tax if there is a change in ownership to a spouse that resulted solely from the death of the qualifying individual, or sale of such property. However, if other circumstances occur that disqualify the taxpayer from the relief program, the taxpayer must pay the total tax due for the entire year. Localities claim that an inequity results when an owner is disqualified from the real estate tax relief program for other reasons than death of a spouse, such as when an owner has to move into a nursing home and rents out the house. Some localities have suggested that proration should also be allowed when the preceding circumstances occur.

#### POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVE APPROACH

Amend § 58.1-3215 to allow localities to prorate the real estate tax for the tax year if any change of circumstances occur that disqualify the taxpayer from the real estate tax relief program.

#### VI. Recommendations

The joint subcommittee analyzed the preceding problems and alternatives and recommended the following three revisions to the current real estate tax relief program for the elderly for introduction as a bill to the 1989 General Assembly to achieve equity in administration, to ensure that elderly taxpayers are adequately informed about the availability of the real estate tax relief program within the jurisdiction, and to provide localities with greater flexibility in establishing eligibility requirements for elderly citizens to participate in the real estate tax relief program:

- Require all localities to enclose notices of the real estate tax relief program existing in the jurisdiction with notice of the real estate tax bill, and suggest that localities use every available means to inform elderly residents about the program;
- 2. Prohibit localities from imposing restrictions related to the period of time in which the elderly person has resided in the locality in order to qualify for the local real estate tax relief program; and
- 3. Amend § 58.1-3211 to authorize localities to employ the higher of the current state income limitations or the income limits for qualifying for federal housing assistance pursuant to §235 of the National Housing Act (12 USC 1715Z), which are published annually by the Department of Housing and Urban Development for each metropolitan statistical area and nonmetropolitan county.

#### Respectfully submitted,

The Honorable C. Hardaway Marks, Chairman

The Honorable Howard P. Anderson, Vice Chairman

The Honorable David G. Brickley

The Honorable S. Wallace Stieffen

The Honorable Charles J. Colgan

The Honorable Benjamin J. Lambert III

Mr. Esten O. Rudolph, Citizen Member

Mr. Sam T. Barfield, Citizen Member

## APPENDICES

## Applicable Constitutional Provisions

### Article X § 4

Segregates real estate for local taxation and requires assessment based upon the manner which the General Assembly prescribes by general law.

## Article X § 6(7)(b)

Exempt property. Authorizes the General Assembly to allow local governments to exempt from local property taxation real estate and personal property owned and occupied by persons not less than 65 years of age or persons permanently and totally disabled who are deemed by the General Assembly to be bearing an extraordinary tax burden on the property in relation to their income and financial worth.

## Summary of Applicable Statutes Sections 58.1-3210 through 58.1-3218

### § 58.1-3210

Exemption or deferral of taxes on property of certain elderly and handicapped persons. Authorizes local governments to exempt or defer real estate taxes on real estate and mobile homes owned and occupied as the sole dwelling of persons 65 years of age.

#### § 58.1-3211

<u>Restrictions and exemptions.</u> Establishes the maximum income limits and net combined financial worth limitations for eligibility for real estate tax relief.

- (1) Maximum income = \$22,000 for total combined income of the owner and all owners' relatives living in the dwelling. Can exclude up to \$6,500 of income of each relative who is not the spouse of the owner.
- (2) Net combined financial worth -- \$75,000; this amount excludes the value of the dwelling, one acre of land, and the value of household furnishings.

### § 58.1-3212

<u>Local restrictions and exemptions.</u> Authorizes localities to establish lower income and financial worth figures in local ordinances.

#### § 58.1-3213

<u>Application for exemption.</u> Establishes guidelines for filing exemption applications. Requires the owner to file annually an affidavit setting forth the names of relatives occupying the real estate, and that the total incomes and financial worth figures do not exceed the maximums.

Authorizes localities to require affidavit to be filed every three years in lieu of annual filings or any other filing period that the locality elects. Additionally, localities are authorized to provide a procedure for late filing of affidavits.

### § 58.1-3214

Absence from residence. Allows owners of real estate to qualify for exemption even if the person is absent from the dwelling because of confinement in a hospital, nursing home, convalescent home or other medical facility.

### § 58.1-3215

Effective date; change in circumstances. Provides that any change in income or financial worth which exceed the maximums authorized for eligibility for real estate tax exemptions shall nullify the exemption or deferral for the taxable year. Any change in ownership resulting from the death of a qualifying individual or sale of property shall result in a prorated exemption for the current taxable year.

### § 58.1-3216

Deferral programs; taxes to be lien on property. Provides that if a locality has enacted a deferral program, the accumulated taxes must be paid when the real estate is sold or within one year from date of owner's death. The deferred taxes are a lien upon the real estate, and the locality may assess interest at a maximum rate of 8%.

### \$ 58.1-3217

<u>Permanently and totally disabled.</u> Defines term for purposes of qualifying for the real estate tax exemption program.

### § 58.1-3218

<u>Designation</u> by <u>General Assembly</u>. General Assembly designates persons falling within the income and financial worth limitations as bearing an extraordinary tax burden on the real estate in relation to income and financial worth.

26

#### 1 D 1/5/89 McNally C 1/6/89 wms

2 SENATE BILL NO. ..... HOUSE BILL NO. ..... 3 A BILL to amend and reenact §§ 58.1-3211 and 58.1-3212 and to amend the Code of Virginia by adding a section numbered 58.1-3213.1, 5 relating to real estate tax exemption or deferral programs for 6 the elderly and handicapped. 7 8 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia: 9 That §§ 58.1-3211 and 58.1-3212 are amended and reenacted and that 10 the Code of Virginia is amended by adding a section numbered 58.1-3213.1 as follows: 11 12 § 58.1-3211. Restrictions and exemptions.--Any exemption or 13 deferral program enacted by a county, city or town pursuant to § 58.1-3210 shall be subject to the following restrictions and 14 15 conditions: 16 1. The total combined income received from all sources during the 17 preceding calendar year by: (i) owners of the dwelling who use it as 18 their principal residence and (ii) owners' relatives who live in the 19 dwelling, shall not exceed either a maximum of \$22,000 provided that 20 any, or the income limits based upon family size for the respective metropolitan statistical area, annually published by the Department of 21 22 Housing and Urban Development for qualifying for federal housing 23 assistance pursuant to § 235 of the National Housing Act (12 USC 24 1715z). Any amount up to \$6,500 of income of each relative who is 25 not the spouse of an owner living in the dwelling may be excluded from

the total combined income calculation . The local government may also

LD5571506 WS

1 exclude up to \$7,500 of income for an owner who is permanently

- 2 disabled.
- 3 2. The net combined financial worth, including equitable
- 4 interests, as of December 31 of the immediately preceding calendar
- 5 year, of the owners, and of the spouse of any owner, excluding the
- 6 value of the dwelling and the land, not exceeding one acre, upon which
- 7 it is situated shall not exceed \$75,000. The local government may also
- 8 exclude furnishings. Such furnishings shall include furniture,
- 9 household appliances and other items typically used in a home.
- 10 § 58.1-3212. Local restrictions and exemptions.--
- 11 Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraphs 1 and 2 of § 58.1-3211,
- 12 the governing body of a county, city or town may by ordinance specify
- 13 lower (i) income and financial worth figures, (ii) disability
- 14 compensation reduction figures, if applicable, and (iii) reductions
- 15 for income of relatives living in the dwelling, other than those set
- 16 forth in § 58.1-3211. No local ordinance shall require that a citizen
- 17 reside in the jurisdiction for a designated period of time as a
- 18 condition for qualifying for any real estate tax exemption or deferral
- 19 program established pursuant to § 58.1-3210.
- 20 § 58.1-3213.1. Notice of local real estate tax exemption or
- 21 deferral program for the elderly and handicapped. -- The treasurer of
- 22 any county, city or town shall enclose written notice, in each real
- 23 estate tax bill, of the terms and conditions of any local real estate\_
- 24 tax exemption or deferral program established in the jurisdiction
- 25 pursuant to § 58.1-3210. The treasurer shall also employ any other
- 26 reasonable means necessary to notify residents of the county, city or
- 27 town about the terms and conditions of the real estate tax exemption
- 28 or deferral program for elderly and handicapped residents of the

LD5571506 WS

1 county, city or town.

2 #