REPORT OF THE JOINT SUBCOMMITTEE STUDYING

Retirement Benefits for State Police Officers and Other Law Enforcement Officers, and Salaries of Communications Operators of the Virginia Department of State Police

TO THE GOVERNOR AND THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF VIRGINIA



## **HOUSE DOCUMENT NO. 48**

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND 1989

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
I.	State Police Officers Retirement	Pages 3 - 19
II.	Sheriffs Retirement Benefits	Pages 19 - 36
III.	Salaries of Communications Operators	Pages 37 - 43
IV.	Recommendations	Pages 44 - 48
V.	Appendices	Page 49

#### MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE

Delegate Robert B. Ball, Sr., Chairman
Senator Howard P. Anderson, Vice Chairman
Delegate Franklin P. Hall
Delegate Wm. Roscoe Reynolds
Delegate Robert W. Ackerman
Senator Elmo G. Cross, Jr.
Senator Johnny S. Joannou

#### STAFF

## Legal and Research

Division of Legislative Services Regina M. McNally, Staff Attorney John A. Garka, Economist Jane C. Lewis, Executive Secretary

## Staff Assistance Also Provided By:

Virginia Supplemental Retirement System Glen Pond, Director Wallace G. Harris, Assistant Director

House Appropriations Committee Staff
Robert Vaughan

Virginia Compensation Board John Garrett, Executive Secretary

Department of Personnel and Training
Bill Dodle
Rich Pugh

#### Administrative and Clerical

Office of the Clerk, House of Delegates

#### GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF VIRGINIA -- 1988 SESSION

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 105

Establishing a joint subcommittee to study salaries and benefits of communications operators of the Virginia Department of State Police and retirement benefits for law-enforcement officers.

> Agreed to by the House of Delegates, February 16, 1988 Agreed to by the Senate, March 2, 1988

WHEREAS, communications operators of the Virginia Department of State Police are classified as civilian employees; and

WHEREAS, these communications operators have a great deal in common with the uniformed officers including direct supervision by uniformed sergeants, direct involvement in troopers' duties, and classification as "essential" employees; and

WHEREAS, communications operators have the responsibilities and perform services at the level of trained professionals as is required of the uniformed officers of the Department of State Police; and

WHEREAS, state police officers have traditionally received unique retirement benefits and been subject to different eligibility requirements due to the regular performance of hazardous duties, and the physical demands inherent in their work; and

WHEREAS, currently state police officers under the State Police Officers Retirement System may retire at age fifty-five after thirty years of service and receive full benefits including certain special supplemental benefits; and

WHEREAS, other law-enforcement officers under the Virginia Supplemental Retirement

System may retire at age fifty-five after thirty years of service with full benefits; and WHEREAS, the current eligibility requirements for state police officers and other law-enforcement officers should be reevaluated to determine whether, due to the hazardous nature of their duties and the physical requirements involved, state police officers and other law-enforcement officers should be allowed to retire after twenty-five years of service without any age requirement; and

WHEREAS, similarly firefighters engage in hazardous duties and are subject to certain physical requirements, so the retirement benefits applicable to firefighters should also be examined; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED by the House of Delegates, the Senate concurring, That a joint subcommittee be established to study the salaries and benefits of the communications operators of the Virginia Department of State Police and to examine the eligibility requirements relating to retirement benefits payable to state police officers, other law-enforcement officers, and firefighters. The joint subcommittee shall consist of seven members: two members each of the House Committees on Appropriations and for Courts of Justice to be appointed by the Speaker of the House, and two members of the Senate Committee on Finance and one member of the Senate Committee for Courts of Justice to be appointed by the Senate Committee on Privileges and Elections. The Virginia Supplemental Retirement System shall provide assistance upon request of the joint subcommittee.

The joint subcommittee shall submit its findings and recommendations to the 1989 Session of the General Assembly.

The indirect costs of this study are estimated to be \$5,040; the direct costs of this study shall not exceed \$3,022.

Report of the Joint Subcommittee Studying
The Retirement Benefits for State Police Officers and Other
Law Enforcement Officers, and Salaries of Communications
Operators of the Virginia Department of State Police

TO

The Governor and the General Assembly of Virginia Richmond, Virginia December, 1988

TO: The Honorable Gerald L. Baliles, Governor of Virginia and
The General Assembly of Virginia

#### INTRODUCTION

The 1988 General Assembly established this joint subcommittee pursuant to House Joint Resolution No. 105 to analyze the following issues:

- I. Whether state police officers should be eligible to receive full retirement benefits after twenty-five years of service to the Commonwealth, regardless of age;
- II. Whether the Commonwealth should adopt and fund a requirement that every locality provide sheriffs and deputy sheriffs with retirement benefits equivalent to those of state police officers; and
- III. Whether the salaries of communications operators of the Department of State Police should be increased two grades from Grade 7 to Grade 9-for-Communications Operators, and from Grade 8 to Grade 10 for Lead Communications Operators?

Delegate Robert B. Ball, Sr., and Senator Howard P. Anderson served as Chairman and Vice Chairman, respectively.

This joint subcommittee held four meetings to analyze the preceding issues: two public hearings and two work sessions. The preceding issues arose from three subcommittee studies by the 1987 General Assembly relating to retirement benefits of state police and compensation of constitutional officers. The following table summarizes the purpose and recommendations of the 1987 subcommittee studies on retirement benefits for state police officers and compensation of constitutional officers.

"Report of the Virginia State Crime Commission on Law Enforcement Compensation" (SD 7, 1988) To examine the salaries and other compensation paid to law enforcement officers and compare their salaries to those paid to law enforcement officers in other states.

A one grade pay increase for game wardens and ABC agents effective July 1, 1988, and a one grade pay increase for deputy sheriffs.

### STATE POLICE OFFICERS

ISSUE I: WHETHER STATE POLICE OFFICERS SHOULD BE ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE FULL RETIREMENT BENEFITS AFTER TWENTY-FIVE YEARS OF SERVICE TO THE COMMONWEALTH REGARDLESS OF AGE.

Sections 51-143 through 51-159 of the Code of Virginia establish the benefits available to state police officers under the State Police Officers Retirement System (SPORS). Local law enforcement officers are also entitled to receive identical benefits provided to state police officers if two prerequisites are satisfied: (i) the local government has elected to participate in the Virginia Supplemental Retirement System (VSRS) pursuant to § 51-111.31 of the Code; and (ii) the participating locality elects to provide SPORS-like benefits to local law enforcement officers pursuant to §§ 51-111.37 and 51-111.46 of the Code. Since the benefits for law enforcement officers are identical to those provided under SPORS, any change in the eligibility requirements for retirement of state police will affect the retirement requirements for local law enforcement officers and firefighters in the 37 localities currently providing SPORS-like benefits.

The following table summarizes the retirement benefits available to state police officers under SPORS and local law enforcement officers in localities that have elected to provide SPORS-like benefits pursuant to § 51-111.37:

AGE:

State police officers and local law enforcement officers are eligible for full retirement benefits at age 55 and after 30 years of service, or at age 60 [§ 51-152(2)].

BENEFITS:

The basic benefit is an annual retirement allowance payable to the member for life, and the amount is based on a percentage of the retiree's average final compensation, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in § 51-151(1) of the Code. final compensation means the average Average compensation of a member during the highest 36 consecutive months of creditable service [§ 51-144(12)]. The maximum benefit which a member is entitled to receive when added to one-half of the member's primary social security benefit cannot exceed 100% of the member's average final compensation [§ 51-151(g)]. In determining the maximum benefit payable, special supplements are excluded from the computation.

#### SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTS:

Members of SPORS and local law enforcement officers are eligible to receive two types of special supplements in addition to the basic retirement benefit:

- § 51-111.60:1(a) provides a cost-of-living adjustment in an amount determined pursuant to a formula based upon the United States Average Consumer Price Index, and the amount is limited to five percent per year.
- § 51-151(b) authorizes an annual special supplement of \$3,000 payable from age 55 to age 58, and \$6,000 annually from age 58 to age 65. This special supplement terminates at age 65 when social security benefits are fully payable to a member of SPORS with twenty years of hazardous duty service.

# A. FACTORS TO CONSIDER IN EVALUATING A CHANGE IN THE SERVICE AND AGE REQUIREMENTS FOR RETIREMENT UNDER THE STATE POLICE OFFICERS RETIREMENT SYSTEM.

The joint subcommittee considered the following factors in analyzing whether the proposed changes in SPORS should be recommended to the 1989 General Assembly:

#### 1. Cost.

Whether the proposed changes will result in an additional cost to the Commonwealth and its localities participating in SPORS-like benefit plans for local law enforcement officers.

2. Equitability and Eligibility.

Whether the current service and age requirements under SPORS are equitable in comparison to other states' retirement plans and the VSRS benefits provided to other state employees; whether the state police officer's exposure to hazardous duties alone is sufficient justification for reducing the service requirement and eliminating the 55 age requirement; and

3. Whether any administrative or legislative changes will be required to implement the proposal.

#### 1. COST:

The Virginia Supplemental Retirement System (VSRS) estimated that a reduction in the length of service requirement to 25 years and elimination of the 55 years of age requirement will result in an additional cost to the Commonwealth and localities supplying SPORS-like benefits to law enforcement officers.

VSRS estimated that, based on 1988 salary data, the Commonwealth would incur an additional cost of \$1.6 million for the next biennium if state police were eligible for retirement benefits after 25 years of service, regardless of age. The Commonwealth would also incur an additional cost attributable to the Compensation Board reimbursement costs to localities for SPORS-like benefits sheriffs. extended to The House Appropriations Staff estimated that Compensation Board costs to be \$708,000. Therefore, the total state cost of changing SPORS to 25 years, no age, is estimated to be \$2.4 million. could not determine the total cost impact upon every local government supplying SPORS-like benefits to law enforcement officers without conducting an actuarial study on every locality; therefore, VSRS requested a cost estimate for the following five localities participating in the SPORS-like benefits plan pursuant to § 51-111.37, and determined that the proposal would result in a biennial cost of the following amounts if these localities were required to conform to the early service retirement proposal:

Locality	<u>Cost Per Biennium</u>		
Appomattox	\$ 12,300		
Henrico	\$943,000		
Hampton	<b>\$524,900</b>		
Virginia Beach	\$980,200		
Vinton	\$ 11,400		

Impact Upon Local Governments If SPORS Is Changed To 25 Years Of Service Regardless of Age:

The local retirement plans available to local law enforcement officers can be classified into three types of plans:

- Localities currently providing SPORS-like benefits to local law enforcement officers;
- 2. Localities that do not provide SPORS-like benefits but provide VSRS benefits. Law enforcement officers in these localities receive the same benefits as state employees in VSRS and
- Localities that have independent retirement plans and do not participate in VSRS or provide SPORS-like benefits to local law enforcement officers.

Although VSRS was able to officially estimate the local revenue impact upon five selected localities participating in SPORS, the joint subcommittee requested estimates on all localities in SPORS. The House Appropriations Committee Staff was requested to analyze the cost impact and submit estimates of the cost on all SPORS-like localities.

Currently, 37 localities provide retirement benefits to local law enforcement officers, which are identical to those which state police officers These localities are listed in Table 2(A). If state police retirement eligibility is reduced to 25 years of service, without any age requirement, these localities will be required to provide these identical benefits to local law enforcement officers unless the provision is made optional for localities participating in SPORS. VSRS could not provide a dollar figure for these localities without conducting a specific actuarial analysis. Therefore, the House Appropriations Staff was requested to provide estimates of the potential cost which the SPORS-like localities would incur if the 25 years of service regardless of age retirement eligibility requirements were imposed upon them. The House Appropriations Staff estimated that the annual total local cost may be as high as \$3.7 million. To determine this cost, the average increased VSRS rate of the five localities (listed on page 5) which the VSRS actuary submitted its cost estimate, was applied to the 1989 salaries. The annual cost estimated, using the preceding methodology, for each locality is listed in the following table.

## Table 1

# BIENNIAL REVENUE IMPACT IF SPORS IS CHANGED TO 25 YEARS OF SERVICE, NO AGE REQUIREMENT

SPORS			
(STATE POLICE ONLY)	STATE COST	LOCAL COST	
	\$ 1.7 million	\$ 0	

COMPENSATION BOARD
REIMBURSEMENT COSTS TO
SPORS-LIKE LOCALITIES FOR
CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS

\$ 708,000

Estimated Total:

\$ 2.4 million

## Table 1(A)

# ANNUAL REVENUE IMPACT UPON SPORS-LIKE LOCALITIES IF 25 YEARS OF SERVICE, NO AGE IS MANDATORY (SHERIFFS, FIREFIGHTERS, LOCAL POLICE)

SPORS-LIKE LOCALITIES	LOCAL COST
Estimated Total:	\$ 3.7 million
Counties: (18)	
Albemarle Appomattox Augusta Bedford Campbell	\$ 47,216 \$ 7,966 \$ 32,019 \$ 18,677 \$ 25,297
Chesterfield Essex Goochland Hanover Henrico	\$ 268,286 \$ 5,753 \$ 7,855 \$ 54,029 \$ 417,344
Henry Loudoun Mecklenburg Pittsylvania Prince William	\$ 27,542 \$ 124,333 \$ 14,927 \$ 26,595 \$ 354,506
Pulaski Roanoke York	\$ 24,717 \$ 84,950 \$ 57,179
Cities: (20)	
Bedford Bristol Chesapeake Colonial Heights Danville	\$ 13,790 \$ 46,666 \$ 295,120 \$ 20,898 \$ 10,060

# ANNUAL REVENUE IMPACT UPON SPORS-LIKE LOCALITIES IF 25 YEARS OF SERVICE, NO AGE IS MANDATORY (SHERIFFS, FIREFIGHTERS, LOCAL POLICE)

(continued)

SPORS-LIKE LOCALITIES	***************************************	LOCAL COST	_
Cities:			
Fredericksburg	\$	40,885	
Franklin	\$	14,692	
Hampton	\$	235,769	
Hopewell	\$	49,918	
Lynchburg	\$	139,950	
Manassas	\$	43,000	
Martinsville	\$	49,918	
Petersburg	\$	101,632	
Poquoson	\$	9,970	
Radford	\$	23,799	
Salem	\$	80,007	
Staunton	\$	29,995	
Suffolk	\$	81,016	
Virginia Beach	\$	676, <del>4</del> 28	
Winchester	\$	35,866	
<u>Towns:</u> (11)			
Altavista	\$	6,287	
Big Stone Gap	\$	6,151	
Chatham	\$	581	
Culpeper	\$	13,547	
Hurt	\$	382	
Luray	\$	3,708	
Narrows	\$	1,870	
Pearisburg	\$ \$	2,889	
Vienna	\$	25,482	
Vinton	\$	7,839	
Warrenton	\$	10,299	

The preceding revenue impact would only result if the 25 years requirement were mandatory upon localities currently providing SPORS-like benefits. If the 25 years of service with no age were optional to SPORS-like localities, the cost upon the preceding localities would be zero, until the SPORS-like locality opted to extend the benefit to its local law enforcement officers. If the locality did not make the election, the current 30 years of service and 55 years of age requirement would apply.

The following localities will not incur any revenue impact if the retirement eligibility requirements under SPORS is changed to 25 years of service with no age, because these localities do not currently provide SPORS-like benefits to local law enforcement officers. These localities provide VSRS benefits only to local law enforcement officers. The localities would only incur additional costs if they elected to provide SPORS-like benefits or if the SPORS-like benefits were changed by the Commonwealth from an optional local election to a mandate upon localities that they provide local law enforcement officers with benefits identical to those received by state police officers.

#### CITIES:

Emporia Norton Waynesboro Williamsburg

### COUNTIES:

Accomack Alleghany Bland Botetourt Brunswick Buchanan Buckingham Caroline Carroll Charles City Charlotte Clarke Craig Culpeper Cumberland Dickenson Dinwiddie Fauquier Floyd Fluvanna Frederick Sussex Washington Wythe

Giles Gloucester Grayson Greene Greensville Halifax Highland Isle of Wight James City King George King and Queen King William Lancaster Lee Louisa Lunenburg Madison Mathews Middlesex Montgomery Nelson Tazewell Westmoreland

Northampton Northumberland Nottoway Orange Page Patrick Prince Edward Prince George Rappahannock Richmond Rockbridge Rockingham Russell Scott Shenandoah Smyth Southampton Spotsylvania Stafford Surry Warren Wise

New Kent

The following localities WILL NOT incur any revenue impact by changes to SPORS or SPORS-like retirement because they have independent retirement plans, and SPORS-like benefits are NOT applicable to them.

Alexandria Fairfax Portsmouth
Arlington Falls Church Powhatan County
Charlottesville Newport News Richmond
Danville Norfolk Roanoke City

## 2. EQUITABILITY AND ELIGIBILITY:

In determining whether the current eligibility requirements for SPORS retirement are equitable, three factors considered in the JLARC study were also considered in this analysis:

- The traditional rationale for establishing the 55-year requirement under SPORS;
- The funding mechanism for the Commonwealth's retirement system; and
- A comparison of retirement benefits available to state police officers and other state employees under VSRS.

The Commonwealth's rationale for establishing 55 as the age for retirement eligibility for state police officers is twofold: (i) because the quality of a state police officer's job performance is affected by the officer's age, state police officers have been traditionally allowed to retire at age 55; and (ii) because state police routinely perform hazardous duties such as high speed chases and arrests and are often exposed to dangerous situations in performing these duties, the retirement age for state police should remain at age 55.

Although prior to 1987 the retirement age for state police officers had traditionally been lower than the retirement age for other state employees, the 1987 General Assembly passed Senate Bill No. 434 and House Bill No. 1073, which provided that members of VSRS were eligible for full retirement benefits at age 55 with 30 years of service. This legislation appears to indicate a policy which favors a retirement system that has uniform eligibility requirements for retirement, even though benefits continue to be distinguishable based on type of service to the Commonwealth.

Since SPORS was adopted, the General Assembly has consistently reaffirmed that 55 years of age is a reasonable retirement age for state police, provided that the employee has served for 30 years, even after considering the relationship between age, job performance and hazardous duty of a state police officer. One factor which this subcommittee examined was whether a state police officer's exposure to hazardous duties declines after 25 years of service. According to the Department of State Police, there are 201 officers with 25 or more years of service. 105 officers are currently assigned to field duties and 96 are assigned to administrative duties. Field duty is defined as "the actual delivery of police service to the public; such as, the patrol of highways, apprehending violators and the investigation of crimes." Administrative duty is defined as "middle and upper level management positions or other assignments carried out in an office."

The preceding statistics indicated that the majority of state police officers with 25 years of service continue to be exposed to hazardous duties because they are serving in field positions, instead of administrative positions.

Virginia, North Carolina and Florida are the only states which require state police to work thirty years before they are eligible for retirement. Virginia is the only state that requires both 30 years of service and that the officer be 55 years of age before the officer is eligible for full retirement benefits. Notwithstanding this comparison of the Commonwealth's eligibility requirements to those of other states, Virginia's funding mechanism for retirement benefits provides a unique benefit to SPORS and VSRS members because Virginia's retirement system is a noncontributory system. A non-contributory system is one that does not require the employee to make any payments toward retirement, and the employer pays the entire amount toward retirement. Virginia is one of the following eight states which has a non-contributory retirement system for state police and other state employees: Arkansas, Connecticut, Florida, Michigan, Missouri, Tennessee, Virginia and Wyoming.

The funding mechanism for SPORS, therefore, is a significant feature in evaluating the equity of the Commonwealth's retirement policy, for although Virginia's state police are required to serve 30 years and be 55 to retire, they, unlike state police of most other states, contribute no payments toward the retirement benefits.

A final factor considered in the equity analysis is whether the benefits paid to state police officers are currently sufficient when compared to benefits paid to other state employees under VSRS. Even though retirement eligibility for SPORS and VSRS is the same, SPORS members receive increased retirement benefits in recognition of their years of hazardous duties and in efforts to attract competent law enforcement officers to a law enforcement career in the Commonwealth. SPORS members receive a special supplement of \$250 per month from age 55 to 58, and \$500 per month payable from age 58 to 65, which is NOT available to members of VSRS. Although both SPORS and VSRS members qualify for cost-of-living increases, VSRS members cannot qualify for the cost-of-living increase until age 60; SPORS members qualify at age 55. Also the maximum benefit limit is higher for SPORS (100%) than for members of VSRS (62.5% of average final compensation -- JLARC analysis). Both SPORS and VSRS benefits are exempt from Virginia individual income tax (§ 58.1-322).

In conclusion, even though eligibility requirements are identical for SPORS and VSRS members, the benefits payable to SPORS members provide state police with additional economic benefits which are unavailable to other state employees.

## 3. ADMINISTRATIVE OR LEGISLATIVE CHANGES:

According to VSRS, if the eligibility requirements for SPORS are amended by the General Assembly, no additional administrative changes will be required by the retirement system. To reduce the length of service requirement to 25 years of service and eliminate the 55 years of age requirement, §§ 51-150, 51-151 and 51-156 of the Code would need to be amended by the General Assembly.

# 1988 SURVEY OF SOUTHEASTERN STATES ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR STATE POLICE OFFICERS EARLY RETIREMENT WITH NO REDUCTION IN BENEFITS

State	Age	Service
Alabama	Any	3J Years
Florida	Any	30 Years
Georgia	55	Any
Kentucky	Any	20 Years
Louisiana	Any	20 Years
North Carolina	Any	30 Years
South Carolina	Any*	25 Years

\*Effective July 1, 1988

#### TABLE 1

## AGE AND YEARS OF SERVICE FOR FULL SERVICE RETIREMENT FOR STATE POLICE

### STATE

#### AGE/SERVICE

DINIE	AGE/ BERVICE		
Alabama	52/10 or any/30		
Arizona	62/15 or any/20		
Arkansas	65/10, 55/35 or any/30		
California	50/5		
Colorado	55/20 or any/30		
Connecticut	any/25		
Delaware	62/10 or any/25		
Florida	55/10, 52/25, any/30, or any/25		
	(continuous years)		
Georgia	55/any		
Idaho	60/5		
Illinois	55/20 or 50/25		
Indiana	45/20		
Iowa	55/22		
Kansas	55/20		
Kentucky	55/5 or any/20		
Louisiana	any/20		
Maine	55/25		
Maryland	50/any or any/25		
Massachusetts	50/any or any/20		
Michigan	any/25		
Minnesota	55/10		
Mississippi	55/5 or any/30		
Missouri	60/any or 55/4		
Montana	50/20		
Nebraska	55/20 or any/30		
Nevada	55/10 or 50/20		
New Hampshire	45/20		
New Jersey	55/any or any/25		
New Mexico	65/5, 60/20 or any/25		
New York	any/20		
North Carolina	55/5 or any/30		
North Dakota	55/15		
Ohio	52/20		
Oklahoma	50/20		
Oregon	55/any or 50/25		
•	-		

#### TABLE 2

## AGE AND YEARS OF SERVICE FOR FULL SERVICE RETIREMENT FOR STATE POLICE

(continued)

STATE	AGE/SERVICE	
,		
Pennsylvania	50/any or any/35	
Rhode Island	any/20	
South Dakota	60/5	
Tennessee	60/10 or any/30	
Texas	55/20 or 55/10	
Utah	65/4, 60/10 or any/20	
Vermont	55/20	
Virginia	60/any or 55/30	
Washington	55/any or any/25	
West Virginia	50/20 or any/25	

55/any 55/4

\*SOURCE: House Document No. 2, 1988.

Wisconsin

Wyoming

- B. RATIONALE SUPPORTING CHANGES IN THE ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR SPORS RETIREMENT TO TWENTY-FIVE YEARS OF SERVICE WITHOUT ANY AGE REQUIREMENT.
- Nine states currently allow state police officers to retire at any age after 25 years of service. The states included in this category are Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, New Mexico, Washington, and West Virginia.

Seven states allow state police to retire after 20 years of service regardless of age. The states included in this category are Arizona, Kentucky, Louisiana, Massachusetts, New York, Rhode Island, and Utah.

Eight states allow state police to retire after 30 years of service regardless of age. The states included in this category are Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida (alternative option), Mississippi, Nebraska, North Carolina, and Tennessee.

Proponents arguing for a change in the SPORS retirement age policy contend that Virginia is the only state requiring police officers to serve thirty years and reach age 55 for retirement; therefore, these are unreasonably restrictive service and age requirements compared to the eligibility requirements of other states.

- 2. Twelve localities in the Commonwealth have established separate retirement systems instead of joining VSRS: the Counties of Arlington, Powhatan, and Fairfax; and the Cities of Alexandria, Charlottesville, Danville, Falls Church, Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Richmond and Roanoke. In every county and city which has established an independent retirement plan, law enforcement officers are eligible for retirement after 25 years of service or less. Three localities, Fairfax, Norfolk and Portsmouth, allow retirement after 25 years of service regardless of age. State police officers contend that the SPORS retirement plan should similarly eliminate age as a criterion for retirement for the following reasons:
  - a. To achieve uniformity in the retirement policies of law enforcement officers so that members of local retirement systems, SPORS and localities providing SPORS-like benefits will be eligible for retirement after 25 years of service;

- b. To eliminate inequity in the current system which requires persons who entered the state police at 21 years of age, to serve for more than 30 years before being eligible for retirement, because the person is NOT 55. For example, if an officer joined the state police at the age of 21 and served 30 years, because the person is only 51 and ineligible for retirement, he must actually serve four additional years to obtain full retirement benefits. If an officer joined at the age of 25, however, that person is 55 after 30 years of service and is eligible for retirement without additional years of service.
- c. To eliminate the increased magnitude of hazards and risks which state police officers encounter because as medical evidence indicates, an officer's ability to perform certain duties declines with age. Fifty-five is an unreasonable age requirement given the officer's exposure to hazardous duties during his length of service. After evaluating the following factors, the JLARC analysis concluded that the "...Department of State Police appears to consistently face more hazards and risks than do the other law enforcement bodies of the Commonwealth"...:
  - (1) The Department reported five violent line-of-duty deaths within three years;
  - (2) The Department reported fifteen line-of-duty disability retirements from 1982 to 1986;
  - (3) The Department reported a higher rate of assaults on officers than any other law enforcement agency;
  - (4) The Department reported the highest volume of arrest activity of all state law enforcement agencies; and
  - (5) The Department reported the second highest number of high speed chases.
- d. To achieve more efficient and effective law enforcement agencies, and to expand advancement opportunities for younger officers in the system.

## Sheriffs and Deputy Sheriffs:

ISSUE II: WHETHER THE COMMONWEALTH SHOULD REQUIRE EVERY COUNTY AND CITY TO PROVIDE SPORS-LIKE BENEFITS FOR SHERIFFS AND DEPUTY SHERIFFS PURSUANT TO \$ 51-111.37, AND FUND THE COST OF PROVIDING THE EMPLOYER'S CONTRIBUTION RATE AND EMPLOYEE'S CONTRIBUTION RATE AS CURRENTLY PROVIDED FOR STATE EMPLOYEES PARTICIPATING IN THE VIRGINIA SUPPLEMENTAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM.

The joint subcommittee considered the following factors in relation to this issue:

#### 1. Current funding.

The current method for funding SPORS-like benefits for sheriffs, and which counties and cities currently provide these benefits;

#### 2. Additional costs.

The additional financial and administrative costs for the Commonwealth (VSRS and the Compensation Board) if the state funds SPORS-like benefits for all sheriffs' offices in the Commonwealth;

#### Rationale.

The rationale which proponents of state funding of sheriffs' retirement benefits submit for changing the current policy regarding local law enforcement officers' benefits; and

#### 4. Policy.

The Commonwealth's traditional policy regarding local retirement benefits.

#### 1. CURRENT FUNDING FOR SHERIFFS' RETIREMENT BENEFITS:

Section 14.1-51 provides that the Compensation Board shall establish a fair and reasonable budget of the amount which the state will pay for sheriffs' salaries, expenses and other allowances. The Compensation Board determines the state's contribution toward the preceding expenses after considering the funding request submitted by the sheriff's office. The state pays a portion of the expenses based on the rationale that the sheriff, like other constitutional officers, provides services to the state and locality; therefore, the state should finance its share of such services. In addition to the funds provided by the state to sheriffs, § 14.1-11.4 provides that any locality may supplement the compensation of the sheriff (and other constitutional officers) above the salary and other expenses paid by the state. Such additional compensation shall be wholly payable from the funds of the county or city pursuant to § 14.1-11.4. As a result, according to a 1987 Sheriff's Association survey, 26 localities pay supplemental salaries to sheriffs.

Currently, retirement benefits for sheriffs and deputy sheriffs are funded by the Compensation Board because these officers are constitutional officers. The retirement benefit consists of two parts: (1) the employer's contribution rate, which is a certain percentage of creditable compensation and (2) the employee's contribution rate, which is always 5% of creditable compensation. Since sheriffs are constitutional officers, the locality pays the cost of the employer's contribution rate, and the Compensation Board reimburses the locality in an amount equal to the cost of the employer's contribution rate. This rate varies by locality, depending on the actuarial evaluation. sheriff, unlike state employees under VSRS, must pay the cost of the employee's contribution rate of 5% of compensation per pay period, unless the locality has elected to assume the employee's contribution rate pursuant to § 51-111.46(h). The cost of assuming the employee's rate is paid by the locality and is not reimbursed by the Compensation Board. According to VSRS, 37 localities provide SPORS-like benefits to law enforcement officers and 30 of these localities pay the cost of the employee's contribution rate. assumption of the employee's contribution rate is considered a supplement pursuant to § 14.1-11.4, which is payable wholly from funds of the county or city (See Tables attached).

-21-

TABLE 3

## LOCALITIES PROVIDING SPORS-LIKE BENEFITS TO SHERIFFS

VSRS current rate: 7.5%

Locality	Employer's Contribution Rate	Employee's Rate	Local Assumption Of Employee's Rate	Compensation Board Reimbursement
Counties:				
Albemarle	6.07%	5%	YES	6.07%
Appomattox	8.12%	5%	NO	8.12%
Augusta	8.06%	5%	NO	8.06%
Bedford	8.68%	5%	YES	8.68%
Campbell	8.48%	5%	NO	8.48%
Chesterfield	6.11%	5%	YES	6.11%
Essex	8.65%	5%	NO	8.65%
Goochland	8.18%	5%	NO	8.18%
Hanover	6.34%	5%	YES	6.34%
Henrico	8.07%	5%	YES	8.07%
Henry	8.37%	5%	YES	8.37%
Loudoun	6.56%	5%	YES	6.56%
Mecklenburg	9.09%	5%	NO	9.09%
Pittsylvania	8.17%	5%	NO	8.17%
Prince William	6.37%	5%	YES	6.37%
Pulaski	7.82%	5%	YES	7.82%
Roanoke	6.53%	5%	YES	6.53%
York	7.40%	5%	YES	7.40%
<u>Cities:</u>				
Bedford	9.40%	5%	YES	9.40%
Bristol	10.86%	5%	NO	10.86%
Chesapeake	7.91%	5%	YES	7.91%
Colonial Heights	8.19%	5%	YES	8.19%
Danville	11.24%	5%	YES	11.24%

TABLE 3 (continued)

## LOCALITIES PROVIDING SPORS-LIKE BENEFITS TO SHERIFFS

VSRS current rate: 7.5%

Locality	Employer's Contribution Rate	Employee's Rate	Local Assumption Of Employee's Rate	Compensation Board Reimbursement
Cities:				
Fredericksburg	8.86%	5%	YES	8.86%
Franklin	11.94%	5%	YES	11.94%
Hampton	8.57%	5%	YES	8.57%
Hopewell	7.32%	5%	YES	7.32%
Lynchburg	10.74%	5%	YES	10.74%
Manassas	7.77%	5%	YES	7.77%
Martinsville	9.29%	5%	YES	9.29%
Petersburg	7.20%	5%	YES	7.20%
Poquoson	6.42%	5%	YES	6.42%
Radford	8.26%	5%	YES	8.26%
Roanoke	8.71%	5%	YES YES YES YES YES YES NO	8.26%
Salem	7.72%	5%		7.72%
Staunton	10.51%	5%		10.51%
Suffolk	7.48%	5%		7.48%
Virginia Beach	6.52%	5%		6.52%
Winchester	9.66%	5%		9.66%

#### TABLE 4

## COUNTIES AND CITIES THAT BELONG TO VSRS; DO NOT PROVIDE SPORS-LIKE BENEFITS TO LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS

## Cities:

Alexandria Emporia Norton Waynesboro Williamsburg

### Counties:

Accomack Alleghany Bland Botetourt Brunswick Buchanan Buckingham Caroline Carroll Charles City Charlotte Clarke Craig Culpeper Cumberland Dickenson Dinwiddie Fauquier Floyd Fluvanna Frederick Sussex Washington

Wythe

Giles Gloucester Grayson Greene Greensville Halifax Highland Isle of Wight James City King George King and Queen King William Lancaster Lee Louisa Lunenburg Madison Mathews Middlesex Montgomery Nelson

Tazewell

Westmoreland

New Kent Northampton Northumberland Nottoway Orange Page Patrick Prince Edward Prince George Rappahannock Richmond Rockbridge Rockingham Russell Scott Shenandoah Smyth Southampton Spotsylvania Stafford Surry Warren Wise

LOCALITIES WITH INDEPENDENT RETIREMENT PLANS

	Eligibi	lity			<u>Type Plan</u>			<u>Securit</u> <u>lement</u>
Locality	Age/S	ervice		*(	Contributory/Nor	ncontributory**	Yes /	<u>No</u>
Alexandria	None:	"Defined butio	Contri- n Plan"		X			X
Arlington	Pre 1981: Post:	50 52 or 50	/and 25		X			X
Charlottesville		55 and	30			X	X	
Danville		55 and	30			Χ		Х
Fairfax	Pre 1981: Post:	20 years 55 or	25		X			X
Falls Church		50 and	25		X			X
Newport News		55 or	30			Χ		X
Norfolk		55 or	25			X		X
Portsmouth		55 or	25			X		X
Powhatan		65			Χ			X
Richmond		50 and	25			Χ	X	•
Roanoke		50 and	25		مستدين المستديد	X	F *	X

<sup>\*</sup> Contributory

<sup>-</sup> The employee contributes a percentage of compensation to fund the retirement benefit

<sup>\*\*</sup>Noncontributory - The locality pays 100% of the funding costs for the retirement plan.

#### 2. COST TO THE COMMONWEALTH:

In the past, cost has been a significant factor in the Commonwealth's reluctance to fully fund benefits for constitutional officers and their employees. The five constitutional officers are sheriffs, clerks of courts, commissioners of the revenue, treasurers, and Commonwealth's attorneys. In 1984, when the Commonwealth fully funded the retirement costs for state employees, consideration was also given to funding the employer and employee contribution rates for constitutional officers. Nevertheless, according to a 1988 report (HB 29, 1988), the proposal was rejected because the funding mechanisms for retirement plans in localities were too diverse to make the transition to state funding efficiently and without an undue administrative burden.

Previous studies, however, were broader than the issue of assuming the employer's and employee's contribution rate for sheriffs and deputies in all localities. Two factors were considered in analyzing the cost of a state funded retirement system for sheriffs and deputy sheriffs:

- the increase in the employer and employee contribution rates; and
- the Compensation Board reimbursement rate to localities.

VSRS was unable to determine the increases in the employer and employee contribution rates if a mandatory requirement was imposed upon all localities to provide SPORS-like benefits to sheriffs and deputies because this determination would require an independent actuarial study on each locality, with an estimated cost of \$10,000. However, during the 1988 General Assembly, VSRS estimated that the employer's contribution rates would increase to the following rate if the Commonwealth established a separate state funded retirement system for sheriffs and deputies with benefits equivalent to state police officers:

- 11.16% of covered payroll, assuming all past service liabilities transferred to state; and
- 7.69% of covered payroll, assuming all past service liability remained with local governments.

These rates represent the increase in the employer's contribution rate only.

Based on the preceding VSRS assumptions, the Compensation Board estimated that the Commonwealth would incur the following costs if SPORS-like benefits were provided to sheriffs and deputy sheriffs in every locality:

#### REVENUE IMPACT

#### SHERIFFS RETIREMENT

#### Estimated Biennial State Cost Proposal A. FULL STATE FUNDING: 1. SPORS-like benefits mandatory with state funding of employer and employee contribution costs, past service liability \$ 20.4 million costs paid by the State 2. SPORS-like benefits mandatory with state funding of employer and employee contribution costs, past service liability costs paid by the Local Government \$ 12.6 million B. PARTIAL STATE FUNDING: 1. SPORS-like benefits mandatory with state funding of employer contribution costs \$ 9.2 million only, past service liability paid by state 2. SPORS-like benefits mandatory with state funding of employer contribution costs only, past service liability paid by local \$ 1.5 million government

\*Figures do not show cost impact upon the retirement benefits of localities that will be required to pay an increased retirement rate as a result of supplying sheriffs with the additional benefit.

The Compensation Board, however, cautioned that these figures may not necessarily reflect the total cost of state funding for sheriffs and deputies' retirement benefits because by removing sheriffs from the retirement scheme applicable to other constitutional officers, the contribution rates of the other constitutional officers may increase. Additionally, by fully funding sheriffs' benefits, the number of participants in the retirement plan applicable to other law enforcement officers is reduced; therefore, this could affect the employer and employee contribution rates for other local law enforcement officers who receive SPORS-like benefits.

## 3. RATIONALE SUPPORTING STATE FUNDING OF SPORS-LIKE RETIREMENT BENEFITS FOR SHERIFFS AND DEPUTY SHERIFFS:

Three reasons tend to support state funding of SPORS-like retirement benefits for sheriffs and deputy sheriffs:

<u>a.</u> Statistics indicate that sheriffs' duties are comparably hazardous to duties performed by state police officers; therefore, localities should be required to provide SPORS-like benefits to sheriffs and deputy sheriffs.

To support this contention, the Sheriff's Association suggested that the volume of crimes and number of assaults on sheriffs should be considered as indicators of hazardous duty. The Crime Index, which is a statistical compilation of crimes ranked in order of seriousness, indicates that most of the following crimes are reported to sheriffs and local police departments instead of state police:

- 1. Murder
- 2. Forcible Rape
- 3. Robbery
- 4. Aggravated Assault
- 5. Burglary
- 6. Larceny
- 7. Motor Vehicle Theft

Table 1 (in Appendices) indicates by county and city the type of crime committed and to which law enforcement agency the crime was reported. examining the data contained in the Uniform Crime Report (UCR), please note that the UCR does not reflect the support services, such as investigative expertise, which the state police provide to other law enforcement agencies. Often, the state police provide assistance in investigating crimes; however, the local agency is credited with the case in the UCR because the UCR reflects only arrest and offense totals. The Department of State Police, therefore, maintains an internal data summary system which indicated the number of prime incidents, assists and arrests which the Department provide for each type of offense. "Prime incidents" means that the crime was reported directly to the Department. "Assists" means the Department provided some support services to another agency in investigating the crime. "Number of arrests" means the total number of arrests which the Department made for the particular type of offense. The Department's internal data summary sheet for 1987 is attached. As Table 6 illustrates, the total number of assaults on sheriffs was substantially higher than assaults on state police. The state police reported a total of 59 assaults on officers, whereas sheriffs reported 149 assaults on officers.

Table 7 contains the number of Part II arrests made by sheriffs' officers in each county. Part II arrests are defined as all other crime classifications which are not defined as Index offenses. Although the table does not indicate the number of arrests per officer, it indicates that the volume of Part II arrests by sheriffs is very high.

b. Sheriffs also contend that SPORS-like benefits should be provided to sheriffs to achieve uniformity and parity in the retirement benefits available to law enforcement officers who perform comparably hazardous duties.

The local option feature of the current retirement system creates an inequity because sheriffs perform duties comparably hazardous to duties performed by state police in every county and city; however, the availability of benefits for sheriffs depends on whether the county or city elects to fund SPORS-like benefits. Proponents of state funded SPORS-like benefits for sheriffs claim that this inequity should be eliminated so that sheriffs obtain the same economic benefits at retirement for performing the same duties as state police. A comparison of the Class Specifications for State Police Trooper II positions and Deputy Sheriffs indicates that both officers perform identical function and duties, and are also subject to the same qualifications.

c. Sheriffs' duties are primarily devoted to duties on behalf of the state rather than the locality; therefore, the Commonwealth should fully fund sheriffs' retirement benefits costs.

The 1988 Study on Compensation of Constitutional Officers concluded that 77% of all duties performed by sheriffs are devoted to state functions and only 23% are local duties. Since the Commonwealth already funds 79% of sheriffs' officers actual expenses, the complete funding of retirement benefits would not be inconsistent with current funding policies.

## 4. RATIONALE OPPOSING MANDATORY SPORS-LIKE BENEFITS FOR SHERIFFS AND DEPUTY SHERIFFS.

In 1964, a study subcommittee reaffirmed the position that membership in the retirement system of constitutional officers and employees at the local level should be optional (SD 3, 1964, Retirement of Constitutional Officers and Employees). That subcommittee considered whether the state should extend VSRS to constitutional officers instead of allowing the locality to join VSRS. The subcommittee rejected a course of action that imposed state mandates on local government membership in the retirement system in lieu of optional membership. The subcommittee encouraged constitutional officers to educate their local governments about the benefits of VSRS and to encourage them to join the system in lieu of a mandatory membership policy. The subcommittee submitted the following comment:

"The Virginia Supplemental Retirement System as it is presently constituted is in keeping with the State's general policy of promoting local autonomy. Since the locality must share a portion of the employer cost, the adoption of any mandatory provision for coverage of constitutional officers and employees, whether by direct or indirect means, would be in effect an expenditure by the state from the locality's treasury."

Similarly, it appears that a mandatory provision requiring all local governments to provide SPORS-like benefits to sheriffs may be inconsistent with the traditional policy of allowing a local option retirement policy. Even though the proposed plan would require full state funding of retirement benefits, the organization, staffing levels and administrative requirements involved in implementing the proposal may still negatively impact local governments.

#### TABLE 6

## ASSAULTS ON POLICE OFFICERS

## Sheriffs' Officers -- 1987

## COUNTIES:

**************************************			
Alleghany Amherst	1 7	Montgomery Northampton	6 2
Augusta	1	Orange	8
Bedford	3	Page	5
Botetourt	2	Patrick	1
Buchanan	7	Pittsylvania	1
Campbell	14	Powhatan	1
Carroll	1	Prince Edward	1
Clarke	1	Prince George	3
Craig	1	Pulaski	4
Culpeper	6	Roanoke	10
Dinwiddie	3	Rockbridge	1
Essex	2	Rockingham	3
Fauquier	1	Russell	1
Fluvanna	1	Scott	2
Frederick	3	Smyth	3
Goochland	1	Southampton	1
Grayson	2	Stafford	1
Greene	6	Warren	1
Halifax	6	Westmoreland	6
Hanover	1	Wythe	1
Henry	6	York	2
Highland	1		
Loudoun	3		
Mathews	5		
State Police	Part II Arrests 1987	17,543	
State Police 1987	Assaults on Officers	59	

149

1,369

Sheriff's Department Assaults on

Assaults on Police Officers -- all

other Departments -- 1987

Officers -- 1987

TABLE 7

## SHERIFFS' OFFICES PART II ARRESTS

## **COUNTIES:**

Accomack	471	Essex	220	Lunenburg	331	Southampton
Alleghany	264	Fauquier	718	Madison	186	Spotsylvania
Amelia	38	Floyd	145	Mathews	384	Stafford
Amherst	698	Fluvanna	124	Mecklenburg	473	Surry
Appomattox	103	Franklin	961	Middlesex	188	Sussex
Augusta	1106	Frederick	981	Montgomery	1514	Tazewell
Bath	78	Giles	330	Nelson	99	Warren
Bedford	603	Gloucester	672	New Kent	182	Washington
Bland	35	Goochland	171	Northampton	72	Westmoreland
Botetourt	315	Grayson	318	Northumberland	230	Wise
Brunswick	173	Greene	348	Nottoway	349	Wythe
Buchanan	1365	Greensville	255	Orange	457	York
Buckingham	127	Halifax	294	Page	478	
Campbell	777	Hanover	769	Patrick	450	
Caroline	616	Henry	1529	Pittsylvania	1334	
Carroll	568	Highland	36	Powhatan	210	
Charles City	145	Isle of Wight	155	Prince Edward	227	
Charlotte	259	King&Queen	45	Prince George	354	
Clarke	213	King George	328	Pulaski	706	
Craig	52	King William	125	Rappahannock	116	
Culpeper	651	Lancaster	195	Richmond	168	
Cumberland	68	Lee	547	Roanoke	1974	
Dickenson	143	Loudoun	1408	Rockbridge	554	
Dinwiddie	373	Louisa	253	Rockingham	1673	
				Russell	37	
				Scott	698	
				Shenandoah	156	
				Smyth	381	

Although some sheriffs and deputy sheriffs perform law enforcement duties comparable to duties of state police officers, sheriffs and deputy sheriffs also perform duties as jailers and courtroom security officers. For purposes of determining the salary range of deputy sheriffs the Compensation Board is required by § 14.1-73.1:2 to compare the deputy sheriffs salaries to salaries of a state correctional officer. Whereas, for retirement benefit purposes, § 51.1-111.37 requires the local governing body to compare the duties of the sheriff and deputy sheriff to duties of a state police officer to determine whether the duties are comparably hazardous so that SPORS-like benefits may be extended to the local law enforcement officers. In essence, the sheriffs and deputy sheriffs perform duties similar to duties performed by both state police officers and correctional officers.

The Compensation Board divides deputy sheriffs into the following three categories for salary purposes:

- 1. law enforcement officer;
- 2. correctional officer; and
- 3. courtroom security officer.

A law enforcement officer prevents and detects crime, and enforces the penal, traffic or highways laws of the Commonwealth. (§ 9-169)

A correctional officer (jailer) keeps records describing persons committed to the local jail, types of offenses and terms of confinement. (§ 53.1-116)

A courtroom security officer ensures that the courthouses and courtrooms within the jurisdiction are secure from violence and disruption. (§ 53.1-120)

The joint subcommittee determined that in most counties of the Commonwealth, sheriffs and deputy sheriffs perform all three of the preceding duties. In most cities; however, deputy sheriffs' duties are limited to duties as jailers and courtroom security officers. The attached table summarizes by locality the type of duties performed by deputy sheriffs and whether the locality currently provides SPORS-like benefits to sheriffs and deputy sheriffs. The joint subcommittee concluded that there appears to be no correlation between the type of duties assigned to the deputy sheriffs by the Compensation Board for salary purposes, and whether the locality provides SPORS-like retirement benefits to the sheriffs and their deputies.

TABLE 8

		Correctional	<b>A</b> 1	SPORS-like H	Retirement
	Law	Officer	Courtroom		
County	Enforcement	<u>(Jailer)</u>	Security	YES	<u>NO</u>
Accomack	x				
Albemarle			X	X	
Alleghany	X	X	X		
Amelia	X		X		
Amherst	x	X	X		
Appomattox	x	X	x	X	ŧ
Arlington		X	X	Independent	Retirement
_				System	
Augusta	X	X	X	X	
Bath	X	X	X		
Bedford	X	X	X	X	
Bland	x	X	X		
Botetourt	X	X	X		
Brunswick	X		X		
Buchanan	X	X	X		
Buckingham	X	X	X		
Campbel1	x	x	x	X	
Caroline	X	X	X	••	
Carroll	X	X	X		
Charles City		••	X		
Charlotte	X	X	X		
		••	••	•	
Chesterfield		X	X	X	
Clarke	X	X	X		
Craig	X		X		
Culpeper	X	X	X		
Cumberland	X		X		
Dickenson	x	x	x		
Dinwiddie	X	X	X		
Essex	X		X	X	
Fairfax		X	X	Independent System	Retirement
Fauquier	X	x	x	<i>5</i> ,200	
Floyd	x	x	x		
Fluvanna	X		X		
Franklin	X	X	X		
Frederick	X	X	X		
Giles	X	X	X		

	Law	Correctional Officer	Courtroom	SPORS-like F	Retirement
<b>Co</b>	_			TTTIC	MO
County	Enforcement	<u>(Jailer)</u>	Security	YES	NO
Gloucester	x	X	x		
Goochland	X		X	X	
Grayson	X	X	X		
Greene	X		X		
Greensville	X	X	X		
Halifax	x	x	x		
Hanover	X	X	X	X	
Henrico		X	X	X	
Henry	X	X	X	X	
Highland	x	X	X		
Isle of	•				
Wight	X		X		
James City					
County			X		
King & Queen	X		X		
King George	X		X		
King William	X		X		
Lancaster	x	x	x		
Lee	X	X	X		
Loudoun	X	X	X	X	
Louisa	X	X	X		
Lunenburg	X		X		
Madison	x		x		
Mathews	X		X		
Mecklenburg	X	X	X	X	
Middlesex	X		X		
Montgomery	X	X	X		
Nelson	x	x	x		
New Kent	X		X		
Northampton	X	X	X		
Northumberland		X	X		
Nottoway	X		X		
Orange	X	x	x		
Page	X	X	X		
Patrick	X	X	X		
Pittsylvania	X	X	X	X	
Powhatan	X		X	Independent System	Retirement

	Law	Correctional Officer	Courtroom	SPORS-like R	
County	Enforcement	<u>(Jailer)</u>	Security	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Prince Edward Prince George Prince William	x		x x x	x	
Pulaski	X	X	X	X	
Rappahannock	X	X	X		
Richmond	X	X	X		
Roanoke	X	X	X	X	
Rockbridge	X		X		
Rockingham	X	X	X		
Russell	X	X	X		
Scott	x	x	X		
Shenandoah	X	X	X		
Smyth	X	X	X		
Southampton	X	X	X		
Spotsylvania	X		X		
Stafford	x	x	x		
Surry	X		X		
Sussex	X	X	X		
Tazewell	X	X	X		
Warren	X	X	X		
Washington	x	x	X		
Wise	X	X	X		
Wythe	X	X	X		
York	X	X	X		
<u>Cities:</u>					
Alexandria		X	X	Independent	
Bedford		X	X	X	
Bristol		X	X	X	
Buena Vista		A	X	Δ	
Charlottesvill	•		X	Independent	
Cuariottesvill	E		Δ	THREPSHREHE	

	Law	Correctional Officer	Courtroom	SPORS-like F	Retirement
City	Enforcement	<u>(Jailer)</u>	Security	YES	<u>NO</u>
Chesapeake Clifton Forge Colonial Heigh		X	x x x	x x x	
Covington Danville	X	X X	X. X	Independent	
Emporia Fairfax Falls Church Franklin	x	x x	х х х х	Independent X	
Fredericksburg		A	X	X	
Galax Hampton Harrisonburg		X	x	x	
Hopewell Lexington			X	X	
Lynchburg Manassas Manassas Park		x	X X X	x x	
Martinsville Newport News		X X	X X	X Independent	
Norfolk Norton		x	X X	Independent	
Petersburg Poquoson Portsmouth	x	X X	X X X	X X Independent	
Radford Richmond		X X	X X	X Independent	
Roanoke Salem South Boston		X	x x	Independent X	
Staunton Suffolk Virginia Beach		x	х х х х	x x	x
Waynesboro Williamsburg Winchester		X	x x x	x	

#### COMMUNICATIONS OPERATORS

## ISSUE III: WHETHER THE SALARIES OF COMMUNICATIONS OPERATORS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE SHOULD BE INCREASED TWO GRADES.

The following four factors were considered in evaluating the salaries of communications operators of the Department of State Police:

- 1. The duties which communications operators perform;
- 2. The current grade levels and salaries of communications operators;
- The cost of regrade;
- 4. The qualifications and training requirements of communications operators; and
- 5. The rationale supporting a salary increase for communications operators.

#### 1. Duties:

A State Police Communications Operator performs the following duties according to the class specification of the Department of Personnel and Training:

- Operates a radio console, teletype and other equipment to transmit and receive messages and other data relating to law enforcement and emergency services;
- Operates a radio console for all persons using the State Police Communications System;
- Records messages in a radio log;
- Operates a radio paging device to contact persons on a standby status;
- Answers emergency telephone calls from citizens, obtains necessary information and takes appropriate action to dispatch police, fire, rescue or wrecking assistance;
- Receives visitors during irregular office hours;
- Listens to complaints and requests for assistance;
- Maintains work schedules for field personnel, telephone listings;
- Checks headquarters building for security;
- Processes criminal justice information for the Virginia Criminal Information Network Control Center which involves obtaining information from any state, federal or local criminal justice agency, criminal history information from State Police files or drivers' records from DMV.

#### 2. Grade Levels and Salary Ranges:

Currently there are two grade levels for communications operators employed by the Department of State Police:

		Salary	Salary
	Grade	Range FY 1988-89	Range FY 1987-88
Lead Communications Operator	8	\$18,134- \$24,767	\$17,521 - \$23,929
Communications Operator	7	\$16,585 - \$23,929	\$16,035 - \$21,889

#### 3. Cost of Regrade:

The Department of Personnel and Training reported that currently there are 75 filled positions in the State Police Communications Operator Class and 21 filled positions in the State Police Lead Communications Operator Class. In analyzing the cost, the Department determined that the cost of a two-grade regrade is affected by the number of step increases authorized by the regrade. For a two-grade regrade, therefore, the cost will range depending upon the number of step increases; thus, there is a minimum and maximum cost. The maximum step increases for a two-grade regrade is four steps and the minimum steps is zero. The attached table illustrates the cost range of the two-grade regrade.

According to the Department of Personnel and Training, the issue of a regrade for communications operators was examined in 1987. The Department surveyed eight southeastern states and the salaries of communications The Department determined that the Commonwealth's salaries are competitive in comparison to those of other states and the turnover rate is According to the State Police, few communications operators relatively low. transfer to trooper positions. Also, the average years of service for State Police communications operators is 7.5 years and 16.8 years for State Police communications lead operators. The average years of service for all classified state employees is 9.7 years of service. As these statistics indicate, the average length of service does not indicate a high turnover rate, since turnover rates that do not exceed the state average are normally considered acceptable.

#### Table 9

#### REVENUE IMPACT

## Estimated Cost of a Two Grade Salary Regrade for Communications Operators of the Department of State Police

Current Grade		Proposed (	Change		
			Bie	ennial Cost	
COMMUNICATIONS OP	ERATOR				
Grade 7	to	Grade 9:	Minimum:	\$174,079 \$259,419 \$422,280	(0 step) (1 step) (2 step)
LEAD COMMUNICATION	NS OPERATOR			\$606,690	(3 step)
Grade 8	to	Grade 10:	Maximum:	\$811,708	(4 step)

<sup>\*</sup>The cost is based upon the number of step increases which are authorized by the two grade regrade.

#### 4. Qualifications and Training:

The qualifications required for a communications operator are as follows:

- Graduation from high school and additional position related education or experience.
- Ability to enunciate clearly.
- Ability to type.
- Ability to use good judgment under stress.

The training program for communications operators is a three-week program consisting of an 80-hour training course at the State Police Academy, and 40 hours of field training. The Basic Communications Operator's Screeces consists of courses in public relations, state police procedures, speech and telephone techniques, emergency communications, first aid, CPR, and geography of Virginia.

## 5. Rationale Supporting A Salary Increase for Communications Operators of the Department of State Police:

- a. Communications operators provide essential communication services for the Department of State Police, and many other state and federal law enforcement agencies also benefit from their services, including:
  - Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control
  - Division of Motor Vehicles
  - Secret Service
  - Virginia Emergency Operations Center
  - Bureau of Mines
- b. Communications operators perform many different types of dispatching tasks that require operators to consistently exercise good judgment and clear thinking under stressful conditions because all radio and telephone communications occur simultaneously. The following are some examples of the duties which communications operators perform on a regular basis:

- Dispatch troopers to accident scenes, stranded motorists, domestic disputes, and crime scenes;
- Receive and record information from informants;
- Receive information about the location of airplane crashes, fugitives, hostages, suicides, bomb threats, and personal complaints.
- 3. Communications operators are the only civilians in the Department who are required to work shifts and are also classified as <u>essential</u> personnel. Shift work limits the ability of communications operators to pursue other economic or educational opportunities. Classification as <u>essential</u> employees imposes an additional burden because the operators must report to work even in inclement weather.
- 4. Communications operators perform vital services by receiving and disseminating information for the State Police and other law enforcement agencies, and these communication services require unique skills. A salary increase would serve as an incentive to communications operators to remain in their positions on a long-term basis, as well as encourage efficient and effective job performance. In addition, the economic benefits will attract the most qualified and experienced individuals for future positions as communications operators in the Department of State Police.
- 5. Traditionally, the top salaries of communications Operators have been comparable to state troopers, with a differential of \$3,000 or less. However, in 1987, the salary increase authorized for state troopers was not applicable to communications operators, and state troopers have salaries of more than \$10,000 higher than communications operators.

# SALARY COMPARISON Virginia State Trooper -- Communications Operator

Top Pay

1974	Trooper Dispatcher	\$12,528 \$ 9,168	Difference	\$3,360
1975	Trooper Dispatcher	\$13,128 \$ 9,600	Difference	\$3,529
1976	Trooper Dispatcher	\$ Unavailable		
1977	Trooper Dispatcher	\$14,328 \$12,000	Difference	\$2,328
1978	Trooper Dispatcher	\$15,000 \$12,528	Difference	\$2,472
1979	Trooper Dispatcher	\$16,400 \$13,728	Difference	\$2,672
1980	Trooper Dispatcher	\$18,340 \$15,340	Difference	\$3,000
1981	Trooper Dispatcher	\$19,991 \$16,721	Difference	\$3,270
1982	Trooper Dispatcher	\$20,791 \$17,390	Difference	\$3,401
1983	Trooper Dispatcher	WAGES FROZE	N	
1984	Trooper Dispatcher	\$22,579 \$18,886	Difference	\$3,693
1985	Trooper Lead Communication Operator Communications Operator	\$23,934 \$21,885 \$20,019	Difference	\$3,915
1986	Trooper Lead Communication Operator	\$25,028 \$22,885		70,720
	Communications Operator	\$20,934	Difference	\$4,094

#### SALARY COMPARISON

### Virginia State Trooper -- Communications Operator

### Top Pay (continued)

1987	Master Trooper Senior Trooper Trooper II Trooper I Lead Communications Operator Communications Operator	\$34,172 \$31,261 \$28,594 \$26,169 \$23,929 \$21,889	Difference Difference	\$10,243 \$ 6,705
1988	Master Trooper Senior Trooper Trooper II Trooper I Lead Communications Operator Communications Operator	\$35,368 \$32,355 \$29,595 \$27,085 \$24,767 \$22,655	Difference Difference	\$10,601 \$ 6,904

### REQUESTED TWO PAY GRADE INCREASE

\$35,368		
\$32,355		
\$29,595		
\$27,085		
\$29,595	Difference	\$ 5,773
\$27,085	Difference	\$ 2,510
	\$29,595 \$27,085 \$29,595	\$32,355 \$29,595 \$27,085 \$29,595 Difference

#### IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

The joint subcommittee held four meetings to examine the preceding issues and listened to comments from interested parties. After analyzing the advantages and disadvantages of the preceding proposals, the joint subcommittee adopted the following three recommendations for introduction as a bill to the 1989 General Assembly:

#### 1. STATE POLICE OFFICERS RETIREMENT:

The eligibility requirements for the State Police Officers Retirement System should be reduced from thirty years of service and fifty-five years of age to twenty-five years of service and age fifty. This recommendation was supported for the following reasons:

- Virginia is the only state which requires state police to be 55
  years of age and serve 30 years to retire; therefore, the current
  scheme appears too stringent when compared to surrounding states'
  retirement requirements, and the hazardous duties which state
  police consistently perform;
- 2. The total biennial cost to the Commonwealth of reducing state police retirement requirements is estimated to be \$1.4 million and will not impose any additional administrative burdens upon SPORS (Table 10); and
- 3. The proposal is consistent with the traditional policy in the Commonwealth of including age and length of service as two requirements for retirement eligibility, rather than eliminating the age factor from the formula, as initially proposed.

#### 2. SHERIFFS:

All localities participating in the Virginia Supplemental Retirement System should be required to provide sheriffs with SPORS-like benefits. Sheriffs in SPORS-like localities should also be eligible for early retirement after 25 years of service and age 50 because, similar to state police, their retirement benefits are funded by the Commonwealth and only a small portion is paid by the localities. Local law enforcement officers, other than sheriffs and firefighters, would be entitled to retire after the 25 years of service and age 50 requirement if the locality elected to adopt the early service retirement provision for its local law enforcement officers (deputy sheriffs included) and firefighters. If the locality did not elect the 25 and 50 provisions, law enforcement officers and firefighters would be subject to the 30 years of service and age 55 provisions currently in effect. This recommendation was supported for the following reasons:

- It allows the localities the option of determining whether the revenue impact of adopting early retirement provisions of 25 and 50 will be too substantial to be feasible, and it allows localities the option of deciding whether the 25 and 50 are in fact reasonable requirements for local law enforcement officers (the estimated annual revenue impact upon each locality is listed in Table 10(A)); and
- 2. Since sheriffs retirement is primarily funded by the Commonwealth, the early retirement for sheriffs will have a minimal revenue impact upon local governments. The cost to the Commonwealth is estimated by the House Appropriations Staff to be \$426,000.

#### 3. COMMUNICATIONS OPERATORS:

The joint subcommittee recommended that Secretary of Administration, Carolyn Jefferson-Moss, be requested to further examine the salaries of communications operators to determine whether a two-grade regrade is appropriate. The joint subcommittee determined that as a matter of policy, personnel issues should be examined by the Department of Personnel and Training, although the General Assembly may make adjustments to the Department's recommendations when feasible and necessary.

#### Minority View

Senator Howard Anderson did not agree with the recommendations of the joint subcommittee for the following reasons:

- 1. The actual cost of changing the retirement eligibility requirements for state police and other local law enforcement officers could not be determined, and he was concerned that the actual local cost of reducing the retirement requirements would negatively impact localities.
- 2. The proposed policy change should not be effective without considering the possibility of extending SPORS-like benefits to other public safety personnel serving the Commonwealth, such as correctional officers, who are exposed to duties which are comparably hazardous to state police and other local law enforcement officers. However, correctional officers currently are not eligible for the same retirement benefits.

Table 10

## BIENNIAL REVENUE IMPACT IF SPORS IS CHANGED TO 25 YEARS OF SERVICE, AND AGE 50

SPORS			
(STATE POLICE ONLY)	STATE COST	LOCAL COST	
	\$ 1 million	\$ 0	

COMPENSATION BOARD
REIMBURSEMENT COSTS TO
SPORS-LIKE LOCALITIES FOR
CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS

\$ 426,000

Estimated Total:

\$ 1.4 million

### Table 10(A)

## ANNUAL REVENUE IMPACT UPON SPORS-LIKE LOCALITIES IF 25 YEARS OF SERVICE, AND AGE 50 OPTION IS ADOPTED

SPORS-LIKE LOCALITIES	LOCAL COST		
Estimated Total:	\$ 2.2 million		
Counties: (18)			
Albemarle Appomattox Augusta Bedford Campbell	\$ 28,452 \$ 4,800 \$ 19,294 \$ 11,254 \$ 15,244		
Chesterfield Essex Goochland Hanover Henrico	\$ 161,667 \$ 3,466 \$ 4,734 \$ 32,558 \$ 251,488		
Henry Loudoun Mecklenburg Pittsylvania Prince William	\$ 16,596 \$ 74,922 \$ 8,995 \$ 16,026 \$ 213,622		
Pulaski Roanoke York	\$ 14,894 \$ 51,190 \$ 34,455		
Cities: (20)			
Bedford Bristol Chesapeake Colonial Heights Danville	\$ 8,310 \$ 28,120 \$ 177,836 \$ 12,593 \$ 6,062		

# ANNUAL REVENUE IMPACT UPON SPORS-LIKE LOCALITIES IF 25 YEARS OF SERVICE AND AGE 50 OPTION IS ADOPTED (continued)

SPORS-LIKE LOCALITIES	LOCAL COST		
<u>Cities:</u>			
Fredericksburg	\$	24,637	
Franklin	\$	8,853	
Hampton	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	142,072	
Hopewell	\$	30,080	
Lynchburg	\$	84,333	
Manassas	\$	25,911	
Martinsville	\$	30,080	
Petersburg	<del>*</del> * * * *	61,243	
Poquoson	\$	6,008	
Radford	\$	14,341	
Salem	\$	48,211	
Staunton	* * * * *	18,075	
Suffolk	\$	48,819	
Virginia Beach	\$	407,609	
Winchester	\$	21,612	
<u>Towns:</u> (11)			
Altavista	\$	3,788	
Big Stone Gap	<b>\$ \$ \$ \$</b> \$	3,706	
Chatham	\$	350	
Culpeper	\$	8,163	
Hurt	\$	230	
Luray	\$	2,234	
Narrows	\$	1,127	
Pearisburg	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,741	
Vienna	\$	15,355	
Vinton	\$	4,724	
Warrenton	\$	6,206	

SOURCE: House Appropriations Committee Staff

Respectfully submitted,

Delegate Robert B. Ball, Sr., Chairman

Senator Howard P. Anderson, Vice Chairman\*
(See Minority View, page 46)

Delegate Franklin P. Hall

Delegate Wm. Roscoe Reynolds

Delegate Robert W. Ackerman

Senator Elmo G. Cross, Jr.

Senator Johnny S. Joannou

### V. APPENDICES

LD5550416 JS

1 D 12/27/88 McNally C 1/17/89 smw

```
SENATE BILL NO. ..... HOUSE BILL NO. ......
2
3
   A BILL to amend and reenact §§ 51-111.37, 51-150, 51-151, 51-156 and
         51-157.1 of the Code of Virginia, relating to retirement benefits
 4
         for state police officers and local law-enforcement officers.
5
 6
        Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia:
 7
       That §§ 51-111.37, 51-150, 51-151 and 51-156 of the Code of
 8
   Virginia are amended and reenacted as follows:
 9
         § 51-111.37. Benefits.-- A. Employees who become members under
10
   this article and on behalf of whom contributions are paid as provided
11
12
    in this article shall be entitled to benefits under the retirement
    system, provided, however, that for any such employees who are
13
    employed in (a) law-enforcement positions comparably hazardous to that
14
15
    of a State police officer, including any sworn law-enforcement officer
   who has the duty and obligation to enforce the penal, traffic and
16
   highway laws of this Commonwealth as directed by his superior officer,
17
    if so certified by his appointing authority, or (b) positions as
18
    full-time salaried fire fighters, the employer may, by resolution
19
    legally adopted and approved by the Board, elect to provide benefits
20
    equivalent to those provided for State police officers of the
21
    Department of State Police, as set out in §§ 51-144 (15), 51-150,
22
    51-151 (a) (b) (d) (e) (f) (g), 51-152, 51-153, 51-154, 51-155,
23
24
    51-156, 51-157, 51-157.1 and 51-159 in lieu of the benefits that would
```

B. Any locality that is a member of the Virginia Supplemental

otherwise be provided hereunder.

25

36

1 Retirement System shall provide sheriffs with benefits equivalent to

- 2 benefits provided to state police officers of the Department of State
- 3 Police including early service retirement for sheriffs at age fifty
- 4 and after twenty-five years of creditable service pursuant to the
- 5 provisions of § 51-150 and § 51-151 of this title.
- 6 C. Each employer providing the benefits of subdivision A or B of
- 7 this section for its employees on July 1, 1990, may elect to provide
- 8 for the early retirement of employees as set forth in this subsection
- 9 in lieu of the early retirement provisions of subsection B of § 51-150
- 10 and subsection A2 of § 51-151. Such election must be made to the
- 11 Board in writing prior to November 1, 1990. Any member in service on
- 12 or after his fifty-fifth birthday with five or more years of
- 13 creditable service may retire upon written notification to the Board
- 14 setting forth at what time the retirement is to become effective.
- 15 Such effective date shall be after his last day of service but shall
- 16 not be more than ninety days prior to the filing of such notice. The
- 17 member shall receive an allowance which shall be determined in the
- 18 same manner as for retirement at an employee's normal retirement date
- 19 with years of creditable service and average final compensation being
- 20 determined as of the date of his actual retirement. If the member has
- 21 less than thirty years of service at the date of retirement, the
- 22 amount of the retirement allowance so determined shall be reduced on
- 23 an actuarial equivalent basis for the period by which the actual
- 24 retirement date precedes the earlier of (i) the member's normal
- 25 retirement date or (ii) the first date on or after the member's
- 26 fifty-fifth birthday on which the member would have completed a total
- 27 of thirty years of creditable service had the member been continuously
- 28 in service from the date of retirement until such first date.

- 1 For purposes of benefits payable under the provisions of
- 2 subsection B of § 51-156, members of employers electing to provide
- 3 benefits in accordance with the provisions of this subsection who have
- 4 not attained the age of fifty-five on the date of death shall be
- 5 assumed to be fifty-five years of age for the purposes of reducing the
- 6 benefits on an actuarial equivalent basis.
- 7 D. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the retirement
- 8 system shall not be liable for the payment of any retirement
- 9 allowances or other benefits on account of the members or
- 10 beneficiaries of any employer under this article, for which reserves
- 11 have not been previously created from funds contributed by such
- 12 employer or the members for such benefits.
- 13 § 51-150. Service retirement generally.--A. Any member in
- 14 service at his normal retirement date with five or more years of
- 15 creditable service may retire at any time then or thereafter upon
- 16 written notification to the Board, setting forth at what time the
- 17 retirement is to become effective. Such effective date shall be after
- 18 his last day of service but shall not be more than ninety days prior
- 19 to the filing of such notice. Any member, except one appointed by the
- 20 Governor or elected by the people, who attains seventy years of age
- 21 shall be retired forthwith; however, any employer, subsequent to the
- 22 employee's normal retirement date, may provide for compulsory service
- 23 retirement upon a determination that age is a bona fide occupational
- 24 qualification reasonably necessary to the normal operation of the
- 25 particular business or that the employee is incapable of performing
- 26 his duties in a safe and efficient manner. Any such determination
- 27 shall be made by the employer.
- B. Any member in service on or after his fifty-fifth fiftieth

1 birthday with five or more years of creditable service may retire upon

- 2 written notification to the Board setting forth at what time the
- 3 retirement is to become effective. Such effective date shall be after
- 4 his last day of service but shall not be more than ninety days prior
- 5 to the filing of such notice.
- 6 C. Any member having terminated service (i) prior to July 1,
- 7 1966, after fifteen or more years of creditable service, or (ii)
- 8 subsequent to June 30, 1966, and prior to July 1, 1970, after ten or
- 9 more years of creditable service or (iii) subsequent to June 30, 1970,
- 10 after five or more years of creditable service, may retire under the
- 11 provisions of subsection A or B of this section, provided that he
- 12 shall the member has not have withdrawn his accumulated contributions
- 13 prior to the effective date of his retirement, and except that any
- 14 requirements as to the member being in service shall not apply.
- 15 However, any member shall be entitled to the benefits of this
- 16 subsection if he has five or more years of creditable service for
- 17 which his employer has paid the contributions and such contributions
- 18 cannot be withdrawn. No member shall be entitled to the benefits of
- 19 this subsection if his employer certifies that his service was
- 20 terminated because of dishonesty, malfeasance or misfeasance in
- 21 office. Such certification may be appealed to the Board, and its
- 22 decision shall be final.
- D. In the event the member is physically or mentally unable to
- 24 submit written notification of his intention to retire, the member's
- 25 appointing authority may submit such notification on his behalf.
- 26 § 51-151. Service retirement allowance.--(a) Upon retirement as
- 27 provided in § 51-150, on or after July 1, 1984 1990, a member shall
- 28 receive an annual retirement allowance, payable monthly to him for

1 life, subject to the provisions of subsections (e) E and (g) G of

- 2 this section, determined in accordance with subdivision (1) or (2),
- 3 whichever is applicable:
- 4 (1) Normal retirement under § 51-150 (a) A. . An allowance
- 5 equal to (A) 1.5 percent of his average final compensation multiplied
- 6 by his number of years of creditable service, or (B) 1.65 percent of
- 7 his average final compensation minus \$1,200 multiplied by his number
- 8 of years of creditable service, whichever is larger, subject, however,
- 9 to the provisions of subsections (b) B and (e) C of this section. A
- 10 member who terminated employment prior to July 1, 1974, shall receive
- 11 an allowance equal to 1.65 percent of average final compensation as
- 12 defined in § 51-144 (12) minus \$1,200 multiplied by his number of
- 13 years of credited service.
- 14 (2) Early retirement under § 51-150 (b). An allowance which
- 15 shall be determined in the same manner as for retirement at his normal
- 16 retirement date with years of creditable service and average final
- 17 compensation being determined as of the date of his actual retirement.
- 18 If the member has less than thirty twenty-five years of service at
- 19 his the date of retirement, the amount of the retirement allowance so
- 20 determined shall be reduced on an actuarial equivalent basis for the
- 21 period by which the actual retirement date precedes the earlier of (i)
- 22 his normal retirement date or (ii) the first date on or after his
- 23 fifty-fifth fiftieth birthday on which he would have completed a
- 24 total of thirty twenty-five years of creditable service had he been
- 25 continuously in service from his date of retirement until such first
- 26 date.
- 27 (b) B. In addition to the allowance payable under subsection
- 28 (a) of this section, a member who retires on, prior to or after July

- 1 1, 1986, shall receive an additional annual allowance, payable
- 2 monthly, equal to \$3,000 annually from date of retirement until his
- 3 fifty-eighth birthday and \$6,000 annually from his fifty-eighth
- 4 birthday and prior to his sixty-fifth birthday. Such allowance shall
- 5 be reviewed and may be adjusted by the Board biennially to an amount
- 6 recommended by the actuary of the Virginia Supplemental Retirement
- 7 System based upon increases in social security benefits in the
- 8 interim. This subsection shall not apply to the following: (i) any
- 9 member who qualifies for retirement under subsection (c) of § 51-150
- 10 and is credited with less than twenty years' service rendered in a
- 11 hazardous position, (ii) any member employed initially on or after
- 12 July 1, 1974, who is credited with less than twenty years' service
- 13 rendered in a hazardous position, or (iii) any member covered under
- 14 the provisions of § 51-111.37 who has not rendered twenty years of
- 15 service in a hazardous position.
- 16 (e) C. Subject to the provisions of subsection (d) D of this
- 17 section, the retirement allowance payable under this section to any
- 18 member who was in service, on June 30, 1966, shall prior to his
- 19 sixty-fifth birthday, be not less, in the case of a member who retires
- 20 on or after his normal retirement date, than an amount equal to two
- 21 percent of the member's average final compensation multiplied by his
- 22 years of creditable service not in excess of twenty-five years or, in
- 23 the case of a member who retires prior to his normal retirement date,
- 24 than an amount similarly determined but reduced on an actuarial
- 25 equivalent basis for the period by which the actual retirement date
- 26 precedes the normal retirement date, nor after his sixty-fifth
- 27 birthday shall it be less than the excess, if any, of such amount over
- 28 one-half of the annual primary social security benefits under the

1 federal Social Security Act to which he became entitled at his

- 2 sixty-fifth birthday, or to which he would have become entitled at
- 3 such birthday except for having elected to have his social security
- 4 benefits commence at an earlier date.
- 5 (d) D. For the purposes of subsections (e) C and (g) G of
- 6 this section, the retirement allowance shall be determined on the
- 7 assumption that it is payable to the member alone and that no optional
- 8 form of retirement allowance as provided in § 51-111.60 is elected.
- 9 (e) E. Should a beneficiary of a service retirement allowance
- 10 under this chapter be at any time in service as an employee in a
- 11 position covered for retirement purposes under the provisions of this
- 12 or any chapter other than Chapter 3.1 (§ 51-111.1 et seq.) of this
- 13 title, his retirement allowance shall cease while so employed.
- 14 (f) F. In the case of any member retired prior to July 1, 1970,
- 15 for service, the retirement allowance payable on or after July 1,
- 16 1970, shall be equal to the larger of an amount or amounts computed in
- 17 accordance with the preceding subsections of this section as adopted
- 18 and amended prior to July 1, 1974, and the amount or amounts of the
- 19 allowance that would have been payable except for the provisions of
- 20 this subsection.
- 21 (g) G. Maximum retirement allowance. On and after July 1,
- 22 1974, no member shall receive a retirement allowance payable hereunder
- 23 which, when added to one-half of the primary social security benefit
- 24 to which he is or would be entitled at his sixty-fifth birthday under
- 25 federal law, will be in excess of his average final compensation. In
- 26 no event shall benefits payable for service credited prior to July 1,
- 27 1974, be less than the benefits that would have been payable had they
- 28 been calculated under the benefit formula in effect immediately prior

- 1 to July 1, 1974.
- § 51-156. Death before retirement.--A. Should a member die at
- 3 any time before retirement, and if no benefits are payable under
- 4 subsection B of this section, the amount of his the member's
- 5 accumulated contributions, reduced by the amount of any retirement
- 6 allowance previously received by him the member under this chapter,
- 7 shall be paid to such person, if any, as he the member has nominated
- 8 by written designation made on a form prepared by the Board signed and
- 9 acknowledged by such member before some person authorized to take
- 10 acknowledgments and filed with the Board, otherwise to his executors
- 11 or administrators. Any such designation may be changed by the member
- 12 by the written designation of some other person, signed, acknowledged,
- 13 and filed as aforesaid.
- In the event no designation has been made, then such proceeds
- 15 shall be paid to the person or persons surviving at the death of the
- 16 member in the following order of precedence:
- 17 First, to the widow or widower of such member;
- 18 Second, if none of the above, to the child or children of such
- 19 member and descendants of deceased children, per stirpes;
- Third, if none of the above, to the parents of such member or the
- 21 survivor of them;
- 22 Fourth, if none of the above, to the duly appointed executor or
- 23 administrator of the estate of such member;
- 24 Fifth, if none of the above, to other next of kin of such member
- 25 entitled under the laws of the domicile of such member at the time of
- 26 his death.
- 27 B. Should a member die in service at any time before retirement
- 28 and if no benefits are payable under subsection C of this section,

there shall be paid a retirement allowance to the person nominated as 1 provided in subsection A of this section, if such person is the (i) 2 3 wife, (ii) husband, (iii) minor child, (iv) mother or (v) father of 4 the member. Such retirement allowance shall be paid to the first person qualifying in the preceding order of precedence; however, if 5 more than one minor child survives the deceased member, the allowance 6 shall be divided among them in such manner as the Board may determine. 7 Such retirement allowance shall be continued during the lifetime of 8 such person or in the case of a minor child until such time as the 9 child dies or attains the age of majority, whichever shall first 10 occur, and shall be an allowance equal to one-half of the retirement 11 12 allowance that would have been payable to the member had the member retired under the provisions of § 51-150 on the date of his death 13 after having elected to have his allowance payable under the joint and 14 15 last survivor option described in subdivision (a) (2) of § 51-111.60 so that one-half thereof would be continued after his death to such 16 person. In the case of a member who had not attained his fifty-fifth 17 18 fiftieth birthday at his date of death, it shall be assumed that the member's age at his date of death is fifty-five fifty for the purpose 19 of reducing the benefit on an actuarial equivalent basis. In the case 20 21 of a member who dies after attaining his sixtieth birthday, the allowance shall be equal to the decreased retirement allowance that 22 would have been payable to the member had the member retired under the 23 provisions of subsection A of § 51-150 on the date of his death after 24 25 having elected to have his allowance payable under the joint and last survivor option described in subdivision (a) (2) of § 51-111.60 so 26 that the same amount would be continued after his death to such 27 person. In the determination of the allowance that would have been 28

1 payable to the member had the member retired on the date of his death,

- 2 the provisions of subsections (b) B and (e) C of § 51-151 shall not
- 3 apply. If such person so elects in writing under seal and duly
- 4 acknowledged, the amount of the member's accumulated contributions,
- 5 reduced by the amount of any retirement allowance previously received
- 6 by him under this chapter, shall be paid to such person exclusively,
- 7 in lieu of any other benefits under this section.
- 8 Should a member die subsequent to meeting the service, age or
- 9 both service and age requirements, as appropriate, of this subsection
- 10 B, having nominated and designated a trust as the recipient of his
- 11 benefits, the trust nominated shall be entitled to disclaim its rights
- 12 to payment under subsection A of this section by written notification
- 13 to the Board within ninety days after the death of such member. In the
- 14 event of such a disclaimer, the monthly retirement allowance shall be
- 15 paid to the beneficiary of the nominated trust just as if such
- 16 beneficiary had been nominated by written designation by the member as
- 17 required in subsection A of this section.
- 18 C. Should a member die in service at any time before retirement
- 19 from a cause compensable under the Virginia Workers' Compensation Act
- 20 (§ 65.1-1 et seq.), an annual retirement allowance shall be paid to
- 21 the widow or widower, if any, of such member. In the event no
- 22 compensation is finally awarded under the Virginia Workers'
- 23 Compensation Act with respect to the death of a member, due to legal
- 24 proceedings or otherwise resulting in settlement from the person or
- 25 persons causing such death, the Virginia Industrial Commission, upon
- 26 request of the Board, shall for the purpose of this section determine
- 27 whether such member's death was from a cause compensable under the
- 28 Virginia Workers' Compensation Act. If such member leaves no widow or

1 widower, or the widow or widower dies or remarries, then the minor

- 2 child or children, if any, of the deceased member, shall be paid an
- 3 allowance until such child or children die or attain the age of
- 4 majority, whichever shall first occur. If more than one child survives
- 5 the deceased member, the allowance shall be divided among them in such
- 6 manner as the Board may determine. If the deceased member leaves
- 7 neither widow, widower nor minor child, then such allowance shall be
- 8 paid to the member's parent or parents wholly dependent upon him for
- 9 support, divided in such manner as the Board may determine, during the
- 10 life or lives of such parent or parents.
- 11 The retirement allowance, payable hereunder to a qualifying
- 12 survivor or survivors, shall be the annual amount, payable monthly,
- 13 which when added to one-half of the social security benefit payable to
- 14 such qualifying survivor or survivors as result of coverage of the
- 15 member under the federal Social Security Act and the compensation
- 16 payable under the Virginia Workers' Compensation Act for the death of
- 17 the member, shall be equal to one-half of the member's average final
- 18 compensation.
- 19 Any beneficiary entitled to the entire amount of a retirement
- 20 allowance under the provisions of this subsection as a result of the
- 21 death of a member shall be entitled to waive his rights to such
- 22 allowance by written notification to the Board within ninety days
- 23 after the death of such member in order to make available a retirement
- 24 allowance under the provisions of subsection B of this section.
- 25 § 51-157.1. Post-retirement supplements.--In addition to the
- 26 allowances payable under §§ 51-111.37 C, 51-151, 51-153, 51-154 and
- 27 51-156 of this chapter, post-retirement supplements shall be payable
- 28 in accordance with the provisions of § 51-111.60:1; provided, however,

1 that in computing the amount of any such supplements, any additional

- 2 allowances being paid under the provisions of § 51-151 (b) shall be
- 3 disregarded. Notwithstanding the provisions of § 51-111.60:1 to the
- 4 contrary, the first post-retirement supplement for a recipient of an
- 5 allowance under § 51-150 B who has twenty-five or more years of
- 6 creditable service and who has not attained his fifty-third birthday
- 7 at his retirement date shall not be payable until the calendar year in
- 8 which the recipient attains his fiftieth birthday, and the calendar
- 9 year in which the member attains his fifty-third birthday shall be
- 10 considered to be the calendar year in which the allowance initially
- 11 commenced.
- 12 2. That the provisions of this act shall be effective on July 1,
- 13 1990.
- 14 #

Table 1

		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft	Arson*
ACCOMACK COUNTY	87 86	<b>32070</b> 31970	<b>1821.02</b> 1939.32	<b>584</b> 620	<b>30.14</b> 30.81	2 1	<b>4</b> 3	<b>8</b> 6	13 31	<b>284</b> 298	<b>247</b> 251	<b>26</b> 30	4 15
Sheriff's Office Chincoteague PD Onancock PD Parksley PD Onley PD State Police		27801 1541 1262 967 499	1722.96 2985.07 396.20	479 46 5 0 0 54	28.18 52.17 20.00 29.63	2	4	8	11	243 16 3	196 24 2 2	15 6 5	4
ALBEMARLE COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>65040</b> 63730	<b>2512.30</b> 2705.16	<b>1634</b> 1724	<b>20.01</b> 24.83	<b>3</b> 2	<b>4</b> 6	16 11	<b>58</b> 93	<b>304</b> 244	<b>1166</b> 1288	<b>83</b> 80	<b>5</b> 5
Albemarle Co. PD State Police		65040	2490.77	1620 14	20.00 21.43	3	4	16	53 5	303 1	1160 6	81 2	5
ALLEGHANY COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>14660</b> 14620	<b>1268.76</b> 1128.59	<b>186</b> 165	<b>34.41</b> 27.88	1	-1	1 3	<b>18</b> 9	<b>64</b> 45	<b>92</b> 97	11 9	11 2
Sheriff's Office Iron Gate PD State Police		14134 526	1238.15	175 0 11	33.71 45.45			1	18	62 2	83 9	11	11
AMELIA COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>8970</b> 8890	<b>1148.27</b> 1057.37	<b>103</b> 94	<b>32.04</b> 41.49	1 1	<b>4</b> 5	1	<b>6</b> 22	<b>41</b> 31	<b>43</b> 32	7	2
Sheriff's Office State Police		8970	1103.68	99 4	31.31 50.00	1	4	1	6	39 2	42 1	6 1	
AMHERST COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>29960</b> 29850	<b>1855.81</b> 1829.15	<b>556</b> 546	<b>31.65</b> 40.11	6 4	1 16	<b>3</b> 5	<b>60</b> 64	<b>88</b> 95	<b>368</b> 330	<b>32</b> 32	19 23
Sheriff's Office Amherst PD State Police		28813 1147	1849.86 1569.31	533 18 5	31.33 38.89 40.00	6	1	2 1	57 3	87 1	352 12 4	30 1 1	19
APPOMATTOX COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>12920</b> 12780	<b>828.17</b> 539.91	<b>107</b> 69	<b>43.93</b> 36.23	1 3			<b>10</b> 3	<b>23</b> 12	<b>72</b> 45	1 6	3
Sheriff's Office Appomattox PD State Police		11457 1463	907.74	104 0 3	44.23 33.33	1			10	23	69 3	1	
ARLINGTON COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>155200</b> 154830	<b>5606.31</b> 5300.01	<b>8701</b> 8206	<b>25.17</b> 23.67	4 11	<b>44</b> 38	<b>361</b> 365	<b>304</b> 358	<b>1417</b> 1311	<b>5455</b> 5217	1116 906	<b>95</b> 123
Arlington Co. PD State Police		155200	5595.36	8684 17	25.17 23.53	4	44	360 1	296 8	1417	5449 6	1114 2	95
AUGUSTA COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>51900</b> 51790	<b>1716.76</b> 1695.31	<b>891</b> 878	<b>30.42</b> 26.42	3 2	<b>3</b> 5	4	<b>58</b> 34	<b>179</b> 196	<b>606</b> 580	<b>38</b> 57	<b>3</b> 3
Sheriff's Office State Police		51900	1657.03	860 31	29.88 45.16	3	3	3	50 8	177 2	589 17	35 3	2
BATH COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>5620</b> 5660	<b>1548.04</b> 1077.74	<b>87</b> 61	<b>33.33</b> 27.87		1	1	6 4	<b>33</b> 21	<b>45</b> 32	<b>2</b> 2	3 1
Sheriff's Office State Police		5620	1476.87	83 4	31.33 75.00		1		6	32 1	42 3	2	3

Compiled By: Uniform Crime Reporting Section, Department of State Police

Source: 1987 Crime in Virginia

<sup>\*</sup>Arson Totals are not included in the crime index total nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft	Arson*
BEDFORD COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>41290</b> 40390	<b>1298.14</b> 1096.81	<b>536</b> 443	<b>32.46</b> 25.51	2	12 8	1	19 23	191 147	<b>290</b> 250	<b>22</b> 13	<b>2</b> 19
Sheriff's Office State Police		41290	1276.34	527 9	33.02 0.00	2	12		19	189 2	285 5	20 2	2
BLAND COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>6890</b> 6820	<b>522.50</b> 835.78	<b>36</b> 57	<b>30.56</b> 15.79		2	1	<b>2</b> 1	10 15	<b>23</b> 38	1	1 2
Sheriff's Office State Police		6890	188.68	13 23	46.15 21.74				1	8 2	3 20	1	1
BOTETOURT COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>25120</b> 24860	<b>1803.34</b> 1508.45	<b>453</b> 375	<b>25.83</b> 25.87	1	<b>9</b> 3	<b>2</b> 3	<b>18</b> 11	11 <b>8</b> 98	<b>281</b> 234	<b>24</b> 26	3
Sheriff's Office Buchanan PD State Police		24018 1102	1811.14 362.98	435 4 14	25.29 100.00 21.43	1	9	2	11 4 · 3	118	271 10	23 1	3
BRUNSWICK COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>16110</b> 16050	<b>1284.92</b> 1489.10	<b>207</b> 239	24.04 21.76	2 3	3	6	<b>18</b> 14	89 101	86 111	<b>3</b> 5	
Sheriff's Office Lawrenceville PD State Police		14617 1493	950.95 3884.80	139 58 10	15.11 44.83 40.00	1	3	3 1 2	8 9 1	75 13 1	49 33 4	2 1	
BUCHANAN COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>39480</b> 39270	<b>808.00</b> 766.49	<b>319</b> 301	<b>45.77</b> 42.86	1 2	<b>4</b> 3		<b>39</b> 38	<b>90</b> 82	<b>151</b> 133	<b>34</b> 43	5 2
Sheriff's Office Grundy PD State Police		37712 1768	593.98 1187.78	224 21 74	51.34 42.86 29.73	1	3		34 5	65 3 22	100 14 37	21 4 9	1
BUCKINGHAM COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>12360</b> 12270	<b>1407.77</b> 1263.24	<b>174</b> 155	<b>51.72</b> 48.39	1	1	1 2	31 20	<b>69</b> 58	<b>63</b> 67	<b>8</b> 8	1
Sheriff's Office State Police		12360	1351.13	167 7	53.29 14.29	1	1	1	30 1	65 4	61 2	8	
CAMPBELL COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>48990</b> 48480	<b>1573.79</b> 1511.96	<b>771</b> 733	<b>38.26</b> 39.43	<b>2</b> 2	11 11	<b>4</b> 5	<b>74</b> 60	<b>133</b> 131	<b>517</b> 491	<b>30</b> 33	9 10
Sheriff's Office Altavista PD Brookneal PD State Police		43615 3894 1481	1478.85 2182.85 1485.48	645 85 22 19	40.78 23.53 31.82 26.32	2	10	3	72 1 1	118 5 5 5	418 76 15 8	22 4 4	8
CAROLINE COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>19810</b> 19540	<b>1352.85</b> 1402.25	<b>268</b> 274	<b>63.81</b> 58.39	1 2	<b>9</b> 9	2 4	<b>25</b> 33	<b>76</b> 87	<b>137</b> 121	<b>18</b> 18	2 2
Sheriff's Office Bowling Green PD State Police		19158 652	1195.32 613.50	229 4 35	70.31 25.00 25.71	1	9	2	23 2	72 1 3	118 1 18	4 2 12	1
CARROLL COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>28630</b> 28440	<b>873.21</b> 840.37	<b>250</b> 239	<b>31.20</b> 52.30	6 1	1 6	2	13 10	102 100	113 108	15 12	4 6
Sheriff's Office Hillsville PD State Police		26348 2282	702.14 2103.42	185 48 17	24.86 50.00 47.06	2	1		6 1 6	89 11 2	73 33 7	12 3	3

<sup>\*</sup>Arson Totals are not included in the crime index total nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder - and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft	Arson*
CHARLES CITY COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>6840</b> 6830	<b>833.33</b> 1376.28	<b>57</b> 94	<b>36.84</b> 19.15		<b>2</b> 3	1 1	10 8	<b>13</b> 31	27 49	4 2	
Sheriff's Office State Police		6840	730.99	50 7	36.00 42.86		2	1	8 2	13	23 4	3 1	
CHARLOTTE COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>11670</b> 11760	<b>2073.69</b> 2032.31	<b>242</b> 239	<b>21.49</b> 30.96	1	<b>3</b> 2	1	<b>28</b> 55	77 63	116 101	1 <b>7</b> 17	1
Sheriff's Office State Police		11670	2056.56	240 2	21.25 50.00		2 1	1	28	77	115 1	17	1
CHESTERFIELD COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>183820</b> 177760	<b>3613.86</b> 3403.47	<b>6643</b> 6050	<b>31.06</b> 27.55	6 1	28 22	<b>87</b> 50	<b>76</b> 106	<b>1439</b> 1251	<b>4669</b> 4361	<b>338</b> 259	<b>72</b> 131
Chesterfield PD State Police		183820	3593.19	6605 38	31.13 18.42	6	28	87	71 5	1439	4640 29	334 4	72
CLARKE COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>10630</b> 10540	<b>1420.51</b> 1679.32	<b>151</b> 177	<b>47.68</b> 19.77	1	1	<b>2</b> 3	<b>4</b> 5	<b>51</b> 53	<b>79</b> 105	13 10	3 1
Sheriff's Office Berryville PD State Police		9032 1598	1240.04 2252.82	112 36 3	52.68 33.33 33.33	1	1	2	2 2	43 8	53 23 3	11 2	3
CRAIG COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>4270</b> 4230	<b>515.22</b> 567.38	<b>22</b> 24	<b>13.64</b> 29.17	1			1	<b>16</b> 12	3 11	<b>1</b> 1	
Sheriff's Office State Police		4270	444.96	19 3	15.79 0.00	1			1	15 1	2 1	1	
CULPEPER COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>24570</b> 24290	<b>2437.93</b> 2144.92	<b>599</b> 521	<b>37.56</b> 35.89		4 2	<b>3</b>	<b>67</b> 51	<b>70</b> 76	<b>433</b> 369	<b>22</b> 17	2 4
Sheriff's Office Culpeper PD State Police		17643 6927	1065.58 5760.07	188 399 12	44.68 34.34 33.33		3 1	3	32 34 1	38 31 1	111 313 9	4 17 1	1
CUMBERLAND COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>8400</b> 8330	<b>190.48</b> 396.16	16 33	18.75 33.33		1	1	2	11 13	5 16		2
Sheriff's Office State Police		8400	190.48	16 0	18.75					11	5		
DICKENSON COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>21210</b> 21020	<b>636.49</b> 704.09	135 148	<b>44.44</b> 50.68	1	1	1	<b>27</b> 29	<b>41</b> 47	<b>46</b> 57	<b>20</b> 13	<b>4</b> 3
Sheriff's Office Clintwood PD Haysi PD State Police		19455 1372 383	549.99 1093.29 261.10	107 15 1	45.79 46.67 0.00 33.33	1			22 2 3	33 4 1 3	39 6 1	12 3 5	3 1
DINWIDDIE COUNTY	87 86	<b>21780</b> 21900	<b>1157.02</b> 1369.86	<b>252</b> 300	<b>32.14</b> 30.00	1	<b>5</b> 7	<b>2</b> 9	<b>18</b> 20	<b>92</b> 109	<b>123</b> 140	12 14	19 4
Sheriff's Office McKenney PD State Police		21395 385	1112.41 779.22	238 3 11	33.19 0.00 18.18		3 2	2	15 3	89 2 1	117 1 5	12	19

<sup>\*</sup>Arson Totals are not included in the crime index total nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft	Arson*
ESSEX COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>9180</b> 9140	<b>2102.40</b> 2527.35	193 231	<b>45.08</b> 48.92	2	<b>6</b> 3	3	6 17	<b>28</b> 39	143 157	8 12	3
Sheriff's Office Tappahannock PD State Police		7363 1817	1100.10 5998.90	81 109 3	37.04 51.38 33.33	2	5 1		3 2 1	22 6	46 96 1	3 4 1	
FAIRFAX COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>694840</b> 680700	<b>3874.56</b> 3737.18	<b>26922</b> 25439	<b>22.71</b> 23.57	15 14	71 72	<b>545</b> 507	<b>416</b> <b>439</b>	<b>3749</b> <b>36</b> 89	<b>19885</b> 18910	<b>2241</b> 1808	<b>349</b> 309
Fairfax Co. PD Herndon PD Vienna PD State Police		660914 16084 17842	3879.02 3655.81 3435.71	25637 588 613 84	22.75 19.22 22.68 34.52	14 1	68 1 2	529 5 10 1	354 8 5 49	3543 98 108	18972 445 442 26	2157 30 46 8	340 2 6 1
FAUQUIER COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>42490</b> 41550	<b>1619.20</b> 1549.94	<b>688</b> 644	<b>23.11</b> 29.97	1 2	1 4	7 13	<b>25</b> 32	<b>129</b> 153	<b>470</b> 400	<b>55</b> 40	3 2
Sheriff's Office Warrenton PD State Police		37551 4939	964.02 5709.66	362 282 44	27.62 18.79 13.64	1	1	3 4	14 6 5	106 16 7	199 245 26	38 11 6	3
FLOYD COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>12360</b> 12260	<b>792.88</b> 619.90	<b>98</b> 76	<b>45.92</b> 48.68	1	2		14 1	37 41	<b>35</b> 32	<b>9</b> 2	
Sheriff's Office State Police		12360	784.79	97 1	45.36 100.00	1	2		13 1	37	35	9	
FLUVANNA COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>11270</b> 11130	<b>825.20</b> 817.61	<b>93</b> 91	<b>25.81</b> 25.27	3	4		1	<b>50</b> 55	<b>34</b> 31	2	1
Sheriff's Office State Police		11270	816.33	92 1	26.09 0.00	3	4			49 1	34	2	1
FRANKLIN COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>38590</b> 38190	<b>1246.44</b> 1597.28	<b>481</b> 610	<b>38.25</b> 40.16	<b>2</b> 3	4 3	5	<b>34</b> 20	<b>103</b> 195	<b>293</b> 334	<b>40</b> 54	6
Sheriff's Office Rocky Mount PD State Police		34343 4247	1027.87 2849.07	353 121 7	32.29 54.55 57.14	1 1	3	3 2	12 20 2	93 9 1	213 78 2	28 10 2	
FREDERICK COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>38820</b> 38150	<b>2120.04</b> 2141.55	<b>823</b> 817	<b>19.93</b> 14.69	1	9 7	<b>6</b> 9	<b>20</b> 46	<b>169</b> 185	<b>555</b> 524	<b>63</b> 45	<b>6</b>
Sheriff's Office Middletown PD Stephens City PD State Police		36260 973 1587	2120.79 719.42 630.12	769 7 10 37	18.86 57.14 30.00 32.43	1	9	5	12 8	161 1 4 3	521 6 5 23	61	6
GILES COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>18240</b> 18190	1036.18 929.08	<b>189</b> 169	<b>50.79</b> 31.36	1		1	<b>23</b> 15	<b>40</b> 35	114 111	<b>11</b> 7	6 4
Sheriff's Office Glen Lyn PD Pearisburg PD Pembroke PD Rich Creek PD Narrows PD		11381 278 2227 1371 758 2225	764.43 719.42 3367.76 948.21 263.85 449.44	87 2 75 13 2	52.87 50.00 48.00 61.54 0.00 50.00			1	19 2 2	22 6 8	40 1 67 1 1	6 1 2 1 1	1
State Police		2225	<del>44</del> 3.44	0	30.00					4			'

<sup>\*</sup>Arson Totals are not included in the crime index total nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	- Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft	Arson*
GLOUCESTER COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>27050</b> 26060	<b>2258.78</b> 1857.25	611 484	<b>48.77</b> 25.83	3	<b>5</b> 2	<b>3</b> 8	<b>30</b> 7	<b>89</b> 80	<b>444</b> 364	<b>37</b> 23	6 7
Sheriff's Office State Police		27050	2210.72	598 13	49.33 23.08	3	5	3	29 1	85 4	438 6	35 2	5 1
GOOCHLAND COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>13200</b> 13000	<b>1583.33</b> 1746.15	<b>209</b> 227	<b>24.88</b> 31.28		<b>5</b> 2	2 4	11 24	<b>76</b> 75	<b>104</b> 108	11 14	<b>2</b> 3
Sheriff's Office State Police		13200	1454.55	192 17	23.44 41.18		5	2	9 2	74 2	92 12	10 1	2
GRAYSON COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	1 <b>7100</b> 17020	<b>187.13</b> 358.40	<b>32</b> 61	<b>56.25</b> 68.85	1		1	<b>4</b> 1	<b>12</b> 20	1 <b>3</b> 36	<b>2</b> 3	
Sheriff's Office Fries PD Independence PD State Police		15264 718 1118	124.48 278.55 626.12	19 2 7 4	63.16 50.00 14.29 100.00	1			2	9 2 1	7 1 5	1	
GREENE COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>9090</b> 8880	1089.11 878.38	<b>99</b> 78	<b>54.55</b> 66.67	1	1 1		11 16	<b>28</b> 25	<b>51</b> 30	<b>8</b> 5	2
Sheriff's Office State Police		9090	1056.11	, 96 3	55.21 33.33		1		11	28	48 3	8	2
GREENSVILLE COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>10840</b> 10860	<b>2629.15</b> 2559.85	<b>285</b> 278	<b>21.05</b> 25.90		<b>2</b> 2	<b>6</b> 3	19 23	<b>60</b> 85	<b>191</b> 159	7 6	<b>3</b> 2
Sheriff's Office State Police		10840	2536.90	275 10	20.36 40.00		2	6	. 18 1	59 1	184 7	6 1	3
HALIFAX COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>30750</b> 30730	<b>1030.89</b> 1015.29	<b>317</b> 312	<b>31.86</b> 36.54	<b>3</b> 3	1	<b>4</b> 2	<b>38</b> 29	<b>109</b> 93	<b>145</b> 171	17 14	<b>3</b>
Sheriff's Office Halifax PD State Police		29985 765	913.79 1176.47	274 9 34	29.93 55.56 41.18	2	1	4	35 3	101 3 5_	122 5 18	9 1 7	2
HANOVER COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>57480</b> 56470	<b>2312.11</b> 2291.48	<b>1329</b> 1294	<b>24.91</b> 21.41	<b>5</b> 5	<b>8</b> 15	<b>25</b> 14	23 44	<b>312</b> 217	<b>878</b> 913	<b>78</b> 86	<b>6</b> 7
Sheriff's Office Ashland PD State Police		53174 4306	1826.08 6595.45	971 284 74	25.33 25.00 18.92	3 1 1	5 3	10 14 1	14 6 3	258 45 9	623 203 52	58 12 8	.4 1 1
HENRICO COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>199830</b> 197110	<b>4019.42</b> 4003.35	<b>8032</b> 7891	<b>25.96</b> 30.91	<b>16</b> 8	<b>49</b> 59	<b>192</b> 163	<b>188</b> 235	<b>1760</b> 1732	<b>5341</b> 5235	<b>486</b> 459	<b>82</b> 76
Henrico Co. PD State Police		199830	4000.40	7994 38	26.01 15.79	16	49	192	183 5	1758 2	5311 30	485 1	82
HENRY COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>57910</b> 57890	<b>2338.11</b> 2103.99	<b>1354</b> 1218	<b>31.98</b> <b>33.33</b>	<b>6</b> 7	1 10	<b>23</b> 8	<b>50</b> 50	<b>437</b> 406	<b>764</b> 652	<b>73</b> 85	<b>22</b> 11
Sheriff's Office State Police		57910	2300.12	1332 22	31.46 63.64	6	1	23	49 1	437	748 16	68 5	20 2
HIGHLAND COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>3130</b> 3110	<b>607.03</b> 482.32	<b>19</b> 15	<b>36.84</b> 13.33		1		<b>3</b> 2	7 6	<b>6</b> 6	2 1	
Sheriff's Office State Police		3130	543.13	17 2	35.29 50.00		1		3	6 1	6	1	

<sup>\*</sup>Arson Totals are not included in the crime index total nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft	Arson*
ISLE OF WIGHT COUNTY	87 86	<b>23630</b> 23350	<b>1278.04</b> 1301.93	<b>302</b> 304	<b>39.74</b> 34.87	4 2	4	6 11	9 15	<b>90</b> 85	<b>170</b> 175	<b>19</b> 16	1 2
Sheriff's Office Smithfield PD State Police		19317 4313	766.16 3454.67	148 149 5	23.65 54.36 80.00	4	1 3	3	6 2 1	65 25	60 107 3	9 9 1	1
JAMES CITY COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>28340</b> 27490	<b>3722.65</b> 3612.22	1 <b>055</b> 993	<b>35.36</b> 34.24	2	9 10	16 7	<b>92</b> 112	<b>156</b> 173	737 655	<b>45</b> 34	1
James City PD State Police		28340	3712.07	1052 3	35.36 33.33		9	16	92	156	735 2	44 1	1
KING & QUEEN COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>6490</b> 6420	<b>893.68</b> 809.97	<b>58</b> 52	<b>60.34</b> 50.00		1	3	22 13	<b>21</b> 18	1 <b>0</b> 9	<b>2</b> 9	1 3
Sheriff's Office State Police		6490	755.01	49 9	69.39 11.11		1	1 1	17 5	19 2	9 1	2	1
KING GEORGE COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>12130</b> 11910	<b>2234.13</b> 1654.07	<b>271</b> 197	<b>31.37</b> 18.27	3 1	3	2	10 6	<b>74</b> 62	<b>162</b> 117	<b>17</b> 7	1
Sheriff's Office State Police		12130	2192.91	266 5	31.20 40.00	3	3	2	10	73 1	159 3	16 1	1
KING WILLIAM COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>10510</b> 10350	<b>980.02</b> 1111.11	<b>103</b> 115	<b>33.98</b> 45.22		1	1	19 29	<b>23</b> 24	<b>54</b> 56	<b>6</b> 5	2
Sheriff's Office State Police		10510	970.50	102 1	34.31 0.00			1	19	23	53 1	6	2
LANCASTER COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	11440 11260	<b>1302.45</b> 1296.63	<b>149</b> 146	<b>35.57</b> 47.95	1	2 2	1	<b>33</b> 32	47 36	<b>58</b> 67	<b>9</b> 7	4
Sheriff's Office Kilmarnock PD State Police		10504 936	1199.54 1816.24	126 17 6	38.89 11.76 33.33		2		33	38 7 2	46 9 3	7 1 1	
LEE COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>28030</b> 27740	<b>692.12</b> 807.50	194 224	38.14 45.54	3	<b>3</b> 5	1 2	<b>33</b> 57	<b>72</b> 69	<b>63</b> 83	1 <b>9</b> 8	5 2
Sheriff's Office Jonesville PD Pennington Gap PD State Police		25189 998 1843	678.87 200.40 271.30	171 2 5 16	39.77 50.00 40.00 18.75	3	2	1	32 1	70 1 1	56 1 3 3	7	5
LOUDOUN	<b>87</b> 86	<b>68270</b> 66720	<b>2563.35</b> 2570.44	<b>1750</b> 1715	<b>23.49</b> 25.60	1	9 12	10 20	<b>85</b> 93	<b>316</b> 330	<b>1200</b> 1151	<b>129</b> 108	7 2
Sheriff's Office Leesburg PD Middleburg PD Purcellville PD State Police		55412 10436 722 1700	2210.71 3871.22 3462.60 4411.76	1225 404 25 75 21	26.29 15.35 36.00 20.00 14.29	1	7 2	5 4	69 7 1 2 6	268 38 4 3 3	772 334 17 69 8	103 19 3 1 3	7
LOUISA COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>19710</b> 19450	<b>1029.93</b> 1249.36	<b>203</b> 243	<b>48.77</b> 34.57	1	<b>2</b> 3	2	<b>18</b> 15	<b>66</b> 105	81 100	<b>33</b> 19	1
Sheriff's Office Louisa PD State Police		18703 1007	866.17 1886.79	162 19 22	50.62 57.89 27.27	1	2	2	15 2 1	54 7 5	60 8 13	28 2 3	1

<sup>\*</sup>Arson Totals are not included in the crime index total nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft .	Arson*
LUNENBURG COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>12260</b> 12250	<b>807.50</b> 685.71	<b>99</b> 84	<b>52.53</b> 51.19	3	3 1		<b>16</b> 9	<b>22</b> 13	<b>52</b> 60	3 1	
Sheriff's Office Kenbridge PD Victoria PD State Police		8911 1433 1916	572.33 1884.16 1043.84	51 27 20 1	41.18 62.96 70.00 0.00	3	3		5 4 7	13 7 2	27 16 8 1	3	
MADISON COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>10920</b> 10830	<b>2435.90</b> 1495.84	<b>266</b> 162	<b>29.32</b> 25.93	1	1 1		<b>3</b> 5	112 58	<b>145</b> 94	4 3	2
Sheriff's Office State Police		10920	2399.27	262 4	29.39 25.00	1	1		3	110 2	143 2	4	
MATHEWS COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>9060</b> 8910	<b>629.14</b> 426.49	<b>57</b> 38	<b>71.93</b> 73.68	7	1	3 1	. <b>5</b> 5	<b>17</b> 7	<b>25</b> 19	<b>6</b> 6	2
Sheriff's Office State Police		9060	618.10	56 1	73.21 0.00		1	3	5	17	24 1	6	1
MECKLENBURG COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>29920</b> 29860	<b>2239.30</b> 2461.49	<b>670</b> 735	<b>34.78</b> 35.51	5 4	4 4	<b>3</b>	<b>61</b> 64	<b>210</b> 238	<b>362</b> 403	<b>25</b> 16	3 4
Sheriff's Office Chase City PD Clarksville PD South Hill PD State Police		20668 3155 1600 4497	1799.88 2472.27 2562.50 3624.64	372 78 41 163 16	25.27 42.31 48.78 50.31 25.00	1 2 2	. 1	2	40 5 4 8 4	151 15 2 41 1	170 52 33 100 7	5 6 2 10 2	2
MIDDLESEX COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>8630</b> 8510	<b>1494.79</b> 1786.13	<b>129</b> 152	<b>24.03</b> 17.76	3	1 1	1	<b>5</b>	<b>44</b> 69	<b>67</b> 70	<b>9</b> 5	<b>3</b> 3
Sheriff's Office Urbanna PD State Police		8027 603	1494.95 1326.70	120 8 1	24.17 12.50 100.00	3	1		5	40 4	63 4	8	3
MONTGOMERY COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>68350</b> 67620	<b>3675.20</b> 2979.89	<b>2512</b> 2015	<b>23.81</b> 28.04	1	<b>16</b> 13	<b>9</b> 9	<b>83</b> 93	<b>495</b> 366	1 <b>790</b> 1434	119 99	<b>26</b> 17
Sheriff's Office Blacksburg PD Christiansburg PD State Police		25705 30332 12313	2353.63 4467.23 4312.52	605 1355 531 21	23.47 23.10 25.99 23.81		9 4 3	5 2 2	16 40 18 9	190 198 107	336 1071 373 10	49 40 28 2	13 10 3
NELSON COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>12490</b> 12450	<b>744.60</b> 867.47	<b>93</b> 108	<b>44.09</b> 33.33	1		1 1	7 6	<b>34</b> 42	<b>47</b> 52	3 6	2
Sheriff's Office State Police		12490	704.56	88 5	43.18 60.00	1		1	6 1	34	43 4	3	1
NEW KENT COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>10830</b> 10540	<b>2123.73</b> 2409.87	<b>230</b> 254	<b>41.30</b> 44.09	2	4	7	<b>20</b> 52	<b>70</b> 86	117 88	<b>23</b> 15	2
Sheriff's Office State Police		10830	1929.82	209 21	43.06 23.81				17 3	65 5	109 8	18 5	2
NORTHAMPTON COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>14760</b> 14740	<b>2134.15</b> 1716.42	<b>315</b> 253	<b>28.57</b> 19.76	2	4 2	9 2	<b>12</b> 13	<b>127</b> 93	143 135	<b>18</b> 7	<b>2</b> 2
Sheriff's Office Cape Charles PD Exmore PD		11909 1383 1468	1838.95 2675.34 2929.16	219 37 43	15.07 43.24 81.40	1	4	6	7 5	104 13 8	85 22 28	12 2	1
State Police				16	37.50		<u> </u>	2		2	8	4	1

<sup>\*</sup>Arson Totals are not included in the crime index total nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft	Arson*
NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>10240</b> 10180	1 <b>337.89</b> 1335.95	<b>137</b> 136	<b>27.74</b> 37.50	1	1		5 4	<b>49</b> 50	<b>78</b> 74	<b>3</b> 8	
Sheriff's Office State Police		10240	1308.59	134 3	26.87 66.67	1	1		5	49	77 1	2	
NOTTOWAY COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>14980</b> 14940	<b>2236.32</b> 1987.95	<b>335</b> 297	<b>42.39</b> 44.78	<b>2</b> 2	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b> 2	<b>36</b> 47	<b>91</b> 59	186 174	15 10	
Sheriff's Office Blackstone PD Crewe PD Burkeville PD State Police		8660 3340 2290 690	1558.89 4071.86 2663.76 144.93	135 136 61 1 2	50.37 40.44 31.15 0.00 0.00	1	2	1	22 13 1	35 44 12	73 70 41 1	2 7 6	
ORANGE COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>20060</b> 19780	<b>2263.21</b> 1936.30	<b>454</b> 383	<b>39.43</b> 38.38	. 2	3 4	<b>4</b> 5	<b>20</b> 32	<b>134</b> 116	<b>270</b> 206	<b>21</b> 20	6 11
Sheriff's Office Orange PD Gordonsville PD State Police		15809 2766 1485	1625.66 4555.31 4040.40	257 126 60 11	40.47 40.48 35.00 27.27	1	3	2 2	13 1 4 2	92 20 22	134 98 31 7	12 5 2 2	5 1
PAGE COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>20810</b> 20610	<b>1210.96</b> 1547.79	<b>252</b> 319	<b>51.98</b> 62.38		5 4	1	<b>28</b> 58	<b>107</b> 112	<b>87</b> 130	24 14	<b>5</b> 3
Sheriff's Office Luray PD Shenandoah PD Stanley PD State Police		14445 3349 1740 1276	1121.50 1940.88 747.13 391.85	162 65 13 5	60.49 30.77 76.92 20.00 28.57		5	1	17 3 6 1	80 21 3 2	49 31 2 2 3	11 9 2	5
PATRICK COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>18190</b> 18110	<b>1896.65</b> 1783.55	<b>345</b> 323	41.74 43.34	1	2		<b>36</b> 17	<b>88</b> 90	<b>206</b> 204	13 11	4 2
Sheriff's Office State Police		18190	1891.15	344 1	41.86 0.00		2		36	88	206	12 1	4
PITTSYLVANIA COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>68010</b> 67750	<b>1423.32</b> 1616.24	<b>968</b> 1095	<b>40.19</b> 23.74	3 4	9 10	11 1	<b>52</b> 55	<b>337</b> <b>3</b> 77	<b>516</b> 627	<b>40</b> 21	9 6
Sheriff's Office Chatham PD Gretna PD Hurt PD State Police		64107 1251 1199 1453	1344.63 3197.44 2418.68 963.52	862 40 29 14 23	39.79 50.00 41.38 64.29 21.74	3	9	11	48 1 3	306 12 12	455 25 17 12 7	30 2 2 6	7 1
POWHATAN COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	1 <b>5130</b> 14840	<b>912.10</b> 707.55	<b>138</b> 105	<b>44.93</b> 28.57	2	1	1	18 10	<b>48</b> 35	<b>61</b> 56	7 4	2 1
Sheriff's Office State Police		15130	819.56	124 14	46.77 28.57	2	1	1	15 3	45 3	55 6	5 2	2
PRINCE EDWARD COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>17830</b> 17640	<b>1009.53</b> 1746.03	1 <b>80</b> 308	<b>46.67</b> 38.31	2	2 2	4 2	12 14	<b>46</b> 78	112 199	4 11	1
Sheriff's Office Farmville PD State Police		11537 6293	641.41 1589.07	74 100 6	33.78 56.00 50.00		2	1 3	7 4 1	22 23 1	42 67 3	3	1

<sup>\*</sup>Arson Totals are not included in the crime index total nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft	Arson*
PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY	87 86	<b>28030</b> 27700	1 <b>694.61</b> 1646.21	<b>475</b> 456	<b>43.16</b> 39.91	1	<b>5</b> 8	<b>4</b> 7	16 33	<b>167</b> 137	<b>264</b> 248	18 23	9 2
Sheriff's Office & PD State Police		28030	1666.07	467 8	43.68 12.50	1	5	4	16	166 1	259 5	16 2	9
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>177810</b> 173070	<b>3981.78</b> 2787.31	<b>7080</b> 4824	<b>18.28</b> 20.54	<b>3</b> 6	<b>44</b> 26	<b>104</b> 79	1 <b>86</b> 229	<b>1335</b> 1072	<b>4889</b> 3126	<b>519</b> 286	<b>41</b> 39
Prince Wm. Co. PD Quantico PD Dumfries PD State Police		172857 569 4384	4002.73 1933.22 2874.09	6919 11 126 24	18.27 54.55 14.29 25.00	3	44	101 3	173 5 2 6	1302 1 31 1	4791 5 80 13	505 10 4	40
PULASKI COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>36340</b> 36180	<b>2682.99</b> 2763.96	<b>975</b> 1000	<b>29.85</b> 34.90	2	7 5	5 4	<b>47</b> 48	<b>237</b> 222	<b>644</b> 676	<b>33</b> 45	5 10
Sheriff's Office Pulaski PD Dublin PD State Police		24471 9302 2567	1798.05 5127.93 1636.15	440 477 42 16	33.86 27.46 21.43 12.50	2	4 3	2 2	15 30 2	101 131 4 1	299 297 35 13	17 14 1 1	2 3
RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>6250</b> 6230	<b>912.00</b> 802.57	<b>57</b> 50	<b>40.35</b> 58.00	1	1		<b>4</b> 12	<b>28</b> 20	<b>21</b> 15	4	1
Sheriff's Office State Police		6250	848.00	53 4	39.62 50.00				3 1	28	18 3	4	1
RICHMOND COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>7280</b> 7240	<b>741.76</b> 497.24	<b>54</b> 36	<b>57.41</b> 66.67	1	1	2	1 2	17 12	<b>32</b> 18	3	2
Sheriff's Office Warsaw PD State Police		6479 801	740.86 499.38	48 4 2	56.25 75.00 50.00		1		1	16 1	28 3 1	2	1
ROANOKE COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>78670</b> 77860	<b>2735.48</b> 2867.97	<b>2152</b> 2233	<b>34.39</b> 28.12	7	<b>8</b> 9	11 14	<b>77</b> 70	<b>300</b> 344	<b>1685</b> 1706	71 83	<b>16</b> 17
Sheriff's Office Vinton PD State Police		70781 7889	2660.32 3130.94	1883 247 22	32.66 48.58 22.73		7	9	72 2 3	260 36 4	1476 197 12	59 9 3	11 5
ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>18630</b> 18510	<b>1916.26</b> 1782.82	<b>357</b> 330	<b>26.89</b> 32.12	1	<b>3</b> 7	4	<b>8</b> 16	<b>94</b> 81	<b>237</b> 210	<b>10</b> 15	2
Sheriff's Office State Police		18630	1706.92	318 39	26.73 28.21	1	3	2 2	6 2	93 1	208 29	6 4	1
ROCKINGHAM COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>56090</b> 55510	<b>771.97</b> 758.42	<b>433</b> 421	<b>35.80</b> 38.95	<b>2</b> 3	1 5	1 3	<b>27</b> 29	<b>107</b> 109	<b>262</b> 252	<b>33</b> 20	7 6
Sheriff's Office Bridgewater PD Dayton PD Elkton PD State Police		50824 2823 1038 1405	627.66 743.89 963.39 2918.15	319 21 10 41 42	31.35 38.10 10.00 53.66 57.14	2	1	1	15 1 1 10	92 1 4 7 3	198 18 5 31 10	10 1 1 2 19	4
								l					

<sup>\*</sup>Arson Totals are not included in the crime index total nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

·		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft	Arson*
RUSSELL COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>33910</b> 33600	<b>681.21</b> 723.21	<b>231</b> 243	<b>50.22</b> 30.04	1	1 1	1	<b>19</b> 12	<b>67</b> 104	<b>126</b> 99	16 27	<b>6</b> 3
Sheriff's Office Lebanon PD Honaker PD State Police		28858 3400 1652	516.32 1735.29 544.79	149 59 9 14	43.62 66.10 88.89 28.57	1	1	1	5 10 1 3	46 13 5 3	84 34 1 7	12 1 2 1	6
SCOTT	<b>87</b> 86	<b>25880</b> 25760	<b>776.66</b> 776.40	<b>201</b> 200	<b>25.37</b> 39.00	2	1	4 12	<b>13</b> 19	<b>98</b> 74	<b>67</b> 80	17 14	1 7
Sheriff's Office Gate City PD Weber City PD State Police		21720 2482 1678	879.37	191 0 0 10	25.13 30.00	2		4	13	94	65 2	13 4	1
SHENANDOAH COUNTY	87 86	<b>29980</b> 29640	<b>1497.67</b> 1265.18	<b>449</b> 375	<b>31.85</b> 37.87	1	4 3	5 2	<b>22</b> 10	110 99	<b>285</b> 242	<b>23</b> 18	10 14
Sheriff's Office Edinburg PD Mt. Jackson PD Woodstock PD Strasburg PD New Market PD State Police		21091 749 1626 2672 2507 1335	730.17 534.05 1968.02 2694.61 5025.93 3071.16	154 4 32 72 126 41 20	22.08 0.00 34.38 62.50 30.16 21.95 30.00		4	2 2	3 1 8 1 6	70 3 11 15 10 1	75 4 26 56 93 26 5	1 4 10 2 6	10
SMYTH COUNTY	87 86	<b>34240</b> 34130	<b>975.47</b> 1110.46	<b>334</b> 379	<b>37.72</b> 36.68		<b>5</b> 3	3 2	<b>38</b> 35	<b>94</b> 100	<b>176</b> 211	1 <b>8</b> 28	1 5
Sheriff's Office Marion PD Saltville PD Chilhowie PD State Police		23340 7339 2437 1124	616.97 2152.88 246.20 1423.49	144 158 6 16 10	49.31 24.05 50.00 56.25 50.00		1 1 3	3	34 2 1 1	55 27 4 6 2	49 117 6 4	5 8 1	1
SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>18330</b> 18310	<b>1663.94</b> 1654.83	<b>305</b> 303	<b>31.80</b> 37.29	3	<b>3</b>	4	<b>21</b> 60	<b>122</b> 84	139 132	16 17	4
Sheriff's Office Courtland PD State Police		17435 895	1737.88	303 0 2	32.01 0.00		3	4	21	122	138 1	15 1	4
SPOTSYLVANIA COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>42510</b> 41010	<b>4111.97</b> 3418.68	<b>1748</b> 1402	<b>25.17</b> 31.46		<b>12</b> 5	13 17	<b>41</b> 32	<b>274</b> 226	<b>1308</b> 1042	<b>100</b> 80	2 1
Sheriff's Office State Police		42510	3980.24	1692 56	25.06 28.57		11 1	12 1	30 11	266 8	1280 28	93 7	1
STAFFORD COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>51840</b> 50230	<b>1724.54</b> 1620.55	<b>894</b> 814	<b>33.78</b> 40.42	<b>3</b> 1	1 5	<b>23</b> 15	<b>63</b> 26	<b>151</b> 191	<b>580</b> 494	<b>73</b> 82	10 12
Sheriff's Office State Police		51840	1606.87	833 61	35.41 11.48	3	1	22 1	18 45	149 2	571 9	69 4	10
					·								

<sup>\*</sup>Arson Totals are not included in the crime index total nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft	Arson*
SURRY COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>6310</b> 6270	<b>1679.87</b> 1244.02	<b>106</b> 78	<b>36.79</b> 48.72		<b>2</b> 2	<b>2</b> 2	<b>9</b> 9	<b>52</b> 34	<b>40</b> 28	1 3	1
Sheriff's Office State Police		6310	1679.87	106 0	36.79		2	2	9	52	40	1	
SUSSEX COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>10200</b> 10300	<b>2009.80</b> 2145.63	<b>205</b> 221	<b>45.37</b> 51.58	1	3	10 6	<b>23</b> 29	<b>64</b> 71	<b>91</b> 101	17 10	4
Sheriff's Office Waverly PD State Police		8015 2185	2083.59 1556.06	167 34 4	36.53 88.24 50.00			10	17 6	57 7	70 19 2	13 2 2	3 1
TAZEWELL COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>53250</b> 52860	<b>1218.78</b> 1384.79	<b>649</b> 732	<b>40.22</b> 39.62	1	<b>2</b> 7	5 8	<b>76</b> 79	<b>199</b> 203	<b>336</b> 402	<b>30</b> 33	<b>5</b>
Sheriff's Office Bluefield PD Pocahontas PD		34424 5795 539	854.06 1173.43 927.64	294 68 5	50.68 19.12 80.00	1	2	3	59 1	90 37 2	127 28 2	12 3	1
Richlands PD Tazewell PD Cedar Bluff PD State Police		6070 4793 1629	2767.71 1377.01 613.87	168 66 10 38	44.64 13.64 40.00 18.42			2	7 3 2 4	33 20 4 13	120 39 4 16	6 4 5	1
WARREN COUNTY	87 86	<b>23660</b> 23310	<b>3503.80</b> 3886.74	<b>829</b> 906	<b>35.34</b> 35.54	2	<b>8</b> 5	4	27 22	223 187	<b>516</b> 643	49 42	12 11
Sheriff's Office Front Royal PD State Police		11921 11739	1887.43 5043.02	225 592 12	29.78 37.50 33.33	2	2 6	1 3	6 20 1	98 124 1	111 397 8	7 40 2	2 9 1
WASHINGTON COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>49300</b> 48910	<b>1409.74</b> 1586.59	<b>695</b> 776	<b>27.05</b> 40.98	2	<b>10</b> 12	7 5	<b>22</b> 31	<b>260</b> 296	<b>350</b> 372	<b>46</b> 58	10 14
Sheriff's Office Abingdon PD Damascus PD Glade Springs PD State Police		41731 4555 1320 1694	1322.76 2436.88 151.52 885.48	552 111 2 15 15	29.17 17.12 50.00 33.33 133.33		9	6	15 4 3	222 28 2 6 2	263 73 5 9	37 8	9
WESTMORELAND COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>14440</b> 14390	<b>2153.74</b> 2043.09	<b>311</b> 294	<b>43.41</b> 35.37	4 3	4	7	<b>26</b> 17	127 84	<b>127</b> 179	<b>16</b> 7	8
Sheriff's Office Colonial Beach PD State Police		11362 3078	1601.83 4061.08	182 125 4	58.24 20.80 75.00	2 2	3 1	3 4	11 15	71 55 1	83 41 3	9 7	7 1
WISE COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>47460</b> 46960	<b>1702.49</b> 1665.25	<b>808</b> 782	<b>37.00</b> 27.11	1 2	7 10	<b>9</b> 13	<b>31</b> 48	<b>222</b> 243	<b>483</b> 396	<b>55</b> 70	<b>10</b> 17
Sheriff's Office Appalachia PD Big Stone Gap PD Coeburn PD Pound PD		30747 2393 4980 2763 1185	910.66 1880.48 7048.19 289.54 84.39	280 45 351 8	41.07 40.00 37.04 25.00 100.00	1	3 2 2	4 5	7 7 15	108 11 81 6	131 23 235 2	26 2 13	2 2
St. Paul PD Wise PD State Police		918 4474	980.39 2168.08	9 97 17	44.44 25.77 23.53				1 1	3 13	2 77 12	3 6 . 5	1 5

		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft	Arson*
WYTHE COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>26640</b> 26490	1989.49 2053.61	<b>530</b> 544	<b>29.06</b> 32.35	2	4	3	11 24	<b>58</b> 67	<b>423</b> 414	<b>3</b> 1 33	<b>6</b> 3
Sheriff's Office Wytheville PD Rural Retreat PD State Police		18506 7024 1110	1086.13 3744.31 450.45	201 263 5 61	47.76 19.39 0.00 11.48		3 1	2	10 1	32 21 3 2	140 231 2 50	16 7 8	5 1
YORK COUNTY	<b>87</b> 86	<b>41450</b> 40600	<b>2564.54</b> 2401.48	1 <b>063</b> 975	<b>25.40</b> 29.44	1	11 4	<b>13</b> 10	<b>58</b> 75	<b>227</b> 189	<b>700</b> 655	<b>54</b> 41	<b>5</b> 2
Sheriff's Office State Police		41450	2554.89	1059 4	25.31 50.00		11	13	57 1	226 1	699 1	53 1	5
COUNTY SUB-TOTAL	<b>87</b> 86	<b>3689900</b> 3636660	<b>2654.81</b> 2525.12	<b>97960</b> 91830	<b>27.45</b> 28.18	<b>164</b> 146	<b>554</b> 548	<b>1654</b> 1527	<b>3524</b> 3935	<b>19845</b> 18898	<b>65302</b> 60986	<b>6917</b> 5790	<b>1021</b> 1047

## INDEPENDENT CITIES

ALEXANDRIA	87	107760	6901.45	7437	13.54	8	43	419	215	1308	4305	1139	52
	86	107120	6914.68	7407	19.17	4	57	447	234	1501	4350	814	56
Alexandria PD State Police		107760	6892.17	7427 10	13.53 20.00	8	43	419	211 4	1308	4300 5	1138 1	52
BEDFORD	<b>87</b> 86	<b>6430</b> 6370	<b>2037.33</b> 1852.43	<b>131</b> 118	41.98 43.22		3 1	1	7 6	10 25	1 <b>04</b> 82	6 4	1 1
Bedford PD State Police		6430	2021.77	130 1	41.54 100.00		3	1	6 1	10	104	6	1
BRISTOL	87 86	<b>18530</b> 18610	<b>4101.46</b> 5094.04	<b>760</b> 948	<b>30.39</b> 28.48	1	4 2	10 22	<b>27</b> 40	<b>154</b> 150	<b>529</b> 689	36 44	5 4
Bristol PD State Police		18530	4085.27	757 3	30.38 33.33		4	10	26 1	154	527 2	36	5
BUENA VISTA	87 86	<b>6980</b> 6970	<b>1246.42</b> 1563.85	<b>87</b> 109	<b>20.69</b> 29.36		3	1	<b>3</b> 7	. 17 25	<b>60</b> 74	<b>3</b> 3	1
Buena Vista PD State Police		6980	1246.42	87 0	20.69		3	1	3	17	60	3	1
CHARLOTTESVILLE	<b>87</b> 86	<b>41380</b> 41190	<b>7421.46</b> 7329.45	<b>3071</b> 3019	<b>33.02</b> 24.25	4 2	<b>25</b> 28	<b>72</b> 50	155 141	<b>565</b> 469	<b>2102</b> 2183	<b>148</b> 146	<b>26</b> 15
Charlottesville PD State Police		41380	7421.46	3071 0	32.86	4	25	72	155	565	2102	148	26
CHESAPEAKE	<b>87</b> 86	<b>134460</b> 131610	<b>4914.47</b> 4147.10	<b>6608</b> 5458	<b>19.28</b> 18.18	7 10	<b>32</b> 48	<b>202</b> 187	<b>302</b> 285	<b>1783</b> 1724	<b>3975</b> 2967	<b>307</b> 237	<b>74</b> 53
Chesapeake PD State Police		134460	4906.29	6597 11	19.28 18.18	7	32	202	<b>299</b> 3	1781 2	3970 5	306 1	74
CLIFTON FORGE	<b>87</b> 86	<b>5170</b> 5150	<b>1895.55</b> 1747.57	<b>98</b> 90	<b>54.08</b> 16.67				1	13 14	<b>83</b> 72	1 4	1
Clifton Forge PD State Police		5170	1895.55	98 0	54.08				1	13	83	1	1

		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft	Arson*
COLONIAL HEIGHTS	87 86	<b>17280</b> 17170	<b>2719.91</b> 3267.33	<b>470</b> 561	<b>32.55</b> 36.72		3 4	7 6	10 13	<b>57</b> 45	<b>370</b> 479	23 14	
Colonial Heights PD State Police		17280	2708.33	468 2	32.69 0.00		3	7	9 1	57	369 1	23	
COVINGTON	<b>87</b> 86	<b>7980</b> 8140	<b>1904.76</b> 2137.59	<b>152</b> 174	<b>40.79</b> 32.18		1 1	3	<b>18</b> 6	<b>41</b> 32	<b>79</b> 118	13 14	1 3
Covington PD State Police		7980	1904.76	152 0	40.79		1		18	41	79	13	1
DANVILLE	<b>87</b> 86	<b>45130</b> 45210	<b>3642.81</b> 3273.61	<b>1644</b> 1480	<b>35.64</b> 28.78	<b>3</b>	7 12	13 17	<b>34</b> 40	<b>278</b> 220	<b>1262</b> 1127	<b>47</b> 58	<b>16</b> 6
Danville PD State Police		45130	3642.81	1644 0	35.64	3	7	13	34	278	1262	47	16
EMPORIA	<b>87</b> 86	<b>4690</b> 4710	<b>8422.17</b> 8917.20	<b>395</b> 420	<b>47.34</b> 42.38		2 3	<b>5</b>	17 16	<b>79</b> 61	<b>283</b> 330	9 4	2 1
Emporia PD State Police		4690	8422.17	395 0	47.34		2	5	17	79	283	9	2
FAIRFAX	<b>87</b> 86	<b>20870</b> 20830	<b>6363.20</b> 6135.38	<b>1328</b> 1278	<b>29.07</b> 28.87	1	<b>3</b> 5	20 14	<b>8</b> 10	<b>151</b> 151	<b>1046</b> 1005	<b>100</b> 92	11 12
Fairfax PD State Police		20870	6358.41	1327 1	29.09 0.00		3	20	8	151	1045 1	100	11
FALLS CHURCH	<b>87</b> 86	<b>9660</b> 9640	<b>5797.10</b> 5622.41	<b>560</b> 542	<b>29.64</b> 28.97		2 4	14 12	<b>41</b> 8	<b>68</b> 71	<b>370</b> 416	<b>65</b> 31	<b>3</b> 5
Falls Church PD State Police		9660	5776.40	558 2	29.57 50.00		2	14	41	67 1	370	64 1	3
FRANKLIN	<b>87</b> 86	<b>7990</b> 7980	<b>3967.46</b> 3646.62	<b>317</b> 291	<b>41.64</b> 38.49		<b>3</b> 2	7 5	<b>33</b> 24	<b>48</b> 72	<b>223</b> 175	3 13	1
Franklin PD State Police		7990	3954.94	316 1	41.77 0.00		3	7	33	48	222 1	3	
FREDERICKSBURG	<b>87</b> 86	<b>19900</b> 19600	<b>5793.97</b> 5877.55	<b>1153</b> 1152	<b>32.26</b> 34.20	1 4	10 4	<b>30</b> 30	<b>41</b> 51	<b>146</b> 129	<b>844</b> 879	<b>81</b> 55	1 6
Fredericksburg PD State Police		19900	5698.49	1134 19	32.28 31.58	1	10	30	36 5	140 6	838 6	79 2	1
GALAX	<b>87</b> 86	<b>7010</b> 6940	<b>2810.27</b> 4106.63	<b>197</b> 285	<b>22.34</b> 29.12		1 1	1	1 9	<b>27</b> 40	<b>158</b> 213	10 21	2
Galax PD State Police		7010	2796.01	196 1	21.94 100.00		1		1	27	158	10	
HAMPTON	<b>87</b> 86	<b>126890</b> 126280	<b>5906.69</b> 6045.30	<b>7495</b> 7634	26.91 29.09	<b>10</b> 6	<b>42</b> 59	<b>200</b> 143	172 194	<b>1235</b> 1292	<b>5510</b> 5531	<b>326</b> 409	<b>57</b> 48
Hampton PD State Police		126890	5903.54	7491 4	26.91 25.00	10	42	199 1	172	1235	5507 3	326	57
HARRISONBURG	<b>87</b> 86	<b>27490</b> 27090	<b>4336.12</b> 4514.58	<b>1192</b> 1223	<b>27.52</b> 32.05	<b>3</b> 2	3 4	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b> 19	<b>208</b> 163	<b>927</b> 986	<b>30</b> 43	3 11
Harrisonburg PD State Police		27490	4328.85	1190 2	27.56 0.00	3	3	9	12	208	926 1	29 1	3

		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft	Arson*
HOPEWELL	<b>87</b> 86	<b>24460</b> 24320	<b>4452.17</b> 4375.00	1089 1064	21.12 25.00	4	4 7	23 18	<b>56</b> 43	<b>2</b> 71 <b>2</b> 22	<b>704</b> 726	<b>27</b> 44	<b>35</b> 45
Hopewell PD State Police		24460	4452.17	1089 0	21.12	4	4	23	56	271	704	27	35
LEXINGTON	<b>87</b> 86	<b>6880</b> 6950	<b>2979.65</b> 4043.17	<b>205</b> 281	<b>17.07</b> 18.86		1	1	<b>6</b> 5	- <b>39</b> 48	156 222	<b>4</b> 4	
Lexington PD State Police		6880	2979.65	<b>205</b> 0	17.07				6	39	156	4	
LYNCHBURG	87 86	<b>69600</b> 69200	<b>4977.01</b> 5010.12	<b>3464</b> 3467	<b>28.78</b> 30.49	<b>4</b> 7	<b>25</b> 22	<b>61</b> 80	<b>262</b> 286	<b>488</b> 573	<b>2498</b> 2388	<b>126</b> 111	33
Lynchburg PD State Police		69600	4971.26	3460 4	28.79 25.00	4	25	61	262	488	2494 4	126	
MANASSAS	<b>87</b> 86	<b>19710</b> 19120	<b>4408.93</b> 3692.47	<b>869</b> 706	<b>10.59</b> 20.82	1	1 2	11 6	<b>6</b> 3	<b>133</b> 151	<b>638</b> 506	<b>79</b> 38	
Manassas PD State Police		19710	4408.93	869 0	10.59	1	1	11	6	133	638	79	
MANASSAS PARK	<b>87</b> 86	<b>7010</b> <b>695</b> 0	<b>3338.09</b> 2892.09	<b>234</b> 201	<b>24.79</b> 28.36		2	2	<b>15</b> 9	<b>21</b> 28	<b>189</b> 153	<b>5</b> 11	
Manassas Park PD State Police		7010	3338.09	234 0	24.79		2	2	15	21	189	5	
MARTINSVILLE	<b>87</b> 86	<b>19030</b> 18910	<b>6205.99</b> 5415.12	<b>1181</b> 1024	<b>41.83</b> 39.65	1 2	<b>10</b> 5	<b>20</b> 12	<b>85</b> 101	<b>258</b> 191	<b>752</b> 655	<b>55</b> 58	5 4
Martinsville PD State Police		19030	6205.99	1181 0	41.83	1	10	20	85	258	752	55	5
NEWPORT NEWS	<b>87</b> 86	<b>159050</b> 157040	<b>4978.31</b> 5192.94	<b>7918</b> 8155	<b>28.82</b> 26.35	<b>16</b> 15	<b>73</b> 77	243 340	<b>419</b> 448	<b>1765</b> 1891	<b>4932</b> 4821	<b>470</b> 563	<b>57</b> 89
Newport News PD State Police		159050	4974.54	7912 6	28.83 16.67	16	73	243	419	1765	4928 4	<b>468</b> 2	57
NORFOLK	<b>87</b> 86	<b>272390</b> 271620	<b>7626.93</b> 7112.88	<b>20775</b> 19320	<b>24.87</b> 22.78	<b>50</b> 46	<b>181</b> 177	<b>1008</b> 1051	<b>915</b> 977	<b>4646</b> 4236	<b>12734</b> 11664	<b>1241</b> 1169	<b>224</b> 214
Norfolk PD State Police		272390	7611.15	20732 43	24.90 6.98	50	181	1007 1	902 13	4645 1	12713 21	1234 7	224
NORTON	<b>87</b> 86	<b>4940</b> 4920	<b>3461.54</b> 3861.79	171 190	<b>53.80</b> 41.05	1	2 1	1 1	5 7	<b>38</b> 42	116 127	<b>8</b> 12	1
Norton PD State Police		4940	3461.54	171 0	53.80	1	2	1	5	38	116	8	1
PETERSBURG	<b>87</b> 86	<b>39910</b> 40080	<b>7897.77</b> 7589.82	<b>3152</b> 3042	<b>31.85</b> 27.98	6 4	<b>27</b> 24	<b>158</b> 117	<b>230</b> 177	<b>712</b> 737	<b>1895</b> 1864	<b>124</b> 119	<b>17</b> 10
Petersburg PD State Police		39910 -	7820.10	3121 31	32.11 6.45	6	27	158	201 29	712	1893 2	124	17
POQUOSON	<b>87</b> 86	<b>10820</b> 10530	<b>1561.92</b> 1690.41	<b>169</b> 178	<b>34.91</b> 33.71	1		1	<b>32</b> 39	<b>28</b> 18	<b>103</b> 118	<b>5</b> 2	1 1
Poquoson PD State Police		10820	1561.92	169 0	34.91			1	32	28	103	5	1

		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft	Arson*
PORTSMOUTH	<b>87</b> 86	<b>111750</b> 110730	<b>7067.56</b> 6208.80	<b>7898</b> 6875	<b>26.60</b> 27.69	<b>29</b> 21	<b>80</b> 60	<b>332</b> 333	<b>386</b> 250	1783 1604	<b>4878</b> 4332	410 275	182 163
Portsmouth PD State Police		111750	7060.40	7890 8	26.60 25.00	29	80	332	380 6	1783	4876 2	410	182
RADFORD	<b>87</b> 86	14060 13980	<b>967.28</b> 1223.18	136 171	71.32 42.11		4			<b>13</b> 19	<b>99</b> 128	<b>20</b> 23	2
Radford PD State Police		14060	960.17	135 1	71.85 0.00		4			13	98 1	20	
RICHMOND	<b>87</b> 86	<b>215790</b> 216280	<b>8621.81</b> 8453.86	<b>18605</b> 18284	25.75 26.47	<b>78</b> 82	183 207	<b>1084</b> 1017	<b>1279</b> 1289	<b>4148</b> 4483	9993 10062	1840 1144	121 113
Richmond PD State Police		215790	8602.81	18564 41	25.73 31.71	78	182 1	1083 1	1271 8	4143 5	9969 24	1838 2	121
ROANOKE	<b>87</b> 86	100080 100110	<b>7594.92</b> 7993.21	<b>7601</b> 8002	26.34 23.36	16 12	<b>28</b> 32	182 204	<b>209</b> 250	<b>1764</b> 1730	<b>5170</b> 5533	<b>232</b> 241	71 108
Roanoke PD State Police		100080	7587.93	7594 7	26.36 0.00	16	28	182	206 3	1764	5167 3	231 1	71
SALEM	<b>87</b> 86	<b>24560</b> 24490	<b>3717.43</b> 3189.06	<b>913</b> 781	22.45 30.35	1	3	2 9	12 6	<b>125</b> 156	717 562	<b>53</b> 46	3
Salem PD State Police		24560	3713.36	912 1	22.48 0.00	1	3	2	12	125	716 1	53	3
SOUTH BOSTON	<b>87</b> 86	<b>7390</b> 7350	1759.13 2217.69	1 <b>30</b> 163	<b>43.08</b> 33.13	1		1	<b>2</b> 9	<b>24</b> 21	98 127	4 5	
South Boston PD State Police		7390	1759.13	130 0	43.08	1		1	2	24	98	4	
STAUNTON	<b>87</b> 86	<b>24850</b> 24790	<b>3114.69</b> 3073.82	<b>774</b> 762	<b>32.69</b> 38.98	<b>2</b> 2	<b>6</b> 2	6 11	<b>38</b> 22	<b>176</b> 107	<b>525</b> 599	<b>21</b> 19	8
Staunton PD State Police		24850	3114.69	774 0	32.69	2	6	6	38	176	525	21	8
SUFFOLK	<b>87</b> 86	<b>53150</b> 52360	<b>4650.99</b> 4629.49	<b>2472</b> 2424	<b>26.74</b> 26.24	11 4	<b>21</b> 22	<b>78</b> 61	<b>214</b> 242	<b>669</b> 589	<b>1370</b> 1387	109 119	<b>1</b> 3
Suffolk PD State Police		53150	4650.99	2472 0	26.74	11	21	78	214	669	1370	109	13
VIRGINIA BEACH	<b>87</b> 86	<b>332490</b> 322460	<b>5634.15</b> 5508.90	<b>18733</b> 17764	<b>25.45</b> 20.21	11 25	1 <b>25</b> 85	<b>323</b> 337	<b>269</b> 309	<b>4046</b> 4475	<b>13198</b> 11779	<b>761</b> 754	152 174
Virginia Beach PD State Police		332490	5625.13	18703 30	25.41 46.67	11	124 1	322 1	261 8	<b>4045</b> 1	13181 17	759 2	152
WAYNESBORO	87 86	18620 18660	<b>3587.54</b> 3595.93	<b>668</b> 671	<b>24.85</b> 22.06	3 1	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b> 5	<b>25</b> 28	<b>97</b> 90	<b>503</b> 518	<b>32</b> 26	12 21
Waynesboro PD State Police		18620	3587.54	668 0	24.85	3	3	5	25	97	503	32	12
WILLIAMSBURG	<b>87</b> 86	11070 10960	<b>5474.25</b> 4114.96	<b>606</b> 451	<b>29.87</b> 33.48		1	<b>20</b> 8	<b>10</b> 5	<b>63</b> 77	<b>493</b> 336	<b>21</b> 24	1 2
Williamsburg PD State Police		11070	5465.22	605 1	29.75 100.00		1	19 1	10	63	493	21	1

		POPULATION	CRIME RATE PER 100,000	TOTAL CRIME INDEX	PERCENT CLEARED	Murder and Non- negligent Man- slaughter	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Burglary	Larceny	MV Theft	Arson*
WINCHESTER	<b>87</b> 86	<b>21200</b> 21070	<b>6372.64</b> 7005.22	1351 1476	<b>36.20</b> 38.41	1	11 3	14 20	<b>30</b> 38	1 <b>69</b> 268	<b>1078</b> 1093	<b>48</b> 54	<b>5</b> 7
Winchester PD State Police		21200	6358.49	1348 3	36.05 100.0	1	11	14	29 1	169	1076 2	48	5
CITY SUB-TOTAL	<b>87</b> 86	<b>2184410</b> 2163490	<b>6052.39</b> 5899.77	<b>132209</b> 127641	<b>25.99</b> 25.07	272 264	<b>975</b> 968	<b>4585</b> 4580	<b>5602</b> 5646	<b>27664</b> 27940	<b>85069</b> 81376	<b>8042</b> 6867	11 <b>62</b> 1249

## STATE POLICE ACTIVITIES

(1987)

	PRIME INCIDENTS	ASSISTS & FOLLOW UP	NUMBER OF ARREST FROM DSS SUMMARY SHEETS
PART I OFFENSES			
Homicide	134	4,110	41
Rape	107	640	8
Robbery	55	320	16
Assault	63	476	15
Burglary	163	1,289	158
Serious Felonies as reported by			
Bureau of Field Operations			
(includes homicide, robbery,			
rape, assault, burglary,			
and arson)	594	763	272
Larceny	1,704	5,772	687
Auto Theft	1,297	2,471	339
Arson	468	3,613	45
Other Felonies	2,759	5,188	1,355
SUBTOTAL FOR PART I OFFENSES	7,344	24,642	2,936
PART II OFFENSES			
Forgery/Uttering	214	1,451	231
Fraud	351	4,480	463
Embezzlement	220	4,569	348
Drugs/Narcotics	2,908	11,958	1,692
Kidnaping/Hostage	20	131	16
Driving Under The Influence	16,985	1,370	11,631
Other Part II Offenses	9,347	4,562	5,854
SUBTOTAL FOR PART II OFFENSES	30,045	28,521	20,235
GRAND TOTAL	37,389	53,163	23,171

## LOCALITIES PROVIDING SPECIAL BENEFITS TO LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS AND FIREFIGHTERS MAY 5, 1988

	LOCALITY	SHERIFF	POLICE	FIREFIGHTERS
COUNTIES:	Albemarle	<b>x</b> -	None	
	Appomattox	X		
	Augusta	X	None	X
	Bedford	X		
	Campbell	X	None	
	Chesterfield	X	X	X
	Essex	X	None	
	Goochland	X	None	
	Hanover	X	None	
	Henrico	X	X	X
	Henry	X	None	
	Loudoun	X	None	X
	Mecklenburg	X	None	
	Pittsylvania	X	Ÿ.	
	Prince William	X	X	X
	Pulaski	X	None	
	Roanoke	X	None	X
	York	X	None	X
CITIES:	Bedford		x	
	Bristol	$\mathbf{X}_{\cdot}$	X	X
	Chesapeake	X	X	X
	Colonial Heights	X	X	X
	Danville	X		
	Fredericksburg	X	X	X
	Franklin	None	X	X
	Hampton	X	X	X
	Hopewell		X	X
	Lynchburg	X	X	X
	Manassas	None	X	X
	Martinsville	X	X	X
	Petersburg		X	X
	Poquoson	None	X	X
	Radford	X	X	X
	Roanoke	X		
	Salem	X	X	X
	Staunton	X	X	X
	Suffolk	X	X	X
	Virginia Beach	X	X	X
	Winchester		X	X

		-	· 1
			1 1 1 1 1