

Identifying Costs of Solid Waste Management Services



April 1994

Members

The Honorable Shirley F. Cooper, Chair The Honorable Julia A. Connally, Vice-chair The Honorable Edgar S. Robb Richard Burton, Director, Department of Environmental Quality Greg Wolfrey John Cartwright Durwood Curling Jeffrey C. Southard Danny Holly, III Richard Lerner Edmund A Brummer Hiawatha Nicely William C. Dobson James V. Couch Michael Clower

Staff

Shannon R. Varner, *Staff Attorney* Jeffrey F. Sharp, *Staff Attorney Division of Legislative Services*

Full Cost Work Group

The Honorable Shirley F. Cooper Jeffrey C. Southard James O. McReynolds Cynthia J. Hartley Judith Mueller Larry Land



Identifying Costs of Solid Waste Management Services

Introduction

The 1993 Virginia General Assembly passed House Joint Resolution 494 establishing a joint subcommittee to study issues surrounding the privatization of solid waste management. Among the committee's findings was that localities vary in the costs they assign to solid waste management. This can have a significant impact on a locality's analysis of whether to continue with public provision of service or to seek privatization. The committee did not believe there should be a mandatory system for determining these costs. It did believe that an outline of solid waste management costs, drawn from those identified by localities and private industry, would be useful to localities in determining the true cost of solid waste operations.

The committee divided the analysis of solid waste management costs into two areas; collection and disposal. Collection includes the costs associated with collection of solid waste and recyclables. Additional costs associated with recycling such as sorting, processing and marketing are not included. Disposal costs are allocated into the six categories: 1) pre-development, 2) initial construction, 3) annual operation, 4) continued development, 5) closure and, 6) post-closure. This outline assumes waste will be disposed of in a landfill. However, the analysis will be helpful if another option, such as incineration, is under consideration. The committee has not addressed the impact of potential revenue generating activities such as energy production from methane or the sale of recyclables.

The committee decided not to assign specific figures or ranges of figures to each expense.¹ Characteristics unique to each locality or region may produce significant variations that will have an impact on each expense.

The potential cost of consultants, who may be used for any aspect listed below is not included in this work sheet. Use of consultants by a locality will depend on the specific requirements of each project and the needs of the locality. Consideration of consultant fees and the direct and indirect costs of consultant selection should not be overlooked.

Several formats for this document were reviewed. The "work sheet" format that is used in this document is the easiest to follow. However, this work sheet should not be considered a substitute for a local authority's own calculation and analysis.

Note on Indirect Costs:

The committee would like to comment on indirect costs because they are often overlooked, not accounted for, or accounted for in separate programs by some localities. Ignoring these costs can lead to an understatement of the true cost of solid waste management, making it difficult to compare the cost of public and private operation. Indirect costs include governmental costs which are not directly linked to a solid waste operation but which are necessary for its existence. These costs include time spent by locality administrators, attorneys, accountants and other employees that is devoted, at least in part, to supporting the governmental role in solid waste management. Support for these employees including office space, materials, and support staff should be included as indirect costs. These costs will vary from locality to locality depending on the local government size and structure. In this document these costs are included within administration costs. The committee has attempted to footnote some of those which may be overlooked.

Not all indirect costs will be forgone if privatization occurs. While it is important for a governmental unit to identify all of its indirect costs, it is more important that it identify those costs which will be actual savings if the service is privatized. For example, a locality will more than likely continue to have an administrator whether or not it privatizes an operation.² While privatization may reduce certain indirect costs, other costs are created. These include procurement, transition, administration and contract and service monitoring costs.

In addition to analyzing direct and indirect costs, potential benefits of privatization should be considered. For instance, if a locality maintains a storage facility for twenty vehicles, and then privatizes, either such costs will be shifted to the contract or possibly gains could be realized. Tax revenue may be generated by the privately owned vehicles, and freed storage space could negate the need for rental space or construction of new facilities.

Part One: Landfill Disposal

I. Pre-Development Costs	
Pre-Acquisition Site Selection and Evaluation	
Land search	
 Identification of sites 	
 Site analysis³ 	}
● Legal ⁴	
 Analysis of cost to prepare site 	
Site selection Local government approval	
• Zoning	
 Condemnation 	
 Public hearings 	
 Information sessions 	
 Public education and promotion 	
Site evaluation	
 Environmental due diligence 	
 Comparative analysis of sites 	
Other⁵	
Administration ⁶	
Contingency ⁷	
Total Pre-Acquisition Cost	
Land Acquisition Cost	
Land ⁸	
Legal	
Surveys	
Condemnation ⁹	\
Administration	
Contingency	
Total Land Acquisition Cost	

Permitting

Site suitability "Part A" application Full site assessment¹⁰ Permit application development¹¹ Mapping Permit fee Public hearing and information sessions Design, operation and closure "Part B" application Engineering and design plans Permit fee Public hearings and information sessions on draft permit Other potential permits (on and off-site)12 Wetlands VDOT approval Erosion and sediment control Storm water management VPDES POD Building Waste water treatment facility Administration¹³ Contingency **Total Permitting Cost Total Pre-Development** Cost

II. Initial Construction Costs	5
Acquiring financing	
Construction engineering services ¹⁴	
Construction management and inspection	
General site excavation and land clearing ¹⁵	
Erosion and sediment control	
Storm water management facilities	
Local infrastructure upgrading ¹⁶	
Liner and installation	
Synthetic liner	
Clay liner _	
Filter fabric	
Leachate collection and treatment system ¹⁷	
Other site improvements	
Entrance, access roads, gates, signs, fencing, lighting _	
Site landscaping	-
Truck scales, weighing system _	<u></u>
Scalehouse and office building _	
Equipment maintenance facility _	a
Citizen drop-off, recycling area _	
Miscellaneous site paving	
Miscellaneous facilities	
Third party construction quality assurance testing and monitoring ¹⁸	
Administration	
Contingency	
Total Initial Construction	

.

III. Annual Operation	
Personnel costs 19	
Utilities and maintenance 20	
Equipment	
Purchase, lease or rental	
Operations and maintenance ²¹	
Interest and other financial costs ²²	
Environmental monitoring ²³	
Engineering services	
Insurance ²⁴	
Financial assurance 25	·
Leachate	
Treatment	
Pretreatment	<u> </u>
POTW	
Transportation: truck vs. pipeline	
O & M of collection system	
O & M of methane system	
Daily Cover	
Off-site purchase and transportation	
On site movement	
Administration ²⁶	
Contingency	
Total Annual Operation Cost	

3

IV. Continued Developme	nt Cost
Methane monitoring and control system ²⁷	
Gas wells	
Collection	
Vents or flares	
Monitoring wells	
Testing for off-site migration	
Surveys for new cells	
On-going development and construction costs ²⁸	
Engineering services	
Additional capital outlays	
Financing	
Amortization	
Amortization Permitting ²⁹	
Amortization <i>Permitting</i> ²⁹ Administration	
Amortization <i>Permitting</i> ²⁹ <i>Administration</i> Contingency	
Amortization Permitting ²⁹ Administration Contingency Total Continued Development Cost	
Amortization Permitting ²⁹ Administration Contingency Total Continued Development Cost	

V. Closure

Engineering services for preparation of a final closure plan

Construction services

Final site grading, cap and re-vegetation

Material acquisition

To bring up to grade

To cap

Dismantling of support facilities

Administration

Contingency

Total Closure Cost

VI. Post-Closure (Annual Costs)

General site maintenance

Storm water management facilities

Cap and cover maintenance, repair and replacement ³⁰

O & M of methane system

O & M of leachate collection and treatment system

Leachate disposal

O & M of groundwater monitoring wells

Environmental monitoring and analysis ³¹

Administration

Contingency

Total Annual Post-Closure Cost

Landfill Cost Summary Worksheet

Conversion of total annual costs to costs per ton may is provided as an example of how this conversion may be be helpful in rate setting or in comparing local government costs to private company proposals. The following

.

.

accomplished.

Capital Costs	Total Cost	Amortization period	Cost Per Year
 I. Pre-Development II. Initial Construction IV. Continued Development V. Closure Capital Cost Sub Total 			
Annual Costs			
III. Annual Operation VI. Post Closure Annual Cost Sub Total			
Total Cost Per Year			
<i>Per Ton Cost Calculation:</i> <u>Total Cost Per Year</u> = \$ Per Ton Tons Per Year			

Part Two: Collection

Collection costs³² fit into a pattern of annual costs much more readily than do the costs of disposal. Therefore, the collection cost outline is presented in a somewhat different format. The potential initial cost of garage and office facilities, transfer stations, weigh stations and other capital improvements are not listed; rather, a portion of these costs (depreciation) should be included each year.

1. Salaries	3. Materials and Supplies
Direct labor	Office supplies
Full-time	 Cleaning supplies
Part-time	 Uniforms
Seasonal	 Hand tools
Overtime	Food
Regular	 Printing
Holiday	 Postage
Merit Increases	 Safety equipment
Across-the-board increase	 Containers and bins
Longevity pay	 Total
Total	
2. Fringe Benefits	4. Contractual Services
Paid holidays, sick leave, vacation	 Advertising
Retirement or pension contributions	 Dues and subscriptions
Hospitalization and	services
health insurance	 Total
Life insurance	 - <u></u> -
Social security payments	
Worker compensation insurance	 5. Insurance
Unemployment insurance	 Vakialaa
Incentives, bonuses, awards	
Training and educational reimbursements	 Deductibles or actual awards
Total	 Total

6. Facilities Management	8. Interdepartmental Cha	irges
Rent or depreciation	Computer use time	
Utilities	Purchasing and warehouse	
Custodial services	charges	
Security	Total	
Storm water management		
Total	9. Internal or Indirect Co	sts ³³
	Management	
7. Fleet Operations	Personnel	
Nabiala maintenanaa	Finance	
	Procurement and other	
Fuei		
Vehicle repair	Legal	
Vehicle wash	Total	
Vehicle depreciation or use allowance		
Licenses, permits and fines	Appual Collection Cost	
Total	(Total of 1 through 9)	

Endnotes

- 1. The documents by Joyce Engineering, Browning Ferris Industries and the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality listed in the bibliography and marked with a \$ sign are examples of documents which do assign costs to each expense.
- 2. Certain direct costs may continue whether privatization occurs or not. For example, maintenance of a closed landfill.
- 3. Regulations require an analysis of a site regarding a number of factors which may reduce the potential for the use of the site. Examples include the location of flood zones, drinking water wells, faults, airports, dams and wetlands. This is sometimes called a "fatal flaw" analysis.
- 4. Examples include title searches and contract development.
- 5. Examples include paying for options on parcels of land and adjacent property value protection programs.
- 6. As noted in the introduction, administrative costs include a wide range of direct and indirect costs. Here RFP preparation and selection and staff time devoted to contract monitoring should not be overlooked. These costs are listed throughout this document and the authors have attempted to list some of those costs which are often overlooked.
- 7. In projects of the magnitude of solid waste disposal, the unexpected is likely to occur. The committee has reviewed numerous documents, and calculation for contingencies range from 0 to 15% of an activity's cost. It is advisable for localities to consider this when making solid waste management decisions.
- 8. If a locality must purchase land for the facility, that cost is readily determinable. However, if the locality already owns the property, the reduction in value of the land from prior to development to closure is often overlooked. Regardless, the value of land must be accounted for in order to determine true costs for the project.
- 9. This cost will vary depending on the value of the property to be condemned and whether or not the property owners are willing to transfer their property.
- 10. Geotechnical evaluation, hydrogeological investigation, and environmental assessment.
- 11. Legal and consultant fees.
- 12. There will be costs associated with the permit application process for each of these permits, potentially including: site investigation and surveying, application development, fees, hearings and consultants.
- 13. Procurement process costs including RFP development, evaluation and selection, contract monitoring, contract preparation and submission costs.
- 14. Including bid documents, construction drawings, and bidding services.
- 15. Depending on (i) the parcel size, (ii) the amount of excavation required and (iii) the availability of other material on site to use as cover, provision may be required for storage of excavated material during excavation and for use as daily cover.
- 16. Potentially including local sewer service, public water systems, other utilities and local roads.

- 17. Requirements will vary from situation to situation and may include with the same and pretreatment facilities
- 18. Quality control certification testing is required for landfill components such as the clay liner, flexible membrane liner, geotextiles, and drainage zone materials for certification to the state that the facility was constructed as permitted.
- 19. A detailed list of personnel costs can be found in part two of this document.
- 20. Including roads, buildings, grounds, disposal site upkeep, dust control, security, waste screening, safety programs, public education, vermin control, electricity, gas and telephones.
- 21. Including fuel, repairs, equipment operation and storage facilities.
- 22. Contingent on options such as leasing, purchasing, bond issuance.
- 23. Testing will be required of ground water, surface water, landfill gas and possibly nearby private wells. Collection and lab costs will be associated with these requirements.
- 24. Examples include employment, health, property and personal injury insurance. Most localities are unable to acquire environmental impact insurance. Localities may self insure or develop an accrual system to build $u\mu$ funds for future environmental liability. These costs should be included.
- 25. Amendments to the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act require localities to provide financial assurance for landfill closure, post closure environmental monitoring and corrective action. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has not finalized regulations in this area, making determination of this expense difficult. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement Number 18 discusses how to reflect these liabilities in financial statements. However, the statement does not contain a method to determinities the amount of liability.
- 26. Including management costs such as billing, accounting, bill collection, data processing as well as engineering, legal and auditing expenses.
- 27. There are different options for methane control, including venting and capture. The systems associated with these methods are generally constructed as the site is filled and closed and methane is produced. Also, the time value of money should be considered. For example, the cost of installation of a methane system in the future should be converted into today's dollars.
- 28. This cost will change from year to year depending on expansion and closure needs.
- 29. Permits are periodically reviewed. The process of renewal, revision or amendment will necessarily carry some expense.
- 30. Including landfill slope, surface and landscape maintenance.
- 31. Including gas, groundwater and storm water.
- 32. As mentioned in the introduction the costs of collection of solid waste, recyclables and yard waste require separate analysis. While this section provides a general framework for the analysis of collection costs, it does not include costs or resources associated with the processing or marketing of recyclables or yard waste.
- 33. These costs need to be identified, but it should not be assumed that they will be eliminated if the service is privatized.

Bibliography

A Hard Look at Landfill Needs, Waste Age, March 1991

Accounting for the Full Cost of Garbage, Recycling, and Yard Waste Services, Special Report No. 3 to the Indiana General Assembly, Indiana Institute on Recycling, February 1993

\$ Calculating a Realistic Estimate of Landfill Waste Disposal Costs 1993, Joyce Engineering, Inc., 1993

\$ Calculating Landfill Costs: Background and Worksheet, Browning-Ferris Industries, Inc., 1992

\$ Estimates of Solid Waste Disposal Costs, Virginia Department of Waste Management, September, 1992

Government Solid Waste Enterprise Fund Full Cost Accounting in Prince William County, Virginia, Thomas J. Smith, P.E., Solid Waste Division Chief and Kenneth W. Shafer, Department of Finance, Prince William County, July 1993

Memorandum to Harry Gregori from Walter Gulevich on Estimation of Disposal Costs, Waste Division, Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, August 13, 1992

Landfill Costs Continue to Rise, Waste Age, March 1988

More on Sanitary Landfill Costs, Waste Age, April 1990

Sanitary Landfill Costs, Estimated, Waste Age, March 1990

Solid Waste Enterprise Fee Study, FY 94, Department of Public Works, Solid Waste Division, Prince William County, No publication date given

Solid waste: Full Cost Accounting Manual for Georgia Local Governments, Georgia Department of Community Affairs, May 1991

Special Report, Maryland Delaware Solid Waste Association, April 8, 1993

Statement Number 18, Accounting for Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Closure and Post closure Care Costs, Governmental Accounting Standards Board, August, 1993