REPORT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE

# **REPORT ON A STANDARDIZED SOCIAL HISTORY FORM**

TO THE GOVERNOR AND THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF VIRGINIA



# **HOUSE DOCUMENT NO. 25**

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND 2000



700 Centre, 4th Floor 7th and Franklin Streets P.O. Box 1110 Richmond, Virginia 23218-1110 (804) 371-0700 Fax (804) 371-0773 Voice/TDD (804) 371-0772

Rev. Gerald O. Glenn DIRECTOR COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Department of Juvenile Justice

November 30, 1999

TO: The Honorable James S. Gilmore, III, Governor of Virginia,

The Virginia State Crime Commission,

The Commission on Youth,

The House Courts of Justice Committee,

The Senate Courts of Justice Committee

The 1999 General Assembly requested, through House Joint Resolution 688, that the Department of Juvenile Justice, in collaboration with the Supreme Court of Virginia and the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission, develop a standardized social history to provide decision-making information to Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court Judges as well as for funding and policy purposes.

Enclosed for your consideration is a standardized format developed by a committee including Court Service Unit staff, Central and Regional Office staff and representatives of the Office of the Executive Secretary, Supreme Court of Virginia and the Virginia Sentencing Commission.

We gratefully acknowledge the participation and cooperation of the Supreme Court and the Sentencing Commission, as well as the effort of the Uniform Social History Work Group.

Respectfully submitted.

Rev. Gerald O. Glenn

•

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Executive Summary 1
II.	Authority for Study 2
111.	Study Group Membership
IV.	Study Goals and Objectives
V.	Methodology
VI.	Findings and Recommendations 7
VII.	Acknowledgements
Appenc	lix: Letters of Support

## I. Executive Summary

In response to HJR 688 the Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ):

- compiled data elements included in social history forms currently in use, assessment forms used in Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act programs, the DJJ Risk Assessment Instrument, assessment forms used by the Reception and Diagnostic Center for juveniles committed to the Department, and the adult Pre-Sentence Investigation report;
- formed an advisory work group including Court Service Unit staff, Central and Regional Office staff and representatives of the Office of the Executive Secretary, Supreme Court of Virginia and the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission;
- held discussions about what elements to include in the standard form based on the experiences and perspectives of group members, as well as colleagues and judges polled by group members;
- used a draft form in an interview situation to test its usability and flow; and
- made final recommendations on the standard form to be implemented.

Considerations in developing the form included:

- providing sound decision making information for judges and court service unit staff to use in providing appropriate sanctions and services to juveniles and their families;
- providing sound decision making information for DJJ to use for management, budgeting and research purposes;
- keeping the form to minimal length, while still providing the necessary information;
- keeping the form easy to use and flowing easily in an interview situation; and
- keeping the form as quantitative as practicable so that the product could be integrated as a part of the Case Management module of the Department's automated Juvenile Tracking System.

## II. Authority for Study

The 1999 Session of the Virginia General Assembly passed *House Joint Resolution 688* requiring the Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), in cooperation with the Supreme Court of Virginia and the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission to develop a standardized social history form.

Currently Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court Judges may request local Court Service Unit staff to prepare a social history on a juvenile to provide decision-making information. However, social histories vary greatly from court to court. A uniform format would not only provide the information needed by judges, but also would provide consistent information for funding and policy decisions by the Department of Juvenile Justice.

#### III. Uniform Social History Work Group Membership

**Vonda Ashley** *Programmer Analyst Department of Juvenile Justice* 

**Steve Charlson** Probation Supervisor 14<sup>th</sup> District Court Service Unit

**Robert Foster** Director 21<sup>st</sup> District Court Service Unit

**Diane Floyd** Probation Supervisor 2<sup>nd</sup> District Court Service Unit

**Rod Jones** Probation Supervisor 25<sup>th</sup> District Court Service Unit

**Don Lucido** Director of Technical Assistance Supreme Court of Virginia **Ken Miller** *Regional Manager Department of Juvenile Justice* 

**Scott Reiner** Court Services Unit Specialist Department of Juvenile Justice

Irene-Eva Ries Project Director Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission

**Donna Lipes** Probation Officer 2<sup>nd</sup> District Court Service Unit

**Nicole Teasley** Research Assistant Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission

Angela Valentine Community Programs Manager Department of Juvenile Justice

#### <u>Staff</u>

Susan E. Gholston, Community Programs Manager

Kimberly D. Coble, Research Assistant

# IV. Study Goals and Objectives

The goal of this effort was straightforward: create a standardized social history form to be used by all court service units to provide decision-making information to judges and court staff and to provide research and management information for the Department of Juvenile Justice.

Additionally, there were several objectives to be met to ensure a usable product.

- The form needed to provide sound decision making information for judges and court service unit staff to use in providing appropriate sanctions and services to juveniles and their families.
- The form needed to provide sound decision making information for DJJ to use for management, budgeting and research purposes.
- The form needed to be of minimal length, while still providing the necessary information.
- The form needed to be easy to use and flow easily in an interview situation.
- So that the product could be integrated as a part of the Case Management module of the Department's automated Juvenile Tracking System, the form needed to be as quantitative as practicable.

# V. Methodology

**Compiling currently used social history forms.** First, staff compiled existing social history forms, and several related documents for review. Each court district was asked to provide a copy of the social history form (or forms) currently used. In addition, the same request was made of program contacts for the Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act (VJCCCA) since these programs sometimes use assessment instruments similar to a social history. A third document included for review was the DJJ Risk Assessment Instrument developed and validated in collaboration with the National Council on Crime and Delinquency to assess a juvenile's risk of reoffending. Assessment instruments used by the Reception and Diagnostic Center for juveniles committed to the Department also were included, with elements that are not relevant to juveniles removed. The data elements that appeared on these forms were compiled into a large spreadsheet, with an attempt to combine like data elements. (A copy of this spreadsheet is included in the Appendix.)

**Uniform Social History Work Group.** A uniform social history work group was formed including representatives from each of the Department's three regions, central office staff, and representatives from the Supreme Court of Virginia and the Virginia Sentencing Commission. This group met five times, for approximately 20 hours of discussion, to create the standardized social history. These discussions were intense, but very productive in ensuring that the document produced met the identified objectives.

Before each meeting staff provided a draft form including the changes made in prior meetings for each work group member to review. A number of the work group members also shared the drafts with colleagues and judges in their areas. This allowed wider perspectives to be included while still keeping discussion group size manageable.

**Testing the form.** Upon completion of the Work Group's final draft, a probation supervisor used it in a social history interview to ensure that it flowed naturally and would be useful. The Standard Social History Form included in Section VI is the result of needed revisions.

*Implementation plan.* Implementation of this Standard Social History Form already is underway. An agency work group is meeting to advise Information Services staff on the development of the Case Management module of the Department's automated Juvenile Tracking System. The work of the Uniform Social History Work Group already has been shared with this group. The Standard Social History Form will serve as a basis for the first phase of the Case

Management System. A staff position also has been tasked with training court service unit staff and implementing the use of the Standard Social History Form.

Several crucial steps must be completed before implementation of the Standard Social History Form. First, Information Services must complete a software upgrade to allow the inclusion of large portions of narrative. Second, once the fields recommended in this report are included in the design of the Juvenile Tracking System, the automated form will be tested in three court service units, representing each of the Department's three regions. This pilot may lead to improvements to this recommended form including revisions to data elements and/or additional automation options to simplify use of the form. Third, a most important step to complete implementation is the development of a means of translating the information collected in the technical automated format into a narrative report that will read naturally and provide critical information in an easy to read style.

# VI. Findings and Recommendations

What follows is a prototype listing of data elements as they might appear in automated software screens. These data elements are designed to maximize computer coding of information, where possible, so that it can be used for comparison and research purposes. Simultaneously, the data elements are designed to allow narrative, where needed, to provide a more complete description of the subject of the report.

It is important to note that an important piece of implementing the Standard Social History Form will be translating the information collected from the technically oriented form that follows, to a format that reads easily, meaningfully and can be edited at the local level.

#### UNIFORM SOCIAL HISTORY DATA ELEMENTS

Author of Report Date of Report		//	Look-up codes for PO's
Type of report		predispositional postdispositional	
Defense attorney name(s) Guardian Ad Litem name(s) CASA worker name(s) DSS worker name(s) Commonwealth attorney names (s) Name of judge (last, first) Judicial District Jurisdiction Docket Number	)		-
1. Subject's Identifying Informatio	n		
DJJ ID Number Subject's Social Security Number Subject's name (last, first, middle) Subject's nickname Subject's address Telephone number Subject's birth date Subject's age			Have system bring up from DJJ# Have system generate from birth date
Verification source for birth date		birth certificate school record passport other (specify)	·····
Subject's birth place (city or county)			House system bring up from D L Iff
Subject's birth place (state)			Have system bring up from DJJ# Have system bring up from DJJ#
Subject's race		asian black hispanic white other (specify)	Have system bring up from DJJ#
Subject's sex		female male	Have system bring up from DJJ#
<i>Driver's license</i> Driver's license number	_		Have system bring up nom Doo <del>w</del>
Driver's license type		full learner's none	
Driver's license status		active suspended revoked restricted	

VCC Code # Counts Offense Da	-	Have system bring up from DJJ#
	□ yes □ no	Officer deletes those not current
Adjudicatory Finding	<ul> <li>plead guilty</li> <li>adjudicated guilty</li> <li>sufficient evidence to convict</li> <li>continued</li> <li>no finding</li> <li>taken under advisement</li> <li>other (specify)</li> </ul>	Narrative Multiple screens for each count of offense
PO's determination of subject's role in offense	<ul> <li>alone</li> <li>codefendant</li> <li>not determined</li> </ul>	
Subject's version of offense and reaction	narrative	
Parent's reaction to the offense	narrative	
Use of weapon	<ul> <li>none</li> <li>used to injure</li> <li>used to threaten</li> </ul>	
Type of weapon used	<ul> <li>firearm</li> <li>knife</li> <li>explosive</li> <li>simulated weapons</li> <li>other</li> </ul>	Specify type of weapon
Subject was using the following substances at the time of the current offense	<ul> <li>alcohol</li> <li>marijuana</li> <li>cocaine</li> <li>crack</li> <li>inhalants</li> <li>stimulants</li> <li>depressants</li> <li>hallucinogens</li> <li>opiates</li> <li>prescribed medication (specify)</li> <li>other</li> <li>none</li> </ul>	
Legal status at time of offense	<ul> <li>on parole</li> <li>on probation</li> <li>awaiting adjudication/disposition</li> <li>in a diversion service</li> <li>transfer to circuit court</li> <li>under advisement without supervision</li> <li>none</li> </ul>	
Custody status at the time of the current offense	<ul> <li>both biological parents</li> <li>one parent/one stepparent</li> <li>mother only</li> <li>father only</li> <li>stepparent only</li> <li>grandparent(s)</li> <li>other relative</li> <li>non-relative guardian</li> <li>Department of Social Services</li> </ul>	

Predispositional status	
Status Date In Date Out	Release Reason
on bond own recognizance secure detention less secure detention outreach detention electronic monitoring released to parents crisis shelter	runaway behavior problems physical health problems mental health problems good behavior released on summons
Disposition Date (trial date)	
Method of Adjudication	guilty plea     judge trial
Type of Counsel	<ul> <li>public defender</li> <li>court appointed</li> <li>retained</li> <li>waived</li> <li>none</li> </ul>
Pending charges	
VCC Code # Counts Offens	e Date
	e, When Available (required for commitments)
Police	<ul> <li>yes, see attached</li> <li>not accessed</li> </ul>
Prosecutor	<ul> <li>no</li> <li>yes, see attached</li> <li>not available</li> </ul>
4. Victim Impact Information (if a	available)
A victim impact statement was ordered by the court?	<ul> <li>no</li> <li>yes, see attached</li> <li>not available</li> </ul>
Compensation/restitution ordered	<ul> <li>no</li> <li>yes, see attached</li> <li>unknown at this time</li> </ul>
5. Subject's Offense History VCC Code # Counts Offens	Have system bring up from DJJ# se Date Disposition Date Disposition
	<ul> <li>sanction/restitution</li> <li>referred for services/diverted</li> <li>resolved at intake</li> <li>withdrawn</li> <li>nolle prossed</li> <li>dismissed</li> <li>nonjudicial</li> <li>continued for review</li> </ul>

#### 6. Subject's Previous Contacts with CSU and Other Agencies Agency Type Involvement Dates Involvement Reason Treatment/Services Results Contact Narrative

		1	changed from predispositional to postdispositional status.
Use these discharge codes for DJJ		2	Completed program, satisfactory completion (honorable discharge)
programs and services		3	Terminated program, further participation of no useful purpose (general discharge).
Eventually would pull up DJJ		4	Terminated program for noncompliance (dishonorable discharge).
contacts from JTS		5	Terminated program for unrelated reasons (i.e., unrelated offenses or dispositions, client relocated, died, etc.).
7. Subject's Description			
Subject's Physical Description			
Eye color of subject		blue brown green hazel gray other	
Hair color of subject		black blonde brown red other	
Subject's height (inches) Subject's weight (pounds)			
Birthmarks	ā	no yes (describe)	Narrative
Scars		no yes (describe)	Narrative
Tattoos/carvings/piercings		no yes (describe)	Narrative
Subject's Behavioral Description	n		
Behavioral description		<ul> <li>a. developmental history</li> <li>b. when problems started</li> <li>c. problems at home</li> <li>d. problems in the community</li> </ul>	Address a,b,c,d in Narrative
Assaultiveness		possession of/brandishing a weapon assault on authority figures assault resulting in injury requiring medical attention assault while in custody assault on peers unprovoked assault assault on family members none	Narrative : check all that apply
Fire setting		no yes (describe)	Narrative

Bed wetting		no yes (describe)	Narrative
Harming animals		no yes (describe)	Narrative
Curfew problems		no yes (describe)	Narrative
Abides by house rules		yes no (describe)	Narrative
Drug trafficking		no yes (describe)	Narrative
Sexually active		no yes (describe)	Narrative
Subject's own children		no yes (see box)	
 Name Age	Gen	ider Narrative	
Religious activity		no yes (describe)	Narrative
Hobbies		no yes (describe)	Narrative
Psychological Description			
Subject currently is receiving mental health counseling or treatment	_	no yes	Narrative
Subject previously has received mental health counseling or treatment		no yes	Narrative
Subject currently is receiving substance abuse counseling or treatment		no yes	Narrative
Subject previously has received substance abuse counseling or treatment		no yes	Narrative
Current suicidal tendencies		none ideation self-inflicted behavior not requiring medical attention attempts requiring medical attention pattern of self-mutilation/minor self-injury	
History of suicidal tendencies (date)		none ideation self-inflicted behavior not requiring medical attention attempts requiring medical attention pattern of self-mutilation/minor self-injury	Narrative
Current psychotropic	n	no	Narrative
medication	ā	yes (specify type, if known)	Narrative
History of psychotropic medication		no yes (specify type, if known)	Narrative
Current need for mental health services		no yes (specify type, if known)	Narrative

#### Subject's Medical Description

Physical health condition		good fair poor (specify)	Narrative
Currently on medications		no yes (specify type, if known)	Narrative
Hospitalizations		no yes (describe)	Narrative
Subject is covered by insurance		no yes (specify type, if known)	Narrative
Subject receives SSI		no yes (specify type, if known)	Narrative
Peer relationships			Narralive
Peers known to court		no yes	
Significant girlfriend or boyfriend		no yes	
Peer role		leader follower	
Age appropriateness of peers		older same age younger	
Parents' perception of peer relationships		positive negative not determined	
PO opinion of peer relationships		positive negative not determined	
Gang affiliation	_		
History of gang involvement		no yes (describe)	Narrative
Response to authority			Manauve
Response to home authority		compliant non-compliant oppositional	
Response to school authority		compliant non-compliant oppositional	
Response to community authority		compliant non-compliant oppositional	
Employment status			
Employment at time of offense		full-time part-time not employed	
Employment record (over the past 2 years)		regular few changes regular many changes irregular odd jobs only not employed	

#### Educational

	School setting		private standard public alternative public vocational GED completed home none	
	Last grade completed Current grade			
	Appropriate grade level?	_	no yes	
	School performance		performing at level of ability not performing at level of ability	
	Overall grades at last report period		above average average below average	
	Expulsions		# of expulsions	
	Special education status determined		LD ED TMR EMR MR ADD ADHD Other Health Impaired	
	If special education, classroom type:		self contained resource class	
	Behavior problems in school?		no yes (specify)	Narrative
	Handicaps or disabilities	_	3 ( ) 37	Nanauve
	Subject is physically handicapped		no yes (specify)	Narrative
8. SI	ıbject's Family			
	Parental/guardian information (	incl	ude all non-sibling members in hou	sehold)
	Parent deceased		no yes (describe)	Narrative
	Relation to subject		natural father natural mother step father adoptive father adoptive mother foster father foster mother uncle aunt grandfather grandmother	
	Parental name Parental address		other family member (specify) non-family guardian other (specify)	

.

Multiple Screens

Home telephone number Work telephone number Parental Social Security Number Parental birth date			
Parental age		·	Have system generate from birth date
Parental race		asian black hispanic white other (specify)	
Parental grade level of education completed		GED	
Parental current employment status	ā	full-time part-time not employed	
Parental present employment position			
Parental present employer			
Employment record (over the past 2 years)		regular few changes regular many changes irregular odd jobs only not employed	
Parental military experience(s)		no yes (describe)	Narrative
Parental physical health		good fair poor (specify)	Narrative
Parental US Citizenship		no yes	
Parental current marital status		married divorced separated single, never married	
Number of previous marriages			
Number of children from other relationships			
Length of current relationship (years)			
Interpersonal relationship between parents/guardians		good fair poor (specify)	Narrative
Year(s) of marriage(s)			Allow multiple entries
Parental court record		no yes (describe)	Narrative
Parental residence	ā	owns residence rents residence other	

Pa	rental present salary	□ \$0 - \$5,000 □ \$5,001 - \$10,000 □ \$10,001 - \$15,000	
		□ \$15,001 - \$20,000 □ \$20,001 - \$25,000 □ \$25,001 - \$30,000	
	[	□ \$30,001 - \$35,000 □ \$35,001 - \$40,000 □ \$40,001 - \$45,000	
	C	□ \$45,001 - \$50,000 □ \$50,001 - \$60,000 □ \$60,001 - \$70,000	
		□ \$70,001 - \$80,000 □ \$80,001 - \$90,000 □ \$90,001 - \$100,000	
		☐ Over \$100,000 ☐ Varies	
_	rental other income	\$,,	Narrative
ser	vices to subject?	□ no □ yes (describe) -	Narrative
Ca		□ yes □ no (describe)	Narrative
He		☐ no ☐ yes (describe)	Narrative
<i>Siblings</i> name			Allow multiple screens
Relation	ship to subject	<ul> <li>natural brother</li> <li>natural sister</li> <li>half brother</li> <li>half sister</li> <li>step brother</li> <li>step sister</li> <li>adoptive brother</li> <li>adoptive sister</li> <li>foster brother</li> <li>foster sister</li> <li>other child relative (specify)</li> <li>other non-relative child (specify)</li> </ul>	
date of b	irth	!!	
age mother	Ĺ	<ul> <li>same as that of subject</li> <li>different from subject (specify)</li> </ul>	Have system calculate from birth date Narrative
father		<ul> <li>same as that of subject</li> <li>different from subject (specify)</li> </ul>	Narrative
school		<ul> <li>attending</li> <li>suspended</li> <li>expelled</li> <li>GED</li> <li>completed</li> <li>drop out</li> <li>not applicable</li> </ul>	
highest g	rade level completed		
court rec	ard	□ no □ yes (describe)	Narrative

interpersonal relationship with subject sibling narrative Family History	ct		Narrative Narrative
family substance abuse		no yes (describe)	Narrative
family sexual abuse		no yes (describe)	Narrative
family physical abuse		no yes (describe)	Narrative
Family Psychological/psychiatric/cou	unse	eling information (if applicable)	
diagnosed mental illness in family		no yes (describe)	Narrative
family member in counseling		no yes (describe)	Narrative
Family support system			Nullativo
Current family support system meet the needs of the subject		no yes (describe)	Narrative
9. Subject's Home and Neighborh Physical description of subject's con Length in home & neighborhoo (years, months)	nmu		
Community resources		good poor	
Convenient to community resources		no yes	
Public transportation access		good poor	
Overall neighborhood income level		low moderate high	
Community description (check all that apply)		rural urban residential commercial stable transient rental owned single family dwellings attached dwellings	
	ā	well maintained fair condition poor condition	
Parental opinion of crime rate		low moderate high	
PO opinion of crime rate		moderate	
Parental opinion of impact of neighborhood on subject		positive neutral negative	

PO opinion of impact of neighborhood on subject		positive neutral negative		
Description of subject's house				
Type of dwelling		single family dwelling attached dwelling		
# bedrooms				
Subject must share bedroom		no yes		
Overall housing condition		good adequate poor		
Check all that apply		pest problems		
Adequately furnished		yes no (describe)	Narrative	
10. Subject's Strengths and Weak	nes	ises		
Strengths		narrative		
Weaknesses		narrative		
11. Family's Strengths and Weaknesses Strengths narrative				

Weaknesses

narrative

#### 12. Probation Officer Recommendations

- recreation/wilderness program
- ā life skills program
- ă
- parenting skills programs anger management program

- law related education shoplifting program employment/vocational program
- truancy program academic improvement program
- afterschool or extended day
- - restitution/restorative justice
  - community service

  - mentoring program home-based, in-home, family preservation services individual, family, group
  - counseling

Justify recommendation. Include a plan that provides services as well as holding the juvenile accountable (balanced approach)

Probation officer's recommendation for sentencing

- mental health assessment Ō sex offender assessment/
- treatment
- substance abuse assessment/ treatment
- unsupervised probation supervised probation
- intensive probation
- ō outreach detention
- electronic monitoring
- crisis intervention/sheltercare
- family oriented group home Ō
- group home postdispositional detention
- ā bootcamp
- commitment to DJJ
- ā continue
- take under advisement
- no recommendation
- other (specify) ā

**Risk Assessment Score** 

- Iow moderate
- ā high

Summary Narrative

Have System Calculate

## VII. Acknowledgements

The Department of Juvenile Justice extends its appreciation to the following groups for their assistance and cooperation on this study:

The Uniform Social History Work Group

Court Service Unit Directors

The Supreme Court of Virginia

Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission

VJCCCA Plan Contacts

# **APPENDIX**

.

**Letters of Support** 

#### **GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF VIRGINIA -- 1999 SESSION**

#### **HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 688**

Requesting the Department of Juvenile Justice to develop a standardized social history form for use by court services units.

Agreed to by the House of Delegates, February 5, 1999 Agreed to by the Senate, February 18, 1999

WHEREAS, it is important to provide the judiciary with sufficient information regarding the background of a delinquent juvenile for appropriate dispositional decisions; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Juvenile Justice, through the local court services units, provides courts, upon request, with a social history on a juvenile following adjudication; and

WHEREAS, these social histories vary greatly from locality to locality and court to court; and

WHEREAS, a standardized social history form would enable the Department of Juvenile Justice to collect standardized data for funding and policy purposes; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED by the House of Delegates, the Senate concurring, That the Department of Juvenile Justice be requested to develop a standardized social history form for use by court services units. The Department shall collaborate with the Supreme Court and the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission to ensure that essential data elements are included in the form.

All agencies of the Commonwealth shall provide assistance to the Department for this task, upon request.

The Department shall complete its work in time to submit its findings and recommendations to the Virginia State Crime Commission, the Commission on Youth, and the House and Senate Committees for Courts of Justice by December 1, 1999.

HON. ERNEST P. GATES CHAIRMAN

# Commonwealth of Virginia



RICHARD P. KERN, PH.D. DIRECTOR

100 NORTH NINTH STREET RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23219 TEL (804) 225-4398 FAX (804) 786-3934

# Supreme Court of Virginia Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission

November 23, 1999

Reverand Gerald O. Glenn Director Department of Juvenile Justice Services Commonwealth of Virginia 700 East Franklin Street 700 Centre, 4th Floor Richmond, VA 23219

Dear Rev. Glenn:

As you may already be aware, the Commission is overseeing and administering the "Design and Development of a Juvenile Sentencing Database System" project, and staff is pleased to have participated in the Uniform Social History workgroup in an effort to produce a viable automated juvenile social history.

I have had the opportunity to review each successive revision of the document the workgroup members developed, and find the content and format useful not only as a tool for building a more complete profile of a juvenile's situation and background, but also as a data collection instrument as well.

The document developed by the workgroup has the Commission's support and encouragement toward its adoption and implementation into an automated format. A thorough, comprehensive automated social history would no doubt be useful to the Commission and other agencies mandated to conduct studies by the Virginia legislature, and we are confident that this document would be as ground-breaking for research purposes as the adult Presentence Investigation report (PSI) has proven. Page 2

Thank you for this opportunity to express the Commission's support of this document. Should you have an questions or comments, feel free to contact me at 804-225-4565, or Irene-Eva Ries (Project Director), at 804-786-2684.

Sincerely,

Rid U

Richard P. Kern, PhD. Executive Director

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY ROBERT N BALOWIN ASST. EXECUTIVE SECRETARY PHEDERICK A. MODNETT, JR SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA Administrative Office

THIRD FLOOR 100 NORTH NINTH STREET RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23219-2334 (804: 788-8455 Factimile 1804) 786-4542

November 29, 1999

DIR., DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICES GEETHA RAVINORA DIR., EDUCATIONAL SERVICES THOMAEN. LANGHORNE, III DIR., FISCAL SERVICES CHARLES P. WONG, JR. DIR., JUDICIAL PLANNING MATHY L. MAYE DIR., LEGAL RESEARCH STEVEN. DALLE MURA DIR., MGMT. INFORMATION SYSTEMS KENNETH L. MITTENDORFF DIR. FERSONNEL CATHERINE F AGEC DIR. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE DONALD R. LUCIDO

Ms. Susan E. Gholston Community Programs Manager Department of Juvenile Justice 700 East Franklin Street Richmond, VA 23219

Dear Ms. Gholston:

Our office has been pleased to participate in the project instituted by House Joint Resolution 688 to create a uniform social history form. Our Director of Technical Assistance has served on your work group and has had an opportunity to provide input on this project. The committee is to be commended for its work to date. I believe that the form, as drafted, is in sufficient shape to proceed with pilot testing. Naturally if these pilot tests identify any problems, adjustments can be made at a later date. The only concern that I have heard from judges to date is the need to ensure that accommodation can be made for any special requirements that might be merited by an individual judge in a given case. It is my understanding that this could be done.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to participate in this process.

With kind regards and best wishes,

Very truly yours,

Job Palamin

Robert N. Baldwin Executive Secretary

RNB:pbk

P 002