

## COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

## Judicial Inquiry and Review Commission

JUDGE WILLIAM T. NEWMAN, JR., CHAIRMAN
JUDGE LARRY D. WILLIS, SR., VICE CHAIRMAN
BEVIN R. ALEXANDER, JR., ESQUIRE
JOHN S. MASSAD
DR. DELORES Z. PRETLOW
JUDGE JOSEPH S. TATE
MARTIN A. THOMAS, ESQUIRE

P.O. Box 367 Richmond, Virginia 23218-0367 (804) 786-6636 Fax: (804) 371-0650 DONALD R. CURRY
Counsel

KENNETH MONTERO
Assistant Counsel

DIANE KAESTNER
Administrative Assistant

December 1, 2002

## REPORT TO THE VIRGINIA GENERAL ASSEMBLY

In accordance with Section 17.1-905 of the Code of Virginia, the Judicial Inquiry and Review Commission makes the following report concerning its activities for the prior year:

- 1. The Commission continues to keep statistical information on all incoming telephone calls and correspondence. These complaints and inquiries are separated into categories indicating the source, the nature of the complaint or inquiry, and whether a violation of the Canons of Judicial Conduct was alleged. The statistics are attached and made a part of this report.
- 2. The Commission's 2002 statistical report has been enhanced. It now includes the number of times during the year that the Commission issued formal charges against a judge, the number of formal hearings conducted, and the number of informal meetings with judges to discuss complaints.
- 3. In March of 2002, the Commission filed a formal complaint in the Supreme Court of Virginia against a judge. The case was argued by Commission Counsel before the Supreme Court in June of 2002. The Supreme Court issued an opinion in September of 2002, publicly censuring the judge. Commonwealth v. Lewis, 264 Va. 401 (2002).
- 4. Pamphlets describing the function of the Commission have been made available to the public and distributed to all the Clerks' offices of General District, Juvenile, and Circuit Courts. The pamphlet explains how complaints are initiated, the confidential nature of Commission proceedings, and the array of dispositions that might be made. Different pamphlets specifically aimed at lawyers also have been distributed widely to members of the bar.
- 5. Information concerning the Commission also is available on the Internet on the home page of the Virginia Supreme Court. The Commission's Rules, the Canons of Judicial Conduct and complaint forms are easily accessible on the website.

- 6. The Judicial Ethics Advisory Committee responds to inquiries from judges concerning whether proposed future conduct complies with the Canons of Judicial Conduct. Commission staff has continued to provide administrative support for this Committee. Information concerning the Ethics Committee and an index of the Committee's formal opinions also are available on the Internet.
- 7. The Commission has presented numerous educational programs concerning judicial ethics. These programs have been conducted at local, regional, and state meetings for judges and bar groups. Commission staff also held training programs for substitute judges during October and November in Abingdon, Harrisonburg, Henrico, Manassas, Rustburg, and Suffolk.

Respectfully submitted,

William T. Newman, Jr., Judge

Chairman

dk

Enclosure

## JUDICIAL INQUIRY AND REVIEW COMMISSION December 1, 2001 to November 30, 2002

Judges subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission 7 State Corporation Commission Judges Virginia Workers' Compensation Commission				
Written inquiries received			Nature of written inquiry	
	Attorneys Judges Court employees General public Litigants Victims Inmates Media Other	7 8 0 6 149 2 179 0 <u>20</u>	Ruling/decision Ex parte Bias or prejudice Delay Rude behavior Failure to follow the law Ethics opinion How to file Other	174 6 41 16 43 30 6 51 66
	TOTAL	371		433
Telephone inquiries received			Nature of telephone inquiry	
	Attorneys Judges Court employees General public Litigants Victims Inmates Media Other In Person	57 253 11 38 362 17 3 5 120 <u>7</u> 873	Ruling/decision Ex parte Bias or prejudice Delay Rude behavior Failure to follow the law Ethics opinion How to file Other	228 12 64 27 68 63 243 121 135
Files opened upon the complaint of			Commission Dispositions	
	Attorney General public Litigant Judge Inmate Court Staff Commission Victims Other	5 2 9 0 0 1 14 0 <u>1</u> 32	No violation Not a substantial breach Informal meetings Formal charges Formal hearings Supreme Court complaints Canons breached Retired Removed from docket Pending	8 13 9 7 3 1 3 1 6

0

8

dk 12/2/02 Staff

Commission