December 1, 2003

To: The Honorable Mark R. Warner

and

Members of the General Assembly

The report contained herein is submitted pursuant to §63.2-619 of the *Code of Virginia* and Item 363 of the 2003 Appropriation Act. Section 63.2-619 requires the Department of Social Services to file an annual report on the Virginia Independence Program (VIP) with the Governor and the General Assembly. The report is to describe program achievements for the past year.

Virginia's welfare reform program has performed well in placing participants in employment and increasing the earnings of participants. Since VIP was implemented in July 1995, the TANF caseload has dropped from 70,797 to a little less than 32,000 in June 2003, a decrease of more than 55 percent. Of the 81,434 TANF recipients enrolled in the Virginia Initiative for Employment not Welfare Program (VIEW) since 1995, more than 59,218 found employment and joined the work force by June 2003. This caseload decline contributed to a net savings in federal and state funds of over \$507 million.

Respectfully Submitted,

Maurice A. Jones Commissioner

> Department of Social Services December 1, 2003

## **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary		i
Study Charge		1
Virginia Independence Program I	mplementation	2
VIP Outcome Measures		3
TANF High Performance Bonus A	Awards	10
Conclusion		11
Appendix A	Code of Virginia, §63.2-	619
Appendix B	Virginia Independence Program Outcome Mea	sures

## **Executive Summary**

The federal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) block grant funds a host of important and effective programs throughout the Commonwealth including the Virginia Independence Program (VIP). VIP consists of two related but distinct sets of requirements for TANF participants, eligibility requirements and work requirements. The policies that mandated eligibility requirements were effective statewide on July 1,1995. The Virginia Initiative for Employment not Welfare Program (VIEW) is the workrelated portion of VIP that requires participants to be employed or engaged in a work activity. Implementation of VIEW was phased in over a two-year period beginning in July 1995 and ending in October 1997.

Since VIP was implemented in July 1995, the TANF caseload has dropped from 70,797 to a little less than 32,000 in June 2003, a decrease of more than 55 percent. Of the 81,434 TANF recipients enrolled in VIEW since 1995, more than 59,218 found employment and joined the work force by June 2003. This caseload decline contributed to a net savings in federal and state funds of over \$507 million.

Virginia received two high performance bonuses totaling \$15.8 million in TANF funds from the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. The bonuses were received for two fiscal years - \$7.9 million for the state's performance in fiscal year 2001 and \$7.9 million for 2002. This bonus amount is the maximum Virginia is eligible to receive. Virginia ranked fourth in the nation in 2002 in helping place welfare recipients in jobs. That ranking improved from ninth in the nation in 2001 for "job entry."

TANF was originally authorized by Congress through September 30, 2002. Congress has not passed a reauthorization bill. Rather, Congress has passed several extensions. Currently, TANF is operating under a continuing resolution through March 31, 2003. It is unclear as to whether the continuing resolution will be extended or a reauthorization bill will be passed. When reauthorization does occur, the program requirements could change. Regardless of the outcome of federal legislation, Virginia has an opportunity to create a more efficient and effective service delivery system by building upon past success and taking advantage of the flexibility afforded by the block grant.

## **Study Charge**

Section 63.2-619 of the Code of Virginia states:

- A. In administering the [Virginia Independence] Program, the Commissioner shall develop and use evaluation methods that measure achievement of the goals of the Program as specified in §63.2-601.
- B. The Commissioner shall file an annual report with the Governor and General Assembly regarding the achievement of such goals. The annual report shall include a full assessment of the Program, including its effectiveness and funding status, statewide and for each locality; and a comparison of the results of the previous annual reports. [Appendix A contains a copy of this legislation.]

## Virginia Independence Program Implementation

The Virginia Independence Program (VIP) consists of two related but distinct sets of requirements for recipients of Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), eligibility requirements and work requirements. The policies that mandated eligibility requirements were effective statewide on July 1, 1995. These eligibility policies encourage participants to take personal responsibility for their family by requiring TANF participants to cooperate with paternity establishment, have their children attend school regularly, and immunize their children. TANF participants who do not meet these requirements are sanctioned. VIP eligibility policies also put a cap on benefits for children born more than ten months after TANF assistance is authorized.

VIP eligibility policies are instrumental in focusing TANF participants on personal responsibility. The vast majority of participants has complied with VIP policies and has not needed to be sanctioned for failure to cooperate.

The Virginia Initiative for Employment not Welfare Program (VIEW) is the workrelated portion of VIP. VIEW was phased in over a two-year period beginning in July 1995 and ending in October 1997. VIEW policies include:

- a requirement for participants to work within 90 days of receipt of TANF;
- a two-year time limit on TANF benefits; and
- a disregard for earned income up to 100 percent of the federal poverty level.

To implement VIP and VIEW, Virginia had to secure waivers of federal regulations. Key elements of the VIEW program that needed waivers included:

- changing the work exemption so that parents of children over the age of 18 months had to participate;
- imposing a two-year time limit on TANF benefits for families participating in VIEW;
- allowing a full family sanction;
- eliminating the reconciliation process required for sanctioning;
- imposing a period of ineligibility; and
- requiring the signing of an "Agreement of Personal Responsibility" to continue receiving TANF.

Since VIP was implemented in July 1995, the TANF caseload\_has dropped by about 38,000 cases, from 70,797 to a little less than 32,000 in June 2003, a decrease of more than 55 percent. Of the 81,434 TANF recipients enrolled in VIEW since 1995, more than 59,218 found employment and joined the work force by June 2003. The caseload decline contributed to a net savings in federal and state funds of over \$507 million.

## **VIP Outcome Measures**

The outcome measures cover employment, earnings, program sanctions and supportive services. For state fiscal year (SFY) 2003, the outcome measures show a low rate of eligibility sanctions, a high rate of employment, and high rates of participants leaving TANF with employment.

Outcome measures for the eight state fiscal years show the following changes from 1996 through 2003.

- Change in Hours Worked Unsubsidized Employment 34 33.2 33.1 32.7 32.6 33 32.4 31.9 31.8 32 30.9 31 30 29 SFY 96 SFY 97 SFY 98 SFY 99 SFY 00 SFY 01 SFY 02 SFY03
- The average number of hours worked in unsubsidized employment rose from 30.9 in SFY 96, to 32.4 in SFY 03, after peaking in SFY 01 at 33.2 hours.

• The percent of VIEW participants who worked in unsubsidized employment rose from 50 percent in SFY 96 to 71 percent in SFY 03, after peaking in SFY 99 at 74 percent.



• Average hourly wages earned by VIEW participants increased from \$4.94 in SFY 96 to a high of \$ 6.80 in SFY 03.



 Average monthly earnings for VIEW participants who left TANF with unsubsidized employment increased from \$764 in SFY 96 to a high of \$1,026 in SFY 03.



Key findings for SFY 03 are as follows:

- About 46 percent of VIEW cases left TANF with unsubsidized employment;
- The average rate of pay rose to \$6.80 per hour;
- A total of 2,038 TANF recipients received VIEW transitional child care; and,
- Transportation and other supportive services totaling \$13.5 million in expenditures were provided to VIEW participants.

For all eight program years, SFY 96 to SFY 03, key findings are as follows:

- at least 60 percent of employed VIEW participants retained employment for at least six months beyond the closure of their TANF cases;
- about 87 percent of the cases that left TANF with employment did not return to TANF within 12 months; and
- transportation and other supportive services totaling \$65.7 million in expenditures were provided to VIEW participants.

The outcome measures for VIP are reported in Tables 1 to 5 in Appendix B. Tables 1 to 4 cover both statewide and locality specific data for SFY 03. Table 5 covers statewide and locality specific data for the full eight years of program implementation because these variables require elapsed time. A statewide summary of the outcome measures for SFY 03 and the eight program years is given below. Unless otherwise specified, totals are unduplicated by case for the stated time periods.

## • Number of TANF cases that received sanctions or penalties for failure to cooperate with establishing paternity. (Table 1, Column A)

For SFY 03, 680 TANF cases were sanctioned for failure to cooperate with establishing paternity.

From SFY 96 through SFY 03, 6,601 TANF cases were sanctioned for failure to cooperate with establishing paternity.

(Totals include sanctions where the whole case is closed and where only the adult is deleted from the case.)

## • Number of TANF cases that received sanctions or penalties for failure to attend school regularly. (Table 1, Column B)

For SFY 03, 151 TANF cases were sanctioned for failure to comply with compulsory school attendance policy.

From SFY 96 through SFY 03, 3,035 TANF cases were sanctioned for failure to comply with compulsory school attendance policy.

(Totals include cases that closed when the only child on the case was sanctioned and cases where a child was deleted, but the case was not closed.)

## • Number of TANF cases that received sanctions or penalties for failure to participate in VIEW. (Table 1, Column C)

For SFY 03, 5,497 TANF clients referred to VIEW were sanctioned for failure to participate in VIEW.

From SFY 96 through SFY 03, 32,443 TANF clients were sanctioned for failure to participate in VIEW.

(The total is based on the number of mandatory VIEW adults who were removed from the TANF grant while their VIEW clock was still active. This includes persons receiving one, two or three sanctions for failure to cooperate with VIEW.)

## • Number of TANF cases that received sanctions or penalties for failure to sign Personal Responsibility Agreement. (Table 1, Column D)

For SFY 03, 2,605 cases were sanctioned for failure to sign the personal responsibility agreement.

From SFY 96 through SFY 03, 14,977 cases were sanctioned for failure to sign the personal responsibility agreement.

## • Number and percent of TANF applicants who received Diversionary Assistance. (Table 1, Column E)

During SFY 03, 1,994 cases received Diversionary Assistance payments.

From SFY 96 to SFY 03, 8,692 cases received Diversionary Assistance payments.

(Diversionary Assistance is available to persons applying for TANF because they have a temporary loss of income. If they are eligible for TANF, they can opt to receive a one-time Diversionary Assistance payment instead of becoming dependent on TANF.)

#### Number and percent that did not become TANF recipients after their period of ineligibility for TANF benefits. (Table 1, Column F)

During SFY 03, 1,994 cases received Diversionary Assistance payments. Of these, 1,812 cases were past their period of ineligibility and 1,549, or 85 percent, did not return to TANF.

Since SFY 96, 8,692 cases received Diversionary Assistance payments. Of these, 8,510 cases were past their period of ineligibility and 6,008, or 71 percent, did not return to TANF.

## • Number and percent of VIEW enrolled TANF recipients who were employed. (Table 2, Columns A, B, and C)

During SFY 03, 22,294 TANF recipients enrolled in VIEW. Of these, 15,770, or 71 percent, were employed in unsubsidized jobs.

From SFY 96 through SFY 03, 81,434 TANF recipients enrolled in VIEW. Of these, 59,218, or 73 percent, were employed in unsubsidized jobs.

### Average number of hours worked per week in unsubsidized jobs. (Table 2, Column D)

On average, the 15,770 VIEW enrollees employed in unsubsidized jobs during SFY 03 worked 32.4 hours per week.

On average, the 59,218 VIEW enrollees employed in unsubsidized jobs from SFY 96 through SFY 03 worked 32.7 hours per week.

(In cases where there was more than one employment, the most recent employment was used for the calculation of hours worked.)

### • Average hourly rate of pay in unsubsidized jobs. (Table 2, Column E)

Hourly rates of pay averaged \$6.80 for the 15,770 VIEW enrollees employed in unsubsidized jobs during SFY 03.

Hourly rates of pay averaged \$6.45 for the 59,218 VIEW enrollees employed in unsubsidized jobs from SFY 96 through SFY 03.

(In cases where there was more than one employment, the most recent employment was used for the calculation of hourly rate of pay.

## • Number and percent of VIEW participants who enrolled in the Community Work Experience Program (CWEP). (Table 3, Columns A, B, and C)

During SFY 03, of the 22,294 TANF recipients who enrolled in VIEW, 1,686, or 8 percent, participated in CWEP.

From SFY 96 through SFY 03, of the 81,434 TANF recipients who enrolled in VIEW, 11,371, or 14 percent, participated in CWEP.

## • Number and percent of VIEW employed cases that left TANF with employment. (Table 3, Columns D, E, and F)

During SFY 03, 7,222, or 46 percent, of the 15,770 VIEW employed participants had employment when they closed their case.

From SFY 96 through SFY 03, 40,534, or 68 percent, of the 59,218 VIEW employed participants had employment when they closed their case.

(Employment is based on information reported to caseworkers and recorded in ESPAS, the administrative employment services database. Some participants may leave VIEW and TANF with unreported employment.)

## • Average monthly earnings for those leaving with employment. (Table 3, Column G)

Monthly wages averaged \$1,026 for VIEW participants who left TANF with employment during SFY 03.

Monthly wages averaged \$959 for VIEW participants who left TANF with employment from SFY 96 through SFY 03.

(Monthly wages are equal to average hours times 4.33 weeks times the hourly rate of pay.)

## • Number and percent of VIEW cases that received Child Care Assistance. (Table 4, Column B and C)

During SFY 03, 6,889, or 44 percent, of employed VIEW participants received child care subsidies.

From SFY 96 through SFY 03, 33,672, or 57 percent, of employed VIEW participants received child care subsidies.

## • Number of VIEW recipients using transitional Child Care Assistance. (Table 4, Column D)

During SFY 03, 2,038 TANF recipients received VIEW transitional child care subsidies.

From SFY 96 through SFY 03, 15,964 TANF recipients received VIEW transitional child care subsidies.

• Number and percent of employed VIEW participants who retained employment six months after leaving TANF with unsubsidized employment. (Table 5, Columns A, B and C)

In the first 90 months of the VIP/VIEW program, 38,764 VIEW participants left TANF with unsubsidized employment. Of those, 23,394, or 60 percent, retained employment for at least six months.

(This measure requires at least six months elapsed time before the end of the state fiscal year.)

# • Number and percent that did not return to TANF within 12 months of leaving TANF with unsubsidized employment. (Table 5, Columns D, E and F)

In the first 84 months of the VIP/VIEW program, 34,826 VIEW participants left TANF with unsubsidized employment. Of those, 30,418, or 87 percent, did not return to TANF within 12 months.

(This measure requires at least twelve months elapsed time after leaving TANF.)

## • Number and percent of VIEW participants who received transportation and other support services.

The number and percent of VIEW participants receiving transportation and other services are not available. The total dollars spent for the eight years following VIEW implementation was \$21.2 million for transportation and \$44.5 million for other supportive services.

## **TANF High Performance Bonus Awards**

The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 authorized federal funds for high performance bonus awards. The top ten states in each category receive an award. States are ranked for improvement and achievement for the following categories: job entry rate, success in the workforce, access to Medicaid, access to Food Stamps, access to child care subsidies, and family formation and stability.

Virginia received two high performance bonuses totaling \$15.8 million in TANF funds from the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. The bonuses were received for two fiscal years - \$7.9 million for the state's performance in fiscal year 2001 and \$7.9 million for 2002. Virginia ranked fourth in the nation in 2002 in the job entry rate. Virginia's job entry ranking improved from ninth in the nation in 2001. Job entry refers to placing adult TANF recipients into employment for the first time. For 2001, Virginia also won for improvement in access to Food Stamps.

## Conclusion

In spite of a slowed economy, the VIEW program continued to show success in moving TANF recipients into employment, with performance recognized by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services as fourth in the nation. While there were slight decreases in the hours of employment and the percentage of participants employed, there were increases in monthly and hourly wage rates. This is due in large part to a new programmatic emphasis placed on improving job retention and wage advancement.

The unknown status of federal TANF reauthorization has complicated planning for program revisions. TANF was originally authorized by Congress through September 30, 2002. Because Congress has not passed a reauthorization bill, TANF is operating under a continuing resolution through March 31, 2003. It is unclear as to whether the continuing resolution will be extended or a reauthorization bill will be passed. When reauthorization does occur, the program requirements could change. Regardless of the outcome of federal legislation, Virginia has an opportunity to create a more efficient and effective service delivery system by building upon past success and taking advantage of the flexibility afforded by the block grant. Appendix A Code of Virginia, §63.2-619

### § 63.2-619. (Effective October 1, 2002) Evaluation and reporting.

A. In administering the Program, the Commissioner shall develop and use evaluation methods that measure achievement of the goals specified in § 63.2-601.

B. The Commissioner shall file an annual report with the Governor and General Assembly regarding the achievement of such goals.

The annual report shall include a full assessment of the Program, including its effectiveness and funding status, statewide and for each locality; and a comparison of the results of the previous annual reports. The Department shall publish the outcome criteria to be included in the annual report.

(1994, cc. 858, 951, § 63.1-133.54; 1995, c. 450; 2002, c. 747.)

Appendix B

Locality Specific VIP/VIEW Outcome Measures

## Table 1 - SFY03

	Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F
	<u>NUMBER OF A</u> COOPERATE WITH	<u>FDC/TANF_CASES</u> COMPLY WITH	S SANCTIONED	FOR FAILURE TO SIGN PERSONAL	NUMBER OF CASES RECEIVING	PERCENT NOT RETURNING TO AFDC/TANF
FIPS LOCALITY	ESTABLISHING PATERNITY	COMPULSORY SCHOOL	PARTICIPATE IN VIEW	RESPONSIBILITY AGREEMENT		AFTER PERIOD OF INELIGIBILITY
Statewide	680	151	5,497	2,605	1,994	85%
027 BUCHANAN 051 DICKENSON 105 LEE 167 RUSSELL	3 1 4 2	1 0 0 1	36 15 77 38	14 9 26 16	0 0 0 1	N/A N/A N/A N/A
167 RUSSELL 169 SCOTT 185 TAZEWELL 195 WISE 720 NORTON	2 2 4 1 0	0 7 2 0	38 14 104 85 3	10 39 42 0	1 1 0 0	N/A 100% N/A N/A N/A
EDD 1	17	ĬĬ	372	156	3	100%
021 BLAND 035 CARROLL 077 GRAYSON 173 SMYTH 191 WASHINGTON 197 WYTHE 520 BRISTOL 640 GALAX EDD2	1 0 1 0 2 0 <b>4</b>	0 2 0 0 1 0 3	5 34 4 31 27 31 29 14 <b>175</b>	0 5 9 13 6 13 17 1 <b>64</b>	2 1 0 0 27 7 0 <b>37</b>	50% 100% N/A N/A 85% 83% N/A <b>83%</b>
<ul> <li>005 ALLEGHANY/COV</li> <li>023 BOTETOURT</li> <li>045 CRAIG</li> <li>063 FLOYD</li> <li>067 FRANKLIN CO.</li> <li>071 GILES</li> <li>121 MONTGOMERY</li> <li>155 PULASKI</li> <li>161 ROANOKE CO.</li> <li>560 CLIFTON FORGE</li> <li>750 RADFORD</li> <li>770 ROANOKE</li> <li>EDD 3</li> </ul>	1 2 0 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 16 22	2 0 0 0 2 1 0 0 1 10 <b>16</b>	10 5 0 15 39 8 66 80 30 0 13 310 <b>576</b>	3 0 7 12 8 30 25 16 0 2 103 <b>209</b>	31 2 0 12 8 0 40 12 41 0 0 98 <b>244</b>	79% 100% N/A 91% 100% N/A 74% 100% 90% N/A N/A 79% <b>82%</b>
015 AUGUSTA 017 BATH 091 HIGHLAND 163 ROCKBRIDGE/LEX/B\ 165 ROCKINGHAM 660 HARRISONBURG 790 STAUNTON 820 WAYNESBORO EDD 4	2 0 1 1 0 0 <b>4</b>	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1	41 1 21 18 20 37 37 <b>175</b>	12 0 9 12 13 14 11 <b>71</b>	29 0 2 30 17 24 22 <b>124</b>	92% N/A N/A 100% 96% 100% 84% 95% <b>94%</b>
<ul> <li>043 CLARKE</li> <li>069 FREDERICK CO.</li> <li>139 PAGE</li> <li>171 SHENANDOAH</li> <li>187 WARREN</li> <li>840 WINCHESTER</li> <li>EDD 5</li> </ul>	0 1 0 1 3 1 <b>6</b>	0 0 0 1 1 <b>2</b>	3 9 5 1 17 29 <b>64</b>	0 4 1 3 7 9 <b>24</b>	8 35 18 64 18 47 <b>190</b>	88% 88% 100% 100% 88% 89% <b>93%</b>
<ul> <li>013 ARLINGTON</li> <li>059 FAIRFAX CO/CI/F.C</li> <li>107 LOUDOUN</li> <li>153 PRINCE WILLIAM</li> <li>510 ALEXANDRIA</li> <li>683 MANASSAS</li> <li>685 MANASSAS PARK</li> <li>EDD 6</li> </ul>	3 7 9 3 2 0 <b>26</b>	0 2 5 0 0 0 9	28 117 25 167 91 14 0 <b>442</b>	9 75 19 72 30 7 1 <b>213</b>	1 20 28 23 7 2 <b>101</b>	0% 95% 90% 80% 91% 86% 50% <b>87%</b>

## Table 1 - SFY03

	Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F
				FOR FAILURE TO		PERCENT NOT RETURNING TO
FIPS LOCALITY	WITH ESTABLISHING PATERNITY	WITH	PARTICIPATE IN VIEW	PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY AGREEMENT	RECEIVING	AFDC/TANF AFTER PERIOD OF INELIGIBILITY
Statewide	680	151	5,497	2,605	1,994	85%
Otalewide	000	151	3,431	2,000	1,334	0378
047 CULPEPER	2	0	25	20	14	93%
061 FAUQUIER	0	0	6	3	7	71%
113 MADISON	0	0	4	7	2	100%
137 ORANGE	0	0	20	2	8	88%
157 RAPPAHANNOCK EDD 7	0 2	0 <b>0</b>	0 55	0 <b>32</b>	0 <b>31</b>	N/A <b>87%</b>
	2	U	55	52	51	07 /6
003 ALBEMARLE	0	0	16	22	16	93%
065 FLUVANNA	0	0	1	0	0	N/A
079 GREENE	0	0	11	6	9	88%
109 LOUISA	0	1	34	4	5	80%
125 NELSON	0	0	1	0	1	100%
540 CHARLOTTESVILLE EDD 8	6 <b>6</b>	2 <b>3</b>	55 <b>118</b>	17 <b>49</b>	46 77	67% <b>76%</b>
EDD 8	0	3	110	49		10%
009 AMHERST	0	0	20	13	18	93%
011 APPOMATTOX	0	0	17	4	0	N/A
019 BEDFORD CO./CITY	2	0	41	31	39	81%
031 CAMPBELL	1	0	20	10	6	83%
680 LYNCHBURG	20	13	162	26	2	50%
EDD 9	23	13	260	84	65	83%
083 HALIFAX	1	1	84	18	4	50%
089 HENRY	2	2	29	38	31	79%
141 PATRICK	0	1	17	10	26	88%
143 PITTSYLVANIA	0	2	47	17	4	67%
590 DANVILLE	10	6	142	48	2	100%
690 MARTINSVILLE	0	0	20	15	7	50%
EDD 10	13	12	339	146	74	78%
007 AMELIA	1	0	5	2	8	57%
025 BRUNSWICK	5	1	14	5	2	50%
029 BUCKINGHAM	3	2	7	5	22	74%
037 CHARLOTTE	0	0	13	4	0	N/A
049 CUMBERLAND	0	0	15	2	3	50%
081 GREENSVILLE/EMP	4	0	10	5	0	N/A
111 LUNENBURG	0	1	8	0	10	90%
117 MECKLENBURG	1	1	18	8	13	100%
135 NOTTOWAY	1	0	15	2	1 0	100%
147 PRINCE EDWARD EDD 11	2 17	1 6	34 <b>139</b>	6 <b>39</b>	<b>59</b>	N/A <b>79%</b>
		°,	100			10/0
041 CHESTERFIELD/C.H.	. 17	2	119	69	24	80%
075 GOOCHLAND	0	0	4	0	1	100%
085 HANOVER	1	0	10	14	4	100%
087 HENRICO	20	0	170	48	37	94%
145 POWHATAN	0	0	6	3	2	N/A
760 RICHMOND EDD 12	183 <b>221</b>	22 <b>24</b>	365 <b>674</b>	285 <b>419</b>	42 <b>110</b>	85% <b>88%</b>
		-7	017	410		0070
033 CAROLINE	2	1	41	12	0	N/A
099 KING GEORGE	0	0	15	3	0	N/A
177 SPOTSYLVANIA	1	1	19	9	40	86%
179 STAFFORD	3	0	45	8	25	78%
630 FREDERICKSBURG EDD 13	5	1 <b>3</b>	55 175	6	30	93% 86%
EDD 13	11	3	175	38	95	86%

### Table 1 - SFY03

Statewide

		Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F
		<u>NUMBER OF A</u> COOPERATE WITH	FDC/TANF_CASES COMPLY WITH	S SANCTIONED	FOR FAILURE TO SIGN PERSONAL	NUMBER OF CASES RECEIVING	PERCENT NOT RETURNING TO AFDC/TANF
<u>FIPS</u>	<u>LOCALITY</u>	ESTABLISHING PATERNITY	COMPULSORY SCHOOL	PARTICIPATE IN VIEW	RESPONSIBILITY AGREEMENT		AFTER PERIOD OF INELIGIBILITY
	Statewide	680	151	5,497	2,605	1,994	85%
057		1	0	14	5	0	N/A
097 101	KING & QUEEN KING WILLIAM	0 1	0	6 16	3 3	1 0	100% N/A
101		2	0	18	4	1	100%
115	MATHEWS	0	0	2	4	7	100%
119	MIDDLESEX	2	0	4	1	5	80%
133	NORTHUMBERLAND	0	0	- 10	2	0	N/A
159	RICHMOND CO.	0	0	0	1	0	N/A
193	WESTMORELAND	0	0	0 7	12	õ	N/A
100	EDD 14	ĕ	ŏ	77	31	14	93%
036	CHARLES CITY	1	0	3	1	0	N/A
073	GLOUCESTER	3	2	10	3	1	100%
095	JAMES CITY	1	1	20	4	1	100%
127	NEW KENT	0	0	1	0	0	N/A
199	YORK/POQUOSON	1	2	19	5	7	86%
650	HAMPTON	11	0	204	117	137	86%
700	NEWPORT NEWS	53	6	298	165	239	81%
830	WILLIAMSBURG	0	0	4	0	0	N/A
	EDD 15	70	11	559	295	385	83%
053	DINWIDDIE	0	0	24	13	0	N/A
149	PRINCE GEORGE	0	0	12	6	2	50%
181	SURRY	0	0	2	3	2	100%
183	SUSSEX	6	0	15	4	0	N/A
670	HOPEWELL	10	1	46	18	45	95%
730	PETERSBURG	14	10	101	30	0	N/A
	EDD 16	30	11	200	74	49	93%
093	ISLE OF WIGHT	3	0	31	14	1	N/A
175	SOUTHAMPTON	5	1	45	9	0	N/A
550	CHESAPEAKE	21	1	144	160	15	87%
620	FRANKLIN	7	0	22	1	0	N/A
710	NORFOLK	82	8	223	198	217	87%
740	PORTSMOUTH	15	1	147	85	8	71%
800	SUFFOLK	9	2	87	37	49	85%
810	VIRGINIA BEACH	57	12	306	124	43	82%
	EDD 17	199	25	1,005	628	333	86%
001	ACCOMACK	1	1	62	22	2	100%
131	NORTHAMPTON	2	0	30	11	1	100%
	EDD 18	3	ĩ	92	33	3	100%
	-					-	

\* Number of Children not Immunized

#### Table 2 - SFY 03 Statewide

		Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E
<u>FIPS</u>	LOCALITY	NUMBER VIEW <u>PARTICIPANTS</u>	NUMBER VIEW EMPLOYED IN UNSUBSIDIZED <u>WORK</u>	PERCENT PARTICIPANTS UNSUBSIDIZED <u>WORK</u>	AVERAGE HOURS <u>PER WEEK</u>	AVERAGE HOURLY <u>RATES</u>
	Statewide	22,294	15,770	71%	32.4	\$6.80
167 169 185 195	DICKENSON LEE	163 111 292 257 141 367 389 54 <b>1,774</b>	95 66 207 168 82 246 271 42 <b>1,177</b>	58% 59% 71% 65% 58% 67% 70% 78% <b>66%</b>	31.7 33.4 32.4 31.9 33.4 32.9 32.2 31.2 <b>32.4</b>	\$5.80 \$6.05 \$5.38 \$5.89 \$6.11 \$5.96 \$5.66 \$5.86 <b>\$5.86</b>
520	BLAND CARROLL GRAYSON SMYTH WASHINGTON WYTHE BRISTOL GALAX EDD2	23 133 54 124 106 146 202 57 <b>845</b>	13 84 42 80 76 94 138 40 <b>567</b>	57% 63% 78% 65% 72% 64% 68% 70% <b>67%</b>	33.4 31.0 31.6 33.2 35.4 33.1 33.8 32.9 <b>33.2</b>	\$5.49 \$5.30 \$7.10 \$5.64 \$6.23 \$5.97 \$5.81 \$5.90 <b>\$5.89</b>
063 067 071 121	ALLEGHANY/COV. BOTETOURT CRAIG FLOYD FRANKLIN CO. GILES MONTGOMERY PULASKI ROANOKE CO. CLIFTON FORGE RADFORD ROANOKE EDD 3	143 16 0 42 133 27 266 180 148 0 47 734 1,736	95 9 0 29 98 18 214 107 119 0 33 461 <b>1,183</b>	66% 56% N/A 69% 74% 67% 80% 59% 80% N/A 70% 63% <b>68%</b>	27.9 34.1 0.0 35.1 34.7 32.4 34.4 34.1 33.9 0.0 32.0 32.2 <b>32.9</b>	\$6.44 \$7.02 \$0.00 \$6.62 \$6.59 \$6.61 \$6.75 \$6.84 \$0.00 \$7.13 \$6.78 <b>\$6.71</b>
017 091 163 165 660 790	AUGUSTA BATH HIGHLAND ROCKBRIDGE/B.V./LEX ROCKINGHAM HARRISONBURG STAUNTON WAYNESBORO EDD 4	124 6 0 57 100 107 111 86 <b>591</b>	77 4 0 42 64 78 88 47 <b>400</b>	62% 67% N/A 74% 64% 73% 79% 55% <b>68%</b>	33.3 28.0 29.5 33.9 33.4 33.4 33.4 33.4 33.4 <b>32.9</b>	\$6.55 \$5.99 \$0.00 \$6.54 \$7.37 \$6.91 \$6.65 \$6.90 <b>\$6.81</b>
069 139 171 187	CLARKE FREDERICK CO. PAGE SHENANDOAH WARREN WINCHESTER EDD 5	7 47 34 30 83 74 <b>275</b>	4 33 24 20 67 54 <b>202</b>	57% 70% 71% 67% 81% 73% <b>73%</b>	33.5 34.7 31.7 34.9 30.6 34.7 <b>33.0</b>	\$7.86 \$6.93 \$6.78 \$7.23 \$6.19 \$7.17 <b>\$6.78</b>
059 107 153 510 683	ARLINGTON FAIRFAX CO./CITY/F.C LOUDOUN PRINCE WILLIAM ALEXANDRIA MANASSAS MANASSAS PARK EDD 6	182 637 205 916 373 92 29 <b>2,434</b>	121 443 177 754 248 72 16 <b>1,831</b>	66% 70% 86% 82% 66% 78% 55% <b>75%</b>	33.3 31.8 33.2 34.0 30.4 34.0 29.9 <b>32.8</b>	\$8.29 \$8.35 \$9.10 \$8.76 \$8.41 \$8.33 \$7.61 <b>\$8.59</b>

#### Table 2 - SFY 03 Statewide

		Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E
<u>FIPS</u>	LOCALITY	NUMBER VIEW <u>PARTICIPANTS</u>	NUMBER VIEW EMPLOYED IN UNSUBSIDIZED <u>WORK</u>	PERCENT PARTICIPANTS UNSUBSIDIZED <u>WORK</u>	AVERAGE HOURS <u>PER WEEK</u>	AVERAGE HOURLY <u>RATES</u>
	Statewide	22,294	15,770	71%	32.4	\$6.80
0.47		440	70	700/	00.0	<b>*</b> 7.07
047		112	78	70%	30.9	\$7.27
	FAUQUIER	60	48	80%	32.3	\$7.22
	MADISON	21	19	90%	33.0	\$7.33
137	ORANGE	54	36	67%	33.5	\$7.73
157	RAPPAHANNOCK EDD 7	1 <b>248</b>	1 <b>182</b>	100% <b>73%</b>	30.0 <b>32.0</b>	\$7.00 <b>\$7.35</b>
	ALBEMARLE	69	56	81%	32.4	\$7.58
	FLUVANNA	9	7	78%	39.6	\$7.25
	GREENE	23	18	78%	32.5	\$7.24
	LOUISA	59	41	69%	33.7	\$6.70
125	NELSON	12	9	75%	30.4	\$6.31
540	CHARLOTTESVILLE	299	254	85%	31.7	\$7.36
	EDD 8	471	385	82%	32.2	\$7.29
009	AMHERST	63	43	68%	32.4	\$6.60
011	APPOMATTOX	86	59	69%	30.3	\$6.21
019	BEDFORD CO./CITY	111	73	66%	31.4	\$6.29
031	CAMPBELL	201	134	67%	31.0	\$6.67
680	LYNCHBURG	361	260	72%	31.0	\$6.08
	EDD 9	822	569	69%	31.1	\$6.30
083	HALIFAX	160	92	58%	31.1	\$5.99
	HENRY	141	89	63%	34.0	\$6.40
141	PATRICK	96	68	71%	30.6	\$5.72
143	PITTSYLVANIA	157	112	71%	33.8	\$6.04
590	DANVILLE	367	254	69%	31.6	\$6.00
	MARTINSVILLE	86	56	65%	33.2	\$6.27
	EDD 10	1,007	671	67%	32.2	\$6.05
007	AMELIA	28	16	57%	32.1	\$6.84
	BRUNSWICK	101	55	54%	31.1	\$5.28
029	BUCKINGHAM	79	52	66%	33.9	\$6.92
037	CHARLOTTE	32	17	53%	28.4	\$6.56
049	CUMBERLAND	32	17	53%	35.0	\$6.48
081	GREENSVILLE/EMPORIA	76	43	57%	31.5	\$6.13
111	LUNENBURG	20	11	55%	31.7	\$5.95
117	MECKLENBURG	115	80	70%	31.9	\$5.74
135	NOTTOWAY	84	61	73%	30.7	\$6.15
147	PRINCE EDWARD	79	61	77%	34.5	\$6.43
	EDD 11	646	413	64%	32.2	\$6.14
041	CHESTERFIELD/C.H.	462	339	73%	32.8	\$7.23
075		10	6	60%	31.7	\$6.57
	HANOVER	85	48	56%	33.6	\$7.67
087		631	424	67%	33.0	\$7.18
145	POWHATAN	15	7	47%	29.3	\$7.88
760	RICHMOND	2,214	1,497	68%	32.4	\$6.88
	EDD 12	3,417	2,321	68%	32.6	\$7.01
033	CAROLINE	90	58	64%	32.7	\$7.39
099	KING GEORGE	37	26	70%	30.8	\$7.07
177	SPOTSYLVANIA	187	137	73%	32.5	\$7.57
179	STAFFORD	84	63	75%	35.0	\$7.44
630	FREDERICKSBURG	131	111	85%	32.4	\$7.55
	EDD 13	529	395	75%	32.8	\$7.48

#### Table 2 - SFY 03 Statewide

		Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E
<u>FIPS</u>	LOCALITY	NUMBER VIEW <u>PARTICIPANTS</u>	NUMBER VIEW EMPLOYED IN UNSUBSIDIZED <u>WORK</u>	PERCENT PARTICIPANTS UNSUBSIDIZED <u>WORK</u>	AVERAGE HOURS <u>PER WEEK</u>	AVERAGE HOURLY <u>RATES</u>
	Statewide	22,294	15,770	71%	32.4	\$6.80
057 097 101 103 115 119 133 159 193	ESSEX KING & QUEEN KING WILLIAM LANCASTER MATHEWS MIDDLESEX NORTHUMBERLAND RICHMOND CO. WESTMORELAND EDD 14	54 15 26 35 7 28 22 5 69 <b>261</b>	40 12 15 26 2 21 16 3 38 <b>173</b>	74% 80% 58% 74% 29% 75% 60% 55% <b>66%</b>	33.2 29.9 34.7 32.6 24.0 32.9 31.8 37.5 33.8 <b>33.0</b>	\$6.87 \$6.53 \$7.38 \$6.85 \$6.13 \$7.40 \$6.33 \$3.58 \$6.53 <b>\$6.76</b>
036 073 095 127 199 650 700 830	CHARLES CITY GLOUCESTER JAMES CITY NEW KENT YORK/POQUOSON HAMPTON NEWPORT NEWS WILLIAMSBURG EDD 15	11 62 93 15 50 728 1,039 21 <b>2,019</b>	4 48 70 12 34 530 771 17 <b>1,486</b>	36% 77% 75% 80% 68% 73% 74% 81% <b>74%</b>	28.0 31.6 30.8 33.2 31.7 31.4 32.6 28.1 <b>32.0</b>	\$5.13 \$5.59 \$6.76 \$7.43 \$6.24 \$6.47 \$6.67 \$7.11 <b>\$6.56</b>
053 149 181 183 670 730	DINWIDDIE PRINCE GEORGE SURRY SUSSEX HOPEWELL PETERSBURG <b>EDD 16</b>	89 34 21 73 207 361 <b>785</b>	60 23 20 51 162 269 <b>585</b>	67% 68% 95% 70% 78% 75% <b>75%</b>	33.2 32.6 31.6 34.4 33.1 32.9 <b>33.1</b>	\$7.01 \$6.71 \$6.21 \$6.55 \$6.52 \$6.68 <b>\$6.64</b>
093 175 550 620 710 740 800 810	ISLE OF WIGHT SOUTHAMPTON CHESAPEAKE FRANKLIN NORFOLK PORTSMOUTH SUFFOLK VIRGINIA BEACH EDD 17	89 74 599 75 1,494 644 254 1,014 <b>4,243</b>	67 46 436 58 1,070 489 201 751 <b>3,118</b>	75% 62% 73% 77% 72% 76% 79% 74% <b>73%</b>	33.3 31.5 32.5 28.2 31.8 33.0 32.2 32.6 <b>32.3</b>	\$6.38 \$6.40 \$6.32 \$6.37 \$6.48 \$6.05 \$6.80 <b>\$6.8</b> 0
001 131	ACCOMACK NORTHAMPTON EDD 18	104 87 <b>191</b>	59 53 <b>112</b>	57% 61% <b>59%</b>	28.0 30.8 <b>29.3</b>	\$6.59 \$5.98 <b>\$6.30</b>

#### Table 3 - SFY 03 Statewide

				•				
		Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F	Column G
FIPS	LOCALITY	NUMBER VIEW <u>Participants</u>	NUMBER OF VIEW CWEP PARTICIPANTS	PERCENT OF VIEW PARTICIPANTS <u>IN CWEP</u>	NUMBER VIEW EMPLOYED IN UNSUBSIDIZED <u>WORK</u>	VIEW EMPLOYED CLOSED TO TANF WITH EMPLOYMENT	PERCENT VIEW EMPLOYED CLOSED TO TANF WITH EMPLOYMENT	AVERAGE MONTHLY WAGES VIEW EMPLOYED CLOSED TO TANF WITH EMPLOYMENT
	Statewide	22,294	1,686	8%	15,770	7,222	46%	\$1,026
027	BUCHANAN	163	15	9%	95	41	43%	\$878
	DICKENSON	111	14	13%	66	29	44%	\$955
	LEE	292	11	4%	207	97	47%	\$768
	RUSSELL	252	51	20%	168	80	48%	\$871
	SCOTT	141	2	1%	82	38		\$964
							46%	
	TAZEWELL	367	46	13%	246	109	44%	\$885
	WISE	389	26	7%	271	114	42%	\$867
720	NORTON	54	6	11%	42	15	36%	\$862
	EDD 1	1,774	171	10%	1,177	523	44%	\$889
	BLAND	23	0	0%	13	8	62%	\$809
	CARROLL	133	0	0%	84	30	36%	\$653
	GRAYSON	54	0	0%	42	13	31%	\$1,349
173	SMYTH	124	4	3%	80	31	39%	\$837
191	WASHINGTON	106	1	1%	76	27	36%	\$1,007
197	WYTHE	146	4	3%	94	37	39%	\$1,018
	BRISTOL	202	8	4%	138	61	44%	\$880
	GALAX	57	2	4%	40	17	43%	\$886
0.0	EDD2	845	19	2%	567	224	40%	\$889
005	ALLEGHANY/COV.	143	0	0%	95	39	41%	\$868
	BOTETOURT		0	0%	9	4	41%	\$929
		16	0		9	4		
	CRAIG	0		N/A			0%	\$0 \$1.070
	FLOYD	42	2	5%	29	17	59%	\$1,079
	FRANKLIN CO.	133	1	1%	98	37	38%	\$1,123
	GILES	27	0	0%	18	12	67%	\$856
121	MONTGOMERY	266	12	5%	214	85	40%	\$1,048
	PULASKI	180	21	12%	107	44	41%	\$1,088
	ROANOKE CO.	148	0	0%	119	55	46%	\$1,059
560	CLIFTON FORGE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
750	RADFORD	47	4	9%	33	15	45%	\$1,085
770	ROANOKE	734	19	3%	461	211	46%	\$981
	EDD 3	1,736	59	3%	1,183	519	44%	\$1,063
015	AUGUSTA	124	2	2%	77	46	60%	\$1,012
017	BATH	6	1	17%	4	4	100%	\$728
091	HIGHLAND	0	0	N/A	0	0	0%	\$0
	ROCKBRIDGE/B.V./LE		0	0%	42	17	40%	\$803
	ROCKINGHAM	100	1	1%	64	36	56%	\$1,196
	HARRISONBURG	107	2	2%	78	29	37%	\$1,126
	STAUNTON	111	2	2%	88	36	41%	\$1,082
	WAYNESBORO	86	11	13%	47	23	49%	\$1,110
020	EDD 4	591	19	3%	400	191	48%	\$1,121
042	CLARKE	7	1	14%	4	1	25%	\$1,559
	FREDERICK CO.	47	0	0%	33	16	48%	\$917
	PAGE	34	2	6%	24	10	42%	\$1,096
	SHENANDOAH	30	0	0%	20	14	70%	\$1,081
	WARREN	83	0	0%	67	32	48%	\$795
840	WINCHESTER EDD 5	74 <b>275</b>	3 6	4% <b>2%</b>	54 <b>202</b>	25 <b>98</b>	46%	\$1,147 <b>\$979</b>
		213	Ö	2%	202	30	49%	\$979
013	ARLINGTON	182	25	14%	121	66	55%	\$1,229
059	FAIRFAX CO./CITY/F.C		151	24%	443	232	52%	\$1,278
	LOUDOUN	205	6	3%	177	107	60%	\$1,375
	PRINCE WILLIAM	916	93	10%	754	421	56%	\$1,370
	ALEXANDRIA	373	147	39%	248	102	41%	\$1,310
	MANASSAS	92	8	9%	72	33	46%	\$1,366
	MANASSAS PARK	29	0	9% 0%	16	2	13%	\$1,089
000	EDD 6	29 2,434	430	18%	1,831	9 <sup>6</sup> 3	<b>53%</b>	\$1,404
		2,434	400	10%	1,001	303	JJ 70	φ1,404

#### Table 3 - SFY 03 Statewide

			•				
	Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F	Column G
FIPS LOCALITY	NUMBER VIEW <u>PARTICIPANTS</u>	NUMBER OF VIEW CWEP <u>PARTICIPANTS</u>	PERCENT OF VIEW PARTICIPANTS <u>IN CWEP</u>	NUMBER VIEW EMPLOYED IN UNSUBSIDIZED <u>WORK</u>	VIEW EMPLOYED CLOSED TO TANF WITH EMPLOYMENT	PERCENT VIEW EMPLOYED CLOSED TO TANF WITH EMPLOYMENT	AVERAGE MONTHLY WAGES VIEW EMPLOYED CLOSED TO TANF WITH EMPLOYMENT
Statewide	22,294	1,686	8%	15,770	7,222	46%	\$1,026
047 CULPEPER 061 FAUQUIER 113 MADISON 137 ORANGE 157 RAPPAHANNOCK EDD 7	112 60 21 54 1 <b>248</b>	1 3 0 1 0 <b>5</b>	1% 5% 0% 2% 0% <b>2%</b>	78 48 19 36 1 <b>182</b>	38 24 10 15 0 <b>87</b>	49% 50% 53% 42% 0% <b>48%</b>	\$954 \$984 \$1,064 \$1,481 \$0 <b>\$1,134</b>
							• • •
003 ALBEMARLE 065 FLUVANNA 079 GREENE 109 LOUISA 125 NELSON 540 CHARLOTTESVILLE EDD 8	69 9 23 59 12 299 <b>471</b>	1 0 3 5 0 20 <b>29</b>	1% 0% 13% 8% 0% 7% <b>6%</b>	56 7 18 41 9 254 <b>385</b>	27 6 9 22 3 108 <b>175</b>	48% 86% 50% 54% 33% 43% <b>45%</b>	\$1,093 \$1,260 \$1,025 \$1,061 \$894 \$1,079 <b>\$1,100</b>
009 AMHERST	63	0	0%	43	16	37%	\$982
011 APPOMATTOX 019 BEDFORD CO./CITY 031 CAMPBELL 680 LYNCHBURG EDD 9	86 111 201 361 <b>822</b>	7 0 1 28 <b>36</b>	8% 0% 0% 8% <b>4%</b>	59 73 134 260 <b>569</b>	28 32 66 119 <b>261</b>	47% 44% 49% 46% <b>46%</b>	\$820 \$845 \$952 \$835 <b>\$916</b>
083 HALIFAX	160	42	26%	92	46	50%	\$864
089 HENRY	141	6	4%	89	43	48%	\$968
141 PATRICK	96	3	3%	68	23	34%	\$784
143 PITTSYLVANIA 590 DANVILLE	157 367	15 54	10% 15%	112 254	58 117	52% 46%	\$950 \$910
690 MARTINSVILLE	86	6	7%	56	17	30%	\$924
EDD 10	1,007	126	13%	671	304	45%	\$950
007 AMELIA	28	4	14%	16	3	19%	\$1,066
025 BRUNSWICK 029 BUCKINGHAM	101 79	13 1	13% 1%	55 52	34 23	62% 44%	\$777 \$1,089
037 CHARLOTTE	32	3	9%	17	9	53%	\$907
049 CUMBERLAND	32	2	6%	17	6	35%	\$1,113
081 GREENSVILLE/EMP	76	8	11%	43	10	23%	\$882
111 LUNENBURG 117 MECKLENBURG	20 115	2 0	10% 0%	11 80	9 29	82% 36%	\$832 \$895
135 NOTTOWAY	84	2	2%	61	26	43%	\$858
147 PRINCE EDWARD	79	2	3%	61	25	41%	\$1,011
EDD 11	646	37	6%	413	174	42%	\$923
041 CHESTERFIELD/C.H.	462	68	15%	339	174	51%	\$1,013
075 GOOCHLAND	10	1	10%	6	4	67%	\$832
085 HANOVER	85	0	0%	48	24	50%	\$1,143
087 HENRICO 145 POWHATAN	631 15	76 0	12% 0%	424 7	199 2	47% 29%	\$1,129 \$1,301
760 RICHMOND	2,214	187	8%	1,497	638	43%	\$1,039
EDD 12	3,417	332	10%	2,321	1,041	45%	\$1,104
033 CAROLINE	90	4	4%	58	28	48%	\$1,094
099 KING GEORGE	90 37	4	4% 0%	26	20 16	48% 62%	\$1,094 \$859
177 SPOTSYLVANIA	187	5	3%	137	58	42%	\$1,109
179 STAFFORD	84	2	2%	63	32	51%	\$1,186
630 FREDERICKSBURG EDD 13	131 <b>529</b>	1 12	1% <b>2%</b>	111 <b>395</b>	48 <b>182</b>	43% <b>46%</b>	\$1,095 <b>\$1,128</b>
			_/0				÷.,.=•

#### Table 3 - SFY 03 Statewide

	Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F	Column G
FIPS LOCALITY	NUMBER VIEW <u>PARTICIPANTS</u>	NUMBER OF VIEW CWEP <u>PARTICIPANTS</u>	PERCENT OF VIEW PARTICIPANTS <u>IN CWEP</u>	NUMBER VIEW EMPLOYED IN UNSUBSIDIZED <u>WORK</u>	VIEW EMPLOYED CLOSED TO TANF WITH EMPLOYMENT	PERCENT VIEW EMPLOYED CLOSED TO TANF WITH EMPLOYMENT	AVERAGE MONTHLY WAGES VIEW EMPLOYED CLOSED TO TANF WITH EMPLOYMENT
Statewide	22,294	1,686	8%	15,770	7,222	46%	\$1,026
057 ESSEX 097 KING & QUEEN 101 KING WILLIAM 103 LANCASTER 115 MATHEWS 119 MIDDLESEX 133 NORTHUMBERLAND 159 RICHMOND CO. 193 WESTMORELAND EDD 14	54 15 26 35 7 28 22 5 69 <b>261</b>	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0% 0% 3% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	40 12 26 2 21 16 3 38 <b>173</b>	18 5 3 15 2 9 14 0 19 <b>85</b>	45% 42% 20% 58% 100% 43% 88% 0% 50% <b>49%</b>	\$995 \$804 \$1,049 \$1,119 \$614 \$1,397 \$902 \$0 \$1,061 <b>\$1,143</b>
036 CHARLES CITY 073 GLOUCESTER 095 JAMES CITY 127 NEW KENT 199 YORK/POQUOSON 650 HAMPTON 700 NEWPORT NEWS 830 WILLIAMSBURG EDD 15	11 62 93 15 50 728 1,039 21 <b>2,019</b>	0 1 3 0 2 41 165 1 <b>213</b>	0% 2% 3% 0% 4% 6% 16% 5% <b>11%</b>	4 48 70 12 34 530 771 17 <b>1,486</b>	1 17 36 2 21 272 344 10 <b>703</b>	25% 35% 51% 62% 51% 45% 59% <b>47%</b>	\$953 \$789 \$958 \$1,180 \$936 \$982 \$982 \$952 <b>\$993</b>
<ul> <li>053 DINWIDDIE</li> <li>149 PRINCE GEORGE</li> <li>181 SURRY</li> <li>183 SUSSEX</li> <li>670 HOPEWELL</li> <li>730 PETERSBURG</li> <li>EDD 16</li> </ul>	89 34 21 73 207 361 <b>785</b>	12 0 0 1 15 <b>28</b>	13% 0% 0% 0% 4% <b>4%</b>	60 23 20 51 162 269 <b>585</b>	39 10 7 22 65 110 <b>253</b>	65% 43% 35% 43% 40% 41% <b>43%</b>	\$1,154 \$1,089 \$763 \$1,059 \$1,040 \$1,037 <b>\$1,059</b>
093 ISLE OF WIGHT 175 SOUTHAMPTON 550 CHESAPEAKE 620 FRANKLIN 710 NORFOLK 740 PORTSMOUTH 800 SUFFOLK 810 VIRGINIA BEACH EDD 17 001 ACCOMACK 131 NORTHAMPTON EDD 18	89 74 599 75 1,494 644 254 1,014 <b>4,243</b> 104 87 <b>191</b>	1 9 4 24 25 23 41 <b>138</b> 24 1 25	1% 15% 2% 5% 2% 4% 9% 4% <b>3%</b> 23% 1% 13%	67 46 436 58 1,070 489 201 751 <b>3,118</b> 59 53 <b>112</b>	27 26 195 34 449 200 94 360 <b>1,385</b> 30 24 <b>54</b>	40% 57% 45% 59% 42% 41% 47% 48% 44% 51% 48% 44%	\$951 \$1,006 \$944 \$822 \$921 \$975 \$943 \$1,031 <b>\$1,017</b> \$789 \$905 <b>\$901</b>

#### Table 4 - SFY 03

		Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D
<u>FIPS</u>	LOCALITY	NUMBER VIEW EMPLOYED IN UNSUBSIDIZED <u>WORK</u>	NUMBER RECEIVED DAY CARE <u>SERVICES</u>	PERCENT VIEW EMPLOYED RECEIVING <u>DAY CARE</u>	VIEW CHILDREN RECEIVING TRANSITIONAL <u>DAY CARE</u>
	Statewide	15,770	6,889	44%	2,038
027 051 105 167 169 185 195 720	BUCHANAN DICKENSON LEE RUSSELL SCOTT TAZEWELL WISE NORTON EDD 1	95 66 207 168 82 246 271 42 <b>1,177</b>	39 19 33 44 14 53 103 21 <b>326</b>	41% 29% 16% 26% 17% 22% 38% 50% <b>28%</b>	14 6 7 5 12 21 6 <b>71</b>
021 035 077 173 191 197 520 640	BLAND CARROLL GRAYSON SMYTH WASHINGTON WYTHE BRISTOL GALAX EDD2	13 84 42 80 76 94 138 40 <b>567</b>	1 27 11 30 16 37 56 21 <b>199</b>	8% 32% 26% 38% 21% 39% 41% 53% <b>35%</b>	0 3 7 6 11 8 4 <b>4</b>
005 023 045 063 067 071 121 155 161 560 750 770	ALLEGHANY/COV. BOTETOURT CRAIG FLOYD FRANKLIN CO. GILES MONTGOMERY PULASKI ROANOKE CO. CLIFTON FORGE RADFORD ROANOKE EDD 3	95 9 0 29 98 18 214 107 119 N/A 33 461 <b>1,183</b>	43 3 0 6 46 4 111 32 68 N/A 22 174 <b>509</b>	45% 33% N/A 21% 47% 22% 52% 30% 57% N/A 67% 38% <b>43%</b>	7 1 0 1 16 1 32 3 21 N/A 6 29 <b>117</b>
015 017 091 163 165 660 790 820	AUGUSTA BATH HIGHLAND ROCKBRIDGE/B.V./LEX ROCKINGHAM HARRISONBURG STAUNTON WAYNESBORO EDD 4	77 4 0 42 64 78 88 47 <b>400</b>	32 0 11 22 34 36 19 <b>154</b>	42% 0% N/A 26% 34% 44% 41% 40% <b>39%</b>	3 0 3 5 14 5 8 <b>38</b>
043 069 139 171 187 840	CLARKE FREDERICK CO. PAGE SHENANDOAH WARREN WINCHESTER EDD 5	4 33 24 20 67 54 <b>202</b>	3 13 6 3 34 24 <b>83</b>	75% 39% 25% 15% 51% 44% <b>41%</b>	0 7 0 1 7 4 <b>19</b>
013 059 107 153 510 683 685	ARLINGTON FAIRFAX CO./CITY/F.C LOUDOUN PRINCE WILLIAM ALEXANDRIA MANASSAS MANASSAS PARK EDD 6	121 443 177 754 248 72 16 <b>1,831</b>	47 227 107 456 117 41 3 <b>998</b>	39% 51% 60% 47% 57% 19% <b>55%</b>	14 62 51 224 32 20 1 <b>404</b>

#### Table 4 - SFY 03

		Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D
<u>FIPS</u>	LOCALITY	NUMBER VIEW EMPLOYED IN UNSUBSIDIZED <u>WORK</u>	NUMBER RECEIVED DAY CARE <u>SERVICES</u>	PERCENT VIEW EMPLOYED RECEIVING <u>DAY CARE</u>	VIEW CHILDREN RECEIVING TRANSITIONAL <u>DAY CARE</u>
	Statewide	15,770	6,889	44%	2,038
047 061 113 137 157	CULPEPER FAUQUIER MADISON ORANGE RAPPAHANNOCK <b>EDD 7</b>	78 48 19 36 1 <b>182</b>	27 21 7 8 0 <b>63</b>	35% 44% 37% 22% 0% <b>35%</b>	10 9 5 2 0 <b>26</b>
003 065 079 109 125 540	ALBEMARLE FLUVANNA GREENE LOUISA NELSON CHARLOTTESVILLE EDD 8	56 7 18 41 9 254 <b>385</b>	27 2 10 18 2 138 <b>197</b>	48% 29% 56% 44% 22% 54% <b>51%</b>	7 1 5 4 1 69 <b>87</b>
009 011 019 031 680	AMHERST APPOMATTOX BEDFORD CO./CITY CAMPBELL LYNCHBURG EDD 9	43 59 73 134 260 <b>569</b>	16 16 20 33 115 <b>200</b>	37% 27% 25% 44% <b>35%</b>	6 5 4 28 <b>49</b>
083 089 141 143 590 690	HALIFAX HENRY PATRICK PITTSYLVANIA DANVILLE MARTINSVILLE EDD 10	92 89 68 112 254 56 <b>671</b>	24 21 25 34 100 19 <b>223</b>	26% 24% 37% 30% 39% 34% <b>33%</b>	4 7 4 12 29 7 <b>63</b>
007 025 029 037 049 081 111 117 135 147	AMELIA BRUNSWICK BUCKINGHAM CHARLOTTE CUMBERLAND GREENSVILLE/EMPORIA LUNENBURG MECKLENBURG NOTTOWAY PRINCE EDWARD <b>EDD 11</b>	16 55 52 17 43 11 80 61 61 <b>413</b>	5 17 10 2 1 8 3 21 20 22 <b>109</b>	31% 31% 19% 12% 6% 19% 27% 26% 33% 36% <b>26%</b>	2 4 2 1 8 6 8 <b>31</b>
041 075 085 087 145 760	CHESTERFIELD/C.H. GOOCHLAND HANOVER HENRICO POWHATAN RICHMOND EDD 12	339 6 48 424 7 1,497 <b>2,321</b>	135 2 22 221 4 768 <b>1,152</b>	40% 33% 46% 52% 57% 51% <b>50%</b>	46 1 7 84 1 186 <b>325</b>
033 099 177 179 630	CAROLINE KING GEORGE SPOTSYLVANIA STAFFORD FREDERICKSBURG EDD 13	58 26 137 63 111 <b>395</b>	19 12 86 31 59 <b>207</b>	33% 46% 63% 49% 53% <b>52%</b>	8 5 30 17 17 <b>77</b>

### Table 4 - SFY 03

		Column A	Column A Column B Column C		Column D
<u>FIPS</u>	LOCALITY	NUMBER VIEW EMPLOYED IN UNSUBSIDIZED <u>WORK</u>	NUMBER RECEIVED DAY CARE <u>SERVICES</u>	PERCENT VIEW EMPLOYED RECEIVING DAY CARE	VIEW CHILDREN RECEIVING TRANSITIONAL <u>DAY CARE</u>
	Statewide	15,770	6,889	44%	2,038
057 097 101 103 115 119 133 159	ESSEX KING & QUEEN KING WILLIAM LANCASTER MATHEWS MIDDLESEX NORTHUMBERLAND RICHMOND CO.	40 12 15 26 2 21 16 3	17 4 7 11 1 11 4 1	43% 33% 47% 42% 50% 52% 25% 33%	8 3 1 3 1 4 1
159 193	WESTMORELAND EDD 14	3 38 <b>173</b>	12 68	33% 32% <b>39%</b>	2 <b>23</b>
036 073 095 127 199 650 700 830	CHARLES CITY GLOUCESTER JAMES CITY NEW KENT YORK/POQUOSON HAMPTON NEWPORT NEWS WILLIAMSBURG EDD 15	4 48 70 12 34 530 771 17 <b>1,486</b>	0 20 37 8 17 248 322 9 <b>661</b>	0% 42% 53% 67% 50% 47% 42% 53% <b>44%</b>	9 12 4 7 60 91 4 <b>187</b>
053 149 181 183 670 730	DINWIDDIE PRINCE GEORGE SURRY SUSSEX HOPEWELL PETERSBURG EDD 16	60 23 20 51 162 269 <b>585</b>	13 6 9 23 91 101 <b>243</b>	22% 26% 45% 56% 38% <b>42%</b>	3 4 5 12 24 31 <b>79</b>
093 175 550 620 710 740 800 810	ISLE OF WIGHT SOUTHAMPTON CHESAPEAKE FRANKLIN NORFOLK PORTSMOUTH SUFFOLK VIRGINIA BEACH EDD 17	67 46 436 58 1,070 489 201 751 <b>3,118</b>	15 13 211 522 216 67 397 <b>1,462</b>	22% 28% 48% 36% 49% 44% 33% 53% <b>47%</b>	4 5 65 10 113 69 19 106 <b>391</b>
001 131	ACCOMACK NORTHAMPTON EDD 18	59 53 <b>112</b>	18 17 <b>35</b>	31% 32% <b>31%</b>	5 5 <b>10</b>

		Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F
FIPS	LOCALITY	Number VIEW Participants Closed With Employment <u>1st 90 months</u>	Number in Column A Who Retained Employment <u>6+ MONTHS</u>	Percent Who Retained Employment <u>6 + months</u>	Number Who Closed With Employment <u>1st 84 months</u>	Number in Column D Who Stayed Off TANF <u>for 12 months</u>	Percent Who Stayed Off TANF <u>for 12 months</u>
	Statewide	38,764	23,394	60%	34,826	30,418	87%
027	BUCHANAN	210	124	59%	191	166	87%
051	DICKENSON	149	77	52%	130	115	88%
105	LEE	303	173	57%	249	225	90%
167	RUSSELL	331	226	68%	282	225	80%
169	SCOTT	140	82	59%	118	100	85%
185	TAZEWELL	491	284	58%	427	373	87%
195	WISE	570	338	59%	501	427	85%
720	NORTON	82	60	73%	72	66	92%
	EDD 1	2,276	1,364	60%	1,970	1,697	86%
021	BLAND	37	17	46%	35	33	94%
035	CARROLL	212	61	29%	197	179	91%
077	GRAYSON	108	56	52%	100	89	89%
173	SMYTH	271	156	58%	250	229	92%
191	WASHINGTON	226	112	50%	206	186	90%
197	WYTHE	248	136	55%	220	185	84%
520	BRISTOL	307	155	50%	272	236	87%
640	GALAX	99	47	47%	92	72	78%
	EDD2	1,508	740	49%	1,372	1,209	88%
005	ALLEGHANY/COV.	155	56	36%	132	105	80%
023	BOTETOURT	16	8	50%	14	12	86%
045	CRAIG	3	1	33%	3	3	100%
063	FLOYD	64	25	39%	54	50	93%
067	FRANKLIN CO.	188	105	56%	165	145	88%
071	GILES	38	22	58%	31	27	87%
121	MONTGOMERY	375	228	61%	330	304	92%
155	PULASKI	193	98	51%	179	144	80%
161	ROANOKE CO.	192	112	58%	155	140	90%
	CLIFTON FORGE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
750	RADFORD	68	29	43%	58	49	84%
770	ROANOKE	797	448	56%	690	568	82%
	EDD 3	2,089	1,132	54%	1,811	1,547	85%
015	AUGUSTA	173	78	45%	149	140	94%
017	BATH	7	3	43%	7	6	86%
	HIGHLAND	2	0	0%	2	2	100%
	ROCKBRIDGE/B.V./LEX	103	62	60%	95	83	87%
	ROCKINGHAM	173	114	66%	155	134	86%
	HARRISONBURG	226	101	45%	211	175	83%
	STAUNTON	155	90	58%	140	120	86%
	WAYNESBORO	141	86	61%	131	115	88%
	EDD 4	980	534	54%	890	775	87%
042	CLARKE	24	11	46%	24	24	100%
	FREDERICK CO.	78	48	40% 62%	24 71	24 59	83%
500			.0	0270		50	0070

		Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F
		Number VIEW	Number in			Number in	
		Participants	Column A	Percent	Number Who	Column D	Percent
		Closed With	Who Retained	Who Retained	Closed With	Who Stayed	Who Stayed
		Employment	Employment	Employment	Employment	Off TANF	Off TANF
<u>FIPS</u>	LOCALITY	1st 90 months	<u>6+ MONTHS</u>	<u>6 + months</u>	1st 84 months	for 12 months	for 12 months
	Statewide	38,764	23,394	60%	34,826	30,418	87%
139	PAGE	103	57	55%	98	88	90%
171	SHENANDOAH	131	58	44%	121	110	91%
187	WARREN	172	125	73%	154	138	90%
840	WINCHESTER	168	86	51%	156	134	86%
	EDD 5	676	385	57%	624	553	89%

		Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F
<u>FIPS</u>	LOCALITY	Number VIEW Participants Closed With Employment 1st 90 months	Number in Column A Who Retained Employment <u>6+ MONTHS</u>	Percent Who Retained Employment <u>6 + months</u>	Number Who Closed With Employment 1st 84 months	Number in Column D Who Stayed Off TANF for 12 months	Percent Who Stayed Off TANF <u>for 12 months</u>
	Statewide	38,764	23,394	60%	34,826	30,418	87%
059 107 153 510 683	ARLINGTON FAIRFAX CO./CITY/F.C LOUDOUN PRINCE WILLIAM ALEXANDRIA MANASSAS	747 2,250 387 2,054 823 223	566 1,334 269 1,350 622 144	76% 59% 70% 66% 76% 65%	723 2,125 325 1,820 773 209	658 1,914 294 1,591 684 185	91% 90% 90% 87% 88% 89%
685	MANASSAS PARK EDD 6	82 6,566	57 <b>4,342</b>	70% 66%	81 <b>6,056</b>	69 <b>5,395</b>	85% <b>89%</b>
061 113 137	CULPEPER FAUQUIER MADISON ORANGE RAPPAHANNOCK <b>EDD 7</b>	224 191 52 142 21 <b>630</b>	130 125 23 72 8 <b>358</b>	58% 65% 44% 51% 38% <b>57%</b>	207 178 48 136 21 <b>590</b>	174 150 43 125 18 <b>510</b>	84% 84% 90% 92% 86% <b>86%</b>
065 079 109 125	ALBEMARLE FLUVANNA GREENE LOUISA NELSON CHARLOTTESVILLE EDD 8	166 29 51 83 24 521 <b>874</b>	102 18 26 50 9 350 <b>555</b>	61% 62% 51% 60% 38% 67% <b>64%</b>	152 25 47 73 22 464 <b>783</b>	137 25 46 59 15 431 <b>713</b>	90% 100% 98% 81% 68% 93% <b>91%</b>
009 011 019 031 680	AMHERST APPOMATTOX BEDFORD CO./CITY CAMPBELL LYNCHBURG EDD 9	133 147 311 319 714 <b>1,624</b>	76 77 175 198 421 <b>947</b>	57% 52% 56% 62% 59% <b>58%</b>	123 129 290 284 643 <b>1,469</b>	121 121 255 258 540 <b>1,295</b>	98% 94% 88% 91% 84% <b>88%</b>
089 141 143 590	HALIFAX HENRY PATRICK PITTSYLVANIA DANVILLE MARTINSVILLE EDD 10	269 256 192 256 703 153 <b>1,829</b>	143 128 158 131 411 62 <b>1,033</b>	53% 50% 82% 51% 58% 41% <b>56%</b>	240 228 181 215 624 144 <b>1,632</b>	199 182 153 192 534 125 <b>1,385</b>	83% 80% 85% 89% 86% 87% <b>85%</b>
025 029 037 049 081 111 117 135	AMELIA BRUNSWICK BUCKINGHAM CHARLOTTE CUMBERLAND GREENSVILLE/EMP LUNENBURG MECKLENBURG NOTTOWAY PRINCE EDWARD	24 143 72 42 43 85 41 87 89 118	12 83 52 21 25 44 19 48 47 91	50% 58% 72% 50% 58% 52% 46% 55% 53% 77%	24 127 59 38 39 81 38 68 76 106	22 106 49 33 35 71 37 55 71 95	92% 83% 87% 90% 88% 97% 81% 93% 90%

	Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F
	Number VIEW Participants Closed With Employment <u>1st 90 months</u>	Number in Column A Who Retained Employment <u>6+ MONTHS</u>	Percent Who Retained Employment <u>6 + months</u>	Number Who Closed With Employment <u>1st 84 months</u>	Number in Column D Who Stayed Off TANF <u>for 12 months</u>	Percent Who Stayed Off TANF for 12 months
Statewide EDD 11	38,764 744	23,394 442	60% 59%	34,826 656	30,418 574	87% 88%
CHESTERFIELD/C.H. GOOCHLAND HANOVER HENRICO POWHATAN RICHMOND <b>FDD 12</b>	839 42 92 1,051 30 3,230 <b>5 284</b>	609 24 60 689 14 2,159 3,555	73% 57% 65% 66% 47% 67% 6 <b>7%</b>	738 40 84 955 29 2,904 <b>4 750</b>	647 37 76 845 26 2,434 <b>4 065</b>	88% 93% 90% 88% 90% 84% <b>86%</b>
	Statewide EDD 11 CHESTERFIELD/C.H. GOOCHLAND HANOVER HENRICO POWHATAN	Number VIEW Participants Closed With EmploymentLOCALITY1st 90 monthsStatewide EDD 1138,764 744CHESTERFIELD/C.H.839 GOOCHLANDGOOCHLAND42 HANOVERHENRICO POWHATAN1,051 30 RICHMONDRICHMOND3,230	Number VIEW Participants Closed With Employment 1st 90 monthsNumber in Column A Who Retained Employment 6+ MONTHSLOCALITY38,764 6+ MONTHS23,394 6+ MONTHSStatewide EDD 1138,764 74423,394 442CHESTERFIELD/C.H. GOOCHLAND HANOVER HENRICO POWHATAN RICHMOND839 3,230609 600 600 689 14 2,159	Number VIEW Participants Closed With Employment 1st 90 monthsNumber in Column A Who Retained Employment 6+ MONTHSPercent Who Retained Employment 6+ monthsStatewide EDD 1138,764 74423,394 44260% 59%CHESTERFIELD/C.H. GOOCHLAND HANOVER HENRICO POWHATAN RICHMOND839 42 3,230609 2,159	Number VIEW Participants Closed With Employment 1st 90 monthsNumber in Column A Who Retained Employment 6 + monthsNumber Who Closed With Employment 6 + monthsLOCALITY38,764 74423,394 44260% 6 + months34,826 656Statewide EDD 1138,764 74423,394 44260% 59%34,826 656CHESTERFIELD/C.H. GOOCHLAND HANOVER839 92 600 600 65%60% 65%738 40 40HENRICO POWHATAN RICHMOND3,2302,15967% 67%2,904	Number VIEW Participants Closed With EmploymentNumber in Column A Who Retained EmploymentNumber who Closed With EmploymentNumber in Column D Who Stayed Off TANF 6+ MONTHSLOCALITY38,764 74423,394 44260% 6+ months34,826 65630,418 574Statewide EDD 1138,764 74423,394 44260% 59%34,826 65630,418 574CHESTERFIELD/C.H. GOOCHLAND HANOVER HENRICO POWHATAN RICO839 92609 600 65%738 844647 76 76 844CHENRICO POWHATAN RICHMOND3,23014 2,15967% 67%2,904 2,9042,434

		Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F
<u>FIPS</u>	LOCALITY	Number VIEW Participants Closed With Employment <u>1st 90 months</u>	Number in Column A Who Retained Employment <u>6+ MONTHS</u>	Percent Who Retained Employment <u>6 + months</u>	Number Who Closed With Employment <u>1st 84 months</u>	Number in Column D Who Stayed Off TANF for 12 months	Percent Who Stayed Off TANF <u>for 12 months</u>
	Statewide	38,764	23,394	60%	34,826	30,418	87%
033	CAROLINE	100	54	54%	91	74	81%
099	KING GEORGE	62	31	50%	54	49	91%
177	SPOTSYLVANIA	226	144	64%	191	170	89%
179	STAFFORD	151	104	69%	134	118	88%
630	FREDERICKSBURG	181	105	58%	156	133	85%
	EDD 13	720	438	61%	626	544	87%
057	ESSEX	43	26	60%	32	26	81%
097	KING & QUEEN	23	7	30%	20	15	75%
101	KING WILLIAM	19	8	42%	19	14	74%
103	LANCASTER	63	35	56%	55	50	91%
115	MATHEWS	17	5	29%	16	15	94%
119	MIDDLESEX	58	38	66%	54	47	87%
133	NORTHUMBERLAND	49	23	47%	43	33	77%
159	RICHMOND CO.	26	8	31%	26	19	73%
193	WESTMORELAND	91	48	53%	82	69	84%
	EDD 14	389	198	51%	347	288	83%
036	CHARLES CITY	11	6	55%	11	9	82%
073	GLOUCESTER	128	98	77%	119	116	97%
095	JAMES CITY	128	68	53%	105	91	87%
127	NEW KENT	31	19	61%	29	25	86%
	YORK/POQUOSON	96	46	48%	88	75	85%
	HAMPTON	1,328	699	53%	1,185	1,006	85%
700	NEWPORT NEWS	1,696	992	58%	1,528	1,337	88%
830		32	15	47%	29	26	90%
000	EDD 15	3,450	1,943	56%	3,094	2,685	87%
053	DINWIDDIE	153	92	60%	135	120	89%
149	PRINCE GEORGE	95	50	53%	87	81	93%
181	SURRY	44	22	50%	42	39	93%
	SUSSEX	76	52	68%	63	53	84%
	HOPEWELL	335	188	56%	303	259	85%
	PETERSBURG	653	376	58%	589	520	88%
100	EDD 16	1,356	780	58%	1,219	1,072	88%
093	ISLE OF WIGHT	139	80	58%	121	109	90%
	SOUTHAMPTON	107	53	50%	89	78	88%
	CHESAPEAKE	1,130	633	56%	1,024	882	86%
	FRANKLIN	112	75	67%	90	77	86%
	NORFOLK	2,433	1,323	54%	2,176	1,924	88%
	PORTSMOUTH	1,411	917	65%	1,304	1,181	91%
	SUFFOLK	497	314	63%	440	384	87%
	VIRGINIA BEACH						87% 88%
010		1,584	1,031	65%	1,361	1,193	
	EDD 17	7,413	4,426	60%	6,605	5,828	88%
	ACCOMACK	200	111	56%	189	159	84%
131	NORTHAMPTON	156	111	71%	143	124	87%
	EDD 18	356	222	62%	332	283	85%

	Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F
	Number VIEW	Number in			Number in	
	Participants	Column A	Percent	Number Who	Column D	Percent
	Closed With	Who Retained	Who Retained	Closed With	Who Stayed	Who Stayed
	Employment	Employment	Employment	Employment	Off TANF	Off TANF
FIPS LOCALITY	1st 90 months	6+ MONTHS	6 + months	1st 84 months	for 12 months	for 12 months
Statewide	38,764	23,394	60%	34,826	30,418	87%