

**Biennial Report to the Governor and General Assembly on Virginia's
Planning District Commissions**

**Submitted by
The Virginia Department of Housing and Community Development**

December 2004

Biennial Report on Virginia's Planning District Commissions

I. Executive Summary

Overview

Virginia has 21 planning district commissions (PDCs): voluntary associations of local governments intended to foster intergovernmental cooperation by bringing together local elected officials and involved citizens to discuss common needs and determine solutions to regional issues.

The Virginia General Assembly created the statutory framework for the creation of the PDCs in 1968 through the passage of the Virginia Area Development Act. In 1995, the General Assembly modified the Area Development Act through adoption of the Regional Cooperation Act.

One of the primary purposes of PDCs is to encourage and facilitate local government cooperation in addressing, on a regional basis, problems of greater than local significance. One important mechanism in helping localities to meet these goals is the requirement that each PDC complete a regional strategic plan with participation from local government bodies, the business community, citizen organizations and other interested parties.

In addition to the strategic planning requirement, the Regional Cooperation Act identifies other duties of planning district commissions:

- To conduct studies on issues and problems of regional significance;
- To identify and study potential opportunities for cost savings and staffing efficiencies through coordinated local government efforts;
- To identify mechanisms for the coordination of local interests on a regional basis;
- To implement services upon the request of member local governments;
- To provide technical assistance to local governments;
- To serve as a liaison between local governments and state agencies as requested;
- To review local government aid applications as required by applicable state and federal law and regulation;
- To develop regional functional area plans as deemed necessary by the commission or as requested by member local governments;
- To assist state agencies, as requested, in the development of substate plans;

Biennial Report on Virginia's Planning District Commissions

- To participate in a statewide geographic information system, the Virginia Geographic Information Network, as directed by the Department of Planning and Budget; and To collect and maintain demographic, economic and other data concerning the region and member local governments, and act as a state data center affiliate in cooperation with the Virginia Employment Commission.

In support of these duties, the General Assembly appropriated and the Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) distributed an annual appropriation of \$2,124,260 for FY 2003 and \$2,149,275 for FY 2004 to the 21 PDCs.

Each PDC is required to submit an annual report to its member local governments and DHCD prior to September 1 of each year. The PDC annual report format requires the planning district commissions to consider specific elements of performance.

Summary Data 2002-2004

Regional Strategic Planning

Asked to document performance in developing and implementing regional strategic planning in the planning district, 8 out of 21 (38.1 percent) planning district commissions reported that they had adopted a strategic plan. Of the 13 planning district commissions that have not formally adopted strategic plans, 12 are either in the process of adopting a formal plan or addressing the elements contained in a formal plan.

Duties Performed

The PDCs were asked to provide a concise description of all activities accomplished under the duties assigned under the Regional Cooperation Act. They also provided highlights of their successes, which appear in the body of this report.

- **To conduct studies on issues and problems of regional significance.**

PDCs reported conducting 180 such studies in 2003 and 178 in 2004 for a total of 358 studies for the two-year period. Over the two-year period, 193 studies (54 percent) dealt with the functional areas of transportation, economic development, and the environment.

- **To identify and study potential opportunities for local cost savings and staffing efficiencies through coordinated local government efforts.**

During 2002-2004, the majority (54.4 percent) of these studies focused on infrastructure, economic development, regional government administration and the environment.

- **To identify mechanisms for the coordination of local interests on a regional basis.**

PDCs reported 224 such instances in 2003 and 222 in 2004 for a total of 446 for the two-year period. Most mechanisms to coordinate local interests regionally—63.5 percent—

Biennial Report on Virginia's Planning District Commissions

occurred in the areas of economic development, transportation, environment and regional government administration efforts.

- **To implement services upon request of member localities.**

Twenty-one PDCs reported implementing services at the request of local governments 158 times in 2003 and 187 times in 2004 for a two-year total of 345.

- **To provide technical assistance to member localities.**

This general duty accounts for the greatest number of activities reported by the planning district commissions. As might be expected, the amount of technical assistance varies considerably by issue area. Regional government administration assistance account for 40 and 38 instances, respectively, over the two-year period. Economic development accounts for 38 and 33 such instances over the same two-year period.

- **To serve as liaison between localities and state agencies as requested.**

PDCs most often served as liaison between local and state government when the issues dealt with the environment (23.1 percent), transportation (18.8 percent) or regional administration (18.5 percent).

- **To review local government aid applications as required by §15.2-4213 and other state or federal law or regulation.**

All 21 PDCs reported that they reviewed local aid applications in some form in 2003 and 2004.

- **To develop regional functional area plans as deemed necessary by the commission or as requested by member localities.**

In 2003, the majority of functional area plans were developed for transportation, economic development and infrastructure. In 2004, the emphasis remained the same with transportation accounting for 38 (16.3 percent) of all functional area plans and economic development accounting for 22 (9.4 percent) of all functional area plans.

- **To assist state agencies, as requested, in the development of substate plans.**

PDCs assisted state agencies in the development of substate plans 97 times in 2003 and 92 times in 2004 for a total of 189 times.

- **To participate in a statewide geographic information system, the Virginia Geographic Network, as directed by the Department of Planning and budget.**

All twenty one PDCs reported participation in the statewide geographic information system in 2003 and 2004.

Biennial Report on Virginia's Planning District Commissions

- **To collect and maintain demographic, economic and other data concerning the region and member localities, and act as a state data center affiliate in cooperation with the Virginia Employment Commission.**

All 21 PDCs reported serving as an affiliate of the state data center in both 2003 and 2004.

As part of their annual reports, PDCs also described highlights of the past year and provided work plans for the upcoming year.

Conclusion

It is important to note that while the Regional Cooperation Act articulates specific duties of the PDCs, it does not require that each PDC conduct activities in every functional area. Rather, each PDC is challenged to tailor its services to meet the diverse needs of its member localities. Based on the 2003 and 2004 annual reports, the PDCs are meeting the overall intent of the Regional Cooperation Act.

In a related matter, the Department of Housing and Community Development, following the publication of decennial census data, is required by the Code of Virginia periodically to review planning district commission boundaries. In late 2003, the Department initiated such a review. As part of this process, Amelia, Charlotte and Lunenburg Counties requested that consideration be given to assigning them to a different planning district. The Department has been working with these localities on their requests. While the process is not complete, it does not appear that boundary changes for the planning districts will be made at this time.

II. Biennial PDC Report

Overview

Virginia has 21 planning district commissions (PDCs): voluntary associations of local governments intended to foster intergovernmental cooperation by bringing together local elected officials and involved citizens to discuss common needs and determine solutions to regional issues.

The Virginia General Assembly created the statutory framework for the creation of the PDCs in 1968 through the passage of the Virginia Area Development Act. In 1995, the General Assembly modified the Area Development Act through adoption of the Regional Cooperation Act. The Regional Cooperation Act clearly articulates that PDCs were created to provide a forum for state and local government to address issues of a regional nature and are expected to encourage regional cooperation and coordination.

One of the primary purposes of PDCs is to encourage and facilitate local government cooperation in addressing, on a regional basis, problems of greater than local significance. This cooperation is intended to help local governments solve their problems by enhancing the ability to recognize and analyze regional opportunities and take account of regional influences in planning and implementing public policies and services.

One important mechanism in helping localities meet these goals is the requirement that each PDC complete a regional strategic plan with participation from local governing bodies, the business community, citizen organizations and other interested parties. The strategic plan is required to include regional goals and objectives, strategies to meet those goals, and mechanisms for measuring progress. The intent of the plan is to help promote the orderly and efficient development of the physical, social and economic elements of the planning district.

In addition to the strategic planning requirement, the Regional Cooperation Act identifies other duties of planning district commissions:

- To conduct studies on issues and problems of regional significance;
- To identify and study potential opportunities for cost savings and staffing efficiencies through coordinated local government efforts;
- To identify mechanisms for the coordination of local interests on a regional basis;
- To implement services upon the request of member local governments;
- To provide technical assistance to local governments;
- To serve as a liaison between local governments and state agencies as requested;
- To review local government aid applications as required by applicable state and federal law and regulation;

Biennial Report on Virginia's Planning District Commissions

- To develop regional functional area plans as deemed necessary by the commission or as requested by member local governments;
- To assist state agencies, as requested, in the development of substate plans;
- To participate in a statewide geographic information system, the Virginia Geographic Information Network, as directed by the Department of Planning and Budget; and
- To collect and maintain demographic, economic and other data concerning the region and member local governments, and act as a state data center affiliate in cooperation with the Virginia Employment Commission.

In support of these duties, the General Assembly appropriated and the Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) distributed an annual appropriation of \$2,124,260 for FY 2003 and \$2,149,275 for FY 2004 to the 21 PDCs.

Each PDC is required to submit an annual report to its member local governments and DHCD prior to September 1 of each year. Each report, at a minimum is required to describe the activities conducted by the PDCs during the preceding fiscal year and document how the commission met the provisions of the Act. Each report is also required to summarize sources and amounts of funding provided to the commission and a projected annual work program for the coming year.

Summary Data 2003-2004

The PDC annual report format requires the PDCs to consider four elements of performance. Each PDC is asked to document progress in developing and implementing strategic planning in the planning district; to describe all activities accomplished under the duties assigned under the Regional Cooperation Act; to highlight successes and achievements of special note with regional efforts in cooperation; and to submit a work program for the coming year that includes a budget and list of member jurisdictions and commission members. Their responses for 2003 and 2004 are summarized on the pages that follow. Tables showing the PDCs' responses to individual questions appear in Appendix A.

Regional Strategic Planning

Asked to document progress in developing and implementing strategic planning in the planning district, 8 out of 21 (38.1 percent) PDCs reported that they had formally adopted a regional strategic plan. According to the documentation provided, the PDCs that have officially adopted strategic plans are:

- New River Valley (PDC 4)
- Fifth (PDC 5)
- Northern Shenandoah Valley (PDC 7)
- West Piedmont (PDC 12)

Biennial Report on Virginia's Planning District Commissions

- Southside (PDC 13)
- Piedmont (PDC 14)
- RADCO (PDC 16)
- Northern Neck (PDC 17)

Note: The Northern Virginia Planning District Commission (PDC 8) is exempt from the requirement to adopt a strategic plan because its regional planning is conducted by a multi-state council of governments.

Of the 13 PDCs that have not official adopted strategic plans, nine have made significant progress in developing plans. Some PDCs are addressing the requirement through the development of multiple strategic plans (economic development, transportation, etc.). Cumberland Plateau, Central Shenandoah and Northern Shenandoah Valley PDC are in the process of adopting their plans.

The Mount Rogers Planning District Commission is participating in Vision 2025 which involves 17 counties and 50 localities in northeast Tennessee and southwest Virginia. Vision 2025 findings have been incorporated into a wide range of strategic planning reports, as well as local comprehensive plans.

Duties Performed

The PDCs were asked to provide a concise description of all activities accomplished under the duties assigned under the Regional Cooperation Act. To facilitate summarizing the data for each of the duties prescribed by the Act, responses were grouped by the following predominant functional areas:

- Community Development
- Comprehensive Strategic, Zoning and Disaster Planning
- Corrections
- Economic Development
- Education
- Environment
- Government Administration
- GIS
- Health and Human Services
- Housing
- Infrastructure
- Technology
- Transportation
- Workforce

Biennial Report on Virginia's Planning District Commissions

- **To conduct studies on issues and problems of regional significance**

PDCs reported conducting 180 such studies in 2003 and 178 in 2004 for a total of 358 studies for the two-year period.

Over the two-year period, 185 studies (53.9 percent) dealt with the functional areas of transportation, economic development, and the environment. All but two PDCs conducted a minimum of 2 studies in the most frequently addressed area of transportation. The West Piedmont Planning District (PDC 12) conducted 13 studies on transportation issues. Five PDCs (Fifth, Northern Shenandoah Valley, Rappahannock-Rapidan, Thomas Jefferson, and Richmond Regional) conducted 7 or more transportation studies during the 2002-2004 biennium.

In order of frequency, studies addressed included transportation (94), planning (64), environment (50), economic development (49), infrastructure (23), administration (18), community development (12) and housing (10).

“With the Planning District Commission acting as GIS coordinator, a Regional Land Use GIS database is being assembled. This is a comprehensive database consisting of land use and infrastructure information that is valuable in marketing the entire Southside region....This information enables the economic development offices to accurately identify and depict appropriate sites desired by perspective locating industries.”
---*Highlight Reported by the Southside Planning District Commission*

- **To identify and study potential opportunities for local cost savings and staffing efficiencies through coordinated local government efforts.**

During 2002-2004, the majority (54.4 percent) of these studies focused on infrastructure, economic development, transportation, regional government administration and the environment.

The functional areas that generated the fewest studies of potential cost savings or staff efficiencies include housing (5) and taxation (2).

“The Regional Jail Task Force has had a productive year by hiring its first superintendent and other initial staff. Construction has commenced on all three facilities. The LENOWISCO PDC was a strategic player in getting officials from Lee, Scott and Wise to the table.”
---*Highlight Reported by the LENOWISCO Planning District Commission*

Biennial Report on Virginia's Planning District Commissions

- **To identify mechanisms for the coordination of local interests on a regional basis.**

PDCs reported 224 such instances in 2003 and 222 in 2004 for a total of 446 for the two-year period. Most mechanisms to coordinate local interests regionally—63.5 percent—occurred in the areas of economic development, transportation, environment and regional government administration efforts.

During the 2002-2004 biennium, reports indicated that the areas of public authorities, GIS and health care ranked as having the least potential for coordinating local interests regionally.

“The Piedmont Planning District Commission is the mechanism for coordinating local interests on a regional basis for the fulfillment of hazard mitigation planning requirements. The Virginia Department of Emergency Management advocates regional approaches to hazard mitigation planning as a logical method of coordinating local interests on a more manageable basis.”

---Highlight Reported by the Piedmont Planning District Commission

- **To implement services upon request of member localities.**

Twenty-one PDCs reported implementing services at the request of local governments 158 times in 2003 and 187 times in 2004 for a two-year total of 345.

LENOWISCO and Region 2000 PDC reported the highest number of services at 40 and 36, respectively.

“Since 2001, the (Cumberland) Planning District has been working on “Bringing the World to Southwest Virginia,” a project to install a fiber-optics backbone to and through the four-county area. The Cumberland Plateau is working with Bristol Virginia Utilities to install the fiber backbone from Abingdon to Lebanon to Richlands.”

---Highlight Reported by the Cumberland Plateau Planning District Commission

- **To provide technical assistance to member localities.**

This general duty accounts for the greatest number of activities reported by the planning district commissions.

As might be expected, the amount of technical assistance varies considerably by issue area. Regional government administration assistance account for 40 and 38 instances, respectively, over the two-year period. Economic development accounts for 38 and 33 such instances over the same two-year

Biennial Report on Virginia's Planning District Commissions

“In Fiscal Year 2004, the PDC staff assisted in the development of three U.S. Department of Commerce, EDA, applications. The first application was a request for \$6 million, which will be matched with \$6 million in Virginia Tobacco Indemnification and Revitalization Commission funds, for Virginia's Regional Backbone Initiative which provides for a combination of buried and aerial 144-strand fiber optic cable along VDOT right-of-way on portions of U.S. Routes 58, 220 and 360.”

---Highlight Reported by the West Piedmont Planning District Commission

- **To serve as liaison between localities and state agencies as requested.**

PDCs most often served as liaison between local and state government when the issues dealt with the environment (23.1 percent), transportation (18.8 percent) or regional administration (18.5 percent).

PDCs served as liaison less frequently in the areas of technology (3) and health care and social services (2).

- **To review local government aid applications as required by §15.2-4213 and other state or federal law or regulation.**

Twenty-one out of 21 PDCs reported that they reviewed local aid applications in 2003 and in 2004.

- **To develop regional functional area plans as deemed necessary by the commission or as requested by member localities.**

In 2003, the majority of functional area plans were developed for transportation, economic development and infrastructure. In 2004, the emphasis remained the same with transportation accounting for 38 (16.3 percent) of all functional area plans and economic development accounting for 22 (9.4 percent) of all functional area plans

“The Thomas Jefferson Planning District Solid Waste Management Plan, required by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, was approved by the Commission in late June after several months work. This effort represented an excellent example of regional cooperation, with all 6 member jurisdictions participating in development of the plan, which was written by TJPDC staff and a technical committee representing member jurisdictions. Major recommendations include the coordination of solid waste management contracts throughout the region and a central sorting facility to handle all recyclables in the region. Both recommendations take advantage of the economy of scale benefits of regional cooperation.”

---Highlight Reported by the Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission

Biennial Report on Virginia's Planning District Commissions

- **To assist state agencies, as requested, in the development of substate plans.**

PDCs assisted state agencies in the development of substate plans 97 times in 2003 and 92 times in 2004 for a total of 189 times.

- **To participate in a statewide geographic information system, the Virginia Geographic Network, as directed by the Department of Planning and budget.**

All 21 PDCs reported participation in the statewide geographic information system in 2003 and 2004.

- **To collect and maintain demographic, economic and other data concerning the region and member localities, and act as a state data center affiliate in cooperation with the Virginia Employment Commission.**

All 21 PDCs reported serving as an affiliate of the state data center in both 2003 and 2004.

Conclusion

It is important to note that while the Regional Cooperation Act articulates specific duties of the PDCs, it does not require that each PDC conduct activities in every functional area. Rather, each PDC is challenged to tailor its services to meet the diverse needs of its member localities. Based on the 2003 and 2004 annual reports, the PDCs are meeting the overall intent of the Regional Cooperation Act.

In a related matter, the Department of Housing and Community Development is required by the Code of Virginia periodically, following the publication of decennial census data, to review planning district commission boundaries. In late 2003, the Department initiated such a review. As part of this process, Amelia, Charlotte and Lunenburg Counties requested that consideration be given to assigning them to a different planning district. The Department concluded an extensive review of data relating to these requests and has been working with these localities on their requests. While the process is not complete, it does not appear that boundary changes for the planning districts will be made at this time.

Appendix A

Planning District Commission	Strategic Plan Adopted?	Strategic Plan Adoption in Process?	Number of Studies		Study Focus							
			2003	2004	Infra-structure	Correct ions	Admin.	Housing	Health Care	Environ.	Disaster Planning	Zoning / Planning
LENOWISCO	No		4	4							2	
Cumberland Plateau	No	Yes	5	5	1	1					2	
Mount Rogers	No	Vision 2025	5	6	2					1	1	
New River Valley	Yes		11	8	2			2	3	1	2	
Roanoke Valley Alleghany	Yes		13	9	1		1			3	2	
Central Shenandoah	No	Yes*	10	10		2					5	
Northern Shenandoah Valley	Yes		9	10			1	1		5	1	3
Northern Virginia	No	Yes	11	11	1				2	13		2
Rappahannock-Rapidan	No	Working with recommendations	12	12				2		2	2	
Thomas Jefferson	No	Multiple plans/projects	12	10				3		2	1	2
Region 2000	No	Multiple plans/projects	12	14			7		2	3		
West Piedmont	Yes		10	10								
Southside	Yes		10	10	2		2					
Piedmont	Yes		7	5	1		2			3	2	
Richmond Regional	No	Strategic Plan areas extens.	3	5								1
RADCO	Yes		9	8	6		4		2			
Northern Neck	Yes		10	10						2	5	2
Middle Peninsula	No	multiple groups and areas	2	2			1					
Crater	No	multiple jurisdictional plans	5	7	2						1	1
Accomack-Northampton	No	econ., trans., environ., housing	8	9	1			2		6	1	
Hampton Roads	No	Multiple plans/projects	12	13	4					9	3	1
Totals			180	178	23	3	18	10	9	50	30	12

Appendix A

Planning District Commission	Study Focus (con't)								
	<i>Strategic Planning</i>	<i>Telecom</i>	<i>Work Force</i>	<i>Econ. Dev.</i>	<i>Trans.</i>	<i>Tax</i>	<i>GIS</i>	<i>Educ.</i>	<i>Comm. Dev.</i>
LENOWISCO			1	5					
Cumberland Plateau				4	2				
Mount Rogers		1		2	2	2			
New River Valley	2			5	2				
Roanoke Valley Alleghany		2		5	8				
Central Shenandoah	9			2			2		
Northern Shenandoah Valley	1				7				
Northern Virginia				2	2				
Rappahannock-Rapidan	2		3		8				5
Thomas Jefferson			2	1	7		2		2
Region 2000					6		3		5
West Piedmont	1			6	13				
Southside	4		2	8	2				
Piedmont	1			1	2				
Richmond Regional					7				
RADCO					3				
Northern Neck				6	5				
Middle Peninsula					2		1		
Crater		1		2	5				
Accomack-Northampton	2				5				
Hampton Roads					6	2			
Totals	22	4	8	49	94	4	8	0	12

Appendix A

Planning District Commission	Efficiencies															
	Infra-structure	Housing	Admin.	Planning/Zoning	Tax	Environ.	Correct ions	Health Care	Disaster Planning	Telecom/ Tech	Work Force	Educ.	Trans.	Housing	GIS	CD
LENOWISCO	4						2			11	4	3			2	
Cumberland Plateau	4						2					2			2	
Mount Rogers			3	1						2					2	
New River Valley	7									1	2					2
Roanoke Valley Alleghany	1		4			3						2	5		1	
Central Shenandoah	5						2		2							
Northern Shenandoah Valley				3		3			1	3			3		1	
Northern Virginia		2			2	2		2								
Rappahannock-Rapidan				2		2		3	2		2	5	6			
Thomas Jefferson		3	1	1					1		2		4	3	2	
Region 2000			5			2		2			4	3				2
West Piedmont				2		2			1			14	13		4	
Southside	2		2									10	4		2	2
Piedmont			1			1			2				1			
Richmond Regional									2				2		2	
RADCO			2								8	2	3		1	
Northern Neck	3		3			3					2	4	4		2	
Middle Peninsula	2		1									3	4		1	1
Crater	1		3	2					1		1	3			2	
Accomack-Northampton	2	2												2		
Hampton Roads	5		2			5						4	2			
Totals	36	7	27	11	2	23	6	7	12	17	25	55	51	5	24	7

Appendix A

Planning District Commission	Mechanisms 2003/2004											
	Infra- structure 03	Infra- structure 04	Housing 03	Housing 04	Environ. 03	Environ. 04	Disaster Plan 03	Disaster Plan 04	Public Authorities 03	Public Authorities 04	Strategic Plan 03	Strategic Plan 04
LENOWISCO	1	1										
Cumberland Plateau	2	3							1	1	1	1
Mount Rogers											1	1
New River Valley	1				2	1		1		1		
Roanoke Valley Alleghany					1	1	1	1				
Central Shenandoah	1		1	1							3	3
Northern Shenandoah Valley					3	3						
Northern Virginia	1		1		7	5	1	1			1	1
Rappahannock-Rapidan											2	2
Thomas Jefferson			2	2	1	2						
Region 2000												
West Piedmont					1	1					1	1
Southside	1	1										
Piedmont							1	1			1	1
Richmond Regional					2	3	2	2				
RADCO												
Northern Neck					2	2	1	1				
Middle Peninsula					1	1		1				
Crater	1	1			5	3						
Accomack-Northampton			3	4				1				
Hampton Roads	4	4	1		6	6	2	2				
Totals	12	10	8	7	31	28	8	11	1	2	10	10

Appendix A

Planning District Commission	Mechanisms 03/04 (cont.)																	
	Telecom/ Tech 03	Telecom/T ech 04	Work Force 03	Work Force 04	Econ. Dev. 03	Econ. Dev. 04	Trans. 03	Trans 04	GIS 03	GIS 04	Comm. Dev. 03	Comm. Dev. 04	Admin. 03	Admin. 04	Health Care 03	Health Care 04	Zoning 03	Zoning 04
LENOWISCO			2	3	2	1												
Cumberland Plateau					6	8					1	2						
Mount Rogers					2	1							1	2				
New River Valley	1	1	1		1	1	1	1			2	3	4	4		1		2
Roanoke Valley Alleghany					1	1	4	3					3	3				
Central Shenandoah	1	1		1	3	3												
Northern Shenandoah Valley							2	2					2	3			2	2
Northern Virginia	1						1	1				2	3	4	2	1	1	
Rappahannock-Rapidan			1	1	3	4	2	2					2	2	1	1		
Thomas Jefferson	1		2	2			1	2		1			1	2			1	1
Region 2000			1				2	2	1	1			4	5			2	3
West Piedmont					5	5	4	4	1	1			4	5			1	1
Southside			2	2	4	4			1	1	1	1					1	1
Piedmont							1	1			1		1	1				
Richmond Regional							3	3			1	1	1	1			1	1
RADCO													9	9				
Northern Neck					1	1	1	1					5	5				
Middle Peninsula	1				1	1							6	3			1	1
Crater	2	2					3	2					2	2				
Accomack-Northampton			1		3	3	2	1	1				1	1				
Hampton Roads	1	1					2				1		3	2				
Totals	8	5	10	9	31	33	29	25	4	4	7	9	52	54	3	3	10	12

Appendix A

Planning District Commission	Services		Technical Assistance 2003-2004											
	2003	2004	Infrastruct ure 03	Infrastruct ure 04	Tech 03	Tech 04	Admin. 03	Admin. 04	Zoning/ Planning 03	Zoning/ Planning 04	Environ. 03	Environ. 04	Disaster Planning 03	Disaster Planning 04
LENOWISCO	18	22							4	5	1	1		
Cumberland Plateau	10	10					9	9						
Mount Rogers	6	3	1	2	1	1	1				1	1		
New River Valley	10	19	4	3	1			1	5	8	1	6	1	2
Roanoke Valley Alleghany	5	3				2			1	2	1			
Central Shenandoah	4	4	2	2					1	1	1	1	1	1
Northern Shenandoah Valley	7	8		1			3	2	3	3				1
Northern Virginia	2	2		1	1	1	3	3	3	2	8	5		
Rappahannock-Rapidan	8	10			1	1	2	2			1	1		1
Thomas Jefferson	6	11		1			3	3	3	1	1	1		
Region 2000	18	18					4	4	1	1				
West Piedmont	7	7					1	1	1					
Southside	10	10	1	1			3	3						
Piedmont	7	19	1										2	5
Richmond Regional	2	3							4	3	1	2		
RADCO	8	8	1	1	1	1	2		3	3	1	1		
Northern Neck	10	10	1	1	1	1	3	3	1	1	1	2		
Middle Peninsula	6	7			1		2		4	3				
Crater	5	4	1				1	3	3	2				
Accomack-Northampton	4	4	1		1	1			1	1		1	1	1
Hampton Roads	5	5					3	4	2	5	4	4		
Totals	158	187	13	13	8	8	40	38	40	41	22	26	5	11

Appendix A

Planning District Commission	Technical Assistance (cont.)															
	Educ. 03	Educ. 04	Econ. Dev. 03	Econ. Dev. 04	Trans. 03	Trans. 04	GIS 03	GIS 04	Comm. Dev. 03	Comm. Dev. 04	Work Force 03	Work Force 04	Health Care 03	Health Care 04	Housing 03	Housing 04
LENOWISCO			18	11					1	1	2	2				
Cumberland Plateau							1	1								
Mount Rogers			1				4	3	1	2						
New River Valley			5	7	2	2	2	2	2		2	1			3	3
Roanoke Valley Alleghany			2	1		1			3	1						
Central Shenandoah				1	1	1	2	1	2							
Northern Shenandoah Valley						1	1								1	1
Northern Virginia				1	1	1							3	2		
Rappahannock-Rapidan			2	2	2	1	1	1	1	2			1	1		
Thomas Jefferson					2	1	1	1				1				
Region 2000					1	1	1	1	1	1						
West Piedmont	1		3	4	3	3	7	5	1	1						
Southside			2	2	1	1	3	3	1	1						
Piedmont			1	1		10				1	1					
Richmond Regional					1	1			1							1
RADCO					1	1	1			1					1	1
Northern Neck			1	1		1	1	1								
Middle Peninsula															1	
Crater			1	1			1					1				
Accomack-Northampton			1		1	3			1	1					1	1
Hampton Roads			1	1		1	1								1	1
Totals	1	0	38	33	16	30	27	19	15	12	5	5	4	3	8	8

Appendix A

Planning District Commission	Liaison													Aid Applications
	Infrastruct ure	Tele/ Tech	HC/SS	Admin.	Housing	Work Force	Econ. Dev.	Environ ment	Planning	Disaster Recovery/ Planning	Trans.	GIS	Comm. Dev.	
LENOWISCO	6										15	4	21	Yes
Cumberland Plateau							9	4		2	2		2	Yes
Mount Rogers				2			1	2			2			Yes
New River Valley	1			8		2	5	2	1	3	2			Yes
Roanoke Valley Alleghany		1		4			3	6					4	Yes
Central Shenandoah							2	3	2	4				Yes
Northern Shenandoah Valley				3				2	2	1	5	1	2	Yes
Northern Virginia				1	1			13	2	1	2		1	Yes
Rappahannock-Rapidan					2			2			7			Yes
Thomas Jefferson				2		2		2		1	6			Yes
Region 2000				8					2			2	2	Yes
West Piedmont		2		5		1	4	4	2	1	14		2	Yes
Southside					2		12							Yes
Piedmont	2			2		2	3	3		2	3		1	Yes
Richmond Regional				19				2	2					Yes
RADCO	1			13				1	1		2			Yes
Northern Neck	1		2				2	9			4		2	Yes
Middle Peninsula				1				4	1					Yes
Crater				4		1	5	4		1	3			Yes
Accomack-Northampton	1			1	5	1		3			4			Yes
Hampton Roads	2			1				26			4			Yes
Totals	14	3	2	74	10	9	46	92	15	16	75	7	37	100%

Appendix A

Planning District Commission	Functional Area Plans															
	Infrastructure 03	Infrastructure 04	Work Force 03	Work Force 04	Admin. 03	Admin. 04	Strategic Plan 03	Strategic Plan 04	Zoning 03	Zoning 04	Health Care 04	Health Care 04	Econ. Dev. 03	Econ. Dev. 04	Environ. 03	Environ. 04
LENOWISCO	1	1											1	1		
Cumberland Plateau		1											2	1		
Mount Rogers	1	1														1
New River Valley	1	2							1	1						
Roanoke Valley Alleghany	1														1	3
Central Shenandoah					1	1							5	5		
Northern Shenandoah Valley	1	2													1	1
Northern Virginia	No	No				No					No	No	No	No		
Rappahannock-Rapidan	1	1										2	3	3		
Thomas Jefferson																
Region 2000			1	1	2	2	1	1					1	1		
West Piedmont													3	3		
Southside							1	1					2	2		
Piedmont					1	1	1	1						1		
Richmond Regional			1		3	3			2					1	1	
RADCO											1	1	1	1		
Northern Neck							1	1						1	2	2
Middle Peninsula															1	1
Crater		1											1	1	2	1
Accomack-Northampton	2	1											1	1		
Hampton Roads	2	2							1	1						
Totals	10	12	2	1	7	7	4	4	4	2	1	3	20	22	8	9

Appendix A

Planning District Commission	Functional Area Plans (cont.)													
	Tech 03	Tech 04	Housing 03	Housing 04	Corrections 03	Corrections 04	Transport. 03	Transport. 04	GIS 03	GIS 04	Disaster Planning 03	Disaster Planning 04	Comm. Dev. 03	Comm. Dev. 04
											1			
LENOWISCO														
Cumberland Plateau					1	1					1	1		
Mount Rogers		1					2	1						
New River Valley											1	1		
Roanoke Valley Alleghany							3	2			1	2		
Central Shenandoah											1	1		
Northern Shenandoah Valley					1	1		9				1		
Northern Virginia					No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Rappahannock-Rapidan							3	3			1	1	1	1
Thomas Jefferson	1	1	1	1			3	3						
Region 2000							2	2						
West Piedmont							5	5						
Southside														
Piedmont								1				1		
Richmond Regional							5	5	1		1	1		
RADCO							2	2						
Northern Neck											3	3		
Middle Peninsula							1	1						
Crater							1	2						
Accomack-Northampton			1	1			1	1						
Hampton Roads							1	1						
Totals	1	2	2	2	2	2	29	38	1	0	9	12	1	1

Appendix A

Planning District Commission	Assist State		GIS Participation		Data Collection	
	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004
LENOWISCO	3	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cumberland Plateau	4	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mount Rogers	3	2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
New River Valley	4	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Roanoke Valley Alleghany	4	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Central Shenandoah	2	2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Northern Shenandoah Valley	5	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Northern Virginia	2	2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rappahannock-Rapidan	6	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Thomas Jefferson	4	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Region 2000	4	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
West Piedmont	8	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Southside	3	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Piedmont	11	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Richmond Regional	6	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
RADCO	3	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Northern Neck	5	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Middle Peninsula	4	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Crater	2	2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Accomack-Northampton	2	2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hampton Roads	12	13	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Totals	97	92	100%	100%	100%	100%