Compensation Board

December 1, 2005

Fiscal Year 2005

assessment **Collection** of fines and fees

report

Court Clerks and Commonwealth's Attorneys in Virginia

| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 1 |
|---|--|
| COLLECTION OF FINES AND FEES | 3 |
| AUTHORITY FOR THE COLLECTION OF FINES AND FEES HISTORY OF FINES AND FEES REPORTING PURPOSE OF FINES AND FEES REPORTING | 3 |
| COLLECTION TOPICS | 4 |
| SOURCE OF COURTS DATA CURRENT AND PRIOR-YEAR ASSESSMENTS AND COLLECTIONS ASSESSMENTS AND COLLECTIONS MADE BY COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEYS DELINQUENT FINES AND FEES COLLECTION RATES IN EXCESS OF 100 PERCENT COLLECTION METHODS | 4 4 4 |
| IMPROVING THE COLLECTION OF FINES AND FEES | 6 |
| BEST PRACTICES FOR THE COLLECTION OF FINES AND FEES FROM CIRCUIT COURT CLERKS FY05 EFFORTS AT IMPROVING COLLECTIONS FY06 ACTIONS / RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT | 7 |
| FY05 STATEWIDE ASSESSMENT AND COLLECTION OF FINES AND FEES | 8 |
| ASSESSMENTS, COLLECTIONS AND COLLECTION RATES | 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 17 |
| PART A – FY05 FINES AND FEES ASSESSMENTS AND COLLECTIONS | A |
| PART B - COMPARISON OF FY04 AND FY05 COLLECTIONS | B |
| APPENDIX | I |
| APPENDIX 1: FY05 COLLECTION FORM FOR FINES AND FEES (COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY) APPENDIX 2: SUPREME COURT GUIDELINES AND MODEL CONTRACT FOR THE COLLECTION OF UNPAT FINES, COSTS, FORFEITURES, AND PENALTIES BY PRIVATE CONTRACTORS, JANUARY 14, 1999 APPENDIX 3: FY06 POLICY STATEMENT FOR FINES AND FEES (COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY) APPENDIX 4: FY06 POLICY STATEMENT FOR FINES AND FEES (CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT) APPENDIX 5: FY06 ELECTION METHOD FOR THE COLLECTION OF FINES AND FEES (COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY) APPENDIX 6: CODE OF VIRGINIA SECTIONS ON THE COLLECTION OF FINES AND FEES, INCLUDING THE I TEXT OF § 19.2-349. | ID IV XX XXI H'S XXII FULL |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Court Clerks

- In FY05, Court Clerks assessed \$423,513,600.13 and collected \$321,716,258.93.
- The collection rate for Court Clerks was 76.0 percent.
- The variance between FY04 and FY05 collections was (\$1,140,519.38), or (-0.35%).

Commonwealth's Attorneys

- In FY05, net assessments for delinquent fines and fees by Commonwealth's Attorneys were \$94,157,912.
- Gross collections for Commonwealth's Attorneys were \$56,079,755.
- Net collections in FY05 were \$44,260,895.
- The collection rate was 59.5 percent.
- The variance between FY04 and FY05 collections was \$4,760,011 (+12.05%).

Trend Data for Assessments and Collections

- Total Court assessments have risen from almost \$199M in FY95 to \$423.5M in FY05.
- Court Clerks collected nearly \$314M in FY95 compared to nearly \$322M in FY05.
- The collection rate for Court Clerks has ranged from 67 to 76 percent from FY95 to FY05.
- Gross collections of delinquent fines and fees by Commonwealth's Attorneys were over \$10M in FY95 compared to over \$56M in FY05.
- The collection rate of Commonwealth's Attorneys has increased from 7 percent in FY95 to over 59 percent in FY05.

Collection Agents

- In FY05, 14 collection agents have contracted with Commonwealth's Attorneys for the collection of delinquent fines and fees.
- Collection fees ranged from 17 to 35 percent.
- Collection rates ranged from 31 to 73 percent.
- The collection agent with the lowest collection fee (Department of Taxation) had the highest collection rate.

In-House Programs

- In FY05, six localities have In-House Programs that assess and collect delinquent fines and fees.
- Collection fees ranged from 30 to 35 percent, but actual collection costs ranged from less than 1
 percent to almost 10 percent with excess fee revenue (collection fee minus actual costs) returned
 to the state and localities.
- Collection rates ranged from 24 to 69 percent.
- The In-House Program with the lowest collection expense (collection fee minus actual costs with the remainder to the state and locality) had the third highest collection total.

Courts with Higher than Statewide Average Collection Rate

- The statewide average collection rate for all courts was 68 percent in FY03; 75 percent in FY04; and 76 percent in FY05.
- 19 Circuit Courts exceeded the statewide average for the past three consecutive years.
- All reporting courts in 11 localities have a higher than statewide average for the past three consecutive fiscal years.
- All reporting courts in 13 localities have a higher than statewide average for two of the three previous fiscal years.

COLLECTION OF FINES AND FEES

Authority for the Collection of Fines and Fees

In the <u>Code of Virginia</u>, § 19.2-349 requires that Court Clerks collect on the fines, costs, forfeitures, and penalties, including court-ordered restitution, assessed within their court. If payment or a payment arrangement has not been made in thirty days, the account becomes delinquent. Ten days are allowed for the appeals process, after which the delinquent account becomes the responsibility of the Commonwealth's Attorney. The Commonwealth's Attorney is then responsible for the collection of the delinquent fines, costs, penalties, forfeitures, and restitution. The appendix of this report contains the entire text of § 19.2-349 and a listing of relevant *Code* sections.

History of Fines and Fees Reporting

The 1994 General Assembly adopted legislation directing the Compensation Board and the Department of Taxation to report to the Governor and the General Assembly regarding assessment and collection of fines, costs, forfeitures, penalties and restitution, as well as those fines and fees which remain unsatisfied or do not meet the conditions of § <u>19.2-354</u> by each circuit and district court. Pursuant to § <u>19.2-349.C</u>, the Fines and Fees Report includes procedures established by the Department of Taxation and the Compensation Board pursuant to this section and a plan for increasing the collection of unpaid fines, costs, forfeitures, and penalties.

The compilation of information for the fines and fees report began in August 1995 with the receipt of collection rates from the Supreme Court of Virginia (SCV) for all Court Clerks in Virginia. The Compensation Board had met previously with representatives of the Virginia Association of Commonwealth's Attorneys, SCV, Department of Taxation and a private collection agency to determine a reporting mechanism that would accurately reflect the collection efforts of Commonwealth's Attorneys. The task force developed a fines and fees collection form, approved by the Compensation Board, to be sent to all Commonwealth's Attorneys in July of each year requesting collection data on the previous fiscal year.

Purpose of Fines and Fees Reporting

Part A of the FY05 Fines and Fees Report tracks delinquent and non-delinquent fines and fees assessments and collections by all courts and Commonwealth's Attorneys. The collection method (individually selected collection agents) for each Commonwealth's Attorney is also listed. Part B compares delinquent and non-delinquent collections made in FY05 to collections made in FY04 and displays the variance percentage. This report does not compare collection methods chosen by Commonwealth's Attorneys or draw conclusions as to the effectiveness of any Clerk or Commonwealth's Attorney. The manner in which the data is collected does not allow for meaningful comparisons to be made between collection methods or individual offices. The Compensation Board does not attest to the accuracy of the data presented in this report.

COLLECTION TOPICS

Source of Courts Data

The FY05 Final BR22 Report (June 30, 2005) from the Supreme Court of Virginia's Financial Management System was the source document for courts data contained within this report. The courts data tracked assessments and collections by court within each locality. Account codes included in determining assessments and collections are those codes normally found on receivable accounts, which arise from a conviction in a traffic or criminal case, or penalties and costs assessed in a civil matter. Excluded costs are those normally associated with non-judicial financial activities such as taxes and fees assessed in a land transfer. The Supreme Court of Virginia supplied the methodology for including or excluding account codes used in determining assessments and collections in the BR22 Report, and adjusted some account codes for the FY05 Fines and Fees Report. The FY05 Final BR22 Report did not report assessments and collections made by the Fairfax County Circuit Court. This court reported directly to the Compensation Board the local assessments and collections made in FY05.

Current and Prior-Year Assessments and Collections

The courts data presented in the FY05 Fines and Fees Report does not examine individual accounts to match assessed data with collected data, but instead focuses on court-wide activity. The Financial Management System was designed as an accounting system, not a collections system. Consequently, the Compensation Board cannot determine if the collection was made on a current or prior-year assessment. The Supreme Court's Financial Management System does not track the age of the account on which a collection payment is made.

Assessments and Collections Made by Commonwealth's Attorneys

Assessments of delinquent fines, costs, forfeitures, and penalties supplied by Commonwealth's Attorneys represent amounts that have been forwarded from the Clerk's office of that locality. The amount of delinquent assessments in the Clerk's office may not match the amount assessed in the Commonwealth's Attorney's office. In General District Courts, differences may be attributed to timing in the reporting, such as using assessment information from June 1, 2004 to May 31, 2005 to represent fiscal year 2005 data. The Clerk's office may mark an account delinquent in June but the Commonwealth's Attorney may not collect upon it until July. The Compensation Board specifically requested assessment and collection figures for the time period of July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005.

Delinquent Fines and Fees Collection Rates in Excess of 100 Percent

Commonwealth's Attorneys commonly report collection rates over 100 percent. For example, in FY05, the Arlington Commonwealth's Attorney reported that the General District Court collection rate was 138%, as shown below.

| Court | Net Assessed | Gross Collected | Net Collected | Gross Collection Rate |
|--------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Gen District | 623,833.28 | 858,890.52 | 712,879.13 | 138% |

Accounts may be collected upon in the current fiscal year for assessments made in a previous fiscal year. Although the Compensation Board requested assessment and collection data for the time period from July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005, the FY05 Fines and Fees Report includes data on all delinquent account collections, regardless of the year the assessment was made.

Collection Methods

The Commonwealth's Attorney chooses the collection method noted in the data. The Clerk of each court may have a different collection method than that of the Commonwealth's Attorney. The Compensation Board does not report the collection method of Court Clerks because per § 19.2-349, <u>Code of Virginia</u>, the Commonwealth's Attorney chooses the collection method of delinquent fines and fees accounts.

IMPROVING THE COLLECTION OF FINES AND FEES

Best Practices for the Collection of Fines and Fees from Circuit Court Clerks

Compensation Board staff conducted a phone survey in June 2005 with various Circuit Court Clerks who exceeded the statewide average collection rate in FY03 and/or FY04. The purpose of the survey was to develop a "best practices" section of the fines and fees report dealing with collection efforts prior to the delinquency stage. Listed below are the factors cited as improving the collection rate of court fines and fees as reported by the Circuit Court Clerks who were chosen randomly for our survey.

Partnership with Judge and Commonwealth's Attorney

- Make a strong judicial statement at sentencing;
- Establish a strict due date for payment with warnings as to consequences of default;
- Revoke probation of jail time or time suspended for nonpayment;
- Issue a capias or show cause hearing for nonpayment; and
- Garnish work release wages or allow community service to count towards payment.

In the Circuit Court Clerk's Office / Parole Officer on day of sentencing

- Provide staff escort to Clerk's office for payment;
- Obtain full personal information;
- Establish a payment plan;
- Require minimum monthly payment;
- Require immediate down payment; and
- Provide a fines and fees statement before departure.

Additional Factors

- Display signs in courthouse (or street billboards) regarding prompt payment of court fines and fees;
- Staff diligence to daily (or regularly) review fines and fees data supplied by the Supreme Court's Financial Management System; and
- The second payment plan (because first payment plan ran delinquent) is the last chance before the account is considered delinquent and passed to the Commonwealth's Attorney.

A table in this report (pages 15-18) lists the localities whose Circuit Courts and District Courts exceeded the statewide collection rate in FY03, FY04 and/or FY05.

| Issue | FY05 Efforts at Improving Collections | FY06 Actions / Recommendations for Improvement |
|--|---|--|
| Accessibility of Fines and Fees Data | Inclusion in the fines and fees report comparison of prior year collection activities of Court Clerks and Commonwealth's Attorneys (Part B); and Placement of FY05 report on the Compensation Board website. | Part B is standard component of the annual fines and fees report; and The Compensation Board agency website will continue to give access to fines and fees data reporting. |
| Priority of Fines and Fees Assessment and Collection | Addressed the importance of fines and fees collection with all newly elected and appointed Circuit Court Clerks and Commonwealth's Attorneys at the agency-sponsored New Officer Training seminar held in December 2004. | Giving priority to fines and fees assessment and collection is a standard component of Compensation Board training for new officers. |
| Adherence to Fines and Fees Policy | Require that all Commonwealth's Attorneys and Circuit Court Clerks review the Fines and Fees Compensation Board Policy Document and sign and date a policy statement regarding the collection method. | The certification of Compensation Board fines and fees policy is required in even fiscal years and provided to all newly elected Court Clerks and Commonwealth's Attorneys. |
| Prior Notice of Collection Method | Require that all Commonwealth's Attorneys sign and date an election form regarding the collection method. | Commonwealth's Attorneys are required to elect their collection method every year. |
| Recommendations to and from Partner Agencies | Recommend to Supreme Court of Virginia to modify the Financial Management System (FMS) to better track the assessment and collection data on a fiscal year basis; Meeting to hear recommendations from the Department of Taxation to improve the collection process of fines and fees; and Contact with legislative staff to review fines and fees collection activities in the Commonwealth. | Compensation Board will work with SCV to determine a cost recovery method for modification of the FMS to track assessments and collections on a fiscal year basis; Work with SCV to update the FMS Manual to standardize data entry for fines and fees to alleviate inconsistent data entry; Work with Clerk's offices and the Parole Board to require a SSN on every form used to register personal information for the collection of fines and fees; and The General Assembly may wish to consider an amendment to §19.2-349, <u>Code of Virginia</u>, to allow a maximum collection fee charged by private collection agents of no more than 5% above the collection fee charged by the Department of Taxation, currently 17%. |
| Best Practices for Fines and Fees | Conduct a phone survey of some Circuit Court Clerks who exceeded the state collection rate in FY03 and/or FY04 in order to develop a "best practices" section of the FY05 fines and fees report dealing with collection efforts of fines and fees before delinquency stage. Survey results are published on page 4 of this report. | Conduct a paper survey of all Circuit Court Clerks in order to develop a comprehensive tally of best practices to increase the collection of fines and fees actually performed by Circuit Court Clerks, judges, parole officers, etc. Survey results will be published in the FY06 Fines and Fees Report. |

FY05 STATEWIDE ASSESSMENT AND COLLECTION OF FINES AND FEES

Assessment and collection efforts of Court Clerks and Commonwealth's Attorneys in FY05 for Circuit, General District and Juvenile & Domestic Relations Courts (or Combined General District and Juvenile & Domestic Relations Courts) are reported by locality and are found in Part A of this report. The Supreme Court of Virginia, through the Financial Management System, compiles the collection efforts of Circuit Court Clerks (excluding Fairfax County, which sends their information directly to the Compensation Board, beginning in FY05). The Compensation Board gathers collection data from Commonwealth's Attorneys, as reported in the fines and fees collection form. The following data are statewide assessment and collection efforts in FY05.

Assessments, Collections and Collection Rates

| FY05 | Assessments | Court Collections | Collection Rate | Rate Increase from FY04 |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| Court Clerks | \$423,513,600.13 | \$321,716,258.93 | 76.0% | + 0.8 |

| FY05 | Net | Gross | Net | Collection | Rate Increase |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|
| | Assessments | Collections | Collections | Rate | from FY04 |
| Commonwealth's Attorneys | \$94,157,911.80 | \$56,079,754.78 | \$44,260,895.44 | 59.5% | + 6.9 |

Assessments and Collections by Court Clerks



Assessment and collection data are rounded to the nearest million of dollars. Assessments by Court Clerks have risen from \$311M in FY01 to \$424M in FY05 (+ \$113M), a 36 percent increase over the five-year period. Collections by Court Clerks have risen from \$209M in FY01 to \$322M in FY05 (+ \$113M), a 54 percent increase in the same five-year period.



Collection Rate for Court Clerks

The statewide collection rate for Court Clerks has increased from 67.1 percent in FY01 to 76.0% in FY05.

Assessments and Collections of Delinquent Fines and Fees by Commonwealth's Attorneys



Assessed and collected data are rounded to the nearest million of dollars. Statewide net assessments of delinquent fines and fees have increased from \$88M in FY01 to \$94M in FY05 (+ \$6M), a 7 percent increase over the five-year period. Gross collections have increased from \$38M in FY01 to \$56M in FY05 (+ \$18M), a 47 percent increase over the five-year period. Net collections have increased from \$31M in FY01 to \$44M in FY05 (+ \$13M), a 42 percent increase during the same five-year period.





The statewide delinquent fines and fees collection rate for Commonwealth's Attorneys has increased from nearly 44 percent in FY01 to over 59 percent in FY05.

| Fiscal Year | Court Assessments | | Collecti | ons | |
|-------------|-------------------|---------------|----------|--------------|-------|
| FISCALLEAL | Court Assessments | CC \$ | CC % | CA \$ | CA % |
| FY95 | \$198,821,218 | \$133,883,357 | 72.4% | \$10,136,381 | 7.0% |
| FY96 | \$218,673,221 | \$146,341,224 | 75.0% | \$17,655,115 | 10.8% |
| FY97 | \$261,930,731 | \$176,682,619 | 76.6% | \$23,859,337 | 11.9% |
| FY98 | \$281,520,488 | \$192,214,094 | 78.6% | \$29,104,382 | 13.2% |
| FY99 | \$293,495,030 | \$205,990,674 | 70.2% | \$33,510,222 | 38.7% |
| FY00 | \$301,848,434 | \$204,960,594 | 67.9% | \$37,151,100 | 46.2% |
| FY01 | \$310,747,359 | \$208,572,022 | 67.1% | \$38,473,776 | 43.8% |
| FY02 | \$323,494,376 | \$218,486,164 | 67.4% | \$45,012,077 | 50.0% |
| FY03 | \$377,717,346 | \$255,986,405 | 67.8% | \$42,961,117 | 51.8% |
| FY04 | \$429,237,880 | \$322,856,778 | 75.2% | \$50,084,608 | 52.6% |
| FY05 | \$423,513,600 | \$321,716,259 | 76.0% | \$56,079,755 | 59.5% |

Trend Data for Assessments and Collections

Figures are rounded up to nearest dollar. The collection rate for Court Clerks is based on court assessments. The collection rate for Commonwealth's Attorneys is based on gross collections (shown) as compared to net assessments (as provided by Commonwealth's Attorneys - not shown).

Collection Agents for Commonwealth's Attorneys

Commonwealth's Attorneys in Virginia contract with 14 different collection agents for the collection of delinquent fines and fees. Below are the collection fee, assessments, dollars collected, and collection rate for each collection agent. Note the number of localities served by each collection agent.

| Collection Agent | Collection Fee | Assessments | Collections | Collection Rate | Number of Localities* |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Cantor & Cantor | 23% | \$5,346,934.43 | \$1,655,477.38 | 30.96% | 1 |
| e-Recovery Solutions | 22% | \$391,616.94 | \$136,786.07 | 34.92% | 1 |
| Marvel Collections | 30% | \$6,160,523.38 | \$2,225,580.37 | 36.12% | 1 |
| Fines Management | 35% | \$640,230.94 | \$253,536.20 | 39.60% | 2 |
| Wallace Covington | 35% | \$3,996,185.15 | \$1,598,647.89 | 40.00% | 1 |
| City Attorney | 35% | \$2,485,291.00 | \$1,023,224.00 | 41.17% | 1 |
| Glasser & Glasser | 28-30% | \$6,524,523.28 | \$3,033,899.87 | 46.49% | 1 |
| In-House Programs | 30-35% | \$5,229,987.66 | \$2,439,895.96 | 46.65% | 6 |
| Huff, Poole & Mahoney | 30% | \$11,963,993.33 | \$6,690,939.88 | 55.92% | 4 |
| David S. Hudson | 32-35% | \$1,024,026.60 | \$629,523.05 | 61.47% | 5 |
| Quadros & Associates | 30% | \$2,935,779.81 | \$1,895,136.50 | 64.55% | 2 |
| City/County Treasurer | 20% | \$326,439.42 | \$215,403.45 | 66.00% | 1 |
| Roland W. Dodson | 30% | \$4,890,283.38 | \$3,443,962.68 | 70.38% | 1 |
| Department of Taxation | 17% | \$42,242,176.48 | \$30,837,741.48 | 73.00% | 102 |

*Some localities choose more than one collection method.

In FY05, collection fees ranged from 17 percent (Department of Taxation) to 35 percent (local attorneys, collection agency, in-house programs, and city attorney). The collection agent with the lowest collection fee, the Department of Taxation (and the largest group of clients - five out of six Commonwealth's Attorneys choose the Department of Taxation as their collection method), also has the best collection rate, 73 percent.

| | Collec | ction Fee | Collection | O allastian | O allastian | State / |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Locality | % | \$ | Cost Percentage | Collection Expenses | Collection Surplus | Locality Split |
| Botetourt | 35% | \$77,024 | 1.75% | \$3,854 | \$73,170 | \$36,585 |
| Floyd | 33% | \$14,138.80 | 6.30% | \$2,836.83 | \$11,301.97 | \$5,650.99 |
| Montgomery | 35% | \$143,840.00 | 0.84% | \$3,471.00 | \$140,369.00 | \$70,184.50 |
| Southampton / Franklin City | 30% | \$37,428.37 | 1.18% | \$4,738.33 | \$32, 690.04 | \$16,345.02 |
| Danville | 30% | \$232,097.27 | 9.75% | \$88,116.48 | \$143,980.79 | \$71,990.39 |
| Roanoke City | 35% | \$253,242.55 | 8.87% | \$65,259.83 | \$187,982.72 | \$93,991.36 |

In-House Programs for the Collection of Fines and Fees

The collection cost percentage is calculated by dividing expenses by gross collections. The In-House Program in Southampton/Franklin City is for the Circuit Court only.

Courts with Higher than Statewide Average Collection Rate

The statewide average collection rate for all courts was 67.8 percent in FY03; 75.2 percent in FY04; and 76.0 percent in FY05. The 19 Circuit Courts that exceeded the statewide average collection rate for the past three consecutive fiscal years are Brunswick, Caroline, Essex, Fairfax County, Greene, Greensville, King & Queen, King William, Loudoun, Madison, Middlesex, Prince George, Rappahannock, Roanoke County, Rockingham / Harrisonburg, Shenandoah, Sussex, Wythe, and Buena Vista.

Courts that exceeded the all-court statewide collection rate from the past three fiscal years are listed in the following table. All reporting courts in 11 localities have a higher than statewide average collection rate for all three fiscal years: Brunswick, Essex, Fairfax County, Greene, Greensville, Loudoun, Madison, Prince George, Rappahannock, Sussex, and Buena Vista. All reporting courts in 13 localities have a higher than statewide average collection rate for two out of the three fiscal years: Botetourt, Clarke, Culpeper, Highland, King George, King William, Roanoke County, Rockingham / Harrisonburg, Page, Powhatan, Shenandoah, Warren, and York.

| Locality | FY03 | | | | | FY04 | | | | FY05 | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| Locality | Circuit | District | J & DR | Combined | Circuit | District | J & DR | Combined | Circuit | District | J & DR | Combined | |
| Accomack | | | | | | | | | \checkmark | | | | |
| Albemarle | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | 1 | |
| Alleghany | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | |
| Amelia | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | |
| Amherst | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | |
| Appomattox | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | |
| Arlington | | √ | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | √ | ✓ | | |
| Augusta | | √ | | | | \checkmark | | | | √ | | | |
| Bath | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | |
| Bedford | | ✓ | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | | |
| Bland | \checkmark | | | | | | | ✓ | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | |
| Botetourt | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | | | ✓ | |
| Brunswick | √ | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | |
| Buchanan | | | | | | | | | | | | ✓ | |
| Buckingham | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | |
| Campbell | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | + | |
| Caroline | √ | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | + | |
| Carroll | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | ✓ | |
| Charles City County | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | |
| Charlotte | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | + | |
| Chesterfield | | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | + | |
| Clarke | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | √ | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | |
| Craig | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | |
| Culpeper | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | | | ✓ | |
| Cumberland | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | ✓ | |
| Dickenson | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | |
| Dinwiddie | | | | | | | | \checkmark | | | | ✓ | |
| Essex | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | |
| Fairfax County | ✓ | \checkmark | \checkmark | | √ | \checkmark | \checkmark | | ✓ | \checkmark | \checkmark | | |
| Fauquier | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · | | | ✓ | · · | · | | | · · · | · · · | 1 | |
| Floyd | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | - | | \checkmark | • | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | |
| Fluvanna | , | | | · | | | | · ✓ | | | | · · · | |
| Franklin County | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | √ | | | \checkmark | ✓ | + | |
| Frederick | √ | · · | \checkmark | + | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · ✓ | | | · · | · · | + | |
| Giles | · · | • | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | |
| Gloucester | | \checkmark | | , | • | \checkmark | <u> </u> | · · | | \checkmark | | + . | |

| Courts with Higher than S | tatewide A | verage C | ollection | Rate | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| Locality | | FY | ′03 | | | FY | ′ 04 | | FY05 | | | | |
| , | Circuit | District | J & DR | Combined | Circuit | District | J & DR | Combined | Circuit | District | J & DR | Combined | |
| Goochland | | | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | | | | √ | |
| Grayson / Galax | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | |
| Greene | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | |
| Greensville | \checkmark | | | ✓ | \checkmark | | | ✓ | \checkmark | | | ✓ | |
| Halifax | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | |
| Hanover | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | |
| Henrico | | \checkmark | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Henry | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | |
| Highland | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | √ | | | | ✓ | |
| Isle of Wight | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | \checkmark | | \checkmark | √ | \checkmark | | |
| James City Co / Williamsburg | | ✓ | | | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | | | ✓ | | | |
| King & Queen | \checkmark | ✓ | | | \checkmark | ✓ | | | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | | |
| King George | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | ✓ | | | | \checkmark | |
| King William | √ | ✓ | | | ✓ | ✓ | \checkmark | | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | | |
| Lancaster | | ✓ | | | | √ | \checkmark | | | ✓ | | | |
| Loudoun | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | | |
| Louisa | | | | \checkmark | | | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |
| Lunenburg | | | | \checkmark | | | | √ | | | | \checkmark | |
| Madison | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | ✓ | \checkmark | | | ✓ | |
| Mathews | √ | √ | | | | | | | \checkmark | | \checkmark | | |
| Mecklenburg | | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | |
| Middlesex | \checkmark | ✓ | | | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | | \checkmark | ✓ | | | |
| Montgomery | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | | | |
| Nelson | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | \checkmark | | |
| New Kent | \checkmark | ✓ | | | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | \checkmark | | |
| Northampton | | | | | \checkmark | | | | | \checkmark | | | |
| Northumberland | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | | |
| Nottoway | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |
| Orange | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | |
| Page | | ✓ | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | | |
| Patrick | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | |
| Pittsylvania | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | 1 | | \checkmark | \checkmark | 1 | |
| Powhatan | | 1 | | ✓ | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | |
| Prince Edward | | 1 | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |
| Prince George | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | |
| Prince William / Manassas / Manassas Park | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ | ~ | | | | ~ | | | |

| | | ΕV | ′ 03 | | | ΕV | ' 04 | | | ΕV | ′ 05 | |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| Locality | | • • | | | | • • | V 4 | 1 | | ••• | | 1 |
| | Circuit | District | J & DR | Combined | Circuit | District | J & DR | Combined | Circuit | District | J & DR | Combined |
| Pulaski | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | |
| Rappahannock | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark |
| Richmond County | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark |
| Roanoke County | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | ~ | | \checkmark | \checkmark | ✓ | |
| Rockbridge | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | √ |
| Rockingham / Harrisonburg | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| Russell | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark |
| Scott | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark |
| Shenandoah | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | |
| Smyth | | | | | | \checkmark | √ | | | \checkmark | | |
| Southampton | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | ✓ |
| Spotsylvania | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| Stafford | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | |
| Surry | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark |
| Sussex | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark |
| Tazewell | | | | | | \checkmark | | | | ✓ | ~ | |
| Warren | | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| Washington | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | |
| Westmoreland | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| Wise | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | | | | |
| Wythe | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | |
| York | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| Alexandria | | \checkmark | | | ~ | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| Bristol | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | | | |
| Buena Vista | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark |
| Charlottesville | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | |
| Chesapeake | | \checkmark | | | | | | | | | | |
| Colonial Heights | | | | | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark |
| Danville | | | | \checkmark | | | | | | | | |
| Emporia | 1 | | | 1 | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark |
| Fairfax City | | \checkmark | | ┼───┨ | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | |
| Falls Church | - | - | | ┼───┨ | | | | \checkmark | | | | √ |
| Franklin City | | | | \checkmark | | | | · · · | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Fredericksburg | | \checkmark | | - | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| Hampton | | ✓ ✓ | | ┦ | | • | | + | | | • | 1 |
| Hopewell | | • | | | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark |

| Courts with Higher than Sta | tewide A | verage Co | ollection | Rate | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| Locality | FY03 | | | | FY04 | | | | | FY | 05 | |
| | Circuit | District | J & DR | Combined | Circuit | District | J & DR | Combined | Circuit | District | J & DR | Combined |
| Lynchburg | | | | | | \checkmark | | | | √ | | |
| Martinsville | | \checkmark | | | | √ | | | | √ | | |
| Newport News | | | | | | | √ | | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Petersburg | | | | | | | | | \checkmark | | | |
| Radford | | | | | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark |
| Richmond City | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | | | |
| Roanoke City | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | |
| Salem | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark |
| Staunton | | | | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | |
| Suffolk | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | 1 |
| Virginia Beach | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | 1 |
| Waynesboro | | | | | | √ | | | | \checkmark | | 1 |
| Winchester | | | | | | ✓ | | | | \checkmark | | |
| TOTALS | 35 | 60 | 10 | 43 | 43 | 61 | 32 | 49 | 29 | 61 | 32 | 49 |

Average Number of Localities with Higher than Statewide Average Collection Rate



The three-year average number of localities with Circuit Courts that exceeded the statewide average collection rate is 36. The three-year average number of localities with General District Courts that exceeded the statewide average collection rate is 61. The three-year average number of localities with Juvenile & Domestic Relations Courts that exceeded the statewide average collection rate is 25. The three-year average number of localities with Combined Courts that exceeded the statewide average collection rate is 47.

PART A – FY05 FINES AND FEES ASSESSMENTS AND COLLECTIONS

Part A of this report details collection efforts of Court Clerks and Commonwealth's Attorneys. This section reports assessments, collections, and collection rates for each Court (Circuit, General District, Juvenile & Domestic Relations, and Combined General District / Juvenile & Domestic Relations Courts) by locality. The data include delinquent assessments sent to the Commonwealth's Attorneys, collections by the collection agent chosen by the Commonwealth's Attorneys, and collection rates.

The Supreme Court of Virginia compiles data for the Court Clerks (excluding Fairfax County, which sends their information directly to the Compensation Board, beginning in FY05). The collection rate of Court Clerks is calculated by dividing the fines and fees collected by the assessed dollars reported.

The Compensation Board collects data from each Commonwealth's Attorney for delinquent accounts. The net assessments for Commonwealth's Attorneys are the total (gross) delinquent fines, fees, costs, and penalties assessed for the fiscal year, minus any accounts that were manually removed by Court Clerks, and minus any accounts that were reported paid through the Department of Taxation's Debt Set-Off Program. Net collections for Commonwealth's Attorneys are the gross collections of fines, fees, costs, and penalties minus any fee for services by the collection agent. The collection rate of Commonwealth's Attorneys is calculated by dividing gross collections by the net assessments.

Part A - FY05 Fines and Fees Assessments and Collections

| | COURTS FINES A | ND FEES - Court Cle | erks | DELINQUENT FI | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attor | neys | |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 001 | | | | | | | | |
| ACCOMACK | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$382,470.53 | \$311,274.72 | 81% | \$139,931.32 | \$60,922.05 | \$50,565.30 | 44% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,692,566.47 | \$1,096,292.79 | 65% | \$236,506.80 | \$207,823.76 | \$172,493.72 | 88% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$68,746.73 | \$32,763.78 | 48% | \$42,194.54 | \$14,678.35 | \$12,183.03 | 35% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 003 | | | | | | | | |
| ALBEMARLE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$889,157.01 | \$335,426.60 | 38% | \$201,308.28 | \$87,452.58 | \$72,585.64 | 43% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,738,146.90 | \$1,564,340.16 | 90% | \$174,669.56 | \$178,508.17 | \$148,161.78 | 102% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$81,747.70 | \$72,922.95 | 89% | \$19,133.01 | \$16,861.29 | \$13,994.87 | 88% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES A | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | NES AND FEES - Con | mmonwealth's Attor | neys | |
|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 005 | | | | | | | | |
| ALLEGHANY | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$406,433.08 | \$278,616.80 | 69% | \$160,220.13 | \$45,880.58 | \$38,080.88 | 29% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$1,136,206.80 | \$996,373.93 | 88% | \$155,907.59 | \$129,410.82 | \$107,410.98 | 83% | Taxation |
| 007 | | | | | | | | |
| AMELIA | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$159,861.47 | \$98,590.15 | 62% | \$70,064.56 | \$31,085.88 | \$25,801.28 | 44% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$445,547.10 | \$406,304.82 | 91% | \$75,943.14 | \$81,255.05 | \$67,441.69 | 107% | Taxation |
| 009 | | | | | | | | |
| AMHERST | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$519,044.56 | \$351,652.17 | 68% | \$139,544.63 | \$43,477.64 | \$36,086.44 | 31% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,102,717.00 | \$977,136.68 | 89% | \$144,028.71 | \$139,302.82 | \$115,621.34 | 97% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$105,686.78 | \$79,548.75 | 75% | \$56,250.06 | \$27,084.23 | \$22,479.91 | 48% | Taxation |
| | | | | | | | | |

COMBINED

| | COURTS FINES A | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attor | neys | |
|--------------|----------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 011 | | | | | | | | |
| APPOMATTOX | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$290,242.52 | \$101,686.65 | 35% | \$46,676.30 | \$17,934.70 | \$14,885.80 | 38% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$517,194.86 | \$444,219.67 | 86% | \$74,390.06 | \$50,373.70 | \$41,810.17 | 68% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$23,999.27 | \$20,036.76 | 84% | \$6,764.43 | \$5,731.82 | \$4,757.41 | 85% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 013 | | | | | | | | |
| ARLINGTON | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$3,593,104.23 | \$2,191,879.93 | 61% | \$1,072,672.14 | \$487,479.29 | \$404,607.81 | 45% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$8,668,580.18 | \$7,581,351.08 | 88% | \$623,833.28 | \$858,890.52 | \$712,879.13 | 138% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$128,447.53 | \$113,534.32 | 88% | \$24,075.58 | \$27,248.52 | \$22,616.27 | 113% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 015 | | | | | | | | |
| AUGUSTA | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$605,170.10 | \$419,457.65 | 69% | \$341,461.79 | \$49,233.76 | \$40,864.02 | 14% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,585,350.18 | \$1,424,507.75 | 90% | \$244,685.41 | \$209,033.76 | \$173,498.02 | 85% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$125,486.11 | \$88,456.74 | 71% | \$55,339.57 | \$25,819.29 | \$21,430.01 | 47% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |

A 3

| | COURTS FINES A | ND FEES - Court Cl | erks | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attori | ieys | |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 017 | | | | | | | | |
| BATH | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$22,674.93 | \$22,756.65 | 100% | \$6,888.01 | \$7,092.11 | \$5,886.45 | 103% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$140,880.14 | \$132,594.47 | 94% | \$7,236.68 | \$6,547.41 | \$5,434.35 | 90% | Taxation |
| 019 | | | | | | | | |
| BEDFORD | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$1,214,253.38 | \$499,985.12 | 41% | \$258,794.63 | \$109,716.64 | \$91,064.81 | 42% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,359,399.71 | \$1,171,111.58 | 86% | \$101,025.70 | \$137,386.47 | \$114,030.77 | 136% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$127,284.55 | \$89,933.55 | 71% | \$37,655.01 | \$23,326.94 | \$19,361.36 | 62% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 021 | | | | | | | | |
| BLAND | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$358,923.65 | \$342,331.98 | 95% | \$19,593.04 | \$5,151.88 | \$4,276.06 | 26% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$1,501,601.30 | \$1,279,795.87 | 85% | \$205,995.81 | \$67,232.47 | \$55,802.95 | 33% | Taxation |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Co | nmonwealth's Attori | neys | |
|--------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 023 | | | | | | | | |
| BOTETOURT | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$491,112.22 | \$342,634.70 | 70% | \$105,353.00 | \$72,377.00 | \$47,045.00 | 69% | In-House Program |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$1,840,878.59 | \$1,596,847.50 | 87% | \$350,165.00 | \$147,691.00 | \$95,999.00 | 42% | In-House Program |
| 025 | | | | | | | | |
| BRUNSWICK | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$646,599.34 | \$500,426.55 | 77% | \$171,777.03 | \$39,967.70 | \$33,173.19 | 23% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$2,085,079.43 | \$1,767,046.95 | 85% | \$383,030.62 | \$267,689.00 | \$222,181.87 | 70% | Taxation |
| 027 | | | | | | | | |
| BUCHANAN | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$308,326.63 | \$134,477.07 | 44% | \$224,177.66 | \$87,169.41 | \$72,350.61 | 39% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$529,568.68 | \$429,620.99 | 81% | \$104,698.31 | \$112,795.35 | \$93,620.14 | 108% | Taxation |

| | COURTS FINES A | ND FEES - Court Cle | erks | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Cor | nmonwealth's Attori | neys | |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 029 | | | | | | | | |
| BUCKINGHAM | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$204,010.74 | \$60,158.17 | 30% | \$32,078.54 | \$23,883.23 | \$19,823.08 | 74% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$454,597.35 | \$386,029.57 | 85% | \$90,913.45 | \$58,325.70 | \$48,410.33 | 64% | Taxation |
| 031 | | | | | | | | |
| CAMPBELL | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$740,867.62 | \$330,444.17 | 45% | \$431,599.77 | \$116,362.88 | \$96,581.19 | 27% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,064,192.12 | \$912,693.71 | 86% | \$87,817.05 | \$111,597.47 | \$92,625.90 | 127% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$110,296.60 | \$79,943.53 | 73% | \$20,641.10 | \$22,223.05 | \$18,445.13 | 108% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 033 | | | | | | | | |
| CAROLINE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$674,646.14 | \$573,288.24 | 85% | \$78,034.61 | \$46,702.82 | \$38,763.34 | 60% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$2,001,945.47 | \$1,725,187.77 | 86% | \$243,093.08 | \$229,716.64 | \$190,664.81 | 94% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$54,299.90 | \$49,362.39 | 91% | \$14,881.35 | \$17,244.23 | \$14,312.71 | 116% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES A | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attorn | ieys | |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 035 | | | | | | | | |
| CARROLL | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$442,234.55 | \$193,908.25 | 44% | \$118,367.65 | \$33,459.18 | \$27,032.63 | 28% | E-Recovery Solution |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$1,265,246.40 | \$1,033,398.92 | 82% | \$273,259.29 | \$103,326.89 | \$83,058.90 | 38% | E-Recovery Solution |
| 036 | | | | | | | | |
| CHARLES CITY O | COUNTY | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$105,172.81 | \$79,099.09 | 75% | \$29,521.76 | \$16,387.58 | \$13,601.69 | 56% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$205,613.02 | \$197,105.32 | 96% | \$23,816.70 | \$32,564.94 | \$27,028.90 | 137% | Taxation |
| 037 | | | | | | | | |
| CHARLOTTE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$333,355.73 | \$190,319.23 | 57% | \$171,689.23 | \$18,582.76 | \$15,423.69 | 11% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$584,877.46 | \$522,447.04 | 89% | \$66,517.19 | \$54,262.11 | \$45,037.55 | 82% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$16,554.52 | \$14,190.93 | 86% | \$5,097.62 | \$3,564.64 | \$2,958.65 | 70% | Taxation |
| | | | | | | | | |

COMBINED

| | COURTS FINES A | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attor | neys | |
|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 041 | | | | | | | | |
| CHESTERFIELD | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$4,293,534.72 | \$3,001,503.09 | 70% | \$1,898,719.50 | \$704,866.82 | \$585,039.46 | 37% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$7,434,729.06 | \$5,411,667.73 | 73% | \$2,111,749.79 | \$1,126,300.23 | \$788,681.47 | 53% | Huff, Poole & Mahoney |
| J & DR | \$447,478.85 | \$358,775.42 | 80% | \$164,709.14 | \$104,131.94 | \$86,429.51 | 63% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 043 | | | | | | | | |
| CLARKE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$379,687.02 | \$231,834.66 | 61% | \$24,491.00 | \$15,840.47 | \$13,147.59 | 65% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$636,915.60 | \$599,971.93 | 94% | \$60,336.39 | \$52,749.52 | \$43,782.10 | 87% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$27,219.22 | \$21,934.42 | 81% | \$4,507.43 | \$2,113.17 | \$1,753.93 | 47% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 045 | | | | | | | | |
| CRAIG | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$42,930.71 | \$23,241.36 | 54% | \$12,762.96 | \$4,335.76 | \$3,598.68 | 34% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$95,028.20 | \$89,016.03 | 94% | \$18,532.83 | \$12,899.23 | \$10,706.36 | 70% | Taxation |

| | COURTS FINES A | ND FEES - Court Cle | erks | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Cor | nmonwealth's Attorn | ieys | |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 047 | | | | | | | | |
| CULPEPER | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$527,494.29 | \$384,104.98 | 73% | \$98,130.02 | \$38,591.88 | \$32,031.26 | 39% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$1,698,505.81 | \$1,505,649.67 | 89% | \$187,045.93 | \$182,250.94 | \$151,268.28 | 97% | Taxation |
| 049 | | | | | | | | |
| CUMBERLAND | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$142,765.14 | \$68,351.01 | 48% | \$33,487.15 | \$13,556.76 | \$11,252.11 | 40% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$393,823.42 | \$360,779.16 | 92% | \$56,104.34 | \$41,835.64 | \$34,723.58 | 75% | Taxation |
| 051 | | | | | | | | |
| DICKENSON | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$161,617.15 | \$98,838.21 | 61% | \$62,342.50 | \$32,969.58 | \$27,364.75 | 53% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$404,529.33 | \$360,028.79 | 89% | \$94,737.58 | \$56,440.11 | \$46,845.29 | 60% | Taxation |

| | COURTS FINES A | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attorn | neys | |
|-----------------|----------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 053 | | | | | | | | |
| DINWIDDIE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$466,931.79 | \$300,916.08 | 64% | \$172,442.69 | \$71,150.52 | \$59,054.93 | 41% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$1,811,078.46 | \$1,444,883.57 | 80% | \$331,632.62 | \$235,533.64 | \$195,492.92 | 71% | Taxation |
| 057 | | | | | | | | |
| ESSEX | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$252,246.14 | \$203,025.91 | 81% | \$50,734.63 | \$12,881.93 | \$9,017.34 | 25% | Huff, Poole & Mahon |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$1,200,151.50 | \$1,041,808.12 | 87% | \$154,929.04 | \$79,448.95 | \$55,648.53 | 51% | Huff, Poole & Mahor |
| 059 | | | | | | | | |
| FAIRFAX CO / FA | IRFAX CITY | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$14,768,068.35 | \$12,950,478.20 | 88% | \$111,371.37 | \$281,376.70 | \$233,542.66 | 253% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$33,928,667.99 | \$30,322,606.81 | 89% | \$1,886,426.25 | \$3,189,350.29 | \$2,647,160.74 | 169% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$874,257.28 | \$707,034.95 | 81% | \$100,308.17 | \$99,256.23 | \$82,382.67 | 99% | Taxation |
| | | | | | | | | |

COMBINED

| | COURTS FINES A | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | NES AND FEES - Cor | mmonwealth's Attori | neys | |
|--------------|----------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 061 | | | | | | | | |
| FAUQUIER | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$1,153,220.79 | \$833,740.10 | 72% | \$171,276.84 | \$120,318.29 | \$99,864.18 | 70% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$2,896,703.14 | \$2,703,130.03 | 93% | \$201,938.42 | \$255,717.52 | \$212,245.54 | 127% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$102,274.30 | \$79,289.83 | 78% | \$28,476.50 | \$16,264.76 | \$13,499.75 | 57% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 063 | | | | | | | | |
| FLOYD | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$115,899.51 | \$70,158.09 | 61% | \$70,223.19 | \$30,028.93 | \$20,775.31 | 43% | In-House Program |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$196,117.28 | \$168,841.71 | 86% | \$62,488.15 | \$14,975.57 | \$10,090.39 | 24% | In-House Program |
| 065 | | | | | | | | |
| FLUVANNA | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$142,497.93 | \$88,253.33 | 62% | \$39,024.27 | \$13,061.23 | \$10,840.82 | 33% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$527,953.66 | \$483,429.26 | 92% | \$52,291.47 | \$51,946.64 | \$43,115.71 | 99% | Taxation |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Co | nmonwealth's Attori | ieys | |
|----------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 067 | | | | | | | | |
| FRANKLIN COUNT | Y | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$638,424.26 | \$357,253.75 | 56% | \$308,884.09 | \$133,276.00 | \$110,619.08 | 43% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,159,529.71 | \$1,010,182.52 | 87% | \$179,085.59 | \$169,587.41 | \$140,757.55 | 95% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$64,990.28 | \$51,965.10 | 80% | \$23,268.77 | \$13,708.76 | \$11,378.27 | 59% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 069 | | | | | | | | |
| FREDERICK | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$999,440.32 | \$699,117.82 | 70% | \$415,364.60 | \$172,090.47 | \$142,835.09 | 41% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$2,125,006.34 | \$1,906,667.31 | 90% | \$99,837.57 | \$251,226.52 | \$208,518.01 | 252% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$107,428.11 | \$88,243.66 | 82% | \$22,041.95 | \$12,913.05 | \$10,717.83 | 59% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 071 | | | | | | | | |
| GILES | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$448,124.53 | \$259,631.67 | 58% | \$193,869.82 | \$55,362.64 | \$45,950.99 | 29% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$1,006,626.25 | \$876,767.10 | 87% | \$115,489.94 | \$93,472.29 | \$77,582.00 | 81% | Taxation |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FINES AND FEES - Commonwealth's Attorneys | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 073 | | | | | | | | |
| GLOUCESTER | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$437,359.64 | \$179,730.53 | 41% | \$214,621.71 | \$114,044.80 | \$76,350.78 | 53% | David S. Hudson |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,003,559.36 | \$822,393.87 | 82% | \$219,794.27 | \$141,989.71 | \$98,771.16 | 65% | David S. Hudson |
| J & DR | \$63,932.38 | \$40,701.28 | 64% | \$28,121.79 | \$16,187.82 | \$10,522.07 | 58% | David S. Hudson |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 075 | | | | | | | | |
| GOOCHLAND | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$233,211.38 | \$113,327.89 | 49% | \$67,242.97 | \$33,353.88 | \$27,683.72 | 50% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$913,798.32 | \$823,888.56 | 90% | \$50,082.78 | \$83,894.88 | \$69,632.75 | 168% | Taxation |
| 077 | | | | | | | | |
| GRAYSON | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$622,604.97 | \$189,953.79 | 31% | \$335,641.17 | \$21,816.58 | \$18,107.76 | 6% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$296,663.14 | \$230,657.16 | 78% | \$78,077.89 | \$53,021.29 | \$44,007.67 | 68% | Taxation |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FINES AND FEES - Commonwealth's Attorneys | | | | |
|----------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 079 | | | | | | | | |
| GREENE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$222,111.81 | \$175,710.37 | 79% | \$35,296.31 | \$21,512.00 | \$17,854.96 | 61% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$581,814.30 | \$551,244.79 | 95% | \$77,569.70 | \$69,550.29 | \$57,726.74 | 90% | Taxation |
| 081 | | | | | | | | |
| GREENSVILLE C | O / EMPORIA | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$2,039,444.24 | \$1,864,565.97 | 91% | \$142,976.82 | \$64,520.76 | \$53,552.23 | 45% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$3,269,481.72 | \$2,846,662.88 | 87% | \$630,578.43 | \$278,536.88 | \$231,185.61 | 44% | Taxation |
| 083 | | | | | | | | |
| HALIFAX | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$409,216.40 | \$252,979.88 | 62% | \$281,189.23 | \$90,289.29 | \$74,940.11 | 32% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,037,103.32 | \$883,668.10 | 85% | \$148,958.15 | \$158,224.76 | \$131,326.55 | 106% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$47,886.91 | \$34,351.08 | 72% | \$19,338.95 | \$7,919.17 | \$6,572.91 | 41% | Taxation |
| | | | | | | | | |

COMBINED

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FINES AND FEES - Commonwealth's Attorneys | | | | |
|--------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 085 | | | | | | | | |
| HANOVER | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$1,759,921.38 | \$1,228,948.98 | 70% | \$587,299.92 | \$240,313.00 | \$199,459.79 | 41% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$3,500,265.68 | \$2,933,661.43 | 84% | \$470,930.07 | \$462,948.82 | \$384,247.52 | 98% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$139,380.65 | \$130,783.04 | 94% | \$17,486.75 | \$15,821.64 | \$13,131.96 | 90% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 087 | | | | | | | | |
| HENRICO | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$5,538,528.36 | \$3,263,729.15 | 59% | \$2,869,792.79 | \$370,850.05 | \$285,563.90 | 13% | Cantor & Cantor |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$8,678,820.24 | \$6,301,646.70 | 73% | \$2,286,193.17 | \$1,214,075.65 | \$934,837.77 | 53% | Cantor & Cantor |
| J & DR | \$425,924.53 | \$229,849.05 | 54% | \$190,948.46 | \$70,551.68 | \$54,324.85 | 37% | Cantor & Cantor |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 089 | | | | | | | | |
| HENRY | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$627,796.05 | \$429,554.48 | 68% | \$302,500.99 | \$189,937.88 | \$157,648.44 | 63% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,137,375.75 | \$958,809.87 | 84% | \$176,058.32 | \$171,829.00 | \$142,618.07 | 98% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$115,626.29 | \$79,377.85 | 69% | \$23,966.89 | \$26,111.88 | \$21,672.86 | 109% | Taxation |
| COMPRIED | | | | | | | | |

COMBINED

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FINES AND FEES - Commonwealth's Attorneys | | | | |
|-------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 091 | | | | | | | | |
| HIGHLAND | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$10,795.99 | \$7,455.42 | 69% | \$652.00 | \$2,218.11 | \$1,841.03 | 340% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$76,555.77 | \$76,105.81 | 99% | \$5,606.89 | \$6,897.52 | \$5,724.94 | 123% | Taxation |
| 093 | | | | | | | | |
| ISLE OF WIGHT | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$413,352.91 | \$315,861.33 | 76% | \$115,517.63 | \$80,418.29 | \$66,747.18 | 70% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,063,215.45 | \$971,498.33 | 91% | \$196,071.27 | \$172,330.17 | \$143,034.04 | 88% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$43,899.78 | \$36,882.94 | 84% | \$11,770.60 | \$10,818.52 | \$8,979.37 | 92% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 095 | | | | | | | | |
| JAMES CITY CO / V | WILLIAMSBURG | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$1,226,594.91 | \$830,819.53 | 68% | \$408,114.83 | \$189,911.41 | \$157,626.47 | 47% | David S. Hudson |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$2,048,287.03 | \$1,836,481.74 | 90% | \$311,648.14 | \$251,076.47 | \$208,393.47 | 81% | David S. Hudson |
| J & DR | \$134,748.21 | \$101,040.85 | 75% | \$48,776.44 | \$32,338.23 | \$26,840.73 | 66% | David S. Hudson |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| | COURTS FINES A | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attori | neys | |
|--------------|----------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 097 | | | | | | | | |
| KING & QUEEN | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$210,681.30 | \$189,816.99 | 90% | \$34,802.37 | \$13,033.29 | \$10,817.63 | 37% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$547,780.00 | \$491,913.62 | 90% | \$77,461.38 | \$64,958.58 | \$53,915.62 | 84% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$16,592.38 | \$14,825.24 | 89% | \$1,725.95 | \$5,249.35 | \$4,356.96 | 304% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 099 | | | | | | | | |
| KING GEORGE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$592,479.69 | \$438,714.33 | 74% | \$135,423.76 | \$44,428.70 | \$36,875.82 | 33% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$1,143,265.59 | \$1,047,672.43 | 92% | \$142,273.81 | \$124,596.70 | \$103,415.26 | 88% | Taxation |
| 101 | | | | | | | | |
| KING WILLIAM | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$301,202.84 | \$236,508.86 | 79% | \$57,907.03 | \$20,133.64 | \$16,710.92 | 35% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$522,690.36 | \$503,762.60 | 96% | \$61,053.79 | \$76,053.64 | \$63,124.52 | 125% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$26,227.79 | \$22,983.90 | 88% | \$2,660.33 | \$7,261.11 | \$6,026.72 | 273% | Taxation |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES A | ND FEES - Court Cl | erks | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Con | mmonwealth's Attori | neys | |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 103 | | | | | | | | |
| LANCASTER | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$192,546.40 | \$131,593.79 | 68% | \$93,844.66 | \$60,390.58 | \$50,124.18 | 64% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$313,233.22 | \$303,777.26 | 97% | \$30,702.53 | \$47,218.05 | \$39,190.98 | 154% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$19,009.93 | \$12,165.79 | 64% | \$6,672.62 | \$3,401.58 | \$2,823.31 | 51% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 105 | | | | | | | | |
| LEE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$718,133.06 | \$233,343.52 | 33% | \$560,096.01 | \$97,202.41 | \$80,678.00 | 17% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$625,612.97 | \$442,684.09 | 71% | \$144,304.85 | \$74,364.23 | \$61,722.31 | 52% | Taxation |
| 107 | | | | | | | | |
| LOUDOUN | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$2,783,992.42 | \$2,489,735.11 | 89% | \$263,814.78 | \$113,416.94 | \$94,136.06 | 43% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$6,705,233.33 | \$6,362,137.76 | 95% | \$549,167.31 | \$509,267.00 | \$422,691.61 | 93% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$163,610.26 | \$145,500.76 | 89% | \$43,287.16 | \$26,100.88 | \$21,663.73 | 60% | Taxation |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Cor | nmonwealth's Attori | ieys | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 109 | | | | | | | | |
| LOUISA | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$366,888.32 | \$272,092.33 | 74% | \$63,288.44 | \$36,592.05 | \$30,371.40 | 58% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$1,054,477.48 | \$947,894.36 | 90% | \$96,066.69 | \$145,005.70 | \$120,354.73 | 151% | Taxation |
| 111 | | | | | | | | |
| LUNENBURG | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$126,523.37 | \$93,074.41 | 74% | \$67,917.86 | \$43,456.64 | \$36,069.01 | 64% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$320,726.39 | \$271,612.65 | 85% | \$83,456.28 | \$56,246.88 | \$46,684.91 | 67% | Taxation |
| 113 | | | | | | | | |
| MADISON | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$440,609.95 | \$414,026.23 | 94% | \$11,378.69 | \$8,143.52 | \$6,759.12 | 72% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$1,209,909.46 | \$1,156,387.86 | 96% | \$46,226.87 | \$80,508.64 | \$66,822.17 | 174% | Taxation |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attor | neys | |
|--------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 115 | | | | | | | | |
| MATHEWS | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$61,061.49 | \$56,451.91 | 93% | \$24,234.88 | \$17,439.47 | \$14,474.76 | 72% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$87,429.57 | \$63,082.89 | 72% | \$25,499.17 | \$22,059.81 | \$14,454.48 | 87% | David S. Hudson |
| J & DR | \$14,955.72 | \$14,866.57 | 99% | \$5,699.85 | \$6,560.70 | \$5,445.38 | 115% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 117 | | | | | | | | |
| MECKLENBURG | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$981,986.49 | \$818,781.07 | 83% | \$224,679.30 | \$109,590.23 | \$90,959.89 | 49% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$2,798,743.64 | \$2,520,715.25 | 90% | \$289,112.78 | \$331,020.76 | \$274,747.23 | 114% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$50,844.50 | \$35,043.24 | 69% | \$18,526.54 | \$8,618.64 | \$7,153.47 | 47% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 119 | | | | | | | | |
| MIDDLESEX | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$164,360.15 | \$149,972.22 | 91% | \$28,549.94 | \$23,337.64 | \$19,370.24 | 82% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$500,065.55 | \$435,100.39 | 87% | \$83,640.13 | \$45,176.63 | \$29,687.19 | 54% | David S. Hudson |
| J & DR | \$18,377.89 | \$13,892.30 | 76% | \$5,698.82 | \$6,078.41 | \$5,045.08 | 107% | Taxation |
| COMPRISE | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES A | ND FEES - Court Cl | erks | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attor | neys | |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 121 | | | | | | | | |
| MONTGOMERY | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$1,014,040.05 | \$630,454.52 | 62% | \$510,015.00 | \$145,293.00 | \$94,440.00 | 28% | In-House Program |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$3,307,550.41 | \$2,867,454.84 | 87% | \$580,592.00 | \$254,567.00 | \$165,469.00 | 44% | In-House Program |
| J & DR | \$110,430.30 | \$64,329.73 | 58% | \$45,834.00 | \$11,107.00 | \$7,218.00 | 24% | In-House Program |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 125 | | | | | | | | |
| NELSON | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$155,428.31 | \$55,798.41 | 36% | \$59,342.39 | \$24,174.76 | \$20,065.05 | 41% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$571,161.15 | \$490,639.18 | 86% | \$81,906.18 | \$53,477.82 | \$44,386.59 | 65% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$35,615.28 | \$32,276.20 | 91% | \$14,758.73 | \$11,366.70 | \$9,434.36 | 77% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 127 | | | | | | | | |
| NEW KENT | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$358,270.52 | \$207,622.83 | 58% | \$60,101.33 | \$48,965.94 | \$32,610.82 | 81% | David S. Hudson |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,603,357.26 | \$1,243,802.84 | 78% | \$382,971.71 | \$228,871.39 | \$155,428.42 | 60% | David S. Hudson |
| J & DR | \$34,562.99 | \$28,000.59 | 81% | \$9,276.49 | \$2,977.00 | \$1,979.37 | 32% | David S. Hudson |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Coi | mmonwealth's Attorn | ieys | |
|---------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 131 | | | | | | | | |
| NORTHAMPTON | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$336,953.30 | \$248,482.65 | 74% | \$107,646.33 | \$60,841.70 | \$50,498.61 | 57% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,617,364.15 | \$1,264,042.24 | 78% | \$258,961.11 | \$211,026.41 | \$175,151.92 | 81% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$34,889.25 | \$19,240.16 | 55% | \$11,394.06 | \$11,049.82 | \$9,171.35 | 97% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 133 | | | | | | | | |
| NORTHUMBERLAN | D | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$138,664.02 | \$85,181.94 | 61% | \$67,338.48 | \$32,031.29 | \$26,585.97 | 48% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$216,194.57 | \$193,397.25 | 90% | \$41,429.83 | \$30,829.76 | \$25,588.70 | 74% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$18,591.98 | \$13,958.60 | 75% | \$6,573.13 | \$2,708.11 | \$2,247.73 | 41% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 135 | | | | | | | | |
| NOTTOWAY | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$221,849.67 | \$138,726.35 | 63% | \$96,312.05 | \$55,826.94 | \$46,336.36 | 58% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$662,162.80 | \$548,210.31 | 83% | \$138,931.43 | \$121,761.52 | \$101,062.06 | 88% | Taxation |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Cor | nmonwealth's Attorr | ieys | |
|--------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 137 | | | | | | | | |
| ORANGE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$403,591.24 | \$284,229.06 | 70% | \$41,851.11 | \$32,076.70 | \$26,623.66 | 77% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$888,931.73 | \$796,428.62 | 90% | \$86,082.51 | \$105,460.23 | \$87,531.99 | 123% | Taxation |
| 139 | | | | | | | | |
| PAGE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$352,142.36 | \$273,474.71 | 78% | \$99,717.67 | \$39,975.82 | \$33,179.93 | 40% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$704,525.80 | \$675,200.75 | 96% | \$87,873.63 | \$89,604.82 | \$74,372.00 | 102% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$56,494.55 | \$47,004.32 | 83% | \$11,437.86 | \$13,564.52 | \$11,258.55 | 119% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 141 | | | | | | | | |
| PATRICK | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$235,969.87 | \$133,684.34 | 57% | \$146,831.76 | \$73,307.76 | \$60,845.44 | 50% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$277,556.69 | \$241,169.60 | 87% | \$58,454.78 | \$36,545.52 | \$30,332.78 | 63% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$26,458.58 | \$21,206.52 | 80% | \$7,099.00 | \$6,068.29 | \$5,036.68 | 85% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Cor | mmonwealth's Attorn | neys | |
|---------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 143 | | | | | | | | |
| PITTSYLVANIA | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$880,064.91 | \$292,827.45 | 33% | \$435,674.90 | \$91,130.82 | \$75,638.58 | 21% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,350,753.84 | \$1,229,670.58 | 91% | \$146,285.00 | \$148,077.47 | \$122,904.30 | 101% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$76,594.59 | \$66,216.71 | 87% | \$16,698.52 | \$15,815.94 | \$13,127.23 | 95% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 145 | | | | | | | | |
| POWHATAN | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$84,894.18 | \$64,648.94 | 76% | \$41,323.58 | \$34,503.29 | \$28,637.73 | 83% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$637,168.57 | \$551,078.06 | 87% | \$88,273.05 | \$45,393.52 | \$37,676.62 | 51% | Taxation |
| 147 | | | | | | | | |
| PRINCE EDWARD | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$683,520.23 | \$342,451.91 | 50% | \$245,015.42 | \$51,278.35 | \$42,561.03 | 21% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$1,122,301.83 | \$968,019.48 | 86% | \$242,639.71 | \$175,373.29 | \$145,559.83 | 72% | Taxation |

| | COURTS FINES A | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attor | neys | |
|----------------|----------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 149 | | | | | | | | |
| PRINCE GEORGE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$605,120.89 | \$503,414.52 | 83% | \$90,390.32 | \$40,823.94 | \$33,883.87 | 45% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$2,072,062.49 | \$1,812,247.54 | 88% | \$406,796.91 | \$258,908.64 | \$214,894.17 | 64% | Taxation |
| 153 | | | | | | | | |
| PRINCE WILLIAM | I CO / MANASSAS / | MANASSAS PA | ARK | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$6,389,670.35 | \$4,133,018.93 | 65% | \$1,187,329.80 | \$262,243.66 | \$205,701.07 | 22% | Wallace Covington |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$11,318,522.71 | \$9,612,334.13 | 85% | \$2,601,309.69 | \$1,259,394.37 | \$950,281.87 | 48% | Wallace Covington |
| J & DR | \$569,495.56 | \$385,818.03 | 68% | \$207,540.66 | \$77,009.86 | \$57,941.98 | 37% | Wallace Covington |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 155 | | | | | | | | |
| PULASKI | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$810,919.20 | \$348,263.94 | 43% | \$445,412.62 | \$156,405.00 | \$129,816.15 | 35% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,589,489.05 | \$1,319,556.15 | 83% | \$207,901.01 | \$205,778.58 | \$170,796.22 | 99% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$104,099.42 | \$66,099.25 | 64% | \$17,674.59 | \$21,681.41 | \$17,995.57 | 123% | Taxation |
| COMPRIED | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES A | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attor | neys | |
|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 157 | | | | | | | | |
| RAPPAHANNOCH | K | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$71,108.21 | \$59,747.25 | 84% | \$10,496.98 | \$4,041.82 | \$3,354.71 | 39% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$282,200.96 | \$266,853.05 | 95% | \$17,307.70 | \$22,325.41 | \$18,530.09 | 129% | Taxation |
| 159 | | | | | | | | |
| RICHMOND COU | NTY | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$291,511.87 | \$97,465.73 | 33% | \$43,409.09 | \$13,794.11 | \$11,449.11 | 32% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$280,039.46 | \$269,935.69 | 96% | \$35,687.97 | \$29,492.88 | \$24,479.09 | 83% | Taxation |
| 161 | | | | | | | | |
| ROANOKE COUN | ITY | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$1,501,310.64 | \$1,233,737.19 | 82% | \$220,217.16 | \$129,531.70 | \$107,511.31 | 59% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$2,682,867.86 | \$2,329,144.83 | 87% | \$419,949.83 | \$353,012.52 | \$293,000.39 | 84% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$135,921.58 | \$117,246.81 | 86% | \$30,243.50 | \$25,810.76 | \$21,422.93 | 85% | Taxation |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Cor | nmonwealth's Attorr | ieys | |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 163 | | | | | | | | |
| ROCKBRIDGE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$553,058.34 | \$361,662.83 | 65% | \$116,805.02 | \$106,115.05 | \$88,075.49 | 91% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$1,813,313.78 | \$1,635,316.30 | 90% | \$229,647.45 | \$145,014.52 | \$120,362.05 | 63% | Taxation |
| 165 | | | | | | | | |
| ROCKINGHAM CO | / HARRISONBUR | 3 | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$1,903,608.67 | \$1,456,769.46 | 77% | \$414,350.96 | \$240,780.82 | \$199,848.08 | 58% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$3,497,537.64 | \$3,024,518.22 | 87% | \$570,639.48 | \$474,092.23 | \$393,496.55 | 83% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$205,051.49 | \$164,152.56 | 80% | \$46,030.76 | \$41,167.29 | \$34,165.45 | 89% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 167 | | | | | | | | |
| RUSSELL | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$280,592.36 | \$146,979.38 | 52% | \$175,235.22 | \$60,462.35 | \$50,183.75 | 35% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$574,034.70 | \$544,708.23 | 95% | \$19,170.59 | \$94,249.23 | \$78,226.86 | 492% | Taxation |

| | COURTS FINES A | ND FEES - Court Cl | erks | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attorn | neys | |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 169 | | | | | | | | |
| SCOTT | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$259,027.15 | \$138,501.11 | 54% | \$42,744.30 | \$25,347.76 | \$17,201.10 | 59% | Fines Management |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$777,442.78 | \$616,297.69 | 79% | \$38,137.07 | \$13,795.20 | \$9,327.05 | 36% | Fines Management |
| 171 | | | | | | | | |
| SHENANDOAH | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$443,482.67 | \$348,251.75 | 79% | \$155,204.78 | \$76,736.41 | \$63,691.22 | 49% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,978,683.58 | \$1,747,070.04 | 88% | | | | | |
| J & DR | \$49,490.34 | \$34,487.90 | 70% | | | | | |
| COMBINED | | | | \$230,308.05 | \$225,253.11 | \$186,960.08 | 98% | Taxation |
| 173 | | | | | | | | |
| SMYTH | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$558,309.31 | \$230,933.52 | 41% | \$124,122.11 | \$93,040.11 | \$77,223.29 | 75% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,165,367.07 | \$971,011.21 | 83% | \$236,118.19 | \$160,441.47 | \$133,166.42 | 68% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$46,808.17 | \$32,910.99 | 70% | \$9,746.77 | \$8,906.23 | \$7,392.17 | 91% | Taxation |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Co | nmonwealth's Attori | neys | |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 175 | | | | | | | | |
| SOUTHAMPTON C | O / FRANKLIN CIT | Ϋ́ | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$599,331.28 | \$410,293.04 | 69% | \$321,362.86 | \$124,761.23 | \$87,332.86 | 39% | In-House Program |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$2,007,217.72 | \$1,804,412.68 | 90% | \$314,030.06 | \$274,282.76 | \$227,654.69 | 87% | Taxation |
| 177 | | | | | | | | |
| SPOTSYLVANIA | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$1,136,865.09 | \$604,379.43 | 53% | \$478,910.72 | \$243,331.64 | \$201,965.26 | 51% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$3,141,927.46 | \$2,754,890.68 | 88% | \$490,757.31 | \$489,578.64 | \$406,350.27 | 100% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$215,823.60 | \$176,718.89 | 82% | \$38,185.93 | \$37,719.52 | \$31,307.20 | 99% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 179 | | | | | | | | |
| STAFFORD | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$3,041,999.86 | \$1,496,548.40 | 49% | \$1,061,156.48 | \$253,799.11 | \$210,653.26 | 24% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$3,405,771.57 | \$3,012,731.29 | 89% | \$540,267.28 | \$517,454.05 | \$429,486.86 | 96% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$306,770.23 | \$192,785.09 | 63% | \$71,848.10 | \$44,857.76 | \$37,231.94 | 62% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attorn | ieys | |
|--------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 181 | | | | | | | | |
| SURRY | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$26,934.11 | \$17,750.47 | 66% | (\$9,492.11) | \$9,963.52 | \$8,269.72 | - | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$213,968.28 | \$190,286.30 | 89% | \$35,752.52 | \$29,653.64 | \$24,612.52 | 83% | Taxation |
| 183 | | | | | | | | |
| SUSSEX | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$1,117,850.81 | \$967,067.96 | 87% | \$105,539.14 | \$44,874.64 | \$37,245.95 | 43% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$3,230,822.18 | \$2,780,004.46 | 86% | \$562,156.76 | \$300,519.05 | \$249,430.81 | 53% | Taxation |
| 185 | | | | | | | | |
| TAZEWELL | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$1,138,964.31 | \$568,352.36 | 50% | \$671,768.33 | \$276,777.70 | \$229,725.49 | 41% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,182,665.47 | \$953,163.10 | 81% | \$214,574.64 | \$178,767.82 | \$148,377.29 | 83% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$88,672.85 | \$72,389.81 | 82% | \$31,395.26 | \$15,954.29 | \$13,242.06 | 51% | Taxation |
| COMPRIED | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attor | neys | |
|--------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 187 | | | | | | | | |
| WARREN | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$608,943.59 | \$501,348.37 | 82% | \$65,806.65 | \$74,980.00 | \$62,233.40 | 114% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,534,804.27 | \$1,293,814.87 | 84% | \$246,527.89 | \$182,982.82 | \$151,875.74 | 74% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$80,318.92 | \$63,337.08 | 79% | \$28,864.50 | \$13,745.05 | \$10,898.39 | 48% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 191 | | | | | | | | |
| WASHINGTON | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$737,676.40 | \$468,589.68 | 64% | \$33,923.01 | \$37,975.82 | \$31,519.93 | 112% | County Treasurer |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,720,362.19 | \$1,469,257.28 | 85% | \$273,657.12 | \$168,958.11 | \$140,235.23 | 62% | County Treasurer |
| J & DR | \$74,405.33 | \$53,572.24 | 72% | \$18,859.29 | \$8,469.52 | \$7,029.70 | 45% | County Treasurer |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 193 | | | | | | | | |
| WESTMORELAND | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$285,098.30 | \$154,600.34 | 54% | \$69,309.15 | \$25,488.29 | \$21,155.28 | 37% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$444,096.40 | \$359,419.47 | 81% | \$124,251.44 | \$72,580.00 | \$60,241.40 | 58% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$38,465.04 | \$30,839.09 | 80% | \$12,505.93 | \$6,429.17 | \$5,336.21 | 51% | Taxation |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES A | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attor | neys | |
|----------------|----------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 195 | | | | | | | | |
| WISE CO / NORT | ON | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$719,526.82 | \$451,589.90 | 63% | \$147,846.79 | \$83,692.00 | \$56,181.05 | 57% | Fines Management |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,372,833.38 | \$979,010.71 | 71% | \$396,857.52 | \$124,931.21 | \$84,019.25 | 31% | Fines Management |
| J & DR | \$39,337.78 | \$22,887.28 | 58% | \$14,645.26 | \$5,770.03 | \$3,750.38 | 39% | Fines Management |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 197 | | | | | | | | |
| WYTHE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$1,689,669.92 | \$1,463,509.92 | 87% | \$119,921.63 | \$45,092.29 | \$37,426.60 | 38% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$3,803,055.13 | \$3,124,416.78 | 82% | \$708,822.36 | \$260,869.17 | \$216,521.41 | 37% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$114,304.84 | \$78,831.87 | 69% | \$40,040.74 | \$26,179.29 | \$21,728.81 | 65% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 199 | | | | | | | | |
| YORK | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$901,346.32 | \$595,248.71 | 66% | \$278,541.79 | \$148,391.27 | \$107,407.38 | 53% | Quadros & Associates |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$2,007,868.07 | \$1,623,759.67 | 81% | \$478,454.81 | \$303,450.03 | \$218,706.43 | 63% | Quadros & Associates |
| J & DR | \$76,598.39 | \$66,967.58 | 87% | \$29,554.42 | \$23,083.10 | \$16,641.02 | 78% | Quadros & Associates |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES A | ND FEES - Court Cle | erks | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attori | ieys | |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 510 | | | | | | | | |
| ALEXANDRIA | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$2,392,226.13 | \$1,548,057.67 | 65% | \$387,985.56 | \$332,875.35 | \$276,286.54 | 86% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$3,324,568.71 | \$2,876,768.30 | 87% | (\$154,924.81) | \$441,435.94 | \$366,391.83 | - | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$75,199.94 | \$60,988.43 | 81% | (\$25,440.30) | \$25,785.23 | \$21,401.74 | - | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 520 | | | | | | | | |
| BRISTOL | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$685,425.36 | \$394,957.37 | 58% | \$98,501.86 | \$55,632.41 | \$46,174.90 | 56% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,004,918.81 | \$745,407.90 | 74% | \$226,086.42 | \$136,865.52 | \$113,598.38 | 61% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$44,559.65 | \$29,137.01 | 65% | \$10,221.72 | \$7,507.00 | \$6,230.81 | 73% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 530 | | | | | | | | |
| BUENA VISTA | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$163,527.90 | \$124,263.78 | 76% | \$51,455.13 | \$23,475.17 | \$19,484.39 | 46% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$266,831.74 | \$228,241.52 | 86% | \$26,143.14 | \$27,452.52 | \$22,785.59 | 105% | Taxation |

| | COURTS FINES A | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attorn | neys | |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 540 | | | | | | | | |
| CHARLOTTESVIL | LE | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$773,724.85 | \$550,264.42 | 71% | \$292,865.70 | \$172,764.05 | \$143,394.16 | 59% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,352,128.75 | \$1,212,769.14 | 90% | \$129,296.31 | \$191,169.29 | \$158,670.51 | 148% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$72,786.94 | \$53,209.95 | 73% | \$20,877.39 | \$22,890.00 | \$18,998.70 | 110% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 550 | | | | | | | | |
| CHESAPEAKE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$5,108,048.00 | \$3,757,361.68 | 74% | \$1,563,652.08 | \$590,547.80 | \$424,591.58 | 38% | Huff, Poole & Mahoney |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$8,583,528.25 | \$6,446,655.01 | 75% | \$1,982,783.80 | \$1,259,513.21 | \$881,780.60 | 64% | Huff, Poole & Mahoney |
| J & DR | \$305,866.76 | \$209,352.60 | 68% | \$100,037.47 | \$47,477.30 | \$33,971.90 | 47% | Huff, Poole & Mahoney |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 570 | | | | | | | | |
| COLONIAL HEIGH | ITS | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$790,817.34 | \$501,778.20 | 64% | \$363,648.09 | \$123,889.05 | \$102,827.91 | 34% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$851,348.07 | \$761,180.26 | 89% | \$141,385.54 | \$216,422.11 | \$179,630.35 | 153% | Taxation |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attor | neys | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 590 | | | | | | | | |
| DANVILLE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$719,909.67 | \$60,066.50 | 8% | \$1,021,000.45 | \$619,214.48 | \$470,813.88 | 61% | In-House Program |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,257,189.75 | \$867,452.37 | 69% | \$419,505.65 | \$248,210.84 | \$173,800.53 | 59% | In-House Program |
| J & DR | \$123,366.25 | \$44,034.66 | 36% | \$56,827.82 | \$36,324.63 | \$29,823.79 | 64% | In-House Program |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 595 | | | | | | | | |
| EMPORIA | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | | | | | | | | |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$1,672,328.43 | \$1,413,907.96 | 85% | | | | | |
| 600 | | | | | | | | |
| FAIRFAX CITY | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | | | | | | | | |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$2,337,740.70 | \$2,146,582.83 | 92% | \$120,570.62 | \$127,679.29 | \$105,973.81 | 106% | Taxation |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES A | ND FEES - Court Cl | erks | DELINQUENT FI | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attor | neys | |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 610 | | | | | | | | |
| FALLS CHURCH | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | | | | | | | | |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$792,130.80 | \$713,342.28 | 90% | \$77,418.10 | \$73,031.11 | \$60,615.82 | 94% | Taxation |
| 620 | | | | | | | | |
| FRANKLIN CITY | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | | | | | | | | |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$228,541.53 | \$203,021.23 | 89% | | | | | |
| 630 | | | | | | | | |
| FREDERICKSBURG | G | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$1,106,781.52 | \$660,173.01 | 60% | \$389,063.13 | \$179,289.35 | \$148,810.16 | 46% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,749,921.17 | \$1,444,599.83 | 83% | \$230,133.30 | \$254,619.17 | \$211,333.91 | 111% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$91,339.63 | \$70,911.96 | 78% | \$31,613.45 | \$27,237.58 | \$22,607.19 | 86% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attorn | neys | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 640 | | | | | | | | |
| GALAX | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | | | | | | | | |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$428,948.64 | \$302,832.22 | 71% | \$114,270.67 | \$69,741.88 | \$57,885.76 | 61% | Taxation |
| 650 | | | | | | | | |
| HAMPTON | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$3,058,938.15 | \$1,729,956.39 | 57% | \$762,766.00 | \$211,153.00 | \$137,248.00 | 28% | City Attorney |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$5,681,549.07 | \$3,950,028.94 | 70% | \$1,575,111.00 | \$768,819.00 | \$499,730.00 | 49% | City Attorney |
| J & DR | \$280,346.61 | \$123,156.58 | 44% | \$147,414.00 | \$43,252.00 | \$28,114.00 | 29% | City Attorney |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 670 | | | | | | | | |
| HOPEWELL | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$381,186.90 | \$205,951.15 | 54% | \$187,612.87 | \$85,945.58 | \$71,334.83 | 46% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$687,733.09 | \$572,526.57 | 83% | (\$8,651.36) | \$155,430.35 | \$129,007.19 | - | Taxation |

| | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attori | neys | |
|--------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 680 | | | | | | | | |
| LYNCHBURG | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$1,330,689.54 | \$878,348.09 | 66% | \$477,440.96 | \$230,314.00 | \$191,160.62 | 48% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,983,484.28 | \$1,571,482.64 | 79% | \$220,838.39 | \$320,912.94 | \$266,357.74 | 145% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$205,489.85 | \$89,347.33 | 44% | \$70,560.03 | \$38,372.11 | \$31,848.85 | 54% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 690 | | | | | | | | |
| MARTINSVILLE | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$791,110.12 | \$455,863.74 | 58% | \$294,200.40 | \$153,711.58 | \$127,580.61 | 52% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$793,671.15 | \$640,641.30 | 81% | \$124,391.51 | \$103,289.23 | \$85,730.06 | 83% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$57,586.56 | \$40,825.39 | 71% | \$9,455.40 | \$19,445.35 | \$16,139.64 | 206% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 700 | | | | | | | | |
| NEWPORT NEWS | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$5,465,685.87 | \$2,980,636.65 | 55% | \$1,435,010.48 | \$962,753.82 | \$799,085.67 | 67% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$6,860,368.27 | \$5,328,981.78 | 78% | \$2,149,228.79 | \$1,420,212.10 | \$1,021,090.67 | 66% | Quadros & Associate |
| J & DR | \$275,925.29 | \$219,347.75 | 80% | \$10,432.80 | \$108,921.35 | \$90,404.72 | 1044% | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES A | COURTS FINES AND FEES - Court Clerks | | | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attor | neys | |
|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 710 | | | | | | | | |
| NORFOLK | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$4,152,953.27 | \$860,443.97 | 21% | \$3,436,240.52 | \$1,008,866.70 | \$715,056.62 | 29% | Glasser & Glasser |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$8,376,253.25 | \$4,742,611.05 | 57% | \$2,952,639.25 | \$1,948,200.90 | \$1,383,676.60 | 66% | Glasser & Glasser |
| J & DR | \$247,221.75 | \$103,027.56 | 42% | \$135,643.51 | \$76,832.27 | \$54,527.66 | 57% | Glasser & Glasser |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 730 | | | | | | | | |
| PETERSBURG | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$776,346.77 | \$606,666.94 | 78% | (\$749,623.09) | \$178,609.23 | \$148,245.66 | - | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$2,033,031.69 | \$1,434,006.49 | 71% | \$274,418.98 | \$325,982.76 | \$270,565.69 | 119% | Taxation |
| J & DR | \$188,265.04 | \$80,391.93 | 43% | (\$20,231.13) | \$53,094.11 | \$44,068.11 | - | Taxation |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 740 | | | | | | | | |
| PORTSMOUTH | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$2,596,185.31 | \$411,506.51 | 16% | \$1,983,119.43 | \$991,360.43 | \$849,962.64 | 50% | Roland W. Dodson |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$3,274,448.98 | \$2,163,745.89 | 66% | \$2,768,963.83 | \$2,349,232.16 | \$2,191,612.71 | 85% | Roland W. Dodson |
| J & DR | \$195,224.65 | \$90,385.76 | 46% | \$141,200.20 | \$103,369.70 | \$91,205.26 | 73% | Roland W. Dodson |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES A | ND FEES - Court Cle | erks | DELINQUENT FIN | NES AND FEES - Co | mmonwealth's Attor | neys | |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD |
| 750 | | | | | | | | |
| RADFORD | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$238,852.92 | \$151,720.26 | 64% | \$100,928.97 | \$33,674.82 | \$27,950.10 | 33% | Taxation |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$713,374.60 | \$550,558.75 | 77% | \$121,769.77 | \$112,693.94 | \$93,535.97 | 93% | Taxation |
| 760 | | | | | | | | |
| RICHMOND CITY | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$2,409,215.64 | \$557,104.83 | 23% | \$2,196,699.43 | \$443,196.32 | \$310,408.77 | 20% | Marvel Collections |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$9,160,945.47 | \$5,914,416.25 | 65% | \$3,794,668.96 | \$1,716,174.53 | \$1,201,407.60 | 45% | Marvel Collections |
| J & DR | \$269,170.50 | \$69,929.91 | 26% | \$169,154.99 | \$66,209.52 | \$46,407.27 | 39% | Marvel Collections |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |
| 764 | | | | | | | | |
| RICHMOND CITY (I | MANCHESTER) | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$794,082.37 | \$156,516.01 | 20% | | | | | |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$2,473,828.79 | \$754,214.80 | 31% | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES A | ND FEES - Court Cle | erks | DELINQUENT FINES AND FEES - Commonwealth's Attorneys | | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD | |
| 770 | | | | | | | | | |
| ROANOKE CITY | | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$1,055,389.50 | \$588,334.47 | 56% | \$548,866.79 | \$191,588.85 | \$127,187.22 | 35% | In-House Program | |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$3,417,212.18 | \$2,729,878.31 | 80% | \$1,057,455.78 | \$514,122.62 | \$335,741.53 | 49% | In-House Program | |
| J & DR | \$184,762.54 | \$90,815.37 | 49% | \$80,187.97 | \$29,633.81 | \$19,173.98 | 37% | In-House Program | |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | | |
| 775 | | | | | | | | | |
| SALEM | | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$259,685.95 | \$157,871.08 | 61% | \$94,671.31 | \$49,686.82 | \$41,240.06 | 52% | Taxation | |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | | | | | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | \$672,130.79 | \$603,969.00 | 90% | \$123,484.90 | \$107,195.88 | \$88,972.58 | 87% | Taxation | |
| 790 | | | | | | | | | |
| STAUNTON | | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$406,355.03 | \$199,702.22 | 49% | \$73,894.63 | \$101,059.29 | \$83,879.21 | 137% | Taxation | |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$805,261.70 | \$663,490.10 | 82% | \$190,961.46 | \$136,526.23 | \$113,316.77 | 71% | Taxation | |
| J & DR | \$88,276.89 | \$50,845.68 | 58% | \$31,948.82 | \$19,243.58 | \$15,972.17 | 60% | Taxation | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES A | ND FEES - Court Cl | erks | DELINQUENT FINES AND FEES - Commonwealth's Attorneys | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD | |
| 800 | | | | | | | | | |
| SUFFOLK | | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$1,551,497.69 | \$1,048,667.67 | 68% | \$786,572.72 | \$280,302.23 | \$232,650.85 | 36% | Taxation | |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,919,797.63 | \$1,764,978.48 | 92% | \$170,950.52 | \$345,138.76 | \$286,465.17 | 202% | Taxation | |
| J & DR | \$106,619.57 | \$78,056.38 | 73% | \$12,971.96 | \$32,831.17 | \$27,249.87 | 253% | Taxation | |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | | |
| 810 | | | | | | | | | |
| VIRGINIA BEACH | | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$8,199,209.36 | \$5,635,452.35 | 69% | \$2,084,977.01 | \$899,814.81 | \$636,245.87 | 43% | Huff, Poole & Mahone | |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$14,335,222.01 | \$11,249,931.47 | 79% | \$3,708,203.53 | \$2,571,150.83 | \$1,829,826.75 | 69% | Huff, Poole & Mahone | |
| J & DR | \$872,264.52 | \$576,536.82 | 66% | \$206,925.98 | \$103,804.82 | \$74,206.86 | 50% | Huff, Poole & Mahone | |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | | |
| 820 | | | | | | | | | |
| WAYNESBORO | | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$316,079.11 | \$130,410.98 | 41% | \$224,354.93 | \$76,571.23 | \$63,554.12 | 34% | Taxation | |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$699,078.17 | \$586,029.27 | 84% | \$120,375.42 | \$106,976.94 | \$88,790.86 | 89% | Taxation | |
| J & DR | \$66,666.62 | \$44,810.48 | 67% | \$14,726.23 | \$13,711.17 | \$11,380.27 | 93% | Taxation | |
| COMPRIED | | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES A | AND FEES - Court Cl | erks | DELINQUENT FINES AND FEES - Commonwealth's Attorneys | | | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD | | |
| 830 | | | | | | | | | | |
| WILLIAMSBURG | | | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | | | | | | | | | | |
| GEN DISTRICT | | | | \$0.00 | \$9,249.95 | \$6,188.33 | - | David S. Hudson | | |
| J & DR | | | | | | | | | | |
| COMBINED | | | | | | | | | | |
| 840 | | | | | | | | | | |
| WINCHESTER | | | | | | | | | | |
| CIRCUIT | \$906,698.32 | \$573,121.65 | 63% | \$376,255.36 | \$128,036.94 | \$106,270.66 | 34% | Taxation | | |
| GEN DISTRICT | \$1,362,672.07 | \$1,216,734.81 | 89% | \$177,044.58 | \$242,591.47 | \$201,350.92 | 137% | Taxation | | |
| J & DR | \$78,525.34 | \$55,696.40 | 71% | \$21,141.62 | \$13,476.52 | \$11,185.51 | 64% | Taxation | | |
| COMDINED | | | | | | | | | | |

| | COURTS FINES A | ND FEES - Court Cle | rks | DELINQUENT FINES AND FEES - Commonwealth's Attorneys | | | | | |
|-------------|--|----------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| | COURT ASSESSMENTS | COURT COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | NET ASSESSMENTS | GROSS COLLECTIONS | NET COLLECTIONS | COLLECTION RATE | COLLECTION METHOD | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$423,513,600.13 | \$321,716,258.93 | 76.0% | \$94,157,911.80 | \$56,079,754.78 | \$44,260,895.44 | 59.5% | | |
| | \$423,513,000.13 \$321,710,230. 3 3 70.0% | | | ψ04,101,511.00 ψ00,015,104.10 ψ44,200,000.44 05.070 | | | | | |

Courts Fines and Fees Data - Assessment and collection data is provided for all courts by the Supreme Court of Virginia in the Financial Management System's Final FY05 BR22 Report (excluding Fairfax County, which provided assessment and collection information directly to the Compensation Board, beginning in FY05). Some collection data is reported separately by the Supreme Court for the cities of Emporia, Fairfax, Falls Church, Franklin, Galax, and Richmond City (Manchester). The Supreme Court of Virginia reports combined fines and fees assessment and collection efforts for James City Co / Williamsburg, Prince William Co / Manassas Park, Wise Co / Norton, and Rockingham Co / Harrisonburg. Delinquent Fines and Fees Data - A collection agent for each locality provides assessment and collection data for delinquent fines and fees. Such data is verified by the Commonwealth's Attorney and reported to the Compensation Board on an annual basis. The Commonwealth's Attorneys of the following counties and cities report combined fines and fees assessment and collection efforts: Fairfax County / Fairfax City, Greensville Co / Emporia, Prince William Co / Manassas / Manassas Park, Rockingham Co / Harrisonburg, Wise Co / Norton, Southampton Co / Franklin City, and Richmond City / Richmond City (Manchester). The Commonwealth's Attorneys for Arlington Co / Falls Church, Grayson Co / Galax, and James City Co / Williamsburg report fines and fees assessment and collection efforts separately for the county and city.

PART B - COMPARISON OF FY04 AND FY05 COLLECTIONS

Part B is a comparison of FY2004 and FY2005 collection activities for Court Clerks and Commonwealth's Attorneys. The comparison details the variance between the two offices for each of the four courts (Circuit, General District, Juvenile & Domestic Relations, and Combined General District / Juvenile & Domestic Relations Courts) shown by locality. The collection method chosen by the individual Commonwealth's Attorney is also shown in the Part B table.

Court Clerk collections increased from \$322,856,778 in FY04 to \$321,716,259 in FY05, representing a (-0.35) percent decrease. Commonwealth's Attorneys net collections increased from \$39,500,885 in FY04 to \$44,260,895 in FY05, representing a 12.05 percent increase.

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | Court Cl | erks | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|-----------|----------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 337,910.03 | 311,274.72 | (26,635.31) | -7.88% | 43,208.23 | 50,565.30 | 7,357.07 | 17.03% | Taxation |
| 001 | ACCOMACK | DISTRICT | 1,195,178.55 | 1,096,292.79 | (98,885.76) | -8.27% | 187,228.27 | 172,493.72 | (14,734.55) | -7.87% | |
| | | JUVENILE | 39,922.20 | 32,763.78 | (7,158.42) | -17.93% | 14,493.70 | 12,183.03 | (2,310.67) | -15.94% | |
| | | COMBINED | | - | | 0.00% | | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 509,604.26 | 335,426.60 | (174,177.66) | -34.18% | 53,748.31 | 72,585.64 | 18,837.33 | 35.05% | Taxation |
| 003 | ALBEMARLE | DISTRICT | 1,417,852.67 | 1,564,340.16 | 146,487.49 | 10.33% | 129,264.00 | 148,161.78 | 18,897.78 | 14.62% | |
| | | JUVENILE | 85,185.58 | 72,922.95 | (12,262.63) | -14.40% | 14,159.50 | 13,994.87 | (164.63) | -1.16% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 253,287.25 | 278,616.80 | 25,329.55 | 10.00% | 34,098.20 | 38,080.88 | 3,982.68 | 11.68% | Taxation |
| 005 | ALLEGHANY | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 1,070,896.23 | 996,373.93 | (74,522.30) | -6.96% | 82,864.46 | 107,410.98 | 24,546.52 | 29.62% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 101,277.05 | 98,590.15 | (2,686.90) | -2.65% | 31,668.01 | 25,801.28 | (5,866.73) | -18.53% | Taxation |
| 007 | AMELIA | DISTRICT | | - | _ | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 425,556.86 | 406,304.82 | (19,252.04) | -4.52% | 56,835.32 | 67,441.69 | 10,606.37 | 18.66% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 341,165.56 | 351,652.17 | 10,486.61 | 3.07% | 28,844.45 | 36,086.44 | 7,241.99 | 25.11% | Taxation |
| 009 | AMHERST | DISTRICT | 891,234.24 | 977,136.68 | 85,902.44 | 9.64% | 113,587.20 | 115,621.34 | 2,034.14 | 1.79% | |
| | | JUVENILE | 61,818.86 | 79,548.75 | 17,729.89 | 28.68% | 12,703.93 | 22,479.91 | 9,775.98 | 76.95% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | Court Clerks | | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|------------|----------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 94,521.22 | 101,686.65 | 7,165.43 | 7.58% | 13,109.06 | 14,885.80 | 1,776.74 | 13.55% | |
| 011 | ΑΡΡΟΜΑΤΤΟΧ | DISTRICT | 428,389.53 | 444,219.67 | 15,830.14 | 3.70% | 35,996.46 | 41,810.17 | 5,813.71 | 16.15% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 22,569.77 | 20,036.76 | (2,533.01) | -11.22% | 5,808.97 | 4,757.41 | (1,051.56) | -18.10% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 2,454,370.86 | 2,191,879.93 | (262,490.93) | -10.69% | 339,728.81 | 404,607.81 | 64,879.00 | 19.10% | |
| 013 | ARLINGTON | DISTRICT | 8,218,024.38 | 7,581,351.08 | (636,673.30) | -7.75% | 673,762.55 | 712,879.13 | 39,116.58 | 5.81% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 126,659.27 | 113,534.32 | (13,124.95) | -10.36% | 21,497.58 | 22,616.27 | 1,118.69 | 5.20% | |
| | | COMBINED | | - | | 0.00% | 46,948.07 | | (46,948.07) | -100.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 606,970.70 | 419,457.65 | (187,513.05) | -30.89% | 36,164.71 | 40,864.02 | 4,699.31 | 12.99% | |
| 015 | AUGUSTA | DISTRICT | 1,404,519.40 | 1,424,507.75 | 19,988.35 | 1.42% | 145,673.64 | 173,498.02 | 27,824.38 | 19.10% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 86,613.19 | 88,456.74 | 1,843.55 | 2.13% | 21,436.89 | 21,430.01 | (6.88) | -0.03% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 29,637.96 | 22,756.65 | (6,881.31) | -23.22% | 4,349.39 | 5,886.45 | 1,537.06 | 35.34% | |
| 017 | BATH | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | _ | - | _ | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 119,608.89 | 132,594.47 | 12,985.58 | 10.86% | 2,376.33 | 5,434.35 | 3,058.02 | 128.69% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 668,270.36 | 499,985.12 | (168,285.24) | -25.18% | 80,048.76 | 91,064.81 | 11,016.05 | 13.76% | |
| 019 | BEDFORD | DISTRICT | 1,212,860.21 | 1,171,111.58 | (41,748.63) | -3.44% | 107,321.97 | 114,030.77 | 6,708.80 | 6.25% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 83,412.10 | 89,933.55 | 6,521.45 | 7.82% | 21,379.97 | 19,361.36 | (2,018.61) | -9.44% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | Court Cl | erks | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|------------|----------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 80,550.22 | 342,331.98 | 261,781.76 | 324.99% | 5,603.91 | 4,276.06 | (1,327.85) | -23.70% | |
| 021 | BLAND | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 786,540.39 | 1,279,795.87 | 493,255.48 | 62.71% | 43,923.60 | 55,802.95 | 11,879.35 | 27.05% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 344,565.70 | 342,634.70 | (1,931.00) | -0.56% | 34,338.00 | 47,045.00 | 12,707.00 | 37.01% | |
| 023 | BOTETOURT | DISTRICT | | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | In-House |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Program |
| | | COMBINED | 1,512,280.55 | 1,596,847.50 | 84,566.95 | 5.59% | 81,243.00 | 95,999.00 | 14,756.00 | 18.16% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 538,858.98 | 500,426.55 | (38,432.43) | -7.13% | 33,321.81 | 33,173.19 | (148.62) | -0.45% | |
| 025 | BRUNSWICK | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 1,947,545.60 | 1,767,046.95 | (180,498.65) | -9.27% | 212,083.55 | 222,181.87 | 10,098.32 | 4.76% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 205,400.62 | 134,477.07 | (70,923.55) | -34.53% | 74,418.53 | 72,350.61 | (2,067.92) | -2.78% | |
| 027 | BUCHANAN | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | | - | - | 0.00% | - | | | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 456,812.07 | 429,620.99 | (27,191.08) | -5.95% | 81,296.69 | 93,620.14 | 12,323.45 | 15.16% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 71,747.15 | 60,158.17 | (11,588.98) | -16.15% | 19,284.31 | 19,823.08 | 538.77 | 2.79% | |
| 029 | BUCKINGHAM | DISTRICT | | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 328,778.02 | 386,029.57 | 57,251.55 | 17.41% | 33,535.07 | 48,410.33 | 14,875.26 | 44.36% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | Court Clerks | | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|-----------|----------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 408,050.36 | 330,444.17 | (77,606.19) | -19.02% | 83,620.93 | 96,581.19 | 12,960.26 | 15.50% | |
| 031 | CAMPBELL | DISTRICT | 906,979.71 | 912,693.71 | 5,714.00 | 0.63% | 92,506.08 | 92,625.90 | 119.82 | 0.13% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 66,478.23 | 79,943.53 | 13,465.30 | 20.26% | 14,430.23 | 18,445.13 | 4,014.90 | 27.82% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 642,631.98 | 573,288.24 | (69,343.74) | -10.79% | 31,389.57 | 38,763.34 | 7,373.77 | 23.49% | |
| 033 | CAROLINE | DISTRICT | 1,758,568.19 | 1,725,187.77 | (33,380.42) | -1.90% | 207,448.34 | 190,664.81 | (16,783.53) | -8.09% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 44,232.21 | 49,362.39 | 5,130.18 | 11.60% | 10,923.87 | 14,312.71 | 3,388.84 | 31.02% | |
| | | COMBINED | | - | - | 0.00% | - | | | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 221,334.47 | 193,908.25 | (27,426.22) | -12.39% | 18,703.25 | 27,032.63 | 8,329.38 | 44.53% | |
| 035 | CARROLL | DISTRICT | - | - | | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | E-Recovery |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Solutions |
| | | COMBINED | 1,023,390.96 | 1,033,398.92 | 10,007.96 | 0.98% | 68,856.93 | 83,058.90 | 14,201.97 | 20.63% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 92,478.48 | 79,099.09 | (13,379.39) | -14.47% | 22,187.31 | 13,601.69 | (8,585.62) | -38.70% | |
| 036 | | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | COUNTY | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 159,818.89 | 197,105.32 | 37,286.43 | 23.33% | 24,648.26 | 27,028.90 | 2,380.64 | 9.66% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 150,884.18 | 190,319.23 | 39,435.05 | 26.14% | 12,161.25 | 15,423.69 | 3,262.44 | 26.83% | |
| 037 | CHARLOTTE | DISTRICT | 461,680.26 | 522,447.04 | 60,766.78 | 13.16% | 46,126.76 | 45,037.55 | (1,089.21) | -2.36% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 18,352.53 | 14,190.93 | (4,161.60) | -22.68% | 3,144.28 | 2,958.65 | (185.63) | -5.90% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | Court Clerks Commonwealth's Attorney | | | | | | orneys | | |
|-----|--------------|----------|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 3,767,437.46 | 3,001,503.09 | (765,934.37) | -20.33% | 510,257.00 | 585,039.46 | 74,782.46 | 14.66% | Taxation |
| 041 | CHESTERFIELD | DISTRICT | 5,092,762.82 | 5,411,667.73 | 318,904.91 | 6.26% | 654,931.51 | 788,681.47 | 133,749.96 | 20.42% | Huff, Poole & Mahoney |
| 011 | | JUVENILE | 334,017.83 | 358,775.42 | 24,757.59 | 7.41% | 76,775.09 | 86,429.51 | 9,654.42 | 12.57% | Taxation |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 194,334.98 | 231,834.66 | 37,499.68 | 19.30% | 10,126.83 | 13,147.59 | 3,020.76 | 29.83% | |
| 043 | CLARKE | DISTRICT | 394,109.25 | 599,971.93 | 205,862.68 | 52.23% | 32,195.74 | 43,782.10 | 11,586.36 | 35.99% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 16,842.66 | 21,934.42 | 5,091.76 | 30.23% | 1,814.81 | 1,753.93 | (60.88) | -3.35% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 25,024.39 | 23,241.36 | (1,783.03) | -7.13% | 5,000.21 | 3,598.68 | (1,401.53) | -28.03% | |
| 045 | CRAIG | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 71,626.28 | 89,016.03 | 17,389.75 | 24.28% | 8,007.74 | 10,706.36 | 2,698.62 | 33.70% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 573,017.03 | 384,104.98 | (188,912.05) | -32.97% | 29,485.50 | 32,031.26 | 2,545.76 | 8.63% | |
| 047 | CULPEPER | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | | | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | | 0.00% | - | - | _ | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 1,527,489.63 | 1,505,649.67 | (21,839.96) | -1.43% | 133,263.23 | 151,268.28 | 18,005.05 | 13.51% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 53,390.26 | 68,351.01 | 14,960.75 | 28.02% | 3,915.69 | 11,252.11 | 7,336.42 | 187.36% | |
| 049 | CUMBERLAND | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 296,226.25 | 360,779.16 | 64,552.91 | 21.79% | 24,866.65 | 34,723.58 | 9,856.93 | 39.64% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | Court Cl | erks | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|---------------------|----------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 130,042.79 | 98,838.21 | (31,204.58) | -24.00% | 31,001.28 | 27,364.75 | (3,636.53) | -11.73% | |
| 051 | DICKENSON | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 353,379.00 | 360,028.79 | 6,649.79 | 1.88% | 53,789.22 | 46,845.29 | (6,943.93) | -12.91% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 388,785.03 | 300,916.08 | (87,868.95) | -22.60% | 38,527.57 | 59,054.93 | 20,527.36 | 53.28% | |
| 053 | DINWIDDIE | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 1,380,407.87 | 1,444,883.57 | 64,475.70 | 4.67% | 200,822.74 | 195,492.92 | (5,329.82) | -2.65% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 218,531.27 | 203,025.91 | (15,505.36) | -7.10% | 9,410.02 | 9,017.34 | (392.68) | -4.17% | |
| 057 | ESSEX | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Huff, Poole & |
| | | JUVENILE | | - | - | 0.00% | - | | | 0.00% | Mahoney |
| | | COMBINED | 954,262.89 | 1,041,808.12 | 87,545.23 | 9.17% | 48,453.94 | 55,648.53 | 7,194.59 | 14.85% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 13,848,049.18 | 12,950,478.20 | (897,570.98) | -6.48% | 345,043.25 | 233,542.66 | (111,500.59) | -32.31% | |
| 059 | FAIRFAX COUNTY & | DISTRICT | 26,666,118.10 | 30,322,606.81 | 3,656,488.71 | 13.71% | 2,381,131.78 | 2,647,160.74 | 266,028.96 | 11.17% | Taxation |
| 000 | FAIRFAX CITY | JUVENILE | 659,701.20 | 707,034.95 | 47,333.75 | 7.18% | 74,531.02 | 82,382.67 | 7,851.65 | 10.53% | Taxation |
| | | COMBINED | | - | _ | 0.00% | - | _ | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 1,124,584.17 | 833,740.10 | (290,844.07) | -25.86% | 76,857.12 | 99,864.18 | 23,007.06 | 29.93% | |
| 061 | FAUQUIER | DISTRICT | 2,426,903.42 | 2,703,130.03 | 276,226.61 | 11.38% | 190,227.60 | 212,245.54 | 22,017.94 | 11.57% | Taxation |
| 001 | TROQUER | JUVENILE | 75,160.61 | 79,289.83 | 4,129.22 | 5.49% | 11,979.14 | 13,499.75 | 1,520.61 | 12.69% | Taxation |
| | | COMBINED | _ | | _ | 0.00% | - | _ | - | 0.00% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | Court Cl | erks | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|-----------|----------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 80,828.18 | 70,158.09 | (10,670.09) | -13.20% | 16,029.91 | 20,775.31 | 4,745.40 | 29.60% | |
| 063 | FLOYD | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | In-House |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Program |
| | | COMBINED | 130,108.19 | 168,841.71 | 38,733.52 | 29.77% | 6,442.69 | 10,090.39 | 3,647.70 | 56.62% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 117,606.44 | 88,253.33 | (29,353.11) | -24.96% | 6,916.78 | 10,840.82 | 3,924.04 | 56.73% | |
| 065 | FLUVANNA | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 324,231.40 | 483,429.26 | 159,197.86 | 49.10% | 32,103.52 | 43,115.71 | 11,012.19 | 34.30% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 468,111.06 | 357,253.75 | (110,857.31) | -23.68% | 88,372.49 | 110,619.08 | 22,246.59 | 25.17% | |
| 067 | FRANKLIN | DISTRICT | 899,482.31 | 1,010,182.52 | 110,700.21 | 12.31% | 107,770.47 | 140,757.55 | 32,987.08 | 30.61% | Taxation |
| | COUNTY | JUVENILE | 50,462.29 | 51,965.10 | 1,502.81 | 2.98% | 10,556.03 | 11,378.27 | 822.24 | 7.79% | . and terr |
| | | COMBINED | | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 793,420.26 | 699,117.82 | (94,302.44) | -11.89% | 91,917.71 | 142,835.09 | 50,917.38 | 55.39% | |
| 069 | FREDERICK | DISTRICT | 1,771,119.62 | 1,906,667.31 | 135,547.69 | 7.65% | 215,876.16 | 208,518.01 | (7,358.15) | -3.41% | Taxation |
| 000 | | JUVENILE | 84,143.58 | 88,243.66 | 4,100.08 | 4.87% | 8,304.29 | 10,717.83 | 2,413.54 | 29.06% | Taxation |
| | | COMBINED | - | | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 274,095.66 | 259,631.67 | (14,463.99) | -5.28% | 30,550.15 | 45,950.99 | 15,400.84 | 50.41% | |
| 071 | GILES | DISTRICT | | | - | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | | | - | 0.00% | - | | | 0.00% | i axation |
| | | COMBINED | 808,791.96 | 876,767.10 | 67,975.14 | 8.40% | 60,506.12 | 77,582.00 | 17,075.88 | 28.22% | |
| | LOCALITY | COURT | FY04 EV05 Collections Variance Percent FY04 Net FY05 Net Variance | | | orneys | | | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|----------|---|------------------|--------------|---------|------------|------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | | FY05 Collections | | | | | | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 247,960.76 | 179,730.53 | (68,230.23) | -27.52% | 61,050.90 | 76,350.78 | 15,299.88 | 25.06% | |
| 073 | GLOUCESTER | DISTRICT | 728,665.31 | 822,393.87 | 93,728.56 | 12.86% | 89,696.13 | 98,771.16 | 9,075.03 | 10.12% | David S. Hudson |
| | | JUVENILE | 38,813.60 | 40,701.28 | 1,887.68 | 4.86% | 9,156.52 | 10,522.07 | 1,365.55 | 14.91% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | _ | 0.00% | - | _ | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 187,952.42 | 113,327.89 | (74,624.53) | -39.70% | 31,772.59 | 27,683.72 | (4,088.87) | -12.87% | |
| 075 | GOOCHLAND | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 833,657.61 | 823,888.56 | (9,769.05) | -1.17% | 60,213.86 | 69,632.75 | 9,418.89 | 15.64% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 209,043.40 | 189,953.79 | (19,089.61) | -9.13% | 13,921.98 | 18,107.76 | 4,185.78 | 30.07% | |
| 077 | GRAYSON | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 228,393.23 | 230,657.16 | 2,263.93 | 0.99% | 72,448.30 | 44,007.67 | (28,440.63) | -39.26% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 265,571.86 | 175,710.37 | (89,861.49) | -33.84% | 10,687.22 | 17,854.96 | 7,167.74 | 67.07% | |
| 079 | GREENE | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 563,691.09 | 551,244.79 | (12,446.30) | -2.21% | 57,576.22 | 57,726.74 | 150.52 | 0.26% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 2,272,403.31 | 1,864,565.97 | (407,837.34) | -17.95% | 48,130.52 | 53,552.23 | 5,421.71 | 11.26% | |
| 081 | GREENSVILLE & EMPORIA | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | EIVIPORIA | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 3,449,298.94 | 2,846,662.88 | (602,636.06) | -17.47% | 253,775.82 | 231,185.61 | (22,590.21) | -8.90% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | Collections FY05 Collections FY04 to FY05 Variance | | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|----------|----------|---------------------|--|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 319,655.95 | 252,979.88 | (66,676.07) | -20.86% | 69,446.88 | 74,940.11 | 5,493.23 | 7.91% | |
| 083 | HALIFAX | DISTRICT | 900,241.50 | 883,668.10 | (16,573.40) | -1.84% | 102,422.09 | 131,326.55 | 28,904.46 | 28.22% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 25,043.41 | 34,351.08 | 9,307.67 | 37.17% | 4,039.61 | 6,572.91 | 2,533.30 | 62.71% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | | - | 0.00% | | - | | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 1,199,901.96 | 1,228,948.98 | 29,047.02 | 2.42% | 167,972.17 | 199,459.79 | 31,487.62 | 18.75% | |
| 085 | HANOVER | DISTRICT | 2,604,070.44 | 2,933,661.43 | 329,590.99 | 12.66% | 346,784.10 | 384,247.52 | 37,463.42 | 10.80% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 102,785.12 | 130,783.04 | 27,997.92 | 27.24% | 15,049.56 | 13,131.96 | (1,917.60) | -12.74% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 3,507,304.70 | 3,263,729.15 | (243,575.55) | -6.94% | 323,365.27 | 285,563.90 | (37,801.37) | -11.69% | |
| 087 | HENRICO | DISTRICT | 6,040,877.23 | 6,301,646.70 | 260,769.47 | 4.32% | 868,568.79 | 934,837.77 | 66,268.98 | 7.63% | Cantor & Cantor |
| | | JUVENILE | 216,712.06 | 229,849.05 | 13,136.99 | 6.06% | 43,088.54 | 54,324.85 | 11,236.31 | 26.08% | |
| | | COMBINED | | - | | 0.00% | - | | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 492,352.39 | 429,554.48 | (62,797.91) | -12.75% | 134,293.31 | 157,648.44 | 23,355.13 | 17.39% | |
| 089 | HENRY | DISTRICT | 968,323.64 | 958,809.87 | (9,513.77) | -0.98% | 136,416.40 | 142,618.07 | 6,201.67 | 4.55% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 73,129.38 | 79,377.85 | 6,248.47 | 8.54% | 19,708.59 | 21,672.86 | 1,964.27 | 9.97% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | _ | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 23,643.65 | 7,455.42 | (16,188.23) | -68.47% | 2,723.13 | 1,841.03 | (882.10) | -32.39% | |
| 091 | HIGHLAND | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | _ | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | _ | - | _ | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 76,509.09 | 76,105.81 | (403.28) | -0.53% | 2,576.27 | 5,724.94 | 3,148.67 | 122.22% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | FY04 EX05 Collections Variance Percent FY04 Net FY05 Net Variance Pe | | | | | | orneys | | |
|-----|------------------------|----------|--|------------------|--------------|---------|------------|------------|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | | FY05 Collections | | | | | | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 396,559.47 | 315,861.33 | (80,698.14) | -20.35% | 64,505.69 | 66,747.18 | 2,241.49 | 3.47% | |
| 093 | ISLE OF WIGHT | DISTRICT | 893,174.84 | 971,498.33 | 78,323.49 | 8.77% | 118,403.89 | 143,034.04 | 24,630.15 | 20.80% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 33,866.53 | 36,882.94 | 3,016.41 | 8.91% | 5,378.00 | 8,979.37 | 3,601.37 | 66.96% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 1,015,550.27 | 830,819.53 | (184,730.74) | -18.19% | 121,637.39 | 157,626.47 | 35,989.08 | 29.59% | |
| 095 | JAMES CITY COUNTY & | DISTRICT | 1,815,065.56 | 1,836,481.74 | 21,416.18 | 1.18% | 186,469.59 | 208,393.47 | 21,923.88 | 11.76% | David S. Hudson |
| | WILLIAMSBURG | JUVENILE | 112,652.78 | 101,040.85 | (11,611.93) | -10.31% | 27,013.57 | 26,840.73 | (172.84) | -0.64% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 132,015.80 | 189,816.99 | 57,801.19 | 43.78% | 11,701.87 | 10,817.63 | (884.24) | -7.56% | |
| 097 | KING & QUEEN | DISTRICT | 411,467.66 | 491,913.62 | 80,445.96 | 19.55% | 38,324.27 | 53,915.62 | 15,591.35 | 40.68% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 9,350.84 | 14,825.24 | 5,474.40 | 58.54% | 3,189.93 | 4,356.96 | 1,167.03 | 36.58% | |
| | | COMBINED | | - | _ | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 836,524.71 | 438,714.33 | (397,810.38) | -47.56% | 30,458.94 | 36,875.82 | 6,416.88 | 21.07% | |
| 099 | KING GEORGE | DISTRICT | | | _ | 0.00% | - | _ | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 819,466.92 | 1,047,672.43 | 228,205.51 | 27.85% | 68,326.52 | 103,415.26 | 35,088.74 | 51.35% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 197,331.81 | 236,508.86 | 39,177.05 | 19.85% | 14,085.63 | 16,710.92 | 2,625.29 | 18.64% | |
| 101 | KING WILLIAM | DISTRICT | 438,721.93 | 503,762.60 | 65,040.67 | 14.83% | 53,526.99 | 63,124.52 | 9,597.53 | 17.93% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 19,256.96 | 22,983.90 | 3,726.94 | 19.35% | 4,156.24 | 6,026.72 | 1,870.48 | 45.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | _ | 0.00% | - | - | _ | 0.00% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | FY04 Collections Variance FY05 Collections Percent Variance | | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|-----------|----------|---------------------|--|--------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | | | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 171,440.83 | 131,593.79 | (39,847.04) | -23.24% | 43,398.94 | 50,124.18 | 6,725.24 | 15.50% | |
| 103 | LANCASTER | DISTRICT | 298,804.26 | 303,777.26 | 4,973.00 | 1.66% | 28,486.18 | 39,190.98 | 10,704.80 | 37.58% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 15,837.66 | 12,165.79 | (3,671.87) | -23.18% | 1,622.65 | 2,823.31 | 1,200.66 | 73.99% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | | - | 0.00% | | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 230,532.38 | 233,343.52 | 2,811.14 | 1.22% | 81,634.84 | 80,678.00 | (956.84) | -1.17% | |
| 105 | LEE | DISTRICT | | - | | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 378,746.67 | 442,684.09 | 63,937.42 | 16.88% | 53,044.47 | 61,722.31 | 8,677.84 | 16.36% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 3,165,166.78 | 2,489,735.11 | (675,431.67) | -21.34% | 79,629.21 | 94,136.06 | 14,506.85 | 18.22% | |
| 107 | LOUDOUN | DISTRICT | 5,972,096.80 | 6,362,137.76 | 390,040.96 | 6.53% | 364,101.95 | 422,691.61 | 58,589.66 | 16.09% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 106,837.14 | 145,500.76 | 38,663.62 | 36.19% | 12,285.51 | 21,663.73 | 9,378.22 | 76.34% | |
| | | COMBINED | | | - | 0.00% | | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 315,224.78 | 272,092.33 | (43,132.45) | -13.68% | 24,612.96 | 30,371.40 | 5,758.44 | 23.40% | |
| 109 | LOUISA | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | | | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 845,994.04 | 947,894.36 | 101,900.32 | 12.05% | 91,553.49 | 120,354.73 | 28,801.24 | 31.46% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 120,856.89 | 93,074.41 | (27,782.48) | -22.99% | 40,710.47 | 36,069.01 | (4,641.46) | -11.40% | |
| 111 | LUNENBURG | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | | | - | 0.00% | - | | | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 317,495.61 | 271,612.65 | (45,882.96) | -14.45% | 46,080.81 | 46,684.91 | 604.10 | 1.31% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | Court Cl | erks | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|-------------|----------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 395,264.90 | 414,026.23 | 18,761.33 | 4.75% | 5,504.99 | 6,759.12 | 1,254.13 | 22.78% | |
| 113 | MADISON | DISTRICT | | - | | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | | - | | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 990,739.92 | 1,156,387.86 | 165,647.94 | 16.72% | 70,181.43 | 66,822.17 | (3,359.26) | -4.79% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 74,246.16 | 56,451.91 | (17,794.25) | -23.97% | 10,258.11 | 14,474.76 | 4,216.65 | 41.11% | Taxation |
| 115 | MATHEWS | DISTRICT | 72,528.79 | 63,082.89 | (9,445.90) | -13.02% | 12,389.69 | 14,454.48 | 2,064.79 | 16.67% | David S. Hudson |
| | _ | JUVENILE | 11,348.37 | 14,866.57 | 3,518.20 | 31.00% | 3,037.70 | 5,445.38 | 2,407.68 | 79.26% | Taxation |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 977,479.22 | 818,781.07 | (158,698.15) | -16.24% | 80,334.43 | 90,959.89 | 10,625.46 | 13.23% | |
| 117 | MECKLENBURG | DISTRICT | 2,375,243.09 | 2,520,715.25 | 145,472.16 | 6.12% | 219,347.32 | 274,747.23 | 55,399.91 | 25.26% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 35,631.35 | 35,043.24 | (588.11) | -1.65% | 6,015.93 | 7,153.47 | 1,137.54 | 18.91% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | _ | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 144,495.68 | 149,972.22 | 5,476.54 | 3.79% | 30,807.54 | 19,370.24 | (11,437.30) | -37.13% | Taxation |
| 119 | MIDDLESEX | DISTRICT | 344,836.27 | 435,100.39 | 90,264.12 | 26.18% | 22,219.80 | 29,687.19 | 7,467.39 | 33.61% | David S. Hudson |
| 110 | MIDDLEOLX | JUVENILE | 13,706.93 | 13,892.30 | 185.37 | 1.35% | 3,242.66 | 5,045.08 | 1,802.42 | 55.58% | Taxation |
| | | COMBINED | _ | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | _ | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 598,356.98 | 630,454.52 | 32,097.54 | 5.36% | 139,283.70 | 94,440.00 | (44,843.70) | -32.20% | |
| 121 | MONTGOMERY | DISTRICT | 2,384,938.46 | 2,867,454.84 | 482,516.38 | 20.23% | 218,781.25 | 165,469.00 | (53,312.25) | -24.37% | In-House |
| .21 | | JUVENILE | 66,199.69 | 64,329.73 | (1,869.96) | -2.82% | 13,362.22 | 7,218.00 | (6,144.22) | -45.98% | Program |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | _ | 0.00% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | | | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|---------------------|----------|---------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | | | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 100,641.89 | 55,798.41 | (44,843.48) | -44.56% | 17,036.18 | 20,065.05 | 3,028.87 | 17.78% | |
| 125 | NELSON | DISTRICT | 536,617.18 | 490,639.18 | (45,978.00) | -8.57% | 41,117.95 | 44,386.59 | 3,268.64 | 7.95% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 35,361.88 | 32,276.20 | (3,085.68) | -8.73% | 6,050.01 | 9,434.36 | 3,384.35 | 55.94% | |
| | | COMBINED | | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 168,980.73 | 207,622.83 | 38,642.10 | 22.87% | 32,207.11 | 32,610.82 | 403.71 | 1.25% | |
| 127 | NEW KENT | DISTRICT | 1,046,633.84 | 1,243,802.84 | 197,169.00 | 18.84% | 137,144.10 | 155,428.42 | 18,284.32 | 13.33% | David S. Hudson |
| | | JUVENILE | 17,557.52 | 28,000.59 | 10,443.07 | 59.48% | 3,033.98 | 1,979.37 | (1,054.61) | -34.76% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | _ | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 239,269.19 | 248,482.65 | 9,213.46 | 3.85% | 44,893.62 | 50,498.61 | 5,604.99 | 12.49% | |
| 131 | NORTHAMPTON | DISTRICT | 1,143,829.73 | 1,264,042.24 | 120,212.51 | 10.51% | 182,026.51 | 175,151.92 | (6,874.59) | -3.78% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 15,053.46 | 19,240.16 | 4,186.70 | 27.81% | 6,417.85 | 9,171.35 | 2,753.50 | 42.90% | |
| | | COMBINED | | | - | 0.00% | | | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 131,287.49 | 85,181.94 | (46,105.55) | -35.12% | 39,099.49 | 26,585.97 | (12,513.52) | -32.00% | |
| 133 | NORTHUMBER- LAND | DISTRICT | 193,824.13 | 193,397.25 | (426.88) | -0.22% | 27,909.82 | 25,588.70 | (2,321.12) | -8.32% | Taxation |
| | LAND | JUVENILE | 15,428.53 | 13,958.60 | (1,469.93) | -9.53% | 2,671.28 | 2,247.73 | (423.55) | -15.86% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 199,889.02 | 138,726.35 | (61,162.67) | -30.60% | 34,536.15 | 46,336.36 | 11,800.21 | 34.17% | |
| 135 | NOTTOWAY | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | | | - | 0.00% | | _ | | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 527,755.88 | 548,210.31 | 20,454.43 | 3.88% | 75,662.55 | 101,062.06 | 25,399.51 | 33.57% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | FY04 Collections FY05 Collections Variance FY04 to FY05 Percent Variance | | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|--------------|----------|---------------------|---|--------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | | | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 374,655.32 | 284,229.06 | (90,426.26) | -24.14% | 26,241.67 | 26,623.66 | 381.99 | 1.46% | |
| 137 | ORANGE | DISTRICT | - | - | | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 814,859.97 | 796,428.62 | (18,431.35) | -2.26% | 71,604.05 | 87,531.99 | 15,927.94 | 22.24% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 382,407.48 | 273,474.71 | (108,932.77) | -28.49% | 39,276.23 | 33,179.93 | (6,096.30) | -15.52% | |
| 139 | PAGE | DISTRICT | 757,031.41 | 675,200.75 | (81,830.66) | -10.81% | 47,538.44 | 74,372.00 | 26,833.56 | 56.45% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 47,763.63 | 47,004.32 | (759.31) | -1.59% | 10,169.16 | 11,258.55 | 1,089.39 | 10.71% | |
| | | COMBINED | | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 162,889.82 | 133,684.34 | (29,205.48) | -17.93% | 44,940.05 | 60,845.44 | 15,905.39 | 35.39% | |
| 141 | PATRICK | DISTRICT | 214,906.21 | 241,169.60 | 26,263.39 | 12.22% | 23,736.24 | 30,332.78 | 6,596.54 | 27.79% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 18,027.46 | 21,206.52 | 3,179.06 | 17.63% | 4,433.37 | 5,036.68 | 603.31 | 13.61% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 354,519.31 | 292,827.45 | (61,691.86) | -17.40% | 77,022.04 | 75,638.58 | (1,383.46) | -1.80% | |
| 143 | PITTSYLVANIA | DISTRICT | 1,198,342.19 | 1,229,670.58 | 31,328.39 | 2.61% | 98,002.20 | 122,904.30 | 24,902.10 | 25.41% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 61,569.00 | 66,216.71 | 4,647.71 | 7.55% | 7,646.35 | 13,127.23 | 5,480.88 | 71.68% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | | - | _ | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 133,264.35 | 64,648.94 | (68,615.41) | -51.49% | 35,563.64 | 28,637.73 | (6,925.91) | -19.47% | |
| 145 | POWHATAN | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | _ | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 427,883.05 | 551,078.06 | 123,195.01 | 28.79% | 35,368.30 | 37,676.62 | 2,308.32 | 6.53% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | FY04 Collections Variance FY05 Collections Variance FY04 to FY05 Percent Variance | | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|------------------|----------|--------------|---|--------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | | FY05 Collections | | | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 378,405.86 | 342,451.91 | (35,953.95) | -9.50% | 42,547.11 | 42,561.03 | 13.92 | 0.03% | |
| 147 | PRINCE | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | EDWARD | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 1,000,252.79 | 968,019.48 | (32,233.31) | -3.22% | 107,488.75 | 145,559.83 | 38,071.08 | 35.42% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 510,740.88 | 503,414.52 | (7,326.36) | -1.43% | 27,050.62 | 33,883.87 | 6,833.25 | 25.26% | |
| 149 | PRINCE | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | GEORGE | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 1,637,885.10 | 1,812,247.54 | 174,362.44 | 10.65% | 175,513.70 | 214,894.17 | 39,380.47 | 22.44% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 4,927,357.28 | 4,133,018.93 | (794,338.35) | -16.12% | 160,661.93 | 205,701.07 | 45,039.14 | 28.03% | |
| 153 | | DISTRICT | 9,776,892.73 | 9,612,334.13 | (164,558.60) | -1.68% | 887,054.60 | 950,281.87 | 63,227.27 | 7.13% | Wallace |
| | MANASSAS PARK | JUVENILE | 408,078.26 | 385,818.03 | (22,260.23) | -5.45% | 57,205.31 | 57,941.98 | 736.67 | 1.29% | Covington |
| | | COMBINED | | - | _ | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 343,356.65 | 348,263.94 | 4,907.29 | 1.43% | 98,018.31 | 129,816.15 | 31,797.84 | 32.44% | |
| 155 | PULASKI | DISTRICT | 1,178,880.64 | 1,319,556.15 | 140,675.51 | 11.93% | 154,793.73 | 170,796.22 | 16,002.49 | 10.34% | Taxation |
| 100 | I OLNON | JUVENILE | 69,719.74 | 66,099.25 | (3,620.49) | -5.19% | 20,722.41 | 17,995.57 | (2,726.84) | -13.16% | Tuxation |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 91,169.17 | 59,747.25 | (31,421.92) | -34.47% | 14,789.57 | 3,354.71 | (11,434.86) | -77.32% | |
| 157 | RAPPAHAN- | DISTRICT | - | - | _ | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| 107 | NOCK | JUVENILE | | - | _ | 0.00% | | _ | | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | COMBINED | 322,391.79 | 266,853.05 | (55,538.74) | -17.23% | 22,250.29 | 18,530.09 | (3,720.20) | -16.72% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | FY04 Collections FY05 Collections Variance FY04 to FY05 Percent Variance FY04 Net Collections FY05 Net Collections | | | | | alth's Atto | orneys | | |
|-----|------------------------|----------|---|------------------|--------------|---------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | | FY05 Collections | | | | | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 107,670.15 | 97,465.73 | (10,204.42) | -9.48% | 12,572.79 | 11,449.11 | (1,123.68) | -8.94% | |
| 159 | RICHMOND | DISTRICT | | - | _ | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | COUNTY | JUVENILE | - | - | | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 255,629.72 | 269,935.69 | 14,305.97 | 5.60% | 19,305.80 | 24,479.09 | 5,173.29 | 26.80% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 1,485,142.48 | 1,233,737.19 | (251,405.29) | -16.93% | 95,439.62 | 107,511.31 | 12,071.69 | 12.65% | |
| 161 | ROANOKE | DISTRICT | 2,300,184.34 | 2,329,144.83 | 28,960.49 | 1.26% | 234,120.83 | 293,000.39 | 58,879.56 | 25.15% | Taxation |
| | COUNTY | JUVENILE | 117,787.80 | 117,246.81 | (540.99) | -0.46% | 21,548.16 | 21,422.93 | (125.23) | -0.58% | |
| | | COMBINED | | - | - | 0.00% | - | _ | | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 275,136.01 | 361,662.83 | 86,526.82 | 31.45% | 59,196.47 | 88,075.49 | 28,879.02 | 48.79% | |
| 163 | ROCKBRIDGE | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | _ | | 0.00% | Taxation |
| 100 | ROORDRIDGE | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Tuxuton |
| | | COMBINED | 1,430,607.61 | 1,635,316.30 | 204,708.69 | 14.31% | 101,283.53 | 120,362.05 | 19,078.52 | 18.84% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 1,858,170.21 | 1,456,769.46 | (401,400.75) | -21.60% | 155,799.59 | 199,848.08 | 44,048.49 | 28.27% | |
| 165 | ROCKINGHAM COUNTY & | DISTRICT | 3,432,939.82 | 3,024,518.22 | (408,421.60) | -11.90% | 358,499.65 | 393,496.55 | 34,996.90 | 9.76% | Taxation |
| 100 | HARRISONBURG | JUVENILE | 165,058.97 | 164,152.56 | (906.41) | -0.55% | 33,005.43 | 34,165.45 | 1,160.02 | 3.51% | Taxation |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 199,999.30 | 146,979.38 | (53,019.92) | -26.51% | 49,590.05 | 50,183.75 | 593.70 | 1.20% | |
| 167 | RUSSELL | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| 107 | ROOGLE | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | _ | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | COMBINED | 563,302.13 | 544,708.23 | (18,593.90) | -3.30% | 70,229.22 | 78,226.86 | 7,997.64 | 11.39% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | FY04 collections FY05 Collections Variance FY04 to FY05 Percent Variance | | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|-------------------------|----------|---------------------|---|----------------|----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | | | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 183,629.96 | 138,501.11 | (45,128.85) | -24.58% | 25,668.64 | 17,201.10 | (8,467.54) | -32.99% | |
| 169 | SCOTT | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Fines |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Management |
| | | COMBINED | 556,131.02 | 616,297.69 | 60,166.67 | 10.82% | 31,059.25 | 9,327.05 | (21,732.20) | -69.97% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 392,245.95 | 348,251.75 | (43,994.20) | -11.22% | 55,232.25 | 63,691.22 | 8,458.97 | 15.32% | |
| 171 | SHENANDOAH | DISTRICT | | 1,747,070.04 | 1,747,070.04 | 0.00% | 157,622.61 | | (157,622.61) | -100.00% | Taxation |
| | ONEWARDOWN | JUVENILE | 34,487.90 | 34,487.90 | - | 0.00% | 4,740.76 | - | (4,740.76) | -100.00% | Tuxution |
| | | COMBINED | 1,830,363.53 | - | (1,830,363.53) | -100.00% | - | 186,960.08 | 186,960.08 | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 258,149.22 | 230,933.52 | (27,215.70) | -10.54% | 54,879.45 | 77,223.29 | 22,343.84 | 40.71% | |
| 173 | SMYTH | DISTRICT | 911,416.59 | 971,011.21 | 59,594.62 | 6.54% | 105,378.55 | 133,166.42 | 27,787.87 | 26.37% | Taxation |
| 110 | GWITTI | JUVENILE | 34,498.06 | 32,910.99 | (1,587.07) | -4.60% | 10,489.63 | 7,392.17 | (3,097.46) | -29.53% | Tuxution |
| | | COMBINED | _ | - | _ | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 350,386.05 | 410,293.04 | 59,906.99 | 17.10% | 81,938.20 | 87,332.86 | 5,394.66 | 6.58% | In-House |
| 175 | SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY & | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | FRANKLIN CITY | JUVENILE | - | - | _ | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 1,858,598.82 | 1,804,412.68 | (54,186.14) | -2.92% | 201,067.54 | 227,654.69 | 26,587.15 | 13.22% | Taxation |
| | | CIRCUIT | 791,855.89 | 604,379.43 | (187,476.46) | -23.68% | 141,130.12 | 201,965.26 | 60,835.14 | 43.11% | |
| 177 | SPOTSYLVANIA | DISTRICT | 2,806,598.12 | 2,754,890.68 | (51,707.44) | -1.84% | 362,625.82 | 406,350.27 | 43,724.45 | 12.06% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 170,912.04 | 176,718.89 | 5,806.85 | 3.40% | 29,414.27 | 31,307.20 | 1,892.93 | 6.44% | Taxation |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | FY04 Collections FY05 Collections Variance FY04 to FY05 Percent Variance | | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|----------|----------|--------------|---|--------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | | FY05 Collections | | | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 1,793,517.76 | 1,496,548.40 | (296,969.36) | -16.56% | 199,865.07 | 210,653.26 | 10,788.19 | 5.40% | |
| 179 | STAFFORD | DISTRICT | 2,804,039.25 | 3,012,731.29 | 208,692.04 | 7.44% | 369,768.41 | 429,486.86 | 59,718.45 | 16.15% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 168,408.36 | 192,785.09 | 24,376.73 | 14.47% | 31,624.07 | 37,231.94 | 5,607.87 | 17.73% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 35,335.04 | 17,750.47 | (17,584.57) | -49.77% | 11,876.07 | 8,269.72 | (3,606.35) | -30.37% | |
| 181 | SURRY | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 202,221.82 | 190,286.30 | (11,935.52) | -5.90% | 25,419.28 | 24,612.52 | (806.76) | -3.17% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 826,595.88 | 967,067.96 | 140,472.08 | 16.99% | 27,532.41 | 37,245.95 | 9,713.54 | 35.28% | |
| 183 | SUSSEX | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 2,752,361.45 | 2,780,004.46 | 27,643.01 | 1.00% | 193,134.26 | 249,430.81 | 56,296.55 | 29.15% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 543,829.51 | 568,352.36 | 24,522.85 | 4.51% | 184,768.39 | 229,725.49 | 44,957.10 | 24.33% | |
| 185 | TAZEWELL | DISTRICT | 899,753.06 | 953,163.10 | 53,410.04 | 5.94% | 115,750.67 | 148,377.29 | 32,626.62 | 28.19% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 65,971.10 | 72,389.81 | 6,418.71 | 9.73% | 9,589.13 | 13,242.06 | 3,652.93 | 38.09% | |
| | | COMBINED | | - | - | 0.00% | | - | | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 496,088.56 | 501,348.37 | 5,259.81 | 1.06% | 43,044.33 | 62,233.40 | 19,189.07 | 44.58% | |
| 187 | WARREN | DISTRICT | 1,268,651.63 | 1,293,814.87 | 25,163.24 | 1.98% | 134,432.17 | 151,875.74 | 17,443.57 | 12.98% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 65,823.05 | 63,337.08 | (2,485.97) | -3.78% | 12,475.38 | 10,898.39 | (1,576.99) | -12.64% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | FY04 Collections FY05 Collections Variance FY04 to FY05 Percent Variance | | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|-------------------|----------|---------------------|---|--------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | | | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 368,032.35 | 468,589.68 | 100,557.33 | 27.32% | 19,566.71 | 31,519.93 | 11,953.22 | 61.09% | |
| 191 | WASHINGTON | DISTRICT | 1,170,115.12 | 1,469,257.28 | 299,142.16 | 25.57% | 114,957.53 | 140,235.23 | 25,277.70 | 21.99% | County Treasurer |
| | | JUVENILE | 43,820.99 | 53,572.24 | 9,751.25 | 22.25% | 8,078.58 | 7,029.70 | (1,048.88) | -12.98% | |
| | | COMBINED | | - | - | 0.00% | | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 166,024.48 | 154,600.34 | (11,424.14) | -6.88% | 22,252.59 | 21,155.28 | (1,097.31) | -4.93% | |
| 193 | WESTMORE- LAND | DISTRICT | 285,392.37 | 359,419.47 | 74,027.10 | 25.94% | 44,362.27 | 60,241.40 | 15,879.13 | 35.79% | Taxation |
| | LAND | JUVENILE | 20,929.57 | 30,839.09 | 9,909.52 | 47.35% | 3,812.28 | 5,336.21 | 1,523.93 | 39.97% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 911,042.17 | 451,589.90 | (459,452.27) | -50.43% | 66,147.54 | 56,181.05 | (9,966.49) | -15.07% | |
| 195 | WISE COUNTY & | DISTRICT | 1,130,160.48 | 979,010.71 | (151,149.77) | -13.37% | 74,394.98 | 84,019.25 | 9,624.27 | 12.94% | Fines |
| | NORTON | JUVENILE | 27,838.13 | 22,887.28 | (4,950.85) | -17.78% | 4,029.20 | 3,750.38 | (278.82) | -6.92% | Management |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 1,232,539.94 | 1,463,509.92 | 230,969.98 | 18.74% | 20,912.14 | 37,426.60 | 16,514.46 | 78.97% | |
| 197 | WYTHE | DISTRICT | 2,709,128.86 | 3,124,416.78 | 415,287.92 | 15.33% | 166,694.36 | 216,521.41 | 49,827.05 | 29.89% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 77,486.04 | 78,831.87 | 1,345.83 | 1.74% | 15,294.36 | 21,728.81 | 6,434.45 | 42.07% | |
| | | COMBINED | | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | _ | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 791,894.80 | 595,248.71 | (196,646.09) | -24.83% | 111,598.56 | 107,407.38 | (4,191.18) | -3.76% | |
| 199 | YORK | DISTRICT | 1,440,783.07 | 1,623,759.67 | 182,976.60 | 12.70% | 184,833.96 | 218,706.43 | 33,872.47 | 18.33% | Quadros & |
| | | JUVENILE | 76,593.75 | 66,967.58 | (9,626.17) | -12.57% | 13,120.23 | 16,641.02 | 3,520.79 | 26.83% | Associates |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | _ | 0.00% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | Court Cl | erks | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|-------------|----------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 1,844,420.50 | 1,548,057.67 | (296,362.83) | -16.07% | 224,410.17 | 276,286.54 | 51,876.37 | 23.12% | |
| 510 | ALEXANDRIA | DISTRICT | 2,914,362.93 | 2,876,768.30 | (37,594.63) | -1.29% | 314,178.58 | 366,391.83 | 52,213.25 | 16.62% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 55,484.06 | 60,988.43 | 5,504.37 | 9.92% | 22,661.92 | 21,401.74 | (1,260.18) | -5.56% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 428,151.87 | 394,957.37 | (33,194.50) | -7.75% | 34,305.90 | 46,174.90 | 11,869.00 | 34.60% | |
| 520 | BRISTOL | DISTRICT | 793,402.20 | 745,407.90 | (47,994.30) | -6.05% | 98,605.61 | 113,598.38 | 14,992.77 | 15.20% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 31,637.96 | 29,137.01 | (2,500.95) | -7.90% | 6,092.93 | 6,230.81 | 137.88 | 2.26% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | BUENA VISTA | CIRCUIT | 97,805.69 | 124,263.78 | 26,458.09 | 27.05% | 16,283.67 | 19,484.39 | 3,200.72 | 19.66% | |
| 530 | | DISTRICT | - | - | | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 152,649.62 | 228,241.52 | 75,591.90 | 49.52% | 20,363.12 | 22,785.59 | 2,422.47 | 11.90% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 507,899.65 | 550,264.42 | 42,364.77 | 8.34% | 97,481.30 | 143,394.16 | 45,912.86 | 47.10% | |
| 540 | CHARLOTTES- | DISTRICT | 1,111,546.45 | 1,212,769.14 | 101,222.69 | 9.11% | 124,518.40 | 158,670.51 | 34,152.11 | 27.43% | Taxation |
| | VILLE | JUVENILE | 46,262.29 | 53,209.95 | 6,947.66 | 15.02% | 16,084.08 | 18,998.70 | 2,914.62 | 18.12% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 3,729,664.92 | 3,757,361.68 | 27,696.76 | 0.74% | 365,110.53 | 424,591.58 | 59,481.05 | 16.29% | |
| 550 | CHESAPEAKE | DISTRICT | 5,785,338.89 | 6,446,655.01 | 661,316.12 | 11.43% | 840,884.57 | 881,780.60 | 40,896.03 | 4.86% | Huff, Poole & |
| | | JUVENILE | 196,939.09 | 209,352.60 | 12,413.51 | 6.30% | 35,328.34 | 33,971.90 | (1,356.44) | -3.84% | Mahoney |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | Court Cl | erks | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|--------------|----------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 549,991.17 | 501,778.20 | (48,212.97) | -8.77% | 78,610.37 | 102,827.91 | 24,217.54 | 30.81% | |
| 570 | COLONIAL | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | HEIGHTS | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 840,201.74 | 761,180.26 | (79,021.48) | -9.41% | 185,722.89 | 179,630.35 | (6,092.54) | -3.28% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 98,164.52 | 60,066.50 | (38,098.02) | -38.81% | 295,091.18 | 470,813.88 | 175,722.70 | 59.55% | |
| 590 | DANVILLE | DISTRICT | 978,630.97 | 867,452.37 | (111,178.60) | -11.36% | 164,704.68 | 173,800.53 | 9,095.85 | 5.52% | In-House |
| | | JUVENILE | 46,746.44 | 44,034.66 | (2,711.78) | -5.80% | 15,080.06 | 29,823.79 | 14,743.73 | 97.77% | Program |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | EMPORIA | CIRCUIT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | Taxation |
| 595 | | DISTRICT | | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | |
| | | JUVENILE | | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | . and terr |
| | | COMBINED | 1,429,035.16 | 1,413,907.96 | (15,127.20) | -1.06% | - | - | | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | | - | _ | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | |
| 600 | FAIRFAX CITY | DISTRICT | 1,788,656.54 | 2,146,582.83 | 357,926.29 | 20.01% | - | 105,973.81 | 105,973.81 | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| 610 | FALLS CHURCH | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 727,264.69 | 713,342.28 | (13,922.41) | -1.91% | - | 60,615.82 | 60,615.82 | 0.00% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | Court Cl | erks | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|---------------|----------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | In-House |
| 620 | FRANKLIN CITY | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | JUVENILE | | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 214,427.08 | 203,021.23 | (11,405.85) | -5.32% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | CIRCUIT | 731,879.17 | 660,173.01 | (71,706.16) | -9.80% | 131,387.04 | 148,810.16 | 17,423.12 | 13.26% | |
| 630 | | DISTRICT | 1,541,612.07 | 1,444,599.83 | (97,012.24) | -6.29% | 213,861.55 | 211,333.91 | (2,527.64) | -1.18% | Taxation |
| | BURG | JUVENILE | 53,305.85 | 70,911.96 | 17,606.11 | 33.03% | 11,981.29 | 22,607.19 | 10,625.90 | 88.69% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| 640 | | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 295,654.74 | 302,832.22 | 7,177.48 | 2.43% | - | 57,885.76 | 57,885.76 | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 1,667,609.28 | 1,729,956.39 | 62,347.11 | 3.74% | 109,772.00 | 137,248.00 | 27,476.00 | 25.03% | |
| 650 | HAMPTON | DISTRICT | 3,793,915.60 | 3,950,028.94 | 156,113.34 | 4.11% | 402,254.00 | 499,730.00 | 97,476.00 | 24.23% | City Attorney |
| | | JUVENILE | 107,323.29 | 123,156.58 | 15,833.29 | 14.75% | 24,495.00 | 28,114.00 | 3,619.00 | 14.77% | , , |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 217,522.14 | 205,951.15 | (11,570.99) | -5.32% | 73,389.72 | 71,334.83 | (2,054.89) | -2.80% | |
| 670 | HOPEWELL | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 538,613.98 | 572,526.57 | 33,912.59 | 6.30% | 108,146.70 | 129,007.19 | 20,860.49 | 19.29% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | Court Cl | erks | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|-----------------|----------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 964,076.83 | 878,348.09 | (85,728.74) | -8.89% | 180,856.07 | 191,160.62 | 10,304.55 | 5.70% | |
| 680 | LYNCHBURG | DISTRICT | 1,626,379.68 | 1,571,482.64 | (54,897.04) | -3.38% | 290,365.73 | 266,357.74 | (24,007.99) | -8.27% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 81,341.34 | 89,347.33 | 8,005.99 | 9.84% | 26,456.98 | 31,848.85 | 5,391.87 | 20.38% | |
| | | COMBINED | | - | | 0.00% | | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 508,160.47 | 455,863.74 | (52,296.73) | -10.29% | 142,741.15 | 127,580.61 | (15,160.54) | -10.62% | |
| 690 | MARTINSVILLE | DISTRICT | 626,601.33 | 640,641.30 | 14,039.97 | 2.24% | 84,289.23 | 85,730.06 | 1,440.83 | 1.71% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 39,533.89 | 40,825.39 | 1,291.50 | 3.27% | 12,758.36 | 16,139.64 | 3,381.28 | 26.50% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | NEWPORT NEWS | CIRCUIT | 3,231,961.54 | 2,980,636.65 | (251,324.89) | -7.78% | 734,813.25 | 799,085.67 | 64,272.42 | 8.75% | Taxation |
| 700 | | DISTRICT | 5,476,198.50 | 5,328,981.78 | (147,216.72) | -2.69% | 897,359.85 | 1,021,090.67 | 123,730.82 | 13.79% | Quadros |
| | | JUVENILE | 172,281.44 | 219,347.75 | 47,066.31 | 27.32% | 73,803.99 | 90,404.72 | 16,600.73 | 22.49% | Taxation |
| | | COMBINED | | | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 1,048,125.54 | 860,443.97 | (187,681.57) | -17.91% | 559,613.70 | 715,056.62 | 155,442.92 | 27.78% | |
| 710 | NORFOLK | DISTRICT | 5,232,042.61 | 4,742,611.05 | (489,431.56) | -9.35% | 1,240,712.36 | 1,383,676.60 | 142,964.24 | 11.52% | Glasser & |
| | | JUVENILE | 117,086.00 | 103,027.56 | (14,058.44) | -12.01% | 49,601.85 | 54,527.66 | 4,925.81 | 9.93% | Glasser |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 696,083.14 | 606,666.94 | (89,416.20) | -12.85% | 149,564.77 | 148,245.66 | (1,319.11) | -0.88% | |
| 730 | PETERSBURG | DISTRICT | 1,548,372.62 | 1,434,006.49 | (114,366.13) | -7.39% | 234,308.26 | 270,565.69 | 36,257.43 | 15.47% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 83,646.56 | 80,391.93 | (3,254.63) | -3.89% | 41,996.14 | 44,068.11 | 2,071.97 | 4.93% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | Court Cl | erks | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|---------------|----------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 472,140.76 | 411,506.51 | (60,634.25) | -12.84% | 149,564.77 | 849,962.64 | 700,397.87 | 468.29% | |
| 740 | PORTSMOUTH | DISTRICT | 2,185,695.46 | 2,163,745.89 | (21,949.57) | -1.00% | 234,308.26 | 2,191,612.71 | 1,957,304.45 | 835.35% | Roland W. Dodson |
| | | JUVENILE | 87,381.43 | 90,385.76 | 3,004.33 | 3.44% | 41,996.14 | 91,205.26 | 49,209.12 | 117.18% | Douson |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 133,256.68 | 151,720.26 | 18,463.58 | 13.86% | 605,417.18 | 27,950.10 | (577,467.08) | -95.38% | |
| 750 | RADFORD | DISTRICT | | - | - | 0.00% | 2,171,359.82 | | (2,171,359.82) | -100.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | 91,031.08 | | (91,031.08) | -100.00% | Taxation |
| | | COMBINED | 542,037.26 | 550,558.75 | 8,521.49 | 1.57% | | 93,535.97 | 93,535.97 | 0.00% | |
| | RICHMOND CITY | CIRCUIT | 1,142,067.95 | 557,104.83 | (584,963.12) | -51.22% | 21,304.92 | 310,408.77 | 289,103.85 | 1356.98% | Marvel Collections |
| 760 | | DISTRICT | 5,964,393.92 | 5,914,416.25 | (49,977.67) | -0.84% | - | 1,201,407.60 | 1,201,407.60 | 0.00% | |
| | | JUVENILE | 74,638.48 | 69,929.91 | (4,708.57) | -6.31% | - | 46,407.27 | 46,407.27 | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | 111,518.89 | - | (111,518.89) | -100.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 135,370.45 | 156,516.01 | 21,145.56 | 15.62% | 339,418.73 | | (339,418.73) | -100.00% | |
| 764 | | DISTRICT | 520,683.77 | 754,214.80 | 233,531.03 | 44.85% | 1,102,669.83 | | (1,102,669.83) | -100.00% | Marvel Collections |
| | (MANCHESTER) | JUVENILE | | - | - | 0.00% | 47,315.67 | | (47,315.67) | -100.00% | Collections |
| | | COMBINED | | | - | 0.00% | | | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 783,371.16 | 588,334.47 | (195,036.69) | -24.90% | 107,329.62 | 127,187.22 | 19,857.60 | 18.50% | |
| 770 | ROANOKE CITY | DISTRICT | 3,005,197.70 | 2,729,878.31 | (275,319.39) | -9.16% | 265,715.16 | 335,741.53 | 70,026.37 | 26.35% | In-House Brogrom |
| | | JUVENILE | 89,235.70 | 90,815.37 | 1,579.67 | 1.77% | 13,895.39 | 19,173.98 | 5,278.59 | 37.99% | Program |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | _ | 0.00% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | Court Cl | erks | | | Commonwe | alth's Atto | orneys | |
|-----|----------------|----------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | | CIRCUIT | 227,639.73 | 157,871.08 | (69,768.65) | -30.65% | 43,206.04 | 41,240.06 | (1,965.98) | -4.55% | |
| 775 | SALEM | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | 731,689.79 | 603,969.00 | (127,720.79) | -17.46% | 88,712.59 | 88,972.58 | 259.99 | 0.29% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 231,562.42 | 199,702.22 | (31,860.20) | -13.76% | 53,003.21 | 83,879.21 | 30,876.00 | 58.25% | |
| 790 | STAUNTON | DISTRICT | 577,524.88 | 663,490.10 | 85,965.22 | 14.89% | 90,983.18 | 113,316.77 | 22,333.59 | 24.55% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 46,090.69 | 50,845.68 | 4,754.99 | 10.32% | 13,933.45 | 15,972.17 | 2,038.72 | 14.63% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | SUFFOLK | CIRCUIT | 1,137,939.04 | 1,048,667.67 | (89,271.37) | -7.85% | 181,028.95 | 232,650.85 | 51,621.90 | 28.52% | Taxation |
| 800 | | DISTRICT | 1,919,064.35 | 1,764,978.48 | (154,085.87) | -8.03% | 238,035.84 | 286,465.17 | 48,429.33 | 20.35% | |
| | | JUVENILE | 74,116.51 | 78,056.38 | 3,939.87 | 5.32% | 22,063.40 | 27,249.87 | 5,186.47 | 23.51% | |
| | | COMBINED | | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 5,147,105.38 | 5,635,452.35 | 488,346.97 | 9.49% | 573,830.79 | 636,245.87 | 62,415.08 | 10.88% | |
| 810 | VIRGINIA BEACH | DISTRICT | 11,114,935.31 | 11,249,931.47 | 134,996.16 | 1.21% | 1,782,126.21 | 1,829,826.75 | 47,700.54 | 2.68% | Huff, Poole & |
| 0.0 | | JUVENILE | 551,987.43 | 576,536.82 | 24,549.39 | 4.45% | 64,669.25 | 74,206.86 | 9,537.61 | 14.75% | Mahoney |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 183,959.95 | 130,410.98 | (53,548.97) | -29.11% | 62,423.90 | 63,554.12 | 1,130.22 | 1.81% | |
| 820 | WAYNESBORO | DISTRICT | 584,045.41 | 586,029.27 | 1,983.86 | 0.34% | 91,634.49 | 88,790.86 | (2,843.63) | -3.10% | Taxation |
| | | JUVENILE | 48,871.35 | 44,810.48 | (4,060.87) | -8.31% | 12,178.44 | 11,380.27 | (798.17) | -6.55% | |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |

| | LOCALITY | COURT | | Court Cl | erks | | Commonwealth's Attorneys | | | | |
|-----|--------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LOC | | | FY04 Collections | FY05 Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | FY04 Net Collections | FY05 Net Collections | Variance FY04 to FY05 | Percent Variance | Collection Method |
| | WILLIAMSBURG | CIRCUIT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| 830 | | DISTRICT | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | 6,188.33 | 6,188.33 | 0.00% | David S. Hudson |
| | | JUVENILE | | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | | 0.00% | |
| | | COMBINED | | _ | _ | 0.00% | - | - | _ | 0.00% | |
| | | CIRCUIT | 522,986.45 | 573,121.65 | 50,135.20 | 9.59% | 81,018.10 | 106,270.66 | 25,252.56 | 31.17% | |
| 840 | WINCHESTER | DISTRICT | 1,080,297.98 | 1,216,734.81 | 136,436.83 | 12.63% | 188,832.71 | 201,350.92 | 12,518.21 | 6.63% | Taxation |
| 040 | WINCHLOTER | JUVENILE | 41,878.01 | 55,696.40 | 13,818.39 | 33.00% | 10,307.96 | 11,185.51 | 877.55 | 8.51% | Tuxution |
| | | COMBINED | - | - | - | 0.00% | - | - | - | 0.00% | |
| | TOTAL | ALL COURTS | \$322,856,778.31 | \$321,716,258.93 | (\$1,140,519.38) | -0.35% | \$39,500,884.73 | \$44,260,895.44 | \$4,760,010.71 | 12.05% | |

Courts Fines and Fees Data - Assessment and collection data for courts is provided by the Supreme Court of Virginia in the Financial Management System's Final BR22 Report (excluding Fairfax County, which reports assessment and collection information directly to the Compensation Board, beginning in FY05). Some collection data is reported separately by the Supreme Court for the cities of Emporia, Fairfax, Falls Church, Franklin, Galax, and Richmond (Manchester). The Supreme Court of Virginia reports combined fines and fees assessment and collection efforts for James City County / Williamsburg, Prince William / Manassas / Manassas Park, Wise / Norton, and Rockingham / Harrisonburg.

Delinquent Fines and Fees Data - A collection agent for each locality provides assessment and collection data for delinquent fines and fees. Such data is certified by the Commonwealth's Attorney and reported to the Compensation Board for annual reporting. The Commonwealth's Attorney's data combines reporting of assessment and collection efforts for Fairfax County / Fairfax City, Greensville County / Emporia, Prince William / Manassas / Manassas Park, Rockingham / Harrisonburg, Southampton County / Franklin City, Wise / Norton, and Richmond City / Richmond City (Manchester). The Commonwealth's Attorneys for Arlington / Falls Church, Grayson / Galax, and James City County / Williamsburg report fines and fees assessment and collection efforts separately for the county and city.

APPENDIX

Appendix 1

FY05 Collection Form for Fines and Fees (Commonwealth's Attorneys)

Appendix 2

Supreme Court Guidelines and Model Contract for the Collection of Unpaid Fines, Costs, Forfeitures, and Penalties by Private Contractors, January 14, 1999

Appendix 3

FY06 Policy Statement for Fines and Fees (Commonwealth's Attorney)

Appendix 4

FY06 Policy Statement for Fines and Fees (Clerk of the Circuit Court)

Appendix 5

FY06 Election Method for the Collection of Fines and Fees (Commonwealth's Attorney)

Appendix 6

<u>Code of Virginia</u> sections on the collection of fines and fees, including the full text of § 19.2-349.

Appendix 1: FY05 Collection Form for Fines and Fees (Commonwealth's Attorney)

Report of the Commonwealth's Attorney for July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005. Pursuant to § 19.2-349 B, Code of Virginia

| | Commonwealth's | Attorney for:_ | | | | city/ | county | Locality Code: | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | Column A Total Assessments delinguent fines, costs, | Column B Deleted or Removed accounts | Column C PAID accounts as reported by Department of | Colum Subtot Assess | al - Net | Column E Total - Gross Collections of fines, costs, forfeitures, | Collection Fee | Column G Total - Net Collections | Column H Collection Rate | | |
| COURT | forfeitures, penalties & restitution assessed in this period | | Taxation's Debt Set-off Program | (Col A mi minus Co | | penalties & restitution collected and deposited in this period | | (Col E minus Col F) | (Col E divided by Col D) | | |
| Circuit | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ | % | | |
| General District | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ | % | | |
| J & DR | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ | % | | |
| Combined | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ | % | | |
| Totals | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ | % | | |
| COURT | FY05 Collection Method | IN-HOUSE C | ollections O | NLY | | mation provided to me by the ate statement of the total fines | | | | | |
| Circuit | | Total from Column F above | | | Date: | | | | | | |
| General District | | Expenses for collection efforts | | | Printed N | ame: | | | | | |
| J & DR | | Surplus/(deficit) | Surplus/(deficit) | | Signature |): | | | | | |
| Combined | | To locality \$ | | | Collection Methods in FY05: | | | | | | |
| Totals | | To state | \$ | \$ | | DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION INHOUSE PROGRAM CITY / COUNTY TREASURER | | | | | |
| | | | | | LUCAL ATTO | RNEY (provide name) | PRIVATE COLLEC | TION AGENT (provide r | ame) | | |

FY05 Instructions for Collection Form for Fines and Fees Report of the Commonwealth's Attorney

Please type information on form. Fill out one form <u>per locality</u>. Do not combine data for separate localities - The Commonwealth's Attorney has discretion to delegate preparation of the fines and fees collection form to the collection agent or an employee of the office, but ultimately the Commonwealth's Attorney is responsible for the content of the report. The Auditor of Public Accounts reviews these reports on an annual basis; therefore, all information used to prepare the report should be kept available.

For General District Court reporting, please combine separate divisions into one general district amount and submit one form. For localities that use more than one collection method during the year, please combine the amounts and submit one form.

- **Column A:** Enter the total amount of **assessments** for delinquent fines, costs, forfeitures, penalties, and restitution in this period (as taken from the Financial Management System of the Supreme Court of Virginia BR22 "Receivable Balances, Total Sent to Collections and Collections Ratios f or Courts June, 2005" report).
- **Column B:** Enter the amount of **accounts manually removed** by the Clerk of the Court. The Commonwealth's Attorney should approve amounts manually removed. Supporting documentation, including the reason f or the removal, should be maintained for auditing purposes.
- **Column C:** Enter the amount of **accounts reported as "paid**" by Department of Taxation's Debt Set-Off program (provided by the Clerk of the Court).
- **Column D:** Enter the Subtotal. This subtotal represents **net assessments**. Column D equals Column A minus Column B minus Column C (D = A B C).
- **Column E:** Enter the total amount of **gross collections** for fines, costs, forfeitures, penalties, and restitution deposited in the reporting period (provided by the Department of Taxation, your In-House program, city/county Treasurer, local attorney, or private collection agent).
- **Column F:** Enter the **fee for collection services**. If your office is charged a flat fee for collecting fines and fees, enter that amount in this column.
- **Column G:** Enter the **net collections** that were deposited to the court. Column G equals Column E minus Column F (G = E F). Reconcile to the Clerk of the Court's records.
- **Column H:** Calculate the **collection rate** of each court. Column H equals Column E divided by Column D (H = E / D).

Collection Agent: Enter fines and fees data and collection method. **Commonwealth's Attorney:** sign, date, and print name. **Fax** to Lisa Carson at (804) 371-0235 not later than Monday, **August 15, 2005.**

Mail original to Lisa Carson, Compensation Board, P.O. Box 710, Richmond, VA 23218-0710.

Appendix 2: Supreme Court Guidelines and Model Contract for the Collection of Unpaid Fines, Costs, Forfeitures, and Penalties by Private Contractors, January 14, 1999

| IIVE SECRETARY Set a Balgann Secutive Secretary Jerica & Hooheft, Je | SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA Administrative Office Third Floor 100 North Ninth Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 (804) 786-5455 | DIR., DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICES EAABARA L HUIDURT DIR., EDUCATIONAL SERVICES INGULAS ALANONGE, IN DIR., ISCAL SERVICES ICARLES WORD, JR DIR., JUDICIAL FULANNING XATHYL WAYS DIR., LUCIAL FULANNING DIR., INFORMATION SYSTEMS INF., INFORMATION SYSTEMS INF., PERSONNEL CATHERINE F. ADLE DIR., FECHNICAL ASSISTANCE BONALD R. LUCIO |
|---|---|--|
| | MEMORANDUM | |
| ТО: | Circuit and District Court Judges Commonwealth's Attorneys Circuit and District Court Clerks | |
| FROM: | Robert N. Baldwin ANB Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court of Virginia | |
| DATE: | January 14, 1999 | |
| RE: | Guidelines and Model Form Contract for the Collection of Forfeitures, and penalties by Private Contractors | Unpaid Fines, Costs, |

As directed by the 1998 Appropriations Act, a workgroup composed of representatives of the Department of Accounts, the Commonwealth Competition Council, the Commonwealth Attorney Services Council, the Auditor of Public Accounts, the State Compensation Board, the Department of Taxation, the Office of the Attorney General, the Supreme Court of Virginia, a private collection attorney, and court personnel, was established to develop procedures and guidelines and a model contract for the collection of delinquent court ordered fines, costs, forfeitures, and penalties by private collection attorneys or the Department of Taxation.

The enclosed GUIDELINES PROMULGATED PURSUANT TO VIRGINIA CODE §19.2-349 and the FORM FOR CONTRACTS CREATED PURSUANT TO VIRGINIA CODE §19.2-349 have been approved by the Office of the Attorney General, the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court, the Department of Taxation, and the Compensation Board.

The new language in the guidelines and form for contracts were developed to allow debtors to have the option of paying their debt at either the appropriate court or to the private collection contractor. If the debtor makes payments directly to the court, the compensation due to a private contractor for the collection of that account will be calculated at a rate three percentage points less than the amount agreed to in the contract by the Commonwealth's Attorney and the private contractor. It is the responsibility of the private contractor to notify the Director of Management Information Systems for the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court of Virginia in writing of the percentage rate agreed to by the Commonwealth Attorney and the contractor within five business days of the effective date of the contract.

Compensation Board

Pursuant to subsection E of item 22 of Chapter 1 of the 1998 Special Session of the General Assembly, the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court (OES) has enhanced the automated financial management system (FMS) to accept payments made directly / to the court by debtors. Additionally, procedures have been established for the clerks of all district and circuit courts to accept the remittance of unpaid delinquent court-ordered fines, costs,²⁴ forfeitures, and penalties. Court clerks shall transmit to the private collection contractor on a weekly basis a record of all payments received during that period by the court directly from the debtor. The clerk will also include a check drawn on the court's account made payable to the private contractor which reflects all commissions due the contractor for payments receipted by the court during that period on accounts of the contractor and any necessary adjustments for items such as disbonored checks and disputed credit card payments. The commission check will be transmitted with the court's weekly report of payments received.

Once a contract has been executed by a Commonwealth Attorney and a private collection, contractor or the Department of Taxation, the Commonwealth Attorney should promptly notify the Clerk of the appropriate court as to the effective date of the contract.

Should you have any questions regarding the guidelines or model form contract please contact the Department of Technical Assistance for the Office of the Executive Secretary of the t Supreme Court of Virginia at (804) 786-6455.

Attachments

GUIDELINES PROMULGATED PURSUANT TO VIRGINIA CODE § 19.2-349 October 1, 1998

PURPOSE OF GUIDELINES

The primary purposes of these guidelines are to provide the framework for Commonwealth's Attorneys to contract with a private contractor (a private attorney or a private collection agency) or the Department of Taxation for collecting unpaid fines, costs, forfeitures and penalties and, thereby to assist the Commonwealth's Attorneys in meeting their statutory duties under Virginia Code § 19.2-349 to make inquiry as to why such amounts are unpaid and, if they can be satisfied, to institute collection procedures. The guidelines are also designed to provide for an effective coordination of efforts among the courts, Commonwealth's Attorneys, and the contractors. This coordination includes fiscal accountability and proper reporting to ensure proper and timely crediting of payments.

These guidelines are authorized by Virginia Code § 19.2-349, which requires that all contracts must comply with guidelines promulgated by the Office of the Attorney General, the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court, the Department of Taxation, and the Compensation Board.

CONTRACT GUIDELINES

1. The contract made pursuant to Virginia Code § 19.2-349 is made hetween a contractor, either an attorney in private practice who is a member in good standing with the Virginia State Bar, a private collection agency or the Department of Taxation, and the Commonwealth's Attorney for the local jurisdiction. The contractor is an independent contractor and not an agent of the Commonwealth's Attorney. The contract contemplates that the contractor shall make reasonable and diligent efforts by lawful means to collect unpaid fines, costs, forfeitures or penalties in cases referred to a contractor by the Commonwealth's Attorney. Court-ordered restitution in a criminal case is not a debt contemplated under the contract. [See Form Contract Paragraphs 1, 2].

2. The contracting attorney may not subcontract to any other individual or agency unless co-counsel is required in those instances when the debtor is located in another state. Any such subcontract must be approved in writing by the Commonwealth's Attorney. This provision does not prohibit the use of other lawyers in the same firm or professional corporation. A contracting collection agency may not refer the account to another collection agency or to an attorney. [See Form Contract Paragraph 3].

3. The period of the contract will be twelve months. It may be renewed for no more than four additional twelve-month periods, provided such renewals are pursuant to prevailing terms and conditions as promulgated and amended by the Office of the Attorney General, the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court, the Department of Taxation and the Compensation Board. The contractor will be compensated in an amount negotiated between the Commonwealth's Attorney and the contractor. Typically, this amount will not exceed 30 percent of all monies actually collected through the contractor's efforts. It is the responsibility of the contractor to notify the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court of the compensation percentage rate agreed to by the Commonwealth's Attorney and the contractor. Such notification shall occur within five business days of the effective date of the court, the compensation due to a private contractor for the collection of that account shall be calculated at a rate which is three percentage points less than the amount agreed to by the Commonwealth's Attorney and the private contractor. [See Form Contract Paragraphs 4, 8].

4. Each account shall be transferred to the contractor at the time the contract is effective until the account is collected in full or until termination, whichever occurs first, subject to the provisions of Virginia Code § 19.2-341. The compensation due the contractor shall be calculated by the terms of the contract in effect at the time the payment is collected, even if the contractor had undertaken collection efforts on the account under a predecessor contract prior to the effective date of the current contract. [See Form Contract Paragraphs 8, 9].

Compensation Board

5. Should the contract terminate for any reason whatsoever, the contractor shall return all accounts to the Commonwealth's Attorney together with a report containing the account status, address and employment information concerning the debtor, to the extent permitted by law. In addition, the contractor shall provide a schedule of all accounts which have been docketed in any circuit court. A copy of this report shall also be sent to the appropriate court. The contractor shall also file a final report in compliance with paragraphs 9 and 10 of the guidelines. After the contract has terminated, the contractor may not accept direct payments from the debtor and the contractor will not be compensated for any payments made to the court. The contractor may not begin collection efforts on any new accounts after the contract period has terminated. [See Form Contract Paragraphs 4, 7].

6. The contract is subject to amendment at any time by the provisions approved by the Office of the Attorney General, the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court, the Department of Taxation, and the Compensation Board. However, should any such required amendment be unacceptable to any party, that party may terminate the contract. The parties are bound to adhere to current guidelines as they are promulgated and/or amended by the Office of the Attorney General, the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court, the Department of Taxation, and the Compensation Board. Amendments to the guidelines will be sent to the contractor within five business days after receipt by the Commonwealth's Attorney. In general, either party may terminate the contract for any reason upon ninety days notice. If the contract is terminated, the contractor must return all unused forms which have been provided by the court for his use in his duties pursuant to the contract. [See Form Contract Paragraphs 5, 6, 7].

7. The clerk of the appropriate court will provide to the Commonwealth's Attorney a list of cases having unpaid fines, costs, forfeitures and penalties, hereafter called "accounts," which will be in the form of a list of judgments resulting from such cases. This list will be provided to the contractor by the Commonwealth's Attorney. The contractor will not be provided the file or copies of the files on a routine basis. However, the clerk will provide reasonable access to such files on a mutually convenient schedule with the contractor. [See Form Contract Paragraph 9].

Compensation Board

8. The contractor has no authority whatsoever to compromise or settle a claim for less than the amount due, including interest. The contractor shall be responsible for calculating and collecting ongoing interest using interest tables provided by the appropriate court. [See Form Contract Paragraph 10].

9. (a) A private contractor shall make reports and disbursements to the clerk of the appropriate court no less frequently than on a monthly basis. A copy of these reports must be provided to the Commonwealth's Attorney for information purposes. The contractor may choose to make reports and disbursements more frequently than on a monthly basis. Each report, however, must be accompanied by a disbursement check to cover the monies collected by the private contractor since the last report filed. [See Form Contract Paragraphs 11, 12].

(b) Pursuant to subsection E of item 22 of Chapter 1 of the 1998 Special Session of the General Assembly, the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court (OES) has established the following procedures for the clerks of all district and circuit courts to accept the remittance of unpaid delinquent court-ordered fines, costs, forfeitures and penalties:

> On a weekly basis, the clerk of the appropriate court shall transmit to the private contractor a record of all payments received during that period by the court directly from the debtor. On a weekly basis, the clerk will draw a check on the court's account made payable to the private contractor which reflects all commissions due the contractor for payments receipted by the court during that period on accounts of the contractor and any necessary adjustments for items such as dishonored checks and disputed credit card payments. This check will be transmitted with the court's weekly report of payments received.

10. A private contractor may wait for a period of no longer than one monthly reporting period after receipt of a debtor's check to ensure payment on the debtor's check before report and disbursement of these monies to the clerk. No refunds will be made by the clerk to the contractor

for non-payment on a debtor's check. [See Form Contract Paragraphs 11, 12].

11. A private contractor must use forms which are approved by the OES. The contractor must use any new forms created by the OES, any forms as amended by the OES, and cease using forms withdrawn by the OES as such changes are implemented, without further amendment of the contract. Notification of formal changes shall be sent to the contractor within five business days after receipt by the Commonwealth's Attorney. [See Form Contract Paragraph 13].

12. The contractor shall not engage in any unethical or illegal actions in the collection of these accounts. A private contractor must agree to bear the liability for any errors, damages, or injuries that occur during the course of his contract and as a result of his contract work. The Commonwealth of Virginia and the Commonwealth's Attorney must be held harmless for any such damages. The contractor must also agree to retain all records for a period of at least five years and make the records available for inspection at any time by the Auditor of Public Accounts and/or by the Commonwealth's Attorney. [See Form Contract Paragraphs 14, 15].

13. At no additional cost to the Commonwealth of Virginia (including its courts and its Commonwealth's Attorneys), the contractor will bond or otherwise insure through insurers the faithful performance of all personnel in his office who may collect and disburse funds collected on unpaid fines, costs, forfeitures and penalties for at least \$10,000.00 each, or such higher sum as will provide coverage for collections, and shall provide documentary proof of such coverage to the Commonwealth's Attorney. The bond applicable to the Department of Taxation pursuant to Virginia Code § 58.1-201 shall satisfy this requirement. [See Form Contract Paragraph 16].

GUIDELINES FOR PARTIES TO THE CONTRACT

A. Only one contractor shall be under contract at any time to collect any unpaid fines, costs, forfeitures and penalties imposed by a particular court.

B. In selecting a contractor, the Commonwealth's Attorney should take into account the expertise of each potential contractor together with the fee for which he is willing to perform services. There are a variety of ways in which such services can be obtained on a competitive basis, such as a Request for Proposal or competitive negotiations with several qualified potential contractors at the same time. Selection of a contractor on a competitive basis shall be made in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Virginia Public Procurement Act, Virginia Code §§ 11-35 through 11-80.

C. Commonwealth's Attorneys who serve on a part-time basis may not contract with themselves in their private capacity to make collections for the various courts.

D. Parties to the contract should be aware that it may be a violation of the Virginia Code of Professional Responsibility for a contractor who is an attorney to represent defendants in criminal cases in the same jurisdiction in which the Commonwealth's Attorney prosecutes criminal cases.

E. The Commonwealth's Attorney shall provide to the clerk of the appropriate court a list of all accounts which have been referred to the contractor for collection by use of an appropriately marked copy of the list. A copy of any contract entered into by the Commonwealth's Attorney pursuant to Virginia Code § 19.2-349 shall be promptly filed after execution with the clerk of the court for which money is to be collected.

F. The contractor should be advised that the Commonwealth's Attorney has access to certain information from the Department of Motor Vehicles and/or the Virginia Employment Commission which could facilitate the collection process. The Commonwealth's Attorney should provide this information to the contractor when requested in a manner acceptable to the Commonwealth's Attorney. The contractor may use this information only in furtherance of collection efforts under the terms of the contract.

G. The contractor must take appropriate steps to ensure that judgments are docketed in each jurisdiction wherein the debtor is known to own an interest in realty. The contractor should notify the clerk in writing when a judgment has been docketed in a jurisdiction other than that in which the fines, costs, forfeitures and penalties were originally imposed. The contractor must release any judgment obtained while under contract upon full payment at any time while the contractor retains the authority to do so under the terms of the contract. [See Form Contract Paragraph 7]

H. In entering into contracts under these Guidelines, Commonwealth's Attorneys should note that, because the Department of Taxation is a state agency, it may not be subject to all the provisions of the Model Contract which has been developed pursuant to the Guidelines. For example, the Department of Taxation is prohibited from disclosing information obtained from the United States Internal Revenue Service.

FORM FOR CONTRACTS CREATED PURSUANT TO VIRGINIA CODE § 19.2-349 October 1, 1998

1. <u>PURPOSE</u>: The purpose of this contract is to obtain the services of the contractor to cause, on behalf of the Commonwealth, proper proceedings to be instituted for the collection and satisfaction of such fines, costs, forfeitures, and penalties and interest thereon as may be referred to him for collection and satisfaction by the Commonwealth. The parties expressly agree that the contractor is an independent contractor and not an agent of the Commonwealth's Attorney. Court-ordered payment of restitution to the victims of crimes is excluded from the terms of this contract. [See Contract Guidelines 1,2].

2. <u>EFFORTS</u>: The contractor shall make reasonable and diligent efforts by lawful means to collect all unpaid fines, costs, forfeitures or penalties and interest thereon in cases referred to him by the Commonwealth's Attorney. The contractor's failure to make such reasonable and diligent efforts may result in termination of the contract without prior notice. [See Contract Guideline 1].

3. <u>ASSIGNMENT OF CONTRACT</u>: This contract shall not be assignable by the contractor, in whole or in part. However, legal counsel may be retained, if the contractor is an attorney, for the assistance of collection efforts on individual cases if the debtor is located in a jurisdiction outside the Commonwealth of Virginia. Such a subcontract must be approved writing by the Commonwealth's Attorney. Any legal counsel retained in such a case must be located in the state in which the debtor is found. This provision does not prohibit the use of other lawyers in the same firm or professional corporation. [See Contract Guideline 2].

a. The contract is renewable at the end of twelve months upon agreement of the parties and subject to terms and conditions promulgated by the Office of the Attorney General, the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court, the Department of Taxation, and the Compensation Board, as they may exist at the time of renewal. After the initial term of the contract, the contract may not be renewed for more than four additional twelve-month periods.

b. In the event the contract terminates, either due to non-renewal or through the exercise of the right to termination by either or both parties, the contractor must relinquish all accounts to the Commonwealth's Attorney. After the contract has terminated, the contractor may not continue collection efforts on cases begun prior to the termination.

c. After the contract has terminated, a contractor may not accept payment from any debtor and the contractor will not be compensated for any payments made to the court for an account which had been referred to the contractor. [See Contract Guidelines 3, 5].

5. <u>AMENDMENTS</u>: This contract is subject to amendment at any time by provisions approved by the Office of the Attorney General, the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court, the Department of Taxation, and the Compensation Board upon reasonable notice. If any such amendment is unacceptable to either party, that party may terminate the contract as provided in paragraph No.7 of this contract [See Contract Guideline 6].

6. <u>ADHERENCE</u>: The parties covenant and agree that they will at all times abide by current guidelines then in force and effect as they are promulgated and/or amended by the Office of the Attorney General, the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court, the Department of Taxation, and the Compensation Board. [See Contract Guideline 6].

7. <u>TERMINATION</u>: Either party has the right to terminate this contract upon ninety days notice for any reason whatsoever. Upon termination, whether pursuant to this paragraph or to a failure to renew this contract in accordance with subparagraph 4(a), the contractor must return to the Clerk of the ______ Court of ______ City/County all unused forms provided to him by the said Court for use in the performance of the contractor's duties under this contract. The contractor shall also return all accounts to the Commonwealth's Attorney with a report containing the account status, address and employment information

Compensation Board

concerning the debtor, to the extent permitted by law. In addition, the contractor shall provide a schedule of all accounts which have been docketed in any circuit court. A copy of this report shall also be sent to the appropriate court. [See Contract Guidelines 5, 6, G].

8. COMPENSATION:

- (a) The contractor shall be compensated in the amount of ______ percent of all monies collected and paid directly to the contractor. It is the responsibility of the contractor to notify the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court of the rate of compensation agreed to by the Commonwealth's Attorney and the contractor. Such notification shall occur within five business days of the effective / date of the commencement, renewal or amendment of this contract. The compensation due the contractor shall be calculated by the terms of the contract in effect at the time the payment is collected, even if the contractor had undertaken collection efforts on the account under a predecessor contract prior to the effective date of the current contract.
- (b) For those payments made directly to the court on the contractor's accounts, the contractor shall be compensated at a rate which is three percentage points less than the rate agreed to by the Commonwealth's Attorney and the contractor. (This subparagraph applies only to contracts with private contractors.) [See Contract Guidelines 3, 4].

9. <u>FORM OF REFERRAL</u>: By statute (Va. Code §§ 19.2-340 and 19.2-341), unpaid fines, costs, forfeitures and penalties constitute a judgment in favor of the Commonwealth for which "execution may issue thereupon in the same manner as upon any other monetary judgment." Therefore, the clerk of the appropriate court will provide to the Commonwealth's Attorney a list of unpaid fines, costs, forfeitures and penalties. This list will contain the following:

- (1) The debtor's name;
- (2) The debtor's address according to the court's record;
- (3) If known, the debtor's social security number or driver's license number;
- (4) The principal amount due; and
- (5) The date from which interest is calculated.

This list will be provided to the contractor by the Commonwealth's Attorney. The contractor will not be provided the case files or copies of the files on a routine basis. Subject to the provisions of Virginia Code § 19.2-341, each account shall be transferred to the contractor until the account is collected in full or until termination of the contract, whichever occurs first. [See Contract Guidelines 4, 7].

10. <u>SETTLEMENT OF CLAIMS</u>: The parties to this contract expressly covenant and agree that the contractor has no authority whatsoever to compromise or otherwise settle any case for less than the amount of the judgment plus any accrued interest. [See Contract Guideline 8].

11. <u>REPORTING REQUIREMENTS</u>: The contractor will make a monthly report of collections on approved forms to the clerk of the appropriate court covering each calendar month. The monthly report shall be filed with the clerk on or before the fifteenth calendar day of the next following month. An informational copy of the monthly report also shall be sent to the *Commonwealth's* Attorney. (This paragraph applies only to contracts with private contractors.) [See Contract Guidelines 9, 10].

12. <u>DISBURSEMENT OF COLLECTIONS</u>: A private contractor shall make monthly disbursements of monies collected for each calendar month. The disbursement must be made by check drawn on an account of the contractor, which is to be made payable to the clerk of the appropriate court. The disbursement check is to be filed simultaneously with the monthly report on or before the fifteenth calendar day of the next following month. (This paragraph applies only to contracts with private contractors.) [See Contract Guidelines 9, 10].

13. <u>MANDATORY USE OF STATE FORMS</u>: Because of the Commonwealth's need for uniform accounting and reporting procedures within its courts, the contractor:

- Will use forms approved by the Office of the Executive Secretary for handling and reporting the collection transactions in accordance with the instructions for using such forms provided through the clerk; and
- (2) Will use all new forms and the latest version of existing forms as they are promulgated in accordance with the instructions for using such forms and cease using any form the use of which has been officially

terminated.

As used in this contract:

"Accounts" means all individual listings of unpaid fines, costs, penalties, forfeitures and interest provided by the court.

"Forms" means official forms approved by the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court of Virginia.

"Instructions" means the printed official directions for completing and distributing official forms. These instructions will be printed by the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court of Virginia and distributed through the Clerk.

"Clerk" means the Clerk of the Court whose fines, costs, forfeitures, and penalties are being collected by the contractor.

[See Contract Guideline 11].

14. <u>INSPECTION AND RETENTION OF RECORDS</u>: The contractor expressly agrees to allow inspection and audit at any time by the Auditor of Public Accounts and/or the Commonwealth's Attorney of all records and files pertaining to accounts referred under this contract. The contractor further expressly agrees to retain the records of transactions under this contract for a period of no less than five years. [See Contract Guideline 12].

15. CIVIL LIABILITY:

(a) The contractor shall not engage in any unethical or illegal actions in the collection of these accounts.

(b) The contractor agrees to be responsible for the work, to indemnify, to defend and to hold harmless the Commonwealth's Attorney, the Commonwealth of Virginia, its officers, agents and employees from the payment of all sums of money by reason of any claim against them arising out of any and all occurrences resulting in bodily or mental injury or property or monetary damage that may happen to occur in connection with and during the performance of the contract including but not limited to claims under the Workers' Compensation Act. The contractor agrees that he will, at all times, after completion of the work, be responsible for the work, indemnify, defend and hold blameless the Commonwealth's Attorney, the Commonwealth of Virginia, its officers, agents, and employees from all liabilities resulting from bodily or mental injury or property or monetary damage directly or indirectly arising out of the performance or non-performance of the contract (This subparagraph applies only to contracts with private contractors.) [See Contract Guideline 12].

16. <u>PERFORMANCE BOND</u>: The contractor, at no additional cost to the Commonwealth of Virginia (including its courts and its Commonwealth's Attorneys), will bond or otherwise insure through third party insurers licensed to do business in Virginia the faithful performance of the contractor and each person employed by him who may collect and disburse any unpaid fines, costs and other sums which the contractor was employed to collect. Each such person shall be individually bonded or insured for at least \$10,000.00 or such higher sum as will provide coverage for collections, with the Commonwealth of Virginia listed as an additional insured. The contractor shall provide documentary proof of such coverage to the Commonwealth's Attorney. The bond applicable to the Department of Taxation pursuant to Virginia Code § 58.1-201 shall satisfy this requirement. [See Contract Guideline 13].

17. <u>ETHICS IN PUBLIC CONTRACTING</u>: By entering into this contract, the contractor certifies that the contract is made without collusion or fraud and that he has not offered or received any kickbacks or inducements from any other potential contractor in connection with the award of this contract, and the contractor further certifies that he has not conferred on any public employee having official responsibility for making the award of this contract, any payment, loan, subscription, advance, deposit of money, services or anything of more than nominal value, present or promised unless consideration of substantially equal or greater value was exchanged.

18. <u>APPLICABLE LAW AND COURTS</u>: This contract shall be governed in all respects by the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia and any litigation will respect thereto shall be brought in the courts of the Commonwealth. The contractor shall comply with applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations.

19. <u>DEBARMENT STATUS</u>: By entering into this contract, the contractor certifies that he is not currently debarred from submitting bids/proposals on contracts by any agency of the Commonwealth of Virginia, nor is he an

agent of any person or entity that is currently debarred from submitting bids/proposals on contracts by any agency of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

20. <u>MEMBERSHIP IN VIRGINIA STATE BAR</u>: By entering into this contract, the contractor certifies that he is a member in good standing of the Virginia State Bar. (This provision applies only if the contractor is an attorney.)

IN WITNESS THEREOF the parties have caused the agreement to be executed by the following duly-authorized officials:

| | Commonwealth's Attorney |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| for | City/County on |
| (Date) | |
| | Contractor's Name and Title |
| or Corporate Affiliation on | (Date) |

Appendix 3: FY06 Policy Statement for Fines and Fees (Commonwealth's Attorney)

Policy and Procedure

| Code of Virginia | Pursuant to § 19.2-349, <u>Code of Virginia</u>, a Commonwealth's Attorney is required to: Cause proper proceedings to be instituted for the collection and satisfaction of all fines, costs, forfeitures, penalties and restitution that are delinquent as determined by the court; Follow collection guidelines promulgated by the Office of the Attorney General, the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court with the Department of Taxation and the Compensation Board; If Commonwealth's Attorney does not undertake collection, he/she must contract with an outside agent for collection services; Fees of any private attorney or collection agencies are paid on a contingency fee basis out the proceeds of the amounts collected; and Report annual Fines and Fees assessments and collections to the Compensation Board for the annual Fines and Fees Report. | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Policy | Prompt payment of fines and fees are ordered by the Court as a form of punishment for an offense committed, as well as a legitimate source of revenue for the locality and the Commonwealth. A Commonwealth's Attorney must take all measures under law to ensure that all fines, costs, penalties, forfeitures, and restitution as ordered by the Court are paid in full. | | | | |
| Procedure | The Attorney for the Commonwealth must choose one of the following collection methods by completing and signing a FY06 Election Method for the Collection of Fines and Fees form and return it to the Compensation Board. Collection methods include: Department of Taxation; In-House Staff; Private Collection Agent; Local Attorney; or City or County Treasurer. | | | | |
| Effective Date | This policy is effective July 1, 2003, unless otherwise noted, and shall remain in effect unless further amended by the Commonwealth's Attorney. Any amendments to this policy shall be communicated to and are subject to review by the Compensation Board. | | | | |
| Frank Drew, Chair Compensation Bo | | | | | |

Certification

I am in compliance with the requirements of § 19.2-349, <u>Code of Virginia</u>, and I have reviewed the Supreme Court Guidelines and Model Form Contract for the Collection of Unpaid Fines, Costs, Forfeitures, and Penalties by Private Contractors, dated January 14, 1999.

Printed Name of Commonwealth's Attorney

Locality

Signature of Commonwealth's Attorney

Appendix 4: FY06 Policy Statement for Fines and Fees (Clerk of the Circuit Court)

Policy and Procedure

| Code of Virginia | Pursuant to § 19.2-349A, <u>Code of Virginia</u> , the clerk of the circuit court and district court of every county and city shall submit to the judge of his court, the Department of Taxation, the State Compensation Board and the attorney for the Commonwealth of his county or city a monthly report of all fines, costs, forfeitures and penalties which are delinquent more than 30 days, including court-ordered restitution of a sum certain, imposed in his court for a violation of state law or a local ordinance which remain unsatisfied, including those which are delinquent in installment payments. The monthly report shall include the social security number or driver's license number of the defendant, if known, and such other information as the Department of Taxation and the Compensation Board deem appropriate. The Executive Secretary shall make the report required by this subsection on behalf of those clerks who participate in the Supreme Court's automated information system. |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Policy | Prompt payment of fines and fees are ordered by the Court as a form of punishment for an offense committed, as well as a legitimate source of revenue for the locality and the Commonwealth. A Circuit Court Clerk must take all measures under the law to ensure that all fines, costs, penalties, forfeitures, and restitution as ordered by the Court are paid in full. |
| Procedure | Court Clerks must follow these procedures to increase the collection of fines and fees: All costs and fines assessed by the Court are due and payable in full at the time the Court pronounces judgment unless the Judge directs otherwise; Payment is made in full at the time of sentencing or a deferred payment date or an installment plan is established; and If the debtor is unable to pay the total amount due, the court follows an established procedure to ensure that the debtor understands the total dollar amount owed, the mechanics of making payments and the consequences of failing to pay as agreed. Actions if the defendant fails to pay as agreed: Unpaid costs and fines constitute a judgment against the defendant in favor of the Commonwealth and are docketed as such; If the defendant fails either to pay in full by the agreed upon due date or to meet the obligations of the installment payment plan the Clerk will file an abstract with the Department of Motor Vehicles to effect a suspension of the debtor's drivers license and the registration certificate and license plates of any automobile registered solely in the name of the debtor; At any point past the final judgment date and the exhaustion of appeals, the outstanding debt should be forwarded to the Commonwealth's Attorney or his/her designee; and No less frequently than annually, all outstanding debts are to be referred to the Department of Taxation's Debt Set-off program. |
| Effective Date | This policy is effective July 1, 1997, unless otherwise noted, and shall remain in effect unless further amended by the Circuit Court Clerk. Any amendments to this policy shall be communicated to and are subject to review by the Compensation Board. |
| Frank Drew, Cha Compensation B | |

Certification

I am in compliance with the requirements of § 19.2-349, <u>Code of Virginia</u>, and I have reviewed the Supreme Court Guidelines and Model Form Contract for the Collection of Unpaid Fines, Costs, Forfeitures, and Penalties by Private Contractors, dated January 14, 1999.

Printed Name of Clerk

Locality

Signature of Clerk

Date

Appendix 5: FY06 Election Method for the Collection of Fines and Fees (Commonwealth's Attorney)

In compliance with § 19.2-349, <u>Code of Virginia</u>, I elect the following as the collection method for delinquent fines, costs, penalties, forfeitures and restitution for each court in my locality:

- Column A Indicate if the Department of Taxation or an In-House program collects delinquent fines and fees for your courts. Column B Indicate if a city/county Treasurer, or private attorney, or private collection agent collects delinquer
- Column B Indicate if a city/county Treasurer, or private attorney, or private collection agent collects delinquent fines and fees for your courts. Please provide name.
- Column C For all collection methods (except the In-House program) please provide month and year of contract or Memorandum of Understanding.
- Column D For all collection methods please provide percentage (%) of commission.

| Fiscal Year: 2006 | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Court | Collection Method – choose one per court | | Contract or MOU | | | |
| | A Department of Taxation OR In-House program | B Local Attorney OR Private Collection Agent OR City / County Treasurer | C Contract Date | D Fee for Collection Services | | |
| | | Name: | Month & Year: | Percentage: | | |
| SAMPLE | | Treasurer - Jane G. Doe | Oct 2004 | 21% | | |
| Circuit | | | | | | |
| General District | | | | | | |
| Juvenile & Domestic Relations | | | | | | |
| Combined | | | | | | |

Printed Name of Commonwealth's Attorney

Compensation Board

Locality

Date

Signature of Commonwealth's Attorney

| Please forward a copy of the Election Form to the following: | | | | |
|--|---|-----|---|--|
| i. | Compensation Board P. O. Box 710 Richmond, VA 23218-0710 | ii. | Circuit, District, Juvenile and Domestic Relations Courts of this Locality | |
| iii. | Supreme Court of Virginia 100 North Ninth Street Richmond, VA 23219 | iv. | Department of Taxation P. O. Box 2402 Richmond, VA 23218-2402 | |

Appendix 6: <u>Code of Virginia</u> sections on the collection of fines and fees, including the full text of § 19.2-349.

| § 17.1-275.1-4, 7-8 | Fee collected by clerks of circuit courts; generally. Fixed felony fee. Fixed fee felony reduced to misdemeanor. Fixed felony revocation fee. Fixed misdemeanor reduced from felony revocation fee. Amounts to be added; judgment in favor of the Commonwealth. Fees collected from court report fund. Fixed misdemeanor fee. Fixed drug misdemeanor fee. Fixed misdemeanor revocation fee. Additional fee. Additional fee assessed for conviction of certain offenses. |
|---------------------|--|
| § 19.2-305.1 | Restitution for property damage or loss; community service. |
| § 19.2-305.2 | Amount of restitution; enforcement. |
| § 19.2-349 | Responsibility for collections; clerks to report unsatisfied fines, etc; duty of Attorneys for Commonwealth; duties of Department of Taxation. |
| § 19.2-353 | Certain fines paid into Literary Fund. |
| § 19.2-353.3 | Acceptance of checks and credit cards in lieu of money; additional fee. |
| § 19.2-353.5 | Interest on fines and costs. |
| § 19.2-354 | Authority of court to order payment of fines, costs, forfeitures, penalty or restitution in installments or upon other terms and conditions; community work in lieu of payment. |
| § 19.2-358 | Procedure on default in deferred payment or installment payment of fines, costs, forfeiture, restitution or penalty. |
| § 19.2-359 | Official receipts to be given for fines. |
| § 46.2-395 | Suspension of license for failure or refusal to pay fines or costs. |
| § 53.1-60 | Extending limits of confinement of state prisoners for work and educational programs; disposition of wages; support of certain dependents; penalties for violations. |
| § 53.1-131 | Provision for release of prisoners from confinement for employment, educational or other rehabilitative programs; escape; penalty; disposition or earnings. |
| § 53.1-131.1-2 | Provision for sentencing for persons to nonconsecutive days in jail; payment to defray costs; penalty. Assignment to home/electronic incarceration program; payment to defray costs; escape; penalty. |
| § 53.1-150 | Contributions of persons on parole, probation, and work release. |
| | |

§ 19.2-349. Responsibility for collections; clerks to report unsatisfied fines, etc.; duty of attorneys for Commonwealth; duties of Department of Taxation.

A. The clerk of the circuit court and district court of every county and city shall submit to the judge of his court, the Department of Taxation, the State Compensation Board and the attorney for the Commonwealth of his county or city a monthly report of all fines, costs, forfeitures and penalties which are delinquent more than 30 days, including court-ordered restitution of a sum certain, imposed in his court for a violation of state law or a local ordinance which remain unsatisfied, including those which are delinquent in installment payments. The monthly report shall include the social security number or driver's license number of the defendant, if known, and such other information as the Department of Taxation and the Compensation Board deem appropriate. The Executive Secretary shall make the report required by this subsection on behalf of those clerks who participate in the Supreme Court's automated information system.

B. It shall be the duty of the attorney for the Commonwealth to cause proper proceedings to be instituted for the collection and satisfaction of all fines, costs, forfeitures, penalties and restitution. The attorney for the Commonwealth shall determine whether it would be impractical or uneconomical for such service to be rendered by the office of the attorney for the Commonwealth. If the defendant does not enter into an installment payment agreement under § 19.2-354, the attorney for the Commonwealth and the clerk may agree to a process by which collection activity may be commenced 15 days after judgment.

If the attorney for the Commonwealth does not undertake collection, he shall contract with (i) private attorneys or private collection agencies, (ii) enter into an agreement with a local governing body, (iii) enter into an agreement with the county or city treasurer, or (iv) use the services of the Department of Taxation, upon such terms and conditions as may be established by guidelines promulgated by the Office of the Attorney General, the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court with the Department of Taxation and the Compensation Board. If the attorney for the Commonwealth undertakes collection, he shall follow the procedures established by the Department of Taxation and the Compensation Board. Such guidelines for the Commonwealth and private attorneys and collection agencies when active collection efforts are being undertaken.

The fees of any private attorneys or collection agencies shall be paid on a contingency fee basis out of the proceeds of the amounts collected. However, in no event shall such attorney or collection agency receive a fee for amounts collected by the Department of Taxation under the Setoff Debt Collection Act ($\frac{58.1}{520}$ et seq.).

C. The Department of Taxation and the State Compensation Board shall be responsible for the collection of any judgment which remains unsatisfied or does not meet the conditions of § <u>19.2-354</u>. Persons owing such unsatisfied judgments or failing to comply with installment payment agreements under § <u>19.2-354</u> shall be subject to the delinquent tax collection provisions of Title 58.1. The Department of Taxation and the State Compensation Board shall establish procedures to be followed by clerks of courts, attorneys for the Commonwealth, other state agencies and any private attorneys or collection agents and may employ private attorneys or collection agencies, or engage other state agencies to collect the judgment. The Department of Taxation and the Commonwealth shall be entitled to deduct a fee for services from amounts collected for violations of local ordinances.

The Department of Taxation and the State Compensation Board shall annually report to the Governor and the General Assembly the total of fines, costs, forfeitures and penalties assessed, collected, and unpaid

Compensation Board

and those which remain unsatisfied or do not meet the conditions of § <u>19.2-354</u> by each circuit and district court. The report shall include the procedures established by the Department of Taxation and the State Compensation Board pursuant to this section and a plan for increasing the collection of unpaid fines, costs, forfeitures and penalties. The Auditor of Public Accounts shall annually report to the Governor, the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court and the General Assembly as to the adherence of clerks of courts, attorneys for the Commonwealth and other state agencies to the procedures established by the Department of Taxation and the State Compensation Board.

(Code 1950, § 19.1-341.2; 1960, c. 366; 1975, c. 495; 1979, c. 469; 1983, cc. 415, 499; 1988, cc. 742, 750, 770, 852; 1991, c. 202; 1992, c. 623; 1993, c. 269; 1994, cc. 841, 945; 2001, c. 414; 2003, c. 262.)