## Report On The

# Utilization, Length of Stay and Expenditures in Residential Care by Locality for Children Served Through the Comprehensive Services Act

Program Year 2005

Prepared by:

The Office of Comprehensive Services
December 8, 2005

# Comprehensive Services for At-Risk Youth and Families Utilization, Length of Stay, and Expenditures in Residential Care by Locality For the Program Year Ending June 30, 2005 December 8, 2005

The 2005 Appropriations Act, Chapter 951, contains language in Item 299, B 2.d, requiring each locality to "submit to the Office of Comprehensive Services information on utilization of residential facilities for treatment of children and length of stay in such facilities." The Office of Comprehensive Services is required to report to the Governor and Chairmen of the House Appropriations and Senate Finance Committees on utilization rates and average lengths of stays statewide and by locality. This report is due by November 1 of each year.

In September 2005, OCS formally requested extending the due date of this report until December 15 in order to include complete expenditure data from the localities. This reporting date change has significantly increased the quality and accuracy of the data. For the first time, the state now has actual expenditure data by child for each type of CSA residential services provided during the program year (July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005).

This report provides utilization, length of stay, and expenditure data on residential placements by locality and statewide.

#### Report Methodology

To obtain the information to develop these statistics, child specific information was gathered using information from the CSA Data Set. Implemented in July 2003 under the guidance of the Secretary of Health and Human Resources, the CSA Data Set contains demographic, service, and expenditure information on the 16,272 children served by the Comprehensive Services Act during FY05. This information is reported quarterly by 131 localities. Information from the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter data set reporting, which is cumulative for the fiscal year, was used in determining the results for this Appropriations Act requirement.

For purposes of this report, residential care placements were defined as local government reporting that a child resided in a group home, residential treatment facility, or psychiatric hospital at any point during the FY05 program year.

Length of stay information is provided on two schedules. Schedule 1 summarizes, by locality, the total number of children who at some point during FY05 resided in a residential setting. Children meeting this criteria were isolated from the year to date 4<sup>th</sup> quarter data set master file, and their length of stay in the residential setting(s) was calculated (in months). Schedule 1 also provides information on the total number children served in FY05, and calculates the percentage of residential children to total children served on a locality basis to determine that locality's residential utilization rate. The statewide average length of stay and residential utilization rate is also provided.

Schedule 2 summarizes residential placements by the three respective residential service options: Foster care, Special Education or Non-mandated residential services. It is important to note that Schedule 2 contains duplicative state mandate child information. For example, a child may have received both residential foster care services and residential special education services, either simultaneously or at different points of time in the year. When this occurred, the child was reported in both service options as identified by the local government. The statewide average length of stay and residential utilization rate for each residential service is also provided.

Both reports calculate length of stay for open CSA cases to the last day of the fiscal year, June 30, 2005. The actual residential service close date was used for length of stay calculations for any child discharged from CSA during the fiscal year.

When reviewing these reports, it is important to note that when the data set was initiated, local governments were required to populate information based on placement as of July 1, 2003. Local governments were not required to provide historical placements prior to July 1, 2003. As such, placement and length of stay information for individual children may not include the pre-July 2003 residential service. As the data set matures, this phenomenon will correct itself as these children leave the CSA services system. This will result in more accurate length of stay data.

Schedule 3 compares residential expenditures to total pool fund expenditures on a locality basis. The percentage of residential expenditures to total pool expenditures is also provided.

#### **Summary Statewide Information**

Of the 16,272 children that received CSA services during program year 2005, there were 4,046 children placed in a residential setting at some point. Thus, one out of four CSA children received residential services. On average, children stayed in residential care for 9 months.

Over \$133 million in CSA state and local pool funds were spent on these children in residential care, representing almost half (48.7%) of all CSA state pool expenditures (\$273.2). The average local match was 37% and the average state share was 63%.

- \$95.6 million was spent on secure residential treatment facilities and campus style residential programs, representing one-third (33.7%) of all CSA state and local pool fund expenditures.
- \$35.8 million was spent on group homes, representing 12.6% of all CSA pool fund expenditures.
- \$1.7 million was spent on psychiatric hospitals, representing 0.6% of all CSA pool fund expenditures.

There are multiple reasons why a community may have a relatively higher percentage of children placed in residential care than other communities, including:

o The CSA caseload for the community may be small, thus one or two children in residential care comprise a larger percentage of the total caseload than communities with more children.

- O Some smaller communities report that it is not economically feasible to develop specialized services locally for a small number of children.
- O Some communities report having access to a broader array of services locally or regionally, thus the children served through CSA are the ones requiring more intensive services.
- o A residential care provider may be effectively serving the children in that community.

While residential care is an important part of a continuum of care, many localities report that they are not able to effectively serve some CSA children in the community. Rather, these children are placed in more restrictive, out-of-community care than necessary, resulting in higher costs. Communities report needing:

- Community-based services to prevent placements of children in more restrictive settings outside of their communities than necessary.
- Private and public providers who are willing to develop specialized, wraparound services tailored to meet the needs of difficult children and their families.
- Start-up funds for developing services in family settings, the schools, and the community.
- Pooling funds across several communities to provide sufficient economies of scale to develop services.
- Expertise in conducting assessments, developing creative service plans, and providing care coordination for children with serious emotional and/or behavioral problems and their families to effectively serve them in the community.
- Clinical expertise to assess the necessity, appropriateness and effectiveness of continued placement in residential care and to assist with discharge planning to reduce length of stay.

#### FY05 Residential Care Length of Stay and Utilization Rate by Locality

FIPS :	LOCALITY	FY05 RESIDENTIAL CHILD COUNT	,	FIPS	LOCALITY	TOTAL FY05 CHILD COUNT	% OF LOCAL CASES THAT ARE RESIDENTIAL
1	Accomack	30	9	1	Accomack	75	40.00
3	Albemarle	70	5	3	Albemarle	268	26.12
	Alleghany	12	4	5	Alleghany	30	40.00
7	Amelia	2	22	7	Amelia	19	10.53
9	Amherst	15	11	9	Amherst	61	24.59
11	Appomattox	6	5	11	Appomattox	33	18.18
13	Arlington	80	14	13	Arlington	306	26.14
15	Augusta	50	8	15	Augusta	205	24.39
	Bath	4	14	17	Bath	7	57.14
19	Bedford County	59	16	19	Bedford County	183	32.24
	Bland	6	7	21	Bland	15	40.00
23	Botetourt	10	8	23	Botetourt	61	16.39
25	Brunswick	5	2	25	Brunswick	22	22.73
27	Buchanan	30	13	27	Buchanan	97	30.93
29	Buckingham	11	15	29	Buckingham	48	22.92
31	Campbell	22	12	31	Campbell	186	11.83
33	Caroline	7	7	33	Caroline	30	23.33
35	Carroll	13	5	35	Carroll	56	23.21
36	Charles City	1	0	36	Charles City	11	9.09
37	Charlotte	3	6	37	Charlotte	37	8.11
41	Chesterfield	97	5	41	Chesterfield	279	34.77
43	Clarke	8	17	43	Clarke	33	24.24
45	Craig	2	15	45	Craig	15	13.33
47	Culpeper	25	9	47	Culpeper	123	20.33
49	Cumberland	10	10	49	Cumberland	52	19.23
51	Dickenson	16	11	51	Dickenson	119	13.45
53	Dinwiddie	9	9	53	Dinwiddie	41	21.95
57	Essex	10	16	57	Essex	29	34.48
61	Fauquier	27	11	61	Fauquier	136	19.85
63	Floyd	11	17	63	Floyd	21	52.38
65	Fluvanna	29	14	65	Fluvanna	101	28.71
67	Franklin County	44	7	67	Franklin County	177	24.86
69	Frederick	20	18	69	Frederick	89	22.47
71	Giles	11	6	71	Giles	40	27.50
73	Gloucester	11	13	73	Gloucester	40	27.50
75	Goochland	9	11	75	Goochland	39	23.08
77	Grayson	10	10	77	Grayson	51	19.61
	Greene	26	7	79	Greene	56	46.43

<sup>\*</sup>Residential Care includes group homes, residential treatment facilities, and psychiatric hospitals

#### FY05 Residential Care Length of Stay and Utilization Rate by Locality As of June 30, 2005 Locality Data Set Reporting Office of Comprehensive Services

	LOCALITY	FY05 RESIDENTIAL CHILD COUNT	AVG LENGTH OF STAY IN MONTHS (Mean Average)	FIPS	LOCALITY	TOTAL FY05 CHILD COUNT	% OF LOCAL CASES THAT ARE RESIDENTIAL
83	Halifax	23	7	83	Halifax	71	32.39
85	Hanover	32	7	85	Hanover	118	27.12
87	Henrico	95	5	87	Henrico	301	31.56
89	Henry	10	32	89	Henry	118	8.47
91	Highland	1	7	91	Highland	2	50.00
93	Isle of Wight	7	5	93	Isle of Wight	23	30.43
95	James City	4	7	95	James City	16	25.00
97	King & Queen	0	0	97	King & Queen	13	0.00
99	King George	17	17	99	King George	44	38.64
101	King William	6	6	101	King William	25	24.00
103	Lancaster	6	7	103	Lancaster	20	30.00
105	Lee	29	12	105	Lee	80	36.25
107	Loudoun	42	13	107	Loudoun	212	19.81
109	Louisa	24	8	109	Louisa	56	42.86
111	Lunenburg	4	13	111	Lunenburg	24	16.67
113	Madison	11	8	113	Madison	19	57.89
115	Mathews	5	22	115	Mathews	22	22.73
117	Mecklenburg	24	14	117	Mecklenburg	91	26.37
119	Middlesex	1	7	119	Middlesex	25	4.00
121	Montgomery	31	13	121	Montgomery	87	35.63
125	Nelson	6	8	125	Nelson	25	24.00
127	New Kent	12	6	127	New Kent	31 ·	38.71
131	Northampton	6	28	131	Northampton	43	13.95
133	Northumberland	4	17	133	<u> </u>	22	18.18
135	Nottoway	4	14	135	Nottoway	14	28.57
137	Orange	6	13	137	Orange	68	8.82
139	Page	22	16	139	Page	99	22.22
141	Patrick	9	10	141	Patrick	39	23.08
143	Pittsylvania	29	5	143	Pittsylvania	160	18.13
145	Powhatan	9	29	145	Powhatan	32	28.13
147	Prince Edward	18	14	147	Prince Edward	58	31.03
149	Prince George	4	11	149	Prince George	40	10.00
153	Prince William	145	5			390	37.18
155	Pulaski	48	11	155	Pulaski	150	32.00
157	Rappahannock	4	14	L	Rappahannock	22	18.18
159	Richmond County	4	11	159	Richmond County	10	40.00
161	Roanoke County	57	9	161	Roanoke County	182	31.32
	Rockbridge	23	12		Rockbridge	52	44.23

<sup>\*</sup>Residential Care includes group homes, residential treatment facilities, and psychiatric hospitals

### FY05 Residential Care Length of Stay and Utilization Rate by Locality

FIPS	: : <b>LOCALITY</b>	FY05 RESIDENTIAL CHILD COUNT	AVG LENGTH OF STAY IN MONTHS (Mean Average)	FIPS	LOCALITY	TOTAL FY05 CHILD COUNT	% OF LOCAL CASES THAT ARE RESIDENTIAL
165	Rockingham	56	11	165	Rockingham	169	33.14
167	Russell	27	12	167	Russell	75	36.00
169	Scott	21	7	169	Scott	67	31.34
171	Shenandoah	29	8	171	Shenandoah	93	31.18
173	Smyth	27	9	173	Smyth	139	19.42
175	Southampton	0	. 0	175	Southampton	5	0.00
177	Spotsylvania	52	9	177	Spotsylvania	209	24.88
179	Stafford	45	15	179	Stafford	152	29.61
181	Surry	0	0	181	Surry	11	0.00
183	Sussex	4	5	183	Sussex	38	10.53
185	Tazewell	35	8	185	Tazewell	168	20.83
187	Warren	32	14	187	Warren	87	36.78
191	Washington	12	12	191	Washington	106	11.32
193	Westmoreland	6	9	193	Westmoreland	37	16.22
195	Wise	11	5	195	Wise	98	11.22
197	Wythe	15	14	197	Wythe	69	21.74
199	York	7	20	199	York	39	17.95
510	Alexandria	68	6	510	Alexandria	399	17.04
515	Bedford City	13	6	515	Bedford City	33	39.39
520	Bristol	25	10	520	Bristol	105	23.81
530	Buena Vista	5	6	530	Buena Vista	17	29.41
540	Charlottesville	112	8	540	Charlottesville	317	35.33
550	Chesapeake	58	4	550	Chesapeake	242	23.97
570	Colonial Heights	7	4	570	Colonial Heights	21	33.33
580	Covington	18	5	580	Covington	31	58.06
590	Danville	33	5	590	Danville	180	18.33
620	Franklin City	3	4	620	Franklin City	12	25.00
630	Fredericksburg	15	8	630	Fredericksburg	66	22.73
640	Galax	2	8	640	Galax	29	6.90
650	Hampton	36	3	650	Hampton	378	9.52
660	Harrisonburg	43	12	660	Harrisonburg	131	32.82
670	Hopewell	21	14	670	Hopewell	84	25.00
678	Lexington	4	16	678	Lexington	8	50.00
680	Lynchburg	29	3	680	Lynchburg	321	9.03
683	Manassas City	17	28	683	Manassas City	54	31.48
685	Manassas Park	14	16	685	Manassas Park	31	45.16
690	Martinsville	4	25	690	Martinsville	60	6.67
700	Newport News	136	12	700	Newport News	654	20.80

<sup>\*</sup>Residential Care includes group homes, residential treatment facilities, and psychiatric hospitals

#### FY05 Residential Care Length of Stay and Utilization Rate by Locality

FIPS	LOCALITY	FY05 RESIDENTIAL CHILD COUNT	AVG LENGTH OF STAY IN MONTHS (Mean Average)	FIPS	LOCALITY	TOTAL FY05 CHILD COUNT	% OF LOCAL CASES THAT ARE RESIDENTIAL
710	Norfolk	264	3	710	Norfolk	1,571	16.80
720	Norton	0	0	720	Norton	7	0.00
730	Petersburg	52	12	730	Petersburg	161	32.30
735	Poquoson	0	0	735	Poquoson	14	0.00
740	Portsmouth	37	6	740	Portsmouth	259	14.29
750	Radford	7	13	750	Radford	24	29.17
760	Richmond City	321	7	760	Richmond City	736	43.61
770	Roanoke City	111	8	770	Roanoke City	554	20.04
775	Salem	24	10	775	Salem	48	50.00
790	Staunton	28	27	790	Staunton	146	19.18
800	Suffolk	23	4	800	Suffolk	115	20.00
810	Virginia Beach	194	21	810	Virginia Beach	651	29.80
820	Waynesboro	14	14	820	Waynesboro	118	11.86
830	Williamsburg	5	7	830	Williamsburg	13	38.46
840	Winchester	27	19	840	Winchester	80	33.75
1200	Greensville/Emporia	5	8	1200	Greensville/Emporia	37	13.51
1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	299	6	1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	1,008	29.66
	Statewide Totals	4.046	9	1	Statewide Totals	16,272	24.86

<sup>\*</sup>Residential Care includes group homes, residential treatment facilities, and psychiatric hospitals

	LOCALITY	RESID CHILD COUNT FOSTER CARE	MONTHS (Mean/ Average)	FIPS	LOCALITY	RESID CHILD COUNT SPECIAL EDUCATION	LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS (Mean/ Average)		FIPS	LOCALITY	RESID CHILD COUNT NON MANDATED	LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS (Mean/ Average)
1	Accomack	20	10	1	Accomack	14	8	П	1	Accomack	0	0
3	Albemarle	86	5	3	Albemarie	39	6	- {	3	Albemarle	11	5
5	Alleghany	21	4	5	Alleghany	4	6		5	Alleghany	0	0
7	Amelia	1	19	7	Amelia	1	24	- 1	7	Amelia	0	0
9	Amherst	12	12	9	Amherst	1	6	L	9	Amherst	3	8
11	Appomattox	7	5	11	Appomattox	11	3	l	11	Appomattox	0	0
13	Arlington	86	12	13	Arlington .	11	34	1	13	Arlington	5	7
15	Augusta	55	8	15	Augusta	2	20	l	15	Augusta	0	0
17	Bath	3	16	17	Bath	11	9	Į	17	Bath	0	0
19	Bedford County	71	14	19	Bedford County	22	22		19	Bedford County	0	0
21	Bland	5	5	21	Bland	11	16	[	21	Bland	0	0
23	Batetourt	9	9	23	Botetourt	1	2	. [	23	Botetourt	0	0
25	Brunswick	7	2	25	Brunswick	11	4	[	25	Brunswick	0	0
27	Buchanan	33	13	27	Buchanan	0	0	- [	27	Buchanan	0	0
29	Buckingham	5	16	29	Buckingham	7	14	[	29	Buckingham	0	0
31	Campbell	23	13	31	Campbell	3	5	ĺ	31	Campbell	0	0
33	Caroline	6	8	33	Caroline	1	4	[	33	Caroline	0	0
35	Carroli	15	5	35	Carroll	0	0	[	35	Carroll	0	0
36	Charles City	0	0	36	Charles City	11	0	I	36	Charles City	0	0
37	Charlotte	2	6	37	Charlotte	1	7		37	Charlotte	0	0
41	Chesterfield	85	5	41	Chesterfield	65	5	[	41	Chesterfield	20	4
43	Clarke	4	13	43	Clarke	5	21	(	43	Clarke	0	0
45	Craig	2	15	45	Craig	0	0		45	Craig	0	0
47	Culpeper	26	9	47	Culpeper	3	11	- [	47	Culpeper	0	0
49	Cumberland	4	12	49	Cumberland	8	9	- [	49	Cumberland	0	0
51	Dickenson	20	9	51	Dickenson	5	18		51	Dickenson	0	0
53	Dinwiddie	10	10	53	Dinwiddie	1	0		53	Dinwiddie	0	0
57	Essex	8	10	57	Essex	3	33	[	57	Essex	0	0
61	Fauquier	21	6	61	Fauquier	77	28	l	61	Fauquier	1	11
63	Floyd	10	7	63	Floyd	2	64		63	Floyd	0	0
65	Fluvanna	26	8	65	Fluvanna	7	37	- [	65	Fluvanna	0	0
67	Franklin County	40	6	67	Franklin County	26	9	[	67	Franklin County	2	4
69	Frederick	12	17	69	Frederick	13	18	[	69	Frederick	0_	0
71	Giles	16	6	71	Giles	0	0	Ī	71	Giles	0	0
73	Gloucester	9	7	73	Gloucester	4	25	ſ	73	Gloucester	0	0
75	Goochland	7	8	75	Goochland	6	15	ı	75	Goochland	0	0
77	Grayson	10	10	77	Grayson	0	0	ľ	77	Grayson	0	0
79	Greene	12	7	79	Greene	16	7	ſ	79	Greene	0	Ö
83	Halifax	20	5	83	Halifax	7	14	ſ	83	Halifax	0	0
85	Hanover	29	8	85	Hanover	6	5	Ī	85	Hanover	1	2
87	Henrico	100	5	87	Henrico	44	6	Ī	87	Henrico	5	6
89	Henry	10	32	89	Henry	0	0	ľ	89	Henry	0	0
91	Highland	0	0	91	Highland	0	0	j	91	Highland	1	7
93	Isle of Wight	5	6	93	Isle of Wight	7	4	f	93	Isle of Wight	0	0
95	James City	3	4	95	James City	1	14	t	95	James City	0	0
					,····	1						

<sup>\*</sup>Residential Care includes group homes, residential treatment facilities, and psychiatric hospitals

#### FY05 Length of Stay in Residential Care by Mandate Type by Locality\*

		RESID CHILD COUNT	LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS			RESID CHILD COUNT	LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS				RESID CHILD	LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS
		FOSTER	(Mean/	1		SPECIAL	(Mean/	i			COUNT NON	(Mean/
	LOCALITY	CARE	Average)		LOCALITY	EDUCATION	Average)			LOCALITY	MANDATED	Average)
	King & Queen	0	0		King & Queen	0	21	- 1		King & Queen	0	0
	King George	11	14	99	King George	8		- 1	99	King George	0	
	King William	2	1	101	King William	5	9	- 1		King William	1	3
103	Lancaster	5	7	103	Lancaster	1	8	Ĺ	103	Lancaster	0	0
	Lee	29	11	105	Lee	2	22	1	105	Lee	0	0
107	Loudoun	29	13	107	Loudoun	16	14	L	107	Loudoun	8	10
109	Louisa	26	7	109	Louisa	5	16		109	Louisa	0	0
111	Lunenburg	3	6	111	Lunenburg	1	32		111	Lunenburg	0	0
	Madison	10	8	113	Madison	0	0		113	Madison	1	11
	Mathews	4	30	115	Mathews	2	5		115	Mathews	0	0
117	Mecklenburg	17	10	117	Mecklenburg	8	24		117	Mecklenburg	0	0
	Middlesex	0	0	119	Middlesex	0	0		119	Middlesex	1	7
121	Montgomery	25	14	121	Montgomery	7	11	. [	121	Montgomery	0	0
125	Nelson	2	5	125	Nelson	4	9	ſ	125	Nelson	0	0
127	New Kent	3	8	127	New Kent	11	6	[	127	New Kent	2	2
131	Northampton	6	28	131	Northampton	0	0		131	Northampton	0	0
133	Northumberland	4	17	133	Northumberland	0	0	- [	133	Northumberland	0	0
135	Nottoway	3	10	135	Nottoway	2	20	- 1	135	Nottoway	0	0
137	Orange	8	12	137	Orange	1	22		137	Orange	0	0
139	Page	15	11	139	Page	6	29	·	139	Page	1	13
141	Patrick	6	9		Patrick	3	12	ì	141	Patrick	0	0
143	Pittsylvania	24	4	143	Pittsylvania	28	5	ı	143	Pittsvivania	0	0
145	Powhatan	6	23	145	Powhatan	7	35	ı	145	Powhatan	0	0
147	Prince Edward	8	17	147	Prince Edward	6	9	Ì	147	Prince Edward	5	14
149	Prince George	4	11	149	Prince George	0	0	1	149	Prince George	0	0
153	Prince William	148	5	153	Prince William	68	5	ı	153	Prince William	39	4
155	Pulaski	50	11	155	Pulaski	1	7		155	Pulaski	0	0
157	Rappahannock	3	13	157	Rappahannock	1	18		157	Rappahannock	0	0
159	Richmond County	6	10	159	Richmond County	4	12	1	159	Richmond County	0	0
	Roanoke County	69	9	161	Roanoke County	11	10	ŀ	161	Roanoke County	6	4
163	Rockbridge	19	111	163	Rockbridge	4	15	ŀ		Rockbridge	2	14
165	Rockingham	56	9	165	Rockingham	1 11	19		165	Rockingham	<del></del>	0
167	Russell	31	12	167	Russell	<del>                                     </del>	1 - 6 - 1	ŀ	167	Russell	<del>                                     </del>	
169	Scott	22	6	169	Scott	4	12	}	169	Scott	<del>  0</del>	0
171	Shenandoah	30	8	171	Shenandoah	7 7	6	ŀ	171	Shenandoah	1 1	7
173		24	11	173		<del>- 6</del>	<del>                                     </del>	F	173	Smyth	6	- 3
175	Smyth				Smyth		<del>                                     </del>	<b>.</b>				0
177	Southampton	0	8	175 177	Southampton	19	11		175	Southampton	3	3
	Spotsylvania	59			Spotsylvania					Spotsylvania		
179	Stafford	37	13	179	Stafford	11	21	, ,	179	Stafford	0	0
181	Surry	0	0	181	Surry	0	0		181	Surry	0	0
183	Sussex	4	2	183	Sussex	2	10		183	Sussex	0	0
185	Tazewell	35	8	185	Tazewell	0	0	1	185	Tazewell	1	4
187	Warren	24	13	187	Warren	16	15	L	187	Warren	0	0
191	Washington	8	14	191	Washington	2	5		191	Washington	2	8
193	Westmoreland	5	10	193	Westmoreland	4	7	[	193	Westmoreland	0	0
195	Wise	10	5	195	Wise	0	0		195	Wise	1	9

<sup>\*</sup>Residential Care includes group homes, residential treatment facilities, and psychiatric hospitals

#### FY05 Length of Stay in Residential Care by Mandate Type by Locality\*

		RESID CHILD	LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS			RESID CHILD	LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS			*****	RESID CHILD	LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS
		FOSTER	(Mean/	i i	ļ	SPECIAL	(Mean/				COUNT NON	(Mean/
FIPS	LOCALITY	CARE	Average)	FIPS	LOCALITY	EDUCATION	Average)	- i	FIPS	LOCALITY	MANDATED	Average)
197	Wythe	15	8	197	Wythe	1	106			Wythe	0	0
199	York	10	20	199	York	1	19	۲	199	York	0	0
510	Alexandria	58	6	510	Alexandria	11	7	r	510	Alexandria	3	2
515	Bedford City	17	6	515	Bedford City	2	2	r	515	Bedford City	0	0
520	Bristol	18	10	520	Bristol	6	.11	٦	520	Bristol	2	11
530	Buena Vista	3	4	530	Buena Vista	2	10	- 1	530	Buena Vista	0	0
540	Charlottesville	139	8	540	Charlottesville	6	10	Г	540	Charlottesville	10	4
550	Chesapeake	44	4	550	Chesapeake	19	6	r	550	Chesapeake	8	3
570	Colonial Heights	5	3	570	Colonial Heights	4	5	<u> </u>	570	Colonial Heights	0	0
580	Covington	40	5	580	Covington	7	6	۲	580	Covington	0	0
590	Danville	31	4	590	Danville	12	7	<u> </u>	590	Danville	4	2
620	Franklin City	5	4	620	Franklin City	0	0	_ F	620	Franklin City	0	0
630	Fredericksburg	10	7	630	Fredericksburg	8	9	_ F	630	Fredericksburg	1	6
640	Galax	2	8	640		0	0	٦-	640	Galax	0	0
650	Hampton	16	3	650	Hampton	21	4	r	650	Hampton	5	2
660	Harrisonburg	44	11	660	Harrisonburg	5	17	- 1	660	Harrisonburg	0	0
670	Hopewell	25	15	670	Hopewell	1	3	<b> </b>	670	Hopewell	2	4
678	Lexington	4	18	678		1	10	- t	678	Lexington	0	0
680	Lynchburg	12	2	680		1 0	0	٦	680	Lynchburg	19	3
	Manassas City	14	26	683		4	44	<u> </u>	683	Manassas City	1	0
685	Manassas Park	8	9	685		8	22	<b>-</b>	685	Manassas Park	0	0
690	Martinsville	3	31	690		1 1	5	, <u> </u>	690	Martinsville	0	0
700	Newport News	128	12	700	Newport News	15	22	r	700	Newport News	15	7
710	Norfolk	356	3	710		78	4	<u> </u>	710	Norfolk	19	3
720	Norton	0	0	720	Norton	1 0	0	F	720	Norton	0	0
730	Petersburg	59	12	730		4	5	۲	730	Petersburg	0	0
735	Poquoson	0	0	735		0	0	_ t	735	Poquoson	0	0
740	Portsmouth	34	5	740		23	7	<u> </u>	740	Portsmouth	1	3
750	Radford	8	11	750		1	26	<u> </u>	750	Radford	0	0
760	Richmond City	497	7	760	Richmond City	15	8	- 1	760	Richmond City	17	5
770	Roanoke City	95	8	770		19	6	۲	770	Roanoke City	11	7
775	Salem	19	10	775		6	11	- 1	775	Salem	4	6
790	Staunton	29	24	790		1	102		790	Staunton	6	0
800	Suffolk	18	4	800	1 to the latest	1 0	0		800	Suffolk	9	3
810	Virginia Beach	150	26	810		37	1 7 1		810	Virginia Beach	37	13
820	Waynesboro	13		820		<del>                                     </del>	87		820	Waynesboro	0	0
830	Williamsburg	4	1 8 1	830	Williamsburg	<del>                                     </del>	1 3 -1		830	Williamsburg	<del>l ö</del> - l	<del> </del>
840	Winchester	21	13	840		<del>                                     </del>	36		840	Winchester		<del></del> ŏ
	Greensville/Emporia	5	13	1200	1111101100101	<del>                                     </del>	5		200	Greensville/Emporia	<del>                                     </del>	
1300		225		130	10,00,10,111,0,121,11,0,110	81	<del>                                     </del>		1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	32	6
	Statewide Totals	4.030	9	1.30	Statewide Totals	1,046	<del>  1</del>	H		Statewide Totals	319	6

<sup>\*</sup>Residential Care includes group homes, residential treatment facilities, and psychiatric hospitals

	Locality	FY05 Total Pool Expenditures	FY05 Locality Total Residential Expenditures	FY05 Percent of Locality Total Expenditures That Are Residential
	Accomack	1,805,892		66.92%
	Albemarle	5,780,218		
	Alleghany	619,539		
A THE COLUMN THE PERSON NAMED IN	Amelia	136,113		26.73%
9	Amherst	619,163		32.63%
	Appomattox	230,789	66,111	28.65%
	Arlington	8,671,819	3,856,463	44.47%
	Augusta	2,249,289	1,157,734	51.47%
17	Bath	60,545	22,588	37.31%
19	Bedford County	2,900,032	1,767,850	60.96%
21	Bland	119,201	25,717	21.57%
23	Botetourt	1,258,174	391,434	31.11%
25	Brunswick	267,717		
27	Buchanan	731,159	557,165	76.20%
29	Buckingham	778,992	246,286	31.62%
	Campbell	2,234,244		31.62%
	Caroline	539,727		16.06%
35	Carroll	459,703	341,821	74.36%
36	Charles City	123,592		3.29%
37	Charlotte	343,516	29,761	8.66%
41	Chesterfield	6,908,646		50.15%
43	Clarke	800,440	481,798	60.19%
45	Craig	53,860		11.30%
47	Culpeper	1,360,798	771,713	56.71%
49	Cumberland	703,196	298,417	42.44%
51	Dickenson	745,239	324,010	43.48%
53	Dinwiddie	511,876	129,969	25.39%
57	Essex	685,558	426,701	62.24%
61	Fauquier	2,029,470	736,469	36.29%
63	Floyd	623,877	554,525	
	Fluvanna	1,658,939		
67	Franklin County	2,616,873	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	

<sup>\*</sup>Residential Care includes group homes, residential treatment facilities, and psychiatric hospitals

	Locality	FY05 Total Pool Expenditures	FY05 Locality Total Residential Expenditures	FY05 Percent of Locality Total Expenditures That Are Residential
	Frederick	1,985,295	1,267,943	63.87%
71	Giles	372,463	209,611	56.28%
1	Gloucester	653,024		64.22%
75	Goochland	920,383	275,047	29.88%
	Grayson	659,942		
	Greene	1,179,928	791,218	67.06%
L	Halifax	1,285,512	458,768	35.69%
	Hanover	3,466,230	1,575,236	45.45%
L	Henrico	6,050,208	2,807,469	46.40%
h	Henry	632,196	260,792	41.25%
	Highland	27,976		5.37%
	Isle of Wight	128,118		37.87%
	James City	144,269	72,914	50.54%
	King & Queen	188,207	0	0.00%
	King George	825,960		\
	King William	482,351	203,658	
	Lancaster	411,002		
{	Lee	872,915	L	
L	Loudoun	5,371,638		33.87%
L	Louisa	895,449		
	Lunenburg	358,074		33.69%
	Madison	143,563		
	Mathews	346,777		57.55%
	Mecklenburg	1,336,786		63.97%
	Middlesex	248,198		0.30%
	Montgomery	1,943,570	1,472,417	75.76%
	Nelson	510,939		42.59%
:	New Kent	924,586		
	Northampton	788,173		
	Northumberland	215,598		
	Nottoway	376,090		
137	Orange	638,631	171,145	26.80%

<sup>\*</sup>Residential Care includes group homes, residential treatment facilities, and psychiatric hospitals

	Locality	FY05 Total Pool Expenditures	FY05 Locality Total Residential Expenditures	Expenditures That Are Residential
	Page	1,682,853		
	Patrick	213,449	Committee to the committee of the commit	
	Pittsylvania	3,288,448		Land to the same of the same o
m/4←=00.3==0.00	Powhatan	1,051,006		
	Prince Edward	1,056,371	754,635	
	Prince George	362,952		24,43%
	Prince William	6,400,636		
	Pulaski	2,530,412		<u> </u>
	Rappahannock	236,066	113,455	48,06%
159	Richmond County	248,725	102,894	41.37%
161	Roanoke County	4,575,361	2,775,971	60.67%
163	Rockbridge	1,087,383	824,332	75.81%
165	Rockingham	3,602,664	2,389,092	66.31%
167	Russell	642,765	400,828	62.36%
169	Scott	283,416	150,001	52,93%
	Shenandoah	1,498,621	765,336	51.07%
	Smyth	726,086	474,678	65.37%
175	Southampton	29,769		7.0070
	Spotsylvania	4,656,774		
179	Stafford	3,270,787	2,185,265	66.81%
181	Surry	89,175	0	0.00%
183	Sussex	617,437	23,380	3.79%
185	Tazewell	1,704,276	692,046	40.61%
187	Warren	2,220,051	1,411,053	63.56%
191	Washington	747,264	294,452	39.40%
193	Westmoreland	885,344	308,011	34.79%
195	Wise	277,730	82,715	29.78%
197	Wythe	827,094		
	York	849,774		
510	Alexandria	8,355,991	Landau - Table - Carlotte - Carlo	
515	Bedford City	430,700		
	Bristol	860,214		

<sup>\*</sup>Residential Care includes group homes, residential treatment facilities, and psychiatric hospitals

	Locality	FY05 Total Pool Expenditures	FY05 Locality Total Residential Expenditures	FY05 Percent of Locality Total Expenditures That Are Residential
	Buena Vista	279,827	83,189	29.73%
	Charlottesville	6,430,301	3,346,953	52.05%
	Chesapeake	3,337,495	1,849,303	55.41%
Consult Widow Consultation	Colonial Heights	404,109	61,390	15.19%
	Covington	752,714	583,179	77.48%
	Danville	2,201,011	695,677	31.61%
620	Franklin City	179,182	77,646	43.33%
	Fredericksburg	1,194,811	622,159	52.07%
	Galax	147,499	76,997	52.20%
650	Hampton	3,570,767	477,357	13.37%
660	Harrisonburg	2,651,874	1,429,862	53.92%
670	Hopewell	1,979,734	820,500	41.44%
678	Lexington	307,922	272,962	88.65%
	Lynchburg	3,679,958	254,532	6.92%
	Manassas City	1,492,560	913,761	61.22%
685	Manassas Park	1,371,930	975,406	71.10%
690	Martinsville	141,434	78,523	55.52%
700	Newport News	12,730,065	4,681,184	36.77%
	Norfolk	10,475,984	4,764,120	45,48%
720	Norton	19,631	0	0.00%
730	Petersburg	3,302,462	1,505,981	45.60%
735	Poquoson	388,155	0	0.00%
	Portsmouth	3,498,061	788,161	22.53%
	Radford	409,953	276,540	67.46%
760	Richmond City	17,168,675	10,698,392	62.31%
770	Roanoke City	9,433,474	4,265,334	45.21%
775	Salem	917,054	732,882	79.92%
790	Staunton	1,660,358	863,307	52.00%
800	Suffolk	982,487	340,813	34.69%
810	Virginia Beach	8,460,207	4,720,013	55.79%
820	Waynesboro	879,457	357,263	40.62%
	Williamsburg	47,648		49.16%

<sup>\*</sup>Residential Care includes group homes, residential treatment facilities, and psychiatric hospitals

#### FY05 Residential Care Expenditures by Locality

As of June 30, 2005 Locality Data Set Reporting

FIPS Locality	FY05 Total Pool Expenditures	FY05 Locality Total Residential Expenditures	FY05 Percent of Locality Total Expenditures That Are Residential
840 Winchester	2,083,235	1,305,105	62.65%
1200 Greensville/Emporia	460,393	115,148	25.01%
1300 Fairfax/Falls Church	31,809,471	16,425,100	51.64%
Totals	273,171,739	133,103,603	48.73%

<sup>\*</sup>Residential Care includes group homes, residential treatment facilities, and psychiatric hospitals