REPORT OF THE VIRGINIA STATE CRIME COMMISSION

# HJR 122 Final Report: Study on Campus Safety

TO THE GOVERNOR AND THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF VIRGINIA



# **HOUSE DOCUMENT NO. 36**

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND 2006



**COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA** 

# Virginia State Crime Commission

Senator Kenneth W. Stolle, Chairman Delegate David B. Albo, Vice Chairman

Executive Director Kimberly J. Hamilton

Director of Legal Affairs G. Stewart Petoe 910 Capitol Street Richmond, Virginia 23219

General Assembly Building, Suite 915

804-225-4534 Fax: 804-786-7872

December 31, 2005

TO: The Honorable Mark Warner, Governor of Virginia

And

Members of the Virginia General Assembly

The 2004 General Assembly, through House Joint Resolution 122, requested the Virginia State Crime Commission to study campus safety at Virginia's institutions of higher education.

Enclosed for your review and consideration is the final report which has been prepared in response to this request. The Commission received assistance from all affected agencies and gratefully acknowledges their input into this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth W. Stolle Chairman

# MEMBERS OF THE VIRGINIA STATE CRIME COMMISSION

#### Senate of Virginia

Kenneth W. Stolle, Chairman Janet D. Howell Thomas K. Norment, Jr.

#### Virginia House of Delegates

David B. Albo, Vice Chairman Robert B. Bell Terry G. Kilgore Robert F. McDonnell Kenneth R. Melvin Brian J. Moran

#### **Gubernatorial Appointments**

Glenn R. Croshaw Col. W. Gerald Massengill William G. Petty

#### Office of the Attorney General

Jerry W. Kilgore

#### <u>Staff</u>

Kimberly J. Hamilton, Executive Director G. Stewart Petoe, Director of Legal Affairs Christina M. Barnes, Legislative Policy Analyst Stephen W. Bowman, Senior Staff Attorney/ Methodologist Thomas E. Cleator, Staff Attorney Kristen J. Howard, Legislative Policy Analyst Jaime H. Hoyle, Senior Staff Attorney Sylvia A. Reid, Office Manager Stephen D. Benjamin, Special Counsel

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# <u>Page</u>

I.	Authority for Study	•••••	1
IJ.	Executive Summary		1
III.	Methodology		7
IV.	Background		8
	<ul><li>Study History</li><li>Literature Review</li></ul>		
V.	Campus Field Visits		12
VI.	Campus Crime Record Analyses		13
	<ul> <li>Clery Act</li> <li>Uniform Crime Reports</li> <li>Crime Logs</li> <li>Dispatch Records</li> </ul>		
VII.	Judicial Referral Systems Analyses	•••••	20
	<ul> <li>Clery Act</li> <li>Judicial Board Survey</li> <li>Judicial Records Analyses</li> <li>Judicial Guidelines</li> </ul>		
VIII.	<b>Campus Police and Security Department</b>	Analyses	30
	<ul> <li>Demographics</li> <li>Budget</li> <li>Personnel</li> <li>Operations</li> <li>Communications and Equipment</li> <li>Resources</li> </ul>		
IX.	Campus Safety Task Force		51
X.	Summary and Recommendations		53
XI.	Acknowledgements		59

# ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: House Joint Resolution 122 (2004)Attachment B: House Bill 1036Attachment C: Senate Bill 560Attachment D: Campus Police Department SurveyAttachment E: Campus Security Department SurveyAttachment F: Judicial Board SurveyAttachment G: Virginia College and University ProfilesAttachment H: Map of Regional Breakdowns

Attachment I: Campus Safety Task Force Membership

# TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
Table 1: 2003 Reported Clery Act Crimes	14
Table 2: Top 4 Group A Incidents Reported by Campus Police Departments in 2003	16
Table 3: Top 4 Arrests Reported by Campus Police Departments in 2003	16
Table 4: Top 5 Group A Offenses Reported in Campus Crime Logs	18
Table 5: Top 4 Categories of Crime Reported in Campus Crime Logs	18
Table 6: 2003 Clery Act On-Campus Referrals by Type of Institution	21
Table 7: 2003 Clery Act On-Campus Referrals by Type of Department	21
Table 8: Types of Members Serving on Judicial Boards	22
Table 9: Number of Referrals by Type of Institution	24
Table 10: Number of Referrals by Enrollment Size	25
Table 11: Number of Referrals by Type of Department	25
Table 12: Number of Referrals by Most Serious Offense in Incident	26
Table 13: Number of Referrals by Type of Outcome	26
Table 14: Number of Referrals by Age of Offender	27
Table 15: Number of Referrals by Class of Offender	27
Table 16: Type of Crime Referral by Class	28
Table 17: Type of Crime Referral by Sex	28
Table 18: Burdens of Proof Indicated in Submitted Judicial Referral Guidelines	29
Table 19: Justifications for Appeal	29
Table 20: Type of Institution by Type of Department	32
Table 21: Region by Type of Department	32

Table 22: Enrollment Size by Type of Department	32
Table 23: Demographic Factors Impacting Campus Police and Security Departments	33
Table 24: 2004 Budgets by Security Departments With and Without Residence Halls	34
Table 25: College Student Population by Campus Police Department Budgets	35
Table 26: Routine Enforcement Functions by Type of Department	37
Table 27: Securing Functions by Type of Department	37
Table 28: Police Department Assessment of Security Deficiencies	38
Table 29: Security Department Assessment of Security Deficiencies	38
Table 30: Police Department Interaction with Other Agencies	39
Table 31: Security Department Interactions with Other Agencies	39
Table 32: Information and Assistance Provided by Departments' Official Websites	40
Table 33: Methods of Notification for Timely Notices	41
Table 34: Sections Addressed in Policy and Training Manuals	41
Table 35: Special Programs Offered by Departments	42
<b>Table 36:</b> Police Department Campus Student Population by Average Number ofOfficers	43
<b>Table 37:</b> Security Department College Student Population by Average Number ofSecurity Officers	44
Table 38: Incentives Offered to Full-time Police and Security Department Employees	45
Table 39: Education Level of Department Employees	45
Table 40: Campus Police and Security Department Communications Needs	49

#### I. Authority for Study

The Code of Virginia, §30-156, authorizes the Virginia State Crime Commission ("Crime Commission") to study, report and make recommendations "on all areas of public safety and protection." Additionally, the Crime Commission is to study "compensation of persons in law enforcement and related fields" and to study "apprehension, trial and punishment of criminal offenders."<sup>1</sup> Section 30-158(3) empowers the Crime Commission to "conduct studies and gather information and data in order to accomplish its purposes as set forth in §30-156. . .and formulate its recommendations to the Governor and the General Assembly."

Using the statutory authority granted to the Crime Commission, staff conducted a study on campus safety in the Commonwealth. The Crime Commission issued an interim report on campus safety in 2005. This is the final report which contains the Crime Commission's recommendations concerning campus safety best practices and accompanying legislation.

#### **II.** Executive Summary

During the 2004 Session of the Virginia General Assembly, Delegate Phillip A. Hamilton introduced House Joint Resolution 122 (HJR 122), which directed the Crime Commission to study campus safety at Virginia's public and private institutions of higher education.<sup>2</sup> Specifically, the resolution directed the Commission to examine the following areas: (i) current Virginia policies, procedures and programs used to promote safety at institutions of higher education; (ii) nature of criminal offenses at Virginia's public and private institutions of higher education; (iii) use of best practices or models for campus safety nationally; and, (iv) need to develop statewide procedures to ensure the dissemination of information pertaining to best practices for campus safety to Virginia's public and private institutions of higher education.

The Crime Commission utilized several research methodologies to address the directives of the two-year study mandate on campus safety inside the Commonwealth including: (i) literature review, (ii) field visits to selected institutions, (iii) statistical analysis of reported crimes on campus, (iv) surveys of institutions and other key stakeholders, (v) convening of the Campus Safety Task Force and, (vi) creation of best practices for campus safety. The Campus Safety Task Force submitted legislative initiatives and best practice recommendations to the Crime Commission at the December 14<sup>th</sup>, 2005 meeting. At the January 10<sup>th</sup>, 2006 meeting, Crime Commission Members adopted twenty-seven of the thirty Task Force best practices and all the legislative recommendations.<sup>3</sup> Legislative recommendations were included in House Bill 1036<sup>4</sup> and Senate Bill 560.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> VA. CODE. ANN, § 30-156 (Michie 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> House Joint Resolution 122, See attachment A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Revised and fully adopted January 10, 2006 by Members of Crime Commission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> House Bill 1036, See attachment B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Senate Bill 560, See attachment C.

# **Recommendations**

#### Legislative Recommendations for Campus Safety

#### **Recommendation #1**

The General Assembly should expand the Department of Criminal Justice Services' (DCJS) School Safety Center to include an advisory campus safety division that would specialize in post-secondary safety issues including, but not limited to:

- Specialized campus police officer and security officer training;
- Technical support;
- Establishment and management of a database for campus safety and security information sharing;
- Role in laying out parameters that may assist colleges in establishing uniform record-keeping for disciplinary records and statistics, such as campus crime logs, judicial referrals and Clery Act statistics; and,
- Provide support for the establishment and management of campus law enforcement, investigations, statistics, judicial referrals, and all other policies and procedures utilized by campus police and security departments.

The Secretary of Public Safety should designate the Deputy Secretary to work with the School Safety Center in establishing the aforementioned duties.

#### **Recommendation #2**

The Department of Criminal Justice Services' School Safety Center, in collaboration with the Crime Commission, Virginia Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (VACLEA), Virginia Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control and other state agencies, shall convene an annual state-wide summit between campus police and security departments, local law enforcement agencies with a campus in its jurisdiction, and any other appropriate entities.

#### **Recommendation #3**

Models for mutual-aid agreements, concurrent jurisdictions and memoranda of understanding between campus police/security agencies and other public safety organizations should be developed and/or updated by DCJS and made available to the field.

#### **Recommendation #4**

Department of Criminal Justice Services, with the assistance of the Attorney General's Office will help to develop guidelines for colleges and universities regarding dissemination of judicial council findings.

## **Best Practice Recommendations for Campus Safety**

#### Best Practice #1

Each college and university should establish a Safety and Security Committee(s) to determine the necessary mechanisms to ensure campus safety and the prevention of crime. The purpose of the Committee is to encourage communication and collaboration across the campus community, as well as provide an advisory role in protocol development, such as appropriate educational programming for its campus. The Committee should meet, at a minimum, quarterly and should report to the President or his designee.

Consideration for membership on the Committee should be given to representatives from:

- Campus police and security departments;
- Local law enforcement;
- Chief financial officer or designee;
- Maintenance/facilities departments;
- Student, athletic/intramural/recreation departments;
- Environmental health and safety;
- Residence life;
- Emergency planners;
- Student Government Association (SGA);
- Greek life;
- Counseling/women's center;
- Staff/faculty; and,
- Other representatives, as deemed appropriate.

#### **Best Practice #2**

Colleges and universities should apply Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) in planning and maintaining facilities and grounds.

- Smaller colleges and universities should partner with other law enforcement agencies in implementing CPTED.
- Police and security personnel should be actively involved in the review of plans for new buildings and building renovations to ensure that security concerns are addressed. Security concerns should include: landscape, access and key control systems, interior and exterior lighting, windows and doors, traffic safety (reflective tape for crosswalks, etc.) and electronic detection systems.

#### **Best Practice #3**

When developing new student orientation curriculum, institutions should work with campus police/security departments, SGA and other groups to establish the appropriate framework in addressing inappropriate/illegal student behavior. There should be multiple approaches to present the immediate and long-term effects of being arrested to both students and their parents. Approaches should include a mandatory overview at student orientation followed by supplemental meetings with residence life, student groups (i.e., Greek Life), and other organizations.

#### Best Practice #4

Each college and university should offer multiple courses/training sessions of Rape Aggression Defense (RAD) with certified instructors.

#### Best Practice #5

Each college and university should consider establishing trained and supervised student employees as an augmentation to security services. At a minimum, such students should receive 32 hours of training.

#### **Best Practice #6**

Each college and university with a police department should consider establishing a student police academy to give the campus community a working knowledge of the campus police department's personnel, policies, goals and objectives.

#### **Best Practice #7**

Each college and university should embrace the community policing philosophy and establish several programmatic initiatives in order to establish better relationships with the campus community. (Examples include: Adopt-A-Hall, "park, walk, and talk," bicycle patrols, satellite offices, and silent witness programs).

#### **Best Practice #8**

Each campus police and security department should have a written policy and procedure manual, which gives consideration to the standards set forth by the Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies (CALEA), the Virginia Law Enforcement Professional Standards Commission (VLEPSC) and/or the International Association of Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (IACLEA).

#### **Best Practice #9**

Campus police departments should seek accreditation by an appropriate accrediting agency, such as CALEA, VLEPSC or IACLEA.

#### **Best Practice #10**

Campus security departments should seek accreditation by an appropriate accrediting agency, such as the International Association for Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (IACLEA).

#### **Best Practice #11**

Each college and university should encourage and participate in professional development provided by regional, state (VACLEA), national, and/or other organizations.

#### Best Practice #12

The Chief of Police or Director of Security and senior staff as deemed appropriate should belong to one or more professional organizations or associations to stay up-to-date with current practices. (Examples: VACLEA, IACLEA, VACP, IACP, IAHSS, ASIS).

#### Best Practice #13

Campus police and security departments should meet annually with their local community officials, such as Fire Chiefs, Police Chiefs or designees, building officials, Emergency Medical Services representatives, Commonwealth's Attorney, ABC Regional Supervisor, City/County Manager or designee, City/County public relations representative, and other representatives as deemed appropriate.

#### **Best Practice #14**

Campus police and security departments should meet annually with their college's or university's officials including Vice-Presidents for Student and Business Affairs, Housing Directors, Judicial Affairs head administrator, college public relations person and other representatives as deemed appropriate.

#### Best Practice #15

Each college and university should seek inclusion in regional disaster plans consistent with the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and other regional and local plans.

#### **Best Practice #16**

Campus police departments should consider seeking concurrent jurisdiction with their surrounding locality.

#### **Best Practice #17**

Colleges and universities should consider working with their institutional research personnel to develop a survey tool that addresses campus safety. This survey should be administered on a regular basis to students, faculty and staff.

#### **Best Practice #18**

Every police department should have written procedures for the investigation of crimes.

#### **Best Practice #19**

Every police department should have written protocols for dealing with victims, including referrals for victim services.

#### Best Practice #20

Each school should develop a mechanism to identify each case involving actions by a student that could be considered criminal in a court of law that has occurred and be able to track the outcome of that case both on the campus level of disciplinary process and the court disciplinary process, if this so occurs.

#### Best Practice #21

Each college and university should develop and adopt a set of written sanctions that are available to address actions that would be violations of the law, including alcohol and drug violations. Responses to violations could include strong or progressive sanctions. (Examples: "Three Strikes You're Out," removal from residence halls, publicizing to students and parents, and/or expulsion).

#### **Best Practice #22**

Campus police and security departments should receive institutional support for their alcohol control and enforcement programs.

#### **Best Practice #23**

Commonwealth campus police and security departments should develop a system for sharing information regarding violations occurring on their campus that are committed by students from other Commonwealth colleges and universities. This system will allow for student conduct on other colleges and universities to be acknowledged and dealt with by that student's college or university, as well as the campus or local law enforcement where the incident took place.

#### Best Practice #24

Institutions should designate a liaison between the Commonwealth's Attorney office and campus police or security departments regarding criminal investigations.

#### Best Practice #25

Whenever there is any crime on campus, the student victim should be informed of his or her right to bring their case to the magistrate.

#### **Best Practice #26**

Campus police and security departments should consult with the Commonwealth Attorney as soon as possible regarding any violent felonious crimes.<sup>6</sup>

#### Best Practice #27

Colleges and universities may consider establishing protocols addressing student interaction between all involved parties after a criminal action is alleged.

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$  Note: Best Practice 26 received much discussion at the January 10<sup>th</sup>, 2006 meeting. When implementing the best practice, Members desired to have the following taken into consideration: Additional wording might include "upon consultation with the victim(s), when possible" as to not set up a situation where the victim's right to remain anonymous is impaired.

#### III. Methodology

In spring 2004, Crime Commission staff began a study pursuant to HJR 122. Virginia has over 140 distinct public, private, and out-of-state satellite institutions of higher learning, certified to operate in the Commonwealth.<sup>7</sup> These institutions serve approximately 400,000 students. Considering the large breadth of the study, as well as the study intent, the one year study was extended for an additional year. Additionally, the institutions studied were limited to 69 four-year and above public and private non-profit and two-year public colleges and universities, which accounts for 99 percent (399,000) of all higher education students in Virginia. This refinement excluded trade schools, technical institutes, for-profit colleges and two-year private colleges. The first year of the study focused primarily on colleges and universities ("colleges") with an official police department. The second year of the study focused on colleges with police departments, as well as, colleges with security or public safety departments ("security departments").

During 2004, Crime Commission staff focused on the collection of information from Virginia colleges with police departments. A literature review was conducted to gain an understanding of campus safety issues and models. Staff visited several college campuses to understand, first-hand, the operations of campus police departments. Additionally, many campus crime statistics were requested, collected and compiled. Finally, a detailed survey was also developed and disseminated to each campus police department in the Commonwealth.<sup>8</sup> The survey was used to gather information regarding each campus police department's budget, personnel, training, equipment, administrative relations and campus demographics.

In addition to the continued analyses of campus police departments and campus crime statistics, staff turned its focus to gathering information from campus security departments in 2005. Additional field visits were made to further appreciate the operations of both campus police and security departments. Staff also disseminated a detailed survey to all campus security departments to gather similar information that was requested in year one from all campus police departments.<sup>9</sup> Staff designed and disseminated a judicial board survey and requested judicial records and disciplinary guidelines to better understand campus disciplinary mechanisms.<sup>10</sup> Finally, staff convened the Campus Safety Task Force several times in order to brief members on the comprehensive information that had been collected by staff. The goal of the Task Force meetings was to formulate legislative recommendations and best practices for campus safety in the Commonwealth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> State Council of Higher Education for Virginia, statistics concerning Virginia's colleges and universities, *available at*, http://www.schev.edu/Policymakers/HigherEducationSysOverview.asp?from=schevs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Campus Police Department Survey, See attachment D.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Campus Security Department Survey, See attachment E.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Judicial Board Survey, See attachment F.

## IV. Background

#### Study History

The Commonwealth of Virginia boasts nearly 70 distinct colleges that are either fouryear and above public, private non-profit or two-year public colleges. These colleges serve a total of about 399,000 students and have a variety of demographic differences including, but not limited to:

- Enrollment ranging from under 1,000 to over 35,000 students;
- Rural versus urban settings;
- Whether the campus has a police department versus a security department;
- Whether the campus is located in a higher crime area or a lower crime area; and,
- Whether a hospital, stadium or special complex is located on campus.<sup>11</sup>

In the past, there have been concerns regarding safety on the Commonwealth's campuses. Since 1992, at least four studies have been conducted in Virginia regarding campus safety issues. Specifically, the issues examined included sexual assaults on campus,<sup>12</sup> substance abuse among college students,<sup>13</sup> and security on Virginia's campuses.<sup>14</sup> These previous studies and resulting college actions, however, have not addressed all campus safety concerns.

In 2004, Virginia 21<sup>15</sup> voiced their concerns regarding campus safety and requested that an examination of campus safety be conducted across the Commonwealth. Delegate Hamilton, in turn, proposed legislation to address such concerns. As such, during the 2004 session, the General Assembly adopted House Joint Resolution (HJR) 122, directing the Virginia State Crime Commission to study campus safety across the Commonwealth. In response to the legislative mandate, the Virginia State Crime Commission initiated a thorough examination of campus safety. This report contains the comprehensive and detailed findings regarding campus safety and campus safety mechanisms within the Commonwealth.

#### Literature Review

Staff conducted a literature review of existing national, state and academic campus safety studies. The following section includes a summary of findings from the literature review.

Crime on college campuses has received more attention in the last 20 years. Media in the 1980s and 1990s played a significant role in raising public awareness of campus crime and in creating a sense that campuses provided unsafe environments.<sup>16</sup> However, as cited below,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Virginia College and University Profiles, See attachment G.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Virginia Senate Document 19 (1993); Virginia Senate Document 17 (1992); Governor's Task Force on Substance Abuse and Sexual Assault on College Campuses (Va. 1992).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Governor's Task Force on Substance Abuse and Sexual Assault on College Campuses (Va. 1992).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Virginia House Document 36 (1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Virginia 21 is a non-partisan young voters organization. See

http://www.virginia21.org/site/pp.aspx?c=agKLLWOwEmH&b=284791 for more information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> H. Karp, How safe is your kid at college?, READERS DIGEST, April, 2001, at 82-89; and D. Kalette, Violent crime no stranger on campuses, USA Today, September 14, 1990, at 6A; and A. Matthews, The ivory tower becomes an armed camp, New York Times Magazine, March 7, 1993, at 38-47.

consistent evidence shows that campuses are safer than both the nation as a whole and the communities that surround them.

#### A. Campus Characteristics and Crime Rates

A number of factors need to be considered when looking at campus crime rates. Crime rates are influenced by forces both on and off campus. A review of the literature found at least five factors that influence crime rates on campus, which include the:

- Number of students living on-campus in residence halls;
- Financial characteristics of the institution and student body;
- Demographic characteristics of the student body;
- Impact of alcohol and drugs; and,
- Presence of certain organizations.

One of the most consistent factors in increasing campus crime rates has been the proportion of students living on-campus in residence halls. McPheters (1978), who conducted one of the first noteworthy studies of campus crime, found that the number of students living in residence halls influenced campus crime rates.<sup>17</sup> Likewise, other researchers such as Fox and Hellman (1985), Sloan (1992, 1994) and Volkwein et al. (1995) agreed that the percentage of students living on campus positively influences the crime rate on campuses.<sup>18</sup> Accordingly, other studies that have examined community colleges, which tend to have no residential students, found a significantly lower crime rate. Bromley (1999) and Volkwein et al. (1995) both found that two-year institutions, which cater to commuter students, generally experience lower amounts of violent and property crime.<sup>19</sup>

Second, the overall affluence of the institution and student body has also been found to increase campus crime rates. For example, researchers such as Fernandez and Lizotte (1995), Volkwein et al. (1995), Sloan (1992, 1994) and Fox and Hellman (1985) found that certain financial characteristics of institutions, such as cost per term and wealth of students, increased the campus crime rate, specifically property crime rates.<sup>20</sup>

Third, other studies have found that demographic characteristics of the student body can lead to an increase in campus crime rates. For instance, Fox and Hellman (1985) cited a positive

<sup>18</sup> J. Sloan, Campus crime and campus communities: An analysis of crimes known to campus police and security.
 JOURNAL OF SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, vol. 15, issue. 2, 31–47 (1992); and J. Sloan, The correlates of campus crime: An analysis of reported crimes on college and university campuses. JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, vol. 22, issue. 1, 31–47 (1994); see also J. Fox and D. Hellman, Correlates of campus crime, JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, vol. 22, issue. 1, 34–47 (1994); see also J. Fox and D. Hellman, Correlates of campus crime, JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, vol. 13, 429–444 (1985) and J. Volkwein, B. Szelest. and A. Lizotte, The relationship of campus crime to campus and student characteristics, RESEARCH IN HIGHER EDUCATION, vol. 36, issue, 6, 647–670 (1995).
 <sup>19</sup> M.L. Bromley, 1995. Comparing campus and city crime rates: A descriptive study, AMERICAN JOURNAL OF

POLICE, vol. 14. issue 1, 131-148 (1995); and Volkwein, supra note 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> L. McPheters, Econometric analysis of factors influencing crime on the campus, JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, vol. 6, 47–52 (1978).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> A. Fernandez, & A. Lizotte, An analysis of the relationship between campus crime and community crime: Reciprocal effects, in CAMPUS CRIME: LEGAL, SOCIAL, AND POLICY CONTEXTS, (J. Sloan & B. Fisher ed., 1995); Volkwein, supra, note 18; Sloan, supra note 18; and J. Fox & D. Hellman, Correlates of campus crime, JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, vol. 13, 429–444 (1985).

correlation between the percentage of male students and crime;<sup>21</sup> while other studies, such as Sloan (1994) and Volkwein et al. (1995), cited a positive correlation between the percentage of minority students and the violent crime rate.<sup>22</sup>

Fourth, the impact of alcohol and drugs on campus crime rates has been cited as a considerable factor. Hoover (2003) noted that drug and alcohol arrest rates on campuses had been consistently increasing since 1991.<sup>23</sup> Furthermore, studies have consistently shown that alcohol and drugs are implicated in the majority of violent campus offenses. Specifically, Sloan (1994) notes that over 95 percent of such offenses committed on campuses involve alcohol or drugs.<sup>24</sup>

Fifth, certain types of organizations on campus can affect campus crime rates as well. For example, Sloan (1992) found that institutions with a higher number of national fraternities and sororities on campus tend to have higher levels of campus crime.<sup>25</sup>

#### B. Campus Crime Rates and the Influence of the Surrounding Community

Another factor examined repeatedly in the literature is the effect of campus location and the surrounding community on campus crime rates. Many studies, including the U.S. Department of Education's Report to Congress (2001), Bromley (1995), Volkwein et al. (1995) and Fox and Hellman (1985) have all found that the overall rates of campus crime are lower than rates of crime in the general community.<sup>26</sup> Specifically, the U.S. Department of Education's 2001 Report to Congress stated that, "...our nation's colleges are safe. In nearly every category of crime for which data were collected, college campuses showed lower incidence of crime than comparable data for the nation as a whole."<sup>27</sup>

Crimes are not only less common on campuses, but they are also less violent. Numerous studies including Reaves and Goldberg (1996), Bromley (1995), Sloan (1994) have consistently indicated that violent crime rates are substantially lower than the communities that surround them and the nation as a whole.<sup>28</sup> In addition to the aforementioned studies, many more have shown that property offenses, specifically larcenies, constitute the overwhelming majority of campus crime.<sup>29</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Fox, supra note 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Sloan, *supra* note 18; *and* Volkwein, *supra* note 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> E. Hoover, *Drug and Alcohol Arrests Increased on Campuses in 2001*, THE CHRONICLE OF HIGHER EDUCATION, May 16, 2003, at 38 (2003). Note: Increase in arrests is likely attributed to tougher enforcement on campuses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Sloan, *supra* note 18 (1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Sloan, *supra* note 18 (1992).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Volkwein, supra note 18; and Bromley, surpa note 19; Fox, supra note 20; OFFICE OF POST SECONDARY ED., The Incidence of Crime on the Campuses of U.S. Postsecondary Education Institutions: A Report to Congress, Washington, D.C. (2001).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> U.S. DEPT. OF ED., *supra* note 26, at 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Volkwein, *supra*, at note 18; Fox, *supra* note 20; Sloan, *supra* note 10 (1994), Sloan, *supra* note 10 (1992); Bromley, *supra* note 19; B.A. Reaves & A.L.Goldberg, BUREAU OF JUSTICE STATISTICS, Campus Law Enforcement Agencies, NCJ-161137 (1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Sloan, *supra* note 18 (1994), Sloan, *supra* note 18 (1992); Bromley, *supra* note 19; Fact File, 1998; Volkwein, *supra* note 18; Laurie Lewis & Elizabeth Farris, NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS, *Campus Crime* and Security at Postsecondary Education Institutions, NCES 97-402 (1997); M.L.Bromley & L. Territo, COLLEGE CRIME PREVENTION AND PERSONAL SAFETY AWARENESS, Charles C. Thomas, (1990); Reaves, *supra* note 28.

Several studies have also consistently held that campus location alone does not significantly affect the overall campus crime rate.<sup>30</sup> However, when examined more closely, location does seem to affect the mix of crime. For instance, Fox and Hellman (1985) noted that as campuses become more urban, their violent crime rate tends to be higher.<sup>31</sup>

Other studies have attempted to determine the effects of community crime on campus crime rates. For example, Fernandez and Lizotte (1995) found that community crime rates do not significantly affect campus crime rates, with the exception of robbery and motor vehicle theft.<sup>32</sup> Thus, if the surrounding community has a prevalence of robbery or motor vehicle theft, the campus will typically have higher rates for those crimes as well. Furthermore, while concern over community offenders on campus is warranted, the majority of offenders committing crimes on campus are its own students. Sloan (1994) found that over 80 percent of reported campus crimes involved students victimizing other students.<sup>33</sup>

Finally, research has found that certain crimes are underreported more than others. Sexual assault is one such crime that remains highly underreported across the nation.<sup>34</sup> Research supports this trend on college campuses as well. Karjane et al. (2002), Fisher et al. (1998) and Koss et al. (1987) all highlight the fact that students, specifically women, are exposed to higher risks of sexual victimization on campuses.<sup>35</sup> These studies also indicate that women are less likely to report the crime when the perpetrator is an acquaintance of the victim, which is the situation for the majority of sexual assaults on campuses. In sum, sexual assaults remain underreported on campuses, as well as, the nation as a whole.

#### C. Guidelines

Several governmental and administrative organizations have developed guidelines to address campus crime and victimization. The guidelines and standards cover topics such as. recruitment and training, communications and record keeping, crime prevention, victim assistance, sexual assaults, natural disasters, homeland security and community policing on campuses, along with other overall policies and procedures for campus law enforcement agencies.36

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Bromley, supra note 19; Fox, supra note 20; McPheters, supra note 17; Sloan, supra note 18 (1992); Volkwein, supra note 18. <sup>31</sup> Fox, supra note 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> A. Fernandez & A. Lizotte, An analysis of the relationship between campus crime and community crime: Reciprocal effects, in CAMPUS CRIME; LEGAL, SOCIAL, AND POLICY CONTEXTS, Charles C. Thomas, (1995). <sup>33</sup> Sloan, *supra* note 18 (1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> BUREAU OF JUSTICE STATISTICS, Rape and Sexual Assault: Reporting to Police and Medical Attention, 1992-2000, (2002). <sup>35</sup> H.M. Karjane, B.S. Fisher, & F.T. Cullen, Executive Summary. Campus Sexual Assault: How America's

Institutions of Higher Education Respond, Final Report, NIJ Grant #1999-WA-VX-0008, Education Development Center, Inc., (2002); B. Fisher, F. Cullen, & M. Turner, The sexual victimization of college women: Finding from two national-level studies, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE AND BUREAU OF JUSTICE STATISTICS, (2000); M. Koss, C. Gidycz, & N. Wisniewski, The scope of rape: Incidences and prevalence of sexual aggression and victimization in a national sample of higher education students, JOURNAL OF CONSULTING AND CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY, vol. 55, issue2, 162-170, (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> See, for example, International Association of College Law Enforcement Administrators (IACLEA), Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies (CALEA), Association of Independent Colleges and Universities, National Association of State and University Land Grant Colleges, National Association of Student Personnel Administrators and the National Association of College and University Business Officers.

### V. Campus Field Visits

In order to more fully understand campus safety operations, Crime Commission staff conducted field visits to various colleges. Staff wanted to ensure that the selected institutions represented a cross-section of schools based upon the following characteristics:

- Region;
- Student enrollment;
- Public or private;
- Police or security Department;
- Location (urban, rural or suburban); and,
- Type of funding stream.

During campus field visits, staff formally met with police and security personnel to discuss their roles, activities, difficulties and proactive measures undertaken to promote campus safety. Afterwards, staff was typically taken on a campus tour. Field visits were instrumental in appreciating the nature of crime on campuses, campus police and security organizations, funding mechanisms, overall difficulties and the uniqueness of each institution. The visits were also helpful in understanding day-to-day department activities, resources, equipment, as well as, their relationship with administrators and other law enforcement agencies. Campus Police Chiefs, Security Directors and their staff devoted significant time to our visits. In one instance, a "ride-along" was organized for staff after the formal meeting and campus tour. The student total at the particular institutions visited accounted for over 175,000 students, or about 44 percent of the total student population in the study's framework. Field visits were conducted with the following colleges and universities:

- Christopher Newport University;
- College of William and Mary;
- Ferrum College;
- George Mason University;
- Northern Virginia Community College;
- Radford University;
- Randolph-Macon College;
- Roanoke College;
- University of Richmond;
- University of Virginia;
- Virginia Commonwealth University; and,
- Virginia Polytechnic Institute.

In addition to campus visits, staff briefed the Virginia Association of Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (VACLEA) on two different occasions and answered questions from the campus police and security department representatives.

### VI. Campus Crime Record Analyses

Crime Commission staff gathered campus crime statistics from a number of sources to gain a comprehensive view of campus crime in Virginia. It is vital to examine existing statistics since they can provide for informed decisions on the nature of the crime problem, allocation of resources, administration and operations, proper focus for crime prevention and enforcement and measurement of workload and effectiveness of implemented programs.

Statistics were gathered from both federal and state sources, as well as, campus police and security departments. Specifically, these included the U.S. Department of Education Clery Act statistics, the Virginia State Police Handbook of Uniform Crime Reports (UCR), and all campus crime log and dispatch records. Analyses found that there is no perfect measure of crime; however, each measure provides useful information that, when placed in its proper context, can be combined to create a clearer picture of campus crime. Various difficulties and limitations must be acknowledged for each campus crime statistic. However, by focusing on several different sources, a more accurate and comprehensive view of campus crime will be gained.

#### A. Clery Act

Under the Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act ("Clery Act") and the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR, Title 34), each institution of higher education in the United States that is eligible for Title IV funding must produce and distribute an annual report containing crime statistics and statements of security policy. These statistics are compiled by the U.S. Department of Education. Congress authorized the Act as a means to help potential college students and their parents research criminal offenses on college campuses. Specifically, these institutions must disclose the number of reported murders, forcible and non-forcible sex offenses, robbery, aggravated assaults, burglaries, motor vehicle thefts, arsons, negligent manslaughters, and hate crimes. These crimes are categorized into the following classifications: on-campus; residence halls (subset of on-campus); non-campus; and public property. The reported crimes are also categorized by the hierarchy rule, which counts only the most serious offense in an incident. Finally, statistics on arrests and campus disciplinary action referalls for liquor, drug and weapon law violations must be provided.

There are several limitations of Clery Act statistics that need to be recognized. First, the Clery Act does not require <u>all</u> crimes to be reported. For example, some of the most commonly reported crimes are not mandated to be reported, including larceny/theft, vandalism, threats and harassment. Thus, an accurate picture of campus crime cannot be achieved if these statistics are the only data source examined. Second, because all non-law enforcement personnel are required to report criminal incidents on campus (with the exception of religious and professional counseling personnel), the data is not directly comparable to data from the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting System, which only collects statistics from police authorities. However, similar to the UCR, the statistics represent alleged criminal offenses and do not necessarily reflect prosecutions or convictions for crime.

Another limitation is whether an arrest is made by campus police or a surrounding jurisdiction that contains the campus. Local police agencies are not required to collect or report crime statistics to campus officials for areas such as streets, parking lots, and sidewalks through or adjacent to campuses. Also, since the base measure of enrollment size is the number of undergraduate students, campus crime rates may be overstated since the figure does not account for the number of faculty, staff, graduate students and visitors.<sup>37</sup> Finally, there are various inconsistencies in reporting due to interpretational differences of crime definitions. This may cause certain campus crimes to be categorized incorrectly.

In spite of these limitations, the statistics offer an account of campus crime that is instructive to evaluate with other sources of data.<sup>38</sup> Clery Act statistics were gathered from 2000-2003 for every two-year public and four-year public and private institution from the Office of Post Secondary Education campus security statistics website.<sup>39</sup> An analysis of this data was conducted, including a compilation of the number and types of crimes for colleges included within the purview of this study. The 2003 Clery Act findings for Virginia's two-year public and four-year and above public and private institutions are listed below.

#### 2003 Clery Act Findings

Table 1 illustrates the breakdown of reported Clery Act crimes by percentage. Of the 1,116 crimes reported, 85 percent (945) occurred on police department campuses and 15 percent (171) occurred on security department campuses. When examining all on-campus, non-campus and public property crimes reported to campus authorities, the type of offense most reported at both types of departments was burglary.

Table 1:2003 Reported Clery Act Crimes*					
Type of CrimePolice Departments (n= 945)Security Departments (n= 171)					
Burglary	47%	53%			
Motor Vehicle Theft	17%	11%			
Forcible Sex Offense	13%	13%			
Aggravated Assault	11%	18%			
Robbery	9%	5%			
Arson	4%	1%			

Note: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

\*Figures account for all on-campus, non-campus and public property crimes reported to campus authorities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Not all colleges provided numbers for categories of faculty, staff and graduate students. As a result, staff chose to rely upon SCHEV Fall 2004 student headcounts for consistency across all colleges.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Before the Clery Act requirements, established in 1990, campus crime statistics were less available and less thorough.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Office of Post Secondary Education Campus Security Statistics Website, *available at* http://www.ope.ed.gov/security/.

These numbers must be interpreted with caution. Again, one of the significant limitations of the Clery Act statistics is that all crimes are not required to be reported; larceny, vandalism, threats and harassment are excluded. By excluding these types of crimes, the percentages do not represent an accurate picture of campus crime. On the other hand, these are the only existing statistics for campus crime that were readily available for each and every school in the study.

#### **B.** Uniform Crime Reports (UCR)

The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) created the UCR program, which established a system of collecting, compiling and analyzing crime statistics from participating law enforcement agencies throughout the nation. The Virginia State Police is the Commonwealth's central repository for UCR statistics, which lists all types of crimes and provides greater specificity in reporting. There are several key differences between how crime statistics are reported under the UCR program and the Clery Act. First, the Virginia UCR is a mandatory program where each law enforcement agency submits monthly reports of crimes and arrests. While reporting under the Clery Act is mandatory as well, it includes reports from both law enforcement and non-law enforcement entities. Second, UCR calculates crimes in an incident driven fashion (NIBR) that is distinct from Clery Act report data. The UCR-NIBR system counts every offense within each incident; whereas, Clery Act statistics only include the most serious offense in each incident. For example, if someone was robbed and raped, the UCR-NIBR system would count both offenses in the incident. Clery Act statistics, on the other hand, would count only the rape, which is considered the more serious offense in the incident

The UCR also has limitations since it does not match the individual incident with the specific outcome. Thus, each crime is not matched with whether an arrest was made, the complaint unfounded or the crime cleared. The UCR-NIBR system does not accurately reflect the volume of clearances each department produces in a given year. The number of arrests in a locality does not provide offense clearance information in that a person arrested could clear a number of different offenses.<sup>40</sup>

Staff gathered the UCR Group A Offenses for the 22 campus police departments and their surrounding localities that are published in Virginia State Police's "Crime in Virginia" handbook for years 2000-2003.<sup>41</sup> Uniform Crime Report statistics were only available for those campus police departments with the capability to report to the Virginia State Police in the correct format from their record management system. For campuses with security departments, the UCR statistics are handled by the surrounding local law enforcement agency. In addition to reported crimes, the number of arrests reported from each campus police department was requested from the Virginia State Police.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE, Crime in Virginia, (Va. 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Group A offenses include the following: murder, non-negligent murder, kidnapping/abduction, forcible rapes, other forcible sex offenses, robbery, aggravated assaults, simple assaults/intimidation, arson, extortion/blackmail, burglary, larceny, motor vehicle theft, counterfeiting/forgery, fraud, embezzlement, stolen property, destruction/damage/vandalism, drug/narcotic offenses, non-forcible sex offenses, pornography, gambling, prostitution, bribery and weapon law violations.

#### 2003 UCR Findings

The most serious offenses are designated as Group A offenses. In 2003, there were a total of 423,784 Group A incidents reported in the Commonwealth. Of the Commonwealth's total incidents, 1 percent (4,543) was reported by campus police departments. Table 2 illustrates the top four Group A incidents reported by campus police departments in 2003.

Table 2:Top 4 Group A Incidents Reported by Campus Police Departments in 2003*					
Rank Type of Crime Number of Incidents Percent of Te					
1	Larceny	2,454	54%		
2	Vandalism	1,098	24%		
3	Drug Offenses	447	10%		
4	Assaults	367	8%		

\* Note: Virginia Tech did not report any months to VSP; University of Mary Washington and Virginia State University reported only 9 months.

In 2003, there were a total of 283,532 arrests made within the Commonwealth. Of this number, 39 percent were Group A arrests and 61 percent were Group B arrests.<sup>42</sup> Group B offenses are less serious offenses. Less than 1 percent (2,052) of the Commonwealth's arrests was made by campus police departments, with 16 percent as Group A arrests and 84 percent as Group B arrests. Table 3 illustrates the top four crimes that resulted in arrest by campus police departments in 2003. The top three arrest offenses were Group B offenses.

Table 3:Top 4 Arrests Reported by Campus Police Departments in 2003							
RankType of CrimeNumber of ArrestsPercent of Campu Arrests							
1	Drunkenness	440	21%				
2	Liquor Law Violations	359	17%				
3	D.U.I.	330	16%				
4	Drug Offenses	176	9%				

In summary, it was found that the majority of crimes reported on campuses with police departments were larcenies and vandalism. Also, the majority of arrests made by campus police departments were for Group B offenses; namely, alcohol violations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Group B offenses, which only arrests are recorded for, include the following: Bad checks,

curfew/loitering/vagrancy, disorderly conduct, D.U.I., drunkenness, non-forcible family offenses, liquor law violations, peeping tom, runaway, trespass of real property, conspiracy and all other offenses except traffic.

#### C. Crime Logs

According to the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), Title 34, §668.46, every school that has a campus police or security department must maintain a daily crime log. They must record <u>all</u> reported crimes, even those not required to be reported in the annual Clery Act report. The log entries must contain the nature of the crime, date, time, general location of each crime and the disposition of the complaint, if known. The most recent 60-day period of the log must be open to public inspection during normal business hours. Any records older than 60 days must be made available within two working days of the inspection request. These logs must be kept available for seven years.

As part of the study, crime log copies were requested from each campus police and security department for the period of July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2004. All 29 college police departments complied with the request and 80 percent (32 of 40) of security departments responded. Over 30,000 records from campus police and security department crime logs were received. After "non-relevant" entries, such as building checks and lock-ups, were removed, the number of crime log records was reduced to approximately 21,400 police department records and 2,800 security department records. This reduction was appropriate in order to obtain the most accurate picture of reported campus crime and safety incidents. Staff decided to conduct separate analyses between the campus police and security departments and each was placed into its appropriate region, type of institution and campus population category.<sup>43</sup>

The crime logs were received in a variety of formats and were electronically manipulated or inputted by staff for analysis.<sup>44</sup> The categories analyzed included:

- Date Reported;
- Case/Incident Number;
- Time Reported;
- Type of Offense (which was further coded for uniformity);
- Location Name/Address;
- Disposition Status (which was further coded for uniformity);
- Personal Injury Suffered;
- Type of Injury; and,
- Type and Amount of Property Damaged/Stolen.

Not every crime log included each of these categories. As such, staff determined aggregate crime categories that could be analyzed to provide meaningful findings.

Statistical analysis of crime logs primarily revolved around assessing the types of crime that occur on campuses, how often certain crimes occur, when and where they occur, as well as the dispositions for different types of crime. In order to make future comparison with the Uniform Crime Reports (UCR), reported crime log incidents were categorized following the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Institutional Demographic Categories, See attachment H.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Crime log submissions ranged from hand-written logs to electronic logs (i.e., Excel, Access, Notepad, Adobe Acrobat files) needing differing amounts of computer data manipulation. In order to compile the logs in a uniform, analyzable form, all logs were entered into separate Excel documents. Each document contained a uniform categorical template so that all department crime logs could be merged into one final dataset for analyses.

breakdowns set forth by the UCR. Again, the UCR breaks incidents into Group A and Group B offenses, with Group A being the most serious offenses.

#### Crime Log Findings

Table 4 illustrates the top five types of Group A offenses reported in campus police and security department crime logs. When examining all reported crime log incidents for campus police and security departments, larceny and vandalism accounted for the top two types of crimes reported.

Table 4:Top 5 Group A Offenses Reported in Campus Crime Logs						
RankTypePolice Department: % of total incidentsSecurity Department: % of total incidents						
1	Larceny	28.3% (6,062)	28.3% (799)			
2	Vandalism	13.3% (2,842)	22.6% (637)			
3	Assaults	5.5% (1,182)	5.4% (153)			
4	Drug Offenses	5.0% (1,063)	4.0% (113)			
5	Burglary	2.7% (568)	3.0% (84)			

\* Police departments, N=21,390; Security departments, N=2,824.

If Group B offenses were included in the above chart, the top three incidents for police departments would remain the same; however, incidents of liquor law violations (5.5%) and drunkenness (5.3%) would be higher than the amount of drug offenses and would take the number four and five spots. For campus security departments, the top two reported incidents would remain the same but incidents of liquor law violation (8.6%) would take the number three spot.

Table 5 illustrates the categories of crime that most reported incidents from campus crime logs fall into. Following the UCR categorization, crime log incidents were coded as either crimes against property, persons, or society. Staff created additional categories for those incidents not comprised in the aforementioned categories: "Group B Offenses," "All Attempted Incidents," and "Other". When examining these overall categories of incidents reported, "Crimes against Property" comprised nearly half (47.2%) of all reported incidents for campus police departments and over half (55.7%) of reported incidents for security departments, followed by Group B offenses, crimes against persons and crimes against society, respectively.

Table 5:           Top 4 Categories of Crime Reported in Campus Crime Logs							
Police Departments:Security Departments:Crime Category% of total incidents% of total incidents							
Crimes Against Property	47.2% (10,096)	55.7% (1,572)					
Group B Offenses (Alcohol violations, etc.)	19.7% (4,211)	16.9% (476)					
Crimes Against the Person	6.2% (1,327)	6.2% (174)					
Crimes Against Society	5.5% (1,166)	4.6% (129)					

\* Police departments, N=21,390; Security departments, N= 2,824.

The time, day and month of reported incidents were assessed. It is important to acknowledge that the times, days and months reflect when the crime was reported, not necessarily when the offense actually occurred. When examining incidents by time reported, the timeframe between 1 A.M. and 3 A.M. contained the highest amount of reported incidents for both campus police departments (16.8%) and security departments (16.9%). The timeframe containing the second highest amount of reported incidents was between Noon and 3 P.M. for campus police (15.4%) and between 9 A.M. and Noon for security departments (16.1%).

When examining overall incidents by day of week reported, the highest amounts of incidents were reported on Fridays for both police (16.4%) and security (16.7%) departments. The second highest amount of incidents was reported on Mondays to campus police departments (15%) and Wednesdays to security departments (14.7%). When examining overall incidents by month reported, the highest amounts of incidents were reported in September for campus police departments (11.8%), followed by the months of October (11.7%) and April (11.5%). For security departments, the highest amounts of incidents were also reported in September (12.1%), followed by the months of November (11.8%) and April (11.4%). The months of June and July had the least amount of reported incidents for both types of departments.

Overall, findings between campus police and security departments were parallel. Larceny and vandalism account for the highest amount of reported incidents on campuses in the Commonwealth. This finding is consistent with the trends found overall in the Commonwealth, with larceny and vandalism being the top two reported offenses.<sup>45</sup> Additionally, property crimes account for approximately half of all reported incidents to campus police and security departments. This is parallel with the overall initial conclusions for every region, type of institution and population category. These findings were instrumental in gaining an accurate assessment of reported crime at Virginia's colleges.

#### **D.** Dispatch Records

Dispatch records include all "calls for service" that a college police department receives each day. Calls for service are diverse and place varying levels of demand upon the officers that respond. For example, a campus police officer can be dispatched to anything ranging from a suspicious event, crime, accident, escort service request, building check/lock-up to traffic or animal control calls. Other than criminal events, very few calls for service are evidenced in any of the previously mentioned campus crime statistics. Thus, dispatch records help to determine a department's true workload, which may affect safety on campuses.

As such, dispatch and/or daily blotter records were requested for the period of July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2004 from campus police departments. Twenty-four of the 29 colleges with police departments were able to retrieve records from either their local dispatch center or their daily blotters. Departments submitted these records in various formats, both electronic and handwritten. The total amount of records submitted was in excess of 500,000. Prior to receiving any dispatch records, Crime Commission staff intended to analyze all college dispatch records. However, due to the enormous amount of records that required manual input, an eight month sample was drawn from the full two years. The months included in the overall sample were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE, Crime in Virginia, (Va. 2004).

February 2003-04, April 2003-04, July 2002-03 and September 2002-03. This process was completed by staff with the assistance of temporary employees.

The final analysis intended to highlight the different types of tasks and calls for service that campus police departments handle and how variable these duties are across the Commonwealth's college campuses. However, staff was unable to create a mechanism that would accurately compare the records between each institution. The categories that staff attempted to obtain from submitted records included the date, time dispatched, arrived and cleared, nature of call, location, and disposition of call. Unfortunately, not every department had the necessary categories and the information provided within each category was not uniform among the departments. Creating a standardized code for categorical comparison of over half a million incomplete records was impractical. Furthermore, it would be misleading to report the findings on an aggregate level as the number and type of records varied significantly among the 24 departments. Hence, staff decided to discontinue further analysis of dispatch records.

# VII. Judicial Referral Systems Analyses

Campus safety is affected by a variety of factors, one of which is the on-campus disciplinary process. As such, staff desired to determine the different avenues that colleges utilize to handle matters that could be considered criminal in a court of law. Staff collected and analyzed the following items from all institutions to understand the mechanisms for on-campus discipline:

- Clery Act statistics;
- Crime Commission judicial board survey;
- Individual judicial records from each institution; and,
- Judicial mechanism guidelines.

#### A. Clery Act

As discussed earlier, Clery Act statistics are complied by the U.S. Department of Education from all eligible Title IV institutions. In addition to the mandated reported crimes, each institution must disclose its referrals for all liquor law, drug law and weapon law violations. Clery Act defines referrals as: "... any persons referred to any official who initiates a disciplinary action of which a record is kept and which may result in the imposition of a sanction."<sup>46</sup> In the case where a person is both arrested and referred for a law violation, only the arrest is counted. Clery Act referral statistics were gathered from all institutions for a one-year calendar period. The following outlines the overall findings from the Clery judicial referral analyses.

In 2003, there were a total of 4,948 referrals at the surveyed institutions for offenses involving liquor, drug and law violations. This includes all on-campus, non-campus and public property referrals combined. Of which:

- 87 percent (4,322) were liquor law violations;
- 11 percent (560) were drug law violations; and,
- 1 percent (66) was weapons law violations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Code of Federal Regulations, Title 34, §668.46a

The number of referrals originating from only on-campus locations was assessed as well. Ninety-four percent (4,648 of 4,948) of referrals occurred on-campus, of which:

- 88% (4,113) were liquor law violations;
- 10% (488) were drug law violations; and,
- 1% (47) was weapon law violations.

Table 6 illustrates the number and type of referrals that each type of institution reported in 2003. Of all on-campus referrals, 63 percent (2,933 of 4,648) came from public 4-year and above institutions with 88 percent involving liquor law violations.

Table 6:           2003 Clery Act On-Campus Referrals by Type of Institution					
Type of Institution	Liquor Law Violations	Drug Law Violations	Weapon Law Violations		
Public 4-year and Above $(n=2,933)$	88%	11%	1%		
Private 4-year and Above $(n=1,713)$	89%	10%	1%		
Public 2-year $(n=2)$	0%	100%	0%		

\* N= 4,648

Table 7 illustrates the number and type of referrals that each type of department reported in 2003. Of all on-campus referrals, 79 percent (3,682 of 4,648) came from campuses with police departments with 88 percent involving liquor law violations.

Table 7:2003 Clery Act On-Campus Referrals by Type of Department				
Type of Department	Liquor Law Violations	Drug Law Violations	Weapon Law Violations	
Police Department $(n=3682)$	88%	11%	1%	
Security Department $(n = 966)$	90%	9%	1%	

Clery Act referral statistics are limited because they cover only three types of violations. Additionally, these numbers only reflect cases where the individual was solely referred and not arrested as well. In order to better understand how campus judicial referral systems operate, staff collected and analyzed the following additional items addressed in the next three sections.

#### **B.** Judicial Board Survey

All colleges were asked to complete a brief judicial council/board survey.<sup>47</sup> The information requested was analyzed to shed light on the nature of judicial councils/boards along with the extent and manner in which they are utilized. The survey was designed to explore: how many institutions had judicial councils or boards; who served on the board; how board members were chosen; who could request resolution through such a board; was there an appeal process; and, were there any other types of mechanisms that sought to address matters that could be considered criminal in a court of law. Also desired was how a judicial referral is handled when the incident is being criminally prosecuted as well.

Ninety-seven percent (67 of 69) of institutions responded to the survey. Sixty-one percent (41 of 67) of institutions had a primary judicial council or board. Of the 41 institutions, 27 had additional mechanisms to address matters and 14 did not. Thirty-nine percent (26 of 67) of institutions did not a have a judicial council or board; however, 17 did have another mechanism or mechanisms to address matters and 9 had no mechanisms whatsoever. In sum, 87 percent (58 of 67) of colleges have some campus mechanism for handling matters that could be considered criminal in a court of law and, 13 percent (9 of 67) have no campus mechanism for handling matters that could be considered criminal in court of law.

Table 8:Types of Members Serving on Judicial Boards					
Type of Member Total Number of Institutions Percent of Institut					
Students	39 of 41	95%			
Faculty	28 of 41	68%			
Staff	18 of 41	44%			
Administration	9 of 41	22%			
Other	3 of 41	7%			

The 41 surveys of those institutions indicating they had a primary judicial council or board were analyzed. Table 8 illustrates the types of members that institutions indicated serve on judicial boards and councils.

Ninety-five percent (39 of 41) of boards included students as members. Specifically, 24 percent (10 of 41) involve only students; 5 percent (2 of 41) involve only faculty and staff; and, 71 percent (29 of 41) involve students with a combination of faculty, staff and administration. Members are chosen in a number of ways, such as election, appointment, application/interview process, *ex officio* appointment, and volunteer.

The number of institutions allowing the following individuals to seek resolution through their judicial boards was as follows:

- 100% (41 of 41) allow students;
- 59% (24 of 41) allow staff;
- 59% (24 of 41) allow faculty;
- 51% (21 of 41) allow college police department;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Note: See attachment F for Judicial Board Survey.

- 15% (6 of 41) allow local police department; and,
- 22% (9 of 41) allow "other" (Local citizens, ABC officials, other colleges, administration).

The type and structure of the appeals process for those institutions with judicial councils/boards was assessed. Ninety-eight percent (40 of 41) indicated that they had some form of appeal process. Appeals may be heard by entities, such as appeal committees or boards, college presidents, deans or vice-presidents of student affairs, and board of trustees/visitors. There is often more than one level of approach to the appeal process.

The additional disciplinary mechanisms that institutions indicated on the survey was examined and it was found that 65 percent (44 of 67) of institutions had other campus mechanisms besides primary judicial boards for handling student conduct that could be considered criminal in a court of law. Twenty-seven of the 44 had a judicial board plus another mechanism; whereas, 17 of the 44 had no judicial board, but had other mechanism(s). Twenty-four of the 44 institutions had <u>two or more</u> other mechanisms for handling such matters, including administrative hearings, campus police, honor boards, informal resolution, sexual assault boards, psychological evaluation panels, mediation and, panhellenic (fraternity/sorority) councils.

#### C. Judicial Records Analysis

In addition to other campus crime statistics, Crime Commission staff requested records from all institutions regarding their on-campus mechanism(s) for handling violations that could be considered criminal in a court of law. Before sending out the request, staff conducted a legal analysis of issues relevant to obtaining student judicial records without violating the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FEPRA). In order to obtain this information, staff worked with the State Council of Higher Education for Virginia (SCHEV) to facilitate colleges' compliance with Crime Commission requests. In consideration of FERPA, staff explicitly did not request any personally identifiable information.<sup>48</sup> The limited information requested was:

- Date of offense and hearing;
- Type of offense;
- Source of referral;
- Disposition;
- Sanction;
- Year of study at school; and,
- Gender of offender, (if known).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> In order to emphasize student privacy and to address any potential college concerns, the letter went to colleges included: "Crime Commission staff understand that these records are very sensitive and for that reason Crime Commission requests that, in accordance with (FERPA), no "personally identifiable information" ( $34 \ CFR \ Part \ 99.3$ ) that would identify a specific individual, be requested. 34 Code of Federal Regulations Part 99.3 defines this as but not limited to: student name, name of student's parent or family member, address of the student or family, personal identifier such as social security number or student number, personal characteristics that would make the student easily traceable, or other information that would make the student easily traceable."

All violations occurring between July 1, 2003 and June 30, 2004 that could be considered <u>criminal</u> in a court of law were requested. After the request for judicial board records, which yielded 4,004 valid records, staff realized the initial request was not capturing all internal disciplinary mechanisms on campuses. Staff re-requested on-campus judicial information to clarify that the Crime Commission desired <u>any</u> mechanism that handles student violations that could be considered criminal in a court of law. As a result, a total of 6,113 valid judicial referral records were eventually collected within the timeframe and analyzed to determine the type and volume of offenses being referred and their outcomes.

Only 60 of the 69 institutions were expected to submit records for analyses, since nine indicated that they had no on-campus mechanisms, whatsoever. Two institutions did not comply with the request. As such, staff received responses from 58 institutions, with 37 having valid records to submit. The other 21 institutions had no records to submit for the requested timeframe. Twenty-four of the 37 had a judicial board plus additional mechanisms making up 49 percent of the records, 8 (42%) had a judicial board only and 5 (10%) had another mechanism only.

#### Judicial Record Findings

The 37 responding institutions comprise 62 percent of the total students in the 69 institutions studied. Table 9 illustrates the number of referrals by the type of institution. Sixty percent (3,675) of referrals were from Public 4-year and above institutions. Public 2-year institutions had very few referrals due to either the lack or residential students or their sole reliance of local law enforcement to handle all criminal incidents occurring on their campuses.

Table 9:           Number of Referrals by Type of Institution					
Type of Institution	Number of Institutions	% of Total Students*	Number of Referrals	Percent of Referrals	
Public 4-year and Above	15	48% (189,824)	3,675	60%	
Private 4-year and Above	20	10% (39,563)	2,425	40%	
Public 2-year	2	4% (16,876)	13	0%	

\* Institutions, n=37; Number of referrals, N= 6,113.

\* Total Fall 2004 SCHEV Headcount= 399,620 students.

Table 10 illustrates the number of referrals by the enrollment size. Thirty-two percent of referrals were from campuses with 25,000 or more students.

Table 10:Number of Referrals by Enrollment Size					
Campus Population	Number of Institutions	% of Total Students	Number of Referrals	Percent of Referrals	
0 to 1,999	17	5% (20,452)	1,019	17%	
2,000 to 4,999	4	<b>8%</b> (30,386)	1,702	28%	
5,000- 9,999	7	10% (39,945)	900	15%	
10,000 to 24,999	6	18%	526	9%	
25,000 and Above	3	21% (84,955)	1,966	32%	

\* Institutions, n=37; Number of referrals, N= 6,113.

Table 11 illustrates the number of referrals by the type of department. Seventy-eight percent (4,764) of referrals came from campuses with police departments and 22 percent (1,349) of referrals came from security department campuses.

	Table 11:           Number of Referrals by Type of Department				
Type of Department	Number of Institutions	% of Total Students	Number of Records	Percent of Records	
Police Department	24	57% (228,046)	4,764	78%	
Security Department	13	<b>5%</b> (18,217)	1,349	22%	

\* Institutions, n=37; Number of referrals, N= 6,113.

Referrals were categorized into two different classifications. The first classification indicated whether the referred incident involved alcohol or drugs; the second classification indicated the most serious offense in the referred incident. The analysis from the first classification found that 85 percent (5,109) of submitted records were alcohol and/or drug-related. Specifically, 75 percent (4,553) were alcohol-related; 9 percent (488) were drug-related; and, 1 percent (68) were alcohol and drug-related.

Table 12 illustrates the findings from the second classification, which counts only the most serious offense in each incident. As evidenced by both classifications, the most common referral included alcohol-related violations by at least an eight-fold margin.

Table 12:           Number of Referrals by Most Serious Offense in Incident					
Rank	Type of Offense	Number of Referrals	Percent of Referrals		
1	Alcohol violations	4,400	72%		
2	Drug violations	554	9%		
3	Larceny	209	3%		
4	Vandalism	172	3%		
5	Assaults	171	3%		
6	Fraud/ Fake ID	123	2%		
7	Disorderly Conduct	122	2%		
8	D.U.I.	60	1%		
9	Weapon law violation	40	1%		
10	Drunkenness	30	1%		
11	Sexual Offenses	28	1%		
12	Arson	16	0%		
13	Other	188	3%		

Note: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

\* Institutions, n=37; Number of referrals, N=6,113.

Table 13 illustrates the outcome of the cases referred and the high percentage of responsibility found when proceeding through the on-campus disciplinary process. Eighty-two percent (5,027) of those referred were found responsible; 15 percent (929) were found not responsible; and 3 percent (151) included outcomes such as dismissal, student withdrawal, etc.

Table 13:Number of Referrals by Type of Outcome				
Type of Outcome	Number of Referrals	Percent of Referrals		
Responsible	5,027	82%		
Not Responsible	929	15%		
Other (student withdrew, dismissed, etc.)	157	3%		

\* Institutions, n=37; Number of referrals, N= 6,113.
Staff also appraised the types of outcomes for cases where the individual was found responsible, for which sanctions varied significantly. For those students found responsible (n=5,027), their sanctions involved one or more of the following:

- 21% (1,281) fine;
- 20% (1,219) probation;
- 17% (1,054) class (alcohol, drug, anger mgt.);
- 12% (753) warning/ reprimand;
- 9% (570) restitution;
- 9% (546) community service;
- 8% (488) suspension; and,
- 17% (978) other- (Paper/essay, parental notification, counseling, apology and expulsion).

The demographics of offender sex, age and class were also examined. Freshmen, males, and those under the age of 20 had the highest rates of referrals. Of those records indicating the sex of the offender, 71% (4,327) were male and 29% (1,745) were female. Table 14 illustrates the number of referrals by age of offender. Eighty-three percent of those referred were between the ages of 18 and 20 years. The number of referrals drops significantly for offenders that are 21 years of age and older.

Table 14:Number of Referrals by Age of Offender				
Age Number of Referrals Percent of Referral				
18	1,739	29%		
19	2,068	34%		
20	1,178	20%		
21	540	9%		
All other	488	8%		

\* Institutions, n=37; Number of referrals, N= 6,113.

Table 15 illustrates the number of referrals by class. Fifty-eight percent (2,526) of those referred were in the freshmen class. Similar to offenders who are 21 years and older, the upperclassmen had a lower amount of referrals as compared to the underclassmen.

Table 15:Number of Referrals by Class of Offender				
Class Number of Referrals Percenta Referration				
Freshmen	2,526	58%		
Sophomore	990	23%		
Junior	495	11%		
Senior	306	7%		
Graduate	18	1%		

\* Number of referrals, n= 4,335.

The distribution of crime by class and sex were also examined. Table 16 illustrates the type of crime referral by class. As one can see, freshmen are referred more than any other students for all crimes except D.U.I., disorderly conduct and sexual offenses.

Table 16:Type of Crime Referral by Class					
Type of Crime		Freshmen	Sophomore	Junior	Senior
Alcohol violations	(n= 3,089)	63%	23%	9%	4%
Drug violations	(n=451)	60%	23%	9%	7%
Assaults	(n= 139)	34%	24%	23%	19%
Larceny	(n= 143)	44%	18%	20%	17%
DUI	(n= 57)	23%	19%	30%	28%
Disorderly conduct	(n= 49)	31%	14%	31%	24%
Weapon violations	(n= 30)	50%	27%	10%	13%
Sexual offenses	(n=21)	29%	29%	29%	14%

\* Number of referrals, n= 4,335.

Table 17 delineates the type of crime referral by sex where males accounted for well over two-thirds of all referrals for all types of crimes listed below.

Table 17: Type of Crime Referral by Sex				
Type of Crime		Male (n=4,327)	<b>Female</b> (n=1,745)	
Alcohol violations (	n= 4,374)	68%	32%	
Drug violations (	(n= 553)	80%	20%	
Larceny (	(n= 209)	78%	22%	
Assaults (	n= 171)	70%	30%	
Fraud/fake ID (	n= 123)	75%	25%	
Disorderly conduct (n= 122)		86%	14%	
Weapon violations (n= 40)		93%	7%	
Sexual offenses (n=24)		96%	4%	

\* Number of referrals, n= 6,072

#### **D.** Judicial Guidelines

Staff also requested that each institution submit guidelines for their judicial referral system. Fifty-nine percent (34 of 58) of institutions submitted guidelines, which contained information such as the burden of proof, justifications for appeal, right to advisor/advocate and legal counsel, independence of proceedings, and confidentiality. A content analysis was conducted on these guidelines and brought forth the following findings:

Table 18 indicates the various burdens of proof among the institutions that submitted guidelines. Fifty-three percent (18 of 34) indicated their burden of proof level. The two most common burdens of proof were preponderance of evidence followed by clear and convincing evidence. Notice that all but one had a lower burden of proof than the Commonwealth's requirement in a criminal case.

Table 18:   Burdens of Proof Indicated in Submitted Judicial Referral Guidelines			
Burden of Proof Type Number of Institutions			
Beyond a reasonable doubt	1 of 18		
Clear and convincing evidence	7 of 18		
Preponderance of evidence	8 of 18		
Reasonable evidence/Sufficient information	2 of 18		

Table 19 illustrates the justifications for appeal indicated by those institutions which submitted guidelines. All institutions indicated that they had an appeal process for individuals referred. Only forty-seven percent (16 of 34) listed specific justifications for appeals.

Table 19:Justifications for Appeal			
Appeal Justification Number of Institution			
New evidence	14 of 16		
Procedural/Due process error	15 of 16		
Inappropriate sanction	12 of 16		
Insufficient evidence	2 of 16		
Other (i.e., prejudice, erroneous decision; extraordinary circumstances)	4 of 16		

Institutions differed on rights to advisors or legal counsel. Of the 34 submitted guidelines:

- 23 institutions indicated that they allow an advisor or advocate. However, in each instance, the advisor or advocate must be from the university community and only one institution allows such an individual to speak at the hearing.
- 6 institutions indicated that they allow legal counsel. However, no legal counsel was allowed to address the board during the hearing for these 6 institutions. Two institutions disallowed any legal counsel during the hearing.
- 3 institutions indicated that they allow a parent/guardian at the hearing and one institution disallows any parents at the hearing.
- 3 institutions indicated that one character witness may testify.

The independence of hearings was also examined. Fifteen percent (5 of 34) addressed independence of hearings from any potential or existing criminal and civil litigation for same incident. The depth of detail varied with some having very explicit guidelines. For instance, some guidelines were quite detailed:

"All proceedings before the Committee shall be fully autonomous and independent from criminal and civil hearings. For that reason, neither a criminal conviction nor arrest shall be required for or necessarily relevant to a UJC trial. Also, criminal standards of evidence shall not take precedence over the Committee's standards at any point during the UJC process. Scheduling shall also occur independent of any existing or potential legal action, civil or criminal. The Executive Committee shall have the sole discretion to admit evidence pertaining to criminal and civil hearings."

Other guidelines, however, were briefer in addressing independence of hearings. For example, one stated:

"University conduct proceedings may be instituted against a student charged with a violation of a law which is also a violation of policy without regard to pending litigation in court or to criminal arrest or prosecution."

As a final note, confidentiality and retention of hearing records were assessed. In regards to confidentiality, most guidelines indicated that hearings are closed unless all parties agree to an open hearing. For record retention, if a student is found responsible, records are typically destroyed no later than 5 years after graduation. On the other hand, if the student is found not responsible, the records are typically destroyed immediately after the hearings.

#### VIII. Campus Police and Security Department Analyses

Campus police and security departments provide the first response to critical incidents occurring on campuses and promote safety in a number of different ways. Campus police and security departments were surveyed to gain a comprehensive overview of their departments, responsibilities, and the campuses on which they work. The survey instrument was created by Crime Commission staff with assistance from Virginia Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (VACLEA) representatives.<sup>49</sup> The survey was designed to yield the status of all the Commonwealth's campus police and security departments including present capabilities and strengths, as well as, illuminate some of the differences and limitations of each. The survey results were also the starting point for the Task Force to understand Virginia's campus police and security departments. As such, the survey instrument focused on the following areas: campus profile, budget, personnel/training, operations, equipment, administrative relations and resource needs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> In developing the survey, many questions were also compiled from several existing questionnaires including the 1995 and 2005 Bureau of Justice Statistics Survey of Campus Law Enforcement Agencies and VA H.D. 36 (1994).

Surveys were disseminated to all campus police and security departments.<sup>50</sup> Along with the survey instrument, departments were also asked to submit listings of in-house and outsourced personnel, personnel separations, budget and expenditures, additional "in house" law enforcement training, mutual aid agreements, concurrent jurisdiction agreements, operations manual, listing of all vehicles, and new initiative requests sent to the administration within the last five years. There was a 100 percent response rate; however, a few colleges, namely those with security departments, left some survey sections incomplete. Thus, when compiling survey results, only the number of departments that responded to that particular question was used to create the resulting percentage. The following sections detail the findings from both the campus police and security department surveys.

#### A. Campus Demographics

During the timeframe of our study, 29 colleges had campus police departments across the Commonwealth, which served approximately 335,000 students, faculty and staff and 71 percent (282,000) of all Virginia college students. Police department campus populations ranged from 1,200 - 38,000 students. Since 1999, there has been over a 7 percent increase in total campus population.

Forty colleges had campus security departments across the Commonwealth, which served 130,000 students, faculty and staff and 29 percent (117,000) of all Virginia college students. Security department campus populations ranged from 180 - 22,500 students and since 1999, there has been over a 17 percent increase in total campus population.

Forty of the 69 institutions indicated that they had residence halls, of which 23 had campus police departments and 17 had security departments. In 2004, there were approximately 77,000 individuals living in on-campus housing, with approximately 67,000 on police department campuses and 10,000 on security department campuses. Since 1999, the growth of students living on-campus has been evidenced by an 11 percent increase in the number of individuals living on-campus and over a 13 percent increase in the number of residence halls. Forty-four percent (197 of 443) of all police department residence halls and 34 percent (46 of 135) of security departments have security alarm services. Twelve police department colleges and 9 security department colleges with residence halls did not have any halls with security alarm services.

As mentioned earlier, staff categorized colleges by type of department and institution, region and enrollment size. The tables below illustrate these breakdowns.<sup>51</sup> Table 20 illustrates the type of institution by the type of department it has. As shown below, all public 4-year and above institutions have campus police departments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Note: See Attachments D and E for copy of campus police and security department surveys.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Note: See Attachment G for Virginia College and University Profiles.

Table 20:Type of Institution by Type of Department				
Type of Institution Police Departments Security Departments				
Public 4-year and above	15	0		
Private 4-year and above	22			
Public 2-year	6	18		
TOTAL	29	40		

Table 21 delineates region by type of department.<sup>52</sup> There were a total of six different region categories.

Table 21:Region by Type of Department					
Region Police Departments Security Departments					
Central	5	9			
Eastern	6	6			
Northern 4		10			
Northern Virginia 2 1					
Piedmont	6	8			
Western	6	6			
TOTAL	29	40			

Table 22 illustrates enrollment size by type of department. Campus police and security departments serve over 400,000 students, faculty and staff. Twenty-nine campus police departments serve 71 percent of all Commonwealth students with security departments serving the remaining 29 percent.

Table 22:Enrollment Size by Type of Department				
Population Category*	Police Departments	Security Departments		
0 to 1,999	7	21		
2,000 to 4,999	8	15		
5,000 to 9,999	6	2		
10,000 to 24,000	4	2		
25,000 and above	4	0		
TOTAL	29	40		

\* Campus Population= Fall 2004 State Council of Higher Education for Virginia (SCHEV) Student Headcount.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Map of Regional Breakdowns, See attachment H.

Table 23:   Demographic Factors Impacting Campus Police and Security Departments				
Factors	# of PD Campuses	# of SD Campuses		
Greek Systems	15	8		
Hospital on campus	2	1		
Football programs	17	6		
Football stadium capacities	3,000-65,000	2,000-4,000		
Basketball Programs	26	20		
Basketball stadium capacities	250-10,000	275-3,500		
Research laboratories/facilities	14	4		
Historic/tourist attractions	14	9		
Multi-purpose arts/entertainment center	20	18		
Close proximity to major interstate (less than 3 miles)	20	23		
Summer sports campus	22	16		
Special events (conferences, weddings, other outside rentals, etc.)	28	30		
VIP Protection	22	9		
Business complex owned/leased by College Foundation	9	2		
Multiple Campuses	13	14		

There are many different factors that can impact campus police and security departments. Table 23 illustrates types of factors and the number of departments each impacts:

### B. Budget

The budget portion of the survey asked specifically for the college operating budget from fiscal years (FY) 1999, 2004, and 2005.<sup>53</sup> Also requested were the 1999, 2004, and the 2005 FY operating budget from the police department as well as the actual expenditures from FY 1999 and FY 2004. The findings from the budget survey analysis illuminate the resources available to the departments.

Staff requested organizational charts from all departments in order to understand how campus police and security departments fit within the organizational structure of institutions. Specifically, of interest was the organizational hierarchy that each department fell under and to whom the Chief or Head of Security reported. During campus field visits, practitioners indicated if police or security functions were too far down the organizational structure, it was often difficult to receive adequate resources and administrative support. It was found that 86 percent (25 of 29) of police chiefs and 59 percent (22 of 37) of security directors reported to a vice-president level administrator. Accordingly, 14 percent (4 of 29) police chiefs and 19 percent (7 of 37) security directors reported directly to a student-affairs administrator.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Fiscal year extends from July 1<sup>st</sup> to June 30<sup>th</sup> of the following year.

### Type and amount of budgets allocated

It was found that 90 percent (26 of 29) of police departments and 58 percent (21 of 36) of security departments had stand-alone budgets. The remaining departments operated as a line item in the college's overall budget. Overall college budgets for campuses with police departments ranged from \$5.4 million to \$1.6 billion and averaged \$180 million. Police department budgets ranged from \$100,000 to \$5.4 million and averaged \$1.4 million. When examining security department budgets, it was imperative to distinguish between those departments with and without residence halls on campus. Table 24 illustrates the median and range of budgets for security departments and the overall college budget for campuses with security departments.<sup>54</sup>

Table 24:   2004 Budgets by Security Departments With and Without Residence Halls					
2004 Budget SD's with Residence Halls SD's without Residence Hall					
Median Security Department	\$327,000 (n=12)	\$120,000 (n= 17)			
Min/Max Security Department	\$10,000 - \$650,000 (n= 12)	\$17,000 - \$1.5 million*			
Median SD College	\$34 million (n=11)	\$12 million (n= 17)			
Min/Max SD College	\$8.7 - \$75 million (n=11)	\$3.9 - \$153 million (n= 17)			

\* This SD is the security for its hospital.

#### Department budgets as a percentage of college budgets

The police or security department budgets as compared to their college budget were not consistent across colleges. However, the median between the different types of departments was within 0.2 percent. Specifically, the median of police department budget as percentage of college budget was 1.2 percent and ranged from 0.3 percent to 3.0 percent for the 23 responding departments. For the 10 responding security departments with student residents, the median was 1 percent and ranged from .02 percent to 2.6 percent. For the 17 responding security departments without student residents, the median was 1.2 percent and ranged from 0.3 percent and ranged from 0.3 percent.

#### Police and security department budget per student

The police or security budget per student varied significantly among police departments and security departments with and without resident students. The median budget per student to police departments was \$129 and ranged from \$35 to \$363. For the 12 responding security department with student residents, the median budget per student was \$313 and ranged from \$3 to \$475. The median budget for the 17 responding security departments without student residents was \$46 and ranged from \$9 to \$3,400.<sup>55</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> The median was calculated instead of an average due to existing outliers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> The college security department with a budget per student of \$3,400 has 200 students on campus and provides security for an entire hospital.

Table 25 illustrates the college student population by average police department budget, average police department budget as percentage of overall college budget and average police department budget per student. Police department budgets varied significantly by the student population. However, when taken as a percentage of the overall college budget, the percentages were within one percentage point. The average police department budget per student was highest in colleges with less than 5,000 students and decreased accordingly as the number of students on campus increased.

Table 25:   College Student Population by Campus Police Department Budgets					
College StudentAverage PDAvg. PD Budget /Average PDPopulation (2004)Budget*Avg. College BudgetBudget per studen					
0 – 1,999	\$271,400	1.0%	\$207		
	(n=7)	(n= 6)	(n=7)		
2,000 - 4,999	\$963,200	1.2%	\$211		
	(n=7)	(n=7)	(n=7)		
5,000 - 9,999	\$1,151,300	1.6%	\$162		
	(n= 6)	(n= 5)	(n= 6)		
10,000 - 24,999	\$2,383,800	0.7%	\$132		
	(n=4)	(n= 3)	(n=4)		
25,000 and above	\$2,673,700	1.6%	\$113		
	(n= 3)	(n= 3)	(n=4)		

\* Figures were rounded to the nearest hundred.

#### Campus Police and Security Department Overtime

The amount of overtime logged was considerable and increased significantly since 1999 for both types of departments. The 23 responding campus police departments logged 95,300 hours of overtime in 2004. Police department overtime averaged approximately 4,100 hours per department and ranged from 0 to 22,700 hours. The median was 1,800 hours. Since 1999, police department overtime work increased 76 percent. The 20 responding campus security departments logged 8,300 hours of overtime in 2004. Security department overtime averaged 417 hours per department and ranged from 0 to 3,900 hours. Since the majority of security departments had no overtime, the median was 0. However, since 1999, security departments' overtime work has increased 108 percent.

#### Outsourcing

The extent to which police and security departments outsourced security services to other state and local law enforcement, as well as, private firms was determined. In FY 2004, 17 percent (5 of 29) of police departments and 24 percent (8 of 33) security departments outsourced some police or security services to other state or local law enforcement. Since 1999, there was a 60 percent increase in the number of security departments outsourcing to state or local law enforcement; the number of police departments outsourcing remained the same. In FY 2005, 14 percent (4 of 29) police departments and 44 percent (15 of 34) security departments outsourced some security services to a private firm. Since 1999, there was a 33 percent increase in the number of police departments and a 25 percent increase in the number of security departments outsourcing some security services to an outside firm.

#### Grants

According to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management, \$1.2 million dollars in Homeland Security grant monies was distributed among sixteen state institutions for emergency preparedness in 2004. The grants awarded ranged from approximately \$23,000 to \$152,000. The grants are awarded to the institution and the monies are not necessarily allocated directly to the campus police department. In some instances, the monies are allocated to buildings and grounds departments. It should be further noted that all Virginia private colleges are excluded from access to any Homeland Security funds.

As for campus police and security departments, few federal and state grant monies were allocated across the Commonwealth. In 2004, \$36,800 in state grants was disbursed to two police departments and \$43,300 in federal grants was disbursed to another two departments. In 2005, one police department received \$205,000 for Homeland Security preparedness and another received a \$25,000 federal grant. There were no federal or state grants disbursed to campus security departments in 2004. However, in past years, some departments indicated that they were able to attain grants from the Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles and ABC, such as "Operation Undergrad" and "Mini Highway Safety" grants.

#### Resource Goals and Needs Assessments

The processes used to identify resource goals and needs assessments were examined. Sixty-two percent (18 of 29) of police departments and 61 percent (20 of 33) security departments have a formalized process to identify resource goals. Eighty-six percent (24 of 28) police department colleges and 74 percent (23 of 31) security department colleges asked for a resource needs assessment. In 2004, 66 percent (19 of 29) of police and 67 percent (20 of 30) security departments had pending request for additional funds outside of the annual budget.

# C. Operations

# Roles and Responsibilities

Campus police and security department roles and responsibilities were examined. Table 26 delineates the percentage of departments engaging in certain routine enforcement functions. Overall, security departments have less enforcement functions than police departments.

Table 26:Routine Enforcement Functions by Type of Department				
Routine Enforcement Functions	% of PD's (n=29)	% of SD's (n=34)		
Patrol	100%	97%		
Criminal Investigations (SD's Assist)	100%	53%		
Traffic Accident Investigations (SD's Assist)	93%	74%		
Receive Calls for Service	100%	83%		
Traffic Direction and Control	100%	74%		
Parking Enforcement	90%	88%		
Dispatching Calls for Service (PD only) 76% n/a				
Personal Safety Escorts	83%	94%		

Table 27 delineates the percentage of departments engaging in specific securing responsibilities. Overall, the securing responsibilities for both police and security departments are similar.

Table 27:Securing Functions by Type of Department				
Securing Functions	% of PD's (n=29)	% of SD's (n=34)		
Central Alarm Monitoring	62%	47%		
Access Control	72%	79%		
Key Control	59%	56%		
Building Lock/Unlock	97%	97%		
Identification Cards	34%	44%		
Securing Academic Buildings	93%	91%		
Security for Research Labs	24%	20%		
Securing Residence Halls	28%	50%		
Securing property/evidence items	97%	53%		
Stadium/Arena/Coliseum event security	76%	41%		
Hospital/ Medical Center security (PD only)	10%	n/a		
Student Health/ Medical Center security (SD only)	n/a	32%		

The frequency of property and grounds assessment undertaken by campus police and security departments was examined. These assessments monitor the possibility of security deficiencies that pose security risks. Table 28 illustrates that all police departments conducted assessments of lighting, vegetation, access systems and door alarms.

Table 28:   Police Department Assessment of Security Deficiencies				
	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Other (Semester, Annual, upon report, patrol)
Campus Lighting (n=29)	48%	17%	21%	14%
Overgrown Vegetation (n=29)	35%	0%	14%	52%
Access Systems (n=29)	38%	3%	14%	45%
Door Alarms (n=29)	38%	3%	3%	56%

\* Note: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Table 29 illustrates that over 65 percent of security departments are responsible for daily identification of lighting, access systems, and door alarm deficiencies.

Table 29:   Security Department Assessment of Security Deficiencies				
	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Other (Semester, Annual, upon report)
Campus Lighting (n=34)	67%	3%	30%	3%
Overgrown Vegetation (n=30)	13%	0%	27%	60%
Access Systems (n=26)	69%	0%	8%	23%
Door Alarms (n=23)	65%	0%	13%	26%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

A majority of departments participate in the planning of future buildings and structures on campus. Specifically, 72 percent (21 of 29) of police departments and 58 percent (19 of 33) of security departments participated in such planning. However, more security departments than police departments participated in the evaluations of building renovations. Fifty-eight percent (19 of 33) and 48 percent (14 of 29) participated in evaluations.

### Collaboration and Information Sharing

Campus police and security department interactions with various agencies, officials and organizations were examined. Campus police departments had more interaction with local law enforcement and were more involved with campus organizations than security departments. Table 30 shows the degree of interaction between campus police departments and other agencies.

Table 30:Police Department Interaction with Other Agencies*				
Police Department interaction with:	Once a month or more often	Less than once a month	Never	
Law Enforcement Agencies (n=29)	97%	3%	0%	
Victim/Counseling Services (n= 27)	48%	30%	22%	
Student Judicial Officers (n=28)	50%	32%	19%	
Residence Life Officials (n=26)	69%	19%	12%	
Athletes/Athletic Departments (n= 26)	31%	50%	19%	
Student Organizations (n=28)	43%	50%	7%	

\* Note: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

\* The PD survey did not include an "As Needed" category like the SD survey highlighted in Table 31.

Table 31 shows the degree of interaction between campus security departments and other agencies. These departments typically had less interaction with other agencies as compared to campus police departments.

Table 31:   Security Department Interactions with Other Agencies					
Security Department interaction with:	Once a month or more often	Less than once a month	Never	As Needed	
Law Enforcement Agencies (n=32)	28%	3%	3%	66%	
Victim/Counseling Services (n=28)	7%	0%	43%	50%	
Student Judicial Officers (n=29)	17%	3%	31%	48%	
Residence Life Officials (n= 27)	3%	3%	33%	22%	
Athletes/Athletic Departments (n= 27)	11%	4%	44%	41%	
Student Organizations (n=29)	14%	3%	31%	52%	

The nature of joint investigations, concurrent jurisdiction and mutual aid agreements were examined. Eighty-three percent (24 of 29) of campus police departments conducted joint investigations with other law enforcement agencies, such as local law enforcement, Virginia State Police, Alcohol Beverage Control (ABC) and various federal agencies (i.e. FBI, DEA, Secret Service, DOD).

Concurrent jurisdiction agreements are legal agreements filed in Circuit Court by local governing bodies in designated areas with police officers of a county, city or town in which a college is located pursuant to the *Code of Virginia*.<sup>56</sup> In essence, the jurisdiction of a campus police department is extended with this type of agreement, which allows the campus police officers to respond to situations near the college with the same authority as if on their campus jurisdiction. Twenty- eight percent (8 of 29) of campus police departments indicated that they had concurrent jurisdiction with another law enforcement agency. A copy of each agreement was requested for examination by staff.

Mutual aid agreements can be of great assistance to police departments and can be either written or verbal. They typically involve entering into a reciprocal agreement for cooperation in providing police services in specific circumstances for the purposes of mutual protection, defense, maintenance of peace and good order. Specific circumstances can include emergencies, special events or assistance with investigations, equipment and/or technology. Agreements can be made between two agencies or many, such as the Northern Virginia Law Enforcement Mutual Aid Agreement that involves nearly 20 agencies. It was found that 66 percent (19 of 29) of campus police departments currently have a mutual aid agreement with another jurisdiction. The majority of mutual aid agreements were approved by additional entities within the institution. Seventy-nine percent (15 of 19) of mutual aid agreements were approved by legal counsel and 58 percent (11 of 19) were approved by the Board of Visitors. Of the total number of mutual aid agreements (35), 77 percent were written agreements.

Websites were utilized by a number of departments to disseminate information to students, faculty and staff. Ninety-three percent (27 of 29) of campus police departments have an official website as compared to 63 percent (20 of 32) of reporting security departments. Table 32 illustrates the number of departments that offer specific website information and assistance.

Table 32:   Information and Assistance Provided by Departments' Official Websites				
Website Information and Assistance	# of PD's	% of SD's		
Receive reports of crime	44%	42%		
Receive anonymous tips	67%	42%		
Receive general questions and inquiries	59%	58%		
Provide crime prevention safety tips	96%	79%		
Provide important phone numbers (crises hotlines, city services, etc.)	78%	79%		
Provide campus crime statistics	96%	74%		
Provide annual security report	85%	84%		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> VA. CODE. ANN, § 23-234(iv) (Michie 2003).

Fifty-five percent (16 of 29) campus police departments issued internal press releases regarding security issues, as compared to, 38 percent (12 of 32) of security departments. Departments utilized several methods for issuing timely notices of threats to students, faculty and staff. Table 33 delineates the various mechanisms.

Table 33:Methods of Notification for Timely Notices			
Mechanisms for Notification	% of PD's (n= 29)	% of SD's (n=32)	
Email/Web Posting	100%	94%	
Flyers/Postings	69%	47%	
Mailings	17%	19%	
Telephone Messages	24%	38%	
Campus Paper	38%*	28%	

\* N=28

#### Policies and Programs

Written manuals are essential for clear delineation of policies, procedures and responsibilities. This affords officers clarity in carrying out their duties, which provides protection to the department and its officers from liability. It was found that 86 percent (25 of 29) of police departments and 79 percent (27 of 34) of security departments indicated that they had a written policy and procedure manual.<sup>57</sup> Table 34 delineates the sections addressed in the submitted manuals.

Table 34:   Sections Addressed in Policy and Training Manuals					
Policy and Training Manual Sections% of PD's (n=25)% of SD's: (n=26)					
Patrol operations	92%	77%			
Communications	64%	85%			
Training	76%	54%			
Use of force	100%	62%			
Investigative operations	88%	39%			
Equipment	76%	62%			
Code of conduct	96%	81%			

Over half of all police and security departments had a written protocol for dealing with victims of sexual assault; specifically, 79 percent (22 of 28) of police departments and 56 percent (18 of 32) of security departments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Although 27 security departments indicated that they had a policy manual, only 26 gave the specificity of the sections included within their manuals.

Special programs offered by campus police and security departments were examined and are illustrated in Table 35. As compared to security departments, police departments provided more crime-related programs.

Table 35:Special Programs Offered by Departments			
Crime Related Programs	% of PD's (n=29)	% of SD's (n=31)	
Victim assistance	41%	16%	
Crime prevention education	72%	42%	
Student security patrol	45%	13%	
Date rape prevention	69%	29%	
Self-defense training	62%	29%	
Alcohol education	79%	32%	
New student/faculty/staff orientation	76%	55%	

### Accreditation

There are two different accrediting bodies for campus police departments for which only six college departments are accredited. The first body, the Virginia Law Enforcement Professional Standards Commission (VLEPSC), is the state-accrediting agency for law enforcement agencies in Virginia. As of 2004, there were three colleges accredited by this body, including the College of William and Mary, Old Dominion University and Radford University. Two colleges are in the self-accrediting phase of the accreditation process, including Norfolk State University and Virginia Commonwealth University. The second body, the Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies (CALEA), is the national-accrediting agency for law enforcement agencies. As of 2004, there were three colleges accredited by this body, including George Mason University, University of Richmond and Virginia Polytechnic Institute. University of Virginia is currently in the CALEA application phase.

# D. Personnel

### Campus Police Department Staff

Each department's personnel profile count and distribution was requested to further understand the departments' operations. Twenty-eight of the 29 police departments submitted personnel profiles. It was found that police departments averaged 40 employees both full and part time. The median was 25 employees. The total number of employees ranged from 6 to 160 and the total number of field officers ranged from 2 to 70 officers. The average number of personnel for specific positions within campus police departments was as follows:

- 3 administrative employees;
- 16 field officers;
- 5 technical services (dispatchers, record clerks, data processors, etc.);
- 9 security officers; and,
- 7 other.

Table 36 delineates the college student population by the average number of police and security officers and rate per 1,000 students. Smaller colleges had the highest police officer to student ratios, while colleges with over 25,000 students had the lowest officer to student ratio.

Table 36:   Police Department Campus Student Population by Average Number of Officers				
College Student Population	Average Police Officers	Average Police and Security Officers	Average Police Officers per 1,000 students	Average Police and Security Officers per 1,000 students
0 – 1,999	5.1	7.1	4.1	5.6
	(n=7)	(n=7)	(n=7)	(n=7)
2,000 - 4,999	13.3	17.4	2.9	3.8
	(n=8)	(n=7)	(n=7)	(n=7)
5,000 - 9,999	14.5	19.7	2.0	2.8
	(n=6)	(n=6)	(n=6)	(n=6)
10,000 - 24,999	31.5	62.5	1.7	3.5
	(n=4)	(n=4)	(n=4)	(n=4)
25,000 and above	33.8	50.8	1.2	1.8
	(n=4)	(n=4)	(n=4)	(n=4)

# Campus Security Department Staff

Twenty nine of the 40 security departments submitted personnel profiles. Campus security departments averaged 14 employees both full and part-time with an average of 19 employees for those with resident students and 9 employees for those without resident students. The total number of employees for security departments with resident students ranged from 5 to 30 and the total number of field security officers ranged from 4 to 18. The average number of personnel for specific positions within campus security departments was as follows:

- 2 administrative employee;
- 10 field officers; and,
- 2 technical service employees (dispatchers, record clerks, data processors, etc.).

The total number of employees for security departments without resident students ranged from 1 to 26 and the total number of field security officers ranged from 0 to 25.<sup>58</sup> The average number of personnel for specific positions within campus security departments was as follows:

- 1 administrative employee;
- 8 field officers; and,
- 1 technical service employees (dispatchers, record clerks, data processors, etc.).

A closer look at the breakdown of security department college student population with average amount of security officers was taken. Table 37 delineates the college student population by the average number of security officers and rate per 1,000 students. It was found that small colleges with security departments have the highest security officer to student ratios.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> This excludes the one security department college that has full responsibility for securing a hospital and only 200 enrolled students. They have 54 security officers.

Table 37:   Security Department College Student Population by Average Number of Security Officers				
College Student Population	Average Security Officers	Average SD Officers per 1,000 students	Average Buildings per Security Officer	
0 – 1,999	12.1	21.1	4.5	
2,000 - 4,999	8.4	2.7	2.0	
5,000 - 9,999	18.0	3.0	0.3	
10,000 - 24,999	11.0	0.9	0.5	
25,000 and above*	n/a	n/a	n/a	

\* No 4-year and above public colleges have security departments.

#### Salaries

The average salaries for full-time police and security department officers were calculated. In September 2004, the average salary for police department officers was \$31,141 and \$22,498 for security department officers. Since initial employment, the average salary increase was \$5,528 for police officers and \$4,247 for security officers.

### Retention and Separations

For those still employed, the average tenure was 5.8 years for police department staff and 6.2 years for security department staff. For those separated between 1999 and 2004, their average tenure was 3.7 years for police department and 2.5 years for security department staff. When campus police and security department employees separated, they changed employment to other agencies, such as:

- Local law enforcement;
- Fire/EMS services;
- Other college police and security departments;
- State law enforcement;
- Federal law enforcement;
- Military; and,
- Airport security.

### Benefits

All incentives offered to departments' full-time employees were requested. Table 38 delineates the types of incentives by type of department.

Table 38:   Incentives Offered to Full-time Police and Security Department Employees				
Type of Incentive	PD employee records (n=399)	SD employee records (n=227)		
Annual Leave	97%	92%		
Retirement	96%	85%		
Disability Leave	91%	72%		
Sick Leave	89%	73%		
Health Insurance	88%	90%		
Dental Insurance	83%	82%		
Tuition Assistance	75%	49%		
Merit Pay	66%	47%		

### Education

The highest level of education for both campus police and security officers was also examined. Table 39 illustrates the highest degree obtained by the type of department.

Table 39:Education Level of Department Employees				
Highest Level of Educational Attainment	PD employee records (n=380)	SD employee records (n=178)		
Some High School	0.3%	1.7%		
High School completion/GED	45.3%	67.4%		
Some college	25.8%	15.2%		
Associates Degree	6.6%	7.3%		
Bachelors Degree	21.1%	7.3%		
Master-level and above	1.1%	1.1%		

# Training

Campus police officers have the same minimum standards training as all sworn officers in the Commonwealth. They also complete additional annual training in areas such as first aid/CPR/AED training, bicycle patrol certification and ASP baton training. All police departments indicated that they had new officer recruit basic training programs, which averaged 645 hours and ranged from 480 to 820 hours among the 29 departments. Seventy-six percent (22 of 29) of police departments indicated that they had a formal field training program for officers. Eighty-six percent (25 of 29) departments had a probationary period for new officer recruits that ranged from 3 to 12 months. Also, 45 percent (13 of 29) had a minimum contractual obligation for time of service, ranging from 1 to 3 years.<sup>59</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Contractual obligations, also known as promissory notes, are written agreements binding the newly hired

Currently, there are three different campus security department models in Virginia. First, a department can have officers with Special Conservators of the Peace appointments. These officers can be either armed or unarmed and must be registered with DCJS and meet minimum training standards. Second, departments can have proprietary officers. These officers are unarmed and do not have a sworn or appointed arrest authority. Third, departments can have contracted security officers.

With all the various existing models, it was found that there was no standardized training for any type of security department in the Commonwealth. For example, 21 security departments indicated that they had new officer recruit basic training programs, which averaged 97 hours and ranged from 4 to 720 hours. Only 13 percent (4 of 31) of security departments indicated that they had a formal field training program for their officers and 61 percent (19 of 31) indicated that they had a probationary period for new officer recruits, ranging from three to 12 months.

#### Personnel Needs

The perceived adequacy of the number of police officers, security officers and support personnel staffing the campus police and security departments was an important facet in looking at campus safety. Below outlines the departments' responses:

- 45 percent (13 of 29) of police departments stated the number of sworn officers was adequate for the campus. The 16 other police departments indicated they would use additional sworn officers for:
  - · Community policing programs;
  - · Crime prevention programs;
  - · Security officer replacement;
  - · Bike patrol;
  - · Department accreditation management; and,
  - · Computer forensics specialization.
- 65 percent (13 of 20) of police departments with security officers stated the number of security officers was adequate for the campus. The other 7 departments indicated they would use additional security officers to:
  - · Conduct patrols;
  - · Lock and unlock buildings;
  - · Parking enforcement;
  - · Residence hall front desk security;
  - · Building security;
  - · Assist motorists; and,
  - · Escort students.

- 57 percent (16 of 28) of police departments asserted the number of support staff was adequate for the campus. The other 12 departments would use additional support staff to:
  - · Dispatch;
  - · Specialize in access control and ID card administration;
  - · Manage department records;
  - Write grants;
  - · Assist in administration of department;
  - · Support property section; and,
  - · Manage department information technology resources.
- 77 percent (26 of 34) of security departments stated the number of security officers is adequate for the campus. The 8 other security departments would use security officers for:
  - · Additional coverage for training, vacation and holidays;
  - Allow for 24-7 coverage;
  - · Increased patrols;
  - · Special events;
  - · Two officers per shift for officer safety and response; and,
  - · Crime prevention.
- 82 percent (27 of 33) of security departments asserted the number of support staff is adequate for the campus. The other 6 departments would use additional support staff for:
  - · Dispatch;
  - · Office duties/secretary; and
  - · Full-time office manager.

#### E. Communications and Equipment

#### **Communications**

Ninety-seven percent (28 of 29) of police departments and 85 percent (29 of 34) of responding security departments indicated that they had a campus emergency number. Emergency phones were present on 93 percent of colleges with police departments. The number of emergency phones ranged from 1 to 313 phones and averaged 52 phones per college. Fifty-nine percent of departments indicated that they checked their emergency phones at least monthly. Emergency phones were present on 60 percent (21 of 35) of colleges with security departments. The number of emergency phones ranged from 1 to 26 phones and averaged 10 phones per college. Sixty-three percent (17 of 27) of police departments and 65 percent (13 of 20) checked their emergency phones at least monthly.

Ninety-three percent (27 of 29) of police departments and 67 percent (22 of 33) of security departments had continuous 24-hour two-way radio capability between officers and a communications center. However, only 76 percent (22 of 29) of police departments and 18 percent (6 of 33) of security departments had two-way capability for officers to talk to concurrent jurisdictions.

Sixty-one percent (17 of 28) of campus police departments and 55 percent (17 of 31) of security departments indicated that they had a written plan that addresses communication accessibility during an unusual occurrence. Only 76 percent (22 of 29) of police departments and 36 percent (11 of 31) of responding security departments were equipped with a back-up power source for their communication area and radio system.

The perceived adequacy of communication systems for campus police and security departments across the Commonwealth was assessed. The following outlines the departments' responses:

- 69% (20 of 29) of police departments stated inter-departmental communications equipment was adequate. The other 9 police departments saw need for:
  - Campus officers' ability to communicate directly with other campus department personnel during large special events;
  - · More talk groups on the 800 system;
  - · Having central dispatch for agencies;
  - · Upgrade system to improve multi-jurisdictional communications; and,
  - · Allow for any multi-jurisdictional communication.
- 88% (30 of 34) of security departments stated inter-departmental communications equipment are adequate. The other 4 security departments see need for:
  - · Having central dispatch for agencies;
  - · Repair or replacement of radios;
  - · Improved multi-jurisdictional communications; and,
  - Incident-based reporting (IBR) and Computer-Aided Dispatch (CAD) systems.
- 66% (19 of 29) of police departments stated intra-departmental communications equipment were adequate. The additional 10 departments saw need for:
  - · 800mhz radios;
  - System upgrade so officers can communicate with one another anywhere on campus;
  - · Radio system not be overloaded at peak times; and,
  - · Central dispatch (instead of cell phone).
- 91% (31 of 34) of security departments stated intra-departmental communications equipment were adequate. The additional 3 departments saw need for:
  - · Ability to communicate with local PD officers in the field; and,
  - · Ability to reach entire campus, including satellites.

Table 40 illustrates the specific communication needs indicated by campus police departments and responding security departments:

Table 40:   Campus Police and Security Department Communications Needs				
	Police Departments (n=29)	Security Departments (n=33)		
Communications Equipment Sufficient	14	27		
Communications Systems Not Sufficient	15	6		
Specific needs include:				
CAD system	8	4		
Logger recorder	6	3		
Additional radio frequencies	6	3		
Additional mobile radios in cars	5	4		
IBR- system upgrades	4	3		
Additional talk-groups	5	1		
Mobile Data Terminals (MDTs)	1	0		
Radios	0	2		

### Equipment

The availability and use of equipment resources in campus police and security departments was examined. All police department officers were armed while on duty; whereas, 11 percent (4 of 36) of security department officers were armed while on duty. Fifty-nine percent (16 of 27) of sworn campus police officers were authorized to carry batons and 72 percent (21 of 29) were authorized to carry pepper spray. Sixteen percent (5 of 31) of sworn and non-sworn campus security officers were authorized to carry batons and 23 percent (7 of 31) were authorized to carry pepper spray. Further, one police department authorized sworn personnel to carry tear gas and two allow sworn personnel to carry a Taser/electrical stun gun. No security departments authorized their personnel to carry such equipment.

While shootings at colleges are a rare occurrence, the availability of body protection for campus officers was assessed. Fifty-nine percent (17 of 29) of police departments do not require any officer to wear body armor while on duty. Of the 12 departments that do require officers to wear armor, 11 provided the armor to its officers; one did not. Three departments required the officer to sign a disclaimer when choosing not to wear body armor on duty. Nine departments did not provide body armor to its officers, with five citing cost as the reason for not providing armor assistance. Security departments did not require officers to wear protective body armor, with many citing the lack of need for body armor.

Staff also sought to examine whether campus police and security department fleets were adequate to support field demands. Seventy-two percent (21 of 29) of police departments indicated that patrol vehicles were adequate to support field demands. The other eight departments asserted that their fleet was inadequate due to issues, such as high mileage on existing vehicles (100,000 + miles), not enough vehicles to support staff, nature of overlapping shifts, and expense of maintenance. Fifty-nine percent (19 of 32) of security departments stated

that their patrol vehicles were adequate to support field demands. The other 13 security departments cited that their fleets were inadequate due to issues, such as having no vehicles whatsoever, using an unmarked 15-passenger van as patrol vehicle, no all-terrain vehicles, depending on golf carts and high mileage on existing vehicles.

The perceived adequacy of building facilities, property/evidence facilities, and available technology of departments was also assessed:

- The following indicated that their department building facilities were adequate:
  - · 55 percent (16 of 29) of police departments; and,
  - · 82 percent (28 of 34) of security departments.
- The following indicated that their property/evidence facilities were adequate:
  - · 69 percent (20 of 29) of police departments; and,
  - · 92 percent (22 of 24) of security departments.
- The following indicated that their departments' technology was sufficient:
  - · 62 percent (18 of 29) of police departments; and,
  - · 82 percent (28 of 34) of security departments.

Finally, when evaluating the number of departments formulating long-range equipment plans, it was found that fifty-nine percent (17 of 29) of police departments and 26 percent (8 of 31) of security departments did so, with the majority of plans evaluated annually.

### F. Other Issues and Challenges

Each department was asked to indicate the various issues and challenges to their available resources. The following outlines their responses.

For those departments serving multiple campuses, the following issues were found to be challenging at times:

- Geography;
- Jurisdictional differences;
- No central dispatch; and,
- Inadequate staffing.

Campus police departments indicated that the greatest strain on their resources came from a number of different sources, including:

- Large special events (athletics, graduation, etc.);
- VIP visits;
- Freshmen move-in;
- Overtime;
- Administrative duties; and,
- Retention (low pay, high turnover).

Security departments cited similar sources of strain on their resources, including:

- Emergencies or disasters;
- Large special events (athletics, graduation, etc.);
- Inadequate parking;
- Freshmen move-in; and,
- Small staff.

Campus police and security departments identified additional difficulties and issues that they faced. Both types of departments cited the increased growth and demands for service on their campuses. This is evidenced in both an increase in student enrollment and additional buildings to secure. Second, both types of departments had concerns with the recruitment and retention of quality officers. It was indicated that campus police departments, in particular, were not able to recruit and retain the best in the field. Chiefs indicated that this was especially due to the low salaries offered by campus police departments as compared to other law enforcement agencies in the region. Third, campus police and security department administrators were concerned with the perception of police and security officers and their status being minimized by the community. Fourth, there was concern with individuals at colleges with no previous policing or security experience being involved with police administrative matters. In some instances, poor decisions regarding security issues were made due to lack of security experience and lack of communication with the trained officers in the campus police or security department. Fifth, private police and security departments expressed concern that their institutions were not eligible to receive and Homeland Security grants. Finally, the departments expressed concern over jurisdictional issues. Namely, there was concern over the increased difficulty in obtaining concurrent jurisdiction. In one instance, campus police officers were told to not respond to incidents occurring off campus due to lack of adequate liability protection. Hypothetically, this included incidents involving students that occurred right across the street from the campus. It should be noted that this particular jurisdictions' backup from the county is sometimes up to 20 minutes away. In sum, there were a myriad of concerns expressed by campus chiefs and security directors across the Commonwealth.

# IX. Campus Safety Task Force

The Virginia State Crime Commission established the Campus Safety Task Force to review and analyze the results from the data analyses, literature review, survey instruments and campus visits conducted by staff.<sup>60</sup> The Task Force included the following agencies and individuals:

- Virginia Association of Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (VACLEA);
- College Administrators;
- Academia;
- Virginia College Parents Association;
- Council for Independent Colleges in Virginia;
- Virginia 21; and,
- Local Law Enforcement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Campus Safety Task Force Membership, See attachment I.

The Task Force's diverse make-up promoted a comprehensive perspective of campus safety, which ensured its recommendations would take into account the complexity of campus safety issues within the Commonwealth.

The Task Force convened four formal meetings. Members first met on June 22, 2005 to focus on campuses with police departments, campus crime statistics, and study methodology. The October 3, 2005 meeting covered additional campus crime statistics, initial security department survey results and judicial referral system findings. The October 17<sup>th</sup> meeting covered initial ideas for campus safety best practices. At the November 1<sup>st</sup> meeting, members discussed and formulated drafts of best practice initiatives. Each meeting provided valuable discussions on campus safety issues from many different perspectives.<sup>61</sup>

Proposed legislative recommendations and best practices for campus safety were finalized by the Task Force. These recommendations acknowledged the unique characteristics of Virginia's colleges and a strong emphasis was placed on realistically addressing colleges' campus safety abilities. In order to determine how best to improve safety at institutions of higher education, factors such as geographical dispersion, urban or rural location, campus size, lack and nature of funding and training for police and security personnel were taken into consideration. The formulated best practices sought to address the needs discovered and discussed in the Task Force meetings. The best practices include campus police and security officer training and support, effective communication and collaboration among all relevant departments and agencies, accreditation and professional development of departments and officers, and investigation and disciplinary processes.

The proposed legislation and best practice recommendations were initially presented to Crime Commission Members on December 14, 2005. When presenting to Crime Commission Members, staff provided specific rationales for each practice.<sup>62</sup> The original list of recommendations included thirty best practices, of which the Crime Commission tentatively adopted twenty-seven. The Crime Commission requested the list of revised recommendations be sent to the presidents of each college to determine their response to the proposed practices. The administrative response to the proposed legislation and best practices was very positive and supportive. None of the colleges indicated a lack of support; only minor revisions in the wording of a few best practices were suggested. Crime Commission Members were presented with a report on the positive administrative response at the January 10<sup>th</sup>, 2006 meeting and, after taking into consideration the suggested revisions by college administrators, all four legislative recommendations and twenty-seven best practices were adopted. Campus safety legislative recommendations were introduced during the 2006 General Assembly Session as Senate Bill No. 560 and House Bill No. 1036.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Task Force members were presented with a wealth of Virginia campus crime statistics, survey results and judicial board findings and were encouraged to ask questions and request additional information from staff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Rationales were obtained and supported by: the information provided by campus police and security department surveys; by staff statistical analyses; by existing scholarly literature; and, by campus safety practitioners.

### X. Summary and Recommendations

#### Summary of Study

Overall, campus crime in Virginia supports the academic literature. Crime, in general, is lower than that in the surrounding communities. Property crimes, specifically larceny and vandalism, account for the majority of reported crimes on both police and security department campuses regardless of region, size, or type of institution. The findings also mirrored Virginia's crime trends for larceny and vandalism. Campus field visits were instrumental in placing the reported crimes into context, as well as, understanding the unique situations that each campus faced.

The types, degree of usage, and operating procedures of judicial referral systems at colleges varied significantly among the different institutions. Some colleges did not have a system at all, relying solely on local law enforcement; whereas, others had multiple judicial boards to handle incidents occurring on campus that could be considered criminal in a court of law. The college judicial hearing process is independent from any criminal or civil hearing regarding the same offense. As such, the student may face consequences from both the university and the courts. Alcohol violations comprised the vast majority of judicial referrals and of the groups most likely referred included freshmen, males, and those under the age of 20.

The survey findings from the campus police and security department further illustrated the uniqueness of each department and the type and amount of resources available. The demographics of colleges varied significantly. Some had multiple campuses, large stadiums, research laboratories, hospitals, arts/entertainment centers and historic attractions. The environments varied also with some in an urban, suburban or rural location. The presence of students residents on campus was found to increase the amount of campus safety resources.

All public 4-year and above colleges had campus police departments. Campus police officers are required to meet the same minimum standards as all other police officers in the Commonwealth. There are no standardized training for campus security officers. Campus police departments are more involved in the campus community than security departments. It was also found that not all police and security departments had written policy and procedure manuals.

Campus police and security departments indicated a number of resource needs. Less than half of campus police departments indicated that the number of police officers was adequate for their campus; whereas, over three-quarters of security departments indicated that the number of officers on their campus was adequate. Communication and equipment needs were more apparent in police departments than in security departments. Finally, campus police and security departments indicated that they faced a number of similar challenges including addressing large special events and retention of personnel.

Each higher education institutions in the Commonwealth's is unique in a variety of areas. This requires the individual college campus police or security department to serve that institution in a way that accounts for the different resources and environment of that college. The Campus Safety Task Force met several times with the goal of developing legislative recommendations and best practices for campus safety across the Commonwealth. Members discussed their various personal experiences and procedures as practitioners in the field, as well as, reviewed findings from staff's research. In developing the best practices, measures were taken to ensure that the unique characteristics of the colleges were taken into consideration. The Campus Safety Task Force meetings culminated in developing four legislative recommendations and 30 best practices for campus safety, of which 27 were approved by Crime Commission members.

# **Recommendations**

### Legislative Recommendations for Campus Safety

#### **Recommendation #1**

The General Assembly should expand the Department of Criminal Justice Services' (DCJS) School Safety Center to include an advisory campus safety division that would specialize in post-secondary safety issues including, but not limited to:

- Specialized campus police officer and security officer training;
- Technical support;
- Establishment and management of a database for campus safety and security information sharing;
- Role in laying out parameters that may assist colleges in establishing uniform record-keeping for disciplinary records and statistics, such as campus crime logs, judicial referrals and Clery Act statistics; and,
- Provide support for the establishment and management of campus law enforcement, investigations, statistics, judicial referrals, and all other policies and procedures utilized by campus police and security departments.

The Secretary of Public Safety should designate the Deputy Secretary to work with the School Safety Center in establishing the aforementioned duties.

#### **Recommendation #2**

The Department of Criminal Justice Services' School Safety Center, in collaboration with the Crime Commission, Virginia Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (VACLEA), Virginia Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control and other state agencies, shall convene an annual state-wide summit between campus police and security departments, local law enforcement agencies with a campus in its jurisdiction, and any other appropriate entities.

#### **Recommendation #3**

Models for mutual-aid agreements, concurrent jurisdictions and memoranda of understanding between campus police/security agencies and other public safety organizations should be developed and/or updated by DCJS and made available to the field.

### **Recommendation #4**

Department of Criminal Justice Services, with the assistance of the Attorney General's Office will help to develop guidelines for colleges and universities regarding dissemination of judicial council findings.

### **Best Practice Recommendations for Campus Safety**

### **Best Practice #1**

Each college and university should establish a Safety and Security Committee(s) to determine the necessary mechanisms to ensure campus safety and the prevention of crime. The purpose of the Committee is to encourage communication and collaboration across the campus community, as well as provide an advisory role in protocol development, such as appropriate educational programming for its campus. The Committee should meet, at a minimum, quarterly and should report to the President or his designee.

Consideration for membership on the Committee should be given to representatives from:

- Campus police and security departments;
- Local law enforcement;
- Chief financial officer or designee;
- Maintenance/facilities departments;
- Student, athletic/intramural/recreation departments;
- Environmental health and safety;
- Residence life;
- Emergency planners;
- Student Government Association (SGA);
- Greek life;
- Counseling/women's center;
- Staff/faculty; and,
- Other representatives, as deemed appropriate.

### Best Practice #2

Colleges and universities should apply Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) in planning and maintaining facilities and grounds.

- Smaller colleges and universities should partner with other law enforcement agencies in implementing CPTED.
- Police and security personnel should be actively involved in the review of plans for new buildings and building renovations to ensure that security concerns are addressed. Security concerns should include: landscape, access and key control systems, interior and exterior lighting, windows and doors, traffic safety (reflective tape for crosswalks, etc.) and electronic detection systems.

#### **Best Practice #3**

When developing new student orientation curriculum, institutions should work with campus police/security departments, SGA and other groups to establish the appropriate framework in addressing inappropriate/illegal student behavior. There should be multiple approaches to present the immediate and long-term effects of being arrested to both students and their parents. Approaches should include a mandatory overview at student orientation followed by supplemental meetings with residence life, student groups (i.e., Greek Life), and other organizations.

#### **Best Practice #4**

Each college and university should offer multiple courses/training sessions of Rape Aggression Defense (RAD) with certified instructors.

#### Best Practice #5

Each college and university should consider establishing trained and supervised student employees as an augmentation to security services. At a minimum, such students should receive 32 hours of training.

#### **Best Practice #6**

Each college and university with a police department should consider establishing a student police academy to give the campus community a working knowledge of the campus police department's personnel, policies, goals and objectives.

#### **Best Practice #7**

Each college and university should embrace the community policing philosophy and establish several programmatic initiatives in order to establish better relationships with the campus community. (Examples include: Adopt-A-Hall, "park, walk, and talk," bicycle patrols, satellite offices, and silent witness programs).

#### **Best Practice #8**

Each campus police and security department should have a written policy and procedure manual, which gives consideration to the standards set forth by the Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies (CALEA), the Virginia Law Enforcement Professional Standards Commission (VLEPSC) and/or the International Association of Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (IACLEA).

#### **Best Practice #9**

Campus police departments should seek accreditation by an appropriate accrediting agency, such as CALEA, VLEPSC or IACLEA.

#### Best Practice #10

Campus security departments should seek accreditation by an appropriate accrediting agency, such as the International Association for Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (IACLEA).

#### **Best Practice #11**

Each college and university should encourage and participate in professional development provided by regional, state (VACLEA), national, and/or other organizations.

### Best Practice #12

The Chief of Police or Director of Security and senior staff as deemed appropriate should belong to one or more professional organizations or associations to stay up-to-date with current practices. (Examples: VACLEA, IACLEA, VACP, IACP, IAHSS, ASIS).

### Best Practice #13

Campus police and security departments should meet annually with their local community officials, such as Fire Chiefs, Police Chiefs or designees, building officials, Emergency Medical Services representatives, Commonwealth's Attorney, ABC Regional Supervisor, City/County Manager or designee, City/County public relations representative, and other representatives as deemed appropriate.

### Best Practice #14

Campus police and security departments should meet annually with their college's or university's officials including Vice-Presidents for Student and Business Affairs, Housing Directors, Judicial Affairs head administrator, college public relations person and other representatives as deemed appropriate.

#### Best Practice #15

Each college and university should seek inclusion in regional disaster plans consistent with the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and other regional and local plans.

#### **Best Practice #16**

Campus police departments should consider seeking concurrent jurisdiction with their surrounding locality.

#### **Best Practice #17**

Colleges and universities should consider working with their institutional research personnel to develop a survey tool that addresses campus safety. This survey should be administered on a regular basis to students, faculty and staff.

#### **Best Practice #18**

Every police department should have written procedures for the investigation of crimes.

### **Best Practice #19**

Every police department should have written protocols for dealing with victims, including referrals for victim services.

#### Best Practice #20

Each school should develop a mechanism to identify each case involving actions by a student that could be considered criminal in a court of law that has occurred and be able to track the outcome of that case both on the campus level of disciplinary process and the court disciplinary process, if this so occurs.

#### Best Practice #21

Each college and university should develop and adopt a set of written sanctions that are available to address actions that would be violations of the law, including alcohol and drug violations. Responses to violations could include strong or progressive sanctions. (Examples: "Three Strikes You're Out," removal from residence halls, publicizing to students and parents, and/or expulsion).

#### Best Practice #22

Campus police and security departments should receive institutional support for their alcohol control and enforcement programs.

#### **Best Practice #23**

Commonwealth campus police and security departments should develop a system for sharing information regarding violations occurring on their campus that are committed by students from other Commonwealth colleges and universities. This system will allow for student conduct on other colleges and universities to be acknowledged and dealt with by that student's college or university, as well as the campus or local law enforcement where the incident took place.

### **Best Practice #24**

Institutions should designate a liaison between the Commonwealth's Attorney office and campus police or security departments regarding criminal investigations.

### Best Practice #25

Whenever there is any crime on campus, the student victim should be informed of his or her right to bring their case to the magistrate.

#### **Best Practice #26**

Campus police and security departments should consult with the Commonwealth Attorney as soon as possible regarding any violent felonious crimes.<sup>63</sup>

#### Best Practice #27

Colleges and universities may consider establishing protocols addressing student interaction between all involved parties after a criminal action is alleged.

 $<sup>^{63}</sup>$  Note: Best Practice 26 received much discussion at the January  $10^{th}$ , 2006 meeting. When implementing the best practice, Members desired to have the following taken into consideration: Additional wording might include "upon consultation with the victim(s), when possible" as to not set up a situation where the victim's right to remain anonymous is impaired.

# XI. Acknowledgements

The Virginia State Crime Commission extends its appreciation to the following agencies and individuals for their assistance and cooperation on this study:

- Appalachian School of Law
- Averett University
- Baptist Theological Seminary at Richmond
- Blue Ridge Community College
- Bluefield College
- Bridgewater College
- Central Virginia Community College
- Christopher Newport University
- College of William and Mary
- Dabney S. Lancaster Community College
- Danville Community College
- Eastern Mennonite University
- Eastern Shore Community College
- Eastern Virginia Medical School
- Emory and Henry College
- Ferrum College
- George Mason University
- Germanna Community College
- Hampden-Sydney College
- Hampton University
- Hollins University
- J. Sargeant Reynolds Community College
- James Madison University
- John Tyler Community College
- Liberty University
- Longwood College
- Lord Fairfax Community College
- Lynchburg College
- Mary Baldwin College
- Marymount College
- Mountain Empire Community College
- New River Community College
- Norfolk State University
- Northern Virginia Community College
- Old Dominion University
- Patrick Henry Community College

- Paul D. Camp Community College
- Piedmont Virginia Community College
- Radford University
- Randolph-Macon College
- Randolph-Macon Woman's College
- Rappahannock Community College
- Richard Bland College
- Roanoke College
- Saint Paul's College
- Shenandoah University
- Southern Virginia University
- Southside Regional Medical Center
- Southside Virginia Community College
- Southwest Virginia Community College
- Sweet Briar College
- Thomas Nelson Community College
- Tidewater Community College
- Union Theological Seminary
- University of Mary Washington
- University of Richmond
- University of Virginia
- University of Virginia's College at Wise
- Virginia Commonwealth University
- Virginia Highlands Community College
- Virginia Intermont College
- Virginia Military Institute
- Virginia Polytechnic Institute
- Virginia State University
- Virginia Union University
- Virginia Wesleyan College
- Virginia Western Community College
- Washington and Lee University
- Wytheville Community College

#### With special thanks to:

John A. Ahladas, Treasurer Randolph Macon College

Michael Baker, Lieutenant Radford University Police Department

Anna Bovill Virginia 21 Representative

Gerald J. Bright, Coordinator of Security Tidewater Community College

Jeffrey S. Brown, Chief Christopher Newport University Police Department VACLEA President

Gordon M. Buckley, National Legislative Committee Member Fraternal Order of Police

Renee Cannon, Chief Virginia Union University Police Department

**Don Challis**, Chief College of William and Mary

Michael Coleman, Captain University of Virginia Police Department

Robert Dillard, Chief University of Richmond Police Department

**Debra Duncan**, Chief Virginia Tech Police Department

John Evans, Director of Accommodations Virginia Association of College and University Housing Officers

Jesse Ferguson, Virginia 21

Willie B. Fuller, Chief Virginia Commonwealth University

Michael Gibson, Chief University of Virginia Police Department

Granville Hampton, Chief Radford University Police Department

**Debbie Hogan**, Chief Ferrum College Police Department

Jim Kaletta, Chief Northern Virginia Community College Eunice Kendell, Director of Campus Safety Randolph-Macon College

Kurt J. Krause, Vice President for Business Affairs Virginia Tech

Robert Lambeth Jr., President Council for Independent Colleges in Virginia

Jeffrey Levy, President Virginia College Parents Inc.

Michael F. Lynch, Chief George Mason University

John F. Miller, Chief Albemarle County

**Paul Norris**, Former Chief University of Virginia Police Department

John Poole, Vice President of Finance and Administration Central Virginia Community College

Norma Poole Virginia State Police

Kelly Porrell, Virginia 21

State Council of Higher Education for Virginia (SCHEV)

Thomas H. Turner, Director of Campus Safety Roanoke College

**Isaac T. Van Patten, Ph.D.,** Professor and Chair Department of Criminal Justice Radford University

**Dale Van Wagner** Virginia 21 Representative

Virginia 21

Virginia Association for Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (VACLEA)

Garth Wheeler, Chief J. Sargeant Reynolds

J. T. "Tommy" Whitt, Sheriff Montgomery County

Michael L. Young, Director of Security Washington and Lee University
# ATTACHMENT A

House Joint Resolution 122 (2004)

#### **2004 SESSION**

#### **HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 122**

Directing the Virginia State Crime Commission to study campus safety at Virginia's public and private institutions of higher education. Report.

#### Agreed to by the House of Delegates, March 10, 2004 Agreed to by the Senate, March 9, 2004

WHEREAS, numerous aggravated assaults, sexual offenses, robberies, burglaries and other serious crimes are committed every year on the campuses of Virginia's institutions of higher education; and

WHEREAS, institutions of higher education around the country as well as private campus safety organizations have developed innovative campus safety programs that would be beneficial to Virginia colleges; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Center for School Safety is developing a list of best practices of safety procedures for elementary and secondary schools; and

WHEREAS, no such information has been developed for the system of higher education; and

WHEREAS, information about best practices of campus safety programs and policies would be extremely beneficial to the security of students attending Virginia institutions of higher education; and

WHEREAS, personal safety and security are crucial preconditions that facilitate learning on college campuses; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED by the House of Delegates, the Senate concurring, That the Virginia State Crime Commission be directed to study campus safety at Virginia's public and private institutions of higher education. The study shall examine (i) current Virginia policies, procedures, and programs used to promote safety at institutions of higher education; (ii) the nature of criminal offenses at Virginia's public and private institutions of higher education; (iii) the use of best practices or models for campus safety nationally; and (iv) the need to develop statewide procedures to ensure the dissemination of information pertaining to best practices for campus safety to Virginia's public and private institutions of higher education.

Technical assistance shall be provided to the Commission by the Secretary of Education, the General and Professional Advisory Committee and the Student Affairs Advisory Committee to the State Council of Higher Education for Virginia, and the Council of Independent Colleges in Virginia. All agencies of the Commonwealth shall provide assistance to the Commission for this study, upon request.

The Virginia State Crime Commission shall complete its meetings by November 30, 2004, and the Chairman of the Commission shall submit to the Division of Legislative Automated Systems an executive summary of its findings and recommendations no later than the first day of the 2005 Regular Session of the General Assembly. The executive summary shall state whether the Commission intends to submit to the General Assembly and the Governor a report of its findings and recommendations for publication as a document. The executive summary and report shall be submitted as provided in the procedures of the Division of Legislative Automated Systems for the processing of legislative documents and reports and shall be posted on the General Assembly's website.

## ATTACHMENT B

House Bill 1036

INTRODUCED

061225134 1 **HOUSE BILL NO. 1036** 2 Offered January 11, 2006 3 4 Prefiled January 11, 2006 A BILL to amend and reenact § 9.1-102 of the Code of Virginia, relating to Department of Criminal 5 Justice Services; duties related to campus security and police officers. 6 Patrons-Hamilton and Melvin; Senators: Norment and Stolle 7 8 9 Referred to Committee on Militia, Police and Public Safety 10 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia: 11 1. That § 9.1-102 of the Code of Virginia is amended and reenacted as follows: 12  $\S$  9.1-102. Powers and duties of the Board and the Department. The Department, under the direction of the Board, which shall be the policy-making body for 13 carrying out the duties and powers hereunder, shall have the power and duty to: 14 15 1. Adopt regulations, pursuant to the Administrative Process Act (§ 2.2-4000 et seq.), for the administration of this chapter including the authority to require the submission of reports and 16 information by law-enforcement officers within the Commonwealth. Any proposed regulations 17 18 concerning the privacy, confidentiality, and security of criminal justice information shall be submitted for review and comment to any board, commission, or committee or other body which may be 19 20 established by the General Assembly to regulate the privacy, confidentiality, and security of information collected and maintained by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision thereof; 21 2. Establish compulsory minimum training standards subsequent to employment as a law-enforcement 22 23 officer in (i) permanent positions, and (ii) temporary or probationary status, and establish the time required for completion of such training; 24 25 3. Establish minimum training standards and qualifications for certification and recertification for law-enforcement officers serving as field training officers; 26 27 4. Establish compulsory minimum curriculum requirements for in-service and advanced courses and 28 programs for schools, whether located in or outside the Commonwealth, which are operated for the 29 specific purpose of training law-enforcement officers; 30 5. Establish (i) compulsory minimum training standards for law-enforcement officers who utilize radar or an electrical or microcomputer device to measure the speed of motor vehicles as provided in 31 § 46.2-882 and establish the time required for completion of the training and (ii) compulsory minimum 32 33 qualifications for certification and recertification of instructors who provide such training; 34 6. Establish compulsory training courses for law-enforcement officers in laws and procedures relating 35 to entrapment, search and seizure, evidence, and techniques of report writing, which training shall be completed by law-enforcement officers who have not completed the compulsory training standards set 36 37 out in subdivision 2, prior to assignment of any such officers to undercover investigation work. Failure to complete the training shall not, for that reason, constitute grounds to exclude otherwise properly 38 39 admissible testimony or other evidence from such officer resulting from any undercover investigation; 40 7. Establish compulsory minimum entry-level, in-service and advanced training standards for those 41 persons designated to provide courthouse and courtroom security pursuant to the provisions of 42 § 53.1-120, and to establish the time required for completion of such training: 8. Establish compulsory minimum entry-level, in-service and advanced training standards for deputy 43 44 sheriffs designated to serve process pursuant to the provisions of § 8.01-293, and establish the time 45 required for the completion of such training; 46 9. Establish compulsory minimum entry-level, in-service, and advanced training standards for persons employed as deputy sheriffs and jail officers by local criminal justice agencies and for correctional 47 officers employed by the Department of Corrections under the provisions of Title 53.1, and establish the 48 time required for completion of such training; 49 50 10. Establish compulsory minimum training standards for all dispatchers employed by or in any local 51 or state government agency, whose duties include the dispatching of law-enforcement personnel. Such training standards shall apply only to dispatchers hired on or after July 1, 1988; 52 11. Consult and cooperate with counties, municipalities, agencies of the Commonwealth, other state 53 54 and federal governmental agencies, and with universities, colleges, community colleges, and other 55 institutions, whether located in or outside the Commonwealth, concerning the development of police training schools and programs or courses of instruction; 56 12. Approve institutions, curricula and facilities, whether located in or outside the Commonwealth, 57 58 for school operation for the specific purpose of training law-enforcement officers; but this shall not

59 prevent the holding of any such school whether approved or not;

60 13. Establish and maintain police training programs through such agencies and institutions as the 61 Board deems appropriate;

14. Establish compulsory minimum qualifications of certification and recertification for instructors in 62 63 criminal justice training schools approved by the Department;

15. Conduct and stimulate research by public and private agencies which shall be designed to 64 improve police administration and law enforcement; 65 66

16. Make recommendations concerning any matter within its purview pursuant to this chapter;

67 17. Coordinate its activities with those of any interstate system for the exchange of criminal history 68 record information, nominate one or more of its members to serve upon the council or committee of any 69 such system, and participate when and as deemed appropriate in any such system's activities and 70 programs:

71 18. Conduct inquiries and investigations it deems appropriate to carry out its functions under this chapter and, in conducting such inquiries and investigations, may require any criminal justice agency to 72 73 submit information, reports, and statistical data with respect to its policy and operation of information 74 systems or with respect to its collection, storage, dissemination, and usage of criminal history record 75 information and correctional status information, and such criminal justice agencies shall submit such 76 information, reports, and data as are reasonably required; 77

19. Conduct audits as required by § 9.1-131;

20. Conduct a continuing study and review of questions of individual privacy and confidentiality of 78 79 criminal history record information and correctional status information;

80 21. Advise criminal justice agencies and initiate educational programs for such agencies with respect to matters of privacy, confidentiality, and security as they pertain to criminal history record information 81 and correctional status information; 82

22. Maintain a liaison with any board, commission, committee, or other body which may be 83 84 established by law, executive order, or resolution to regulate the privacy and security of information 85 collected by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision thereof;

23. Adopt regulations establishing guidelines and standards for the collection, storage, and 86 dissemination of criminal history record information and correctional status information, and the privacy, 87 confidentiality, and security thereof necessary to implement state and federal statutes, regulations, and 88 89 court orders;

90 24. Operate a statewide criminal justice research center, which shall maintain an integrated criminal 91 justice information system, produce reports, provide technical assistance to state and local criminal 92 justice data system users, and provide analysis and interpretation of criminal justice statistical 93 information;

94 25. Develop a comprehensive, statewide, long-range plan for strengthening and improving law 95 enforcement and the administration of criminal justice throughout the Commonwealth, and periodically 96 update that plan;

97 26. Cooperate with, and advise and assist, all agencies, departments, boards and institutions of the 98 Commonwealth, and units of general local government, or combinations thereof, including planning 99 district commissions, in planning, developing, and administering programs, projects, comprehensive 100 plans, and other activities for improving law enforcement and the administration of criminal justice throughout the Commonwealth, including allocating and subgranting funds for these purposes; 101

27. Define, develop, organize, encourage, conduct, coordinate, and administer programs, projects and activities for the Commonwealth and units of general local government, or combinations thereof, in the 102 103 104 Commonwealth, designed to strengthen and improve law enforcement and the administration of criminal 105 justice at every level throughout the Commonwealth;

28. Review and evaluate programs, projects, and activities, and recommend, where necessary, revisions or alterations to such programs, projects, and activities for the purpose of improving law enforcement and the administration of criminal justice; 106 107 108

109 29. Coordinate the activities and projects of the state departments, agencies, and boards of the 110 Commonwealth and of the units of general local government, or combination thereof, including planning 111 district commissions, relating to the preparation, adoption, administration, and implementation of comprehensive plans to strengthen and improve law enforcement and the administration of criminal 112 113 justice;

114 30. Do all things necessary on behalf of the Commonwealth and its units of general local 115 government, to determine and secure benefits available under the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (P.L. 90-351, 82 Stat. 197), as amended, and under any other federal acts and 116 programs for strengthening and improving law enforcement, the administration of criminal justice, and 117 delinquency prevention and control; 118

119 31. Receive, administer, and expend all funds and other assistance available to the Board and the Department for carrying out the purposes of this chapter and the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe 120

121 Streets Act of 1968, as amended;

122 32. Apply for and accept grants from the United States government or any other source in carrying 123 out the purposes of this chapter and accept any and all donations both real and personal, and grants of money from any governmental unit or public agency, or from any institution, person, firm or 124 corporation, and may receive, utilize and dispose of the same. Any arrangements pursuant to this section 125 shall be detailed in the annual report of the Board. Such report shall include the identity of the donor, 126 127 the nature of the transaction, and the conditions, if any. Any moneys received pursuant to this section 128 shall be deposited in the state treasury to the account of the Department. To these ends, the Board shall 129 have the power to comply with conditions and execute such agreements as may be necessary;

33. Make and enter into all contracts and agreements necessary or incidental to the performance of
its duties and execution of its powers under this chapter, including but not limited to, contracts with the
United States, units of general local government or combinations thereof, in Virginia or other states, and
with agencies and departments of the Commonwealth;

134 34. Adopt and administer reasonable regulations for the planning and implementation of programs
135 and activities and for the allocation, expenditure and subgranting of funds available to the
136 Commonwealth and to units of general local government, and for carrying out the purposes of this
137 chapter and the powers and duties set forth herein;

138 35. Certify and decertify law-enforcement officers in accordance with §§ 15.2-1706 and 15.2-1707;

139 36. Establish training standards and publish a model policy for law-enforcement personnel in the
 handling of family abuse, domestic violence, sexual assault and stalking cases, including standards for
 determining the predominant physical aggressor in accordance with § 19.2-81.3;

142 37. Establish training standards and publish a model policy for law-enforcement personnel in
 143 communicating with and facilitating the safe return of individuals diagnosed with Alzheimer's disease;

144 38. Establish compulsory training standards for basic training and the recertification of 145 law-enforcement officers to ensure sensitivity to and awareness of cultural diversity and the potential for 146 biased policing;

147 39. Review and evaluate community-policing programs in the Commonwealth, and recommend where
148 necessary statewide operating procedures, guidelines, and standards which strengthen and improve such
149 programs, including sensitivity to and awareness of cultural diversity and the potential for biased
150 policing;

40. Publish and disseminate a model policy or guideline that may be used by state and local agencies
to ensure that law-enforcement personnel are sensitive to and aware of cultural diversity and the
potential for biased policing;

154 41. [Expired.]

42. Establish a Virginia Law-Enforcement Accreditation Center. The Center shall, in cooperation with
Virginia law-enforcement agencies, provide technical assistance and administrative support, including
staffing, for the establishment of voluntary state law-enforcement accreditation standards. The Center
may provide accreditation assistance and training, resource material, and research into methods and
procedures that will assist the Virginia law-enforcement community efforts to obtain Virginia
accreditation status;

43. Promote community policing philosophy and practice throughout the Commonwealth by 161 162 providing community policing training and technical assistance statewide to all law-enforcement 163 agencies, community groups, public and private organizations and citizens; developing and distributing 164 innovative policing curricula and training tools on general community policing philosophy and practice and contemporary critical issues facing Virginia communities; serving as a consultant to Virginia 165 166 organizations with specific community policing needs; facilitating continued development and 167 implementation of community policing programs statewide through discussion forums for community 168 policing leaders, development of law-enforcement instructors; promoting a statewide community policing 169 initiative; and serving as a statewide information source on the subject of community policing including, but not limited to periodic newsletters, a website and an accessible lending library; 44. Establish, in consultation with the Department of Education and the Virginia State Crime 170

171 172 Commission, compulsory minimum standards for employment and job-entry and in-service training 173 curricula and certification requirements for school security officers, which training and certification shall be administered by the Virginia Center for School Safety pursuant to § 9.1-184. Such training standards 174 175 shall include, but shall not be limited to, the role and responsibility of school security officers, relevant 176 state and federal laws, school and personal liability issues, security awareness in the school environment, 177 mediation and conflict resolution, disaster and emergency response, and student behavioral dynamics. The Department shall establish an advisory committee consisting of local school board representatives, 178 179 principals, superintendents, and school security personnel to assist in the development of these standards 180 and certification requirements;

181 45. Establish training standards and publish a model policy and protocols for local and regional

182 sexual assault response teams;

46. License and regulate property bail bondsmen and surety bail bondsmen in accordance withArticle 11 (§ 9.1-185 et seq.) of this chapter;

47. (Effective October 1, 2005) License and regulate bail enforcement agents in accordance with
 Article 12 (§ 9.1-186 et seq.) of this chapter; and

187 48. Establish minimum standards for (i) employment, (ii) job-entry and in-service training curricula, and (iii) certification requirements for campus security officers. Such training standards shall include, 188 but not be limited to, the role and responsibility of campus security officers, relevant state and federal 189 190 laws, school and personal liability issues, security awareness in the campus environment, and disaster 191 and emergency response. The Department shall provide technical support and assistance to campus police departments and campus security departments on the establishment and implementation of 192 policies and procedures, including but not limited to: the management of such departments, investigatory 193 194 procedures, judicial referrals, the establishment and management of databases for campus safety and 195 security information sharing, and development of uniform record keeping for disciplinary records and statistics, such as campus crime logs, judicial referrals and Clery Act statistics. The Department shall 196 197 establish an advisory committee consisting of college administrators, college police chiefs, college security department chiefs, and local law-enforcement officials to assist in the development of the 198 199 standards and certification requirements and training pursuant to this subdivision; and

49. Perform such other acts as may be necessary or convenient for the effective performance of itsduties.

202 2. That the provisions of this act shall be effective July 1, 2007.

# ATTACHMENT C

Senate Bill 560

061226134

1 2

7 8

9

#### **SENATE BILL NO. 560**

Offered January 11, 2006

Prefiled January 11, 2006

3 4 A BILL to amend and reenact § 9.1-102 of the Code of Virginia, relating to Department of Criminal 5 Justice Services; duties related to campus security and police officers. 6

Patrons-Stolle, Howell and Norment; Delegates: Albo, Bell, Hamilton, Melvin and Moran

Referred to Committee for Courts of Justice

#### 10 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia:

1. That § 9.1-102 of the Code of Virginia is amended and reenacted as follows: 11 12

§ 9.1-102. Powers and duties of the Board and the Department.

The Department, under the direction of the Board, which shall be the policy-making body for 13 carrying out the duties and powers hereunder, shall have the power and duty to: 14

15 1. Adopt regulations, pursuant to the Administrative Process Act (§ 2.2-4000 et seq.), for the administration of this chapter including the authority to require the submission of reports and information by law-enforcement officers within the Commonwealth. Any proposed regulations 16 17 concerning the privacy, confidentiality, and security of criminal justice information shall be submitted 18 19 for review and comment to any board, commission, or committee or other body which may be 20 established by the General Assembly to regulate the privacy, confidentiality, and security of information 21 collected and maintained by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision thereof;

22 2. Establish compulsory minimum training standards subsequent to employment as a law-enforcement officer in (i) permanent positions, and (ii) temporary or probationary status, and establish the time 23 24 required for completion of such training;

25 3. Establish minimum training standards and qualifications for certification and recertification for 26 law-enforcement officers serving as field training officers;

4. Establish compulsory minimum curriculum requirements for in-service and advanced courses and 27 28 programs for schools, whether located in or outside the Commonwealth, which are operated for the 29 specific purpose of training law-enforcement officers;

30 5. Establish (i) compulsory minimum training standards for law-enforcement officers who utilize 31 radar or an electrical or microcomputer device to measure the speed of motor vehicles as provided in 32 § 46.2-882 and establish the time required for completion of the training and (ii) compulsory minimum 33 qualifications for certification and recertification of instructors who provide such training;

6. Establish compulsory training courses for law-enforcement officers in laws and procedures relating 34 to entrapment, search and seizure, evidence, and techniques of report writing, which training shall be 35 completed by law-enforcement officers who have not completed the compulsory training standards set 36 37 out in subdivision 2, prior to assignment of any such officers to undercover investigation work. Failure 38 to complete the training shall not, for that reason, constitute grounds to exclude otherwise properly 39 admissible testimony or other evidence from such officer resulting from any undercover investigation;

40 7. Establish compulsory minimum entry-level, in-service and advanced training standards for those 41 persons designated to provide courthouse and courtroom security pursuant to the provisions of § 53.1-120, and to establish the time required for completion of such training; 42

8. Establish compulsory minimum entry-level, in-service and advanced training standards for deputy 43 44 sheriffs designated to serve process pursuant to the provisions of § 8.01-293, and establish the time 45 required for the completion of such training;

9. Establish compulsory minimum entry-level, in-service, and advanced training standards for persons 46 47 employed as deputy sheriffs and jail officers by local criminal justice agencies and for correctional officers employed by the Department of Corrections under the provisions of Title 53.1, and establish the 48 49 time required for completion of such training;

50 10. Establish compulsory minimum training standards for all dispatchers employed by or in any local or state government agency, whose duties include the dispatching of law-enforcement personnel. Such 51 training standards shall apply only to dispatchers hired on or after July 1, 1988; 52

53 11. Consult and cooperate with counties, municipalities, agencies of the Commonwealth, other state and federal governmental agencies, and with universities, colleges, community colleges, and other 54 institutions, whether located in or outside the Commonwealth, concerning the development of police 55 56 training schools and programs or courses of instruction;

57 12. Approve institutions, curricula and facilities, whether located in or outside the Commonwealth, 58 for school operation for the specific purpose of training law-enforcement officers; but this shall not SB560

SB560

prevent the holding of any such school whether approved or not; 59

60 13. Establish and maintain police training programs through such agencies and institutions as the 61 Board deems appropriate;

14. Establish compulsory minimum qualifications of certification and recertification for instructors in 62 63 criminal justice training schools approved by the Department;

15. Conduct and stimulate research by public and private agencies which shall be designed to 64 improve police administration and law enforcement; 65 66

16. Make recommendations concerning any matter within its purview pursuant to this chapter;

67 17. Coordinate its activities with those of any interstate system for the exchange of criminal history record information, nominate one or more of its members to serve upon the council or committee of any 68 such system, and participate when and as deemed appropriate in any such system's activities and 69 70 programs;

71 18. Conduct inquiries and investigations it deems appropriate to carry out its functions under this 72 chapter and, in conducting such inquiries and investigations, may require any criminal justice agency to 73 submit information, reports, and statistical data with respect to its policy and operation of information 74 systems or with respect to its collection, storage, dissemination, and usage of criminal history record 75 information and correctional status information, and such criminal justice agencies shall submit such 76 information, reports, and data as are reasonably required; 77

19. Conduct audits as required by  $\S$  9.1-131;

78 20. Conduct a continuing study and review of questions of individual privacy and confidentiality of 79 criminal history record information and correctional status information;

80 21. Advise criminal justice agencies and initiate educational programs for such agencies with respect 81 to matters of privacy, confidentiality, and security as they pertain to criminal history record information 82 and correctional status information;

83 22. Maintain a liaison with any board, commission, committee, or other body which may be 84 established by law, executive order, or resolution to regulate the privacy and security of information 85 collected by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision thereof;

86 23. Adopt regulations establishing guidelines and standards for the collection, storage, and dissemination of criminal history record information and correctional status information, and the privacy, 87 confidentiality, and security thereof necessary to implement state and federal statutes, regulations, and 88 89 court orders;

90 24. Operate a statewide criminal justice research center, which shall maintain an integrated criminal 91 justice information system, produce reports, provide technical assistance to state and local criminal 92 justice data system users, and provide analysis and interpretation of criminal justice statistical 93 information;

25. Develop a comprehensive, statewide, long-range plan for strengthening and improving law 94 95 enforcement and the administration of criminal justice throughout the Commonwealth, and periodically 96 update that plan;

97 26. Cooperate with, and advise and assist, all agencies, departments, boards and institutions of the 98 Commonwealth, and units of general local government, or combinations thereof, including planning district commissions, in planning, developing, and administering programs, projects, comprehensive 99 100 plans, and other activities for improving law enforcement and the administration of criminal justice throughout the Commonwealth, including allocating and subgranting funds for these purposes; 101

102 27. Define, develop, organize, encourage, conduct, coordinate, and administer programs, projects and activities for the Commonwealth and units of general local government, or combinations thereof, in the 103 104 Commonwealth, designed to strengthen and improve law enforcement and the administration of criminal 105 justice at every level throughout the Commonwealth;

106 28. Review and evaluate programs, projects, and activities, and recommend, where necessary, 107 revisions or alterations to such programs, projects, and activities for the purpose of improving law 108 enforcement and the administration of criminal justice;

109 29. Coordinate the activities and projects of the state departments, agencies, and boards of the Commonwealth and of the units of general local government, or combination thereof, including planning 110 district commissions, relating to the preparation, adoption, administration, and implementation of 111 comprehensive plans to strengthen and improve law enforcement and the administration of criminal 112 113 justice:

114 30. Do all things necessary on behalf of the Commonwealth and its units of general local 115 government, to determine and secure benefits available under the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (P.L. 90-351, 82 Stat. 197), as amended, and under any other federal acts and 116 117 programs for strengthening and improving law enforcement, the administration of criminal justice, and delinquency prevention and control; 118

119 31. Receive, administer, and expend all funds and other assistance available to the Board and the 120 Department for carrying out the purposes of this chapter and the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe

**SB560** 

121 Streets Act of 1968, as amended;

32. Apply for and accept grants from the United States government or any other source in carrying out the purposes of this chapter and accept any and all donations both real and personal, and grants of money from any governmental unit or public agency, or from any institution, person, firm or corporation, and may receive, utilize and dispose of the same. Any arrangements pursuant to this section shall be detailed in the annual report of the Board. Such report shall include the identity of the donor, the nature of the transaction, and the conditions, if any. Any moneys received pursuant to this section shall be deposited in the state treasury to the account of the Department. To these ends, the Board shall have the power to comply with conditions and execute such agreements as may be necessary;

130 33. Make and enter into all contracts and agreements necessary or incidental to the performance of
 131 its duties and execution of its powers under this chapter, including but not limited to, contracts with the
 132 United States, units of general local government or combinations thereof, in Virginia or other states, and
 133 with agencies and departments of the Commonwealth;

34. Adopt and administer reasonable regulations for the planning and implementation of programs
 and activities and for the allocation, expenditure and subgranting of funds available to the
 Commonwealth and to units of general local government, and for carrying out the purposes of this
 chapter and the powers and duties set forth herein;

138 35. Certify and decertify law-enforcement officers in accordance with §§ 15.2-1706 and 15.2-1707;

139 36. Establish training standards and publish a model policy for law-enforcement personnel in the
 140 handling of family abuse, domestic violence, sexual assault and stalking cases, including standards for
 141 determining the predominant physical aggressor in accordance with § 19.2-81.3;

142 37. Establish training standards and publish a model policy for law-enforcement personnel in 143 communicating with and facilitating the safe return of individuals diagnosed with Alzheimer's disease;

144 38. Establish compulsory training standards for basic training and the recertification of
 145 law-enforcement officers to ensure sensitivity to and awareness of cultural diversity and the potential for
 146 biased policing;

147 39. Review and evaluate community-policing programs in the Commonwealth, and recommend where
148 necessary statewide operating procedures, guidelines, and standards which strengthen and improve such
149 programs, including sensitivity to and awareness of cultural diversity and the potential for biased
150 policing;

40. Publish and disseminate a model policy or guideline that may be used by state and local agencies
to ensure that law-enforcement personnel are sensitive to and aware of cultural diversity and the
potential for biased policing;

**154** 41. [Expired.]

42. Establish a Virginia Law-Enforcement Accreditation Center. The Center shall, in cooperation with
Virginia law-enforcement agencies, provide technical assistance and administrative support, including
staffing, for the establishment of voluntary state law-enforcement accreditation standards. The Center
may provide accreditation assistance and training, resource material, and research into methods and
procedures that will assist the Virginia law-enforcement community efforts to obtain Virginia
accreditation status;

161 43. Promote community policing philosophy and practice throughout the Commonwealth by 162 providing community policing training and technical assistance statewide to all law-enforcement agencies, community groups, public and private organizations and citizens; developing and distributing 163 164 innovative policing curricula and training tools on general community policing philosophy and practice and contemporary critical issues facing Virginia communities; serving as a consultant to Virginia 165 organizations with specific community policing needs; facilitating continued development and 166 implementation of community policing programs statewide through discussion forums for community 167 policing leaders, development of law-enforcement instructors; promoting a statewide community policing 168 initiative; and serving as a statewide information source on the subject of community policing including, 169 but not limited to periodic newsletters, a website and an accessible lending library; 170

171 44. Establish, in consultation with the Department of Education and the Virginia State Crime 172 Commission, compulsory minimum standards for employment and job-entry and in-service training 173 curricula and certification requirements for school security officers, which training and certification shall 174 be administered by the Virginia Center for School Safety pursuant to § 9.1-184. Such training standards 175 shall include, but shall not be limited to, the role and responsibility of school security officers, relevant 176 state and federal laws, school and personal liability issues, security awareness in the school environment, 177 mediation and conflict resolution, disaster and emergency response, and student behavioral dynamics. 178 The Department shall establish an advisory committee consisting of local school board representatives, 179 principals, superintendents, and school security personnel to assist in the development of these standards 180 and certification requirements;

181 45. Establish training standards and publish a model policy and protocols for local and regional

SB560

**182** sexual assault response teams;

183 46. License and regulate property bail bondsmen and surety bail bondsmen in accordance with
184 Article 11 (§ 9.1-185 et seq.) of this chapter;

47. (Effective October 1, 2005) License and regulate bail enforcement agents in accordance with
 Article 12 (§ 9.1-186 et seq.) of this chapter; and

187 48. Establish minimum standards for (i) employment, (ii) job-entry and in-service training curricula, and (iii) certification requirements for campus security officers. Such training standards shall include, 188 but not be limited to, the role and responsibility of campus security officers, relevant state and federal 189 190 laws, school and personal liability issues, security awareness in the campus environment, and disaster 191 and emergency response. The Department shall provide technical support and assistance to campus 192 police departments and campus security departments on the establishment and implementation of 193 policies and procedures, including but not limited to: the management of such departments, investigatory procedures, judicial referrals, the establishment and management of databases for campus safety and security information sharing, and development of uniform record keeping for disciplinary records and statistics, such as campus crime logs, judicial referrals and Clery Act statistics. The Department shall establish an advisory committee consisting of college administrators, college police chiefs, college 194 195 196 197 security department chiefs, and local law-enforcement officials to assist in the development of the 198

199 standards and certification requirements and training pursuant to this subdivision; and

49. Perform such other acts as may be necessary or convenient for the effective performance of itsduties.

202 2. That the provisions of this act shall be effective July 1, 2007.

# ATTACHMENT D

Campus Police Department Survey



## VIRGINIA STATE CRIME COMMISSION

## HJR 122 STUDY OF CRIME AND CRIME PREVENTION ON COLLEGE CAMPUSES

Section 30-156 of the *Code of Virginia* authorizes the Virginia State Crime Commission to study and make recommendations on all areas of public safety and protection in the Commonwealth. The 2004 Session of the Virginia General Assembly enacted House Joint Resolution 122 (HJR 122) requesting the Virginia State Crime Commission conduct a comprehensive study of crime and crime prevention on Virginia's public and private college campuses. As part of this study, the Commission is surveying all college and university police departments to collect opinions and information on issues related to crime and crime prevention on college campuses.

The survey is divided into six (6) sections, including:

- 1. Campus profile;
- 2. Budget;
- 3. Personnel/training;
- 4. Operations;
- 5. Equipment; and,
- 6. Administrative relations/resources

### **Reminders**

Please sign and date the signature page at the end of this section.

*Please fill out this survey for <u>MAIN campus</u> operations where officers are assigned on a daily basis. Section 6 will address any satellite operations applicable to your agency.* 

Please return ALL survey sections together by <u>January 10, 2004</u>. If you have any questions, contact Stephen Bowman, Staff Attorney, at (804) 225-4534 or via e-mail, (Sbowman@leg.state.va.us). We thank you for your assistance in this important study effort.

## <u>Checklist</u>

The following is a reminder list of attachments that should be included along with the other six sections of the survey. Please take the time to review and initial this list to ensure that each item is included. If applicable, the requested items may be submitted in electronic format on a 3  $\frac{1}{2}$ " disk or CD.

## Section 2- Budget:

- List of outsourced personnel from other state and local law enforcement agencies used in 2004; amount paid by officer name and department affiliation (page 4).
- Financial Attachments (page 1).
  - Department's FY1999 budget
  - □ Police Department's FY2004 budget
  - Delice Department's FY2005 budget
  - Delice Department's FY1999 actual expenditures
  - D Police Department's FY2004 actual expenditures

## Section 3- Personnel and Training

- List of additional, specialized and "in-house" law enforcement training that were provided for your officers in FY2004 (page 8).
- Copy of contractual obligation for time of service that your agency uses (page 10 if applicable).

### Section 4- Operations

- Copies of each mutual aid agreement(s) (page 5 if applicable).
- Copies of each concurrent jurisdiction agreement(s) (page 6 if applicable.)
- Copy of ALL policy/procedure manuals (page 8).
- Copy of the most recent surveys (any and all) conducted by YOUR agency (page 11).
- Copy of the most recent university/college national accreditation questionnaire results (page 12).

## Section 5- Equipment

Fleet List: Include <u>ALL</u> vehicles (cars, vans, motorcycles, scooters, bicycles, etc.) AND their descriptions including type, year, mileage, make, marked/unmarked (page 3).

#### Section 6: Administrative Relations and Resources

List of program/new initiative requests for the past 5 years, including whether it was funded, and to what degree. Include the amount of funds available for program/new initiatives across the college or university (page 5).

## **SECTION 1**

## **Campus Profile**

**REMINDERS:** 

Please sign and date the signature page at the end of this section.

Please fill out this survey for <u>MAIN campus</u> operations where officers are assigned on a daily basis. Section 6 will address any satellite operations applicable to your agency.

Please return ALL survey sections together by <u>January 10, 2004</u>. If you have any questions, contact Stephen Bowman, Staff Attorney, at (804) 225-4534 or via e-mail, (Sbowman@leg.state.va.us). We thank you for your assistance in this important study effort.

## SECTION 1: CAMPUS PROFILE

1.	Name of College/ University:
2.	Name of Campus Policing Agency:
3.	Name and Official Title of the Head of Campus Policing Agency:
	a. Name:
	b. Title:
4.	Name and Official Title of the Person to which the Agency Head reports to: (Be as specific as possible. For example, "Vice President of Administration")
	a. Name:
	b. Title:
5.	Which of the following describes your college/university? (Please check one.) Single campus Multiple campuses; if so, how many:
Rem	inder: The remainder of this survey is designed for <u>MAIN</u> campus operations where officers are assigned on a daily basis. Satellite operations will be addressed in section 6.
6.	Please provide the <i>headcount</i> of students enrolled on the <u>main</u> campus as of September 30, 1999 and 2004. Headcount is defined as individual students who have paid regular student fees and were registered for academic courses for the Fall 1999 and 2004 semesters.

	<u>September 30, 1999</u>	<u>September 30, 2004</u>
Undergraduate Full-time Undergraduate Students	students	students
Part-time Undergraduate Students	students	students
Graduate/Professional Full-time Graduate/Professional Students	students	students
Part-time Graduate/Professional Students	students	students

7. Please provide the number of non-student employees working on the main campus for Fall Semester 1999 and 2004.

	Fall Semester 1999	Fall Semester 2004
Faculty Full-time Faculty Employees	employees	employees
Part-time Faculty Employees	employees	employees
Non-Faculty Employees Full-time Non-Faculty Employees	employees	employees
Part-time Non-Faculty Employees	employees	employees

8. Please provide the number of persons living on the main campus in Fall Semester 1999 and 2004.

	Fall Semester 1999	Fall Semester 2004
Residence Halls		
Student Residents	residents	residents
Non-student Residents (i.e. spouses, dependents)	residents	residents
Other Housing (University/College owned and/or leased)		
Student Residents	residents	residents
Non-student Residents (i.e. spouses, dependents)	residents	residents

9. Is your main campus, by policy, defined as an alcohol-free campus? (Please check one.)

Yes
No

\_\_\_

-

10. Please provide information on the following physical characteristics of the main campus served by your agency in Fall of 1999 and 2004.

	1999	2004
Total Number of Buildings	buildings	buildings
Total Number of Buildings with Electronic Surveillance/Monitoring	buildings	buildings
Total Number of Residence Halls	halls	halls

	1999	2004
Total Number of Apartment/Family Complexes	complexes	complexes
Land Area (Acres)	acres	acres
Miles of Roads	miles	miles
Number of Parking Lots	iots	lots
Number of Parking Decks	decks	decks

- 11. Which of the following impact your agency's operations and are currently present on your <u>main</u> campus? (*Please check all that apply.*)
  - College/university hospital
  - □ Fraternities and/or sororities
  - □ Football stadium
  - Basketball arena
  - Multi-purpose arts/entertainment center
  - Historic/tourist attractions
  - Close proximity to a major interstate (less than 3 miles)
  - □ Research laboratories/facilities
  - □ Summer sports camps
  - Special events (summer conferences, weddings, other outside rentals, etc.)
  - □ VIP protection
  - Business complex owned/leased by University/College Foundation
  - Other (Please explain.)

## Questions 12-16, seek additional information regarding some of the campus entities impacting your agency's operations and workload.

- 12. Does your college/university have social fraternities? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to questions 12a-12c.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 13.)

-----

\_ \_\_\_

- 12a. Which of the following describes the location of the fraternities at your college/university? (Please check one.)
  - All fraternities are off campus
  - □ All fraternities are on campus
  - ☐ There are fraternities both on an off campus

3

12b. How many social fraternities are authorized by the college/university for the 2004/05 school year? (Please provide the number as of Fall 2004.)
Fraternities
12c. How many fraternity houses are on and/or off your college/university campus for the 2004/05 school year? (Please provide the number as of Fall 2004.)
On-Campus Fraternity Houses
Off-campus Fraternity Houses
<ul> <li>13. Does your college/university have social sororities? (Please check one.)</li> <li>Yes (If YES, please go to questions 13a-13c.)</li> <li>No (If NO, please go to question 14.)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>13a. Which of the following describes the location of the sororities at your college/university?</li> <li>(Please check one.)</li> <li>All sororities are off campus</li> </ul>
All sororities are on campus
There are sororities both on an off campus
13b. How many social sororities are authorized by the college/university for the 2004/05 school year? (Please provide the number as of Fall 2004.)
Sororities
13c. How many sorority houses are on and/or off your college/university campus for the 2004/05 school year? (Please provide the number as of Fall 2004.)
On- Campus Sorority Houses
Off- Campus Sorority Houses
<ul> <li>14. Does your college or university have a football team? (Please check one.)</li> <li>Yes (If YES, please go to questions 14a-14d.)</li> <li>No (If NO, please go to question 15.)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>14a. Is the football stadium on the main campus or off campus? (Please check one.)</li> <li>Stadium is on campus</li> <li>Stadium is off campus</li> </ul>
14b. What is the capacity of the football stadium? (Please provide the number of seats.)

\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_, \_\_\_\_ Seat Capacity

2

- 14c. Is your department the primary source of law enforcement during football games at the stadium? (Please check one.)
  - **Yes** (If YES, please go to question 15.)
  - 🗆 No (If NO, please go to question 14D.)
- 14d. Please explain who is the primary source of law enforcement during the football games. (Provide the name(s) of the primary source.)
- 15. Does your college or university have a basketball team? (Please check one.)
  - **Ves** (If YES, please go to questions 15a-15d.)
  - D No (If NO, please go to question 16.)
  - 15a. Is the basketball arena on the main campus or off campus? (Please check one.)
    - Arena is on campus
    - Arena is off campus
  - 15b. What is the capacity of the basketball arena? (Please provide the number of seats.)

Seat Capacity

- 15c. Is your department the primary source of law enforcement during basketball games at the arena? (Please check one.)
  - **Yes** (If YES, please go to question 16.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 15d.)
- 15d. Please explain who is the primary source of law enforcement during the basketball games. (Provide the name(s) of the primary source.)
- 16. Does your college or university have a hospital on the main campus? (Please check one.)
  - □ Yes (If YES, please go to questions 16a.)
- (If NO, please go to next questionnaire section.)
  - 16a. Is your hospital a regional trauma center? (Please check one.)
    - □ Yes

Name of Individual Completing this Section of the Survey (Please Print)

Signature

Date

E-mail contact

## **SECTION 2**

**Budget** 

**REMINDERS:** 

Please sign and date the signature page at the end of this section.

Please fill out this survey for <u>MAIN campus</u> operations where officers are assigned on a daily basis. Section 6 will address any satellite operations applicable to your agency.

Please return ALL survey sections together by <u>January 10, 2004</u>. If you have any questions, contact Stephen Bowman, Staff Attorney, at (804) 225-4534 or via e-mail, (Sbowman@leg.state.va.us). We thank you for your assistance in this important study effort.

## SECTION 2: BUDGETARY

- 1. Does your agency have a stand-alone budget separate from other college/university departments? (Please check one.)
  - □ Yes
  - □ No
- 2. Which of the following areas does your agency's budget allocate specific funding? (Please check all that apply.) □ Training of security

Equipment needs

Maintenance

Over-time

- Training of officers
- Training of other personnel
- G Facilities
- Day-to-day operations

Please attach the following:

- Police Department's FY1999 budget •
- Police Department's FY2004 budget
- Police Department's FY2005 budget
- Police Department's FY1999 actual expenditures
- Police Department's FY2004 actual expenditures

#### PART I: Total University/College Budget

NOTE: Questions 3-5 ask questions regarding the total college/university budget. The total budget provided should include those funds for educational and general programs and auxiliary enterprises. Educational and general programs include funds for: instruction, research, public services, academic support, student services, institutional support and operations and maintenance.

3. What is the total college/university budget for the campus your agency serves in FY2005 (July1, 2004-June 30, 2005)?

**S** 

4. What was the total college/university budget for the campus your agency served in FY2004 (July1, 2003-June 30, 2004)?

\$

5. What was the total college/university budget for the campus your agency served in FY1999 (July1, 1998-June 30, 1999)?

> \$\_ \_\_\_\_

## <u>PART 2</u>: Police Department Operating Budget

6. Enter your department's budget information for FY1999, FY2004, and FY2005. (Please round to whole dollars.)

		FY1999	FY2004	FY2005
Α.	Total Budget	\$	\$	\$
В.	Source of Funds			
	Primary Source (General Funds)	\$	\$	\$
	Auxiliary Fees	\$	\$	\$
	Athletics	\$	\$	\$
	Student Housing/Residence Life	\$	\$	\$
	Student Affairs	\$	\$	\$
	State Grants	\$	\$	\$
	Federal Grants	\$	\$	\$
	Other (Please Specify)	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$

## <u>PART 3</u>: Police Department Expenditures

7. Enter your agency's expenditures for FY 1999 and FY 2004.

	FY1999	FY2004
Gross salaries and wages (not including persons hired for special events.)	\$	\$
Employer contributions to employee benefits (not including persons hired for special events.)	\$	\$
Other operating expenditures (e.g., purchase of supplies, food and contractual services.)	\$	\$
Equipment (e.g., purchase of cars, radios, computers, etc., with a life expectancy of 5 years or more.)	\$	\$

If data are not available, provide estimates and mark with an asterisk\*.

\_

- ---

## <u>PART 4</u>: Outsourcing

- 8. Are any police or security services outsourced (i.e. contracted out) to a private security firm(s) for FY 2005 (July 1, 2004 June 30, 2005)?
  - ☐ Yes (If YES, please go to questions 8a-8b.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 9.)
  - 8a. List the agency name(s) of external provider(s) for FY 2005 and the yearly amount of the contract:
  - 8b. Please explain the types of services outsourced to private security firm(s) for FY 2005? (Please explain.)
- 9. Were any police or security services outsourced (i.e. contracted out) to a private security firm(s) for **FY1999** (July 1, 1998 June 30, 1999)?
  - Section Section States and the section of the secti
  - □ No (If NO, please go to question 10.)
  - 9a. List the agency name(s) of external provider(s) for FY1999 and the yearly amount of the contract:
  - 9b. Please explain the types of services outsourced to private security firm(s) for FY 1999? (Please explain.)
- 10. Were any police or security services outsourced (i.e. contracted out) to other state or local law enforcement agencies for **FY 2004** (July 1, 2003 June 30, 2004)?
  - Section 2 Yes (If YES, please go to questions 10a-10b.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 11.)
  - 10a. List the state and local agency name(s) of the external provider(s) for FY 2004:

- 10b. Please explain the types of services outsourced to other state and local law enforcement agencies for FY 2004? (Please explain.)
- 11. Were any police or security services outsourced (i.e. contracted out) to other state or local law enforcement agencies for FY 1999 (July 1, 1998 June 30, 1999)?
  - □ Yes (If YES, please go to questions 11a-11b.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 12.)
  - 11a. List the state and local agency name(s) of the external provider(s) for FY 1999:
  - 11b. Please explain the types of services outsourced to other state and local law enforcement agencies for FY 1999? (Please explain.)
- 12. Were any special events personnel (not full-time or regular part-time officers) hired in **FY2004**? (Please check one.)
  - □ Yes □ No

NOTE: Please attach a list of each special events officer hired for <u>FY 2004</u>, the amount paid to each officer and the agency from which the persons were hired.

### PART 5: Overtime

13. Enter total overtime hours worked, total overtime monetary payment and total compensatory hours earned by full-time sworn personnel who worked overtime during **FY2004**. (If data are not available, provide estimates and mark with an asterisk\*.)

a. Total overtime hours worked:	hours
b. Total overtime monetary payment:	\$
c. Total overtime compensatory hours earned:	hours

ι

14. Enter total overtime hours worked, total overtime monetary payment and total compensatory hours earned by full-time sworn personnel who worked overtime during FY1999. (If data are not available, provide estimates and mark with an asterisk\*.)

a. Total overtime hours worked:	hours
b. Total overtime monetary payment:	\$
c. Total overtime compensatory hours earned:	hours

Name of Individual Completing this Section of the Survey (Please Print)

Signature

Date

E-mail contact

\_\_\_\_

-----

## **SECTION 3**

## **Personnel/Training**

**REMINDERS:** 

Please sign and date the signature page at the end of this section.

Please fill out this survey for <u>MAIN campus</u> operations where officers are assigned on a daily basis. Section 6 will address any satellite operations applicable to your agency.

Please return ALL survey sections together by <u>January 10, 2004</u>. If you have any questions, contact Stephen Bowman, Staff Attorney, at (804) 225-4534 or via e-mail, (Sbowman@leg.state.va.us). We thank you for your assistance in this important study effort.

## SECTION 3: PERSONNEL/TRAINING

This section of the survey is designed to determine differences in overall staffing levels from September 1999 to September 2004. Questions for 1999 will be briefer than those for the current year.

### FUNDED PERSONNEL ONLY- September 1, 1999

1. What was the total number of full-time and part-time employees, funded by <u>all</u> sources working in your department as of **September 1, 1999**?

Employees

1a. Of the number of employees working in the department on **September 1, 1999**, how many were working in the following capacities? DO NOT DOUBLE-COUNT. List employee in area of primary responsibility. (Part-time includes those persons working less than 40 hours per week or those working hourly without benefits).

	Sworn		Non-Sworn	
	Full-Time	Part-Time	Full-Time	Part-Time
Administration (Chief of Police, assistants, and all others working in an administrative capacity, including finance personnel and internal affairs.)		<u> </u>		
Field Operations (Police officers, detectives, inspectors, supervisors, and all others providing direct service, including traffic, patrol, investigations and special operations.)				
<u>Technical Support</u> (Dispatchers, records clerks, data processors, and all others providing support services.)				
Security Officers				
Student Employees (interns, work study, etc.)				
<u>Other</u> (Parking monitors, etc.)				
(Please specify.)			······································	·
(Please specify.)				
(Please specify.)				

## FUNDED PERSONNEL ONLY- September 1, 2004

-----

- ----

2. What was the total number of full-time and part-time employees, funded by <u>all</u> sources working in your department as of **September 1, 2004**?

		Employees				
2a. Of the number of employees working in the department on September 1, 2004, how many were working in the following capacities? <u>DO NOT DOUBLE-COUNT</u> – List employee in area of primary responsibility. (Part-time includes those persons working less than 40 hours per week or those working hourly without benefits).						
	<u>Swc</u>		Non-Sworn			
	Full-Time	Part-Time	Full-Time	Part-Time		
<u>Administration</u> (Chief of Police, assistants, and all others working in an administrative capacity, including finance personnel and internal affairs.)						
Field Operations (Police officers, detectives, inspectors, supervisors, and all others providing direct service, including traffic, patrol, investigations and special operations.)						
<u>Technical Support</u> (Dispatchers, records clerks, data processors, and others providing support services.)						
Security Officers						
Student Employees (interns, work study, etc.)		<del></del>				
<u>Other</u> (Parking monitors, etc.)						
(Please specify.)						
(Please specify.)						
(Please specify.)						

## UNPAID PERSONNEL ONLY- September 1, 2004

\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_

3. What was the total number of UNPAID full-time and part-time personnel (i.e., auxiliary and other trained personnel), working in your department as of **September 1, 2004**?

\_\_\_\_\_ Volunteers/Unpaid Personnel

3a. Of the number of employees/volunteers working in the department on **September 1, 2004**, how many were working in the following capacities? <u>DO NOT DOUBLE-COUNT</u>. List employee/volunteer in area of primary responsibility.

	<u>Swo</u>	Sworn		Non-Sworn	
	Full-Time	Part-Time	Full-Time	Part-Time	
Administration		·····			
Field Operations	<u></u>	·			
Technical Support					
Security Officers				<b>-</b>	
Student Workers/Volunteers					
Interns					
Other					
(Please specify.)					
(Please specify.)					
(Please specify.)					
#### <u>PART 1</u>: Current Staff and Salaries

This section of the survey is designed to seek information on each full-time employee working in your department as of **September 1, 2004**, including those funded by sources other than the police department budget. A description of the information required in each column follows. Please complete the information for <u>each</u> employee.

#### SCHEDULE A - Full-time Staff Information Sheets

- Column 1- The last name of the employee
- Column 2- The official job title of the employee as of September 1, 2004
- Column 3- The education level of the employee as of September 1, 2004
- Column 4- The salary of the employee as of September 1, 2004 reported in whole dollars
- Column 5- The source of funds from which the employee's salary is paid.

If the salary is paid by multiple sources, please note each of the sources. Examples of fund sources include:

- police department funds
- athletic department funds
- housing/residence life funds
- parking services funds
- state grant funds (specify the agency funding the grant)
- federal grant funds (specify the agency funding the grant)
- Column 6- The month, day and year the employee was hired by the police department
- Column 7- The employee's starting salary
- Column 8- Whether the employee is a sworn or non-sworn employee
- Columns 9-23 These columns list a variety of possible employee benefits. For each employee, check the box for those benefits that the employee was receiving as of September 1, 2004.

Eligible benefits include:

- tuition assistance (partial financial assistance to employee/family for approved classes)
- tuition reimbursement (reimbursement for approved classes upon successful completion of classes)
- uniform cleaning
- take home patrol cars
- education incentive pay (higher salaries for more advanced degrees)
- hazardous duty pay
- special skills proficiency pay
- merit/performance pay
- shift pay differential
- health insurance
- dental insurance
- eye insurance
- annual leave (vacation leave)
- sick leave
- disability leave
- retirement (i.e., VRS benefits)



Full-time Staff

\*Tuition Assistance includes both tuition waivers and/or reimbursement programs for academic classes

~

#### SCHEDULE B - Part-time Staff Information Sheets

This section of the survey is designed to seek information on each part-time employee working in your department as of <u>September 1, 2004</u>, including those funded by sources other than the police department budget. A description of the information required in each column follows. Please complete the information for <u>each</u> employee.

- Column 1- The last name of the employee
- Column 2- The official job title of the employee as of September 1, 2004
- Column 3- The education level of the employee as of September 1, 2004
- Column 4- The hourly rate for the employee as of September 1, 2004
- Column 5- The average number of hours per week that the employee was hired to work
- Column 6- The source of funds from which the employee's salary is paid

If the salary is paid by multiple sources, please note each of the sources. Examples of fund sources include:

- police department funds
- athletic department funds
- housing/residence life funds
- parking services funds
- state grant funds (specify the agency funding the grant)
- federal grant funds (specify the agency funding the grant)
- Column 7- The month, day and year the employee was hired by the police department
- Column 8- The employee's starting hourly rate
- Column 9- Whether the employee is a sworn or non-sworn employee
- Columns 10-23 These columns list a variety of possible employee benefits. (For each employee, check the box for those benefits that the employee was receiving as of September 1, 2004.)

Eligible benefits include:

- tuition assistance (partial financial assistance to employee/family for approved classes)
- tuition reimbursement (reimbursement for approved classes upon successful completion of classes)
- uniform cleaning
- take home patrol cars
- education incentive pay (higher salaries for more advanced degrees)
- hazardous duty pay
- special skills proficiency pay
- merit/performance pay
- shift pay differential
- health insurance
- dental insurance
- eve insurance
- annual leave (vacation leave)
- sick leave
- disability leave
- retirement (i.e., VRS benefits)



Part-time Staff

#### <u>PART 2</u>: Staff Separations

This section of the survey is designed to seek information on each employee that has separated from your agency from <u>July 1, 1999 to September 1, 2004</u>, including those funded by sources other than the police department budget. A description of the information required in each column follows. Please complete the information for <u>each</u> employee.

- Column 1- Last name of the separated employee
- Column 2- The official job title of the employee whose employment was separated between July 1, 1999 to September 1, 2004
- Column 3- The date the employee was hired by the police department (month/day/year)
- Column 4- The date the employee separated from the department (month/day/year)
- Column 5- The separated employee's status with the department (full-time or part-time)
- Column 6- The reason for the employee's separation from the department, if known; examples of reasons include:
  - retirement
  - family relocation
  - better salary with another department
  - better/additional benefits offered by another department
- Column 7- The separated employee's next place of employment, if known
- Column 8- The separated employee's salary at the date of separation

# Staff Separations

Salary at Next Employer (If Known) Separation													
Next		 				 							
Reason for Separation													
Status of Employment (Full or Part- time)													
Date of Separation		 -	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	/ /	1 1	1 1	1 1	 1 1	1	' '
Title Date of Hire	/ /					)-24							

#### PART 3: Staff Recruitment and Training

4. Where is the primary source of academy training for your agency's new officer recruits?
Name of Academy:
Location (city, state):
5. What is the length of the basic training program? (Please provide the length in hours.)
<ul> <li>6. Do the officers undergo a formal Field Training Officers (FTO) program? (Please check one.)</li> <li>Yes (If YES, please go to question 6a.)</li> <li>No (If NO, please go to question 7)</li> </ul>
6a. What is the length of the FTO training? (Please provide the length in weeks.)
weeks
<ul> <li>7. Does your agency require a probationary period for new officer recruits before permanent status is granted? (Please check one.)</li> <li>Please (If YES, please go to question 7a.)</li> <li>No (If NO, please go to question 8.)</li> </ul>
7a. If yes, how long is the probationary period? (Please provide the length in months.)
<ul> <li>8. Is there any other in-service and /or specialized law enforcement training that your agency requires for its officers, <u>beyond</u> DCJS requirements (AED, bike patrol, etc.)? (Please check one.)</li> <li>Yes (If YES, please go to question 8a.)</li> <li>No (If NO, please go to question 9.)</li> </ul>
8a. If yes, please describe the additional required training, beyond DCJS, that your agency's officers are mandated to receive as a condition of employment. (Please explain.)

9. Does your agency provide any "in-house" training for its officers? (Please check one.)

TYes (If YES, please go to question 9a.)

□ No (If NO, please go to question 10.)

\_\_\_ .....

9a. What are the total number of "in-house" training hours that your agency provided to its officers in FY2004?

hours

\* PLEASE ATTACH A LIST OF ADDITIONAL, SPECIALIZED AND "IN-HOUSE" LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING THAT WERE PROVIDED FOR YOUR OFFICERS IN FY2004. (Again, this number should only include training that is beyond DCJS requirements)\*

10. Does your agency provide state-certified instructors to the academies? (Please check one.)

	Yes
п	No

- 11. Does your department have an educational requirement for hiring new sworn police officer recruits? (Please check one.)
  - Section 11a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 12.)
  - 11a. What is your agency's formal educational requirement for new officer recruits? (Please check one.)
    - □ Four-year college degree Two-year college degree
- Some college, but no degree High school diploma or GED
- 12. Does your department have an educational preference for hiring new sworn police officer recruits? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 12a.)
  - □ No (If NO, please go to question 13.)
  - 12a. What is your agency's formal educational preference for new officer recruits? (Please check one.)
    - Four-year college degree
- □ Some college, but no degree
- Two-year college degree
- High school diploma or GED
- 13. Does your department have an educational requirement for hiring new security officer recruits? (Please check one.)
  - Section 13a.)
  - I No (If NO, please go to question 14.)

□ Not Applicable; do not have security officers (If N/A, please go to question 15.)

- 13a. What is your agency's formal educational requirement for new security officer recruits? (Please check one.)
  - Giff Four-year college degree
- □ Some college, but no degree
- Two-year college degree

- High school diploma or GED
- 14. Does your department have an educational preference for hiring new security officer recruits? (Please check one.)
  - Section 14a.)
  - □ No (If NO, please go to question 15.)

- 14a. What is your agency's formal educational preference for new <u>security officer</u> recruits? (Please check one.)
   □ Four-year college degree
   □ Some college, but no degree
  - ☐ Four-year college degree ☐ Two-year college degree
- ☐ High school diploma or GED
- 15. Which of the following employment screening methods are employed in the selection process for both sworn police officers and non-sworn security officers? (Please check all that apply.)

16. For sworn officers: Is there a minimum contractual obligation for time of service once hired?

Yes (If YES, please go to question 16a.)

□ No (If NO, please go to question 17.)

16a. What is the length of the contractual obligation? \_\_\_\_\_year(s)

17. For all other personnel (sworn and non-sworn): Is there a minimum contractual obligation for time of service once hired?

- Yes (If YES, please go to question 17a.)
- □ No (If NO, please go to question 18.)

17a. What is the length of the contractual obligation? \_\_\_\_\_\_year(s)

# PLEASE ATTACH A COPY OF THE CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATION FOR TIME OF SERVICE THAT YOUR AGENCY USES.

18. Does your agency have a written policy that defines the performance evaluation system for its employees? (Please check one.)

**No** (If NO, please go to the next section of the survey.)

- 18a. Which of the following employees are subject to performance evaluation? (Please check all that apply.)
  - Sworn police officers

□ Non-sworn security officers

□ Other sworn personnel

\_\_\_\_\_

Other non-sworn personnel

□ No employees are evaluated

18b. How often are your agency's employees evaluated? (Please check the appropriate box.)

Sworn police officers	Annually	Semi-Annually	Other (Please specify.)
Non-sworn security officers			□
Other sworn personnel		D	□
Other non-sworn personnel			□

Name of Individual Completing this Section of the Survey (Please Print)

Signature

Date

E-mail contact

# **SECTION 4**

# **Operations**

**REMINDERS:** 

Please sign and date the signature page at the end of this section.

Please fill out this survey for <u>MAIN campus</u> operations where officers are assigned on a daily basis. Section 6 will address any satellite operations applicable to your agency.

Please return ALL survey sections together by <u>January 10, 2004</u>. If you have any questions, contact Stephen Bowman, Staff Attorney, at (804) 225-4534 or via e-mail, (Sbowman@leg.state.va.us). We thank you for your assistance in this important study effort.

#### **SECTION 4: OPERATIONS**

1. How many shifts do your sworn officers staff? (Please check one and list hours of each shift.)

2 shifts-			
	1 <sup>st</sup> shift hours	2 <sup>nd</sup> shift hours	
3 shifts-			
	1 <sup>st</sup> shift hours	2 <sup>nd</sup> shift hours	3 <sup>rd</sup> shift hours

2. Enter the number of sworn patrol officers for each shift for the most recent week with typical campus activity (exclude weeks with special events, breaks, etc.)

	1 <sup>st</sup> shift	2 <sup>nd</sup> shift	3 <sup>rd</sup> shift
Monday			
Tuesday			
Wednesday			
Thursday		<del></del>	
Friday			
Saturday			
Sunday			

\* Please enter N/A if a particular shift does not apply to your agency's operations.

3. Enter the functions that your agency performs on a regular basis and/or has primary responsibility for performing as needed. (Please check all that apply.)

#### a. Security Functions

Central alarm monitoring Access control Key control □ Identification cards Building lockup/unlock Securing residence halls Securing academic buildings Securing property/evidence items Stadium/Arena/Coliseum event security Hospital/Medical Center security Security for research laboratories Other special event /locality security (Please describe.)

#### b. Routine Functions

- Patrol
- Criminal investigation
- Traffic accident investigation
- U Workers comp/personal injury reports
- Receiving calls for service
- Campus switchboard operation
- □ Fingerprint processing
- Traffic direction/control
- Parking enforcement
- Personal safety escorts
- Dispatching calls for service
- Fire inspection
- ☐ Fire prevention education
- Emergency fire services
- Risk management
- Other routine functions (Please describe.)

١

c. Special Enforcements or Operations

 $\Box$  Search and Rescue

Joint Task Force participation (Please specify task forces.)

Animal control

Emergency medical services (EMS)

□ Tactical response (SWAT)

🛛 Other	(Please	describe.)	
---------	---------	------------	--

#### <u>PART 1:</u> Residence Hall and Building Security

4. Are your residence halls secured? (Please check one.)

Yes, all are secured (If YES, please go to questions 4a-4f.)

Yes, some are secured (If YES, please go to questions 4a-4f.)

□ No, none are secured (If NO, please go to questions 5.)

4a. How many residence halls are electronically secured (i.e., card key access)? (Please provide the number.)

4b. How many residence halls are secured with traditional lock and key? (Please provide the number.)

4c. How many residence halls are secured with <u>both</u> lock/key and electronic access? (Please provide the number.)

\_\_\_\_ Halls

- 4d. How many residence halls are secured at all times (24 hours)? (Please provide the number.)
- 4e. How many residence halls have a guard present at all times (24 hours)? (Please provide the number.) \_\_\_\_\_\_ Halls

4f. How many residence halls have a guard present only during certain times (i.e., evenings, etc.)? (Please provide the number.) Halls

5. Who is responsible for securing the residence halls? (Please check all that apply)

Residence life personnel

□ Security officers

□ Police officers

Other (Please specify.)

6.	Do any	y residence	halls ha	ave security	alarm	services?	(Please	check one.)
----	--------	-------------	----------	--------------	-------	-----------	---------	-------------

Halls

**No** (If NO, please go to question 7.)

6a. How many residence halls have security alarm services? (Please provide the number.)

6b. Who is responsible for monitoring the residence hall security alarm services?	(Please provide
the name of the department, agency or company.)	

7. Do any other campus buildings have security alarm systems? (Please check one.)

Yes (If YES, please go to questions 7a-7b.)

□ No (If NO, please go to question 8.)

7a. How many other campus buildings have security alarm systems? (Please provide the number.)

Buildings

- 7b. Who is responsible for monitoring the security alarm systems of other campus buildings? (Please provide the name of the department, agency or company.)
- 8. Does your agency conduct routine assessments/inspections of campus building maintenance and vegetation deficiencies that could pose security risks (i.e. campus lighting, overgrown vegetation, broken locks/doors, etc.)? (Please check one.)

Yes (If YES, please go to questions 8a and 8b.) No (If NO, please go to question 8c.)

8a. If your department is responsible for identification of security deficiencies in any of the following areas, please indicate how often the department conducts the assessments/inspections. (Please check all that apply.)

	Daily	Monthly	<u>Semester</u>	Annually	Upon Report	Other (Please explain)
Campus lighting						□
Overgrown vegetation						□
Access systems						□
Door alarms						□

- 8b. Are there any other areas where your department conducts assessments and inspections to identify security deficiencies on campus? (Please explain and go to question 9.)
- 8c. If your department is not responsible for conducting routine assessments/inspections of campus building maintenance and vegetation deficiencies that could pose security risks, who is responsible for the assessments/inspections? (*Please explain.*)
- 9. Does your agency have a system for *reporting* security deficiencies (i.e., lights, locks, vegetation)? (Please check one.)

Yes (If YES, please go to question 9a.)

□ No (If NO, please go to question 10.)

9a. Briefly explain this reporting system:

- 10. Is there a campus escort service? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to questions 10a-10b.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 11.)

10a. Which of the following entities conducts this service? (Please check all that apply.)

- Police
- Security

-----

\_ \_

☐ Students

Other (Please explain.)

10b. Briefly describe how the escort service is conducted including the hours of operation, mode of transport, if any, and how calls for services are identified. (*Please explain.*)

- 11. Is there a campus transit/bus system? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 11a.)
  - □ No (If NO, please go to question 12.)

11a. What are the hours of operation? (Please provide the opening and closing hours for each.)

Weekdays	<b></b>
Weekends	
Other (please explain.)	·

#### <u>PART 2:</u> Relationship with other Law Enforcement Agencies

12. Did your department conduct any joint investigations with other law enforcement agencies from July 1, 2002 to June 30, 2004? (Please check one.)

□ Yes (If YES, please go to question 12a.)

No (If NO, please go to question 13.)

12a. If YES, please list the agencies your department worked with jointly from July 1,2002 to June 30, 2004.

a.		b.	
	Name of Agency	-	Name of Agency
c.		d.	
	Name of Agency	-	Name of Agency

#### Mutual Aid Agreements

13. Does your agency currently have a mutual aid agreement(s) with another jurisdiction(s)? (Please check one.) Yes (If YES, please go to questions 13a-13d.)

□ No (If NO, please go to question 14.)

13a. Please list the name(s) of the jurisdiction(s) that your department has a mutual aid agreement with and whether the agreement is verbal or in writing. (Please check one and provide the name of the jurisdiction.)

Name of jurisdiction:	Verbal agreement Written agreement
Name of jurisdiction:	Verbal agreement Written agreement
Name of jurisdiction:	□ Verbal agreement □ Written agreement

÷

#### NOTE: PLEASE ATTACH A COPY OF EACH MUTUAL AID AGREEMENT

13b. Has this agreement(s) been approved by the Board of Visitors? (Please check one.)

- 13c. Has this agreement(s) been reviewed by legal counsel from the college/university? (Please check one.)
  - 🛛 Yes
  - 🗋 No
- 13d. If your mutual aid agreement(s) are verbal, please describe the law enforcement activities covered by the mutual aid agreement. (Please provide a listing of the areas for mutual support and aid.)
- 14. Is your agency currently working towards a mutual aid agreement(s) with another jurisdiction(s)? (Please check one.)

□ Yes (If YES, please go to question 14a.)

- **No** (If NO, please go to question 15.)
- 14a. Please list the name(s) of the jurisdiction(s) with which your department is working towards a mutual aid agreement(s)? (Please provide the name of the jurisdiction.)

Name of Jurisdiction

Name of Jurisdiction

#### Concurrent Jurisdiction

----

- 15. Does your agency currently have concurrent jurisdiction, where boundaries were expanded by court order, with any of its surrounding localities? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to questions 15a-15b.)
  - □ No (If NO, please go to question 16.)

15a. Please list the name(s) of the jurisdiction/department(s) with which your agency has concurrent jurisdiction and when the agreement was approved. *Include only those by COURT ORDER*.

Name of jurisdiction/department	.:Date	·
---------------------------------	--------	---

Name of jurisdiction/department: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

11

Name of jurisdiction/department:	Date:	
----------------------------------	-------	--

#### NOTE: PLEASE ATTACH A COPY OF EACH CONCURRENT JURISDICTION AGREEMENT

15b. Has this agreement(s) been approved by the local Commonwealth's Attorney? (Please check one.)

- 🗆 No
- 16. Is your agency currently working towards any concurrent jurisdiction agreements? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 16a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 17.)
  - 16a. Please list the name(s) of the jurisdiction(s) with which your department is working towards a concurrent jurisdiction agreement(s)? (Please provide the name of the jurisdiction.)

Name of Jurisdiction

Name of Jurisdiction

17. Has your agency attempted to obtain concurrent jurisdiction in the past but was denied? (Please check one.)

□ No (If NO, please go to question 18.)

17a. What was the date of the denial and the other jurisdiction/department involved? (Please provide month/year and locality name.)

Month/Year

Jurisdiction/department

Ϋ,

17b. Please explain why the concurrent jurisdiction agreement was denied and by whom. (Please explain.)

#### <u>PART 3</u>: Accreditation

- 18. Is your agency accredited by the Virginia Law Enforcement Professional Standards Commission (VLEPSC)? (Please check one.)
  - □ Yes (If YES, please go to question 18a.)

□ No (If NO, please go to question 18b.)

18a. When did your agency become state accredited? (Please provide the month and year.)

Month/Year

18b. If NO, which of the following best describes your agency's current accreditation status with the

- VLEPSC? (Please check one.)
  - Commission review phase
  - On-site assessment phase
  - Self-assessment phase
  - □ Application phase
  - $\Box$  None of the above
- 19. Is your agency accredited by the Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies (CALEA)? (Please check one.)
  - Section 19a.)
  - □ No (If NO, please go to question 19b.)

19a. When did your agency become nationally accredited? (Please provide the month and year.)

Month/Year

- 19b. If NO, which of the following best describes your agency's <u>current</u> accreditation status with the CALEA? (Please check one.)
  - Commission review phase
  - □ On-site assessment phase
  - □ Self-assessment phase
  - Application phase
  - None of the above

#### <u>PART 4</u>: Policies/Programs

20. Does your agency have a written policy/procedures manual? (Please check one.)

Yes (If YES, please go to questions 20a- 20c.)

**No** (If NO, please go to question 21.)

#### PLEASE ATTACH A COPY OF ALL POLICY/PROCEDURE MANUALS.

20a. Which of the following areas does your agency's manual address? (Please check all that apply.)

- Investigation operations
- Patrol operations
   Communications
- Equipment
   Code of conduct
- Training
- ☐ Jurisdiction
- Use of force Document routing
- Constitutional safeguards
- Administrative operation

20b.	Does your agency have	written policy	directives	for the	following	activities/cire	cumstances?
	(Please check all that apply.)				-		
					and the second se		

Use of deadly force/firearm discharge	Community policing plan
Emergency preparedness	Media relations
Code of conduct and appearance	Employee misconduct
Handling domestic disturbances	Writing/submitting reports
🛛 Handling juveniles	Parking enforcement
Handling the mentally ill	Handling the homeless
Citizen complaints	Pursuit driving
Off-duty employment of officers	Employee counseling assistance
"Knock and announce" policy	Natural disaster
🗖 Unnatural disaster	Terrorist attack
Mass arrests	Civil disturbance
Hostage/barricade situation	Bomb threat

20c. Which of the following reviewed your agency's policy and procedures manual? (Please check all that apply.) University/College legal counsel

University/College administrators

Commonwealth's Attorney

Other (Please specify.)

☐ Manual not reviewed outside of department

21. Which of the following best describes your agency's written policy for pursuit driving? (Please check one.)

Discouragement (i.e., discourages all pursuits.)

**Judgmental** (i.e., leaves pursuit decisions to officer's discretion)

Restrictive (i.e., restricts decisions of officers to specific criteria such as type of offense, speed, other)

Other (Please specify.)

□ No written pursuit driving policy

22. Does your agency have a written protocol for dealing with victims of sexual assault? (Please check one.) □ Yes 

- 23. Does your agency operate special programs for the following? (Please check all that apply.)
  - □ Victim assistance
  - Crime prevention education
  - Bias-related (hate) crimes
  - Student security patrol
  - Date rape prevention
  - □ Stranger rape prevention
  - Community policing

- Self-defense training
- □ Alcohol education
- Drug education
- Cyber crime
- Student security patrol
- New student/faculty/staff orientation
- Other unique programs (Please specify.)
- 24. Does your agency participate in the planning of future buildings and structures on campus? (Please check one.)
  - 🛛 Yes
  - 🛛 No
- 25. Does your agency participate in evaluations of building renovations? (Please check one.)
  - □ Yes
  - 🗆 No
- 26. Who is in charge of administrative (non-criminal) investigations of citizen complaints pertaining to police use of excessive force? (Please check all that apply.)
  - Chief of Police
  - Other sworn agency personnel
  - Other non-sworn agency personnel
  - College/University attorney
  - State/District Attorney or Prosecutor
  - Civilian complaint review board
  - Other (Please specify.)
- 27. Does your College/University have a formal campus security advisory committee? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to questions 27a-27c.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 28.)

27a. What is the title of the committee? (Please provide the name.)

27b. Which of the following entities sits on the advisory committee? (Please check all that apply.)

- □ Students □ Faculty
- Student health personnel
- Residence life personnel
- Campus security personnel
- Senior administrators
- Other (Please specify.)

27c. Please provide the name and title of the person who is the Chair of the committee. (Please list.)

Name Title	

28. Did your agency conduct or sponsor any surveys to assist your department in developing operational plans during the 2003-2004 academic year? (Please check one.)

Section 28-28c.)

**No** (If NO, please go to question 29.)

# NOTE: PLEASE ATTACH A COPY OF THE MOST RECENT SURVEYS CONDUCTED BY YOUR AGENCY.

28a. Which of the following topics were included on the survey(s)? (Please check all that apply.)

□ Satisfaction with police services

Perception of crime problems

Personal crime experiences

Other (please specify.)

28b. Which of the following groups were solicited for their opinions on the surveys? (Please check all that apply.)

□ Faculty

Staff

□ Victims of campus crime

Other (Please specify.)

28c. Did your agency use the survey information for any of the following purposes? (Please check all that apply.)

Allocating resources

Evaluating program effectiveness

- Evaluating agency performance
- Evaluating officer performance
- □ Officer training development
- □ Inclusion in annual report
- Other (Please specify.)

- 29. As part of the 10-year accreditation process, does your University/College survey students regarding campus safety? (Please check one.)
  - 🛛 Yes
  - 🗆 No

#### NOTE: PLEASE ATTACH A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS.

- 30. Besides surveys, were any other mechanisms used to solicit the opinions of students, faculty and/or staff during the 2003-2004 academic year? (*Please check one.*)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 30a.)
  - □ No (If NO, please go to question 31.)

30a. If YES	, briefly describe	the other m	iechanisms u	ised to solicit	opinions.	(Please explain.)
-------------	--------------------	-------------	--------------	-----------------	-----------	-------------------

- 31. In accordance with the Campus Security Act, which of the following mechanism(s) for issuing timely notices of threats does your agency utilize? (Please check all that apply.)
  - E-mail/Web postings
  - □ Flyers/postings
  - 🗋 Mailings
  - □ Telephone messages/announcements
  - Campus paper
  - Other (Please specify.)
- 32. Who issues press releases regarding security issues? (Please check all that apply.)
  - Police department
  - Administration (i.e., Dean of Students)
  - □ P.R. office/ information services

#### Other (Please specify.)

# 33. Which of the following preparedness activities was your agency involved with during the 2003-2004 academic year? (Please check all that apply.)

Gifficer training/awareness in Homeland Security, WMD, etc.

Dissemination of information to increase citizen preparedness

Campus meetings on homeland security

Germal intelligence-sharing agreements (e.g., MOUs) with other law enforcement agencies

Involvement in Joint Terrorism Task Force (JTTF)

D Meetings with administrative-level campus staff regarding emergency preparation plans

Emergency preparedness exercises (i.e., HAZMAT drills, etc.)

Partnership with culturally diverse campus groups

Other (Please specify.) \_

34. Please select the category that best describes the frequency of meetings between your agency and the following groups regarding crime-related problems during the 2003-2004 academic year. (*Please select only one category per row.*)

(Please select only one category per row.)			0	0	
	Weekly	Monthly	Once per <u>semester</u>	<u>Once per</u> <u>year</u>	<u>Never</u>
Business groups					
Commonwealth's Attorney Office					
Community Advisory Board					
Domestic violence groups					
Faculty/staff organizations					
Fraternity/sorority groups					
International/ethnic groups					
Local public groups					
Law enforcement agencies					
Neighborhood associations					
Residence life officials					
Student judicial officers					
Student organizations					
Athletic department/Athletes					
Victim/counseling services					
Other:					

- 35. Has your University/College received any Homeland Security preparedness grants? (Please check one.)
  - □ Yes (If YES, please go to question 35a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to next questionnaire section.)
  - □ Not applicable (If N/A, please go to next questionnaire section.)
  - 35a. If yes, was the money allocated to your agency? (Please check one.)
    - Section.) Yes (If YES, please go to next questionnaire section.)
    - □ No (If NO, please go to question 35b.)
  - 35b. If no, which department was the money allocated to?

Name of Individual Completing this Section of the Survey (Please Print)

Signature

Date

E-mail contact

## **SECTION 5**

# Equipment

**REMINDERS:** 

Please sign and date the signature page at the end of this section.

Please fill out this survey for <u>MAIN campus</u> operations where officers are assigned on a daily basis. Section 6 will address any satellite operations applicable to your agency.

Please return ALL survey sections together by <u>January 10, 2004</u>. If you have any questions, contact Stephen Bowman, Staff Attorney, at (804) 225-4534 or via e-mail, (Sbowman@leg.state.va.us). We thank you for your assistance in this important study effort.

#### **SECTION 5: EQUIPMENT PROFILE**

This section of the survey is designed to determine the types of equipment used by sworn and nonsworn officers in your department.

#### PART 1: Weapons/Protective Gear

- 1. Are your agency's patrol officers armed while on duty? (Please check one.)
  - **Yes** (If YES, please go to question 1a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 2.)
  - 1a. Are there occasions when a patrol officer is not armed when on-duty? (Please check one.)

Yes (Please explain.)
No

2. Does your agency purchase and issue any firearms to its personnel for patrol duty? (Please check one.)

	Yes
--	-----

s (If YES, please go to question 2a.) **No** (If NO, please go to question 3.)

2a. Please list the firearms your agency issues to personnel for patrol duty. (Please list.)

3. Which of the following types of firearms does your agency authorize for its sworn officers working in field/patrol assignments? (Please check one.)

	<u>Primary</u> Weapon	<u>Backup</u> Weapon	Primary and Backup Weapon	<u>Not</u> Authorized
Semi-automatic sidearm				
Revolver			D	
Rifle				
Shotgun				

4. Which of the following types of "less than lethal" weapons does your agency authorize for its sworn officers AND non-sworn security officers? (Please check one.)

	Sworn Only	<u>Non-Sworn</u> <u>Only</u>	Both Sworn and Non-Sworn	<u>Not</u> Authorized
Baton				
Pepper Spray (Oleoresin capsium)				
Tear Gas- Personal Use			۵	
Tear Gas- Large Volume				
Chemical/Pepper fog spray				
Soft projectile/Rubber bullet				
Electrical Stun Gun/Taser				
Other (Please specify.)				
<u></u>				

5. Were any animals maintained by your agency for use in activities related to law enforcement between September 1, 1999 and September 1, 2004? (Please check one.)

Yes (Please specify.)	
No	

- 6. Does your agency require that any officer wear protective body armor while on duty? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to questions 6a-6d.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 6e.)
  - 6a. How does your agency provide protective body armor? (Please check one.)
    - □ Supplies armor
    - Cash Allowance
    - Does not provide armor assistance

6b. Are <u>all</u> officers required to wear protective body armor while on duty? (Please check one.)

- 🛛 Yes
- 🛛 No
- 6c. Do officers personally choose when and when not to wear protective body armor? (Please check one.)
  - 🛛 No
- 6d. Is a disclaimer required when an officer personally chooses to not wear protective body armor? (Please check one.)
  - Yes
    No

6e. Why does your agency not provide body armor to its officers?

- Cost
- Policy
- Other (Please specify.)

#### PART 2: Patrol Vehicles

- 7. Is your fleet adequate to support field demands?
  - Section 8.)
  - □ No (If NO, please go to question 7a.)

7a. Please explain why your fleet is not able to support field demands:

# PLEASE ATTACH YOUR FLEET LIST INCLUDING ALL VEHICLES (CAR, VAN, MOTORCYCLE, SCOOTER, BICYCLE, ETC) INCLUDE THE TYPE OF VEHICLE, YEAR, MILEAGE, MAKE, MARKED OR UNMARKED.

#### <u>PART 3:</u> Communications

- 8. Does a locality respond to E-911 calls from your campus?
  - ☐ Yes (If YES, please go to question 8a.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 9.)

8a. Which locality responds to E-911 calls from your campus?

9. How does the local police department notify your department of an E-911 emergency? (Please explain.)

10. Does your agency have emergency phones (e.g., blue lights) on campus? (Please check one.)

- ☐ Yes (If YES, please go to questions 10a-10b.)
- **No** (If NO, please go to question 11.)

10a. How many emergency phones are on your main campus? (Please provide the number.)

\_\_\_\_\_ Emergency Phones

10b. How often are the emergency phones checked for proper operation? (Please check one.)

- Weekly
- Monthly
- □ Each Semester
- Annually
- U When notified of a problem
- Other (Please specify.)
- 11. Does your agency have a direct on-campus phone number for emergencies/assistance? (Please check one.)
  - 🛛 Yes
  - 🗆 No

12. What radio frequency does your agency currently utilize?

- 13. Does your agency have plans to upgrade/change its current frequency? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to questions 13a-13b.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 14.)

13a.	What is the frequency your agency intends to move to?	

13b. When do you expect to move to that frequency? \_\_\_\_\_

- 14. Does your agency have a 24-hour two-way radio capability providing continuous communication between officers and the communications center? (Please check one.)
  - C Yes
  - 🛛 No
- 15. Does your agency have two-way radio capability for officers to talk to concurrent police jurisdictions while in the field? (Please check one.)
  - 🛛 Yes
  - 🗆 No
- 16. Does your agency have a written plan that addresses communication accessibility during an unusual occurrence? (Please check one.)
  - Yes
    No
- 17. Are your agency's communication area and radio system equipped with a back-up power source? (Please check one.)
  - □ Yes (If YES, please go to question 17a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 18.)
  - 17a. Which of the following provides a back-up power source for your communications system? (Please check all that apply.)
    - Battery System
    - Back-up Generator
    - Other (Please specify.)

#### <u>PART 4:</u> Surveillance Technology

18. Does your agency use video cameras/monitoring? (Please check one.)

- Yes (If YES, please go to question 18a.)
- **No** (If NO, please go to question 19.)

18a. Please indicate the areas where your agency uses video cameras/monitoring:

	Video Surveillance	# of Cameras/ Monitors
Patrol Cars		
Residence Halls		
Academic Admin.Buildings		
Parking Lots/ Garages		
Mobile Surveillance		
Traffic Enforcement		
Other (Please specify.)		

19. Which of the following communications equipment does your department utilize? (Please check all that apply.)

	Communications Eguipment Employed	<u># of Pieces of Equipment</u>
Portable Radios		
Mobile Vehicle Radios		
Cellular Phones		
Base Station Radios		

#### PART 5: Computer Technology

\_

\_\_\_\_

20. Does your agency use computers? (Please check one.)

- Yes (If YES, please go to questions 20a-20c.)
- **No** (If NO, please go to question 21.)

20a. Which computer systems listed below were used by your agency as of September 1, 2004? (Please check all that apply and provide the number of units available in the department.)

	Computer Systems	<u># of specific Computer</u> Systems
Personal computer		
Portable Laptop computer		
Vehicle-mounted Laptop computer		
LAN system		
Portable Mobile Data Terminal		
Vehicle-mounted Mobile Data Terminal		
Portable Mobile Data Computer		
Vehicle-mounted Mobile Data Computer		
Hand-held digital terminal		
Other (Please specify.)		

20b. For which of the following purposes does your agency use computers? (Please check all that apply.)

- Dispatch (CAD)
- Fingerprint ID (AFIS)
- Crime investigations
- Crime mapping/analyses
- In-field communications
- In-field report writing
- Inter-agency information sharing
- □ Internet access
- Manpower allocation
- Fleet management
- D Budgeting
- Record-keeping
- Research/statistics
- Resource allocation
- Other (Please specify.)

20c. Which of the following records were maintained in an electronic format by your department as of September 1, 2004? (*Please check all that apply.*)

<u> </u>	•	-	<b>e</b> ( )
	Alarms		Stolen property
	Arrests		Summonses
	Calls for service		Traffic accidents
	Citizen complaints		Traffic citations
	Criminal histories		Traffic stops
	Department inventory		Payroll
	Drivers license registration		UCR- Incident- based
	Evidence		Use- of- force incidents
	Fingerprints		Vehicle registration
	Incident reports		Warrants
	Linked files (crime analysis)		Personnel

- 21. Does your agency have an official on-line site or home page on the Internet? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 21a.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 22.)
  - 21a. Which of the following is accomplished through use of your on-line site? (Please check all that apply.)
    - Receiving reports of crime
    - □ Receiving anonymous tips
    - Receiving general questions/inquiries
    - Receiving citizen feedback (complaints, commendations, etc.)
    - □ Providing crime prevention/safety tips
    - Providing important phone numbers (crisis hotlines, city services, etc.)
    - Providing campus crime statistics
    - D Providing campus' Annual Security Report/other Clery Act reporting requirements
    - Providing information about campus police/security services
    - Other (Please specify.)
- 22. Does your agency utilize a terminal with access to a remote AFIS site? (Please check one.)
  - 🛛 Yes
  - 🛛 No
- - □ Yes (If YES, please go to question 23a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 24.)

- 23a. Which of the following are available to officers via direct computer access in their vehicles? (Please check all that apply.)
  - □ Calls for service/Dispatch records
  - Criminal history records
  - Driving records
  - □ Motor vehicle records
  - Linked files for crime analysis

#### PART 6: Equipment Plans

24. Does your agency formulate long-range equipment plans (3-5 years)? (Please check one.)

Yes (If YES, please go to question 24a.)

**No** (If NO, please go to next questionnaire section; you are finished with this section.)

24A. How often are these long-range plans evaluated? (Please provide the time frame for evaluation.)

Name of Individual Completing this Section of the Survey (Please Print)

Signature

Date

E-mail contact

# **SECTION 6**

### Administrative Relations/Resources

**REMINDERS:** 

Please sign and date the signature page at the end of this section.

Please fill out this survey for <u>MAIN campus</u> operations where officers are assigned on a daily basis. Section 6 will address any satellite operations applicable to your agency.

Please return ALL survey sections together by <u>January 10, 2004</u>. If you have any questions, contact Stephen Bowman, Staff Attorney, at (804) 225-4534 or via e-mail, (Sbowman@leg.state.va.us). We thank you for your assistance in this important study effort.
#### SECTION 6: ADMINISTRATIVE RELATIONS AND RESOURCES

- 1. Is there a management study/workload analysis which identifies your agency's needs? (Please check one.)
  - 🛛 No
- 2. Is the number of sworn officers hired by your agency adequate for the campus? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 3.)
  - No (If NO, please go to questions 2a-2b.)

2a. If NO, how many additional sworn officers would be sufficient? (Please provide the number of officers.)

\_\_\_\_\_ Sworn Officers

2b. What functions would the additional sworn officers perform? (Please explain.)

3. Is the number of security officers hired by your agency adequate for the campus? (Please check one.)

- Yes (If YES, please go to question 4.)
- No (If NO, please go to questions 3a-3b.)
- Not Applicable/Do not have security officers (If N/A. please go to question 4.)
- 3a. If NO, how many additional security officers would be sufficient? (Please provide the number of officers.)

\_\_\_\_\_ Security Officers

3b. What functions would the additional security officers perform? (Please explain.)

- 4. Is the number of support staff (i.e., administrative) hired by your agency adequate? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 5.)
  - □ No (If NO, please go to questions 4a-4b.)

4a. If NO, how many additional support staff would be sufficient? (Please provide the number of staff in FTEs.)

\_\_\_\_\_ Support Staff (FTEs)

4b. What functions would the additional support staff perform? (Please explain.)

- 5. Are the facilities/parking for your agency sufficient? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 6.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 5a.)

5a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your facilities/parking area more sufficient. (Please explain.)

- 6. Are facilities/buildings for your agency adequate? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 7.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 6a.)

6a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your facilities/buildings area more sufficient. (*Please explain.*)

- 7. Is your property/evidence/storage area sufficient for your agency's needs? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 8.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 7a.)

-----

7a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your property/evidence/storage area more sufficient. (Please explain.)

- 8. Is your agency's technology sufficient for your agency's needs? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 9.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 8a.)
  - 8a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your technology more sufficient. (Please explain.)
- 9. Is your agency's equipment sufficient for your agency's needs? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 10.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 9a.)
  - 9a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your equipment more sufficient. (Please explain.)
- 10. Is your agency's firearms equipment/training/range access sufficient for your agency's needs? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 11.)
  - □ No (If NO, please go to question 10a.)
  - 10a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your firearms equipment/training/range access more sufficient. (Please explain.)
- 11. Is your agency's <u>inter</u>-departmental operability of communications equipment sufficient for your agency's needs? (*Please check one.*)
  - □ Yes (If YES, please go to question 12.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 11a.)
  - 11a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your inter-departmental operability of communications equipment more sufficient. (Please explain.)
- 12. Is your agency's <u>intra</u>-departmental operability of communications equipment sufficient for your agency's needs? (*Please check one.*)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 13.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 12a.)

12a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your intra-departmental operability of communications equipment more sufficient. (Please explain.)

13. Is your agency's communications equipment sufficient for your agency's needs? (Please check one.)

- Yes (If YES, please go to question 14.)
- **No** (If NO, please go to question 13a.)
- 13a. What additional communications equipment is needed. (Please explain.)
  - □ CADD system
  - Logger recorder
  - Additional radio frequencies
  - Additional mobile radios in cars
  - □ IBR system upgrades
  - Talk Groups
  - Other (Please explain.)

14. Does your agency have a formalized planning process to identify resource goals? (Please check one.)

- Yes (If YES, please go to question 14a.)
- No (If NO, please go to question 15.)
- 14a. Are your resource goals included in the university's or college's annual goals? (Please check one.)
  - Q Yes
  - 🛛 No
- 15. Does your university or college ask you for an annual needs assessment regarding your resources? (Please check one.)
  - ☐ Yes
  - 🗆 No

-----

- 16. Does your agency have any pending requests to your university or college for additional funds besides the annual budget (i.e., for equipment improvement, new initiatives)? (Please check one.)
  - Section 16a.) Yes (If YES, please go to question 16a.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 17.)
  - 16a. Please describe your open requests?

ţ

#### NOTE: PLEASE ATTACH PROGRAM/NEW INITIATIVE REQUESTS FOR THE PAST 5 YEARS, INCLUDING WHETHER IT WAS FUNDED, AND TO WHAT DEGREE. ALSO INCLUDE THE AMOUNT OF FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR PROGRAM/NEW INITIATIVES ACROSS THE COLLEGE OR UNIVERSITY.

- 17. Is your agency currently planning any new security programs and/or policies? (Please check one.)
  - **Ves** (If YES, please go to question 17a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 18.)
  - 17a. Please use the space below to discuss/describe any new security policies/programs that your department is currently planning. (*Please explain.*)

18. Is your agency responsible for university/college satellite campuses?

- **Yes** (If YES, please go to question 18a.)
- □ No (If NO, please go to question 19.)
- 18a. Please use the space below to discuss/describe any unique problems or concerns with being responsible for satellite campuses. (Please explain.)

19. What situations and/or events place the greatest strain on your resources? (Please explain.)

20. If there is anything that this survey has not addressed that is a unique problem or concern for your agency and/or campus please explain the problem/concerns. (Please use additional sheets and attach to back of survey, if necessary.)

Name of Individual Completing this Section of the Survey (Please Print)

Signature

Date

E-mail contact

-- --

- -

# ATTACHMENT E

Campus Security Department Survey

## <u>Checklist</u>

The following is a reminder list of attachments that should be included along with the other six sections of the survey. Please take the time to review and initial this list to ensure that each item is included. If applicable, the requested items may be submitted in electronic format on a 3 ½" disk or CD.

- Campus Crime Logs (July 1, 2002- June 30, 2004)
- University Organizational Chart
- Dispatch Records Summary (July 1, 2002- June 30, 2004)

#### Requested items from survey:

#### Section 2- Budget:

- Financial Attachments (page 1).
  - Security/ Public Safety Department's FY1999 budget
  - Security/ Public Safety Department's FY2004 budget
  - Security/ Public Safety Department's FY2005 budget
  - Security/ Public Safety Department's FY1999 actual expenditures
  - Security/ Public Safety Department's FY2004 actual expenditures
- Copy of Security Service Contract (page 3, if applicable).
   List of outsourced personnel from other state and local
  - List of outsourced personnel from other state and local security agencies used in 2004; amount paid by officer name and department affiliation (page 4, if applicable).

#### Section 3- Personnel and Training

- List of additional, specialized and "in-house" security training that were provided for your officers in FY2004 (page 9).
- Copy of contractual obligation for time of service that your agency uses (page 10, if applicable).

#### Section 4- Operations

- Copy of ALL policy/procedure manuals (page 5).
- Copy of the most recent surveys (any and all) conducted by YOUR agency (page 7).
- Copy of the most recent university/college national accreditation questionnaire results (page 8).

#### Section 5- Equipment

Fleet List: Include <u>ALL</u> vehicles (cars, vans, motorcycles, scooters, bicycles, etc.) AND their descriptions including type, year, mileage, make, marked/unmarked (page 3).

#### Section 6: Administrative Relations and Resources

- List of program/new initiative requests for the past 5 years, including whether it was funded, and to what degree. Include the amount of funds available for program/new initiatives across the college or university (page 5).
- Copy of Agreement/MOU's [between any private organizations, local aid, municipalities, etc.].(page 7, question 27).

## **SECTION 1**

### **Campus Profile**

#### **REMINDERS:**

Please sign and date the signature page at the end of this section.

Please fill out this survey for <u>MAIN campus</u> operations where officers are assigned on a daily basis. Please address any satellite operations applicable to your agency in the Addendum following Section 1.

Please return ALL survey sections together by <u>May 23, 2005</u>. If you have any questions, contact Christina Barnes, Legislative Policy Analyst, at (804) 225-4534 or via e-mail, (cbarnes@leg.state.va.us). We thank you for your assistance in this important study effort.

#### SECTION 1: CAMPUS PROFILE

Name of College/ University:
Name of Campus Security Agency:
Name and Official Title of the Head of Campus Security Agency:
a. Name:
b. Title:
Name and Official Title of the Person to which the Agency Head reports to: (Be as specific as possible. For example, "Vice President of Administration")
a. Name:
b. Title:
Which of the following describes your college/university? (Please check one.)         Single campus         Multiple campuses/centers/locations (Please list the names and locations of these campuses.)

Reminder: The remainder of this survey is designed for <u>MAIN</u> campus operations. If you have multiple campuses/centers/locations, you will be asked to fill out an addendum survey, at the end of this section, for each of those locations.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

6. Please provide the *headcount* of students enrolled on the <u>main</u> campus as of September 30, 1999 and 2004. Headcount is defined as individual students who have paid regular student fees and were registered for academic courses for the Fall 1999 and 2004 semesters.

	<u>September 30, 1999</u>	September 30, 2004
<b>Undergraduate</b> Full-time Undergraduate Students	students	students
Part-time Undergraduate Students	students	students
Graduate/Professional		
Full-time Graduate/Professional Students	students	students
Part-time Graduate/Professional Students	students	students

7. Please provide the number of non-student employees working on the <u>main</u> campus during Fall Semester 1999 and 2004.

	Fall Semester 1999	Fall Semester 2004
<b>Faculty</b> Full-time Faculty Employees	employees	employees
Part-time Faculty Employees	employees	employees
<b>Non-Faculty Employees</b> Full-time Non-Faculty Employees	employees	employees
Part-time Non-Faculty Employees	employees	employees

8. Please provide the number of persons living on the main campus in Fall Semester 1999 and 2004. (If not applicable, please enter N/A.)

	Fall Semester 1999	Fall Semester 2004
Residence Halls Student Residents	residents	residents
Non-student Residents (i.e. spouses, dependents)	residents	residents
Other Housing (University/College owned and/or leased)		
Student Residents	residents	residents
Non-student Residents (i.e. spouses, dependents)	residents	residents

----

- 9. Is your main campus, by policy, defined as an alcohol-free campus? (Please check one.)
  - 🛛 Yes
  - 🛛 No
- 10. Please provide information on the following physical characteristics of the <u>main</u> campus served by your agency in Fall of 1999 and 2004. (*If not applicable, please enter N/A.*)

1999	2004
buildings	buildings
buildings	buildings
halls	halls
complexes	complexes
acres	acres
miles	miles
lots	lots
decks	decks
	buildings buildings halls complexes acres acres miles lots

- 11. Which of the following impact your agency's operations and are currently present on your <u>main</u> campus? (*Please check all that apply.*)
  - College/university health center/clinic
  - □ Fraternities and/or sororities
  - Football stadium
  - Basketball arena
  - ☐ Multi-purpose arts/entertainment center
  - Historic/tourist attractions
  - Close proximity to a major interstate (less than 3 miles)
  - Research laboratories/facilities
  - □ Summer sports camps
  - Special events (summer conferences, weddings, other outside rentals, etc.)
  - □ VIP protection

\_

- Business complex owned/leased by University/College Foundation
- Other (Please explain.)

Questions 12-16, seek additional information regarding some of the campus entities impacti	ng
your agency's operations and workload.	-

12.	Does your	college/university	have social	I fraternities?	(Please check one.)
-----	-----------	--------------------	-------------	-----------------	---------------------

- Yes (If YES, please go to questions 12a-12d.)
- **No** (If NO, please go to question 13.)
- 12a. Of these social fraternities, how many are: (Please provide the number.)
  - Greek \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Greek
- 12b. Which of the following describes the location of the fraternities at your college/university? (Please check one.)
  - □ All fraternities are off campus
  - □ All fraternities are on campus
  - □ There are fraternities both on and off campus
- 12c. How many fraternity houses are on and/or off your college/university campus for the 2004/05 school year? (Please provide the number as of Fall 2004.)
  - On-Campus Fraternity Houses
  - Off-campus Fraternity Houses
  - \_\_\_\_ Designated Living Areas
- 12d. Does your campus have social fraternities that are not recognized by the college/university? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please provide the number as of Fall 2004.):
  - No (If NO, please go to question 13.)
- 13. Does your college/university have social sororities? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to questions 13a-13d.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 14.)
  - 13a. Of these social sororities, how many are characterized: (Please provide the number.)

Gleek	
Non-Greek	

- 13b. Which of the following describes the location of the sororities at your college/university? (Please check one.)
  - All sororities are off campus
  - All sororities are on campus
  - ☐ There are sororities both on and off campus

13c.	How many so	rority houses are on and/or off your college/university campus for the 2004/05
	school year?	(Please provide the number as of Fall 2004.)

On- Campus Sorority Houses
Off- Campus Sorority Houses
Designated Living Areas
<ul> <li>13d. Does your campus have social sororities that are not recognized by the college/university? (<i>Please check one.</i>)</li> <li>Pes (If YES, please provide the number as of Fall 2004.):</li></ul>
<ul> <li>14. Does your college or university have a football team? (Please check one.)</li> <li>Yes (If YES, please go to questions 14a-14d.)</li> <li>No (If NO, please go to question 15.)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>14a. Is the football stadium on the main campus or off campus? (Please check one.)</li> <li>Stadium is on campus</li> <li>Stadium is off campus</li> </ul>
14b. What is the capacity of the football stadium? (Please provide the number of seats.)
, Seat Capacity
<ul> <li>14c. Is your department the primary source of security during football games at the stadium? (Please check one.)</li> <li>Yes (If YES, please go to question 15.)</li> <li>No (If NO, please go to question 14D.)</li> </ul>
14d. Please explain who is the primary source of security during the football games. (Provide the name(s) of the primary source.)
15. Does your college or university have a basketball team? (Please check one.)
Yes (If YES, please go to questions 15a-15d.)
<ul> <li>Yes (If YES, please go to questions 15a-15d.)</li> <li>No (If NO, please go to question 16.)</li> <li>15a. Is the basketball arena on the main campus or off campus? (Please check one.)</li> </ul>

--- ------ -----

15b. What is the capacity of the basketball arena? (Please provide the number of seats.)

\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_ Seat Capacity

- 15c. Is your department the primary source of security during basketball games at the arena? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 16.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 15d.)
- 15d. Please explain who is the primary source of security during the basketball games. (Provide the name(s) of the primary source.)

16. Please explain who the primary source of security is during other school sporting events.

Name of Individual Completing this Section of the Survey (Please Print)

Signature

Date

E-mail contact

----

#### ADDENDUM: SATELLITE CAMPUS PROFILE

This addendum requests information on your satellite campuses. For the purposes of this survey satellite campuses are defined as "locations outside of your main campus location or area where enrolled students may take classes."

Please make enough copies of this addendum to address <u>ALL</u> of your satellite campuses

- 1. Name of Satellite Campus: \_\_\_\_\_
- 2. Location of the Satellite Campus: \_\_\_\_\_
- 3. Please provide the *headcount* of students enrolled on this satellite campus as of September 30, 1999 and 2004. Headcount is defined as individual students who have paid regular student fees and were registered for academic courses for the Fall 1999 and 2004 semesters.

	<u>September 30, 1999</u>	September 30, 2004
Full-time Students		
	students	students
Part-time Students	students	students

4. Please provide the number of non-student employees working on this satellite campus for Fall Semester 1999 and 2004. (Do not double-count)

	Fall Semester 1999	Fall Semester 2004
<b>Faculty</b> Full-time Faculty Employees	employees	employees
Part-time Faculty Employees	employees	employees
Non-Faculty Employees Full-time Non-Faculty Employees	employees	employees
Part-time Non-Faculty Employees	employees	employees

5. Please provide information on the following physical characteristics of this satellite campus served by your agency in Fall of 1999 and 2004.

	1999	2004
Total Number of Buildings	buildings	buildings
Total Number of Buildings with Electronic Surveillance/Monitoring	buildings	buildings
Total Number of Residence Halls	halls	halls

	1999	2004		
Total Number of Apartment/Family Complexes	complexes	complexes		
Land Area (Acres)	acres	acres		
Miles of Roads	miles	miles		
Number of Parking Lots	lots	lots		
Number of Parking Decks	decks	decks		
What security services do you provide to this satellite campus? ( <i>Please check one.</i> ) Security personnel on-site 24 hours, 7 days a week Security personnel on-site 24 hours, less than 7 days a week				

Security personnel on-site less than 24 hours, 7 days a week

Security personnel on-site less than 24 hours, less than 7 days a week

- □ No security personnel on-site (If NO security personnel on-site, please go to guestion 8)
- Other (please specify.)

6.

#### 7. What is the average number of security personnel on this campus? (Please enter N/A if not applicable.)

- on weekdays during day-time classes? a.
- on weekdays during evening classes? b.
- on weekdays while no classes are being held? C.
- on weekends during day-time classes? d.
- on weekends during evening classes? e.
- on weekends while no classes are being held? f.

#### 8. Are there any unique security or public safety challenges on this satellite campus?

- ☐ Yes (If YES, please go to questions 8a.)
- **No** (If NO, please go to next questionnaire section.)
- 8a. What are those challenges?

Name of Individual Completing this Section of the Survey (Please Print)

Signature

Date

E-mail contact

## **SECTION 2**

Budget

#### **REMINDERS:**

Please sign and date the signature page at the end of this section.

Please fill out this survey for <u>MAIN campus</u> operations where officers are assigned on a daily basis. Please address any satellite operations applicable to your agency in the Addendum following Section 1.

Please return ALL survey sections together by <u>May 23, 2005</u>. If you have any questions, contact Christina Barnes, Legislative Policy Analyst, at (804) 225-4534 or via e-mail, (cbarnes@leg.state.va.us). We thank you for your assistance in this important study effort.

#### SECTION 2: BUDGETARY

- 1. Does your agency have a stand-alone budget separate from other college/university departments? (Please check one.)
  - 🛛 Yes
  - 🛛 No
- 2. Which of the following areas does your agency's budget allocate specific funding? (Please check all that apply.)
  - Training of security officers
  - Training of other personnel
  - □ Facilities

- Equipment needs
- Maintenance
- Over-time
- Day-to-day operations



Please attach the following:

- Security/ Public Safety Department's FY 1999 budget
- Security/ Public Safety Department's FY 2004 budget
- Security/ Public Safety Department's FY 2005 budget
- Security/ Public Safety Department's FY 1999 actual expenditures
- Security/ Public Safety Department's FY 2004 actual expenditures

#### PARTI: Total University/College Budget

**NOTE:** Questions 3-5 ask questions regarding the <u>total college/university budget</u>. The total budget provided should include those funds for educational and general programs and auxiliary enterprises. Educational and general programs include funds for: instruction, research, public services, academic support, student services, institutional support and operations and maintenance.

3. What is the total college/university budget for the campus your agency serves in **FY 2005** (July1, 2004-June 30, 2005)?

\$\_\_\_\_\_

4. What was the total college/university budget for the campus your agency served in **FY 2004** (July1, 2003-June 30, 2004)?

\$\_\_\_\_\_

5. What was the total college/university budget for the campus your agency served in **FY 1999** (July1, 1998-June 30, 1999)?

\$\_\_\_\_\_

#### <u>PART 2</u>: Security Department Operating Budget

6. Enter your department's budget information for FY1999, FY2004, and FY 2005. (Please round to whole dollars.)

	FY 1999	FY 2004	FY 2005
Total Budget	\$	\$	\$
Source of Funds			
Primary Source (General Funds)	\$	\$	\$
Auxiliary Fees	\$	\$	\$
Athletics	\$	\$	\$
Student Housing/Residence Life	\$	\$	\$
Student Affairs	\$	\$	\$
State Grants	\$	\$	\$
Federal Grants	\$	\$	\$
Other (Please Specify)	\$	\$	\$
	\$	\$	\$
	\$	\$	\$
	\$	\$	\$
L	\$	\$	\$

#### <u>PART 3</u>: Security Department Expenditures

7. Enter your agency's expenditures for FY1999 and FY 2004.

Γ	FY 1999	FY 2004
Gross salaries and wages (not including persons hired for special events .)	\$	\$
Employer contributions to employee benefits (not including persons hired for special events .)	\$	\$
Other operating expenditures (e.g., purchase of supplies, food and contractual services .)	\$	\$
Equipment (e.g., purchase of cars, radios, computers, etc., with a life expectancy of 5 years or more.)	\$	\$

If data are not available, provide estimates and mark with an asterisk\*.

\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

#### <u>PART 4</u>: Outsourcing

8. Are any security services outsourced (i.e. contracted out) to a private security firm(s) for **FY 2005** (July 1, 2004 – June 30, 2005)?

☐ Yes (If YES, please go to questions 8a-8b.)
 ☐ No (If NO, please go to question 9.)

- 8a. List the agency name(s) of external provider(s) for FY 2005 and the yearly amount of the contract:
- 8b. Please explain the types of services outsourced to private security firm(s) for FY 2005? (Please explain.)



- ----

\_\_\_\_

Please attach the following:

- A Copy of the Security Service Contract
- 9. Were any security services outsourced (i.e. contracted out) to a private security firm(s) for FY 1999 (July 1, 1998 June 30, 1999)?
  - Yes (If YES, please go to questions 9a-9b.)

□ No (If NO, please go to question 10.)

- 9a. List the agency name(s) of the external provider(s) for **FY 1999** and the yearly amount of the contract:
- 9b. Please explain the types of services outsourced to the private security firm(s) for FY1999? (Please explain.)

- 10. Were any security services outsourced (i.e. contracted out) to other state or local law enforcement agencies for FY 2004 (July 1, 2003 June 30, 2004)?
  - ☐ Yes (If YES, please go to questions 10a-10b.)
     ☐ No (If NO, please go to question 11.)
  - 10a. List the state and local agency name(s) of the external provider(s) for FY 2004:
  - 10b. Please explain the types of services outsourced to other state and local law enforcement agencies for FY 2004? (Please explain.)
- 11. Were any security services outsourced (i.e. contracted out) to other state or local law enforcement agencies for FY 1999 (July 1, 1998 June 30, 1999)?
  - **Yes** (If YES, please go to questions 11a-11b.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 12.)
  - 11a. List the state and local agency name(s) of the external provider(s) for FY 1999:
  - 11b. Please explain the types of services outsourced to other state and local law enforcement agencies for **FY 1999**? (*Please explain.*)
- 12. Were any special events personnel (not full-time or regular part-time security officers) hired in FY 2004?(*Please check one.*)
  - □ Yes □ No

\_\_\_\_\_

NOTE: Please attach a list of each special events officer hired for <u>FY 2004</u>, the amount paid to each officer and the agency from which the persons were hired.

#### <u>PART 5</u>: Overtime

- 13. Enter total overtime hours worked, total overtime monetary payment and total compensatory hours earned by full-time sworn personnel who worked overtime during **FY 2004**. (If data are not available, provide estimates and mark with an asterisk\*.)
  - a. Total overtime hours worked: \_\_\_\_\_\_ hours
  - b. Total overtime monetary payment: \$\_\_\_\_\_
  - c. Total overtime compensatory hours earned: \_\_\_\_\_\_ hours
- 14. Enter total overtime hours worked, total overtime monetary payment and total compensatory hours earned by full-time sworn personnel who worked overtime during FY 1999. (If data are not available, provide estimates and mark with an asterisk\*.)

a. Total overtime hours worked:	hours
b. Total overtime monetary payment:	\$
c. Total overtime compensatory hours earned:	hours

Name of Individual Completing this Section of the Survey (Please Print)

Signature

Date

E-mail contact

## **SECTION 3**

## **Personnel/Training**

**REMINDERS:** 

Please sign and date the signature page at the end of this section.

Please fill out this survey for <u>MAIN campus</u> operations where officers are assigned on a daily basis. Please address any satellite operations applicable to your agency in the Addendum following Section 1..

*If you would prefer to complete Parts 1-2 (pages 5-7) electronically, please contact Christina Barnes.* 

Please return ALL survey sections together by <u>May 23, 2005</u>. If you have any questions, contact Christina Barnes, Legislative Policy Analyst, at (804) 225-4534 or via e-mail, (cbarnes@leg.state.va.us). We thank you for your assistance in this important study effort.

#### SECTION 3: PERSONNEL/TRAINING

This section of the survey is designed to determine differences in overall staffing levels from September 1999 to September 2004. Questions for 1999 will be briefer than those for the current year.

#### FUNDED PERSONNEL ONLY - September 1, 1999

(Please specify.)

1. What was the total number of full-time and part-time employees, funded by <u>all</u> sources working in your department as of **September 1, 1999**?

\_\_\_\_\_ Employees

1a. Of the number of employees working in the department on **September 1, 1999**, how many were working in the following capacities? DO NOT DOUBLE-COUNT. List employee in area of primary responsibility. (*Part-time includes those persons working less than 40 hours per week or those working hourly without benefits*).

Swo	orn	Non-Sworn	
Full-Time	Part-Time	Full-Time	Part-Time
		Sworn         Full-Time       Part-Time	

#### FUNDED PERSONNEL ONLY- September 1, 2004

2. What was the total number of full-time and part-time employees, funded by <u>all</u> sources working in your department as of **September 1, 2004**?

		Employees		
2a. Of the number of employees work working in the following capacitie responsibility. ( <i>Part-time includes those</i>	s? <u>DO NOT DC</u>	DUBLE-COUNT. L	ist employee in are	ea of primary
	<u>Swo</u> Full-Time	orn Part-Time	<u>Non</u> Full-Time	<u>-Sworn</u> Part-Time
<u>Administration</u> (Director of Security, assistants, and all others working in an administrative capacity, including finance personnel and internal affairs.)				
Field Operations (Security officers and all others providing direct service.)				
<u>Technical Support</u> (Dispatchers, records clerks, data processors, and others providing support services.)				
Student Employees (interns, work study, etc.)				
<u>Other</u> (Parking monitors, etc.)				
(Please specify.)				
(Please s pecify.)				
(Please specify.)				

-----

- --

١,

#### UNPAID PERSONNEL ONLY-September 1, 2004

3. What was the total number of UNPAID full-time and part-time personnel (i.e., auxiliary and other trained personnel), working in your department as of **September 1, 2004**?

\_\_\_\_\_ Volunteers/Unpaid Personnel

3a. Of the number of employees/volunteers working in the department on **September 1, 2004**, how many were working in the following capacities? <u>DO NOT DOUBLE-COUNT</u>. List employee/volunteer in area of primary responsibility.

	Sworn		Non-Sworn	
	Full-Time	Part-Time	Full-Time	Part-Time
Administration		<b></b>		
Security Officers				
Technical Support				
Student Workers/Volunteers				
Interns				
Other				
(Please s pecify.)				
(Please s pecify.)	-			
(Please s pecify.)				

- ---

----

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>NOTE:</u> If you would prefer to complete Parts 1-2 (pages 5-7) electronically, please contact Christina Barnes at cbarnes@leg.state.va.us

#### PART 1: Current Staff and Salaries

This section of the survey is designed to seek information on each full-time employee working in your department as of **September 1, 2004**, including those funded by sources other than the security department budget. A description of the information required in each column follows. Please complete the information for <u>each</u> employee.

#### SCHEDULE A - Full-time Staff Information Sheets

- Column 1- The last name of the employee
- Column 2- The official job title of the employee as of September 1, 2004
- Column 3- The education level of the employee as of September 1, 2004
- Column 4- The salary of the employee as of September 1, 2004 reported in whole dollars
- Column 5- The source of funds from which the employee's salary is paid

If the salary is paid by multiple sources, please note each of the sources. Examples of fund sources include:

- security/ public safety department funds
- athletic department funds
- housing/residence life funds
- parking services funds
- state grant funds (specify the agency funding the grant)
- federal grant funds (specify the agency funding the grant)
- Column 6- The month, day and year the employee was hired by the security department
- Column 7- The employee's starting salary
- Column 8- Whether the employee is a sworn or non-sworn employee
- Columns 9-23 These columns list a variety of possible employee benefits. For each employee, check the box for those benefits that the employee was receiving as of September 1, 2004.

Eligible benefits include:

- tuition assistance (partial financial assistance to employee/family for approved classes)
- tuition reimbursement (reimbursement for approved classes upon successful completion of classes)
- uniform cleaning
- education incentive pay (higher salaries for more advanced degrees)
- hazardous duty pay
- special skills proficiency pay
- merit/performance pay
- shift pay differential
- health insurance
- dental insurance
- eye insurance
- annual leave (vacation leave)
- sick leave
- disability leave
- retirement (i.e., VRS benefits)
- holiday pay
- special pay

#### SCHEDULE B - Part-time Staff Information Sheets

This section of the survey is designed to seek information on each part-time employee working in your department as of <u>September 1. 2004</u>, including those funded by sources other than the security department budget. A description of the information required in each column follows. Please complete the information for <u>each</u> employee.

- Column 1- The last name of the employee
- Column 2- The official job title of the employee as of September 1, 2004
- Column 3- The education level of the employee as of September 1, 2004
- Column 4- The hourly rate for the employee as of September 1, 2004
- Column 5- The average number of hours per week that the employee was hired to work
- Column 6- The source of funds from which the employee's salary is paid

If the salary is paid by multiple sources, please note each of the sources. Examples of fund sources include:

- security/ public safety department funds
- athletic department funds
- housing/residence life funds
- parking services funds
- state grant funds (specify the agency funding the grant)
- federal grant funds (specify the agency funding the grant)
- Column 7- The month, day and year the employee was hired by the security department
- Column 8- The employee's starting hourly rate
- Column 9- Whether the employee is a sworn or non-sworn employee
- Columns 10-23 These columns list a variety of possible employee benefits. (For each employee, check the box for those benefits that the employee was receiving as of September 1, 2004.)

Eligible benefits include:

- tuition assistance (partial financial assistance to employee/family for approved classes)
- tuition reimbursement (reimbursement for approved classes upon successful completion of classes)
- uniform cleaning
- education incentive pay (higher salaries for more advanced degrees)
- hazardous duty pay
- special skills proficiency pay
- merit/performance pay
- shift pay differential
- health insurance
- dental insurance
- eye insurance
- annual leave (vacation leave)
- sick leave
- disability leave
- retirement (i.e., VRS benefits)
- holiday pay
- special pay

#### <u>PART 2</u>: Staff Separations

\_

----

This section of the survey is designed to seek information on each employee that has separated from your agency from <u>July 1, 1999 to September 1, 2004</u>, including those funded by sources other than the security department budget. A description of the information required in each column follows. Please complete the information for <u>each</u> employee.

- Column 1- Last name of the separated employee
- Column 2- The official job title of the employee whose employment was separated between July 1, 1999 to September 1, 2004
- Column 3- The date the employee was hired by the security department (month/day/year)
- Column 4- The date the employee separated from the department (month/day/year)
- Column 5- The separated employee's status with the department (full-time or part-time)
- Column 6- The reason for the employee's separation from the department, if known. Examples of reasons include:
  - retirement
  - family relocation
  - better salary with another department
  - better/additional benefits offered by another department
  - illness or death
- Column 7- The separated employee's next place of employment, if known
- Column 8- The separated employee's salary at the date of separation

#### <u>PART 3</u>: Staff Recruitment and Training

4. What is the primary source of training for your agency's new security officers? (Please check all that apply.)

- DCJS Licensed criminal justice academies
- DCJS Approved regional training academies
- On-the-job training
- Other (Please specify.)\_\_\_\_\_

5. Where is the primary source of training for your agency's new security officers? (Please provide name and location.)

Name of Training Agency: \_\_\_\_\_

Location (city, state): \_\_\_\_\_

6. What is the length of the basic training program? (Please provide the length in hours.)

\_\_\_\_hours

7. Does your agency require special conservator of the peace DCJS training? (Please check one.)

- 🛛 Yes
- 🛛 No

I Not applicable, do not have special conservators of the peace

- 8. Does your agency require DCJS training for armed security officers? (Please check one.)
  - 🛛 Yes
  - 🗆 No

\_\_\_\_

□ Not applicable, security officers are not armed

- 9. Do the officers undergo a formal Field Training Officers (FTO) program? (Please check one.)
  - Section 9a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 10)
  - 9a. What is the length of the FTO training? (Please provide the length in weeks.)

\_\_\_\_\_weeks

10. Does your agency require a probationary period for new security officers before permanent status is granted? (*Please check one.*)

Yes (If YES, please go to question 10a.)

□ No (If NO, please go to question 11.)

10a. If yes, how long is the probationary period? (Please provide the length in months)

\_\_\_\_months

- 11. Is there any other in-service and /or specialized security training that your agency requires for its officers, <u>beyond</u> DCJS requirements (AED, bike patrol, etc.)? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 11a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 12.)
  - 11a. If yes, please describe the additional required training, beyond DCJS, that your agency's officers are mandated to receive as a condition of employment. (Please explain.)

12. Does your agency provide any "in-house" training for its security officers? (Please check one.)

Yes (If YES, please go to question 12a.)

No (If NO, please go to question 13.)

12a. What are the total number	of "in-house" trai	ning hours that you	r agency provided to	its officers in
FY2004?				

\_\_\_\_\_hours

\* PLEASE ATTACH A LIST OF ADDITIONAL, SPECIALIZED AND "IN-HOUSE" LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING THAT WERE PROVIDED FOR YOUR OFFICERS IN FY 2004. (Again, this number should only include training that is <u>beyond</u> DCJS requirements)\*

- 13. Does your department have an educational requirement for hiring new security officers? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 13a.)
  - □ No (If NO, please go to question 14.)

□ Not Applicable; do not have security officers (If N/A, please go to question 14.)

- 13a. What is your agency's formal educational *requirement* for new <u>security officers</u>? (Please check one.)
  - Four-year college degree
     Two-year college degree
- ☐ Some college, but no degree ☐ High school diploma or GED
- 14. Does your department have an educational preference for hiring new <u>security officers</u>? (Please check one.)
  - Section 14a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 15.)

14a. What is your agency's formal educational *preference* for new <u>security officers</u>?

(1.10000 0110011 01101	/	
G Four-vea	r college degree	

Two-year college degree

 $\Box$  Some college, but no degree

High school diploma or GED

15. Which of the following employment screening methods are employed in the selection process for security officers? (*Please check all that apply.*)

	Sworn security	Non-sworn security
Written aptitude test		
Oral interview		
Panel interview		
Criminal record check		
Physical agility test		
Psychological screening		
Psychological clinical interview		
Medical exam		
Polygraph exam		
Drug screening		
Analytical problem-solving tests		
Personal reference check		
Credential check		
Second language proficiency tests		
Volunteer/ neighbor check		
Driving record check		
Credit history check		
Other (Please explain)		

16. For security officers: Is there a minimum contractual obligation for time of service once hired?

Yes (If YES, please go to question 16a.)

□ No (If NO, please go to question 17.)

\_\_\_\_\_

16a. What is the length of the contractual obligation? \_\_\_\_\_year(s)

## PLEASE ATTACH A COPY OF THE CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATION FOR TIME OF SERVICE THAT YOUR AGENCY USES.

- 17. Does your agency have a written policy that defines the performance evaluation system for its employees? (Please check one.)
  - ☐ Yes (If YES, please go to questions 17a-b.)
  - No (If NO, please go to the next section of the survey.)
  - 17a. Which of the following employees are subject to performance evaluation? (Please check all that apply.)
    - □ Non-sworn security officers
    - □ Sworn personnel

\_

- Other non-sworn personnel
- □ No employees are evaluated
- 17b. How often are your agency's employees evaluated? (Please check the appropriate box.)

Non-sworn security officers	Annually	Semi-Annually	Other (Please specify.)
Other sworn personnel			□
Other non-sworn personnel			۵

Name of Individual Completing this Section of the Survey (Please Print)

Signature

Date

E-mail contact
# Staff Separations

Salary at Next Employer (If Known) Separation															
Reason for Separation															
Status of Employment (Full or Part- time)															
Date of Separation		1 1	1		-		1 1	1 1	-		1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1
Date of Hire	1 1		1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1	-		/ /	1		
Title					E-2										

# **SECTION 4**

# **Operations**

**REMINDERS:** 

Please sign and date the signature page at the end of this section.

Please fill out this survey for <u>MAIN campus</u> operations where officers are assigned on a daily basis. Please address any satellite operations applicable to your agency in the Addendum following Section 1.

Please return ALL survey sections together by <u>May 23, 2005</u>. If you have any questions, contact Christina Barnes, Legislative Policy Analyst, at (804) 225-4534 or via e-mail, (cbarnes@leg.state.va.us). We thank you for your assistance in this important study effort.

#### **SECTION 4: OPERATIONS**

1. How many shifts do your security officers staff? (Please check one and list hours of each shift.)

🛛 2 shifts-			
	1 <sup>st</sup> shift hours	2 <sup>rd</sup> shift hours	
🗋 3 shifts-			
	1 <sup>st</sup> shift hours	2 <sup>nd</sup> shift hours	3 <sup>rd</sup> shift hours

2. Enter the number of security officers for each shift for the most recent week with *typical* campus activity (exclude weeks with special events, breaks, etc.)

	1 <sup>st</sup> shift	2 <sup>nd</sup> shift	3 <sup>rd</sup> shift
Monday			
Tuesday			
Wednesday			
Thursday			
Friday			
Saturday			
Sunday	·····		

\* Please enter N/A if a particular shift does not apply to your agency's operations .

3. Enter the functions that your agency performs on a regular basis and/or has primary responsibility for performing as needed. (Please check all that apply.)

#### a. Security Functions

- Central alarm monitoring
- Access control
- Key control
- Identification cards
- Building lockup/unlock
- Securing residence halls
- Securing academic buildings
- Securing property/evidence items
- Stadium/Arena/Coliseum event security
- Medical Center/Student Health Clinic security
- □ Security for research laboratories
- Public service calls
- Other special event /locality security

(Please describe.)

#### **b. Routine Functions**

- Patrol
- Assist in joint criminal investigations
- □ Assist in traffic accident investigation
- U Workers comp/personal injury reports
- Receiving calls for service
- Campus switchboard operation
- □ Traffic direction/control
- Parking enforcement
- Personal safety escorts
- Risk/Crisis management
- Emergency preparedness
- 🗆 OSHA
- Parking coordination
- □ Fire safety coordination
- Other routine functions (Please describe.)

# <u>PART 1:</u> Residence Hall and Building Security

4. Are your residence halls secured? (Please check one.)
Yes, all are secured (If YES, please go to questions 4a-4f.)
Section 2.1 Yes, some are secured (If YES, please go to questions 4a-4f.)
No, none are secured (If NO, please go to questions 5.)
Not applicable, do not have residence halls (Please go to guestion 7.)
4a. How many residence halls are electronically secured (i.e., card key access)? (Please provide the number.)
4b. How many residence halls are secured with traditional lock and key? (Please provide the number.) Halls
4c. How many residence halls are secured with both lock/key and electronic access? (Please provide the
number.) Halls
4d. How many residence halls are secured at all times (24 hours)? (Please provide the number.) Halls
4e. How many residence halls have a guard present at all times (24 hours)? (Please provide the number.) Halls
4f. How many residence halls have a guard present only during certain times (i.e., evenings, etc.)? (Please provide the number.) Halls
5. Who is responsible for securing the residence halls? (Please check all that apply)
Security officers
Other (Please specify.)
6. Do any residence halls have security alarm services? (Please check one.)
Yes (If YES, please go to questions 6a-6b.)
□ No (If NO, please go to question 7.)
6a. How many residence halls have security alarm services? (Please provide the number.)
Halls

\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_

6b. Who is responsible for monitoring the residence hall security alarm service	s? (Please provide
the name of the department, agency or company.)	

7. Do	any other c	ampus buildings	have security	y alarm sv	vstems?	(Please check one.)
-------	-------------	-----------------	---------------	------------	---------	---------------------

Section 2.17 Yes (If YES, please go to questions 7a-7b.)

□ No (If NO, please go to question 8.)

7a. How many other campus buildings have security alarm systems? (Please provide the number.)

\_\_\_\_\_ Buildings

- 7b. Who is responsible for monitoring the security alarm systems of other campus buildings? (Please provide the name of the department, agency or company.)
- 8. Does your agency conduct routine assessments/inspections of campus building maintenance and vegetation deficiencies that could pose security risks (i.e. campus lighting, overgrown vegetation, broken locks/doors, etc.)? (*Please check one.*)

🗋 Yes	s (If YES, please go to questions 8a and 8	3b.)
🗆 No	(If NO, please go to question 8c.)	

8a. If your department is responsible for identification of security deficiencies in any of the following areas, please indicate how often the department conducts the assessments/inspections. (Please check all that apply.)

	Daily	Monthly	<u>Semester</u>	<u>Annually</u>	Upon Report	<u>Other (Please explain)</u>
Campus lighting						□
Overgrown vegetation						D
Access systems						□
Door alarms						□

8b. Are there any other areas where your department conducts assessments and inspections to identify security deficiencies on campus? (*Please explain and go to question 9.*)

- 8c. If your department is not responsible for conducting routine assessments/inspections of campus building maintenance and vegetation deficiencies that could pose security risks, who is responsible for the assessments/inspections? (*Please explain.*)
- 9. Does your agency have a system for *reporting* security deficiencies (i.e., lights, locks, vegetation)? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 9a.)

□ No (If NO, please go to question 10.)

- 9a. Briefly explain this reporting system:
- 10. Is there a campus escort service? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to questions 10a-10b.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 11.)

10a. Which of the following entities conducts this service? (Please check all that apply.)

Security			
Students			
Other (Please explain.)			

10b. Briefly describe how the escort service is conducted including the hours of operation, mode of transport, if any, and how calls for services are identified. (Please explain.)

- 11. Is there a campus transit/bus system? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 11a.)
  - □ No (If NO, please go to question 12.)

11a. What are the hours of operation? (Please provide the opening and closing hours for each.)

Weekdays	
Weekends	
Other (Please ex plain.)	

#### PART 2: Accreditation

12. Do you consider your agency to be accredited by an organization?

- Yes (Please specify.)
- 🗆 No
- 13. Please list the associations/organizations of which your agency is a member:

- 14. Does your agency intend to pursue accreditation by the Virginia Law Enforcement Professional Standards Commission (VLEPSC)? (Please check one.)
  - Yes
    No
- 15. Does your agency intend to pursue accreditation by the International Association of Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (IACLEA)? (Please check one.)
  - □ Yes
  - D No

#### PART 3: Policíes/Programs

16. Does your agency have a written policy/procedures manual? (Please check one.)

- Yes (If YES, please go to questions 16a-16c.)
- **No** (If NO, please go to question 17.)

Patrol operations

Document routing

Training

#### PLEASE ATTACH A COPY OF ALL POLICY/PROCEDURE MANUALS.

16a. Which of the following areas does your agency's manual address? (Please check all that apply.)

- Investigation operations
- Communications
  - Code of conduct
- Use of force Jurisdiction
  - Constitutional safeguards

Administrative operation Other (Please specify.)\_\_\_\_\_

16b. Does your agency ha	ave written policy directives	s for the following activ	ities/circumstances?
(Please check all that app	y.)	Ū.	

	Use (	of deadly	/ force/firearm	discharge
--	-------	-----------	-----------------	-----------

Emergency preparedness

Code of conduct and appearance

Handling domestic disturbances

□ Handling juveniles

□ Handling the mentally ill

Citizen complaints

Off-duty employment of officers

G "Knock and announce" policy

Unnatural disaster

Hostage/barricade situation

Administrative searches

Community policing plan

Media relations

Employee misconduct

U Writing/submitting reports

Parking enforcement

Handling the homeless

Employee counseling assistance

□ Natural disaster

Terrorist attack

Civil disturbance

Bomb threat

16c. Which of the following reviewed your agency's policy and procedures manual? (Please check all that apply.) University/College legal counsel

□ University/College administrators

Commonwealth's Attorney

Other (Please specify.)

□ Manual not reviewed outside of department

17. Which of the following best describes your agency's written policy for pursuit driving? (Please check one.)

Discouragement (i.e., discourages all pursuits.)

Judgmental (i.e., leaves pursuit decisions to officer's discretion)

Restrictive (i.e., restricts decisions of officers to specific criteria such as type of offense, speed, other)

Other (Please s pecify.)

□ No written pursuit driving policy

18. Does your agency have a written protocol for dealing with victims of sexual assault? (Please check one.)

T Yes

19. Does your agency operate special programs for the following? (Please check all that apply.)

□ Victim assistance

□ Self-defense training

Crime prevention education

Alcohol education Drug education

Bias-related (hate) crimes □ Student security patrol

Cyber crime

Date rape/Acquaintance rape prevention Stranger rape prevention

□ New student/faculty/staff orientation

Other (Please specify.)

20. Does your agency participate in the planning of future buildings and structures on campus? (Please check one.)

- □ Yes
- 🗆 No

21. Does your agency participate in evaluations of building renovations? (Please check one.)

- C Yes
- 🗆 No
- 22. Does your College/University have an active formal campus security advisory committee? (Please check one.)

Yes (If YES, please go to questions 22a-22c.)

□ No (If NO, please go to question 23.)

□ Not applicable (If N/A, please go to question 23.)

22a. What is the title of the committee? (Please provide the name.)

22b. Which of the following entities sits on the advisory committee? (Please check all that apply.)

- □ Students
- G Faculty
- Student health personnel
- Residence life personnel
- Campus security personnel
- Senior administrators
- Other (Please specify.)

22c. Please provide the name and title of the person who is the Chair of the committee. (Please list.)

Name

Title

- 23. Did your agency conduct or sponsor any surveys to assist your department in developing operational plans during the 2003-2004 academic year? (*Please check one.*)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to questions 23a-23c.)

No (If NO, please go to question 24.)

NOTE: PLEASE ATTACH A COPY OF THE MOST RECENT SURVEYS CONDUCTED BY YOUR AGENCY.

23a. Which of the following topics were included on the survey(s)? (Please check all that apply.)

□ Satisfaction with security services

- Perception of crime problems
- Personal crime experiences

Other (Please specify.)

23b. Which	ch of the following groups	were solicited for their op	inions on the surveys? (Plea	se check all that
apply.			<b>2</b> .	
C	Students			

Faculty
□ Staff
□ Victims of campus crime
Other (Please specify.)
id your agency use the survey information for any of the following purposes? (Please check all that pply.)
Allocating resources
Evaluating program effectiveness
Evaluating agency performance
Evaluating officer performance
Officer training development
Inclusion in annual report
Other (Please specify.)

- 24. As part of the 10-year accreditation process, does your University/College survey students regarding campus safety? (Please check one.)
  - Yes
    No

#### NOTE: PLEASE ATTACH A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS.

- 25. Besides surveys, were any other mechanisms used to solicit the opinions of students, faculty and/or staff during the 2003-2004 academic year? (*Please check one.*)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 25a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 26.)

25a. If YES, briefly describe the other mechanisms used to solicit opinions. (Please explain.)

- 26. In accordance with the Campus Security Act, which of the following mechanism(s) for issuing timely notices of threats does your agency utilize? (*Please check all that apply.*)
  - E-mail/Web postings
  - □ Flyers/postings
  - □ Mailings

- -

- ☐ Telephone messages/announcements
- Campus paper
- Other (Please specify.)

26.	Who issues	s <u>internal</u> press	releases	regarding	security	issues?	Please check all that a	pply.)
-----	------------	-------------------------	----------	-----------	----------	---------	-------------------------	--------

- Security department
- Administration (i.e., Dean of Students)
- D P.R. office/ information services
- Other (Please specify.)

27. Who issues external press releases regarding security issues?(Please check all that apply.)

- Security department
- Administration (i.e., Dean of Students)
- P.R. office/ information services
- Other (Please specify.)
- 28. Which of the following preparedness activities was your agency involved with during the 2003-2004 academic year? (Please check all that apply.)
  - Gifficer training/awareness in Homeland Security, WMD, etc.
  - Dissemination of information to increase citizen preparedness
  - Campus meetings on homeland security
  - Germal intelligence-sharing agreements (e.g., MOUs) with other law enforcement agencies
  - Involvement in Joint Terrorism Task Force (JTTF)
  - D Meetings with administrative-level campus staff regarding emergency preparation plans
  - Emergency preparedness exercises (i.e., HAZMAT drills, etc.)
  - Partnership with culturally diverse campus groups
  - Other (Please specify.)
- 29. Please select the category that best describes the frequency of meetings between your agency and the following groups regarding crime-related problems during the 2003-2004 academic year.

(	Please	select	only one	category	per row.)
---	--------	--------	----------	----------	-----------

	Weekly	Monthly	Once per <u>semester</u>	<u>Once per</u> <u>year</u>	Never	<u>As</u> <u>Needed</u>
Business groups						
Commonwealth's Attorney Office						
Community Advisory Board						
Domestic violence groups						
Faculty/staff organizations						
Fraternity/sorority groups						
International/ethnic groups						
Local public groups						

	Weekly	Monthly	Once per semester	<u>Once per</u> <u>year</u>	Never	<u>As</u> Needed
Law enforcement agencies						
Neighborhood associations						
Residence life officials						
Student judicial officers						
Student organizations						
Athletic department/Athletes						
Victim/counseling services						
Other:						

30. Has your University/College received any Homeland Security preparedness grants? (Please check one.)

□ No (If NO, please go to next questionnaire section.)

□ Not applicable (If N/A, please go to next questionnaire section.)

30a. If yes, was the money allocated to your agency through its own grant? (Please check one.)

Yes (If YES, please go to question 30b-c.)

□ No (If NO, please go to question 30d.)

30b. If yes, what was the amount of money allocated to your agency?

\$\_\_\_\_\_

30c. For what purposed was this money used for? (Please explain.)

30d. If no, to which department was the money allocated?

Name of Individual Completing this Section of the Survey (Please Print)

Signature

Date

E-mail contact

\_\_\_\_

- -

# **SECTION 5**

# Equipment

**REMINDERS:** 

Please sign and date the signature page at the end of this section.

Please fill out this survey for <u>MAIN campus</u> operations where officers are assigned on a daily basis. Please address any satellite operations applicable to your agency in the Addendum following Section 1.

Please return ALL survey sections together by <u>May 23, 2005</u>. If you have any questions, contact Christina Barnes, Legislative Policy Analyst, at (804) 225-4534 or via e-mail, (cbarnes@leg.state.va.us). We thank you for your assistance in this important study effort.

#### SECTION 5: EQUIPMENT PROFILE

This section of the survey is designed to determine the types of equipment used by sworn and nonsworn officers in your department.

#### <u>PART 1:</u> Weapons/Protective Gear

- 1. Are your agency's security officers armed while on duty? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 1a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 4.)
  - 1a. Are there occasions when a security officer is not armed when on-duty? (Please check one.)
    - Yes (Please explain.)
      No
- 2. Does your agency purchase and issue any firearms to its personnel for patrol duty? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 2a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 3.)

3. Which of the following types of firearms does your agency authorize for its sworn security officers working in field/patrol assignments? (*Please checkone.*)

	<u>Primary</u> Weapon	<u>Backup</u> Weapon	Primary and Backup Weapon	<u>Not</u> Authorized
Semi-automatic sidearm				
Revolver				
Rifle				
Shotgun				

<sup>2</sup>a. Please list the firearms your agency issues to personnel for patrol duty. (Please list.)

4. Which of the following types of "less than lethal" weapons does your agency authorize for its sworn security officers AND/OR non-sworn security officers? (Please check one.)

	Sworn Only	<u>Non-Sworn</u> <u>Only</u>	Both Sworn and Non-Sworn	<u>Not</u> <u>Authorized</u>
Baton				
Pepper Spray (Oleoresin capsium)				
Tear Gas- Personal Use				
Tear Gas- Large Volume				
Chemical/Pepper fog spray				
Soft projectile/Rubber bullet				
Electrical Stun Gun/Taser				
Other (Please specify.)				
	Ц		Ц	Ц

- 5. Does your agency require that any officer wear protective body armor while on duty? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to questions 5a-5d.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 5e.)
  - 5a. How does your agency provide protective body armor? (Please check one.)
    - □ Supplies armor
    - Cash allowance
    - Does not provide armor assistance
  - 5b. Are <u>all</u> officers required to wear protective body armor while on duty? (Please check one.)
    - □ Yes
    - D No
  - 5c. Do officers personally choose when to wear protective body armor? (Please check one.)
    - 🛛 Yes
    - 🛛 No

- 5d. Is a disclaimer required when an officer personally chooses to not wear protective body armor? (Please check one.)
  - Yes
    No
- 5e. Why does your agency not provide body armor to its officers?
  - Cost
  - Other (Please specify.)
- 6. What additional security equipment would you like to obtain for your agency? (Please Explain.)

#### PART 2: Patrol Vehicles

- 7. Is your fleet adequate to support field demands?
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 8.)
  - □ No (If NO, please go to question 7a.)
  - 7a. Please explain why your fleet is not able to support field demands:

PLEASE ATTACH YOUR FLEET LIST INCLUDING ALL VEHICLES (CAR, VAN, MOTORCYCLE, SCOOTER, BICYCLE, GOLF CART, ETC) INCLUDE THE TYPE OF VEHICLE, YEAR, MILEAGE, MAKE, MARKED OR UNMARKED.

#### <u>PART3:</u> Communications

- 8. Does a police or sheriff's department respond to E-911 calls from your campus?
  - **Yes** (If YES, please go to question 8a.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 9.)
  - 8a. Which department responds to E-911 calls from your campus?
- 9. How does the local police/dispatch department notify your department of an E-911 emergency? (Please explain.)
- 10. Does your agency have emergency phones (e.g., blue lights) on campus? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to questions 10a-10b.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 11.)
  - 10a. How many emergency phones are on your main campus? (Please provide the number.)

\_\_\_\_\_ Emergency Phones

- 10b. How often are the emergency phones checked for proper operation? (Please check one.)
  - Weekly
  - Monthly
  - Each Semester
  - Annually
  - U When notified of a problem
  - Other (Please specify.)
- 11. Does your agency have a direct on-campus phone number for emergencies/assistance? (Please check one.)
  - Yes
     No

-----

12. What radio frequency does your agency currently utilize?

13.	Does your agency	have plans to	upgrade/change	its current frequency?	(Please check one.)
-----	------------------	---------------	----------------	------------------------	---------------------

- Yes (If YES, please go to questions 13a-13b.)
- □ No (If NO, please go to question 14.)

13a. To	what frequency	does your	agency intend to move?	
---------	----------------	-----------	------------------------	--

When do you expect to mov	e to that frequency?	
ļ	When do you expect to move	When do you expect to move to that frequency?

- 14. Does your agency have a 24-hour two-way radio capability providing continuous communication between officers and the communications center? (*Please check one.*)
  - Yes
     No
- 15. Does your agency have two-way radio capability for officers to talk to concurrent police jurisdictions while in the field? (Please check one.)
  - Yes
  - D No
  - 16. Does your agency have a written plan that addresses communication accessibility during an unusual occurrence? (Please check one.)
    - 🛛 Yes
    - 🗆 No
  - 17. Are your agency's communication area and radio system equipped with a back-up power source? (Please check one.)
    - E
- Yes (If YES, please go to question 17a.) No (If NO, please go to question 18.)
  - 17a. Which of the following provides a back-up power source for your communications system? (Please check all that apply.)
    - Battery System
    - □ Back-up Generator
    - Other (Please specify.)

#### PART 4: Surveillance Technology

---- -----

18. Does your agency use video cameras/monitoring? (Please check one.)

- Yes (If YES, please go to question 18a.)
- **No** (If NO, please go to question 19.)

18a. Please indicate the areas where your agency uses video cameras/monitoring:

	Video Surveillance	# of Cameras/ Monitors
Patrol Cars		
Residence Halls		
Academic Admin.Buildings		
Parking Lots/ Garages		
Mobile Surveillance		
Traffic Enforcement		
Other (Please specify.)		
		<u> </u>

19. Which of the following communications equipment does your department utilize? (Please check all that apply.)

	Communications Equipment Employed	<u># of Pieces of</u> Equipment
Portable Radios		
Mobile Vehicle Radios		
Cellular Phones		
Base Station Radios		

i

#### <u>PART 5:</u> Computer Technology

- 20. Does your agency use computers? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to questions 20a-20c.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 21.)
  - 20a. Which computer systems listed below were used by your agency as of September 1, 2004? (Please check all that apply and provide the number of units available in the department.)

	Computer Systems	<u># of Specific Computer</u> <u>Systems</u>
Personal computer		
Portable Laptop computer		
Vehicle-mounted Laptop computer		
LAN system		
Portable Mobile Data Terminal		
Vehicle-mounted Mobile Data Terminal		
Portable Mobile Data Computer		<u> </u>
Vehicle-mounted Mobile Data Computer		
Hand-held digital terminal		······································
Other (Please specify.)		

20b. For which of the following purposes does your agency use computers? (Please check all that apply.)

- Dispatch (CAD)
- Crime mapping/analyses
- In-field communications
- In-field report writing
- Inter-agency information sharing
- □ Internet access
- Manpower allocation
- Fleet management
- Budgeting
- Record-keeping
- Research/statistics

Resource	allocation
----------	------------

Other (Please specify.)\_\_\_

20c.	Which of the following	records were maintained in an electronic format by your departm	ient as of
	September 1, 2004?		

Alarms	Stolen property
Arrests	Summonses
Calls for service	Traffic accidents
Citizen complaints	Traffic citations
Criminal histories	Traffic stops
Department inventory	Payroll
Drivers license registration	Use- of- force incidents
Evidence	Vehicle registration
Incident reports	Warrants
Linked files (crime analysis)	Personnel

21. Does your agency have an official on-line site or home page on the Internet? (Please check one.)

- ☐ Yes (If YES, please go to question 21a.)
- No (If NO, please go to question 22.)

21a. Which of the following is accomplished through use of your on-line site? (Please check all that apply.)

- Receiving reports of crime
- □ Receiving anonymous tips
- Receiving general questions/inquiries
- Receiving citizen feedback (complaints, commendations, etc.)
- □ Providing crime prevention/safety tips
- Providing important phone numbers (crisis hotlines, city services, etc.)
- Providing campus crime statistics
- Providing campus' Annual Security Report/other Clery Act reporting requirements
- Providing information about campus police/security services
- Other (Please specify.)
- 22. Do security officers in your agency have direct access to information via computers in their vehicles? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 22a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 23.)

-----

22a. Which of the following are available to security officers via direct computer access in their vehicles?

(Please check all that apply.)

- □ Calls for service/Dispatch records
- Criminal history records
- Driving records
- ☐ Motor vehicle records

#### PART 6: Equipment Plans

23. Does your agency formulate long-range equipment plans (3-5 years)? (Please check one.)

- Yes (If YES, please go to question 23a.)
- No (If NO, please go to next questionnaire section; you are finished with this section.)
- 23a. How often are these long-range plans evaluated? (Please provide the time frame for evaluation.)

Name of Individual Completing this Section of the Survey (Please Print)

Signature

Date

E-mail contact

## **SECTION 6**

### Administrative Relations/Resources

**REMINDERS:** 

Please sign and date the signature page at the end of this section.

Please fill out this survey for <u>MAIN campus</u> operations where officers are assigned on a daily basis. Please address any satellite operations applicable to your agency in the Addendum following Section 1.

Please return ALL survey sections together by <u>May 23, 2005</u>. If you have any questions, contact Christina Barnes, Legislative Policy Analyst, at (804) 225-4534 or via e-mail, (cbarnes@leg.state.va.us). We thank you for your assistance in this important study effort.

#### SECTION 6: ADMINISTRATIVE RELATIONS AND RESOURCES

- 1. Under what authority do your security officers operate? (Please check one.)
  - Special Conservator of the Peace
  - Proprietary
  - Contract
- 2. Is the authority granted to your security officers sufficient to protect your campus community? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 3.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 2a.)
  - 2a. If NO, please explain how the authority granted to your security officers is not sufficient to protect your campus community:
- 3. Is the number of security officers hired by your agency adequate for the campus? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 4.)
  - □ No (If NO, please go to questions 3a-3b.)
  - 3a. If NO, how many additional security officers would be sufficient? (Please provide the number of officers.)
    - \_\_\_\_\_ Security Officers
  - 3b. What functions would the additional security officers perform? (Please explain.)

- 4. Is the number of **support staff** (i.e., administrative) hired by your agency adequate? (*Please check one.*)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 5.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to questions 4a-4b.)
  - 4a. If NO, how many additional support staff would be sufficient? (Please provide the number of staff in FTEs.)

\_\_\_\_\_ Support Staff (FTEs)

-----

4b. What functions would the additional support staff perform? (Please explain.)

- 5. Is the parking for your agency sufficient? (Please check one.)
  - ☐ Yes (If YES, please go to question 6.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 5a.)
  - 5a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your parking area more sufficient. (*Please explain.*)
- 6. Are the facilities for your agency adequate? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 7.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 6a.)
  - 6a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your facilities more sufficient. (*Please explain.*)
- 7. Is your property/evidence/storage area sufficient for your agency's needs? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 8.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 7a.)
  - Not applicable; Storage maintained by local police (If N/A, please go to question 8.)
  - 7a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your property/evidence/storage area more sufficient. (Please explain.)
- 8. Is your agency's *technology* sufficient for your agency's needs? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 9.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 8a.)

\_\_\_\_

8a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your technology more sufficient. (Please explain.)

- 9. Is your agency's equipment sufficient for your agency's needs? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 10.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 9a.)

9a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your equipment more sufficient. (Please explain.)

10. Is your agency's firearms training/range access sufficient for your agency's needs? (Please check one.)

- Yes (If YES, please go to question 11.)
- No (If NO, please go to question 10a.)
- Not applicable; Do not carry firearms (If N/A, please go to question 11.)
- 10a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your firearms training/range access more sufficient. (Please explain.)
- 11. Is your agency's *inter-departmental operability* communications equipment sufficient for your agency's needs? (*Please check one.*)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 12.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 11a.)
  - 11a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your inter-departmental operability communications equipment more sufficient. (Please explain.)
- 12. Is your agency's *intra-departmental operability* communications equipment sufficient for your agency's needs? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 13.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 12a.)

-----

12a. If NO, please discuss changes that would make your intra-departmental operability communications equipment more sufficient. (Please explain.)

- 13. Is your agency's communications equipment sufficient for your agency's needs? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 14.)
  - **No** (If NO, please go to question 13a.)

13a. If NO, what additional communications equipment is needed. (Please explain.)

CADD system
Logger recorder
Additional radio frequencies
Additional mobile radios in cars
IBR system upgrades
Talk Groups
Other (Please explain)

14. Does your agency have a formalized planning process to identify resource goals? (Please check one.)

- Yes (If YES, please go to question 14a.)
- No (If NO, please go to question 15.)

14a. Are your resource goals included in the university's or college's annual goals? (Please check one.)

- □ Yes
- □ No
- 15. Does your university or college ask you for an annual needs assessment regarding your resources? (Please check one.)
  - 🛛 Yes
  - 🛛 No
- 16. Does your campus administration receive an annual report from your agency?
  - (Please check one.)

    - 🛛 No
- 17. Does your campus administration inquire as to the nature of your agency's workload on an annual basis? (Please check one.)
  - 🛛 Yes
  - D No
- 18. Does your agency have any pending requests to your university or college for additional funds besides the annual budget (i.e., for equipment improvement, new initiatives)? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 18a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 19.)

18a. Please describe your open requests?

#### NOTE: PLEASE ATTACH PROGRAM/NEW INITIATIVE REQUESTS FOR THE PAST 5 YEARS, INCLUDING WHETHER IT WAS FUNDED, AND TO WHAT DEGREE. ALSO INCLUDE THE AMOUNT OF FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR PROGRAM/NEW INITIATIVES ACROSS THE COLLEGE OR UNIVERSITY.

19. Is your agency eligible to apply for grant funds? (Please check one.)

Yes (If YES, please go to question 21.)

□ No (If NO, please go to question 19a.)

19a. Is your eligibility to apply for grant funding limited by: (Please check all that apply and then go to question 22.)

Priority

□ Status

Other (Please specify.)

20. For what type of grants is your agency eligible to apply? (Please explain.)

21. Please list the grants and award amount your agency has received since September 1999?

Grant

Award Amount

E-57

- 22. Is your agency currently planning any new security programs and/or policies? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 22a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 23.)
  - 22a. Please use the space below to discuss/describe any new security policies/programs that your department is currently planning. (*Please explain.*)
- 23. Does your agency serve more than one geographical location used for educational purposes?
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 23a.)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 24.)
  - 23a. Please use the space below to discuss/describe any unique problems or concerns with being responsible for satellite campuses. (*Please explain.*)

24. What situations and/or events place the greatest strain on your resources? (Please explain.)

\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

25.	Do you have working relationships with any of the following agencies? (Please check all that apply.)
	Alcoholic Beverage Control
	Commonwealth's Attorney
	Court Services
	Local Law Enforcement
	State Law Enforcement
	Federal Law Enforcement
	Local Government
	Victim Witness
	Other (Please list all.)

26. Is the support/working relationship with local law enforcement and other local criminal justice agencies sufficient to accomplish your mission? (*Please explain.*)

27. Does your agency have a memorandum or agreement of understanding between private organizations, local aid, municipalities, other agencies, etc.? (*Please explain and attach agreement*)

28. Please explain any unique problems or concerns for your agency and/or campus that this survey has not addressed: (Please use additional sheets and attach to back of survey, if necessary.)

Name of Individual Completing this Section of the Survey (Please Print)

Signature

Date

E-mail contact

---

# ATTACHMENT F

Judicial Board Survey

#### Judicial Councils/Boards Survey

- 1. What is the name of your college or university? \_\_\_\_\_\_
- 2. Does your college or university have a judicial council or board? (Please check one.)
  - □ Yes (If YES, please go to question 2A-2F)
  - □ No (If NO, please go to question 3)
  - 2A. What is the name of your college or university's judicial council/board?

2B. Who serves on your judicial council/board? (Please list all names and titles. Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

2C. How is your judicial council/board chosen? (Please explain.)

2D. Who can request resolution through your judicial council/board? (Please check one

- Students
- □ Staff
- Faculty
- College Police Department
- Local Police Department
- Other (Please specify.)\_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_

- 2E. Is there an appeal process for judicial council/board decisions? (Please check one.)
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 2F)
  - No (If NO, please go to question 3)
- 2F. To whom is the appeal from a decision of the judicial council/board sent? (Please list the titles of all notified parties.)
- 3. Is there any other campus mechanism that handles matters that could be considered criminal in a court of law?
  - Yes (If YES, please go to question 3A-B)

No (If NO, the survey is completed)

3A. What are the other mechanisms? (Please explain.)

3B. When are these mechanisms used? (Please explain.)
# ATTACHMENT G

Virginia's College and University Profiles

### **COMMONWEALTH COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES PROFILED**

- Appalachian School of Law\*
- Averett University
- Baptist Theological Seminary at Richmond
- Blue Ridge Community College
- Bluefield College
- Bridgewater College
- Central Virginia Community College
- Christopher Newport University
- College of William and Mary
- Dabney S. Lancaster Community College
- Danville Community College
- Eastern Mennonite University
- Eastern Shore Community College
- Eastern Virginia Medical School
- Emory and Henry College
- Ferrum College
- George Mason University
- Germanna Community College
- Hampden-Sydney College
- Hampton University
- Hollins University
- J. Sargeant Reynolds Community College
- James Madison University
- John Tyler Community College
- Liberty University
- Longwood University
- Lord Fairfax Community College
- Lynchburg College
- Mary Baldwin College
- Marymount University
- Mountain Empire Community College
- New River Community College
- Norfolk State University
- Northern Virginia Community College
- Old Dominion University

- Patrick Henry Community College
- Paul D. Camp Community College
- Piedmont Virginia Community College
- Radford University
- Randolph Macon College
- Randolph Macon Woman's College
- Rappahannock Community College
- Richard Bland College
- Roanoke College
- Saint Paul's College
- Shenandoah University
- Southern Virginia University\*
- Southside Regional Medical Center\*
- Southside Virginia Community College
- Southwest Virginia Community College
- Sweet Briar College\*
- Thomas Nelson Community College
- Tidewater Community College
- Union Theological Seminary\*
- University of Mary Washington
- University of Richmond
- University of Virginia
- University of Virginia's College at Wise
- Virginia Commonwealth University
- Virginia Highlands Community College
- Virginia Intermont College
- Virginia Military Institute
- Virginia State University
- Virginia Tech
- Virginia Union University
- Virginia Wesleyan College
- Virginia Western Community College
- Washington and Lee University
- Wytheville Community College

\*SCHEV Fall 2004 Headcount not available. Used Fall 2004 from National Center for Educational Statistics (NCES, Fall 2004)

## **APPALACHIAN SCHOOL OF LAW**

Type: Private 4-Year and above

Region: Western

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

#### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

Student Population: 369 \* (NCES Fall 2004)

- Undergraduates: 0
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 369

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 3

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 3

Residing On-Campus: 0

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: None reported

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$6,140,010

Campus Security Budget: \$17,000

- As % of college budget: 0.3%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$47.89

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: None reported	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: Not reported
Total Security Officers in 2004: None reported	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Not reported	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Not reported	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

#### **APPALACHIAN SCHOOL OF LAW CRIME STATISTICS**

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

Murders:	0
	Murders:

- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 .
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0 • 0
- Robberies: •
- Assaults: 0 ٠ 0
- **Burglaries**: • 0
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: .
- Arson: 0 • 0
- Negligent M: •

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: ٠
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: ۰
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: ٠
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: •
- Arson: .
- Extortion: •
- Burglary: •
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: .
- Embezzlement: .
- Stolen Property Offenses: .
- Vandalism: .
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: .
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- **Prostitution:** .
- Bribery: •

### **AVERETT UNIVERSITY**

*Type:* Private 4-Year and above

Region: Piedmont Pop

Population Category: 2,000-4,999

463

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

#### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

Student Population: 2,719

- Undergraduates: 2,070
- Graduates: 649
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 22

Residence Halls: 5

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 6

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Grant Center Seating Capacity: 3,000 Land Acreage: 20

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus:

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: Cougar Den Stadium Seating Capacity: 3,000

#### Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: None reported

Campus Security Budget: None reported

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: Not available

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 13	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 11	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Yes
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

#### **AVERETT UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS**

#### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

• N	furders:	0
-----	----------	---

- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 . 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: . 0
- Robberies: ٠
- Assaults: 0 •
- **Burglaries**: 0 •
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 • 0
- Arson: •
- Negligent M:

#### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

**Total UCR Incidents:** 

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: ٠
- Aggravated Assault: ٠
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: ٠
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling:
- Kidnapping: ٠
- Robbery: •
- Arson: •
- Extortion: ٠
- Burglary: ٠
- Motor Vehicle Theft: ٠
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- ٠ Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses: ٠
- Vandalism: ٠
- Pornography: ٠
- Weapon Law Violations: ٠
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- **Prostitution:** .
- Bribery: .

### **BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY AT RICHMOND**

*Type:* Private 4-Year and above

Region: Central

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

#### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

Student Population: 202 \* (NCES Fall 2004)

- Undergraduates: 0
- Graduates/ Professional: 202

- Residing On-Campus: 23
- Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 3

Residence Halls: 1

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 1

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: None reported

Campus Security Budget: None reported

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: Not available

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: None reported	Officers Armed on Duty: Not reported
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: Not reported
Total Security Officers in 2004: None reported	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Not reported	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Not reported
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Not reported reported	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Not
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

#### **BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY AT RICHMOND CRIME STATISTICS**

#### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

٠	Murders:	0

- 0 Forcible Sex Offenses: ٠ 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: ۲
- Robberies: .
- Assaults: .
- **Burglaries**: 0 ٠
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 . 0
- Arson: .
- Negligent M: •

#### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

0

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: .
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: .
- Robbery: •
- Arson: •
- Extortion:
- Burglary: •
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: •
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations: ٠
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- Prostitution: •
- Bribery: .

### **BLUE RIDGE COMMUNITY COLLEGE**

Type: Public 2-year

A 0 /

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

**Region:** Northern

#### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 3,942

- Undergraduates: 3,942
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### Campus Profile:

Total Buildings: 11

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 52

Residing On-Campus: 0

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: None reported

**Population Category:** 2,000-4,999

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: \$12,057,481

Campus Security Budget: \$63,000

- As % of college budget: 0.5%
  - Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$15.98

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

#### **Campus Security Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: None reported

Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A

Total Security Officers in 2004: None reported

2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: No

2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No

Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A

Officers Armed on Duty: No

Undergo Formal Training: No

Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes

Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No

Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

#### **BLUE RIDGE COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

#### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Main Campus

Number of Institution Locations: 2

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

- Murders: 0 •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 • 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- Robberies: 0 0
- Assaults: ٠ **Burglaries**:
- 0 ٠ Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 ۰
- Arson: 0 •
- Negligent M: ٠

#### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

0

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: .
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: .
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: .
- Drug Offenses: .
- Gambling: .
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: ٠
- Arson: ٠
- Extortion: •
- Burglary: ٠
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: ٠
- Embezzlement: •
- Stolen Property Offenses: ٠
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- **Prostitution:** .
- Bribery: •

### **BLUEFIELD COLLEGE**

*Type:* Private 4-Year and above

**Region:** Western

Population Category: 0-1,999

209

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

#### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### **Student Population:** 814

- . Undergraduates: 814
- Graduates: 0 .
- Professional: 0 .

#### **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 23** 

**Residence Halls: 3** 

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Dome Seating Capacity: 500

Land Acreage: 75

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 2

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$8,722,000

Campus Security Budget: \$33,248

- As % of college budget: 0.4%
  - Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$40.85 .

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$30,085

As % of PD: 90% .

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 92

#### **Campus Security Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 7	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 6	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: No	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus:

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

#### **BLUEFIELD COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

#### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

٠	Murders:		0
		~ ~~	

- 0 Forcible Sex Offenses: • 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: . 0
- Robberies: •
- Assaults: 0 • 0
- **Burglaries**: •
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 • 0
- Arson: ٠
- ٠ Negligent M:

#### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: .
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: .
- Fraud: .
- Drug Offenses: .
- Gambling: ٠
- Kidnapping: ٠
- Robbery: •
- Arson: ٠
- Extortion: •
- **Burglary**: •
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: •
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- Prostitution: •
- Bribery: •

## BRIDGEWATER COLLEGE

Type: Private 4-Year and above

Region: Northern

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

### SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:

#### Student Population: 1,532

- Undergraduates: 1,532
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 21

Residence Halls: 8

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 3

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Nininger Hall Seating Capacity: None reported

Land Acreage: 225

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 1,239

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: Jopson Field Seating Capacity: 3,000

#### Campus & Police Department Budget:

College Budget: \$22,567,896

Campus Security Budget: None reported

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: Not available

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$130,582

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

#### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 6	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: None reported	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 5	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: No	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

#### **BRIDGEWATER COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

#### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 7

•	Murders:			
	-		0.00	

- 2 Forcible Sex Offenses: ٠ 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: ٠
- Robberies: ٠ Assaults: .
- 0 Burglaries: 3 ٠
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 2 •
- Arson: 0 •
- 0 Negligent M: •

#### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

0

**Total UCR Incidents:** 

Have not yet completed the incident-based system process

- Murders: .
- Forcible Sex Offenses: .
- Aggravated Assault: .
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: ٠
- Larceny: .
- Fraud: ٠
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping:
- Robbery: ٠
- Arson: ٠
- Extortion: •
- **Burglary:** ٠
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: ٠
- Stolen Property Offenses: ٠
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- **Prostitution:** .
- Bribery: .

## **CENTRAL VIRGINIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE**

Type: Public 2-year R

Region: Piedmont Population Category: 2,000-4,999

Residing On-Campus: 0

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

#### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

Student Population: 4,480

- Undergraduates: 4,480
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 6

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 9

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 105

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$10,975,628

Campus Security Budget: None reported

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: Not available

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$99,223

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

#### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 10	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 2	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 6	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: No	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

#### **CENTRAL VIRGINIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

#### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

٠	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	0
•	Assaults:	0
	D 1 '	^

- Burglaries: ۰ 0 Motor Vehicle Thefts: • 0
- 0 Arson: ٠
- 0
- Negligent M: .

#### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

**Total UCR Incidents:** Have not yet completed the incident-based system process

- Murders: .
- Forcible Sex Offenses: .
- Aggravated Assault: ٠
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: ٠
- Larceny: ٠
- Fraud: ٠
- Drug Offenses: ٠
- Gambling: ٠
- Kidnapping: ٠
- Robbery: •
- Arson: ٠
- Extortion: •
- Burglary:
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: •
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography: ۲
- Weapon Law Violations: ٠
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- Prostitution: •
- Bribery: •

## **CHRISTOPHER NEWPORT UNIVERSITY**

*Type:* Public 4-Year and above

Region: Eastern

Population Category: 5,000-9,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

#### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 4,681

- Undergraduates: 4,540
- Graduates: 141
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 33

Residence Halls: 13

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 58

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: The Freeman Center Seating Capacity: 2,300

Land Acreage: 250

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 2,402

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: Pomoco Stadium Seating Capacity: 3,100

#### Campus & Police Department Budget:

College Budget: \$57,050,974

Campus Security Budget: \$836,131

- As % of college budget: 1.5%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$148.57

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$508,263

• As % of PD: 61%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

#### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

### **CHRISTOPHER NEWPORT UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS**

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 6

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	1
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Robberies:	0
•	Assaults:	0
•	Burglaries:	5
٠	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	0
٠	Arson:	0
٠	Negligent M:	0

#### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 231

•	Murders:	0
	Forcible Sex Offenses:	2
		$\frac{2}{0}$
	Aggravated Assault: Simple Assault/Intimidation:	19
•	-	
•	Larceny: Fraud:	112
•		3
٠	Drug Offenses:	12
٠	Gambling:	0
٠	Kidnapping:	0
٠	Robbery:	0
٠	Arson:	0
٠	Extortion:	0
٠	Burglary:	6
٠	Motor Vehicle Theft:	0
٠	Counterfeit/Forgery:	1
٠	Embezzlement:	0
•	Stolen Property Offenses:	2
٠	Vandalism:	112
•	Pornography:	0
•	Weapon Law Violations:	2
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Prostitution:	0
•	Bribery:	0
-		v

## **COLLEGE OF WILLIAM AND MARY**

Type: Public 4-Year and above

**Region:** Eastern

Population Category: 10,000-24,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

#### SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:

**Student Population:** 7.575

- Undergraduates: 5,642 .
- Graduates: 1,338
- Professional: 595 .

### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 184

**Residence Halls: 26** 

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 45

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: William & Mary Hall Seating Capacity: 8,000

Land Acreage: 1000

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 56

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 4,432

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: Walter J. Zable Stadium Seating Capacity: 12,292

#### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$175,500,000

Campus Security Budget: \$1,302,123

- As % of college budget: 0.7% •
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$121.33

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$876,918

As % of PD: 67% •

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 5,161

#### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 34	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 18	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 0	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

### COLLEGE OF WILLIAM AND MARY CRIME STATISTICS

#### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 6

•	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	1
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Robberies:	1
٠	Assaults:	0
٠	Burglaries:	4
•	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	0
٠	Arson:	0
•	Negligent M:	0

#### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 333

•	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	3
•	Aggravated Assault:	0
•	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	12
•	Larceny:	186
•	Fraud:	1
•	Drug Offenses:	6
•	Gambling:	0
•	Kidnapping:	0
•	Robbery:	1
•	Arson:	0
•	Extortion:	0
•	Burglary:	4
•	Motor Vehicle Theft:	0
٠	Counterfeit/Forgery:	0
٠	Embezzlement:	0
٠	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
•	Vandalism:	139
٠	Pornography:	1
•	Weapon Law Violations:	0
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Prostitution:	0
٠	Bribery:	0
	-	

### DABNEY S. LANCASTER COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Type: Public 2-year

Region: Northern Population Category: 0-1,999

Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

#### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 1,487

- Undergraduates: 1,487
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Residing On-Campus: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 5

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 0

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: None reported

Campus Security Budget: None reported

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: Not available

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: None reported	Officers Armed on Duty: Not reported
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: Not reported
Total Security Officers in 2004: None reported	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Not reported	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Not reported
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Not reported reported	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Not
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

#### **DABNEY S. LANCASTER COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

#### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

- Murders: 0 • Forcible Sex Offenses: 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- 0 **Robberies:** 0 .
- Assaults: .
- 0 **Burglaries**: 0 .
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 .
- Arson: ٠
- Negligent M: ٠

#### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

0

0

- Murders: ٠
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: •
- Arson: •
- Extortion: •
- Burglary: .
- Motor Vehicle Theft: .
- Counterfeit/Forgery: .
- Embezzlement: .
- Stolen Property Offenses: .
- Vandalism: .
- Pornography: ۲
- Weapon Law Violations: .
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: ٠
- Prostitution: ٠
- Bribery: .

### **DANVILLE COMMUNITY COLLEGE**

Type: Public 2-year

Region: Piedmont Population Category: 2,000-4,999

Residing On-Campus: 0

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

#### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 4,060

- Undergraduates: 4,060
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 9** 

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 21

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 76

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$11,458,559

Campus Security Budget: \$120,000

- As % of college budget: 1.1%
  - Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$29.56

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 12	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 10	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

#### **DANVILLE COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

#### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

٠	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	0

- Assaults: 0
- Burglaries: 0
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0
- Arson:
- Negligent M:

#### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders:
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Aggravated Assault:
- Simple Assault/Intimidation:
- Larceny:
- Fraud:
- Drug Offenses:
- Gambling:
- Kidnapping:
- Robbery:
- Arson:
- Extortion:
- Burglary:
- Motor Vehicle Theft:
- Counterfeit/Forgery:
- Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses:
- Vandalism:
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Prostitution:
- Bribery:

### EASTERN MENNONITE UNIVERSITY

Type: Private 4-Year and above

*Region:* Northern

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

#### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 1,297

- Undergraduates: 1,029
- Graduates: 154
- Professional: 114

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 47

Residence Halls: 6

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 2

Hospital on campus: None reported health center

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: University Commons Seating Capacity: 1,600 Land Acreage: 100

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 601

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: \$22,784,000

Campus Security Budget: \$82,000

- As % of college budget: 0.4%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$63.22

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: None reported	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: Not reported
Total Security Officers in 2004: None reported	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: No	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Not reported	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

#### EASTERN MENNONITE UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS

#### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 11

٠	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0

- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0
  Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0
  Robberies: 0
- Robberies: Assaults:
- Assaults: 0Burglaries: 10
- Burglaries: 1
  Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0
- Arson:
   Arson:
- Arson:
- Negligent M:

#### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders:
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Aggravated Assault:
- Simple Assault/Intimidation:
- Larceny:
- Fraud:
- Drug Offenses:
- Gambling:
- Kidnapping:
- Robbery:
- Arson:
- Extortion:
- Burglary:
- Motor Vehicle Theft:
- Counterfeit/Forgery:
- Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses:
- Vandalism:
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Prostitution:
- Bribery:

## EASTERN SHORE COMMUNITY COLLEGE

*Type:* Public 2-year

r **Region:** Eastern

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

#### SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:

#### Student Population: 1,017

- Undergraduates: 1,017
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 2** 

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 0

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 0

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: \$3,884,000

Campus Security Budget: None reported

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: Not available

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$7,999

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 1	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 1	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: No	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No

#### **EASTERN SHORE COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

#### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 2

• Murders:	0
------------	---

- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 • 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- Robberies: •
- Assaults: .
- **Burglaries**: 2 ٠
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 ٠ 0
- Arson: ٠
- Negligent M: ٠

#### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

0

0

**Total UCR Incidents:** 

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: ٠
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: ٠
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: ٠
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: •
- Arson: •
- Extortion:
- Burglary: .
- Motor Vehicle Theft: .
- Counterfeit/Forgery: .
- Embezzlement: .
- Stolen Property Offenses: .
- Vandalism: .
- Pornography: ٠
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- **Prostitution:** ٠
- Bribery: •

### EASTERN VIRGINIA MEDICAL SCHOOL

*Type:* Private 4-Year and above

Region: Eastern

Population Category: 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

#### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### **Student Population:** 734

- Undergraduates: 35
- Graduates: 271
- Professional: 428

#### **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 12** 

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 10

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$153,350,367

Campus Security Budget: \$1,282,490

- As % of college budget: 0.8%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$1,747.26 .

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$41,408

As % of PD: 3% .

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

#### **Campus Security Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 55	Officers Armed on Duty: No	
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No	
Total Security Officers in 2004: 54		
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes	
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Yes	
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A	

Residing On-Campus: 0

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

#### **EASTERN VIRGINIA MEDICAL SCHOOL CRIME STATISTICS**

#### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

• Murders:	)
------------	---

- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 ٠
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0
- Robberies: 0 • Assaults: 0 •
- **Burglaries**: 0 ٠
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 .
- Arson: 0 •
- Negligent M: •
- 0

#### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: ٠
- Forcible Sex Offenses: .
- Aggravated Assault: .
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: .
- Fraud: ۰
- Drug Offenses: ٠
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: ٠
- Robbery: .
- Arson: ٠
- Extortion: ٠
- Burglary: ٠
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: .
- **Embezzlement:** •
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: ٠
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- Prostitution: •
- Bribery: •

### **EMORY AND HENRY COLLEGE**

Type: Private 4-Year and above

**Region:** Western

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

#### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 1,052

- Undergraduates: 954
- Graduates: 98
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 43

Residence Halls: 22

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 5

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: King Center Seating Capacity: 1,250 Land Acreage: 168

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 648

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: Fullerton Field Seating Capacity: 7,000

#### Campus & Police Department Budget:

College Budget: \$18,328,856

Campus Security Budget: \$144,912

- As % of college budget: 0.8%
  - Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$111.64

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$117,639

• As % of PD: 81%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 222

#### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 9	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes	
Total Field Officers in 2004: 2	Undergo Formal Training: No	
Total Security Officers in 2004: 5		
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No	
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No	
Mutual Aid Agreements: No	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No	

#### **EMORY AND HENRY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

#### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 5

•	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	0
•	Assaults:	0

- Assaults:
- **Burglaries**: ٠
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 •
- Arson: 0 . 0
- Negligent M: •

#### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

5

Total UCR Incidents: 5

•	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Aggravated Assault:	0
•	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	0
•	Larceny:	5
•	Fraud:	0
•	Drug Offenses:	0
•	Gambling:	0
•	Kidnapping:	0
•	Robbery:	0
٠	Arson:	0
٠	Extortion:	0
•	Burglary:	0
•	Motor Vehicle Theft:	0
•	Counterfeit/Forgery:	0
٠	Embezzlement:	0
•	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
•	Vandalism:	0
•	Pornography:	0
•	Weapon Law Violations:	0
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Prostitution:	0
•	Bribery:	0
	2	

### FERRUM COLLEGE

*Type:* Private 4-Year and above

Region: Piedmont

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

#### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 936

- Undergraduates: 936
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 53

Residence Halls: 5

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 25 to 30

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Swartz Gymnasium Seating Capacity: 1,000 Land Acreage: 650

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 633

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: W.B. Adams Seating Capacity: 4,000

#### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$19,957,960

Campus Security Budget: \$239,075

- As % of college budget: 1.2%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$195.80

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$180,974

• As % of PD: 76%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

#### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 10	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 6	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 0	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes	Concurrent Jurisdiction: Yes

#### FERRUM COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

#### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 36

٠	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	2
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	0
٠	Assaults:	0
٠	Burglaries:	28
٠	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	0
٠	Arson:	6
٠	Negligent M:	0

#### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 75

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	2
٠	Aggravated Assault:	0
•	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	6
•	Larceny:	38
•	Fraud:	0
•	Drug Offenses:	4
•	Gambling:	0
•	Kidnapping:	0
•	Robbery:	0
•	Arson:	6
٠	Extortion:	0
٠	Burglary:	4
٠	Motor Vehicle Theft:	1
٠	Counterfeit/Forgery:	0
٠	Embezzlement:	0
٠	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
•	Vandalism:	14
٠	Pornography:	0
٠	Weapon Law Violations:	0
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Prostitution:	0
٠	Bribery:	0

## **GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY**

Type: Public 4-Year and above

Region: Northern Virginia Population Category: 25,000+

Residing On-Campus: 3,840

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

#### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

#### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 28,874

- Undergraduates: 17,364
- Graduates: 10,787
- Professional: 723

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 120

Residence Halls: 32

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 30

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Patriot Center Seating Capacity: 10,000

Land Acreage: 677

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### Campus & Police Department Budget:

College Budget: \$455,100,000

Campus Security Budget: \$3,959,674

- As % of college budget: 0.9%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$121.76

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$2,792,377

• As % of PD: 71%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 4,000

#### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 63	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes	
Total Field Officers in 2004: 40	Undergo Formal Training: Yes	
Total Security Officers in 2004: 16		
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes	
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No	
Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No	
# GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 3

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 64

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	16
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	
٠	Robberies:	1
٠	Assaults:	5
٠	Burglaries:	19
٠	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	20
٠	Arson:	3
٠	Negligent M:	0

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 596

		•
٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	8
۲	Aggravated Assault:	6
٠	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	36
•	Larceny:	307
•	Fraud:	13
•	Drug Offenses:	103
•	Gambling:	0
•	Kidnapping:	2
٠	Robbery:	1
٠	Arson:	3
•	Extortion:	1
٠	Burglary:	19
•	Motor Vehicle Theft:	20
•	Counterfeit/Forgery:	12
٠	Embezzlement:	0
٠	Stolen Property Offenses:	2
•	Vandalism:	114
•	Pornography:	0
٠	Weapon Law Violations:	6
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Prostitution:	0
٠	Bribery:	0
	-	

# **GERMANNA COMMUNITY COLLEGE**

Type: Public 2-year

Region: Northern Population Category: 2,000-4,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 4,799

- Undergraduates: 4,799
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

# **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 1

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 2

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 100

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 0

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

## Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: \$12,155,576

Campus Security Budget: \$220,673

- As % of college budget: 1.8%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$45.98

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$163,242

• As % of PD: 74%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 14	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 13	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: No	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# GERMANNA COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

## 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Main Campus

Number of Institution Locations: 2

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 1

- Murders: .
- Forcible Sex Offenses: ٠
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0 • 0
- Robberies: ٠
- Assaults: ٠
- Burglaries: ٠
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: ٠
- Arson: .
- Negligent M:

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

0

0

1

0

0

0

0

- Murders: .
- Forcible Sex Offenses: ٠
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: .
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: •
- Arson: ٠
- Extortion: ٠
- Burglary: ٠
- Motor Vehicle Theft: ٠
- Counterfeit/Forgery: ٠
- Embezzlement: ٠
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: ٠
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- Prostitution: •
- Bribery: •

# HAMPDEN-SYDNEY COLLEGE

*Type:* Private 4-Year and above

Region: Piedmont P

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 1,082

- Undergraduates: 1,082
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

# Campus Profile:

Total Buildings: 82

Residence Halls: 16

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 2

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Kirby Field House Seating Capacity: 2,400

Land Acreage: 660

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: None reported

Residing On-Campus: 1,082

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: Hundley Stadium Seating Capacity: 3,500

#### Campus & Police Department Budget:

College Budget: \$42,232,118

Campus Security Budget: \$399,588

- As % of college budget: 0.9%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$286.65

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$223,903

• As % of PD: 56%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 965

# **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 13	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 10	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 0	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: No	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

# HAMPDEN-SYDNEY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 31

•	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	1
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	0
•	Assaults:	0

- Burglaries: 30
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0
- Arson:
- Negligent M:

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: Have not yet completed incident-based system process

0

0

- Murders:
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Aggravated Assault:
- Simple Assault/Intimidation:
- Larceny:
- Fraud:
- Drug Offenses:
- Gambling:
- Kidnapping:
- Robbery:
- Arson:
- Extortion:
- Burglary:
- Motor Vehicle Theft:
- Counterfeit/Forgery:
- Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses:
- Vandalism:
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Prostitution:
- Bribery:

# HAMPTON UNIVERSITY

*Type:* Private 4-Year and above

Region: Eastern

Population Category: 5,000-9,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 6,154

- Undergraduates: 5,315
- Graduates: 640
- Professional: 199

- Residing On-Campus: 2,818
- Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

#### **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 95** Land Acreage: 250 Residence Halls: 24 Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported Campus Escort Service: None reported Hospital on campus: None reported Athletics: Basketball Stadium: Hampton University Convocation Center Football Stadium/Field: Armstrong Stadium Seating Capacity: 7,200 Seating Capacity: 16,000 **Campus & Police Department Budget:** College Budget: \$124,141,000 Campus Security Budget: \$1,021,078 As % of college budget: 0.8% ٠ Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$137.80 Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$1,299,852 • As % of PD: 127% Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 18,786

#### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 37	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 15	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 9	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: No	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

# HAMPTON UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 57

•	Murders:	0
	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	2
•	Assaults:	2
•	Burglaries:	49
•	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	4
•	Arson:	0
•	Negligent M:	0

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 214

_	Murders:	0
•		
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Aggravated Assault:	0
٠	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	16
٠	Larceny:	147
•	Fraud:	11
٠	Drug Offenses:	8
٠	Gambling:	0
٠	Kidnapping:	0
•	Robbery:	2
٠	Arson:	0
٠	Extortion:	0
٠	Burglary:	35
•	Motor Vehicle Theft:	0
•	Counterfeit/Forgery:	1
•	Embezzlement:	1
•	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
•	Vandalism:	26
•	Pornography:	0
٠	Weapon Law Violations:	4
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Prostitution:	0
•	Bribery:	0
	-	

# **HOLLINS UNIVERSITY**

*Type:* Private 4-Year and above

Region: Piedmont

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

Student Population: 1,056

- Undergraduates: 819
- Graduates: 237
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 85

Residence Halls: 10

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 12

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Hollins Athletic Complex Seating Capacity: 500 Land Acreage: 475

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 655

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$33,141,000

Campus Security Budget: \$341,461

- As % of college budget: 1.0%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$323.35

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$304,519

• As % of PD: 89%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 336

Total Employees in 2004: 12	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 6	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# HOLLINS UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 7

Murders:	0
----------	---

- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0 •
- **Robberies:** 0 •
- Assaults: 2 5
- **Burglaries**:
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 . 0
- Arson: •
- Negligent M: •

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

**Total UCR Incidents:** 

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: .
- Drug Offenses: ٠
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: ٠
- Robbery: .
- Arson: ۰
- Extortion: •
- Burglary: ٠
- Motor Vehicle Theft: ٠
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: •
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: .
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- **Prostitution:** •
- Bribery: •

# J. SARGEANT REYNOLDS COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Type: Public 2-year Region: Central

Population Category: 10,000-24,999

Residing On-Campus: 0

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

## **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 11,678

- Undergraduates: 11,678
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 12

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 109

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$31,737,226

Campus Security Budget: \$625,144

- As % of college budget: 2.0%
  - Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$53.53

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$472,083

• As % of PD: 76%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 23	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: Not reported
Total Security Officers in 2004: 22	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: No	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Not reported
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# J. SARGEANT REYNOLDS COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Parham Campus

Number of Institution Locations: 3

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

- Murders: 0 ٠
- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 • 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- Robberies: • 0 0
- Assaults: .
- **Burglaries**: 0 • 0
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: • 0
- Arson: .
- Negligent M: •

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

Total UCR Incidents: NOTE: Security Department became a police department as of January 1, 2004.

- Murders: .
- Forcible Sex Offenses: ٠
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: ٠
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: ۰
- Arson: ٠
- Extortion: ٠
- Burglary: ٠
- Motor Vehicle Theft: ٠
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: •
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- Prostitution: •
- Bribery: •

# **JAMES MADISON UNIVERSITY**

Type: Public 4-Year and above

*Region:* Northern

Population Category: 10,000-24,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

**Student Population:** 16,108

- . Undergraduates: 14,954
- Graduates: 1,154
- Professional: 0 •

#### **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 180** 

Residence Halls: 31

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 185

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: JMU Convocation Center Seating Capacity: 7,600

Land Acreage: 605

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: Bridgeforth Stadium Seating Capacity: 12,000

## **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$128,353,694

Campus Security Budget: \$1,482,972

- As % of college budget: 1.2%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$77.48 •

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$922,871

As % of PD: 62% •

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 1,803

## **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 71	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 20	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 0	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes	Concurrent Jurisdiction: Yes

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 5,516

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

# JAMES MADISON UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 2

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 29

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	5
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Robberies:	4
٠	Assaults:	0
٠	Burglaries:	18
٠	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	1
•	Arson:	1
•	Negligent M:	0

• Negligent M:

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 371

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	1
•	Aggravated Assault:	3
٠	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	8
٠	Larceny:	197
•	Fraud:	15
•	Drug Offenses:	49
•	Gambling:	0
•	Kidnapping:	2
•	Robbery:	0
•	Arson:	1
•	Extortion:	0
•	Burglary:	9
•	Motor Vehicle Theft:	4
٠	Counterfeit/Forgery:	2
•	Embezzlement:	0
٠	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
٠	Vandalism:	115
٠	Pornography:	3
٠	Weapon Law Violations:	1
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Prostitution:	0
٠	Bribery:	0

# JOHN TYLER COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Type: Public 2-year

Region: Central

Population Category: 5,000-9,999

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 6,092

- Undergraduates: 6,092
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 5** 

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 0

Residing On-Campus: 0

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

## **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$19,523,780

Campus Security Budget: \$307,568

- As % of college budget: 1.6%
  - Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$50.49

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$272,414

• As % of PD: 89%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 19	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 18	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# JOHN TYLER COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Main Campus

Number of Institution Locations: 3

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

- Murders: .
- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 . 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- **Robberies:** 0 Assaults: 0 .
- **Burglaries**: 0 •
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 •
- Arson: 0 •
- Negligent M: •

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses Total UCR Incidents:

0

0

- Murders: .
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: ٠
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: .
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: ٠
- Arson: •
- Extortion: •
- Burglary: ٠
- Motor Vehicle Theft: ٠
- Counterfeit/Forgery: ٠
- Embezzlement: ٠
- Stolen Property Offenses: ٠
- Vandalism: ٠
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: .
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Prostitution: .
- Bribery: •

# LIBERTY UNIVERSITY

Type: Private 4-Year and above

Region: Piedmont

Population Category: 5,000-9,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

Student Population: 10,481

- Undergraduates: 8,708
- Graduates: 1,498
- Professional: 275

#### Campus Profile:

Total Buildings: 119

Residence Halls: 51

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: The Vines Convocation Center Seating Capacity: 9,500

Campus & Police Department Budget:

College Budget: None reported

Campus Security Budget: \$1,565,004

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$173.08

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$1,253,634

• As % of PD: 80%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 2,400

## **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 63	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes	
Total Field Officers in 2004: 19	Undergo Formal Training: No	
Total Security Officers in 2004: 30		
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No	
	Services Outsourced to I fivate Security. No	
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No	

Land Acreage: 282,184

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 4,695

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: The Hancock Athletic Center Seating Capacity: 12,000

# LIBERTY UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 20

• Murders:	0
------------	---

- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 • 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: • 1
- Robberies: ٠
- Assaults: 0 •
- **Burglaries**: 12 ٠
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: ٠ 1 6
- Arson: ٠ 0
- ٠ Negligent M:

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

**Total UCR Incidents:** Have not yet completed incident-based system process

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: .
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: •
- Arson: .
- Extortion: .
- Burglary: •
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: ٠
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- **Prostitution:** ٠
- Bribery: •

# LONGWOOD UNIVERSITY

Type: Public 4-Year and above

Region: Piedmont

Population Category: 5,000-9,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 4,289

- Undergraduates: 3,739
- Graduates: 550
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 65

Residence Halls: 13

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 30

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Willett Hall Seating Capacity: 5,000

Land Acreage: 185

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 11

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 2,499

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

## Campus & Police Department Budget:

College Budget: \$53,867,888

Campus Security Budget: \$577,086

- As % of college budget: 1.1%
  - Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$115.42

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$523,903

• As % of PD: 91%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 2,165

## **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 30	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes	
Total Field Officers in 2004: 16	Undergo Formal Training: No	
Total Security Officers in 2004: 0		
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No	
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No	
Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No	

# LONGWOOD UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 2

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 10

•	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	9
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	0
•	Assaults:	0
•	Burglaries:	1
٠	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	0
٠	Arson:	0
٠	Negligent M:	0

• Negligent M:

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 85

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Aggravated Assault:	1
•	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	3
•	Larceny:	44
•	Fraud:	0
•	Drug Offenses:	4
•	Gambling:	0
•	Kidnapping:	0
•	Robbery:	0
•	Arson:	0
•	Extortion:	0
•	Burglary:	0
	Motor Vehicle Theft:	0
•	Counterfeit/Forgery:	0
	Embezzlement:	0
	Stolen Property Offenses:	1
•	Vandalism:	32
	Pornography:	0
	Weapon Law Violations:	0
	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
	Prostitution:	0
	Bribery:	0

# LORD FAIRFAX COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Type: Public 2-year

Region: Northern Population Category: 5,000-9,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 5,416

- Undergraduates: 5,416
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

# • Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Residing On-Campus: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 3

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 120

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: \$15,000,000

Campus Security Budget: \$173,000

- As % of college budget: 1.2%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$31.94

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: None reported	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: Not reported
Total Security Officers in 2004: None reported	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Not reported	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Not reported	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# LORD FAIRFAX COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Main Campus

Number of Institution Locations: 2

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

- Murders: 0 •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 .
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0 .
- Robberies: 0 .
- Assaults: 0 . 0
- **Burglaries**: .
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: .
- Arson: 0 • 0
- Negligent M:

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

0

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: .
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: ٠
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: ٠
- Kidnapping: ٠
- Robbery: ٠
- Arson: ٠
- Extortion: .
- Burglary: ٠
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: ٠
- Embezzlement: .
- Stolen Property Offenses: .
- Vandalism: ٠
- Pornography: ٠
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- **Prostitution:** •
- Bribery: .

# LYNCHBURG COLLEGE

*Type:* Private 4-Year and above

**Region:** Piedmont

Population Category: 2,000-4,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 2,248

- Undergraduates: 1,934
- Graduates: 314
- Professional: 0

# Residing On-Campus: 1,509

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 0

Residence Halls: 6

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 18

Hospital on campus: None reported health center

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Turner Gymnasium Seating Capacity: 2,200

Land Acreage: 686

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 6

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

## Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: None reported

Campus Security Budget: None reported

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: Not available

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 21	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 14	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Not reported
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Not reported
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# LYNCHBURG COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

## 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 5

•	Murders:	0
---	----------	---

- Forcible Sex Offenses: 2 ٠ 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: . 0
- Robberies: •
- Assaults: •
- Burglaries: .
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: .
- Arson: .
- Negligent M: .

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

2

1

0

0

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: ٠
- Forcible Sex Offenses: ٠
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: ٠
- Drug Offenses: .
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: ٠
- Robbery: •
- Arson: •
- Extortion:
- Burglary: ٠
- Motor Vehicle Theft: ٠
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: ٠
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- **Prostitution:** •
- Bribery: •

# MARY BALDWIN COLLEGE

Type: Private 4-Year and above

*Region:* Northern

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 1,718

- Undergraduates: 1,524
- Graduates: 194
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 43** 

Residence Halls: 6

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported health center

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Physical Activities Center Seating Capacity: 500

Land Acreage: 54

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 1

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 668

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

#### College Budget: \$30,291,886

Campus Security Budget: \$383,090

- As % of college budget: 1.3%
  - Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$222.99

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$301,168

• As % of PD: 79%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 17	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 8	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# MARY BALDWIN COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 7

•	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	1

- Forcible Sex Offenses: ٠
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0 •
- Robberies: 0 5
- Assaults: •
- **Burglaries**: . 1 0
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: • 0
- Arson: •
- Negligent M: •

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: ٠
- Forcible Sex Offenses: ٠
- Aggravated Assault: ٠
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: ٠
- ٠ Larceny:
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: •
- Arson: •
- Extortion: ٠
- **Burglary**: ٠
- Motor Vehicle Theft: ٠
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- **Embezzlement:** •
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- Prostitution: ٠
- Bribery: ٠

# **MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY**

Type: Private 4-Year and above

**Region:** Northern Virginia **Population Category:** 2,000-4,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 3,717

- Undergraduates: 2,227 •
- Graduates: 1,490 .
- Professional: 0 .

## **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 14

Residence Halls: 5

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 13

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Verizon Sports Arena Seating Capacity: 1,000

Land Acreage: 22

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 5

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

## **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$46,500,000

Campus Security Budget: \$1,216,060

- As % of college budget: 2.6% ٠
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$327.16

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$881,169

• As % of PD: 72%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

### **Campus Security Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 21	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 17	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Yes
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Residing On-Campus: 665

# MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

٠	Mu	rders:			

- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0
- Robberies: 0Assaults: 0
- Assaults: 0Burglaries: 0
- Motor Vehicle Thefts:
- Arson:
- Negligent M:

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

0

0

0

0

- Murders:
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Aggravated Assault:
- Simple Assault/Intimidation:
- Larceny:
- Fraud:
- Drug Offenses:
- Gambling:
- Kidnapping:
- Robbery:
- Arson:
- Extortion:
- Burglary:
- Motor Vehicle Theft:
- Counterfeit/Forgery:
- Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses:
- Vandalism:
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Prostitution:
- Bribery:

# **MOUNTAIN EMPIRE COMMUNITY COLLEGE**

Type: Public 2-year

ear **Region:** Western

Population Category: 2,000-4,999

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 2,906

- Undergraduates: 2,906
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 5

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 6

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 100

Residing On-Campus: 0

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

### Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: \$21,827,172

Campus Security Budget: \$120,527

- As % of college budget: 0.6%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$41.48

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$37,863

• As % of PD: 31%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 86

Total Employees in 2004: 2	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 2	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# **MOUNTAIN EMPIRE COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 . 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- Robberies: 0 .
- Assaults: 0 • 0
- **Burglaries:** ٠ 0
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: •
- Arson: 0 • 0
- Negligent M: •

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses Total UCR Incidents:

0

- Murders: ٠
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: ٠
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: .
- Robbery: .
- Arson: .
- Extortion: .
- Burglary: .
- Motor Vehicle Theft: .
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: •
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography: .
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- **Prostitution:** •
- Bribery: .

# **NEW RIVER COMMUNITY COLLEGE**

Type: Public 2-year

Population Category: 2,000-4,999

Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

Region: Western

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 4,103

- Undergraduates: 4,103
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 4

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 1

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 100

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 0

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$17,457,865

Campus Security Budget: None reported

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: Not available

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 9	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 8	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# **NEW RIVER COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

## 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

•	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0

- Forcible Sex Offenses: ٠ Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- 0 ٠ 0
- Robberies: . Assaults: •
- 0 **Burglaries**: 0 ٠
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 ٠
- Arson: •
- 0 Negligent M:
- •

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: ٠
- Kidnapping: ٠
- Robbery: •
- Arson: •
- . Extortion:
- Burglary: .
- Motor Vehicle Theft: .
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: ٠
- Stolen Property Offenses: ٠
- Vandalism: ٠
- ٠ Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- Prostitution: •
- Bribery: •

# **NORFOLK STATE UNIVERSITY**

Type: Public 4-Year and above

**Region:** Eastern

Population Category: 5,000-9,999

Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 6,165

- Undergraduates: 5,393 .
- Graduates: 772
- Professional: 0

## **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 36** 

**Residence Halls: 8** 

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 31

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Joseph G. Echols Hall Seating Capacity: 8,000

Land Acreage: 136

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 8

Residing On-Campus: 1,824

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: Dick Price Stadium Seating Capacity: 30,000

# **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$109,597,308

Campus Security Budget: \$2,480,347

- As % of college budget: 2.3% •
  - Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$341.46 .

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$1,195,988

As % of PD: 48% ٠

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

# **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 62	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 23	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 16	
2 Redie Couchilite in inniedictions Ver	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes 2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Yes

# NORFOLK STATE UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 127

٠	Murders:	1
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	4
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	10
٠	Assaults:	6
٠	Burglaries:	89
٠	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	12
•	Arson:	5
٠	Negligent M:	0

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 318

٠	Murders:	1
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	5
•	Aggravated Assault:	4
٠	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	24
•	Larceny:	138
•	Fraud:	6
•	Drug Offenses:	4
•	Gambling:	0
•	Kidnapping:	1
•	Robbery:	14
•	Arson:	4
٠	Extortion:	0
•	Burglary:	68
٠	Motor Vehicle Theft:	14
٠	Counterfeit/Forgery:	1
٠	Embezzlement:	0
•	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
•	Vandalism:	69
•	Pornography:	0
•	Weapon Law Violations:	3
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	1
•	Prostitution:	0
•	Bribery:	0

# NORTHERN VIRGINIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Type: Public 2-year

Region: Northern Virginia Population Category: 10,000-24,999

Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

Student Population: 37,392

- Undergraduates: 37,392
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 12

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 30

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Ernst Gymnasium Seating Capacity: 250

Land Acreage: 76

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 0

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$109,814,652

Campus Security Budget: \$2,005,873

- As % of college budget: 1.8%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$87.49

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$1,309,785

• As % of PD: 65%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 1,600

#### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 10	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 8	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 0	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: No	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

# NORTHERN VIRGINIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Main Campus

Number of Institution Locations: 5

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 7

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	1
•	Assaults:	1
•	Burglaries:	0
•	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	5
•	Arson:	0
•	Negligent M:	0

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 220

Total	UCR	Offenses:	229

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Aggravated Assault:	0
٠	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	8
•	Larceny:	150
•	Fraud:	2
•	Drug Offenses:	0
•	Gambling:	0
•	Kidnapping:	0
•	Robbery:	1
•	Arson:	0
•	Extortion:	0
•	Burglary:	0
•	Motor Vehicle Theft:	7
•	Counterfeit/Forgery:	1
•	Embezzlement:	0
٠	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
•	Vandalism:	59
•	Pornography:	0
	Weapon Law Violations:	1
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Prostitution:	0
	Bribery:	0
-	Ditolij.	v

# **OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY**

Type: Public 4-Year and above

Region: Eastern

Population Category: 25,000+

Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

## **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

Student Population: 20,595

- Undergraduates: 14,417
- Graduates: 6,178
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 112

Residence Halls: 8

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 130

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Ted Constant Convocation Center Seating Capacity: 8,600

Land Acreage: 188

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 4

Residing On-Campus: 2,087

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: Foreman Field Seating Capacity: 17,000

#### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$262,700,000

Campus Security Budget: \$1,830,659

- As % of college budget: 0.7%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$69.39

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$1,227,470

• As % of PD: 67%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 1,332

## **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 87	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes		
Total Field Officers in 2004: 34	Undergo Formal Training: Yes		
Total Security Officers in 2004: 40			
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No		
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No		
Mutual Aid Agreements: No	Concurrent Jurisdiction: Yes		
# **OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS**

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 20

•	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	3
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Robberies:	3
٠	Assaults:	2
٠	Burglaries:	4
•	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	8
•	Arson:	0

- Arson:
- Negligent M: •

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

Total UCR Incidents: 185

• • • •	Murders: Forcible Sex Offenses: Aggravated Assault: Simple Assault/Intimidation: Larceny: Fraud:	0 0 0 8 97 0
	Praud: Drug Offenses: Gambling: Kidnapping: Robbery: Arson: Extortion: Burglary: Motor Vehicle Theft: Counterfeit/Forgery: Embezzlement: Stolen Property Offenses: Vandalism: Pornography: Weapon Law Violations: Nonforcible Sex Offenses: Prostitution:	3 0 0 1 0 0 2 5 7 0 2 65 0 3 0 0
•	Bribery:	0

# PATRICK HENRY COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Type: Public 2-year Region: Piedmont

t Population Category: 2,000-4,999

Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:

#### Student Population: 3,341

- Undergraduates: 3,341
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 7

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 4

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: William F. Stone Hall Seating Capacity: 936

Land Acreage: 137

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 0

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: None reported

Campus Security Budget: \$115,900

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$34.69

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$92,900

• As % of PD: 80%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 7	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 5	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: No	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

### PATRICK HENRY COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

• Murders:	0
------------	---

- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0
- Robberies: 0
- Assaults: 0
  Burglaries: 0
- Burglaries:
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0
  Arson: 0
- Arson:
- Negligent M:

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders:
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Aggravated Assault:
- Simple Assault/Intimidation:
- Larceny:
- Fraud:
- Drug Offenses:
- Gambling:
- Kidnapping:
- Robbery:
- Arson:
- Extortion:
- Burglary:
- Motor Vehicle Theft:
- Counterfeit/Forgery:
- Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses:
- Vandalism:
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Prostitution:
- Bribery:

# PAUL D. CAMP COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Type: Public 2-year

ar **Region:** Eastern

Population Category: 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:

#### Student Population: 1,468

- Undergraduates: 1,468
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 0

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Residing On-Campus: 0

Land Acreage: 0

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: None reported

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

# Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: \$8,500,123

Campus Security Budget: \$114,274

- As % of college budget: 1.3%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$77.84

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$114,274

• As % of PD: 100%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

#### **Campus Security Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 6Officers Armed on Duty: NoTotal Field Officers in 2004: N/AUndergo Formal Training: NoTotal Security Officers in 2004: None reportedServices Outsourced to Private Security: No2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: NoServices Outsourced to Private Security: No2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: NoServices Outsourced to Law Enforcement: NoMutual Aid Agreements: N/AConcurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# PAUL D. CAMP COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Franklin Campus

Number of Institution Locations: 2

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

- Murders: 0 •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 • 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- Robberies: •
- Assaults: •
- **Burglaries**: 0 • 0
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: ٠ 0
- Arson: ٠
- Negligent M: ٠

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

0

0

0

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: ٠
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: ٠
- Kidnapping: ٠
- Robbery: •
- Arson: •
- Extortion: •
- Burglary: •
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: .
- Embezzlement: .
- Stolen Property Offenses: ٠
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: .
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- **Prostitution:** .
- Bribery: .

# PIEDMONT VIRGINIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Type: Public 2-year

ear **Region:** Northern

Population Category: 2,000-4,999

Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 4,358

- Undergraduates: 4,358
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 5

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 114

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 0

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$11,467,556

Campus Security Budget: \$96,198

- As % of college budget: 0.8%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$22.07

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$65,267

• As % of PD: 68%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 6	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 5	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# PIEDMONT VIRGINIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

٠	Murders:	0

- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 ٠ 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: . 0
- Robberies: ٠
- Assaults: 0 •
- **Burglaries**: 0 • Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 •
- Arson: 0 •
- •
- Negligent M:

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: ٠
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: ٠
- Larceny: ٠
- Fraud: ٠
- Drug Offenses: ٠
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: .
- Arson: •
- Extortion: •
- **Burglary**: •
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: •
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- **Prostitution:** •
- Bribery: •

# **RADFORD UNIVERSITY**

*Type:* Public 4-Year and above

Region: Western

Population Category: 10,000-24,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 9,329

- Undergraduates: 8,356 •
- Graduates: 973 ۰
- Professional: 0 .

#### **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 45** 

Residence Halls: 15

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 21

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Donald N. Dedmon Center Seating Capacity: 3,500

Land Acreage: 638

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 21

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$96,207,723

Campus Security Budget: \$1,149,533

- As % of college budget: 1.2%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$107.58

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$529,144

As % of PD: 46% •

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 2,951

# **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 28	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 16	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 1	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

Residing On-Campus: 2,905

# **RADFORD UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS**

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 14

•	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	6
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	0
•	Assaults:	3
•	Burglaries:	2
٠	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	3
•	Arson:	0
•	Negligent M:	0

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 195

•	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	5
	Aggravated Assault:	4
)	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	22
	Larceny:	64
	Fraud:	9
	Drug Offenses:	26
	Gambling:	0
	Kidnapping:	0
	Robbery:	0
	Arson:	0
	Extortion:	0
	Burglary:	0
	Motor Vehicle Theft:	3
	Counterfeit/Forgery:	1
	Embezzlement:	0
	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
	Vandalism:	68
	Pornography:	0
	Weapon Law Violations:	2
	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
	Prostitution:	0
	Bribery:	0

# **RANDOLPH MACON COLLEGE**

Type: Private 4-Year and above

Region: Central

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 1,127

- Undergraduates: 1,127
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

# **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 59** 

Residence Halls: 12

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 22

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Crenshaw Gymnasium Seating Capacity: 1,680

Land Acreage: 120

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 11

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 943

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: Day Field Seating Capacity: 2,165

#### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: None reported

Campus Security Budget: \$388,903

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$345.08

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$363,067

• As % of PD: 93%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 15	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 6.5	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Yes
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# **RANDOLPH MACON COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 25

- Murders: . Forcible Sex Offenses: .
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- 0 Robberies: 0 •
- Assaults: 4 .
- **Burglaries**: 13 •
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 •
- 0 Arson: . 0
- Negligent M: •

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

8

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: ٠
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: .
- Larceny: .
- Fraud: .
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: ٠
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: •
- Arson: •
- Extortion: .
- Burglary: •
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: ٠
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: .
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- **Prostitution:** ٠
- Bribery: •

# **RANDOLPH MACON WOMAN'S COLLEGE**

Type: Private 4-Year and above

Region: Piedmont

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 736

- Undergraduates: 736
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

# Residing On-Campus: 605

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

#### **Campus Profile:**

#### Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: None reported

Campus Security Budget: None reported

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: Not available

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 17	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 9	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Yes
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# **RANDOLPH MACON WOMAN'S COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 4

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: 2 . Ø
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- **Robberies:** ٠
- Assaults: .
- **Burglaries**: .
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: .
- Arson: 0 • 0
- Negligent M: •

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

0

0

2

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: .
- Aggravated Assault: .
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: ٠
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: .
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: •
- Arson: •
- Extortion: ٠
- Burglary: •
- Motor Vehicle Theft: ٠
- Counterfeit/Forgery: ٠
- Embezzlement: .
- Stolen Property Offenses: ٠
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography: ٠
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: ٠
- **Prostitution:** •
- Bribery: •

# **RAPPAHANNOCK COMMUNITY COLLEGE**

Type: Public 2-year

r **Region:** Eastern

Population Category: 2,000-4,999

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

Student Population: 2,691

- Undergraduates: 2,691
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

# **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 4

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 5

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 100

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 0

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$8,649,898

Campus Security Budget: \$24,995

- As % of college budget: 0.3%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$9.29

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$18,853

• As % of PD: 75%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

#### **Campus Security Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 3Officers Armed on Duty: NoTotal Field Officers in 2004: N/AUndergo Formal Training: NoTotal Security Officers in 2004: 3Services Outsourced to Private Security: No2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: YesServices Outsourced to Private Security: No2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: NoServices Outsourced to Law Enforcement: NoMutual Aid Agreements: N/AConcurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# **RAPPAHANNOCK COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

• Murders:	0
------------	---

- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0 • 0
- Robberies:
- Assaults: •
- **Burglaries**: 0 • 0
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: .
- Arson: •
- Negligent M: ٠

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

0

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: .
- Arson: .
- Extortion: .
- **Burglary**: .
- Motor Vehicle Theft: .
- Counterfeit/Forgery: .
- Embezzlement: •
- Stolen Property Offenses: ٠
- Vandalism: ٠
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: ٠
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- Prostitution: •
- Bribery: •

# G-86

# **RICHARD BLAND COLLEGE**

Type: Public 2-year

Population Category: 0-1,999

# Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

.

**Region:** Central

# SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:

# Student Population: 1,409

- Undergraduates: 1,409
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

# **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 11

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Statesman Hall Seating Capacity: None reported

Residing On-Campus: 0

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Land Acreage: 712

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

# Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: \$6,646,687

Campus Security Budget: \$107,500

- As % of college budget: 1.6%
  - Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$76.30

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$115,000

• As % of PD: 107%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 6	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 7	
2 mars De die Constitution in instaliation. No	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: No	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: No 2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No

# **RICHARD BLAND COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 1

•	Murders:	0

- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0 •
- Robberies: • 0
- Assaults: 0 • 0
- **Burglaries**: ٠ 1
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: ٠
- Arson: . .
- Negligent M:

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: ٠
- Forcible Sex Offenses: ٠
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: ٠
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: ٠
- Arson: •
- Extortion:
- Burglary: •
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: .
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: .
- . Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations: .
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- Prostitution: •
- Bribery: •

# **ROANOKE COLLEGE**

Type: Private 4-Year and above Region: Piedmont Population Category: 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 1,850

- Undergraduates: 1,850
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 48

Residence Halls: 22

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 26

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: The C. Homer Bast Center Seating Capacity: 1,800

Land Acreage: 86

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 1,106

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$52,529,827

Campus Security Budget: \$561,243

- As % of college budget: 1.1%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$303.37

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$380,783

• As % of PD: 68%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 502

Total Employees in 2004: 30	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 7	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Yes
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# **ROANOKE COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 10

- Murders:Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
  Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Robberies:
- Assaults:
- Burglaries:
- Motor Vehicle Thefts:
- Arson: 0
- Negligent M:

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

1

0

0

0

9

0

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders:
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Aggravated Assault:
- Simple Assault/Intimidation:
- Larceny:
- Fraud:
- Drug Offenses:
- Gambling:
- Kidnapping:
- Robbery:
- Arson:
- Extortion:
- Burglary:
- Motor Vehicle Theft:
- Counterfeit/Forgery:
- Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses:
- Vandalism:
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Prostitution:
- Bribery:

# SAINT PAUL'S COLLEGE

*Type:* Private 4-Year and above

Region: Central

**Population Category:** 0-1.999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:

Student Population: 627

- Undergraduates: 627
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

# **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 42

Residence Halls: 2

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Whitehead Gymnasium Seating Capacity: 1,000

Land Acreage: 500

Residing On-Campus: 349

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: Russell Field Seating Capacity: 2,500

#### Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: None reported

#### Campus Security Budget: \$250,000

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$398.72

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$85,319

• As % of PD: 34%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 10	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 8	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Yes
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# SAINT PAUL'S COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 40

•	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	1
•	Assaults:	8

- Burglaries: 30
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0
- Arson:
- Negligent M:

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

1

0

- Murders:
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Aggravated Assault:
- Simple Assault/Intimidation:
- Larceny:
- Fraud:
- Drug Offenses:
- Gambling:
- Kidnapping:
- Robbery:
- Arson:
- Extortion:
- Burglary:
- Motor Vehicle Theft:
- Counterfeit/Forgery:
- Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses:
- Vandalism:
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Prostitution:
- Bribery:

# **SHENANDOAH UNIVERSITY**

*Type:* Private 4-Year and above

**Region:** Northern

Population Category: 2,000-4,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 3,000

- Undergraduates: 1,538 .
- Graduates: 1,041
- Professional: 421 .

# **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 20** 

Residence Halls: 6

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 6

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Shingleton Gymnasium Seating Capacity: 680

Land Acreage: 100

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 6

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 683

Football Stadium/Field: Shentel Stadium Seating Capacity: 3,000

#### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$47,300,000

Campus Security Budget: \$10,076

- As % of college budget: 0.0% ٠
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$3.36

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$227,259

• As % of PD: 2255%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

#### **Campus Security Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: None reported

Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A

Total Security Officers in 2004: None reported

2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes

2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No

Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A

Officers Armed on Duty: No

Undergo Formal Training: No

Services Outsourced to Private Security: No

Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No

Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

## SHENANDOAH UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

•	Murders:	0
---	----------	---

- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 ٠
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0 •
- ٠ Robberies:
- Assaults: •
- **Burglaries**: 0 •
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 • 0
- Arson: •
- Negligent M: •

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

0

0

**Total UCR Incidents:** 

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: .
- Aggravated Assault: .
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: ٠
- Fraud: ۰
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: •
- Arson: ٠
- ٠ Extortion:
- Burglary: .
- Motor Vehicle Theft: .
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: ٠
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: ٠
- ٠ Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations: ٠
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- **Prostitution**: ٠
- Bribery: •

# SOUTHERN VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY

Type: Private 4-Year and above

Region: Northern

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

Student Population: 581 \* (NCES Fall 2004)

- Undergraduates: 581
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

# **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 29** 

Residence Halls: 3

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Knight Sports Arena Seating Capacity: 350

Land Acreage: 154

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: None reported

Residing On-Campus: None reported

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: Parry McCluer High School Seating Capacity: 2,000

# Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: \$12,000,000

Campus Security Budget: None reported

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: Not available

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: None reported	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: Not reported
Total Security Officers in 2004: None reported	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Not reported	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Not reported
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Not reported reported	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Not
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# SOUTHERN VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 3

- Murders:
- Forcible Sex Offenses: 2
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0
  Robberies: 0
- Robberies: 0Assaults: 1
- Burglaries: 0
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0
- Arson:
   0
- Negligent M:

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

0

0

- Murders:
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Aggravated Assault:
- Simple Assault/Intimidation:
- Larceny:
- Fraud:
- Drug Offenses:
- Gambling:
- Kidnapping:
- Robbery:
- Arson:
- Extortion:
- Burglary:
- Motor Vehicle Theft:
- Counterfeit/Forgery:
- Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses:
- Vandalism:
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Prostitution:
- Bribery:

# SOUTHSIDE REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER

Type: Public 2-year

ear Region: Central

Population Category: 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

Student Population: 194 \* (NCES Fall 2004)

- Undergraduates: 0
- Graduates/Professional: 194

# Residing On-Campus: None reported

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 5

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 2

Hospital on campus: Yes, Southside Regional Medical Center

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 25

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: None reported

Campus Security Budget: \$632,000

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$3,379.68

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$537,000

• As % of PD: 85%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

#### **Campus Security Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 26	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 25	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

### SOUTHSIDE REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 1

•	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	0
•	Assaults:	0
•	Burglaries:	0
•	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	1
•	Arson:	0

• Negligent M: 0

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders:
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Aggravated Assault:
- Simple Assault/Intimidation:
- Larceny:
- Fraud:
- Drug Offenses:
- Gambling:
- Kidnapping:
- Robbery:
- Arson:
- Extortion:
- Burglary:
- Motor Vehicle Theft:
- Counterfeit/Forgery:
- Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses:
- Vandalism:
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Prostitution:
- Bribery:

# SOUTHSIDE VIRGINIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Type: Public 2-year

Region: Central

Population Category: 2,000-4,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 4,686

- Undergraduates: 4,686
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

# Residing On-Campus: 0

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 6

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 110

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: None reported

Campus Security Budget: None reported

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: Not available

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: None reported	Officers Armed on Duty: Not reported
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: Not reported
Total Security Officers in 2004: None reported	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Not reported	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Not reported
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Not reported reported	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Not
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# SOUTHSIDE VIRGINIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

- Murders: 0
- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0
  Robberies: 0
- Robberies: 0Assaults: 0
- Assaults.
  Burglaries:
  0
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0
- Arson:
- Negligent M:

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

0

- Murders:
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Aggravated Assault:
- Simple Assault/Intimidation:
- Larceny:
- Fraud:
- Drug Offenses:
- Gambling:
- Kidnapping:
- Robbery:
- Arson:
- Extortion:
- Burglary:
- Motor Vehicle Theft:
- Counterfeit/Forgery:
- Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses:
- Vandalism:
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Prostitution:
- Bribery:

# SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Type: Public 2-year

vear **Region:** Western

Population Category: 2,000-4,999

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 3,835

- Undergraduates: 3,835
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

# Campus Profile:

Total Buildings: 7

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 14

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 90

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 0

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

### Campus & Police Department Budget:

College Budget: \$13,652,890

Campus Security Budget: \$226,293

- As % of college budget: 1.7%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$52.87

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$153,813

• As % of PD: 68%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 26

### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 8	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 4	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 3	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: No	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

# SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

- Murders:Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Robberies:
- Assaults:
- Burglaries: 0
- Motor Vehicle Thefts:
- Arson:
- Negligent M:

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: Have not yet completed the incident-based system process

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

- Murders:
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Aggravated Assault:
- Simple Assault/Intimidation:
- Larceny:
- Fraud:
- Drug Offenses:
- Gambling:
- Kidnapping:
- Robbery:
- Arson:
- Extortion:
- Burglary:
- Motor Vehicle Theft:
- Counterfeit/Forgery:
- Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses:
- Vandalism:
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Prostitution:
- Bribery:

# SWEET BRIAR COLLEGE

Type: Private 4-Year and above

Region: Piedmont

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

Student Population: 738 \* (NCES Fall 2004)

- Undergraduates: 728
- Graduates: 10
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 34

Residence Halls: 7

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 3

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 3200

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Residing On-Campus: None reported

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

### Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: \$36,559,273

Campus Security Budget: \$327,101

- As % of college budget: 0.9%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$475.44

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$259,023

• As % of PD: 79%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 1,970

Total Employees in 2004: 12	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 10	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

# **SWEET BRIAR COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 1

- Murders: . Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- 0 **Robberies**: 0 •
- Assaults: 0 .
- 0 **Burglaries**: ٠
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: •
- Arson: 0 ۰
- Negligent M: ٠

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

1

0

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: ٠
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: .
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: ۲
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: .
- Robbery: .
- Arson: •
- Extortion: .
- Burglary: •
- Motor Vehicle Theft: ٠
- Counterfeit/Forgery: ٠
- Embezzlement: ٠
- Stolen Property Offenses: ٠
- Vandalism: ٠
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: .
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- **Prostitution:** •
- Bribery: .

# THOMAS NELSON COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Type: Public 2-year

Region: Eastern

Population Category: 5,000-9,999

#### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 7,969

- Undergraduates: 8,515
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

# Residing On-Campus: 0 Erotornition/Sonorition: N

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

#### **Campus Profile:**

College Budget: \$21,560,894

Campus Security Budget: \$639,049

- As % of college budget: 3.0%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$80.19

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$358,518

• As % of PD: 56%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 479

### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 21	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 10	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 3	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: No	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

# THOMAS NELSON COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

# 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 2

•	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Robberies:	0
٠	Assaults:	0
٠	Burglaries:	0
٠	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	2
٠	Arson:	0
•	Negligent M:	0

# 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 40

•	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Aggravated Assault:	0
•	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	3
•	Larceny:	26
•	Fraud:	2
•	Drug Offenses:	1
•	Gambling:	0
•	Kidnapping:	0
٠	Robbery:	0
٠	Arson:	0
٠	Extortion:	0
٠	Burglary:	0
•	Motor Vehicle Theft:	2
٠	Counterfeit/Forgery:	2
٠	Embezzlement:	0
٠	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
٠	Vandalism:	4
٠	Pornography:	0
٠	Weapon Law Violations:	0
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Prostitution:	0
٠	Bribery:	0

# TIDEWATER COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Type: Public 2-year

Region: Eastern

Population Category: 10,000-24,999

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

#### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

# **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

#### Student Population: 22,691

- Undergraduates: 22,691
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

#### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 39

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

#### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Ports Gym Seating Capacity: 275

Land Acreage: 440

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 0

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

#### Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: \$61,641,524

Campus Security Budget: \$1,487,610

- As % of college budget: 2.4%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$65.56

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

Total Employees in 2004: 1	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 0	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: No	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Yes
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A
### **TIDEWATER COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS**

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Norfolk Campus

Number of Institution Locations: 5

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

- Murders: 0 •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: .
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: 0 • 0
- Robberies: ۰
- Assaults:
- **Burglaries**: •
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: .
- Arson: •
- Negligent M:

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

0

0

0

0

0

0

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: ٠
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: ٠
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: ٠
- Arson: •
- Extortion: •
- Burglary: •
- Motor Vehicle Theft: ٠
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: .
- Stolen Property Offenses: .
- Vandalism: .
- . Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: ٠
- **Prostitution:** ٠
- Bribery: ٠

# **UNION THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY**

Type: Private 4-Year and above

Region: Central

Population Category: 0-1,999

### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

Student Population: 391 \* (NCES Fall 2004)

- Undergraduates: 0
- Graduates/Professional: 391

- Residing On-Campus: None reported
- Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: None reported

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: None reported

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: None reported

Campus Security Budget: None reported

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: Not available

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

### **Campus Security Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: None reported	Officers Armed on Duty: Not reported
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: Not reported
Total Security Officers in 2004: None reported	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Not reported	Services Outsourced to Private Security: Not reported
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Not reported	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Yes
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

### **UNION THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY CRIME STATISTICS**

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Robberies:	0
•	Assaults:	0
٠	Burglaries:	0
٠	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	0
٠	Arson:	0
•	Negligent M:	0

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders:
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Aggravated Assault:
- Simple Assault/Intimidation:
- Larceny:
- Fraud:
- Drug Offenses:
- Gambling:
- Kidnapping:
- Robbery:
- Arson:
- Extortion:
- Burglary:
- Motor Vehicle Theft:
- Counterfeit/Forgery:
- Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses:
- Vandalism:
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Prostitution:
- Bribery:

# UNIVERSITY OF MARY WASHINGTON

Type: Public 4-Year and above

Region: Central

Population Category: 2,000-4,999

### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

### Student Population: 4,729

- Undergraduates: 4,130
- Graduates: 599
- Professional: 0

### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 54

Residence Halls: 26

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 110

Hospital on campus: None reported

### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Goolrick Gymnasium Seating Capacity: 500

Land Acreage: 163

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 17

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 2,546

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$56,876,629

Campus Security Budget: \$910,737

- As % of college budget: 1.6%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$191.45

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$614,580

• As % of PD: 67%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 842

### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 29	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 11	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 0	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: No	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

### UNIVERSITY OF MARY WASHINGTON CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 5

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	1
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Robberies:	0
•	Assaults:	0
•	Burglaries:	1
٠	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	2
٠	Arson:	1
•	Negligent M:	0

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

### Total UCR Incidents: 52

Total UCR Offenses: 56 NOTE: Only 9 months of data were submitted to the State Police

•	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Aggravated Assault:	0
٠	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	0
٠	Larceny:	36
٠	Fraud:	1
٠	Drug Offenses:	3
٠	Gambling:	0
٠	Kidnapping:	0
٠	Robbery:	0
٠	Arson:	0
٠	Extortion:	0
٠	Burglary:	1
٠	Motor Vehicle Theft:	0
•	Counterfeit/Forgery:	1
٠	Embezzlement:	0
•	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
•	Vandalism:	14
٠	Pornography:	0
٠	Weapon Law Violations:	0
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Prostitution:	0
٠	Bribery:	0

# **UNIVERSITY OF RICHMOND**

Type: Private 4-Year and above

Region: Central

Population Category: 5,000-9,999

2,760

### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

### Student Population: 4,480

- Undergraduates: 3,656
- Graduates: 345
- Professional: 479

### **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 98** 

Residence Halls: 16

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 22

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Robins Center Seating Capacity: 9,170

Land Acreage: 335

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 16

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus:

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: UR Stadium Seating Capacity: 22,000

### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$141,000,000

Campus Security Budget: \$1,709,828

- As % of college budget: 1.2%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$264.64

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$1,293,730

• As % of PD: 76%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 2,355

### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 50	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 13	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 6	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Yes
Mutual Aid Agreements: No	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

### UNIVERSITY OF RICHMOND CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 21

•	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	3
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	0
٠	Assaults:	3
•	Burglaries:	9
•	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	4
•	Arson:	2
•	Negligent M:	0

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 246

•	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	3
•	Aggravated Assault:	4
•	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	13
•	Larceny:	103
	Fraud:	4
	Drug Offenses:	41
	Gambling:	0
	Kidnapping:	0
	Robbery:	0
	Arson:	2
	Extortion:	0
	Burglary:	9
	Motor Vehicle Theft:	4
	Counterfeit/Forgery:	5
	Embezzlement:	0
	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
	Vandalism:	96
	Pornography:	0
	Weapon Law Violations:	1
	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
	Prostitution:	0
	Bribery:	0

# **UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA**

*Type:* Public 4-Year and above

**Region:** Northern

**Population Category: 25,000+** 

### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

### SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:

### Student Population: 23,341

- Undergraduates: 14,129 ٠
- Graduates: 7,562
- Professional: 1,650

### **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 530** 

Residence Halls: 48

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 313

Hospital on campus: Yes, University of Virginia Medical Center

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: University Hall Seating Capacity: 8,392

Football Stadium/Field: Scott Stadium

Seating Capacity: 61,500

### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$1,600,000,000

Campus Security Budget: \$4,656,671

- As % of college budget: 0.3%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$129.40

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$3,490,078

• As % of PD: 75%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 21,497

### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 133	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 53	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 54	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Yes
Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes	Concurrent Jurisdiction: Yes

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 50

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Land Acreage: 1,160

Residing On-Campus: 6,225

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

### UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 8

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 42

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	23
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Robberies:	2
٠	Assaults:	4
٠	Burglaries:	8
٠	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	5
•	Arson:	0
٠	Negligent M:	0

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 370

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	1
٠	Aggravated Assault:	2
•	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	45
•	Larceny:	223
•	Fraud:	1
٠	Drug Offenses:	19
•	Gambling:	0
•	Kidnapping:	1
٠	Robbery:	2
٠	Arson:	0
٠	Extortion:	0
٠	Burglary:	6
٠	Motor Vehicle Theft:	3
٠	Counterfeit/Forgery:	0
٠	Embezzlement:	1
٠	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
٠	Vandalism:	85
٠	Pornography:	0
٠	Weapon Law Violations:	0
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Prostitution:	0
٠	Bribery:	0

# UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA'S COLLEGE AT WISE

*Type:* Public 4-Year and above

Region: Western

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

565

### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

### Student Population: 1,836

- Undergraduates: 1,836
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 24

Residence Halls: 5

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 5

Hospital on campus: None reported

### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Fred B. Greear Gym Seating Capacity: 2,000

Land Acreage: 0

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 1

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus:

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: Carl Smith Stadium Seating Capacity: 3,000

### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: None reported

Campus Security Budget: \$267,128

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$143.31

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$194,086

• As % of PD: 73%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: None reported

Total Field Officers in 2004: 6

Total Security Officers in 2004: 0

2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes

2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes

Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes

Officers Armed on Duty: Yes

Undergo Formal Training: Yes

Services Outsourced to Private Security: No

Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No

Concurrent Jurisdiction: Yes

### UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA'S COLLEGE AT WISE CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

- Murders:
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Robberies:
- Assaults:
- Burglaries:
- Motor Vehicle Thefts:
- Arson:
- Negligent M:

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

3

Total UCR Incidents: 3

•	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Aggravated Assault:	0
٠	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	0
٠	Larceny:	3
٠	Fraud:	0
٠	Drug Offenses:	0
٠	Gambling:	0
٠	Kidnapping:	0
٠	Robbery:	0
٠	Arson:	0
٠	Extortion:	0
٠	Burglary:	0
٠	Motor Vehicle Theft:	0
٠	Counterfeit/Forgery:	0
٠	Embezzlement:	0
٠	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
•	Vandalism:	0
٠	Pornography:	0
٠	Weapon Law Violations:	0
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Prostitution:	0
٠	Bribery:	0

# VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY

Type: Public 4-Year and above

Region: Central

**Population Category: 25,000+** 

### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

### Student Population: 28,462

- Undergraduates: 19,180
- Graduates: 7,748
- Professional: 1,534

- Residing On-Campus: 4,012
- Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Land Acreage: 131

### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 165

Residence Halls: 13

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 234

Hospital on campus: Yes, Virginia Commonwealth University Medical Center

### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Siegel Center Seating Capacity: 7,500 Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 8

### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: None reported

Campus Security Budget: \$5,352,304

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$159.85

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$3,495,232

• As % of PD: 65%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 22,758

### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 160	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 70	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 41	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes	Concurrent Jurisdiction: Yes

### VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 9

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 42

٠	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	23
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Robberies:	2
٠	Assaults:	4
•	Burglaries:	8
•	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	5
٠	Arson:	0
٠	Negligent M:	0

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 817

٠	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	16
٠	Aggravated Assault:	18
•	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	75
•	Larceny:	465
•	Fraud:	18
•	Drug Offenses:	159
•	Gambling:	0
	Kidnapping:	1
•	Robbery:	7
٠	Arson:	3
٠	Extortion:	0
•	Burglary:	8
•	Motor Vehicle Theft:	11
•	Counterfeit/Forgery:	18
•	Embezzlement:	2
٠	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
٠	Vandalism:	39
٠	Pornography:	0
•	Weapon Law Violations:	22
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Prostitution:	0
•	Bribery:	0

# VIRGINIA HIGHLANDS COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Type: Public 2-year

Population Category: 2,000-4,999

### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

.

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

### Student Population: 2,299

- Undergraduates: 2,299
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

**Region:** Western

### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 6

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 1

Hospital on campus: None reported

### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 100

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 0

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

### Campus & Police Department Budget:

College Budget: \$8,373,722

Campus Security Budget: \$98,374

- As % of college budget: 1.2%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$37.69

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$66,070

• As % of PD: 67%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 8	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 2	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 0	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: No	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

### VIRGINIA HIGHLANDS COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

٠	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Robberies:	0
•	Assaults:	0
٠	Burglaries:	0
•	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	0

- Motor Vehicle Thefts:
  Arson:
- Arson: 0
- Negligent M: 0

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: Have not yet completed incident-based system process

- Murders:
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Larceny:
- Fraud:
- Drug Offenses:
- Gambling:
- Kidnapping:
- Robbery:
- Arson:
- Extortion:
- Burglary:
- Motor Vehicle Theft:
- Counterfeit/Forgery:
- Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses:
- Vandalism:
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses:
- Prostitution:
- Bribery:

# VIRGINIA INTERMONT COLLEGE

Type: Private 4-Year and above

Region: Western

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

### Student Population: 1,123

- Undergraduates: 1,123
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

## **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 18

Residence Halls: 4

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Smith-Canter Gymnasium Seating Capacity: 300

Land Acreage: 13

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 765

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: None reported

Campus Security Budget: None reported

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: Not available

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

### **Campus Security Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 5	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 4	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: No	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

### VIRGINIA INTERMONT COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

•	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0

- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- 0 Robberies: • 0
- Assaults: 0 ٠
- Burglaries: 0 •
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 .
- Arson: •
- Negligent M: •

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: •
- Arson: •
- . Extortion:
- Burglary: .
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: ٠
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: ٠
- ٠ Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations: ٠
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: ٠
- Prostitution: •
- Bribery: ٠

# VIRGINIA MILITARY INSTITUTE

*Type:* Public 4-Year and above

*Region:* Northern

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

### Student Population: 1,362

- Undergraduates: 1,362
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 37

Residence Halls: 1

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 12

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Cameron Hall Seating Capacity: 4,841

Land Acreage: 154

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: None reported

Residing On-Campus: 1,362

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: Alumni Memorial Field Seating Capacity: 8,500

### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$45,861,000

Campus Security Budget: \$383,656

- As % of college budget: 0.8%
  - Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$197.76

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$259,222

• As % of PD: 68%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 536

### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 8	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 7	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 1	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

### VIRGINIA MILITARY INSTITUTE CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 23

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	1
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	0
•	Assaults:	1
•	Burglaries:	21
•	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	0
•	Arson:	0
•	Negligent M:	0

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 15

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Aggravated Assault:	0
٠	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	3
•	Larceny:	14
•	Fraud:	0
•	Drug Offenses:	0
٠	Gambling:	0
٠	Kidnapping:	0
٠	Robbery:	0
٠	Arson:	0
٠	Extortion:	0
٠	Burglary:	0
•	Motor Vehicle Theft:	0
٠	Counterfeit/Forgery:	0
•	Embezzlement:	0
•	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
•	Vandalism:	0
•	Pornography:	0
•	Weapon Law Violations:	0
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Prostitution:	0
•	Bribery:	0
		-

# VIRGINIA STATE UNIVERSITY

Type: Public 4-Year and above

**Region:** Central

**Population Category:** 5,000-9,999

Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

### **Student Population:** 4.859

- Undergraduates: 4,173 •
- Graduates: 686
- Professional: 0

### **Campus Profile:**

**Total Buildings: 52** 

Residence Halls: 13

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 10

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Daniels Gymnasium Seating Capacity: 3,500

Land Acreage: 652

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: Rogers Stadium Seating Capacity: 13,500

### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$89,511,295

Campus Security Budget: \$2,055,600

- As % of college budget: 2.3%
  - Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$362.92

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$1,087,206

• As % of PD: 53%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 2,927

### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 50	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 17	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 11	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Yes
Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 2,438

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

### VIRGINIA STATE UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 18

٠	Murders:	0
•	Forcible Sex Offenses:	1
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	5
•	Assaults:	4
•	Burglaries:	4
٠	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	4
٠	Arson:	0
•	Negligent M:	0

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 144

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	1
٠	Aggravated Assault:	4
٠	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	17
	Larceny:	79
٠	Fraud:	0
٠	Drug Offenses:	5
•	Gambling:	0
•	Kidnapping:	0
٠	Robbery:	6
•	Arson:	0
•	Extortion:	0
•	Burglary:	1
•	Motor Vehicle Theft:	3
•	Counterfeit/Forgery:	1
•	Embezzlement:	0
•	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
	Vandalism:	41
	Pornography:	0
	Weapon Law Violations:	1
-	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	$\hat{0}$
•	Prostitution:	1
•		•
٠	Bribery:	0

# VIRGINIA TECH

Type: Public 4-Year and above R

Region: Western

Population Category: 25,000+

### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

Student Population: 27,619

- Undergraduates: 21,330
- Graduates: 5,932
- Professional: 357

### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 200

Residence Halls: 44

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 48

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Cassell Coliseum Seating Capacity: 10,000

Land Acreage: 2600

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 8,696

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: Lane Stadium Seating Capacity: 65,000

### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$709,700,000

Campus Security Budget: \$2,383,883

- As % of college budget: 0.3%
  - Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$69.66

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$1,324,413

• As % of PD: 56%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 101	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 42	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 17	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes	Concurrent Jurisdiction: Yes

### VIRGINIA TECH CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 8

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 47

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	7
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Robberies:	1
٠	Assaults:	7
٠	Burglaries:	31
٠	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	1
٠	Arson:	0
٠	Negligent M:	0

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents:NOTE: No months were submitted since the department had not completedincident-

based system process. This department completed the process in 2004.

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Aggravated Assault:	0
•	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	0
٠	Larceny:	0
٠	Fraud:	0
٠	Drug Offenses:	0
٠	Gambling:	0
٠	Kidnapping:	0
٠	Robbery:	0
٠	Arson:	0
•	Extortion:	0
٠	Burglary:	0
٠	Motor Vehicle Theft:	0
٠	Counterfeit/Forgery:	0
•	Embezzlement:	0
٠	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
٠	Vandalism:	0
٠	Pornography:	0
٠	Weapon Law Violations:	0
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Prostitution:	0
٠	Bribery:	0

# VIRGINIA UNION UNIVERSITY

*Type:* Private 4-Year and above

**Region:** Central

Population Category: 0-1,999

### Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

### Student Population: 1,783

- Undergraduates: 1,421
- Graduates: 30
- Professional: 332

### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 23

Residence Halls: 5

Total Blue Phones on Campus: None reported

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Barco-Stevens Hall Seating Capacity: 2,000

Land Acreage: 85

**Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 5** 

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: Hovey Field Seating Capacity: 9,500

### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$22,900,000

Campus Security Budget: \$456,300

- As % of college budget: 2.0%
  - Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$238.40

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$344,294

As % of PD: 75% •

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 2,509

### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 15 Officers Armed on Duty: Yes Total Field Officers in 2004: 5 Undergo Formal Training: Yes Total Security Officers in 2004: 3 2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes 2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: No Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: Yes Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

Residing On-Campus: 677

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

### VIRGINIA UNION UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 23

٠	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0

- Robberies: •
- 0 Assaults: 1 •
- **Burglaries**: 22 ٠
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: 0 .
- Arson: •
- Negligent M: •

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: Have not yet completed incident-based system process

0

0

- Murders: .
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: ٠
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: ٠
- Robbery: •
- Arson: ٠
- Extortion: •
- **Burglary**:
- Motor Vehicle Theft: ٠
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: •
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- **Prostitution:** .
- Bribery: .

# VIRGINIA WESLEYAN COLLEGE

Type: Private 4-Year and above

Region: Eastern

**Population Category:** 0-1,999

### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

### Student Population: 1,479

- Undergraduates: 1,479
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 33

Residence Halls: 14

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 6

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Batten Center Convocation Center Seating Capacity: 1,100 Land Acreage: 330

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 583

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

### College Budget: \$34,020,500

Campus Security Budget: \$647,435

- As % of college budget: 1.9%
  - Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$437.75

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$535,826

• As % of PD: 83%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 3,901

### **Campus Security Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 21	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 18	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

### VIRGINIA WESLEYAN COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 5

- Murders: .
- Forcible Sex Offenses:
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- Robberies: .
- Assaults: 0 . 0
- **Burglaries:** .
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: .
- Arson: 0 0
- Negligent M: ٠

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

5

0

0

0

Total UCR Incidents:

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation:
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: •
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: ٠
- Robbery: .
- Arson: •
- Extortion: .
- Burglary: •
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: •
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism:
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: .
- Prostitution: .
- Bribery: •

# VIRGINIA WESTERN COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Type: Public 2-year

Region: Piedmont

Population Category: 5,000-9,999

Type of Campus Safety: Police Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

### Student Population: 8,361

- Undergraduates: 8,361
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 13

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 5

Hospital on campus: None reported

Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Land Acreage: 77

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 0

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

### **Campus & Police Department Budget:**

College Budget: None reported

Campus Security Budget: \$315,711

- As % of college budget: Not available
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$35.29

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$213,228

• As % of PD: 68%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

### **Campus Police Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 9	Officers Armed on Duty: Yes
Total Field Officers in 2004: 5	Undergo Formal Training: Yes
Total Security Officers in 2004: 2	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: Yes	Concurrent Jurisdiction: No

### VIRGINIA WESTERN COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

•	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Robberies:	0
•	Assaults:	0
•	Burglaries:	0
٠	Motor Vehicle Thefts:	0
٠	Arson:	0
٠	Negligent M:	0

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: 28

•	Murders:	0
٠	Forcible Sex Offenses:	0
٠	Aggravated Assault:	0
٠	Simple Assault/Intimidation:	3
•	Larceny:	20
•	Fraud:	2
•	Drug Offenses:	0
•	Gambling:	0
•	Kidnapping:	0
•	Robbery:	0
٠	Arson:	0
٠	Extortion:	0
•	Burglary:	0
•	Motor Vehicle Theft:	0
•	Counterfeit/Forgery:	0
•	Embezzlement:	0
٠	Stolen Property Offenses:	0
•	Vandalism:	6
•	Pornography:	0
•	Weapon Law Violations:	0
•	Nonforcible Sex Offenses:	0
•	Prostitution:	0
•	Bribery:	0

# WASHINGTON AND LEE UNIVERSITY

Type: Private 4-Year and above

Region: Northern

Population Category: 2,000-4,999

### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

### Student Population: 2,100

- Undergraduates: 1,697
- Graduates: 6
- Professional: 397

### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 80

Residence Halls: 23

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 25

Hospital on campus: None reported

### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: Warner Center Seating Capacity: 3,500

Land Acreage: 350

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: 15

Campus Escort Service: Yes

Residing On-Campus: 1,101

Fraternities/Sororities: Yes

Football Stadium/Field: W&L Turf Field Seating Capacity: 4,000

### Campus & Security Department Budget:

College Budget: \$75,109,000

Campus Security Budget: \$34,300

- As % of college budget: 0.0%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$16.33

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: \$466,718

• As % of PD: 1361%

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: 1,460

### **Campus Security Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 28	Officers Armed on Duty: No
Total Field Officers in 2004: N/A	Undergo Formal Training: No
Total Security Officers in 2004: 11	
2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: Yes	Services Outsourced to Private Security: No
2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: Yes	Services Outsourced to Law Enforcement: No
Mutual Aid Agreements: N/A	Concurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

### WASHINGTON AND LEE UNIVERSITY CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 3

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: 0 . 0
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- Robberies: •
- Assaults: •
- **Burglaries**: •
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: •
- Arson: 0 • 0
- Negligent M: •

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

0

0

0

3

0

**Total UCR Incidents:** 

Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: ٠
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: •
- Fraud: ٠
- Drug Offenses: ٠
- Gambling: •
- Kidnapping: •
- Robbery: ٠
- Arson: .
- Extortion: •
- **Burglary**: •
- Motor Vehicle Theft: ٠
- Counterfeit/Forgery: •
- Embezzlement: •
- Stolen Property Offenses: •
- Vandalism:
- Pornography: •
- Weapon Law Violations: ٠
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- **Prostitution:** •
- Bribery: •

# WYTHEVILLE COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Type: Public 2-year

ar **Region:** Western

Population Category: 2,000-4,999

Fraternities/Sororities: None reported

### Type of Campus Safety: Security Department

### **SCHEV Fall 2004 Campus Population:**

### Student Population: 2,700

- Undergraduates: 2,700
- Graduates: 0
- Professional: 0

### **Campus Profile:**

Total Buildings: 8

Residence Halls: None reported

Total Blue Phones on Campus: 8

Hospital on campus: None reported

### Athletics:

Basketball Stadium: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

Residing On-Campus: 0

Land Acreage: 126

Residence Halls with Security Alarms: None reported

Campus Escort Service: None reported

Football Stadium/Field: None reported Seating Capacity: N/A

### **Campus & Security Department Budget:**

College Budget: \$9,202,286

Campus Security Budget: \$95,162

- As % of college budget: 1.0%
- Per student expenditure for campus safety: \$35.25

Gross Salaries and Wages in 2004: None reported

• As % of PD: Not available

Total Overtime Hours in 2004: None reported

### **Campus Security Department Profile:**

Total Employees in 2004: 3Officers Armed on Duty: NoTotal Field Officers in 2004: N/AUndergo Formal Training: NoTotal Security Officers in 2004: 2Services Outsourced to Private Security: Yes2-way Radio Capability in jurisdiction: YesServices Outsourced to Private Security: Yes2-way Radio in concurrent jurisdictions: YesServices Outsourced to Law Enforcement: NoMutual Aid Agreements: N/AConcurrent Jurisdiction: N/A

### WYTHEVILLE COMMUNITY COLLEGE CRIME STATISTICS

### 2003 Clery Act Statistics:

Number of Institution Locations: 1

Total of On-Campus Incidents: 0

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: • Robberies:
- ٠ 0 Assaults: 0 ۰
- Burglaries: . 0
- Motor Vehicle Thefts: .
- Arson: .
- Negligent M: •
- 0

0

0

0

0

0

### 2003 Uniform Crime Report Group A Offenses:

Total UCR Incidents: Only Police Departments report their UCR offenses

- Murders: •
- Forcible Sex Offenses: •
- Aggravated Assault: •
- Simple Assault/Intimidation: •
- Larceny: ٠
- Fraud: •
- Drug Offenses: .
- Gambling: .
- Kidnapping: ۲
- Robbery: ٠
- Arson: .
- Extortion: .
- Burglary: ٠
- Motor Vehicle Theft: •
- Counterfeit/Forgery: .
- ٠ Embezzlement:
- Stolen Property Offenses:
- Vandalism: •
- Pornography:
- Weapon Law Violations: •
- Nonforcible Sex Offenses: •
- **Prostitution:**
- Bribery: •

# ATTACHMENT H

Map of Regional Breakdowns

# Map of Regional Breakdowns



7

# ATTACHMENT I

Campus Safety Task Force Membership

# **Campus Safety Task Force**

John A. Ahladas, Treasurer Randolph Macon College

Anna Bovill Virginia 21 Representative

Jeffrey S. Brown, Chief Christopher Newport University Police Department VACLEA President

John Evans, Director of Accommodations Virginia Association of College and University Housing Officers

Willie B. Fuller, Chief Virginia Commonwealth University

Sandra Hubler, Vice President for University Life George Mason University

Jim Kaletta, Chief Northern Virginia Community College

Kurt J. Krause, Vice President for Business Affairs Virginia Tech

Robert Lambeth Jr., President Council for Independent Colleges in Virginia Jeffrey Levy, President Virginia College Parents Inc.

John F. Miller, Chief Albemarle County

Michael Gibson, Chief of Police University of Virginia Police Department

John Poole, Vice President of Finance and Administration Central Virginia Community College

Thomas H. Turner, Director of Campus Safety Roanoke College

**Isaac T. Van Patten, Ph.D.,** Professor and Chair Department of Criminal Justice Radford University

**Dale Van Wagner** Virginia 21 Representative

Garth Wheeler, Chief J. Sargeant Reynolds

J. T. "Tommy" Whitt, Sheriff Montgomery County

.

·