COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA



DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

2004-2006
Biennial Report
To the
Governor and General Assembly
On

Virginia's Planning District Commission

I. Executive Summary

Virginia has 21 planning district commission (PDCs); voluntary associations of local governments intended to foster intergovernmental cooperation by bringing together local elected and appointed officials and involved citizens to discuss common needs and determine solutions to regional issues.

The Virginia General Assembly created the statutory framework for the creation of the PDCs in 1968 through the passage of the Virginia Area Development Act. In 1995, the General Assembly modified the Area Development Act through the adoption of the Regional Cooperation Act (Chapter 42, Title 15.2, Code of Virginia). The Regional Cooperation Act clearly articulates that PDCs were created to provide a forum for state and local government to address issues of a regional nature.

Another purpose of PDCs is to encourage and facilitate local government cooperation in addressing, on a regional basis, problems of greater than local significance. This mission is accomplished through a variety of means, including the development regional strategic plans with participation from local governing bodies, the business community, citizen organizations, and other interested parties.

Section 15.2-4215 of the Code of Virginia requires each PDC to submit an annual report to its member local governments and the Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) on how the PDC has met the provisions of the Regional Cooperation Act. Further, Section 36-139.6 of the Code of Virginia provides that DHCD is to submit a biennial report to the Governor and General Assembly, as well as certain other state agencies, which includes findings as to compliance by the PDCs with the Regional Cooperation Act. Based on 2005 and 2006 annual reports, the Virginia's planning district commissions are meeting the overall intent of the Regional Cooperation Act.

II. Biennial Planning District Commission Report

Overview

Virginia has 21 planning district commission (PDCs); voluntary associations of local governments intended to foster intergovernmental cooperation by bringing together local elected and appointed officials and involved citizens to discuss common needs and determine solutions to regional issues.

The Virginia General Assembly created the statutory framework for the creation of the PDCs in 1968 through the passage of the Virginia Area Development Act. In 1995, the General Assembly modified the Area Development Act through the adoption of the Regional Cooperation Act (Chapter 42, Title 15.2 of the Code of Virginia). The Regional Cooperation Act clearly articulates that PDCs were created to provide a forum for state and local government to address issues of a regional nature.

Another purpose of PDCs is to encourage and facilitate local government cooperation in addressing, on a regional basis, problems of greater than local significance. This cooperation is intended to help local governments solve their problems by enhancing the ability to recognize and analyze regional opportunities and take account of regional influences in planning and implementing public policies and services.

One important mechanism in helping localities meet these goals is the requirement that each PDC complete a regional strategic plan with participation from local governing bodies, the business community, citizen organizations, and other interested parties. The strategic plan is required to include regional goals and objectives, strategies to meet those goals, and mechanisms for measuring progress. The intent of the plan is to help promote the orderly and efficient development of the physical, social, and economic elements of the planning district.

In addition to the strategic planning requirement, the Regional Cooperation Act identifies other duties of the planning district commissions:

- To conduct studies on issues and problems of regional significance;
- To identify and study potential opportunities for cost savings and staffing efficiencies through coordinated local government efforts;
- To identify mechanisms for the coordination of local interests on a regional basis;
- To implement services upon the request of member local governments;
- To provide technical assistance to local governments;
- To serve as a liaison between local governments and state agencies as requested;
- To review local government aid applications as required by applicable state and federal law and regulation;
- To develop regional functional area plans as deemed necessary by the commission or as requested by member local governments;
- To assist state agencies, as requested, in the development of substate plans;

- To participate in a statewide geographic information system, the Virginia Geographic Information Network, as directed by the Department of Planning and Budget; and
- To collect and maintain demographic, economic, and other data concerning the region and member local governments, and act as a state data center affiliate in cooperation with the Virginia Employment Commission.

In support of these duties, the General Assembly appropriated and the Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) distributed an annual appropriation of \$1,934,353 for FY 2005 and \$2,463,771 for FY 2006 to the 21 PDCs.

Each PDC is required to submit an annual report to its member local governments and DHCD prior to September 1 of each year. The report, at a minimum, is required to describe the activities conducted by the PDCs during the preceding fiscal year and document how the commission met the provisions of the Regional Cooperation Act.

Summary Data 2005-2006

The annual report format requires the PDCs to consider four elements of performance. Each PDC is asked to document progress in developing and implementing strategic planning in the planning district; to describe all activities accomplished under the duties assigned under the Regional Cooperation Act; to highlight successes and achievements of special note with regional efforts in cooperation; and to submit a work program for the coming year that includes a budget and a list of member jurisdictions and commission members. The responses for Fiscal Years 2005 and 2006 are summarized on the pages that follow. Tables showing the summary of PDC responses to individual elements of the Regional Cooperation Act appear in Appendices A-G.

Regional Strategic Planning

Asked to document progress in developing and implementing strategic planning in the planning district, 7 out of 21 PDCs (33.3 percent) reported that they had formally adopted a regional strategic plan. According to the documentation provided, the PDCs that have officially adopted strategic plans are:

- New River Valley (PDC 4)
- Roanoke Valley-Alleghany Regional Commission (PDC 5)
- Northern Shenandoah Valley Regional Commission (PDC 7)
- West Piedmont (PDC 12)
- Southside (PDC 13)
- Commonwealth Regional Council (PDC 14)
- Northern Neck (PDC 17)

[Note: The Northern Virginia Regional Commission (PDC 8) is exempt from the requirement to adopt a strategic plan because its regional planning is conducted by a multi-state council of governments]

Of the 14 PDCs that have not officially adopted strategic plans, all are addressing the requirement though the development of multiple strategic plans for specific program areas (e.g., economic development, transportation, etc.) or through other means.

The Mount Rogers Planning District Commission is participating in Vision 2025 which involves 17 counties and 50 localities in Northeast Tennessee and Southwest Virginia. Vision 2025 findings have been incorporated into a wide range of strategic planning reports for the region as well as local comprehensive plans. In addition, the strategic plan for the RADCO is awaiting adoption following reorganization of that PDC. Further, because of the reorganization of the Commonwealth Regional Council, the member jurisdictions have initiated a new strategic planning process for the region.

During the search process for a new President and CEO, the [Commonwealth Regional] Council undertook a "Mission and Vision Session" on November 1, 2005 at Longwood University.

---Highlight Reported by the Commonwealth Regional Council

The local governments of the Alleghany Highlands region and representatives of the local business community worked collaboratively to develop the Alleghany Highlands Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy with the assistance of the staff of the Roanoke Valley.

---Highlight Reported by the Roanoke Valley-Alleghany Regional Commission

Duties Performed

The PDCs were asked to provide a concise description of all activities accomplished pursuant to the duties assigned under the Regional Cooperation Act. To facilitate summarizing the data for each of the duties prescribed by the Act, responses were grouped by the following predominant functional areas:

- Infrastructure
- Housing
- Administration
- Zoning and Planning
- Economic Development
- Environment
- Corrections
- Health and Human Services
- Disaster Planning
- Telecommunications and Technology
- Work Force
- Transportation
- Geographic Information Systems
- Community Development

To conduct studies on issues and problems of regional significance

The PDCs reported conducting 174 such studies in 2005 and 196 in 2006 for a total of 370 studies for the two-year period.

Over the biennium, 241 studies (65.1%) dealt with the functional areas of transportation, economic development, disaster planning and environmental concerns. All but 3 PDCs conducted a minimum of two studies in the most frequently addressed area of transportation. The West Piedmont Planning District (PDC 12) conducted 14 studies on transportation issues. In addition, six PDCs (New River Valley, Northern Shenandoah Valley, Rappahannock-Rapidan, Commonwealth, Richmond, and Hampton Roads) conducted six or more transportation studies during the 2004-2006 biennium.

In order of frequency, studies addressed included transportation (96), economic development (51), environment (47), disaster planning (47), infrastructure (35), strategic planning (30), work force issues (16), housing (14), and zoning and planning (12).

The NNPDC has completed a Marine Trades School Feasibility Study which indicates marine trades training is desperately needed in the Northern Neck/Middle Peninsula area and beyond. As a result, the Rappahannock Community College has begun to offer this training through their Workforce and Community Development arm.

---Highlight Reported by the Northern Neck Planning District Commission

The Local Government Council has initiated the Regional Action Plan for Coordinated Land Use and Transportation Planning project. This pilot planning initiative is directed at identifying improved means for integrating land use planning, essentially a local government responsibility, with transportation investment decisions, a responsibility shared between local, regional, state, and federal government levels.

---Highlight Reported by the Region 2000 Regional Commission

TJPDC's work in green building techniques bore fruit this year with the Blue Ridge Home Builder Association's Parade of Green Homes held in August. TJPDC had a display at two of the homes on the tour, distributing the Green Building Sourcebook developed in FY05 ... This year, TJPDC began replicating successful strategies from the green building initiative for a new initiative focusing on Universal Design.

---Highlight Reported by the Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission

To identify and study potential opportunities for local cost savings and staffing efficiencies through coordinated local government efforts.

During 2004-2006, the majority (78.3%) of these studies focused on infrastructure, economic development, environmental concerns, transportation, governmental administration, and geographic information systems.

The functional areas that generated the fewest studies of potential cost savings or staff efficiencies included corrections (5) and community development (1).

The HRPDC staff formed a subcommittee of Regional Emergency Management Technical Advisory Committee to address the growing interest in special needs populations. Key organizations such as the American Red Cross, Hampton Roads Metropolitan Medical Response System staff, Virginia Department of Health, local hospitals, and emergency managers all participate in the ongoing effort to address this issue in the Hampton Roads area.

---Highlight Reported by the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission

LENOWISCO, by establishing the "Regional Downtown Initiative Team," has been very successful in educating each of these individual communities that a "Regional Partnership" effort will be much more successful that if each of the localities viewed the other as "competition". This approach has allowed each of the localities to share their successes and failures and has helped to move the process along in an efficient an economical manner.

---Highlight Reported by the LENWISCO Planning District Commission

To identify mechanisms for the coordination of local interests on a regional basis.

In 2005 PDCs reported 225 instances where mechanisms were identified for coordinating local interests on a regional basis, while for 2006 there were 228 such instances identified. More than 72.4% of the mechanisms identified were in the areas of economic development, environmental concerns, administration, transportation, and infrastructure. During the 2004-2006 biennium the PDCs indicated that the areas of telecommunications and technology, strategic planning, and geographic information systems as having the least potential for coordinating local interests regionally.

The New River Valley Planning District Commission will partner with the participating jurisdictions to undertake the consolidated planning process and assist in the HOME program administration. The program will offer localities the flexibility to develop projects that capitalize on community partnerships to offer affordable housing to the region.

---Highlight Reported by the New River Valley Planning District Commission

Health and Human Services Planning has been identified as one's of the Commission's top priority areas, and efforts toward that end have begun to pay off, most notably, in the form of recent collaborations with the Rappahannock-Rapidan Community Services Board and Area Agency on Aging, in conjunction with their Aging Together initiative.

---Highlight Reported by the Rappahannock-Rapidan Regional Commission

Under the Governance vision area, the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission maintains a Regional Emergency Response Technical Advisory Committee to help coordinate local government emergency response activities and to support planning for the region's Metropolitan Medical Response System—a federally funded effort to help urban areas prepare for a large-scale medical emergency.

---Highlight Reported by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission

To implement services upon request of member localities.

The 21 planning district commissions reported implementing services at the request of local governments 242 times in 2005 and 235 in 2006, for a two-year total of 477. During that period, LENWISCO and Cumberland Plateau reported the highest number of services provided to member localities.

In FY 2005, thirty families were provided with new or rehabilitated housing through programs managed by the A-NPDC, A-NHRC, and A-NRHA. Ten of those families now have indoor bathrooms for the first time. Six families were made homeowners for the first time. Seven new houses were built.

---Highlight Reported by the Accomack-Northampton Planning District Commission

The Crater Commission's formal acceptance of the Comprehensive Regional Heritage Tourism Strategic Planning Study on June 29, 2005, commenced a year of work to digest the Plan recommendations and build a regional tourism marketing entity. By June 30, 2006, the six local governing bodies had approved the establishment of Petersburg Area Regional Tourism, appropriated funding, and made two appointments each to the Board. --- Highlight Reported by the Crater Planning District Commission

To provide technical assistance to member localities.

This component of the Regional Cooperation Act accounted for the greatest number of activities reported by the planning district commissions. As might be expected, the amount of technical assistance varies considerably by functional area. Governmental administration assistance accounts for 169 instances over the two-year period. In order of frequency, the other areas where technical assistance was provided included planning and land development control (96), infrastructure (93), geographic information systems (76), economic development (72), and transportation (60).

The Middle Peninsula Planning District Commission is working with the Town of Tappahannock to examine the impacts of development within its boundaries. The study will be utilized as a tool by the Planning Commission and the Town Council as the Town of Tappahannock updates its Comprehensive Plan.

---Highlight Reported by the Middle Peninsula Planning District Commission

With the Planning District Commission acting as GIS coordinator, a Regional Land Use GIS database is being assembled. This is a comprehensive database consisting of land use and infrastructure information that is valuable in marketing the entire Southside region.

---Highlight Reported by the Southside Regional Commission

Now in its second year, the Cumberland Plateau Regional Waste Management Authority's Regional Litter Prevention and Recycling Coordinator have made great strides. This position has been charged with assisting Buchanan, Dickenson and Russell Counties with increasing their recycling rates to comply with the Virginia Department Environmental Quality's (DEQ) 25 percent recycling mandated.

---Highlight Reported by the Cumberland Plateau Planning District Commission

To serve as liaison between localities and state agencies as requested.

PDCs most often served as liaison between local and state government when the issues dealt with the environment (25.1%), transportation (19.4%), economic development (12.8%), and community development (12.1%). The planning district commissions served as liaison less frequently in the areas of telecommunications and technology and planning and land development control.

NVRC is breaking new ground in the Commonwealth through working on a collaborative effort with 16 local governments, the Virginia Department of Transportation and the Department of Conservation and Recreation in developing a supplement to the Northern Virginia BMP Handbook to address low impact development designs.

---Highlight Reported by the Northern Virginia Regional Commission

RADCO has been assisting Caroline County over several years to develop an effective strategy to address the Public Health Emergency (as declared by the Virginia Department of Health) that exists due to failing septic systems in the Dawn community in the southern portion of the County. The process has moved through the solution identification and funding stages and, as of the end of FY05, the system design stage is nearing completion.

---Highlight Reported by the RADCO Planning District Commission

To review local government aid applications as required by §15.2-4213, Code of Virginia and other state or federal law or regulation.

All 21 PDCs reported that they reviewed local aid applications in 2005 and in 2006.

To develop regional functional area plans as deemed necessary by the commission or as requested by member localities.

In 2005 the majority of the functional area plans developed by PDCs were in the areas of transportation, economic development, and disaster preparedness. In 2006 the emphasis

remained the same with transportation and economic development being the predominant number of functional area plans developed, but infrastructure replaced disaster preparedness as the third most cited plans developed during that period.

The Regional Commission, working on behalf of all of the local governments in the region, was successful in obtaining \$712,500 in federal funding for the first phase of a comprehensive multi-phased scientific study of air quality in the Shenandoah Valley. ---Highlight Reported by the Northern Shenandoah Valley Regional Commission

The Central Shenandoah Water Supply Plan represents a major regional plan for the CSPDC Region. The CSPDC succeeded in getting 13 different localities to cooperate in the production of a comprehensive water supply plan. Furthermore, CSPDC assisted in securing grant funds from the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality toward work on the plan.

---Highlight Reported by the Central Shenandoah Planning District Commission

During Fiscal Year 2005, the PDC/Metropolitan Planning Organization staff worked with and managed a consultant contracted to aid the Commission in the development of a Regional Bicycle Plan that addressed both the urban areas encompassed by the Danville MPO and the rural areas encompassed by the balance of the PDC area.

---Highlight Reported by the West Piedmont Planning District Commission

To assist state agencies, as requested, in the development of substate plans.

The PDCs assisted state agencies in the development of substate plans 93 times in 2005 and 108 times in 2006, for a total of 201 instances during the two-year period.

Together with Planning District Commissions One and Two, the Mount Rogers Planning District completed the Southwest Virginia Regional Wastewater Study in October 2005. The study documented and prioritized the wastewater needs of the three PDC regions. ---Highlight Reported by the Mount Rogers Planning District Commission

To participate in a statewide geographic information system, the Virginia Geographic Network, as directed by the Department of Planning and Budget.

All 21 PDCs reported participation in the Virginia Geographic Network during the 2005-2006 period.

To collect and maintain demographic, economic, and other data concerning the region and member localities, and act as a state data center affiliate in cooperation with the Virginia Employment Commission.

During the reporting period, all 21 PDCs listed significant activities with respect to demographic, economic and other data collection and dissemination in addition to serving as an affiliate state data center.

Conclusion

It is important to note that while the Regional Cooperation Act articulates specific duties of the PDCs, it does not require that each PDC conduct activities in every functional area. Rather, each PDC is challenged to tailor its services to meet the diverse needs of its member localities. Based on 2005 and 2006 annual reports, the Virginia's planning district commissions are meeting the overall intent of the Regional Cooperation Act.

In a related matter, the Piedmont Planning District Commission was officially dissolved at midnight on June 30, 2005, and the following day a new planning district commission, known as the Commonwealth Regional Council, began operation. The latter organization will serve the functions of a planning district commission under the Regional Cooperation Act. The charter members of the Commonwealth Regional Council include the following: Amelia, Buckingham, Charlotte, Cumberland, Lunenburg, Prince Edward, Town of Farmville, Longwood University and Hampden-Sydney College. The County of Nottoway chose not to be a member of the new Commonwealth Regional Council.

In addition, on June 19, 2006, the RADCO Board of Directors adopted a revised charter that changed the name of the organization to the George Washington Regional Commission effective October 1, 2006.

Virginia's Planning District Commissions 2004-2006 Biennial Report Appendix A Strategic Planning and Studies of Regional Significance

Planning District	Strategic	_	Stud	ber of ies of Signif.	Focus of Studies of Regional Significance													
Commission Plan Adopted?	Adoption in Process?	2005	2006	Infra- structure	Housing	Adminis- tration	Zoning/ Planning	Tax	Environ- ment	Strategic Planning	Disaster Planning	Telecom/ Techno.	Work Force	Econ. Develop.	Trans- portation	GIS	Comm. Develop.	
LENOWISCO	No	Elements Adopted	4	5	2							2		2	3			
Cumberland Plateau	No	Elements Adopted	4	4	3							3			1	2		
Mt. Rogers	No	Vision 2025	6	7	2	2			2			1	2		2	2		
New River Valley	Yes	N/A	12	16	2	2				2	2	•	2		10	7		2
Roanoke Valley-Alleghany	Yes	N/A	11	8	5			2		3		1	2		5	4		
Central Shenandoah	No	Elements Adopted	19	26	4	1	2			1	20	7		1	3	4	1	1
Northern Shenandoah Valley	Yes	N/A	9	8	3	1				4		3		•		6		
Northern Virginia	N/A	N/A	8	8	6	-				5				3		2		
Rappahannock-Rapidan	No	Elements Adopted	9	14		2	1	1		4		1		2	1	11		
Thomas Jefferson	No	Elements Adopted	10	12	1	3	1	4		2		3		4	1	3		
Region 2000	No	Elements Adopted	4	9	1		1			3	2	1		1		3	1	
West Piedmont	Yes	N/A	11	10							1	2			4	14		
Southside	Yes	N/A	10	10	3		1				3			1	10	2		
Commonwealth	Yes	N/A	4	15			1				1	2		1	1	13		
Richmond	No	Elements Adopted	5	5								3				7		
RADCO	No	Adoption Pends	8	4			1			2		2	1	1	1	3		
Northern Neck	Yes	N/A	10	11				3		2	1	6			5	4		
Middle Peninsula	No	Elements Adopted	2	3						3		2						
Crater	No	Elements Adopted	5	2	1				•			1			3	2		
Accomack-Northampton	No	Elements Adopted	7	4	1	3	1			3		1			2			
Hampton Roads	No	Elements Adopted	16	15	3			2		13		6		,		7		
Totals			174	196	35	14	9	12	2	47	30	47	7	16	51	96	2	2

Virginia Planning District Commissions 2005-2006 Biennial Report Appendix B

Identify and Study Potential Opportunities for Local Cost Savings and Regional Efficiencies

				Loc	al Cost Sa	vings and	Regional E	fficiencies	Identified a	and/or Stud	lied			
Planning District Commission	Infra- structure	Housing	Adminis- tration	Zoning/ Planning	Econ. Develop.	Environ- ment	Correct- ions	Health & Human Svcs.	Disaster Planning	Telecom/ Techno.	Work Force	Trans- portation	GIS	Comm. Develop.
LENOWISCO	52						1			2	2		2	
Cumberland Plateau	5				1	3	-			_	_	1	- -	1
Mt. Rogers	2		9	13	2	2						1	3	1
New River Valley	6	2	2		3	2		2		4		1		1
Roanoke Valley-Alleghany			2	1	2	4				1		7		1
Central Shenandoah	4				1		4	2	3					1
Northern Shenandoah Valley	3	1				2				2		2		1
Northern Virginia		2	2			1		1			1			1
Rappahannock-Rapidan		1	1		5	3		2	2		2	6	1	
Thomas Jefferson	1	4	2			1		1	2		3	4	2	
Region 2000			4		2	2		1			1	1	2	1
West Piedmont			5		24	12			2	4		10	3	
Southside	3		2		11	6						4	1	
Commonwealth			1		1	1		1	2			2		
Richmond									2			1	4	
RADCO			11		1			2		1	1	5	2	
Northern Neck	1				6	4		2				4	2	
Middle Peninsula	2				4	2		2				7		
Crater			2	2	5	3			2				2	 '
Accomack-Northampton	1	1	1											<u> </u>
Hampton Roads	2	2			3	6						2		
Totals	82	13	39	16	70	54	5	16	15	14	9	52	24	1

Virginia's Planning District Commissons 2004-2006 Biennial Report Appendix C Identify Mechanisms for the Coordination of Local Interests on a Regional Basis

				Me	echanisms	for Coordi	ination of L	ocal Intere	ests on a R	egional Bas	sis			
Planning District Commission	Infra- structure	Housing	Adminis- tration	Zoning/ Planning	Econ. Dev.	Environ- ment	Strategic Planning	Health & Human Svcs.	Disaster Planning	Telecom/ Techno	Work Force	Trans- portation	GIS	Comm. Develop.
LENOWISCO	1		6		1									2
Cumberland Plateau	6		2		16	1								
Mt. Rogers	3				11	1	2	1						
New River Valley	3		4	2	5	1		2				5		
Roanoke Valley-Alleghany			9		1				2			5		
Central Shenandoah	1	2	2		9				2				1	
Northern Shenandoah Valley	3	1	4		2	5		2				3		
Northern Virginia	6	3	11	3	2	17		9	1			2		
Rappahannock-Rapidan			1		7			6			2	4	1	
Thomas Jefferson	1	4				3		2			2	5	1	1
Region 2000				1		4		2			2	7		
West Piedmont			5		9	2	2			1		5		
Southside	2				10		2				3	2	2	
Commonwealth	1		1		1			1	2			2		
Richmond			2			4			4			7		2
RADCO			10											
Northern Neck			4		3	5		2	2			2		
Middle Peninsula			5	1	2	3		2	2			1		
Crater	2		1		3	5			1	2		6		
Accomack-Northampton		10	8		5				2			2	1	5
Hampton Roads	3	3	2	3	1	22			4	1		5		1
Totals	32	23	72	10	88	73	6	29	22	4	9	63	6	11

Virginia's Planning District Commissions 2004-2006 Biennial Report Appendix D Implement Services to Member Localities Upon Request and

Provide Technical Assistance to Member Localities

	Serv	/ices						Technical	Assistanc	e Provided					
Planning District Commission	2005	2006	Infra- structure	Housing	Adminis- tration	Zoning/ Planning	Econ. Dev.	Environ- ment	Health & Human Svcs.	Disaster Planning	Telecom/ Techno	Work Force	Trans- portation	GIS	Comm. Develop.
LENOWISCO	32	36	57		17		12				1				-
Cumberland Plateau	54	43	2	1	40	1	6				_			2	2
Mt. Rogers	5	7	2	4	28						5			21	22
New River Valley	14	5	17	4	3	13	9			5	1		7	1	4
Roanoke Valley-Alleghany	4	5	2			5	5	1			1		2	3	1
Central Shenandoah	4	10	6			1		1		1			9	1	5
Northern Shenandoah Valley	7	7	1	2		3	3	3	1	2			2	1	1
Northern Virginia	2	3		1	2	6		14	10				1		1
Rappahannock-Rapidan	10	8			2	4	2	5	3	1	1		5	2	
Thomas Jefferson	10	12		2	1	6		3	1	2		1	1	8	
Region 2000	14	19	1		6		1	1	1			2	6	3	10
West Piedmont	5	5			1		13						6	8	1
Southside	10	10			6		3				1			4	1
Commonwealth	29	17	3		38		1						3	1	
Richmond	3	5		1		6	2						4		
RADCO	8	9	1		2	12							5	1	2
Northern Neck	9	9			5	2	2	4			1		2	2	
Middle Peninsula	3	3		2	4	11	1				2				1
Crater	4	4			6	5	4						1	15	1
Accomack-Northampton	10	12	2	1		12	4				3		6	1	6
Hampton Roads	5	6		1	8	12	4	21					2	2	<u> </u>
Totals	242	235	93	19	169	96	72	53	16	11	16	3	60	76	55

Virginia's Planning District Commissions 2004-2005 Biennial Report Appendix E Liaison Between Localities and State Agencies

						Liaison	Activities 2	2004-2006						Review of	
Planning District Commission	Infra- structure	Housing	Adminis- tration	Zoning/ Planning	Econ. Develop.	Environ- ment	Health & Human Svcs.	Disaster Planning	Telecom/ Techno	Work Force	Trans- portation	GIS	Comm. Develop	Local Gov't. Aid Applications	
LENOWISCO	4		1		1						9	2	22	Yes	
Cumberland Plateau	8		-		7	1		2			2		4	Yes	
Mt. Rogers	3				7	16					2		1	Yes	
New River Valley	2		1	1	6	2	3	1	3	1	2		4	Yes	
Roanoke Valley-Alleghany	4		4		1	6			1		2		2	Yes	
Central Shenandoah	5	1	1				1	3			2		4	Yes	
Northern Shenandoah Valley						2	1	2			5	1	2	Yes	
Northern Virginia	3	1				13		1			3			Yes	
Rappahannock-Rapidan		4				5		1			8			Yes	
Thomas Jefferson	1	1				2	3	2		1	6			Yes	
Region 2000			2		1		2			1	2	2		Yes	
West Piedmont			4		3	2		2		3	18		2	Yes	
Southside		2			9						2		5	Yes	
Commonwealth	1		1	1	8	4	2	2		2	3		2	Yes	
Richmond			1			4		4			1			Yes	
RADCO						3					2			Yes	
Northern Neck	5				3	5	2				4		2	Yes	
Middle Peninsula						6	6							Yes	
Crater					8	5		4	1		4		3	Yes	
Accomack-Northampton		1			1	7	2	1			2	1		Yes	
Hampton Roads					1	27		5			6			Yes	
Totals	36	10	15	2	56	110	22	30	5	8	85	6	53		

Virginia's Planning District Commissions 2004-2006 Biennial Report Appendix F Functional Area Plans Developed

						Funct	ional Area	Plans Dev	eloped					
Planning District Commission	Infra- structure	Housing	Adminis- tration	Zoning/ Planning	Econ. Dev.	Environ- ment	Strategic Planning	Health & Human Svcs.	Disaster Planning	Telecom/ Techno	Work Force	Trans- portation	GIS	Comm. Develop.
LENOWISCO	2				2				2					1
Cumberland Plateau	2				2	2			1					1
Mt. Rogers	4				_	1			•	2		2		1
New River Valley	3				2	1			1	2		_		1
Roanoke Valley-Alleghany	4				1	 			1			1		1
Central Shenandoah	1				9	1			1			2	1	1
Northern Shenandoah Valley	4					1			3			7	•	1
Northern Virginia	_											1		
Rappahannock-Rapidan	1	2		1	3	3		4	1			10		1
Thomas Jefferson	1	4				1			2			6		
Region 2000							1		1	2	3	4		
West Piedmont	1				6				1			11		
Southside					6				2			2		
Commonwealth			1		1				2			1		
Richmond									2			5		
RADCO			1		1							4		
Northern Neck	1				2	4	1		6					
Middle Peninsula						2	1		2			1		
Crater	3				4							1		
Accomack-Northampton	2	1			3				1			1		
Hampton Roads	4					2		0				5		
Totals	33	7	1	1	42	17	3	4	29	6	3	62	1	1

Virginia's Planning District Commissions 2004-2006 Biennial Report Appendix G Other Activities

Planning District	Assis	t State	GIS Part	icipation	Data Co	ollection
Commission	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006
LENOWISCO	4	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cumberland Plateau	4	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mt. Rogers	2	2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
New River Valley	3	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Roanoke Valley-Alleghany	8	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Central Shenandoah	2	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Northern Shenandoah Valley	5	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Northern Virginia	6	2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rappahannock-Rapidan	6	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Thomas Jefferson	8	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Region 2000	4	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
West Piedmont	3	11	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Southside	3	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Commonwealth	2	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Richmond	2	2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
RADCO	3	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Northern Neck	5	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Middle Peninsula	4	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Crater	2	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Accomack-Northampton	3	1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hampton Roads	14	15	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Totals	93	108				