



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

P.O. BOX 2120

RICHMOND, VA. 23218-2120

January 11, 2006

The Honorable John H. Chichester
Chairman, Senate Finance Committee
Virginia General Assembly
P.O. Box 396
Richmond, VA 23218

The Honorable H. Russell Potts, Jr.
Chairman, Senate Education and Health
Committee
Virginia General Assembly
P.O. Box 396
Richmond, VA 23218

The Honorable Vincent F. Callahan, Jr.
Chairman, House Appropriations Committee
Virginia General Assembly
P.O. Box 406
Richmond, Virginia 23218

The Honorable James H. Dillard II
Chairman, House Education Committee
Virginia General Assembly
P.O. Box 406
Richmond, Virginia 23218

Dear Sirs:

Pursuant to Section 22.1-97, *Code of Virginia*, I am reporting on the status of each locality's expenditures and appropriations designated to meet their required local effort in support of the Standards of Quality. The attached report provides the outcome of the fiscal year 2005 calculation of expenditures toward meeting required local effort for each school division and the fiscal year 2006 budgeted required local effort calculation for each school division.

If you have questions or require additional information relative to this transmittal, please contact me or Daniel Timberlake, assistant superintendent for finance, at (804) 225-2025.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Patricia I. Wright".

Patricia I. Wright
Acting Superintendent of Public Instruction

PIW/mc

Enclosure

cc: The Honorable Mark R. Warner
The Honorable Peter A. Blake



VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Report

Status of Required Local Effort in Support of the Standards of Quality

JANUARY 11, 2006

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Preface

Section 22.1-97, *Code of Virginia*, directs the Superintendent of Public Instruction to provide a report annually to the House Committees on Appropriations and Education and the Senate Committees on Finance and Education and Health on the degree to which each school division has met, failed to meet, or surpassed its required local expenditure in support of the Standards of Quality. Department of Education budget staff prepared the report based on Annual School Report Financial Section (ASRFIN) data submitted by school divisions for fiscal year 2005 and budgeted expenditure information submitted by school divisions for fiscal year 2006.

Table of Contents

Preface	i
Table of Contents	ii
Executive Summary	iii
Fiscal Year 2005 Actual Required Local Effort	1
Fiscal Year 2006 Budgeted Required Local Effort	4
<i>Appendix A:</i> Copy of Legislative Mandate Directing the Study	7

Executive Summary

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 22.1-97, *Code of Virginia*, the Department of Education collected data from school divisions on the actual local funding effort in support of the Standards of Quality for fiscal year 2005, through the 2004-2005 Annual School Report Financial Section (ASRFIN). Information was also collected from school divisions on budgeted required local effort in support of the Standards of Quality for fiscal year 2006. This report provides the results of calculations made to ensure that each school division has expended or appropriated (depending upon the year under review) sufficient local funds to support its required local effort. The objective of required local effort is to ensure that each school division has sufficient local operational expenditures to support its local share of the cost of the Standards of Quality. Fiscal year 2005 calculations are based on actual local operational expenditures and fiscal year 2006 calculations are based on budgeted local operational expenditures.

For fiscal year 2005, all school divisions except Franklin County submitted the required information to review their required local effort. As of the date of this report, Franklin County has not submitted its fiscal year 2005 Annual School Report Financial Section and is not included in this report for fiscal year 2005. All reporting school divisions except Russell County met required local effort. Of the divisions that met required local effort, all exceeded required local effort, with local support in excess of the required amount ranging from 0.6 percent to 211.2 percent. The average local support in excess of the required level for fiscal year 2005 was 68.9 percent. The Department of Education is currently working with Russell County to meet the provisions of the appropriation act and Section 22.1-97, *Code of Virginia*, for a governing body that fails to meet required local effort.

For fiscal year 2006, all school divisions except Craig County have budgeted local operational funds sufficient to meet required local effort. The range of budgeted local support in excess of required local effort is 0.6 percent to 234.8 percent. The average budgeted local support in excess of the required level for fiscal year 2006 is 75.7 percent. Craig County, whose budgeted local operational funds do not meet budgeted required local effort for fiscal year 2006, has requested additional fiscal year 2006 appropriations from its local governing body in order to meet required local effort for fiscal year 2006. The Department of Education will continue to monitor this situation and work with Craig County.

School divisions whose local appropriations for fiscal year 2006 are only slightly in excess of the amount required to maintain local effort for the Standards of Quality are requested to review their average daily membership on a monthly basis during the fiscal year, to ensure sufficient appropriations are available based on current enrollment levels.

**FY 2005 ACTUAL REQUIRED LOCAL EFFORT (RLE)
BASED ON ACTUAL LOCAL EXPENDITURES FOR OPERATIONS**

RLE Based on Chapter 951, 2005 Acts of Assembly, and Final March 31, 2005, Average Daily Membership (ADM)

Div Num	Division	FY 2005 Required Local Effort ¹	FY 2005 Actual Local Expenditures for Operations ²	FY 2005 Actual Local Expenditures for Operations above RLE	Percent of FY 2005 Actual Local Expenditures for Operations above RLE
001	ACCOMACK	7,705,886	12,951,902	5,246,016	68.08%
002	ALBEMARLE	37,161,267	81,749,583	44,588,316	119.99%
003	ALLEGHANY	3,679,896	8,736,636	5,056,740	137.42%
004	AMELIA	3,348,519	3,509,854	161,335	4.82%
005	AMHERST	6,702,487	9,952,102	3,249,615	48.48%
006	APPOMATTOX	3,292,411	3,653,907	361,497	10.98%
007	ARLINGTON	80,768,664	227,619,819	146,851,155	181.82%
008	AUGUSTA	18,098,866	24,060,964	5,962,098	32.94%
009	BATH	3,402,699	6,555,623	3,152,925	92.66%
010	BEDFORD	17,681,590	22,790,246	5,108,656	28.89%
011	BLAND	1,498,187	1,818,012	319,826	21.35%
012	BOTETOURT	9,905,465	16,320,641	6,415,176	64.76%
013	BRUNSWICK	3,163,308	4,728,201	1,564,893	49.47%
014	BUCHANAN	5,301,379	7,327,989	2,026,610	38.23%
015	BUCKINGHAM	2,993,946	4,447,916	1,453,970	48.56%
016	CAMPBELL	11,468,053	17,347,950	5,879,897	51.27%
017	CAROLINE	5,920,267	6,826,363	906,096	15.30%
018	CARROLL	6,062,300	7,758,145	1,695,845	27.97%
019	CHARLES CITY	2,134,281	5,307,260	3,172,978	148.67%
020	CHARLOTTE	2,731,471	3,072,520	341,049	12.49%
021	CHESTERFIELD	99,056,409	162,489,175	63,432,767	64.04%
022	CLARKE	5,898,559	9,506,803	3,608,244	61.17%
023	CRAIG	1,256,006	1,679,006	423,000	33.68%
024	CULPEPER	12,010,348	19,087,288	7,076,940	58.92%
025	CUMBERLAND	2,101,257	3,223,689	1,122,432	53.42%
026	DICKENSON	3,330,995	4,600,148	1,269,153	38.10%
027	DINWIDDIE	6,440,294	12,850,716	6,410,422	99.54%
028	ESSEX	3,443,609	5,049,508	1,605,899	46.63%
029	FAIRFAX	619,796,905	1,240,788,461	620,991,556	100.19%
030	FAUQUIER	32,396,729	59,917,804	27,521,075	84.95%
031	FLOYD	3,562,917	5,277,411	1,714,495	48.12%
032	FLUVANNA	6,340,669	11,141,743	4,801,075	75.72%
033	FRANKLIN	DATA NOT SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION			
034	FREDERICK	21,440,066	45,430,481	23,990,415	111.90%
035	GILES	3,761,276	6,000,479	2,239,203	59.53%
036	GLOUCESTER	9,279,772	18,128,202	8,848,429	95.35%
037	GOOCHLAND	9,324,318	13,735,058	4,410,740	47.30%
038	GRAYSON	3,508,538	4,698,871	1,190,333	33.93%
039	GREENE	4,762,367	7,350,924	2,588,557	54.35%
040	GREENSVILLE	1,960,508	2,928,393	967,885	49.37%
041	HALIFAX	7,792,087	13,671,852	5,879,766	75.46%
042	HANOVER	39,162,532	60,275,095	21,112,563	53.91%
043	HENRICO	110,270,692	161,532,234	51,261,542	46.49%
044	HENRY	10,474,811	12,596,770	2,121,960	20.26%
045	HIGHLAND	1,469,348	1,641,327	171,980	11.70%
046	ISLE OF WIGHT	9,055,968	15,185,710	6,129,742	67.69%
047	JAMES CITY	25,712,709	50,732,244	25,019,535	97.30%
048	KING GEORGE	6,215,646	8,524,276	2,308,630	37.14%
049	KING QUEEN	1,785,660	3,213,978	1,428,317	79.99%
050	KING WILLIAM	3,485,390	5,804,436	2,319,046	66.54%
051	LANCASTER	4,194,920	7,671,676	3,476,756	82.88%

**FY 2005 ACTUAL REQUIRED LOCAL EFFORT (RLE)
 BASED ON ACTUAL LOCAL EXPENDITURES FOR OPERATIONS
 RLE Based on Chapter 951, 2005 Acts of Assembly, and Final March 31, 2005, Average Daily Membership (ADM)**

Div Num	Division	FY 2005 Required Local Effort ¹	FY 2005 Actual Local Expenditures for Operations ²	FY 2005 Actual Local Expenditures for Operations above RLE	Percent of FY 2005 Actual Local Expenditures for Operations above RLE
052	LEE	4,093,532	4,383,487	289,955	7.08%
053	LOUDOUN	162,314,780	366,911,693	204,596,913	126.05%
054	LOUISA	11,149,616	18,216,032	7,066,416	63.38%
055	LUNENBURG	2,428,798	3,512,216	1,083,418	44.61%
056	MADISON	4,131,736	5,867,380	1,735,645	42.01%
057	MATHEWS	3,099,687	3,892,005	792,318	25.56%
058	MECKLENBURG	7,889,211	8,287,633	398,423	5.05%
059	MIDDLESEX	4,150,791	4,750,372	599,581	14.44%
060	MONTGOMERY	18,135,234	29,546,904	11,411,670	62.93%
062	NELSON	4,968,100	7,935,561	2,967,460	59.73%
063	NEW KENT	5,475,014	8,198,605	2,723,591	49.75%
065	NORTHAMPTON	3,786,217	6,030,629	2,244,412	59.28%
066	NORTHUMBERLAND	4,320,435	6,791,827	2,471,392	57.20%
067	NOTTOWAY	3,094,462	3,499,397	404,935	13.09%
068	ORANGE	8,971,631	12,139,377	3,167,746	35.31%
069	PAGE	5,517,112	7,535,204	2,018,091	36.58%
070	PATRICK	3,982,518	4,004,242	21,724	0.55%
071	PITTSYLVANIA	12,400,773	13,409,358	1,008,585	8.13%
072	POWHATAN	7,837,376	15,831,515	7,994,139	102.00%
073	PRINCE EDWARD	4,074,875	5,763,079	1,688,203	41.43%
074	PRINCE GEORGE	7,467,344	13,046,560	5,579,216	74.71%
075	PRINCE WILLIAM	138,693,144	260,894,566	122,201,422	88.11%
077	PULASKI	7,516,040	11,759,674	4,243,634	56.46%
078	RAPPAHANNOCK	3,689,864	5,884,899	2,195,035	59.49%
079	RICHMOND	2,318,494	3,257,538	939,044	40.50%
080	ROANOKE	27,982,301	49,454,691	21,472,390	76.74%
081	ROCKBRIDGE	6,225,932	11,264,250	5,038,318	80.92%
082	ROCKINGHAM	18,215,924	36,343,483	18,127,559	99.51%
083	RUSSELL	5,662,640	4,360,541	(1,302,099)	(22.99%)
084	SCOTT	4,148,998	4,700,920	551,921	13.30%
085	SHENANDOAH	10,558,602	20,430,822	9,872,220	93.50%
086	SMYTH	6,166,758	7,584,994	1,418,236	23.00%
087	SOUTHAMPTON	3,961,428	7,163,633	3,202,205	80.83%
088	SPOTSYLVANIA	38,896,523	76,685,573	37,789,050	97.15%
089	STAFFORD	37,986,366	73,612,614	35,626,249	93.79%
090	SURRY	5,224,939	8,811,757	3,586,818	68.65%
091	SUSSEX	2,283,190	6,925,627	4,642,436	203.33%
092	TAZEWELL	9,266,322	9,463,289	196,967	2.13%
093	WARREN	9,158,426	12,783,553	3,625,128	39.58%
094	WASHINGTON	12,224,888	17,880,435	5,655,547	46.26%
095	WESTMORELAND	3,460,719	4,857,365	1,396,646	40.36%
096	WISE	6,827,062	7,902,013	1,074,951	15.75%
097	WYTHE	6,251,892	8,398,240	2,146,349	34.33%
098	YORK	20,403,108	44,505,292	24,102,184	118.13%
101	ALEXANDRIA	46,253,956	129,636,554	83,382,599	180.27%
102	BRISTOL	4,200,928	6,466,220	2,265,292	53.92%
103	BUENA VISTA	1,503,442	2,382,703	879,261	58.48%
104	CHARLOTTESVILLE	13,130,779	31,346,328	18,215,549	138.72%
106	COLONIAL HEIGHTS	6,562,007	14,462,203	7,900,196	120.39%
107	COVINGTON	1,454,791	3,269,164	1,814,373	124.72%
108	DANVILLE	9,059,094	14,442,482	5,383,388	59.43%

**FY 2005 ACTUAL REQUIRED LOCAL EFFORT (RLE)
 BASED ON ACTUAL LOCAL EXPENDITURES FOR OPERATIONS
 RLE Based on Chapter 951, 2005 Acts of Assembly, and Final March 31, 2005, Average Daily Membership (ADM)**

Div Num	Division	FY 2005 Required Local Effort ¹	FY 2005 Actual Local Expenditures for Operations ²	FY 2005 Actual Local Expenditures for Operations above RLE	Percent of FY 2005 Actual Local Expenditures for Operations above RLE
109	FALLS CHURCH	8,130,583	22,736,466	14,605,883	179.64%
110	FREDERICKSBURG	8,491,260	15,059,105	6,567,845	77.35%
111	GALAX	2,166,356	2,592,005	425,648	19.65%
112	HAMPTON	27,152,230	54,804,177	27,651,947	101.84%
113	HARRISONBURG	10,422,620	19,120,261	8,697,641	83.45%
114	HOPEWELL	4,563,635	9,449,852	4,886,217	107.07%
115	LYNCHBURG	14,866,289	26,723,705	11,857,416	79.76%
116	MARTINSVILLE	3,282,925	4,336,288	1,053,363	32.09%
117	NEWPORT NEWS	36,812,462	77,149,631	40,337,169	109.57%
118	NORFOLK	42,439,718	87,670,797	45,231,079	106.58%
119	NORTON	1,165,184	1,309,256	144,072	12.36%
120	PETERSBURG	6,085,122	7,206,395	1,121,273	18.43%
121	PORTSMOUTH	15,725,858	30,025,087	14,299,229	90.93%
122	RADFORD	2,252,360	4,108,210	1,855,851	82.40%
123	RICHMOND CITY	51,966,365	135,976,698	84,010,333	161.66%
124	ROANOKE CITY	25,094,981	46,564,193	21,469,213	85.55%
126	STAUNTON	4,997,193	9,635,724	4,638,531	92.82%
127	SUFFOLK	19,132,583	33,479,907	14,347,323	74.99%
128	VIRGINIA BEACH	114,512,257	269,174,665	154,662,408	135.06%
130	WAYNESBORO	4,690,835	9,756,202	5,065,367	107.98%
131	WILLIAMSBURG	2,980,566	3,652,108	671,542	22.53%
132	WINCHESTER	9,844,915	21,723,174	11,878,258	120.65%
134	FAIRFAX CITY	11,619,840	24,058,802	12,438,963	107.05%
135	FRANKLIN CITY	2,303,393	3,815,918	1,512,525	65.67%
136	CHESAPEAKE	59,944,431	135,359,496	75,415,065	125.81%
137	LEXINGTON	1,847,458	1,879,825	32,366	1.75%
138	EMPORIA	1,524,058	2,550,588	1,026,530	67.36%
139	SALEM	6,842,334	14,856,456	8,014,121	117.13%
140	BEDFORD CITY	1,364,633	1,635,392	270,759	19.84%
142	POQUOSON	4,012,722	6,938,445	2,925,723	72.91%
143	MANASSAS	14,297,740	35,873,548	21,575,808	150.90%
144	MANASSAS PARK	4,510,885	10,492,675	5,981,790	132.61%
202	COLONIAL BEACH	906,096	1,398,531	492,435	54.35%
207	WEST POINT	1,051,899	3,273,260	2,221,361	211.18%

¹ Required local effort for SOQ programs (defined as Basic Aid; Gifted Education; Vocational Education; Special Education; Prevention, Intervention and Remediation; Fringe Benefits; English as a Second Language; and Textbooks) based on Chapter 951, 2005 Acts of Assembly, and final March 31, 2005, Average Daily Membership.

² Local expenditures for operations are based on expenditures as reported by school divisions on the 2004-2005 Annual School Report Financial Section.

**FY 2006 ESTIMATED REQUIRED LOCAL EFFORT (RLE)
BASED ON BUDGETED LOCAL EXPENDITURES FOR OPERATIONS**

RLE Based on Chapter 951, 2005 Acts of Assembly, and Projected March 31, 2006, Average Daily Membership (ADM)

Div Num	Division	FY 2006 Required Local Effort ¹	FY 2006 Budgeted Local Expenditures for Operations ²	FY 2006 Budgeted Local Expenditures for Operations above RLE	Percent of FY 2006 Budgeted Local Expenditures for Operations above RLE
001	ACCOMACK	8,102,009	12,929,595	4,827,586	59.59%
002	ALBEMARLE	38,440,805	101,843,233	63,402,428	164.94%
003	ALLEGHANY	3,737,198	9,276,735	5,539,537	148.23%
004	AMELIA	3,489,353	4,025,365	536,012	15.36%
005	AMHERST	6,998,291	11,272,974	4,274,683	61.08%
006	APPOMATTOX	3,371,644	4,173,165	801,521	23.77%
007	ARLINGTON	82,932,678	237,928,313	154,995,635	186.89%
008	AUGUSTA	18,561,032	30,490,415	11,929,383	64.27%
009	BATH	3,530,103	6,722,782	3,192,679	90.44%
010	BEDFORD	18,409,987	25,324,073	6,914,086	37.56%
011	BLAND	1,538,458	1,763,620	225,162	14.64%
012	BOTETOURT	10,471,700	17,304,763	6,833,063	65.25%
013	BRUNSWICK	3,200,690	4,110,577	909,887	28.43%
014	BUCHANAN	5,283,509	6,677,570	1,394,061	26.39%
015	BUCKINGHAM	3,047,528	3,951,445	903,917	29.66%
016	CAMPBELL	11,918,702	17,470,099	5,551,397	46.58%
017	CAROLINE	6,325,051	9,121,638	2,796,587	44.21%
018	CARROLL	6,227,426	7,745,721	1,518,295	24.38%
019	CHARLES CITY	2,132,894	6,017,898	3,885,004	182.15%
020	CHARLOTTE	2,841,954	3,587,600	745,646	26.24%
021	CHESTERFIELD	104,750,568	175,548,039	70,797,471	67.59%
022	CLARKE	6,557,309	11,319,900	4,762,591	72.63%
023	CRAIG ³	1,315,165	1,309,105	(6,060)	(-0.46%)
024	CULPEPER	13,021,494	26,504,646	13,483,152	103.55%
025	CUMBERLAND	2,159,003	3,875,238	1,716,235	79.49%
026	DICKENSON	3,362,740	5,638,455	2,275,715	67.67%
027	DINWIDDIE	6,697,314	11,817,000	5,119,686	76.44%
028	ESSEX	3,621,904	5,406,576	1,784,672	49.27%
029	FAIRFAX	640,010,004	1,402,515,314	762,505,310	119.14%
030	FAUQUIER	34,382,416	64,169,710	29,787,294	86.64%
031	FLOYD	3,673,791	4,993,763	1,319,972	35.93%
032	FLUVANNA	6,348,091	10,235,682	3,887,591	61.24%
033	FRANKLIN	14,581,587	20,013,028	5,431,441	37.25%
034	FREDERICK	22,847,154	54,079,995	31,232,841	136.70%
035	GILES	3,866,948	6,409,526	2,542,578	65.75%
036	GLOUCESTER	9,596,183	18,228,646	8,632,463	89.96%
037	GOOCHLAND	9,900,684	14,965,397	5,064,713	51.16%
038	GRAYSON	3,552,728	4,343,493	790,765	22.26%
039	GREENE	4,971,011	5,731,767	760,756	15.30%
040	GREENSVILLE	2,049,996	3,318,038	1,268,042	61.86%
041	HALIFAX	7,953,149	10,802,406	2,849,257	35.83%
042	HANOVER	41,094,104	64,283,684	23,189,580	56.43%
043	HENRICO	118,205,740	169,403,839	51,198,099	43.31%
044	HENRY	10,309,077	17,588,996	7,279,919	70.62%
045	HIGHLAND	1,528,497	1,821,563	293,066	19.17%
046	ISLE OF WIGHT	9,644,349	16,874,331	7,229,982	74.97%
047	JAMES CITY	28,230,328	46,317,614	18,087,286	64.07%
048	KING GEORGE	6,629,702	10,260,554	3,630,852	54.77%
049	KING QUEEN	1,918,648	3,671,492	1,752,844	91.36%
050	KING WILLIAM	3,694,330	6,456,604	2,762,274	74.77%
051	LANCASTER	4,146,028	7,568,159	3,422,131	82.54%

**FY 2006 ESTIMATED REQUIRED LOCAL EFFORT (RLE)
BASED ON BUDGETED LOCAL EXPENDITURES FOR OPERATIONS**

RLE Based on Chapter 951, 2005 Acts of Assembly, and Projected March 31, 2006, Average Daily Membership (ADM)

Div Num	Division	FY 2006 Required Local Effort ¹	FY 2006 Budgeted Local Expenditures for Operations ²	FY 2006 Budgeted Local Expenditures for Operations above RLE	Percent of FY 2006 Budgeted Local Expenditures for Operations above RLE
052	LEE	4,128,545	4,151,800	23,255	0.56%
053	LOUDOUN	181,330,908	513,361,390	332,030,482	183.11%
054	LOUISA	11,838,941	16,322,563	4,483,622	37.87%
055	LUNENBURG	2,510,361	3,363,832	853,471	34.00%
056	MADISON	4,260,701	6,165,389	1,904,688	44.70%
057	MATHEWS	3,167,892	4,108,691	940,799	29.70%
058	MECKLENBURG	8,002,516	9,814,530	1,812,014	22.64%
059	MIDDLESEX	4,283,494	4,953,388	669,894	15.64%
060	MONTGOMERY	18,850,271	30,816,833	11,966,562	63.48%
062	NELSON	5,144,925	9,029,871	3,884,946	75.51%
063	NEW KENT	5,739,526	7,609,667	1,870,141	32.58%
065	NORTHAMPTON	3,775,609	5,025,124	1,249,515	33.09%
066	NORTHUMBERLAND	4,363,758	6,730,062	2,366,304	54.23%
067	NOTTOWAY	3,085,938	3,410,365	324,427	10.51%
068	ORANGE	9,498,367	12,783,166	3,284,799	34.58%
069	PAGE	5,622,607	6,425,575	802,968	14.28%
070	PATRICK	4,072,932	4,891,643	818,711	20.10%
071	PITTSYLVANIA	12,812,594	14,132,416	1,319,822	10.30%
072	POWHATAN	8,542,603	16,660,844	8,118,241	95.03%
073	PRINCE EDWARD	4,240,254	4,785,112	544,858	12.85%
074	PRINCE GEORGE	7,956,017	14,022,012	6,065,995	76.24%
075	PRINCE WILLIAM	149,978,613	339,956,967	189,978,354	126.67%
077	PULASKI	7,704,315	11,875,095	4,170,780	54.14%
078	RAPPAHANNOCK	3,818,672	6,747,741	2,929,069	76.70%
079	RICHMOND	2,387,779	3,832,895	1,445,116	60.52%
080	ROANOKE	29,106,859	54,794,723	25,687,864	88.25%
081	ROCKBRIDGE	6,332,843	10,575,719	4,242,876	67.00%
082	ROCKINGHAM	19,282,676	38,298,851	19,016,175	98.62%
083	RUSSELL	5,719,753	6,507,764	788,011	13.78%
084	SCOTT	4,335,504	5,022,861	687,357	15.85%
085	SHENANDOAH	11,424,009	18,853,437	7,429,428	65.03%
086	SMYTH	6,253,266	9,457,763	3,204,497	51.25%
087	SOUTHAMPTON	4,102,663	6,457,727	2,355,064	57.40%
088	SPOTSYLVANIA	42,146,324	74,323,744	32,177,420	76.35%
089	STAFFORD	41,019,986	96,564,725	55,544,739	135.41%
090	SURRY	5,469,626	9,608,129	4,138,503	75.66%
091	SUSSEX	2,331,570	7,805,658	5,474,088	234.78%
092	TAZEWELL	9,541,403	9,739,488	198,085	2.08%
093	WARREN	9,502,905	13,830,954	4,328,049	45.54%
094	WASHINGTON	12,787,554	20,829,262	8,041,708	62.89%
095	WESTMORELAND	3,407,200	5,007,733	1,600,533	46.98%
096	WISE	6,930,514	12,305,973	5,375,459	77.56%
097	WYTHE	6,394,678	10,064,478	3,669,800	57.39%
098	YORK	21,616,040	37,730,002	16,113,962	74.55%
101	ALEXANDRIA	48,086,620	130,401,656	82,315,036	171.18%
102	BRISTOL	4,443,974	7,404,783	2,960,809	66.63%
103	BUENA VISTA	1,570,139	2,450,147	880,008	56.05%
104	CHARLOTTESVILLE	13,091,177	35,176,463	22,085,286	168.70%
106	COLONIAL HEIGHTS	6,843,856	16,576,512	9,732,656	142.21%
107	COVINGTON	1,447,048	3,763,935	2,316,887	160.11%
108	DANVILLE	9,109,344	16,719,026	7,609,682	83.54%

**FY 2006 ESTIMATED REQUIRED LOCAL EFFORT (RLE)
BASED ON BUDGETED LOCAL EXPENDITURES FOR OPERATIONS**

RLE Based on Chapter 951, 2005 Acts of Assembly, and Projected March 31, 2006, Average Daily Membership (ADM)

Div Num	Division	FY 2006 Required Local Effort ¹	FY 2006 Budgeted Local Expenditures for Operations ²	FY 2006 Budgeted Local Expenditures for Operations above RLE	Percent of FY 2006 Budgeted Local Expenditures for Operations above RLE
109	FALLS CHURCH	8,637,298	25,607,023	16,969,725	196.47%
110	FREDERICKSBURG	8,912,597	21,009,760	12,097,163	135.73%
111	GALAX	2,254,711	3,264,457	1,009,746	44.78%
112	HAMPTON	28,039,796	64,343,920	36,304,124	129.47%
113	HARRISONBURG	10,991,354	20,215,631	9,224,277	83.92%
114	HOPEWELL	4,698,906	9,809,657	5,110,751	108.76%
115	LYNCHBURG	14,968,251	33,531,548	18,563,297	124.02%
116	MARTINSVILLE	3,337,710	6,631,928	3,294,218	98.70%
117	NEWPORT NEWS	38,083,572	73,579,670	35,496,098	93.21%
118	NORFOLK	42,889,564	63,296,715	20,407,151	47.58%
119	NORTON	1,212,718	1,698,679	485,961	40.07%
120	PETERSBURG	6,127,908	6,474,267	346,359	5.65%
121	PORTSMOUTH	15,973,742	36,983,787	21,010,045	131.53%
122	RADFORD	2,301,200	6,700,222	4,399,022	191.16%
123	RICHMOND CITY	55,933,297	153,308,349	97,375,052	174.09%
124	ROANOKE CITY	25,656,725	48,077,378	22,420,653	87.39%
126	STAUNTON	5,132,866	10,821,936	5,689,070	110.84%
127	SUFFOLK	20,509,961	39,437,220	18,927,259	92.28%
128	VIRGINIA BEACH	115,557,099	301,092,792	185,535,693	160.56%
130	WAYNESBORO	4,997,156	10,426,143	5,428,987	108.64%
131	WILLIAMSBURG	3,035,755	3,394,212	358,457	11.81%
132	WINCHESTER	10,208,426	24,522,238	14,313,812	140.22%
134	FAIRFAX CITY	11,552,626	27,456,075	15,903,449	137.66%
135	FRANKLIN CITY	2,368,159	4,458,307	2,090,148	88.26%
136	CHESAPEAKE	62,739,210	158,047,264	95,308,054	151.91%
137	LEXINGTON	1,915,571	1,983,040	67,469	3.52%
138	EMPORIA	1,479,286	2,393,723	914,437	61.82%
139	SALEM	7,235,874	15,316,767	8,080,893	111.68%
140	BEDFORD CITY	1,452,267	1,950,797	498,530	34.33%
142	POQUOSON	4,232,204	6,144,844	1,912,640	45.19%
143	MANASSAS	14,994,073	39,758,717	24,764,644	165.16%
144	MANASSAS PARK	4,641,315	12,682,033	8,040,718	173.24%
202	COLONIAL BEACH	977,177	1,932,381	955,204	97.75%
207	WEST POINT	1,110,737	2,280,776	1,170,039	105.34%

¹ Required local effort for SOQ programs (defined as Basic Aid, Gifted Education; Vocational Education; Special Education; Prevention, Intervention, and Remediation; Fringe Benefits; English as a Second Language; and Textbooks) based on Chapter 951, 2005 Acts of Assembly, and projected March 31, 2006, Average Daily Membership.

² As reported by local school divisions on the fiscal year 2006 Budgeted Required Local Effort data collection.

³ Craig County Public Schools has certified that it will request additional funds from its local governing body sufficient to meet required local effort for fiscal year 2006.

Appendix A

Copy of Legislative Mandate for the Reporting Requirement

Code of Virginia

§ 22.1-97. Calculation and reporting of required local expenditures; procedure if locality fails to appropriate sufficient educational funds.

A. The Department of Education shall collect annually the data necessary to make calculations and reports required by this subsection.

At the beginning of each school year, the Department shall make calculations to ensure that each school division has appropriated sufficient funds to support its estimated required local expenditure for providing an educational program meeting the prescribed Standards of Quality, required by Article VIII of the Constitution of Virginia and Chapter 13.2 (§ 22.1-253.13:1 et seq.) of this title. At the conclusion of the school year, the Department shall make calculations to verify whether the locality has provided the required expenditure, based on average daily membership as of March 31 of the relevant school year.

The Department shall report annually to the House Committees on Education and Appropriations and the Senate Committees on Finance and Education and Health the results of such calculations and the degree to which each school division has met, failed to meet, or surpassed its required expenditure.

The Joint Legislative Audit and Review Commission shall report annually to the House Committees on Education and Appropriations and the Senate Committees on Finance and Education and Health the state expenditure provided each locality for an educational program meeting the Standards of Quality.

The Department and the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Commission shall coordinate to ensure that their respective reports are based upon comparable data and are delivered together, or as closely following one another as practicable, to the appropriate standing committees.

B. Whenever such calculations indicate that the governing body of a county, city or town fails or refuses to appropriate funds sufficient to provide that portion of the cost apportioned to such county, city or town by law for maintaining an educational program meeting the Standards of Quality, the Board of Education shall notify the Attorney General of such failure or refusal in writing signed by the president of the Board. Upon receipt of such notification, it shall be the duty of the Attorney General to file in the circuit court for the county, city or town a petition for a writ of mandamus directing and requiring such governing body to make forthwith such appropriation as is required by law.

The petition shall be in the name of the Board of Education, and the governing body shall be made a party defendant thereto. The court may, in its discretion, cause such other officers or persons to be made parties defendant as it may deem proper. The court may make such order as may be appropriate respecting the employment and compensation of an attorney or attorneys for any party defendant not otherwise represented by counsel. The petition shall be given first priority on the docket of such court and shall be heard expeditiously in accordance with the procedures prescribed in Article 2 (§ 8.01-644 et seq.) of Chapter 25 of Title 8.01 and the writ of mandamus shall be awarded or denied according to the law and facts of the case and with or without costs, as the court may determine. The order of the court shall be final upon entry. Any appeal therefrom shall be heard and disposed of promptly by the Supreme Court next after habeas corpus cases already on the docket.