

**Report On The  
Utilization, Length of Stay and Expenditures in  
Residential Care by Locality for Children Served Through the  
Comprehensive Services Act**

**Program Year 2006**

*Prepared by:*

**The Office of Comprehensive Services for At-Risk Youth & Families  
December 13, 2006**

**Utilization, Length of Stay, and Expenditures in Residential Care by Locality  
For the Program Year Ending June 30, 2006**  
*December 13, 2006*

The 2006 Appropriations Act (*Item 279, B 2.d3*) requires the Office of Comprehensive Services (*OCS*) to report to the Governor and Chairmen of the House Appropriations and Senate Finance Committees on utilization rates and average lengths of stays in residential care statewide and by locality. Each locality is required to submit to OCS “information on utilization of residential facilities for treatment of children and length of stay in such facilities.” This report is due by December 15 of each year.

Residential care is defined as group homes, residential treatment facilities, or psychiatric hospitals for this report. This report provides utilization, length of stay, and expenditure data on residential placements by locality and statewide for program year 2006.

Specifically it provides:

- Statewide summary information on children and expenditures in residential care, compared to program year 2005.
- Average length of stay in residential care by locality (*Schedule 1*).
- Average length of stay in residential care for children placed through the foster care, special education and non-mandated categories by locality (*Schedule 2*).
- Utilization rate by locality for program years 2005 and 2006(*Schedules 3 & 4*).
  - Percentage of CSA children in residential care;
  - Percentage of the total youth population in the community that was in residential care; and
  - Percentage of all CSA services that were residential services.
- Percentage of all CSA expenditures spent on residential care by locality for program years 2005 and 2006 (*Schedules 3 & 4*).
- Major initiatives.
- Report methodology.

The 2006 General Assembly mandated in House Joint Resolution 60 that the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Commission (*JLARC*) evaluate residential services delivered through the Comprehensive Services Act (*CSA*). A report was issued on December 11, 2006.

**Summary Statewide Information**

Of the 17,128 children that received CSA services during program year 2006, there were 4,275 children placed in a residential setting at some point. Thus, one out of four CSA children received residential services. This percentage is consistent with the 25% (4,046 of 16,272) of children in residential care at some point during program year 2005. There were 229 more children placed in residential care in 2006 than in 2005, representing a 5.7% increase in the number of children.

On average, children stayed in residential care for 9 months in FY2006, the same length of stay as in FY2005. This calculation is based primarily on two years of data, so more accurate length of stay data will be available as the CSA data set matures.

Over \$133 million in CSA state and local pool funds were spent on the 4,275 children in residential care during 2006, representing almost half (45%) of all CSA state pool expenditures (\$295 million). While this percentage of all CSA state pool expenditures was consistent with the 47% during 2005, \$5 million more was spent on residential care during 2006 than in 2005 (when \$128 million was spent). The average local match was 36% and the average state share was 64%.

- \$93.6 million was spent on secure residential treatment facilities and campus style residential programs, representing almost one-third (31.7%) of all CSA funds pool expenditures. This was an increase of \$1.6 million, or 1.7%, over the prior year.
- \$37.7 million was spent on group homes, representing 12.8% of all CSA pool funds. This was an increase of \$2.7 million, or 7.7%, over the prior year.
- \$1.3 million was spent on psychiatric hospitals, representing less than 1% of all CSA pool funds and an increase of \$300,000 over the prior year.

Additional Medicaid expenditures were spent on CSA children in residential facilities and group homes as communities worked to maximize other funding sources. Medicaid expenditures totaled \$66.5 million based on service billings from July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006. (*This represents 50% federal; 32% state; and on average 18% local match.*)

- \$65.6 million was spent on residential treatment facilities (*not including campus style settings*). This was an increase of \$6.1 million, or 10.3%, over the prior year.
- \$0.9 million was spent on group homes, a decrease of 1.9 million, or 67.9%, over the prior year.

Thus, over \$199.1 million in state, local and federal funds was spent on residential care in FY 2006 for CSA children. This represents a 4.6% increase over 2005. These costs do not include federal IV-E expenditures and other Medicaid services paid for CSA children during these placements.

The average cost per CSA child in residential care decreased from \$31,667 in 2005 to \$31,111 in 2006, including state, local and Medicaid funds.

There are multiple reasons why a community may have a relatively higher percentage of children placed in residential care than other communities, including:

- The CSA caseload for the community may be small, thus one or two children in residential care comprise a larger percentage of the total caseload than communities with more children.
- Some smaller communities report that it is not economically feasible to develop specialized services locally for a small number of children.
- Some communities report having access to a broader array of services locally or regionally, thus the children served through CSA are the ones requiring more intensive services.
- A residential care provider may be effectively serving the children in that community.

Residential care is an important part of a continuum of care. Many localities report though that children are sometimes placed in more restrictive, out-of-community care than necessary, resulting in higher costs. Communities report needing:

- Community services to prevent placements of children in more restrictive settings outside of their communities than necessary.
- Providers willing to develop wraparound services tailored to meet the needs of difficult children and their families.
- Start-up funds for developing services in family, schools, and community settings.
- Pooling funds across communities to provide economies of scale to develop services.
- Expertise in conducting assessments, developing creative service plans, and providing care coordination for children and families to effectively serve them in the community.
- Clinical expertise to assess the necessity, appropriateness and effectiveness of continued placement in residential care and to assist with discharge planning to reduce length of stay.

### Major Initiatives During 2006

Several initiatives were launched during fiscal year 2006 with the following purposes: to establish performance measures; to expand community services; to strengthen the capacity of communities in implementing CSA; and to increase the appropriateness of care for children and their families.

- CSA is instituting results accountability.
  - Governor Kaine directed OCS to establish performance measures for CSA in 2006. As a baseline in 2005, 38.44% of children who received services through the CSA funds pool were provided services in their homes, school and community (*CSA Data Set*). The Governor established a target for OCS of 50% of children by 2009.
  - The State Executive Council approved a results accountability framework for CSA in June 2006 that is consistent with the work of the Council on Virginia's Future.
  - CSA stakeholder groups have identified areas for CSA performance measures: the percentage of children served in their homes, schools and community; changes in child functioning; success in school; and family engagement/satisfaction. Measures are now being analyzed to determine the most effective and feasible, relying on current data when possible.
- OCS provided management reports to communities on their use of residential care for program years 2005 and 2006 (*Schedules 3 & 4*).
- The State and communities continued to maximize alternative funds to reduce rate of CSA expenditure growth.
  - During program year 2006, localities screened 70% of all CSA children for Medicaid (*with 63% enrolled*) and screened 56% for Title IV-E funds. Not all CSA youth should be screened due to their "mandated" status and/or

services provided (*e.g., CSA children that receive only special education services are not eligible*).

- CSA implemented a new Innovative Community Services Grant Program with \$750,000 provided by the General Assembly. This program provides communities start up funds to spark development of new community services targeted for children who are currently in, or at imminent risk of, residential care outside of the community. Localities are required to transition the newly developed services from grant funds to existing funding streams, so that grant funds can then be used by other communities.
- OCS Technical Assistance Coordinators were assigned to geographic regions to help communities take their CSA programs to the next level. In FY 2006, they:
  - Provided technical assistance to 96% of communities (*126 of 131*)
  - Made 75 onsite visits to 60 of 131 communities (*46%*).
  - Facilitated and/or participated in 46 community/regional meetings with members from the CPMT, FAPT, local government, and agencies.
- OCS developed and distributed new resource tools for communities, such as conducting comprehensive child and family assessments, creative service planning, and community CSA self-assessments.
- OCS assumed responsibility for utilization management of residential placements for 46 communities that do not have sufficient staff to perform this function (*July 2006*). This function had previously been provided through the Virginia Department of Medical Assistance Services. OCS staff provide clinical expertise and consultation to assist communities in making the most appropriate and cost-effective care decisions. They are also assisting communities in exploring community alternatives, developing creative service and implementing discharge plans.

### Report Methodology

To obtain the information to develop these statistics, child specific information was gathered using information from the CSA Data Set. Implemented in July 2003 under the guidance of the Secretary of Health and Human Resources, the CSA Data Set contains demographic, service, and expenditure information on the 16,272 children funded through the CSA state pool of funds during program year 2006. This information is reported quarterly by 131 localities. Information from the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter data set reporting, which is cumulative for the fiscal year, was used in determining the results for this Appropriations Act requirement. Program year 2006 is defined as total children's services provided between July 2005 through June 2006.

Length of stay information is provided on two schedules. Schedule 1 summarizes, by locality, the total number of children who resided in a residential setting at some point in FY06. Children meeting these criteria were isolated from the year to date 4<sup>th</sup> quarter data set master file, and their length of stay in the residential setting(s) was calculated (*in months*). Schedule 1 also provides information on the total number children served in FY06, and calculates the percentage of residential children to total children served on a

locality basis to determine the residential utilization rate. The statewide average length of stay and residential utilization rate is also provided.

Schedule 2 summarizes residential placements by the three respective residential service options: foster care, special education or non-mandated residential services. It is important to note that Schedule 2 contains duplicative state mandate child information. For example, a child could receive both residential foster care services and residential special education services, either simultaneously or at different points of time in the year. When this occurred, the child was reported in both of service options as identified by the local government. The statewide average length of stay and residential utilization rate for each residential service is also provided.

Both reports calculate length of stay for open CSA cases from the initial begin date of the residential stay, regardless of year, to the last day of the fiscal year, June 30, 2006. The actual residential service close date was used for length of stay calculations for any child discharged from CSA during the fiscal year.

When reviewing these reports, it is important to note that when the data set was initiated in July 2003, local governments were required to populate information based on placement as of July 1, 2003. Local governments were not required to provide historical placements prior to July 1, 2003. As such, placement information for individual children may not include the pre-July 2003 residential service. As the data set matures, this phenomenon will correct itself as these children leave the CSA services system. This will result in more accurate length of stay data.

Schedule 3 provides by locality for program year 2006: the percentage of CSA children in residential care; the percentage of the total youth population in the community that was in residential care; the percentage of all CSA services that were residential services; and the percentage of all CSA expenditures spent on residential care. Schedule 4 provides this same information for program year 2005.

Office of Comprehensive Services  
 FY06 Locality Residential Length of Stay and Utilization Rate  
 As of June 30, 2006 Locality Data Set Reporting

Schedule 1

FIPS	LOCALITY	# OF CHILDREN IN RESIDENTIAL CARE	AVG LENGTH OF STAY IN MONTHS	FIPS	LOCALITY	TOTAL # CHILDREN	PERCENT OF CHILDREN IN RESIDENTIAL CARE
1	Accomack	32	10	1	Accomack	106	30.19
3	Albemarle	88	6	3	Albemarle	282	31.21
5	Alleghany	19	11	5	Alleghany	41	46.34
7	Amelia	1	31	7	Amelia	16	6.25
9	Amherst	15	11	9	Amherst	59	25.42
11	Appomattox	5	9	11	Appomattox	35	14.29
13	Arlington	102	11	13	Arlington	298	34.23
15	Augusta	52	9	15	Augusta	224	23.21
17	Bath	5	19	17	Bath	6	83.33
19	Bedford County	51	11	19	Bedford County	162	31.48
21	Bland	2	10	21	Bland	16	12.50
23	Botetourt	19	6	23	Botetourt	59	32.20
25	Brunswick	6	7	25	Brunswick	22	27.27
27	Buchanan	32	9	27	Buchanan	90	35.56
29	Buckingham	7	12	29	Buckingham	58	12.07
31	Campbell	32	10	31	Campbell	202	15.84
33	Caroline	3	7	33	Caroline	22	13.64
35	Carroll	14	3	35	Carroll	54	25.93
36	Charles City	1	12	36	Charles City	17	5.88
37	Charlotte	5	7	37	Charlotte	53	9.43
41	Chesterfield	100	5	41	Chesterfield	277	36.10
43	Clarke	7	14	43	Clarke	27	25.93
45	Craig	3	18	45	Craig	11	27.27
47	Culpeper	29	14	47	Culpeper	117	24.79
49	Cumberland	13	8	49	Cumberland	66	19.70
51	Dickenson	19	10	51	Dickenson	137	13.87
53	Dinwiddie	9	13	53	Dinwiddie	38	23.68
57	Essex	11	24	57	Essex	34	32.35
61	Fauquier	27	9	61	Fauquier	139	19.42
63	Floyd	7	23	63	Floyd	17	41.18
65	Fluvanna	33	18	65	Fluvanna	122	27.05
67	Franklin County	37	9	67	Franklin County	210	17.62
69	Frederick	24	16	69	Frederick	106	22.64
71	Giles	14	5	71	Giles	49	28.57
73	Gloucester	16	9	73	Gloucester	48	33.33
75	Goochland	8	11	75	Goochland	49	16.33
77	Grayson	8	8	77	Grayson	49	16.33
79	Greene	25	9	79	Greene	63	39.68
83	Halifax	25	9	83	Halifax	77	32.47
85	Hanover	25	8	85	Hanover	127	19.69
87	Henrico	143	6	87	Henrico	331	43.20
89	Henry	10	27	89	Henry	139	7.19
91	Highland	0	0	91	Highland	3	0.00
93	Isle of Wight	13	5	93	Isle of Wight	33	39.39
95	James City	3	11	95	James City	22	13.64
97	King & Queen	2	3	97	King & Queen	16	12.50
99	King George	21	16	99	King George	50	42.00
101	King William	4	17	101	King William	25	16.00
103	Lancaster	10	9	103	Lancaster	27	37.04
105	Lee	23	15	105	Lee	90	25.56
107	Loudoun	41	5	107	Loudoun	199	20.60
109	Louisa	24	12	109	Louisa	56	42.86
111	Lunenburg	10	10	111	Lunenburg	27	37.04
113	Madison	15	10	113	Madison	32	46.88
115	Mathews	2	12	115	Mathews	16	12.50
117	Mecklenburg	23	11	117	Mecklenburg	94	24.47
119	Middlesex	1	1	119	Middlesex	37	2.70
121	Montgomery	25	13	121	Montgomery	80	31.25
125	Nelson	13	3	125	Nelson	28	46.43
127	New Kent	8	4	127	New Kent	31	25.81
131	Northampton	8	30	131	Northampton	53	15.09
133	Northumberland	7	8	133	Northumberland	29	24.14
135	Nottoway	5	17	135	Nottoway	17	29.41

Office of Comprehensive Services  
 FY06 Locality Residential Length of Stay and Utilization Rate  
 As of June 30, 2006 Locality Data Set Reporting

Schedule 1

FIPS	LOCALITY	# OF CHILDREN IN RESIDENTIAL CARE	AVG LENGTH OF STAY IN MONTHS	FIPS	LOCALITY	TOTAL # CHILDREN	PERCENT OF CHILDREN IN RESIDENTIAL CARE
137	Orange	6	8	137	Orange	63	9.52
139	Page	30	16	139	Page	92	32.61
141	Patrick	9	14	141	Patrick	55	16.36
143	Pittsylvania	41	5	143	Pittsylvania	195	21.03
145	Powhatan	9	26	145	Powhatan	27	33.33
147	Prince Edward	15	18	147	Prince Edward	63	23.81
149	Prince George	5	10	149	Prince George	28	17.86
153	Prince William	114	5	153	Prince William	376	30.32
155	Pulaski	54	10	155	Pulaski	170	31.76
157	Rappahannock	4	19	157	Rappahannock	24	16.67
159	Richmond County	8	6	159	Richmond County	14	57.14
161	Roanoke County	71	10	161	Roanoke County	226	31.42
163	Rockbridge	38	9	163	Rockbridge	58	65.52
165	Rockingham	63	15	165	Rockingham	169	37.28
167	Russell	22	12	167	Russell	77	28.57
169	Scott	18	9	169	Scott	65	27.69
171	Shenandoah	36	10	171	Shenandoah	117	30.77
173	Smyth	29	8	173	Smyth	147	19.73
175	Southampton	1	6	175	Southampton	7	14.29
177	Spotsylvania	58	9	177	Spotsylvania	229	25.33
179	Stafford	43	18	179	Stafford	188	22.87
181	Surry	1	3	181	Surry	7	14.29
183	Sussex	0	0	183	Sussex	30	0.00
185	Tazewell	28	13	185	Tazewell	142	19.72
187	Warren	38	12	187	Warren	99	38.38
191	Washington	14	13	191	Washington	131	10.69
193	Westmoreland	4	19	193	Westmoreland	45	8.89
195	Wise	11	16	195	Wise	128	8.59
197	Wythe	21	8	197	Wythe	88	23.86
199	York	9	13	199	York	46	19.57
510	Alexandria	60	8	510	Alexandria	406	14.78
515	Bedford City	15	6	515	Bedford City	41	36.59
520	Bristol	21	14	520	Bristol	116	18.10
530	Buena Vista	10	9	530	Buena Vista	24	41.67
540	Charlottesville	114	7	540	Charlottesville	312	36.54
550	Chesapeake	52	8	550	Chesapeake	204	25.49
570	Colonial Heights	14	3	570	Colonial Heights	34	41.18
580	Covington	17	15	580	Covington	23	73.91
590	Danville	40	5	590	Danville	214	18.69
620	Franklin City	5	7	620	Franklin City	12	41.67
630	Fredericksburg	22	9	630	Fredericksburg	58	37.93
640	Galax	5	7	640	Galax	28	17.86
650	Hampton	39	4	650	Hampton	566	6.89
660	Harrisonburg	45	21	660	Harrisonburg	153	29.41
670	Hopewell	17	18	670	Hopewell	108	15.74
678	Lexington	4	21	678	Lexington	9	44.44
680	Lynchburg	52	5	680	Lynchburg	294	17.69
683	Manassas City	14	36	683	Manassas City	60	23.33
685	Manassas Park	17	20	685	Manassas Park	29	58.62
690	Martinsville	1	72	690	Martinsville	54	1.85
700	Newport News	143	13	700	Newport News	605	23.64
710	Norfolk	234	3	710	Norfolk	1,574	14.87
720	Norton	3	1	720	Norton	10	30.00
730	Petersburg	51	15	730	Petersburg	142	35.92
735	Poquoson	0	0	735	Poquoson	12	0.00
740	Portsmouth	38	6	740	Portsmouth	271	14.02
750	Radford	8	6	750	Radford	28	28.57
760	Richmond City	353	5	760	Richmond City	756	46.69
770	Roanoke City	108	10	770	Roanoke City	568	19.01
775	Salem	22	10	775	Salem	48	45.83
790	Staunton	20	22	790	Staunton	134	14.93
800	Suffolk	15	6	800	Suffolk	106	14.15
810	Virginia Beach	181	9	810	Virginia Beach	666	27.18

Office of Comprehensive Services  
 FY06 Locality Residential Length of Stay and Utilization Rate  
 As of June 30, 2006 Locality Data Set Reporting

Schedule 1

FIPS	LOCALITY	# OF CHILDREN IN RESIDENTIAL CARE	AVG LENGTH OF STAY IN MONTHS	FIPS	LOCALITY	TOTAL # CHILDREN	PERCENT OF CHILDREN IN RESIDENTIAL CARE
820	Waynesboro	16	15	820	Waynesboro	126	12.70
830	Williamsburg	4	9	830	Williamsburg	8	50.00
840	Winchester	32	15	840	Winchester	103	31.07
1200	Greensville/Emporia	8	6	1200	Greensville/Emporia	41	19.51
1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	333	6	1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	1,059	31.44
	<b>Statewide Totals</b>	<b>4,275</b>	<b>9</b>		<b>Statewide Totals</b>	<b>17,128</b>	<b>24.96</b>

Office of Comprehensive Services  
 FY06 Locality Length of Stay by Mandate  
 As of June 30, 2006 Locality Data Set Reporting

FIPS	LOCALITY	RESID CHILD COUNT FOSTER CARE	AVG LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS	FIPS	LOCALITY	RESID CHILD COUNT SPECIAL EDUCATION	AVG LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS	FIPS	LOCALITY	RESID CHILD COUNT NON MTD	AVG LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS
1	Accomack	13	14	1	Accomack	21	8	1	Accomack	1	6
3	Albemarle	102	5	3	Albemarle	41	7	3	Albemarle	0	0
5	Alleghany	14	13	5	Alleghany	5	6	5	Alleghany	0	0
7	Amelia	1	31	7	Amelia	0	0	7	Amelia	0	0
9	Amherst	14	12	9	Amherst	2	8	9	Amherst	1	7
11	Appomattox	5	9	11	Appomattox	2	16	11	Appomattox	0	0
13	Arlington	98	11	13	Arlington	19	14	13	Arlington	5	7
15	Augusta	59	9	15	Augusta	5	10	15	Augusta	0	0
17	Bath	4	19	17	Bath	1	21	17	Bath	0	0
19	Bedford County	58	11	19	Bedford County	10	24	19	Bedford County	1	0
21	Bland	2	10	21	Bland	0	0	21	Bland	0	0
23	Botetourt	22	6	23	Botetourt	1	6	23	Botetourt	0	0
25	Brunswick	4	8	25	Brunswick	4	6	25	Brunswick	0	0
27	Buchanan	41	9	27	Buchanan	0	0	27	Buchanan	0	0
29	Buckingham	2	9	29	Buckingham	5	13	29	Buckingham	0	0
31	Campbell	42	10	31	Campbell	1	21	31	Campbell	0	0
33	Caroline	2	6	33	Caroline	1	11	33	Caroline	0	0
35	Carroll	19	3	35	Carroll	0	0	35	Carroll	0	0
36	Charles City	1	12	36	Charles City	0	0	36	Charles City	0	0
37	Charlotte	4	7	37	Charlotte	1	10	37	Charlotte	0	0
41	Chesterfield	106	4	41	Chesterfield	47	11	41	Chesterfield	13	7
43	Clarke	4	2	43	Clarke	4	27	43	Clarke	0	0
45	Craig	2	20	45	Craig	1	13	45	Craig	0	0
47	Culpeper	35	14	47	Culpeper	3	11	47	Culpeper	0	0
49	Cumberland	8	8	49	Cumberland	9	7	49	Cumberland	0	0
51	Dickenson	20	10	51	Dickenson	4	18	51	Dickenson	0	0
53	Dinwiddie	10	13	53	Dinwiddie	0	0	53	Dinwiddie	0	0
57	Essex	9	18	57	Essex	3	45	57	Essex	0	0
61	Fauquier	27	8	61	Fauquier	5	14	61	Fauquier	1	2
63	Floyd	7	10	63	Floyd	1	118	63	Floyd	0	0
65	Fluvanna	25	12	65	Fluvanna	8	37	65	Fluvanna	0	0
67	Franklin County	34	9	67	Franklin County	17	10	67	Franklin County	3	1
69	Frederick	18	11	69	Frederick	10	23	69	Frederick	0	0
71	Giles	17	5	71	Giles	0	0	71	Giles	0	0
73	Gloucester	15	8	73	Gloucester	6	18	73	Gloucester	0	0
75	Goochland	6	4	75	Goochland	3	25	75	Goochland	0	0
77	Grayson	10	8	77	Grayson	0	0	77	Grayson	0	0
79	Greene	16	8	79	Greene	11	10	79	Greene	0	0
83	Halifax	20	9	83	Halifax	9	9	83	Halifax	0	0
85	Hanover	23	8	85	Hanover	6	6	85	Hanover	0	0
87	Henrico	209	5	87	Henrico	34	11	87	Henrico	8	7
89	Henry	10	27	89	Henry	0	0	89	Henry	0	0
91	Highland	0	0	91	Highland	0	0	91	Highland	0	0
93	Isle of Wight	8	3	93	Isle of Wight	2	11	93	Isle of Wight	3	5
95	James City	3	11	95	James City	0	0	95	James City	0	0

Office of Comprehensive Services  
 FY06 Locality Length of Stay by Mandate  
 As of June 30, 2006 Locality Data Set Reporting

Schedule 2

FIPS	LOCALITY	RESID CHILD COUNT FOSTER CARE	AVG LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS	FIPS	LOCALITY	RESID CHILD COUNT SPECIAL EDUCATION	AVG LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS	FIPS	LOCALITY	RESID CHILD COUNT NON MTD	AVG LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS
97	King & Queen	0	0	97	King & Queen	2	4	97	King & Queen	1	1
99	King George	16	15	99	King George	6	21	99	King George	0	0
101	King William	1	12	101	King William	3	19	101	King William	0	0
103	Lancaster	9	10	103	Lancaster	2	6	103	Lancaster	0	0
105	Lee	25	15	105	Lee	3	24	105	Lee	0	0
107	Loudoun	39	4	107	Loudoun	14	5	107	Loudoun	10	7
109	Louisa	22	11	109	Louisa	5	18	109	Louisa	0	0
111	Lunenburg	8	7	111	Lunenburg	6	11	111	Lunenburg	0	0
113	Madison	13	8	113	Madison	1	25	113	Madison	1	12
115	Mathews	1	22	115	Mathews	3	12	115	Mathews	0	0
117	Mecklenburg	24	9	117	Mecklenburg	3	29	117	Mecklenburg	0	0
119	Middlesex	1	1	119	Middlesex	0	0	119	Middlesex	0	0
121	Montgomery	22	13	121	Montgomery	5	11	121	Montgomery	0	0
125	Nelson	6	5	125	Nelson	3	2	125	Nelson	5	0
127	New Kent	5	4	127	New Kent	4	4	127	New Kent	0	0
131	Northampton	7	33	131	Northampton	1	8	131	Northampton	0	0
133	Northumberland	9	8	133	Northumberland	0	0	133	Northumberland	1	11
135	Nottoway	5	13	135	Nottoway	1	39	135	Nottoway	0	0
137	Orange	7	8	137	Orange	1	34	137	Orange	0	0
139	Page	19	14	139	Page	10	23	139	Page	1	2
141	Patrick	8	12	141	Patrick	2	21	141	Patrick	0	0
143	Pittsylvania	46	5	143	Pittsylvania	31	6	143	Pittsylvania	3	1
145	Powhatan	6	18	145	Powhatan	4	36	145	Powhatan	0	0
147	Prince Edward	11	19	147	Prince Edward	6	14	147	Prince Edward	1	13
149	Prince George	6	10	149	Prince George	0	0	149	Prince George	0	0
153	Prince William	135	6	153	Prince William	48	7	153	Prince William	10	3
155	Pulaski	52	11	155	Pulaski	7	5	155	Pulaski	0	0
157	Rappahannock	4	19	157	Rappahannock	1	21	157	Rappahannock	0	0
159	Richmond County	11	6	159	Richmond County	5	6	159	Richmond County	0	0
161	Roanoke County	70	11	161	Roanoke County	16	9	161	Roanoke County	14	7
163	Rockbridge	37	9	163	Rockbridge	4	8	163	Rockbridge	2	7
165	Rockingham	72	14	165	Rockingham	13	20	165	Rockingham	0	0
167	Russell	26	12	167	Russell	0	0	167	Russell	0	0
169	Scott	20	9	169	Scott	3	8	169	Scott	0	0
171	Shenandoah	33	10	171	Shenandoah	10	10	171	Shenandoah	2	10
173	Smyth	37	8	173	Smyth	1	10	173	Smyth	1	2
175	Southampton	0	0	175	Southampton	1	6	175	Southampton	0	0
177	Spotsylvania	62	8	177	Spotsylvania	15	14	177	Spotsylvania	3	5
179	Stafford	34	15	179	Stafford	15	23	179	Stafford	2	17
181	Surry	0	0	181	Surry	0	0	181	Surry	1	3
183	Sussex	0	0	183	Sussex	0	0	183	Sussex	0	0
185	Tazewell	62	12	185	Tazewell	0	0	185	Tazewell	2	16
187	Warren	32	12	187	Warren	19	13	187	Warren	0	0
191	Washington	9	16	191	Washington	3	12	191	Washington	2	3
193	Westmoreland	4	19	193	Westmoreland	1	19	193	Westmoreland	0	0
195	Wise	11	16	195	Wise	0	0	195	Wise	0	0

Office of Comprehensive Services  
 FY06 Locality Length of Stay by Mandate  
 As of June 30, 2006 Locality Data Set Reporting

FIPS	LOCALITY	RESID CHILD COUNT FOSTER CARE	AVG LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS	FIPS	LOCALITY	RESID CHILD COUNT SPECIAL EDUCATION	AVG LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS	FIPS	LOCALITY	RESID CHILD COUNT NON MTD	AVG LENGTH OF STAY MONTHS
197	Wythe	26	8	197	Wythe	1	3	197	Wythe	0	0
199	York	11	13	199	York	0	0	199	York	0	0
510	Alexandria	57	8	510	Alexandria	7	7	510	Alexandria	4	7
515	Bedford City	20	6	515	Bedford City	3	5	515	Bedford City	0	0
520	Bristol	18	13	520	Bristol	3	17	520	Bristol	0	0
530	Buena Vista	9	9	530	Buena Vista	1	16	530	Buena Vista	1	0
540	Charlottesville	141	7	540	Charlottesville	13	7	540	Charlottesville	4	5
550	Chesapeake	42	6	550	Chesapeake	14	15	550	Chesapeake	8	3
570	Colonial Heights	14	3	570	Colonial Heights	4	12	570	Colonial Heights	0	0
580	Covington	23	12	580	Covington	1	83	580	Covington	0	0
590	Danville	42	5	590	Danville	10	6	590	Danville	7	5
620	Franklin City	8	7	620	Franklin City	1	7	620	Franklin City	0	0
630	Fredericksburg	20	8	630	Fredericksburg	4	14	630	Fredericksburg	0	0
640	Galax	5	7	640	Galax	0	0	640	Galax	0	0
650	Hampton	25	3	650	Hampton	26	4	650	Hampton	5	3
660	Harrisonburg	50	21	660	Harrisonburg	6	22	660	Harrisonburg	0	0
670	Hopewell	18	18	670	Hopewell	0	0	670	Hopewell	0	0
678	Lexington	4	21	678	Lexington	1	26	678	Lexington	0	0
680	Lynchburg	36	6	680	Lynchburg	1	1	680	Lynchburg	17	4
683	Manassas City	11	36	683	Manassas City	2	92	683	Manassas City	4	4
685	Manassas Park	11	11	685	Manassas Park	11	30	685	Manassas Park	0	0
690	Martinsville	1	72	690	Martinsville	0	0	690	Martinsville	0	0
700	Newport News	133	12	700	Newport News	21	24	700	Newport News	14	8
710	Norfolk	306	3	710	Norfolk	72	4	710	Norfolk	39	3
720	Norton	3	1	720	Norton	0	0	720	Norton	0	0
730	Petersburg	57	15	730	Petersburg	3	10	730	Petersburg	0	0
735	Poquoson	0	0	735	Poquoson	0	0	735	Poquoson	0	0
740	Portsmouth	38	7	740	Portsmouth	20	9	740	Portsmouth	3	5
750	Radford	8	6	750	Radford	0	0	750	Radford	0	0
760	Richmond City	499	6	760	Richmond City	59	4	760	Richmond City	29	3
770	Roanoke City	111	10	770	Roanoke City	12	16	770	Roanoke City	12	6
775	Salem	21	9	775	Salem	4	16	775	Salem	2	11
790	Staunton	23	22	790	Staunton	1	114	790	Staunton	0	0
800	Suffolk	15	7	800	Suffolk	1	11	800	Suffolk	4	5
810	Virginia Beach	186	10	810	Virginia Beach	44	5	810	Virginia Beach	32	9
820	Waynesboro	16	10	820	Waynesboro	1	88	820	Waynesboro	0	0
830	Williamsburg	6	9	830	Williamsburg	0	0	830	Williamsburg	0	0
840	Winchester	27	11	840	Winchester	9	26	840	Winchester	0	0
1200	Greensville/Emporia	6	6	1200	Greensville/Emporia	2	8	1200	Greensville/Emporia	0	0
1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	267	6	1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	97	8	1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	52	4
	<b>Statewide Totals</b>	<b>4,490</b>	<b>9</b>		<b>Statewide Totals</b>	<b>1,035</b>	<b>11</b>		<b>Statewide Totals</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>6</b>

Children and Expenditures in Residential Care (Group Homes, Residential Treatment Facilities, Psychiatric Hospitals)												
Sorted by Locality												
Program Year 2006												
		Unduplicated	Unduplicated		Total Youth		Total # of	# of CSA	% of all CSA			
		# of	Total #	% of Total	Population*	% of Local Youth	CSA	Residential	Services	Total Gross**	Total CSA**	% of all CSA
FIPS	Locality Name	Children in	Children	Children in CSA	in Locality	Population* in	Services	Services	that were	CSA Expenditure	Residential	Expenditures
		CSA Residential	served by CSA	Residential	aged 0-20	CSA Residential	Provided	Provided	Residential	(state & local)	Expenditures	Spent on
												Residential
1	Accomack	32	106	30.2%	10,074	0.32%	127	35	27.6%	\$2,327,876	\$1,340,700	57.6%
3	Albemarle	88	282	31.2%	26,081	0.34%	494	113	22.9%	\$6,556,892	\$3,081,665	47.0%
5	Alleghany	19	41	46.3%	4,172	0.46%	48	19	39.6%	\$719,748	\$529,816	73.6%
7	Amelia	1	16	6.3%	3,186	0.03%	16	1	6.3%	\$124,960	\$640	0.5%
9	Amherst	15	59	25.4%	8,430	0.18%	81	15	18.5%	\$1,068,892	\$415,251	38.8%
11	Appomattox	5	35	14.3%	3,542	0.14%	38	5	13.2%	\$433,830	\$88,882	20.5%
13	Arlington	102	298	34.2%	36,386	0.28%	426	122	28.6%	\$9,690,920	\$5,130,989	52.9%
15	Augusta	52	224	23.2%	17,353	0.30%	296	60	20.3%	\$2,759,320	\$1,373,278	49.8%
17	Bath	5	6	83.3%	1,042	0.48%	7	5	71.4%	\$81,313	\$79,661	98.0%
19	Bedford County	51	162	31.5%	15,673	0.33%	214	60	28.0%	\$2,762,063	\$1,416,618	51.3%
21	Bland	2	16	12.5%	1,461	0.14%	17	2	11.8%	\$101,785	\$37,921	37.3%
23	Botetourt	19	59	32.2%	8,125	0.23%	79	23	29.1%	\$1,256,640	\$690,274	54.9%
25	Brunswick	6	22	27.3%	3,916	0.15%	29	8	27.6%	\$272,024	\$156,292	57.5%
27	Buchanan	32	90	35.6%	5,580	0.57%	137	41	29.9%	\$655,951	\$366,101	55.8%
29	Buckingham	7	58	12.1%	3,527	0.20%	70	7	10.0%	\$782,274	\$169,031	21.6%
31	Campbell	32	202	15.8%	13,318	0.24%	257	42	16.3%	\$3,068,376	\$972,975	31.7%
33	Caroline	3	22	13.6%	6,354	0.05%	24	3	12.5%	\$567,135	\$212,320	37.4%
35	Carroll	14	54	25.9%	6,703	0.21%	79	19	24.1%	\$702,229	\$501,137	71.4%
36	Charles City	1	17	5.9%	1,539	0.06%	22	1	4.5%	\$565,310	\$141,435	25.0%
37	Charlotte	5	53	9.4%	3,403	0.15%	64	5	7.8%	\$497,737	\$49,743	10.0%
41	Chesterfield	100	277	36.1%	86,696	0.12%	914	134	14.7%	\$7,570,319	\$2,873,943	38.0%
43	Clarke	7	27	25.9%	3,429	0.20%	30	8	26.7%	\$736,934	\$434,863	59.0%
45	Craig	3	11	27.3%	1,272	0.24%	11	3	27.3%	\$254,984	\$203,002	79.6%
47	Culpeper	29	117	24.8%	11,695	0.25%	139	35	25.2%	\$1,657,847	\$1,089,504	65.7%
49	Cumberland	13	66	19.7%	2,509	0.52%	117	17	14.5%	\$1,187,946	\$474,642	40.0%
51	Dickenson	19	137	13.9%	3,806	0.50%	170	20	11.8%	\$1,041,143	\$497,803	47.8%
53	Dinwiddie	9	38	23.7%	6,785	0.13%	48	10	20.8%	\$569,313	\$126,775	22.3%
57	Essex	11	34	32.4%	2,654	0.41%	36	12	33.3%	\$960,796	\$523,183	54.5%
61	Fauquier	27	139	19.4%	18,114	0.15%	218	33	15.1%	\$2,546,360	\$1,038,024	40.8%
63	Floyd	7	17	41.2%	3,707	0.19%	19	8	42.1%	\$519,737	\$342,799	66.0%
65	Fluvanna	33	122	27.0%	6,057	0.54%	159	33	20.8%	\$1,823,346	\$948,517	52.0%
67	Franklin County	37	210	17.6%	12,371	0.30%	307	42	13.7%	\$2,987,533	\$1,090,901	36.5%
69	Frederick	24	106	22.6%	20,102	0.12%	124	27	21.8%	\$1,851,812	\$1,155,498	62.4%
71	Giles	14	49	28.6%	4,185	0.33%	79	17	21.5%	\$775,914	\$356,073	45.9%
73	Gloucester	16	48	33.3%	9,632	0.17%	59	18	30.5%	\$623,316	\$342,072	54.9%
75	Goochland	8	49	16.3%	4,472	0.18%	61	9	14.8%	\$985,806	\$247,259	25.1%
77	Grayson	8	49	16.3%	3,586	0.22%	56	10	17.9%	\$611,942	\$206,581	33.8%
79	Greene	25	63	39.7%	4,959	0.50%	82	27	32.9%	\$1,269,712	\$964,085	75.9%
83	Halifax	25	77	32.5%	9,333	0.27%	103	29	28.2%	\$1,908,624	\$887,218	46.5%
85	Hanover	25	127	19.7%	27,609	0.09%	210	28	13.3%	\$3,333,376	\$1,072,172	32.2%
87	Henrico	143	331	43.2%	80,105	0.18%	716	229	32.0%	\$6,797,533	\$3,234,150	47.6%
89	Henry	10	139	7.2%	13,134	0.08%	155	10	6.5%	\$458,815	\$132,010	28.8%
91	Highland	0	3	0.0%	463	0.00%	6	0	0.0%	\$9,146	\$0	0.0%
93	Isle of Wight	13	33	39.4%	8,646	0.15%	44	13	29.5%	\$351,748	\$159,620	45.4%
95	James City	3	22	13.6%	13,642	0.02%	33	3	9.1%	\$233,402	\$19,073	8.2%
97	King & Queen	2	16	12.5%	1,596	0.13%	20	3	15.0%	\$316,439	\$77,238	24.4%
99	King George	21	50	42.0%	5,991	0.35%	52	21	40.4%	\$1,268,296	\$631,373	49.8%
101	King William	4	25	16.0%	4,149	0.10%	29	4	13.8%	\$447,365	\$109,528	24.5%
103	Lancaster	10	27	37.0%	2,251	0.44%	34	11	32.4%	\$435,197	\$212,543	48.8%
105	Lee	23	90	25.6%	5,751	0.40%	117	26	22.2%	\$1,385,036	\$1,029,174	74.3%
107	Loudoun	41	199	20.6%	79,396	0.05%	332	63	19.0%	\$5,465,799	\$1,587,290	29.0%
109	Louisa	24	56	42.9%	7,387	0.32%	65	26	40.0%	\$1,195,537	\$767,835	64.2%
111	Lunenburg	10	27	37.0%	2,898	0.35%	34	10	29.4%	\$452,951	\$241,437	53.3%

		Unduplicated # of Children in CSA Residential	Unduplicated Total # Children served by CSA	% of Total Children in CSA Residential	Total Youth Population* in Locality aged 0-20	% of Local Youth Population* in CSA Residential	Total # of CSA Services Provided	# of CSA Residential Services Provided	% of all CSA Services that were Residential	Total Gross** CSA Expenditure (state & local)	Total CSA** Residential Expenditures	% of all CSA Expenditures Spent on Residential
113	Madison	15	32	46.9%	3,365	0.45%	34	15	44.1%	\$412,373	\$348,339	84.5%
115	Mathews	2	16	12.5%	1,937	0.10%	18	3	16.7%	\$439,958	\$289,376	65.8%
117	Mecklenburg	23	94	24.5%	7,505	0.31%	113	27	23.9%	\$1,148,835	\$630,098	54.8%
119	Middlesex	1	37	2.7%	2,012	0.05%	42	1	2.4%	\$419,598	\$2,007	0.5%
121	Montgomery	25	80	31.3%	23,469	0.11%	118	27	22.9%	\$1,436,027	\$955,125	66.5%
125	Nelson	13	28	46.4%	3,549	0.37%	38	14	36.8%	\$516,237	\$155,908	30.2%
127	New Kent	8	31	25.8%	4,091	0.20%	49	9	18.4%	\$832,730	\$168,013	20.2%
131	Northampton	8	53	15.1%	3,242	0.25%	58	8	13.8%	\$893,366	\$359,078	40.2%
133	Northumberland	7	29	24.1%	2,503	0.28%	45	10	22.2%	\$341,903	\$143,594	42.0%
135	Nottoway	5	17	29.4%	3,820	0.13%	19	6	31.6%	\$458,507	\$435,983	95.1%
137	Orange	6	63	9.5%	7,543	0.08%	81	7	8.6%	\$607,879	\$146,389	24.1%
139	Page	30	92	32.6%	6,028	0.50%	115	30	26.1%	\$2,184,390	\$1,335,233	61.1%
141	Patrick	9	55	16.4%	4,248	0.21%	56	10	17.9%	\$248,118	\$99,643	40.5%
143	Pittsylvania	41	195	21.0%	15,589	0.26%	365	65	17.8%	\$4,706,040	\$1,321,701	28.1%
145	Powhatan	9	27	33.3%	6,402	0.14%	31	10	32.3%	\$938,216	\$475,043	50.6%
147	Prince Edward	15	63	23.8%	5,914	0.25%	77	16	20.8%	\$1,089,409	\$681,949	62.6%
149	Prince George	5	28	17.9%	9,750	0.05%	39	6	15.4%	\$404,932	\$52,458	13.0%
153	Prince William	114	376	30.3%	115,966	0.10%	824	147	17.8%	\$5,433,572	\$2,799,927	51.5%
155	Pulaski	54	170	31.8%	7,817	0.69%	198	55	27.8%	\$2,752,681	\$1,302,966	47.3%
157	Rappahannock	4	24	16.7%	1,790	0.22%	26	5	19.2%	\$318,151	\$143,696	45.2%
159	Richmond County	8	14	57.1%	1,899	0.42%	20	11	55.0%	\$541,187	\$184,179	34.0%
161	Roanoke County	71	226	31.4%	23,597	0.30%	279	89	31.9%	\$4,981,822	\$2,602,649	52.2%
163	Rockbridge	38	58	65.5%	4,936	0.77%	82	39	47.6%	\$1,352,794	\$1,040,055	76.9%
165	Rockingham	63	169	37.3%	19,547	0.32%	333	84	25.2%	\$4,574,370	\$2,975,760	65.1%
167	Russell	22	77	28.6%	6,546	0.34%	124	26	21.0%	\$862,589	\$389,824	45.2%
169	Scott	18	65	27.7%	5,422	0.33%	91	20	22.0%	\$436,339	\$170,122	39.0%
171	Shenandoah	36	117	30.8%	9,655	0.37%	147	41	27.9%	\$2,353,236	\$1,228,830	52.2%
173	Smyth	29	147	19.7%	7,766	0.37%	202	39	19.3%	\$894,206	\$375,553	42.0%
175	Southampton	1	7	14.3%	4,281	0.02%	7	1	14.3%	\$43,143	\$18,125	42.0%
177	Spotsylvania	58	229	25.3%	37,748	0.15%	309	67	21.7%	\$4,718,475	\$2,326,461	49.3%
179	Stafford	43	188	22.9%	39,034	0.11%	240	45	18.8%	\$3,706,005	\$2,369,708	63.9%
181	Surry	1	7	14.3%	1,712	0.06%	7	1	14.3%	\$98,986	\$6,609	6.7%
183	Sussex	0	30	0.0%	2,522	0.00%	46	0	0.0%	\$582,023	\$0	0.0%
185	Tazewell	28	142	19.7%	10,167	0.28%	308	64	20.8%	\$1,944,587	\$1,503,382	77.3%
187	Warren	38	99	38.4%	9,576	0.40%	124	42	33.9%	\$2,553,407	\$1,441,811	56.5%
191	Washington	14	131	10.7%	11,818	0.12%	172	14	8.1%	\$887,113	\$329,194	37.1%
193	Westmoreland	4	45	8.9%	4,026	0.10%	47	4	8.5%	\$647,890	\$104,026	16.1%
195	Wise	11	128	8.6%	10,187	0.11%	204	11	5.4%	\$383,154	\$37,314	9.7%
197	Wythe	21	88	23.9%	6,583	0.32%	113	27	23.9%	\$1,349,038	\$472,416	35.0%
199	York	9	46	19.6%	18,863	0.05%	70	11	15.7%	\$840,348	\$261,686	31.1%
510	Alexandria	60	406	14.8%	26,582	0.23%	1,048	68	6.5%	\$9,028,332	\$2,512,457	27.8%
515	Bedford City	15	41	36.6%	1,522	0.99%	60	20	33.3%	\$581,161	\$306,246	52.7%
520	Bristol	21	116	18.1%	4,038	0.52%	160	21	13.1%	\$1,074,093	\$611,389	56.9%
530	Buena Vista	10	24	41.7%	1,655	0.60%	29	10	34.5%	\$537,203	\$311,966	58.1%
540	Charlottesville	114	312	36.5%	8,737	1.30%	531	158	29.8%	\$6,894,512	\$3,337,581	48.4%
550	Chesapeake	52	204	25.5%	67,841	0.08%	462	64	13.9%	\$2,887,796	\$1,479,324	51.2%
570	Colonial Heights	14	34	41.2%	4,406	0.32%	106	17	16.0%	\$437,323	\$122,218	27.9%
580	Covington	17	23	73.9%	1,283	1.33%	43	24	55.8%	\$544,819	\$449,951	82.6%
590	Danville	40	214	18.7%	11,936	0.34%	315	58	18.4%	\$2,960,335	\$929,681	31.4%
620	Franklin City	5	12	41.7%	2,468	0.20%	20	8	40.0%	\$257,279	\$125,054	70.8%
630	Fredericksburg	22	58	37.9%	6,230	0.35%	82	24	29.3%	\$1,179,893	\$782,255	66.3%
640	Galax	5	28	17.9%	1,828	0.27%	33	5	15.2%	\$188,041	\$123,990	65.9%
650	Hampton	39	566	6.9%	39,864	0.10%	1,341	50	3.7%	\$5,574,286	\$745,312	13.4%
660	Harrisonburg	45	153	29.4%	13,413	0.34%	273	56	20.5%	\$3,202,630	\$1,578,939	49.3%
670	Hopewell	17	108	15.7%	6,868	0.25%	112	18	16.1%	\$2,527,819	\$1,129,247	44.7%
678	Lexington	4	9	44.4%	2,017	0.20%	10	4	40.0%	\$274,317	\$246,195	89.7%
680	Lynchburg	52	294	17.7%	18,056	0.29%	423	54	12.8%	\$3,404,627	\$1,075,202	31.6%

		Unduplicated # of	Unduplicated Total #	% of Total Children in CSA Residential	Total Youth Population* in Locality aged 0-20	% of Local Youth Population* in CSA Residential	Total # of CSA Services Provided	# of CSA Residential Services Provided	% of all CSA Services that were Residential	Total Gross** CSA Expenditure (state & local)	Total CSA** Residential Expenditures	% of all CSA Expenditures Spent on Residential
FIPS	Locality Name	CSA Residential	served by CSA	Residential								
683	Manassas City	14	60	23.3%	11,990	0.12%	65	15	23.1%	\$1,542,088	\$736,671	47.8%
685	Manassas Park	17	29	58.6%	4,197	0.41%	41	21	51.2%	\$1,232,879	\$1,100,816	89.3%
690	Martinsville	1	54	1.9%	3,731	0.03%	59	1	1.7%	\$161,074	\$4,696	2.9%
700	Newport News	143	605	23.6%	56,740	0.25%	804	163	20.3%	\$13,579,774	\$5,359,719	39.5%
710	Norfolk	234	1,574	14.9%	66,021	0.35%	2,563	317	12.4%	\$14,004,754	\$4,437,285	31.7%
720	Norton	3	10	30.0%	961	0.31%	10	3	30.0%	\$26,544	\$1,877	7.1%
730	Petersburg	51	142	35.9%	9,098	0.56%	165	59	35.8%	\$4,264,375	\$1,742,182	40.9%
735	Poquoson	0	12	0.0%	3,420	0.00%	12	0	0.0%	\$351,564	\$0	0.0%
740	Portsmouth	38	271	14.0%	29,084	0.13%	625	50	8.0%	\$4,819,535	\$884,329	18.3%
750	Radford	8	28	28.6%	4,417	0.18%	31	8	25.8%	\$238,883	\$100,151	41.9%
760	Richmond City	353	756	46.7%	51,874	0.68%	1,472	584	39.7%	\$19,075,703	\$9,099,932	47.7%
770	Roanoke City	108	568	19.0%	23,119	0.47%	1,526	135	8.8%	\$9,475,889	\$4,007,462	42.3%
775	Salem	22	48	45.8%	5,653	0.39%	63	27	42.9%	\$995,211	\$762,533	76.6%
790	Staunton	20	134	14.9%	4,985	0.40%	165	23	13.9%	\$1,779,540	\$671,682	37.7%
800	Suffolk	15	106	14.2%	23,186	0.06%	133	20	15.0%	\$1,090,511	\$354,647	32.5%
810	Virginia Beach	181	666	27.2%	127,579	0.14%	1,095	262	23.9%	\$8,939,242	\$4,636,021	51.9%
820	Waynesboro	16	126	12.7%	5,413	0.30%	158	17	10.8%	\$1,111,272	\$321,752	29.0%
830	Williamsburg	4	8	50.0%	3,893	0.10%	18	6	33.3%	\$87,428	\$60,925	69.7%
840	Winchester	32	103	31.1%	6,554	0.49%	121	35	28.9%	\$2,324,724	\$1,445,516	62.2%
1200	Greensville/Emporia	8	41	19.5%	3,876	0.21%	48	8	16.7%	\$731,948	\$270,825	37.0%
1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	333	1,059	31.4%	289,683	0.11%	3,575	408	11.4%	\$33,583,503	\$16,552,945	49.3%
	Totals	4,275	17,128	25.0%	2,074,890	0.21%	30,172	5,453	18.1%	\$307,333,837	\$138,091,117	44.9%
	* 2005 Population Estimates - July 1, 2005 by Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service, University of Virginia											
	** Gross expenditures do not include refunds or credits											

Children and Expenditures in Residential Care (Group Homes, Residential Treatment Facilities, Psychiatric Hospitals)

Sorted by Locality  
Program Year 2005

FIPS Locality Name	Unduplicated # of Children in CSA Residential	Unduplicated Total # Children served by CSA	% of Total Children in CSA Residential	Total Youth Population* in Locality aged 0-20	% of Local Youth Population* in CSA Residential	Total # of CSA Services Provided	# of CSA Residential Services Provided	% of all CSA Services that were Residential	Total Gross** CSA Expenditure (state & local)	Total CSA** Residential Expenditures	% of All CSA Expenditures Spent on Residential Care
1 Accomack	30	75	40.0%	10,074	0.30%	98	34	34.7%	\$1,874,894	\$1,208,549	64.5%
3 Albemarle	70	268	26.1%	26,081	0.27%	436	97	22.2%	\$5,918,893	\$3,124,395	52.8%
5 Alleghany	12	30	40.0%	4,172	0.29%	69	25	36.2%	\$651,465	\$439,881	67.5%
7 Amelia	2	19	10.5%	3,186	0.06%	20	2	10.0%	\$138,623	\$36,383	26.2%
9 Amherst	15	61	24.6%	8,430	0.18%	75	15	20.0%	\$637,727	\$202,025	31.7%
11 Appomattox	6	33	18.2%	3,542	0.17%	45	7	15.6%	\$238,933	\$66,111	27.7%
13 Arlington	80	306	26.1%	36,386	0.22%	441	102	23.1%	\$8,781,461	\$3,856,463	43.9%
15 Augusta	50	205	24.4%	17,353	0.29%	299	57	19.1%	\$2,443,471	\$1,157,734	47.4%
17 Bath	4	7	57.1%	1,042	0.38%	10	4	40.0%	\$69,096	\$22,588	32.7%
19 Bedford County	59	183	32.2%	15,673	0.38%	265	74	27.9%	\$3,093,899	\$1,767,850	57.1%
21 Bland	6	15	40.0%	1,461	0.41%	28	6	21.4%	\$126,349	\$25,717	20.4%
23 Botetourt	10	61	16.4%	8,125	0.12%	68	10	14.7%	\$1,312,852	\$391,434	29.8%
25 Brunswick	5	22	22.7%	3,916	0.13%	37	8	21.6%	\$286,298	\$76,730	26.8%
27 Buchanan	30	97	30.9%	5,580	0.54%	115	33	28.7%	\$814,693	\$557,165	68.4%
29 Buckingham	11	48	22.9%	3,527	0.31%	67	12	17.9%	\$793,097	\$246,286	31.1%
31 Campbell	22	186	11.8%	13,318	0.17%	201	23	11.4%	\$2,560,680	\$706,522	27.6%
33 Caroline	7	30	23.3%	6,354	0.11%	38	7	18.4%	\$552,634	\$86,679	15.7%
35 Carroll	13	56	23.2%	6,703	0.19%	69	15	21.7%	\$469,124	\$341,821	72.9%
36 Charles City	1	11	9.1%	1,539	0.06%	11	1	9.1%	\$126,879	\$4,069	3.2%
37 Charlotte	3	36	8.3%	3,403	0.09%	39	3	7.7%	\$357,885	\$29,761	8.3%
41 Chesterfield	97	279	34.8%	86,696	0.11%	738	129	17.5%	\$7,513,783	\$3,465,002	46.1%
43 Clarke	8	33	24.2%	3,429	0.23%	40	9	22.5%	\$806,195	\$481,798	59.8%
45 Craig	2	15	13.3%	1,272	0.16%	24	2	8.3%	\$64,068	\$6,084	9.5%
47 Culpeper	25	120	20.8%	11,695	0.21%	143	28	19.6%	\$1,392,145	\$771,713	55.4%
49 Cumberland	10	52	19.2%	2,509	0.40%	73	12	16.4%	\$709,547	\$298,417	42.1%
51 Dickenson	16	119	13.4%	3,806	0.42%	182	20	11.0%	\$923,571	\$324,010	35.1%
53 Dinwiddie	9	41	22.0%	6,785	0.13%	57	11	19.3%	\$524,741	\$129,969	24.8%
57 Essex	10	29	34.5%	2,654	0.38%	32	11	34.4%	\$707,389	\$426,701	60.3%
61 Fauquier	27	136	19.9%	18,114	0.15%	189	29	15.3%	\$2,102,445	\$736,469	35.0%
63 Floyd	11	21	52.4%	3,707	0.30%	25	12	48.0%	\$687,322	\$554,525	80.7%
65 Fluvanna	29	101	28.7%	6,057	0.48%	133	33	24.8%	\$1,690,359	\$970,863	57.4%
67 Franklin County	44	177	24.9%	12,371	0.36%	266	50	18.8%	\$2,769,193	\$1,266,259	45.7%
69 Frederick	20	89	22.5%	20,102	0.10%	101	22	21.8%	\$2,034,907	\$1,267,943	62.3%
71 Giles	11	40	27.5%	4,185	0.26%	64	16	25.0%	\$396,004	\$209,611	52.9%
73 Gloucester	11	40	27.5%	9,632	0.11%	50	12	24.0%	\$697,652	\$419,371	60.1%
75 Goochland	9	39	23.1%	4,472	0.20%	51	11	21.6%	\$944,100	\$275,047	29.1%
77 Grayson	10	51	19.6%	3,586	0.28%	57	10	17.5%	\$713,691	\$200,146	28.0%
79 Greene	26	56	46.4%	4,959	0.52%	71	28	39.4%	\$1,232,820	\$791,218	64.2%
83 Halifax	23	71	32.4%	9,333	0.25%	90	27	30.0%	\$1,311,054	\$458,768	35.0%
85 Hanover	32	118	27.1%	27,609	0.12%	231	36	15.6%	\$3,576,668	\$1,575,236	44.0%
87 Henrico	95	301	31.6%	80,105	0.12%	476	123	25.8%	\$6,299,851	\$2,807,469	44.6%
89 Henry	10	118	8.5%	13,134	0.08%	132	10	7.6%	\$681,535	\$260,792	38.3%
91 Highland	1	2	50.0%	463	0.22%	2	1	50.0%	\$27,975	\$1,502	5.4%
93 Isle of Wight	7	23	30.4%	8,646	0.08%	31	10	32.3%	\$138,055	\$48,512	35.1%
95 James City	4	16	25.0%	13,642	0.03%	24	4	16.7%	\$159,563	\$72,914	45.7%
97 King & Queen	0	13	0.0%	1,596	0.00%	14	0	0.0%	\$188,206	\$0	0.0%
99 King George	17	44	38.6%	5,991	0.28%	46	18	39.1%	\$845,005	\$405,553	48.0%
101 King William	6	25	24.0%	4,149	0.14%	34	6	17.6%	\$483,061	\$203,658	42.2%
103 Lancaster	6	20	30.0%	2,251	0.27%	24	6	25.0%	\$418,461	\$261,343	62.5%
105 Lee	29	79	36.7%	5,751	0.50%	87	29	33.3%	\$984,755	\$692,130	70.3%
107 Loudoun	42	212	19.8%	79,396	0.05%	275	53	19.3%	\$5,716,463	\$1,819,627	31.8%
109 Louisa	24	56	42.9%	7,387	0.32%	69	28	40.6%	\$927,993	\$757,692	81.6%
111 Lunenburg	4	24	16.7%	2,898	0.14%	32	4	12.5%	\$378,003	\$120,647	31.9%
113 Madison	11	19	57.9%	3,365	0.33%	22	11	50.0%	\$201,336	\$130,228	64.7%
115 Mathews	5	22	22.7%	1,937	0.26%	27	5	18.5%	\$365,330	\$199,559	54.6%
117 Mecklenburg	24	91	26.4%	7,505	0.32%	112	25	22.3%	\$1,396,709	\$855,092	61.2%
119 Middlesex	1	25	4.0%	2,012	0.05%	25	1	4.0%	\$257,266	\$750	0.3%
121 Montgomery	31	87	35.6%	23,469	0.13%	135	32	23.7%	\$1,969,125	\$1,472,417	74.8%
125 Nelson	6	25	24.0%	3,549	0.17%	33	6	18.2%	\$530,012	\$217,609	41.1%
127 New Kent	12	31	38.7%	4,091	0.29%	53	13	24.5%	\$943,515	\$273,135	28.9%
131 Northampton	6	43	14.0%	3,242	0.19%	48	6	12.5%	\$822,807	\$347,469	42.2%
133 Northumberland	4	22	18.2%	2,503	0.16%	30	4	13.3%	\$230,351	\$71,444	31.0%
135 Nottoway	4	14	28.6%	3,820	0.10%	15	4	26.7%	\$377,713	\$344,235	91.1%
137 Orange	6	68	8.8%	7,543	0.08%	85	8	9.4%	\$658,007	\$171,145	26.0%
139 Page	22	99	22.2%	6,028	0.36%	103	22	21.4%	\$1,721,156	\$797,971	46.4%
141 Patrick	8	38	21.1%	4,248	0.19%	42	8	19.0%	\$234,771	\$114,984	49.0%
143 Pittsylvania	29	160	18.1%	15,589	0.19%	297	50	16.8%	\$3,357,531	\$1,079,938	32.2%
145 Powhatan	9	32	28.1%	6,402	0.14%	41	9	22.0%	\$1,073,327	\$562,966	52.5%

FIPS Locality Name	Unduplicated # of Children in CSA Residential	Unduplicated Total # Children served by CSA	% of Total Children in CSA Residential	Total Youth Population* in Locality aged 0-20	% of Local Youth Population* in CSA Residential	Total # of CSA Services Provided	# of CSA Residential Services Provided	% of all CSA Services that were Residential	Total Gross** CSA Expenditure (state & local)	Total CSA** Residential Expenditures	% of All CSA Expenditures Spent on Residential Care
147 Prince Edward	17	56	30.4%	5,914	0.29%	68	18	26.5%	\$1,090,817	\$753,911	69.1%
149 Prince George	4	40	10.0%	9,750	0.04%	49	4	8.2%	\$381,666	\$88,661	23.2%
153 Prince William	145	390	37.2%	115,966	0.13%	840	188	22.4%	\$6,915,831	\$4,016,337	58.1%
155 Pulaski	48	150	32.0%	7,817	0.61%	171	50	29.2%	\$2,619,089	\$1,210,727	46.2%
157 Rappahannock	4	22	18.2%	1,790	0.22%	23	4	17.4%	\$252,544	\$113,455	44.9%
159 Richmond County	4	10	40.0%	1,899	0.21%	13	6	46.2%	\$263,242	\$102,894	39.1%
161 Roanoke County	57	182	31.3%	23,597	0.24%	245	82	33.5%	\$4,740,549	\$2,775,971	58.6%
163 Rockbridge	23	52	44.2%	4,936	0.47%	66	23	34.8%	\$1,113,886	\$824,332	74.0%
165 Rockingham	56	169	33.1%	19,547	0.29%	276	67	24.3%	\$3,807,638	\$2,389,092	62.7%
167 Russell	27	75	36.0%	6,546	0.41%	122	31	25.4%	\$681,415	\$400,828	58.8%
169 Scott	21	67	31.3%	5,422	0.39%	79	22	27.8%	\$342,156	\$150,001	43.8%
171 Shenandoah	29	93	31.2%	9,655	0.30%	118	33	28.0%	\$1,543,046	\$765,336	49.6%
173 Smyth	27	139	19.4%	7,766	0.35%	172	30	17.4%	\$753,906	\$474,678	63.0%
175 Southampton	0	5	0.0%	4,281	0.00%	6	0	0.0%	\$35,798	\$0	0.0%
177 Spotsylvania	52	209	24.9%	37,748	0.14%	315	62	19.7%	\$4,838,613	\$2,237,342	46.2%
179 Stafford	44	151	29.1%	39,034	0.11%	220	45	20.5%	\$3,399,294	\$2,056,531	60.5%
181 Surry	0	11	0.0%	1,712	0.00%	11	0	0.0%	\$89,175	\$0	0.0%
183 Sussex	4	38	10.5%	2,522	0.16%	48	5	10.4%	\$624,331	\$23,380	3.7%
185 Tazewell	35	166	21.1%	10,167	0.34%	185	36	19.5%	\$1,823,365	\$692,046	38.0%
187 Warren	32	87	36.8%	9,576	0.33%	114	36	31.6%	\$2,288,993	\$1,411,053	61.6%
191 Washington	12	106	11.3%	11,818	0.10%	140	12	8.6%	\$768,608	\$294,452	38.3%
193 Westmoreland	6	37	16.2%	4,026	0.15%	44	6	13.6%	\$904,707	\$308,011	34.0%
195 Wise	11	98	11.2%	10,187	0.11%	123	11	8.9%	\$303,993	\$82,715	27.2%
197 Wythe	15	69	21.7%	6,583	0.23%	89	16	18.0%	\$916,856	\$414,172	45.2%
199 York	7	37	18.9%	18,863	0.04%	54	11	20.4%	\$859,005	\$517,193	60.2%
510 Alexandria	68	399	17.0%	26,582	0.26%	829	71	8.6%	\$8,556,375	\$2,591,118	30.3%
515 Bedford City	13	33	39.4%	1,522	0.85%	48	17	35.4%	\$453,404	\$236,808	52.2%
520 Bristol	25	105	23.8%	4,038	0.62%	148	26	17.6%	\$896,029	\$459,260	51.3%
530 Buena Vista	5	17	29.4%	1,655	0.30%	23	5	21.7%	\$286,688	\$83,189	29.0%
540 Charlottesville	112	317	35.3%	8,737	1.28%	544	155	28.5%	\$6,646,503	\$3,346,953	50.4%
550 Chesapeake	58	242	24.0%	67,841	0.09%	472	71	15.0%	\$3,394,299	\$1,849,303	54.5%
570 Colonial Heights	7	21	33.3%	4,406	0.16%	59	7	11.9%	\$440,125	\$61,390	13.9%
580 Covington	18	31	58.1%	1,283	1.40%	91	47	51.6%	\$790,848	\$583,179	73.7%
590 Danville	33	180	18.3%	11,936	0.28%	270	46	17.0%	\$2,276,332	\$695,677	30.6%
620 Franklin City	3	12	25.0%	2,468	0.12%	18	5	27.8%	\$179,181	\$77,646	43.3%
630 Fredericksburg	15	66	22.7%	6,230	0.24%	91	17	18.7%	\$1,199,060	\$622,159	51.9%
640 Galax	2	29	6.9%	1,828	0.11%	31	2	6.5%	\$148,895	\$76,997	51.7%
650 Hampton	36	378	9.5%	39,864	0.09%	747	37	5.0%	\$3,674,653	\$477,357	13.0%
660 Harrisonburg	43	131	32.8%	13,413	0.32%	228	49	21.5%	\$2,781,979	\$1,429,862	51.4%
670 Hopewell	21	84	25.0%	6,868	0.31%	99	27	27.3%	\$2,035,251	\$820,500	40.3%
678 Lexington	4	8	50.0%	2,017	0.20%	10	4	40.0%	\$318,105	\$272,962	85.8%
680 Lynchburg	29	321	9.0%	18,056	0.16%	484	30	6.2%	\$3,967,061	\$254,532	6.4%
683 Manassas City	17	54	31.5%	11,990	0.14%	63	17	27.0%	\$1,558,880	\$913,761	58.6%
685 Manassas Park	14	31	45.2%	4,197	0.33%	32	15	46.9%	\$1,390,731	\$975,406	70.1%
690 Martinsville	4	60	6.7%	3,731	0.11%	69	4	5.8%	\$157,691	\$78,523	49.8%
700 Newport News	136	653	20.8%	56,740	0.24%	866	155	17.9%	\$13,533,394	\$4,681,184	34.6%
710 Norfolk	264	1,571	16.8%	66,021	0.40%	0	300	-	\$11,507,033	\$4,764,120	41.4%
720 Norton	0	7	0.0%	961	0.00%	8	0	0.0%	\$19,630	\$0	0.0%
730 Petersburg	52	161	32.3%	9,098	0.57%	193	60	31.1%	\$3,501,613	\$1,505,981	43.0%
735 Poquoson	0	13	0.0%	3,420	0.00%	13	0	0.0%	\$388,943	\$0	0.0%
740 Portsmouth	37	259	14.3%	29,084	0.13%	491	44	9.0%	\$3,846,023	\$788,161	20.5%
750 Radford	7	23	30.4%	4,417	0.16%	28	8	28.6%	\$417,874	\$276,540	66.2%
760 Richmond City	321	736	43.6%	51,874	0.62%	1,325	527	39.8%	\$17,507,135	\$10,698,392	61.1%
770 Roanoke City	111	554	20.0%	23,119	0.48%	1,084	125	11.5%	\$9,800,752	\$4,265,334	43.5%
775 Salem	24	48	50.0%	5,653	0.42%	58	28	48.3%	\$941,868	\$732,882	77.8%
790 Staunton	28	146	19.2%	4,985	0.56%	187	29	15.5%	\$1,786,873	\$863,307	48.3%
800 Suffolk	23	115	20.0%	23,186	0.10%	166	27	16.3%	\$1,064,798	\$340,813	32.0%
810 Virginia Beach	194	651	29.8%	127,579	0.15%	959	224	23.4%	\$8,825,749	\$4,720,013	53.5%
820 Waynesboro	14	118	11.9%	5,413	0.26%	154	14	9.1%	\$932,589	\$357,263	38.3%
830 Williamsburg	4	13	30.8%	3,893	0.10%	17	4	23.5%	\$47,728	\$20,771	43.5%
840 Winchester	27	80	33.8%	6,554	0.41%	95	28	29.5%	\$2,174,078	\$1,305,105	60.0%
1200 Greensville/Empor	5	37	13.5%	3,876	0.13%	52	6	11.5%	\$494,653	\$115,148	23.3%
1300 Fairfax/Falls Churr	299	1,008	29.7%	289,683	0.10%	1,665	326	19.6%	\$33,035,712	\$16,425,100	49.7%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>4,042</b>	<b>16,256</b>	<b>24.9%</b>	<b>2,074,890</b>	<b>0.19%</b>	<b>22,670</b>	<b>4,894</b>	<b>21.6%</b>	<b>\$285,771,552</b>	<b>\$132,937,908</b>	<b>46.5%</b>

\* 2005 Population Estimates - July 1, 2005 by Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service, University of Virginia  
 \*\* Gross expenditures do not include refunds or credits