

Data Resource Guide Fiscal Year 2006

The Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice

Commonwealth of Virginia

Barry R. Green, Director

December 2006

This project was supported by Department of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) Grant 2003-JB-BX-0054 (67702), with funds made available to Virginia from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, U.S. Department of Justice. Points of view or opinions contained within this document are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of DCJS or the U.S. Department of Justice.

Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice

RESEARCH AND EVALUATION SECTION

PROJECT LEADERS

W. Stephen Pullen
Lynette B. Greenfield
Tripti Gangal
Susan M. Nicely

PROJECT STAFF

Jared Miller
Fang Qian
Marci L. Stables
Lindsey P. Ellett
L. Marci Wharton

LAYOUT DESIGN

Susan M. Nicely

The DJJ Research and Evaluation Section would like to thank everyone who contributed to this document. Our appreciation goes to the members of the agency who contributed a wealth of information with special thanks to: Ed Holmes, Marilyn Miller, Scott Reiner, and George Wakefield. We would also like to thank Ed Cutchins, Laura Daniel, Laurel Marks, Anne Wilmoth, and the agencies for which they work. We are especially grateful to the CSU staff who helped to gather the data necessary to complete the *Data Resource Guide* on schedule.

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

A Word from the Director	v
Terms and Concepts	vi

SECTION I: AN OVERVIEW OF THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE

Introduction to the Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ)	3
Organizational Chart	4
Agency Organization, Vision, and Guiding Principles	5
Board of Juvenile Justice	6
DJJ Historical Timeline	7
Virginia's Juvenile Justice System Flowchart	10
DJJ Operating Expenditures	12
DJJ Training Unit	13

SECTION II: COMMUNITY PROGRAMS

Introduction to Community Programs	17
Court Service Units (CSU)	20
Court Service Unit Trends	92
Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act (VJCCCA)	93
Detention Homes	97
Halfway Houses	150

SECTION III: COMMITMENT TO THE STATE

Introduction to Institutional Programs	155
Virginia Wilderness Institute (VWI)	163
Chesapeake Community Placement Program (CPP)	164
Shenandoah Community Placement Program (CPP)	165
Juvenile Correctional Centers (JCC)	166

SECTION IV: REOFFENSE RATES

Measuring Reoffense Rates	181
Reoffense Rates for JCC Releases and Probation Placements	183
Reoffense Rates for Agency Programs and Commitment Alternatives	189

SECTION V: APPENDICES

Appendix A: CSU Staffing as of June 30, 2006	193
Appendix B: JCC Staffing as of June 30, 2006	194
Appendix C: JCC Expenditures, FY 2006	194
Appendix D: Facility Classifications and Guidelines for Placement, FY 2006	195
Appendix E: Length of Stay (LOS) Guidelines	196
Appendix F: Initial Classification Custody Designation Form	197
Appendix G: Reclassification Form	198
Appendix H: Risk Assessment Instrument	200
Appendix I: Miscellaneous/Other Offenses	202
Appendix J: Code of Virginia Citations Pertaining to DJJ	202
Appendix K: Detention Assessment Instrument (DAI)	204
Appendix L: Census Information	206

DJJ STATISTICAL SUMMARY

FY 2006 Statistical Summary Information Sheet (pullout)	207
---	-----

A Word from the Director...

The Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) published the first Data Resource Guide in 2001. Since that time it has become a key source of information for those who work with juveniles in the Commonwealth. You will find descriptions of the agency and the programs it operates and funds, demographics and other data on court involved young people, and a wealth of information on how we do our jobs. It also provides an overview of the resources appropriated to us and how we utilized them.

The information in this Guide is used to assess needs for both the Commonwealth as a whole and for specific localities, and allows us to determine whether programs we operate are making a difference in the lives of the juveniles with whom we work.

Much more work goes into putting a document like this together than simply manipulating information in a database. The information is generated through staff interactions with juveniles and the courts, as well as assessments completed by a number of professionals. Entering and verifying the data is time consuming. However, if we are to make good decisions about what needs have to be met, and the best means for addressing those needs, then we need the best information available.



Barry R. Green

Improvements to public safety and treatment are expensive, but DJJ is committed to utilizing the most cost effective means reasonable. We have an obligation to deliver quality services that make a difference, measure the results of what we do, and to improve on those results whenever possible. Reliable data tells us where we need to make changes or modify strategies. I hope that as you look through this Guide you will come away with the sense that while the problems faced in our Juvenile and Domestic Relations Courts are serious, we are finding better ways to deal with them.

DJJ staff are professionals who care about their communities and the young people who live in them. Whether those staff work in community settings, juvenile correctional centers, or support services, we need to recognize what they do and the dedication they show every day in their jobs. We also need to acknowledge the many employees in locally operated programs who are integral parts of Virginia's system of care and security. When we do our jobs well, what we do is invisible to most of our citizens. It is often only when a young person does something bad that the public becomes aware of what we face every day. This Guide helps to shed light on both the issues we face, and the good that is accomplished.

It is important to acknowledge and thank the Department of Criminal Justice Services and our federal partners at the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention for the grant funding and assistance that allowed us to publish this Guide. I would also like to recognize the staff of our Research and Evaluation Section who put this document together and who provide the analysis needed to make reasoned programmatic decisions.

I hope that you will take a moment to complete the enclosed survey and thank you for your interest in the young people who are Virginia's future.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Barry R. Green". The signature is written in a cursive style.

Director

Terms and Concepts

Adjudicatory Hearing: a hearing on merits where innocence or guilt is determined. Witnesses and testimony are presented, similar to a trial.

Behavioral Services Unit (BSU): located in the juvenile correctional centers (JCCs) and at the Reception and Diagnostic Center (RDC). In addition to traditional psychological evaluations and individual and group therapy, BSU currently operates Sex Offender Treatment programs in several JCCs, and oversees substance abuse treatment services. Staff consists of psychologists, clinical social workers, and clerical support.

Blended Sentence: the sentencing option of combining a juvenile disposition with an adult sentence for a juvenile convicted as an adult in Circuit Court. For a juvenile convicted of a violent juvenile felony in Circuit Court, *COV* §16.1-272 allows the Circuit Court to impose an adult sentence and allows a portion of that sentence to be served in a juvenile correctional center in accordance with *COV* §16.1-285.1. *COV* §16.1-272 also provides that the adult sentence can be suspended pending successful completion of the juvenile disposition.

Certification: a process for transferring a juvenile 14 years of age or older to be tried as an adult in Circuit Court when there is probable cause that the juvenile committed a violent juvenile felony. There are two types: (1) If a juvenile is accused of certain serious felonies, the case will be automatically certified to the Circuit Court if the Juvenile and Domestic Relations judge finds probable cause that the act was committed; (2) If a juvenile is accused of other serious felonies, the case is certified to the Circuit Court if the Commonwealth's Attorney files a motion for a preliminary hearing on probable cause, and the judge finds probable cause. Any juvenile convicted in Circuit Court after certification will be treated as an adult in all future criminal cases.

CHINS: a Child in Need of Services is a child whose behavior, conduct, or condition presents or results in a serious threat to the well-being and physical safety of that child. A Child in Need of Services may also include a child under the age of 14 who presents a serious threat to the well-being and physical safety of another person. To meet the definition of CHINS, there must be a clear and substantial danger to the life or health of the child or another person.

CHINSup: a Child in Need of Supervision is one who is habitually and without justification absent from school despite opportunity and reasonable efforts to keep him/her in school. CHINSup may also refer to a child who habitually runs away from his or her family or lawful custodian, or who escapes from a residential care court placement.

Commitment: an order by a judge at the dispositional hearing that transfers a delinquent juvenile's legal custody to the Department of

Juvenile Justice (DJJ). To be eligible for commitment, a juvenile must be 11 years of age or older and adjudicated delinquent or convicted of a felony offense, a Class 1 misdemeanor and a prior felony, or four Class 1 misdemeanors. Depending on the circumstances, the court may commit the juvenile for a determinate or an indeterminate period of time. (See *COV* §16.1-278.8).

Commitment versus Admission: a commitment is a court action placing a juvenile in the custody of DJJ for placement in a JCC or a private secure facility. An admission occurs when a juvenile physically arrives at a facility and is officially entered into the facility's rolls. An admission to RDC may occur days or weeks after the juvenile is committed to DJJ (during which time he or she is in secure detention). A single admission could be the result of multiple commitments to DJJ (for example, a juvenile may be committed to DJJ by more than one District Court, prior to admission to RDC). For these reasons, the number of commitments to DJJ in a fiscal year will be slightly different from the number of admissions to RDC.

Court Service Unit (CSU): the Department's major provider of services (intake, investigations and reports, probation, parole, counseling, and other related services) within the community.

Code of Virginia (COV): legal code of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Detention: placement in a secure facility resulting in a loss of physical freedom.

Detention Assessment Instrument (DAI): detention screening tool used by CSU intake officers to guide detention decisions using objective criteria. A copy of the DAI is included in Appendix K.

Detention Hearing: judicial hearing held within 72 hours of initial custody that determines a youth's proper detention status while awaiting an adjudicatory hearing. The court may subpoena witnesses to assist in determining probable cause. (See *COV* §16.1-250).

Detention Home: temporary secure holding facility for serious juvenile offenders awaiting an adjudicatory hearing or placement in a community program. Detention homes may incarcerate juveniles both prior to trial and as a dispositional alternative. A juvenile can be held for no longer than 21-days prior to the adjudicatory hearing without good cause. A juvenile can be held no longer than 30-days following the adjudicatory hearing pending the dispositional hearing. A juvenile can be incarcerated in a post-dispositional program for either up to 30-days or up to 6-months.

Detention Placements versus Detention Admissions: a detention placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to detain a juvenile. A detention admission is when a juvenile enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one detention placement, a juvenile may have several detention admissions.

Determinate Commitment: ruling by a judge, pursuant to *COV* §16.1-285.1, who retains control over the commitment time of a juvenile who

committed a serious offense. The judge specifies an exact time period for commitment not to exceed the juvenile's 21st birthday. The juvenile comes under judicial review after a two-year period. If not released, the youth then has an annual hearing before the judge who made the initial commitment.

Dispositional Hearing: a hearing in a juvenile case (similar to a sentencing hearing in a criminal court) that determines the proper path for treatment services and sanctions.

Diversion: a juvenile offender is diverted out of the official court process, and the case is handled informally. (See *COV* §16.1-260).

Domestic Relations: all references to this term include both domestic relations as well as child welfare.

Fiscal Year: time period measured for this report as FY 2006 (July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006).

Group Home: a program that provides a residential placement in a non-secure environment. Services may include: residential care; group, family, and individual counseling; assessment and anger management; life skills; recreation; educational support; etc. Placements can be pre- or post-dispositional.

Halfway House: transitional residential placement for juveniles on parole that provides services such as independent living skills, employment skills, and work experience.

Indeterminate Commitment: a ruling by a judge giving the Director of DJJ the authority to determine how long the juvenile will remain committed. There are parameters for this commitment such as the juvenile's 21st birthday, a 36-month statutory maximum length of stay (LOS) for all offenses except murder or manslaughter (*COV* §16.1-285), and DJJ's policy.

Informal Diversion: at the time that a complaint is made to an intake officer, the officer must determine whether to act upon the complaint informally or to initiate formal court action. For lesser offenses, the officer may elect informal diversion. With informal diversion, the intake officer's powers are commensurate with those that would be used by the judge. The intake officer must develop a diversion plan that exhausts all community resources including restitution and community service. The juvenile and his/her parents must agree to this plan. Informal supervision is limited to 90 days. (See *COV* §16.1-260).

Intake Case: an occurrence of a juvenile being brought before a CSU for one or more alleged violations of law. This process of initial acceptance and screening is used to determine appropriateness of release or referral to formal action via petition. An intake officer at the CSU decides which path the case will follow. One case can involve multiple intake complaints. May be referred to simply as an "intake."

Intake Complaint: the specific violation of law that a juvenile is alleged to have committed.

Juvenile Correctional Center (JCC): a facility for juveniles committed to state care where juveniles receive 24-hour supervision, education, treatment services, recreational services, and a variety of special programs.

Length of Stay (LOS) Guidelines: a framework established by the Board of Juvenile Justice, as mandated by law, to determine how long indeterminately committed juveniles will remain in the custody of the Department. Factors that affect a ward's length of stay include the seriousness of the offense, the juvenile's history of offense behavior, the juvenile's behavior while in direct care, and the juvenile's progress toward completing treatment goals. (See Appendix E).

Parole: continued supervision and monitoring of an individual after release from commitment in a JCC or a privately contracted facility.

Petition: a document filed with the court by the intake officer alleging that a juvenile is delinquent, a child in need of services, supervision, or for domestic purposes and asking that the court assume jurisdiction over the juvenile.

Post-disposition (Post-D): the period of time between the final disposition and final release from supervision.

Post-dispositional Detention: the juvenile court's dispositional option of placing a juvenile in a secure local detention facility for up to 30 days or for up to six months. To be eligible for post-dispositional detention, a juvenile must be 14 years of age or older and found to have committed an offense punishable by confinement in a state or local correctional facility as defined in *COV* §53.1-1. Offenses confineable in a local or state correctional facility include felonies and Class 1 and Class 2 misdemeanors. In addition, *COV* §16.1-284.1, §16.1-291, and §16.1-292 provide additional statutory criteria that need to be satisfied prior to placement.

Post-dispositional Detention with Programs versus Post-dispositional Detention without Programs: post-dispositional detention with programs involves structured programs of treatment and services given to youth who have been sentenced by a judge. Programs are typically designed for 180 days and are intended to maintain and/or build community ties. Post-dispositional detention without programs involves the sentencing of a juvenile by a judge to a detention facility for short periods of time without full services being provided. (See *COV* §16.1-284.1).

Pre-disposition (Pre-D): the period of time from when charges are filed until the court makes a final disposition.

Pre-disposition Report: document prepared by a probation officer in preparation for a dispositional hearing that considers the court history of the juvenile, physical or mental examinations, the juvenile's family, and previous contact with other agencies. It assists the court in selecting the most appropriate dispositional sanctions and services.

Pre-dispositional Detention: confinement in a secure residential placement while a juvenile is awaiting a court disposition. To be eligible to be detained prior to disposition, the judge, intake officer, or a magistrate must find probable cause establishing that the juvenile committed a Class 1 misdemeanor or a felony. In addition, the juvenile must be a clear and substantial threat to another person, the property of others, or to himself. *COV* §16.1-248.1 provides additional circumstances in which a juvenile may be detained.

Private Provider: company or non-state entity that is under contract with DJJ to provide direct-care residential beds and treatment services for committed youth.

Probation: alternative to commitment that requires a specified level of supervision for juveniles who remain in the community.

Reception and Diagnostic Center (RDC): location to which committed juveniles are initially sent after the dispositional hearing and preceding placement in a JCC.

Recidivism: as defined by DJJ, a recidivist is a person who is found by a court to have committed, after being (a) placed on probation or (b) released from confinement, a delinquent or criminal act other than violation of probation or parole. (See also Reoffending).

Region: in order to better manage the use of community resources statewide, the Department divides Virginia into three geographical Regions: Region I (Western), Region II (Northern), and Region III (Eastern). See page 19 for a description of the three Regions.

Reoffending (Measures of): three measures used in this document are: (1) **Rearrest**- a petition filed at intake for a new delinquent complaint or an adult arrest for a new criminal offense; (2) **Reconviction**- a guilty adjudication for a delinquent or criminal offense, which is the only measure of reoffending that meets the Department's definition of recidivism; (3) **Reincarceration**- any return to incarceration in a JCC or adult facility after having been previously released from a JCC.

Risk Assessment Instrument: tool used to identify juveniles at risk for reoffending. (See Appendix H).

Serious Juvenile Offender: a ward who was committed to the Department and given a determinate commitment as a serious offender under *COV* §16.1-285.1.

Shelter Care: detention option that provides non-secure detention. Juvenile is placed in a group home or under foster care with no form of physical imprisonment. (See *COV* §16.1-248.1).

Status Offense: an act prohibited by law that would not be an offense if committed by an adult. (See also CHINS/CHINSup).

Temporary Detention: transfer to a mental health facility from a JCC. This decision is made by a judge following a recommendation from the BSU that treatment for an acute mental health condition is

needed. (See Art. 16 of Ch. 11 of Title 16.1 – Psychiatric Inpatient Treatment of Minors Act).

Transfer: a means of moving a case from Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court to Circuit Court. Applicable when a juvenile 14 years or older is accused of a felony other than those warranting certification or if the Commonwealth's Attorney decides not to seek certification to Circuit Court and files papers requesting a transfer. In order to transfer a case to the Circuit Court, a transfer hearing must be held during which a judge must determine that the act would be a felony if committed by an adult and must also examine issues of competency and the juvenile's history. Any juvenile convicted in Circuit Court after transfer will be treated as an adult in all future criminal cases.

Violent Juvenile Felony: any of the delinquent acts enumerated in subsection B or C of *COV* §16.1-269.1 when committed by a juvenile 14 years of age or older. The offenses generally fall into the categories of murder, felonious injury by mob, abduction, malicious wounding, malicious wounding of a law enforcement officer, felonious poisoning, adulteration of products, robbery, carjacking, rape, forcible sodomy, or sexual object penetration. (See *COV* §16.1-228 for definition).

Waiver: a request initiated by the juvenile, 14 years or older (and a guardian), prior to the adjudicatory hearing that seeks to waive the jurisdiction of the Juvenile Court and have the case transferred to the Circuit Court. (Pursuant to *COV* §16.1-270).

Ward: a juvenile that has been committed to the DJJ to serve a sentence.

Weekender: a juvenile sentenced by a judge to serve a certain number of days in detention, typically served on weekends. The juvenile remains in school during the week and, typically, enters the detention home Friday after school and remains until Sunday.

A Note to Readers

This report uses a number of terms and concepts that may be unfamiliar to the reader or may be defined specifically for DJJ. It is strongly recommended that the reader review the information included in the Terms and Concepts section above, as well as the information included in Appendices D, E, and I. A review of this information should assist the reader in understanding the data reported in this *Data Resource Guide*.

Also, readers should note that data reported for prior years in previous editions of this publication may be slightly different from data reported this year. These slight discrepancies are due to data cleaning and information updates that occur throughout the year.

An Overview of the Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice

JUVENILE AND
DOMESTIC RELATIONS
COURTS

Norfolk Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court

Introduction to the Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice

To accomplish its Mission, the Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) provides an integrated approach to juvenile justice. We bring together current research and best practices to better understand and modify delinquent behavior, to meet the needs of offenders, victims, and communities, and to manage our activities and resources in a responsible and proactive manner.

A BALANCED APPROACH

DJJ responds to juvenile offenders through a balanced approach that provides: (1) protection of public safety by control of a juvenile's liberty through secure confinement and/or community supervision; (2) a structured system of incentives and graduated sanctions in both institutional and community settings to ensure accountability for the juvenile's actions; and (3) a variety of services and programs that build skills and competencies (e.g., substance abuse treatment, support for academic and vocational education, anger management classes) to enable the juvenile to become a law-abiding member of the community upon release from DJJ's supervision.

INDIVIDUALIZED, RISK-BASED SERVICES

DJJ is committed to the principle that the greatest impact on juvenile offending can be realized by focusing resources on those juvenile offenders with the highest risk of reoffending and by addressing the individual criminogenic/risk factors that contribute to the initiation and continuation of delinquent behavior. Using a set of research and consensus-based instruments at different decision points within the juvenile justice system, DJJ classifies juveniles into different levels of risk. These points include the initial decision to detain, the assignment to various levels of community probation or parole supervision, and the classification of committed juveniles to guide appropriate placement within the institutional setting. In addition to the matching of the most intensive resources to those juveniles with the highest risk, DJJ recognizes that successful responses to juvenile crime require tailored, individualized responses to the needs of juveniles, families, and communities. The presence of specific risk factors are assessed and addressed in order to increase the likelihood of successful outcomes. Issues implicated in juvenile offending include gang involvement, substance abuse, anger management, truancy, and other school-related problems. The application of appropriate social control and sanctioning strategies such as electronic monitoring, urine drug testing, and various levels of supervision are also matched to the juvenile's individualized circumstances. Incentives such as early release from supervision, extended curfew, and tangible rewards such as recreational outings with volunteers are used to reward success and improve the chances of long-term behavior change.

DATA-DRIVEN MANAGEMENT

Over the past several years, DJJ has greatly enhanced its ability to effectively plan for and manage juveniles, programs, services, and other resources. DJJ designed functional management information systems and used the data generated and reported from these systems to better understand the offender population, activities in relation to those offenders, and

**MISSION OF THE VIRGINIA
 DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE**


To protect the public through a balanced approach of accountability and comprehensive services that prevent and reduce delinquency through partnerships with families, schools, communities, law enforcement, and others, while providing opportunities for delinquent youth to become responsible and productive citizens.

methods to become more effective and efficient. The Juvenile Tracking System (JTS) is comprised of modules covering the full range of institutional and community-based activities. DJJ's philosophy is that sound management of public resources and adherence to our core Mission cannot be accomplished without input provided from comprehensive data-based practices.


COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS

While DJJ has the primary responsibility for many aspects of Virginia's juvenile justice system, collaborative partnerships with state and local agencies and programs, as well as with private sector service providers, are the cornerstone of the DJJ approach. Local governments operate a network of secure detention facilities and an array of services addressing each aspect of the balanced approach. Within each community DJJ works with law enforcement, behavioral health providers, schools, social services, and other agencies. Securing services from private providers assists DJJ in meeting the needs of juvenile offenders, their families, and communities. At the state level, DJJ works with other executive, legislative, and judicial branch agencies in a similar manner.

Through the application of the integrated approach to juvenile justice, DJJ continues to make a difference in the lives of citizens and communities across the Commonwealth. Through responsible resource management, performance accountability, and sound intervention strategies, DJJ strives to improve and meet the changing demands of juvenile justice practice.



Organizational Chart
 AS OF JUNE 30, 2006



Agency Organization

The Department of Juvenile Justice serves the entire Commonwealth by providing support for community programs and services, community supervision and case management, and custody and care of committed juveniles.

The Central Office in Richmond and three Regional Offices in Roanoke, Fairfax, and Hampton coordinate the functions and funding for the court service units, community programs, community facilities, state facilities, volunteers, and private providers.

Administered by the Director, agency functions are divided among the following:

- The Director, Barry Green, oversees the Inspector General Office, the Chief Deputy, and the Deputy Directors.
- The Chief Deputy, Sam Abed, oversees Human Resources, Policy Development, Public Relations, Gang Initiatives, and Disproportionate Minority Contact functions
- The Deputy Director for Administration and Finance, Steve Pullen, manages support functions that include fiscal operations, purchasing, capital outlay, information services, research and evaluation, and budgeting.
- The Deputy Director for Community Programs, Tim Howard, oversees operations that provide community-based services to youth and families. Functionally, this division includes community-based services, probation and parole services, regional offices, court service units, detention, interstate compact, Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act (VJCCCA), and diversion efforts.
- The Deputy Director for Institutions, Ed Murray, oversees juvenile correctional center operations that include residential treatment programs and health services for committed youth as well as facility support services including maintenance and food service operations.

Agency Vision

Successful youth, Strong families, Safe communities

Core Values

With our continuum of services, we are committed to . . .

- All youth and their families are worthy of our best efforts.
- Early and effective interventions to limit youth's further involvement in the juvenile justice system.
- Meeting the individual physical, emotional, and educational needs of youth and reducing their risk of reoffending.
- Safe and secure confinement for those who are a danger to the community.
- Working in partnership with other agencies and localities.
- Recruiting, supporting and maintaining a competent and diverse work force.

Programs and Services

- Secure Confinement and Rehabilitation
- Intake, Probation and Parole Services
- Community Based Programs
- Pre- and Post-Dispositional Detention
- Residential Rehabilitation Programs
- Administrative Services

Customers

- General Public
- Juvenile Offenders and Families
- Department of Juvenile Justice Staff
- State Agencies
- Local Governments and Agencies
- Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Courts
- Victims of Crime

Board of Juvenile Justice

BIOGRAPHIES

Kim Downing- is a licensed clinical social worker, a member of the Academy of Certified Social Workers, and is a consultant on juvenile justice programs. Ms. Downing is currently enrolled at Norfolk State University in its School of Social Work Doctoral Program.

Barbara J. Myers- appointed to the board in July 2006. Myers is an Associate Professor of Psychology and the Director of Doctoral Development at Virginia Commonwealth University in Richmond. Her current research focuses on two distinct populations: families affected by incarceration and families with an autistic child.

Aida L. Pacheco- holds an undergraduate degree in Sociology from Princeton University. She is currently working with the Governor's Senior Advisor on Workforce in Richmond. Active in the community, Pacheco sits on a number of boards, including the Latin Ballet of Virginia.

Courtney A. Penn- serves as the Special Assistant to the President at Roanoke College. Active in his community, he also is a trustee of the Roanoke City School Board. Penn is a graduate of Washington & Lee University in Lexington.

Patricia A. Puritz- has served as the director of the American Bar Association's Juvenile Justice Center since 1985. Puritz earned her undergraduate degree at the University of Hartford and studied for her Juris Doctor at Antioch School of Law. She lives in Great Falls, Virginia.

James S. Turpin- is the director of government relations for the American Subcontracting Association in Alexandria. He has served juvenile justice interests for a number of years in Northern Virginia; one such assignment is through his work as a member of the Northern Virginia Juvenile Detention Home Commission. Turpin is a graduate of the Virginia Military Institute in Lexington.

Justin M. Wilson- appointed to the board in July 2006. He is a Sr. Network Engineer with SMS Data Products Group in Arlington and adjunct faculty at George Washington University. In July 2002 Wilson was appointed by the Governor to serve a four year term on the Advisory Committee on Juvenile Justice.



Left to right: Back Row: Justin M. Wilson and Courtney A. Penn
Front Row: Patricia A. Puritz, James S. Turpin, and Barbara J. Myers.
Not pictured: Kim Downing and Aida L. Pacheco.

DJJ Historical Timeline

1989

- §66-1 creates the Department of Youth Services and schedules separation from the Department of Corrections.

1990

- §66-1 is amended. The new agency opens under the name “Department of Youth and Family Services”.

1994

- §16.1-269.1 Transfer and Waiver is amended to lower the age at which juveniles can be tried as adults for violent crimes from 15 to 14 years.
- §16.1-285.1 Commitment of Serious Offender Statute stipulates conditions for certification of juvenile as adult for purposes of trial and sentencing and allows court to commit juveniles for a determinate length of time.
- The Joint Legislative Audit and Review Commission (JLARC) releases the first study on CSUs.

1995

- JLARC releases study on JCCs and Treatment Services.
- §16.1-309.2 establishes the Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act (VJCCCA) to provide funding for local programs and services for low-level juvenile offenders, who are before the court or at intake, and provides community-based services for juveniles and their families, holding the juveniles responsible for their actions.
- Governor Allen forms the Juvenile Justice Reform Commission, to develop recommendations for overhauling the Juvenile Justice System.

1996

- §66-1 is amended to rename agency to Department of Juvenile Justice.
- Chapter 755 and Chapter 914 of The Acts of the Assembly establish the Juvenile Justice Reform Act.
 - §16.1-269.1 Transfer and Waiver is amended to create provisions for Automatic and Prosecutorial Certification and stipulate preliminary hearings for certification as an adult.
 - §16.1-285 is amended to stipulate that no juvenile committed indeterminately will be held/detained longer than 36 months or go beyond juvenile’s 21st birthday.
 - §16.1-222 - The first phase of the computer automation of the Virginia Juvenile Tracking System begins.
 - renames “Learning Centers” to “Juvenile Correctional Centers”.
 - §66-10.8 establishes Length of Stay (LOS) guidelines for juveniles indeterminately committed to the Department and to make such guidelines available for public comment.
 - §16.1-285.1 Commitment of Serious Offender Statute is amended.
 - court allowed to order parole supervision, but total of commitment and parole time cannot exceed seven years or continue past a juvenile’s 21st birthday.
 - criteria added to determine appropriate placement of committed juveniles.
- Tidewater Environmental Program (TEP) opens as a private placement for committed youth with a 12-bed capacity.

1997

- Expansion of Beaumont and Bon Air JCCs.
- Kenbridge Youth Development School (KYDS) opens as a private placement for committed youth with a 50-bed capacity.

1998

- Camp Kenbridge (intermediate sanction boot camp) opens with a 50-bed capacity.
- Virginia Wilderness Institute (VWI) opens as a private placement for committed youth with a 32-bed capacity.

1999

- Camp Kenbridge expands its capacity from 50 to 100 beds.
- Culpeper JCC is opened with a capacity of 112 beds. This is the first new facility for DJJ since Oak Ridge JCC opened in 1982.
- Culpeper Detention Home, Virginia’s only statewide detention home, is opened with a capacity of 50 beds.

2000

- The Objective Classification system is implemented for use in JCCs.
- §16.1-278.7 is amended to modify the minimum age for commitment of a juvenile to DJJ.

DJJ Historical Timeline (cont.)

2000 (cont.)

- §16.1-278.8.14 is amended to modify the criteria for commitment of a juvenile to DJJ to a minimum of four misdemeanor adjudications.
- Barrett JCC wins Award of Excellence from the Virginia Association of Drug and Alcohol Programs for its Substance Abuse Treatment Program.

2002

- §16.1-285.1 is amended to expand criteria for court to determinately commit juveniles who have been transferred to and found guilty by a Circuit Court.

2003

- Culpeper JCC is converted to a female only facility as of July 1, 2002.
- §16.1-228 is amended to modify the definition of CHINS to include a child “whose behavior, conduct, or condition presents or results in a serious threat to the well-being and physical safety of another person”.
- §16.1-250.1 is amended to ensure that notice of a juvenile detention review hearing is given to the probation and parole department at the CSU.
- §16.1-272 and §16.1-285.2 are amended to allow Circuit Court to utilize a blended sentence option.
- Chapter 648 of The Acts of the Assembly delineates power to hire, fire, and transfer CSU personnel. DJJ will hire probation and probation supervisory staff; judiciary will select the CSU director.
- Camp Kenbridge closed in December for state juvenile placements.
- JCC capacity is reduced by 100 beds.

2004

- §16.1-275 is amended to remove CHINS from eligibility for 30-day diagnostic evaluation at the Reception and Diagnostic Center.
- §16.1-260 is amended to enhance informal diversion by intake officers.
- §16.1-269.6 and §16.1-296 are amended to expedite appeals from Juvenile Court to Circuit Court.
- §16.1-248.1 is amended to allow probation officers to review placement of juveniles in detention for placement alternatives.
- §16.1-250, §16.1-266, §16.1-267 are amended regarding timing of appointment of counsel for detention hearings in Juvenile Court to ensure that youth has counsel.
- Community Placement Program (CPP) at Shenandoah Valley Juvenile Detention Home opens as a placement alternative for committed youth with a capacity of 10 beds.


2005

- §66-25.1:1 through §66-25.1:4 enacted to grant DJJ the authority to establish a juvenile work release program.
- §16.1-293.1 enacted to require the development of a mental health transition plan for each committed juvenile upon release from a JCC.
- Barrett JCC is closed due to population reduction.
- Community Placement Program (CPP) at Chesapeake Juvenile Services opens as a placement alternative for committed youth with a capacity of 10 beds.

2006

- §16.1-290 is amended to allow the Department of Social Services (DSS) to issue and order for child support against the parents of committed juveniles and for DJJ to collect child support from the parents from the date it receives the child.
- §16.1-249.1, 16.1-278.7:01, and 16.1-278.7:02 is created requiring DJJ and local secure facilities to notify state police concerning certain juvenile sexual offenders for purposes of registration in the Sex Offender and Crimes Against Minors Registry. DJJ must also inform that juvenile of his obligation to register.
- §16.1-300 is amended to allow social history information relating to criminal street gang activity to be shared with state police and local law enforcement for investigatory or prosecutorial purposes.
- §66-3.2 is amended to require DJJ to forward to the Commonwealth’s Attorney Services Council, monthly, a list of all juveniles 14 years of age or older committed for a felony defined as a predicate criminal act (§18.2-46.1) who are identified as belonging to a criminal gang.

TIMELINE ON THIS PAGE. THIS PAGE IS 11X17, FOLDED



Virginia's Juvenile Justice System

A FLOWCHART OF A JUVENILE'S PROGRESSION THROUGH THE SYSTEM

FLOWCHART ON THIS PAGE. THIS PAGE IS **11X17**, FOLDED.

STEPS IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM

1. The juvenile enters the system when an offense is committed and reported by a parent, citizen, agency complaint, or the police.
2. If the juvenile entered the system through police contact, a decision is made to either counsel and release the youth back to the community or to arrest. If a parent, citizen, or agency made the complaint, the complaint goes to intake.
3. An intake officer at the court service unit makes the decision whether to take informal action such as crisis-shelter care, detention outreach, or counseling; to take no action; or to file a petition. In some cases, a police officer or the original complainant will appeal to the magistrate if they disagree with the intake officer's decision. The magistrate must certify the charge, and the matter is returned to intake to file a petition.
4. Once a petition has been filed, an intake officer decides if the juvenile should be detained or released to his or her parents/guardians. The decision is based on the juvenile's risk to self, community, or flight and is guided by completion of the Detention Assessment Instrument.
5. If the decision is made to detain the juvenile, a detention hearing is held within 72 hours in the Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court to determine the need for further detention and examine the merits of the charges.
6. A preliminary hearing is held to ensure that the case has enough merit to carry it to trial. Issues of competency, insanity, subpoenas, and witnesses are addressed also. If no probable cause exists, the case is dismissed. If cause is determined, the case moves to the adjudicatory hearing. Also during this phase, issues of transfers and waivers are addressed by the court. If certification is ordered or a direct indictment issued, the case goes to the Circuit Court (see sections 12-13).
7. Innocence or guilt is determined at the adjudicatory hearing. Witnesses and testimony are presented similar to an adult trial. If found not guilty, the case is dismissed. If found guilty, a dispositional hearing is held.
8. At the dispositional hearing, the pre-disposition report (social history) is used to assist in selecting appropriate sanctions and services. The court decides if the juvenile will be committed to DJJ or face community sanctions such as warnings, restitutions, or fines. A conditional disposition may be imposed such as probation, which includes participation in CSU programs, referral to local services or facilities, to other agencies, to private placement, or to post-dispositional detention. Once the requirements have been met, the juvenile is released by the court.
9. If committed to DJJ, the juvenile must undergo psychological, educational, social, and medical evaluations conducted at RDC.
10. From RDC, the juvenile may go to a privately operated residential facility or a juvenile correctional center (JCC). At the JCC, a committed juvenile receives 24-hour supervision, education, treatment services, recreational services, and a variety of special programs.
11. After completion of the commitment period, a juvenile may be placed on parole or directly released. During parole, the juvenile transitions to the community through agency program efforts and is afforded local services. Some juveniles may need 24-hour residential care and treatment services provided by a halfway house. Upon completion of parole or entry into the adult criminal justice system, the youth is discharged from the juvenile system.
12. A case may be sent into the appeals process following the dispositional hearing. After presentation to the Circuit Court, the case is reconsidered and the issue of guilt is examined. If the juvenile is found not guilty, the case is dismissed. If found guilty, the Circuit Court judge administers an appropriate juvenile disposition.
13. If the Circuit Court received the case through a direct indictment, a trial will take place. If found not guilty, the case is dismissed. If found guilty, the judge will decide whether to render a juvenile disposition or an adult sentence.

DJJ Operating Expenditures, FY 2006


GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES **\$205.0 MILLION**

NON-GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES **\$ 5.9 MILLION**

TOTAL FY 2006 EXPENDITURES **\$210.9 MILLION**

During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006, the Department expended a total of \$210.9 million. Of this amount, 24% was in transfer payments to localities for VJCCCA, local detention, and locally run court service units.

The chart below reflects the programs for which these funds were expended.



DJJ Training Unit

The DJJ Training Unit is responsible for providing or facilitating training for the 3,100+ employees and affiliates of the Department. The unit's mission is to provide quality training that addresses specific job functions and duties, matches the experience level of the participants, responds to current topics and trends, and assists individuals in meeting their annual training requirements. Organized as a centralized unit, training is delivered in each of the three geographical regions, as well as in Richmond where the main training facility, Cedar Lodge, is located. On average, each biannual calendar offers 300 training dates in 62 topical areas. The unit supports the agency mission by implementing, supporting, or facilitating programs for each division of the agency.

Unit Composition: Training Manager: Debbie Jackson
 Registrar: Joyce Biringer Administrative Assistant: Althea Davis
 Training Staff: Robin Barwick, Learnna Harris, Sharon Morris, Guillermo Novo, Kenneth Robinson, Dennis Sullivan, Vaughn Taylor, and Ryan West

On-going Employee Development Programs: New Employee Orientation • Basic Skills for New Juvenile Correctional Officers (JCO) – 160 hours • Basic Skills for New Probation Officers – 40 hours • Basic Skills for New Institutional Counselors – 90 hours • Annual In-Service for JCOs – 40 hours • Annual In-Service for Sergeants and Lieutenants – 16 hours, 16 sessions a year • Sex Offender Program Training – 21 hours • Handle with Care Instructor Certification – 21 hours (3 days) • Orientation for Non-Security JCC Employees – 130 hours • Annual Re-certification Programs: suicide prevention, verbal & physical intervention skills, American Red Cross First Aid/CPR • Supervisor Toolkit – 80 hours

Special Programs/Initiatives: Safety and Wellness • Computer Training • Career Management • Field Training Officers

Statewide Initiatives:

LMS – The DJJ Knowledge Center, DJJ's new Learning Management System (LMS), is in the final stages of preparation for use by employees and affiliated agencies. This integrated system manages training through a variety of avenues. It allows the Training Center to manage classes, instructors, locations, and training records; it provides a streamlined system of measuring performance outcomes and the applicability of classes/resource materials. It allows managers, supervisors, and the Certification Unit to access records and reports to insure compliance with regulations, policies, and procedures. All employees will benefit from this system with the following options available: online registration; directions to training locations; online classes and immediate course credit; immediate online access to student transcripts; online research using juvenile and criminal justice links; access to policies, procedures, regulations, resource material, and "Frequently Asked Questions"; survey assessments; and access to a Criminal Justice Glossary

MVP – The Managing Virginia Program (MVP) is a state-wide initiative to standardize training for the Commonwealth's managers and supervisors. DJJ is one of 41 agencies involved in establishing management competencies/criteria and developing a range of training opportunities for managers and supervisors. In response to the pending mandate from the Commonwealth, DJJ has designed a Tool Kit for the Supervisors Program to meet core competencies. All newly hired and promoted supervisors have the opportunity to attend this 10-day course within the first 90 days of hire/promotion.

Terrorism – Beginning in February 2006, DJJ initiated training to provide employees with awareness and skills necessary to react effectively to explosive threats, chemical and biological agents, as well as basic crime prevention strategies. The Terrorism Awareness training was developed by the Department of Health and distributed to all agencies. The program will also be available online via the DJJ Knowledge Center.




Probation Officer and Supervisor Training

Department of Juvenile Justice


Historical Timeline

Fiscal Years 1989-2007



⚡ = denotes a break in the timeline

Entering the Juvenile Justice System....



Community Programs

Juveniles from the 15th CSU participating in a River Program operated jointly through the CSU and the Office on Youth.

Introduction to Community Programs

The Division of Community Programs is responsible for providing a continuum of community-based services to juvenile offenders. The following briefly describes these services:

COURT SERVICE UNIT FUNCTIONS

Juvenile Intake

Intake services are provided 24 hours a day at each of the 35 court service units (CSUs) across the state. The intake officer on duty, or CSU staff on call after business hours, has the authority to receive, review, and process complaints. This may involve diversion and referral to other community resources for appropriate juveniles charged with less serious offenses. Reoffense rates for first time diversions are provided in the Reoffense Rates section.

Based on the information gathered, a determination is made whether or not a petition should be filed with the Juvenile Court and, if so, whether or not the juvenile should be released to a parent or another responsible adult, placed in a detention alternative, or detained pending a court hearing. Decisions by DJJ intake officers concerning detention are guided by the completion of the Detention Assessment Instrument (DAI). Implemented in November 2002, the DAI guides detention decisions using standard criteria. An evaluation of the initial implementation of this instrument was completed in 2004 and a validation study was completed in 2006. See Appendix K for a copy of the instrument.

Investigations and Reports

Social histories make up the majority of the reports completed by CSU personnel. These court-ordered investigations describe the



Probation Officer Michele Banalett conducting an intake with a juvenile at the 12th CSU

social adjustment and circumstances of youth before the court or receiving services. A risk assessment instrument is completed at the same time as the social history, classifying the juvenile according to their relative risk of reoffending. See Appendix H for a copy of this instrument. The information in the social history and risk assessment provides the basis for the CSU to develop appropriate services for the juvenile and the family, determine the level of supervision needed based on risk classification, and make recommendations to the court regarding the most appropriate disposition for the case.

Other reports and investigations completed by CSU personnel may include substance abuse evaluations, case summaries to the Family Assessment and Planning Teams, commitment packets for the Reception and Diagnostic Center, interstate compact reports, transfer reports, and ongoing case documentation.

Domestic Relations

In addition to handling delinquency and Child in Need of Service/Supervision complaints, CSUs provide intake services for domestic relations complaints. These include non-support, family abuse, adjudication of custody (permanent and temporary), abuse and neglect, termination of parental rights, visitation rights, paternity, and emancipation. In some CSUs, services such as treatment referral, supervision, and counseling are provided in adult cases of domestic violence.

Custody Investigations

Although the majority of custody investigations for the court are performed by the local Department of Social Services, some CSUs perform investigations to provide recommendations to the court on parental custody and visitation based on the best interests of the child and defined criteria in the *COV*. The investigation includes an extensive review of the home environment and background of the child's parents or caretakers, including any individuals living in the home, and the role and relationship of the parents and caretakers of the child.

Probation

The most frequently used disposition for those juveniles adjudicated guilty is probation supervision. Juvenile probation

in Virginia strives to achieve a balanced approach, focusing on the principles of community protection (public safety), accountability, and competency development.

Parole Services

Upon release from state commitment, offenders are placed on parole supervision. With planning initiated when a juvenile is committed to DJJ, parole supervision is designed to assist in the successful transition back to the community. Parole services build on the programs that the juvenile received during the period of secure confinement in the JCCs. Parole supervision focuses upon the balanced approach. Protection of public safety is emphasized through a level system of supervision based on the juvenile's assessed risk of reoffending and adjustment to rules and expectations. The period of parole varies according to the juvenile's needs, level of risk, offense history, and adjustment. Supervision may last until the juvenile's 21st birthday.

Parole officers are assigned to offenders to provide case management services, facilitate appropriate transitional services, and monitor adjustment to the community. Juveniles may receive family and individual counseling, referral to other community services, vocational services, or specialized educational services. These services are provided statewide by a network of approved vendors from which the local CSUs purchase programs and services for paroled juveniles and their families.

INTERSTATE COMPACT ON JUVENILES (ICJ)

The ICJ unit provides for the cooperative supervision of probationers and parolees moving from state to state and the return of runaways, absconders, and escapees. All 50 states, the District of Columbia, the Virgin Islands, and Guam are participants of the Compact. The ICJ mandates each state to provide services per the Compact requirements. Probation and parole services for ICJ cases are to be provided in the same manner and at the same level as provided to residents of that state.

VIRGINIA JUVENILE COMMUNITY CRIME CONTROL ACT (VJCCCA)

Funding is provided to local governments through the VJCCCA to design and implement services to meet the needs of juveniles before the court in their localities.

With a plan approved by the Board of Juvenile Justice, localities may purchase or develop and operate programs and services

that are pre- or post-dispositional, diversionary, residential, or non-residential.

COMPREHENSIVE SERVICES ACT (CSA)

Developed to help at-risk youth and families, the CSA is a child-centered, community-based, collaborative system of services and funding. CSUs may refer juveniles to local, interdisciplinary Family Assessment and Planning Teams (FAPT). Representatives from DJJ, by law, are members of the local FAPT. When local funding is available, the FAPT may assess a youth's strengths and needs in order to develop and implement a service plan to meet those needs.

DETENTION

Most secure detention facilities are operated by local governments or multi-jurisdictional commissions. DJJ provides partial funding for construction and operations and serves as the regulatory agency for these facilities. The only state-operated secure detention facility is located in Culpeper, adjacent to Culpeper Juvenile Correctional Center. These physically secure residential programs provide temporary care for delinquents and alleged delinquents who require secure custody pending a court appearance. Educational instruction (including remedial services) is mandatory within 24 hours of any youth being detained and is provided by the locality in which the detention facility is located (funded by the Department of Education). Program components include medical and mental health screening, recreational and religious activities, and parental or guardian visitation.

Many detention programs provide secure custody and services for post-dispositional delinquents as an alternative to state commitment as an option for judges pursuant to §16.1-284.1 of the *COV*. In a post-dispositional "program," secure beds are designated for juveniles for up to 180 days. In these post-dispositional programs, treatment services are coordinated by the detention facility, the CSU, local mental health and social service agencies, and the juvenile's family. These services are tailored to meet the specific needs of that juvenile. Examples of facility-based and community-based services for post-dispositional youth include anger management, substance abuse education and treatment, life skills, vocational education, community



Virginia Beach Juvenile Detention Center


service, restitution, and victim empathy. During FY 2006, 18 facilities had post-dispositional programs: Blue Ridge, Chesapeake, Chesterfield, Fairfax, James River, Loudoun, Lynchburg, Merrimac, New River Valley, Newport News, Norfolk, Northern Virginia, Northwestern, Prince William, Rappahannock, Roanoke, W.W. Moore, and Virginia Beach. Out of 1,456 licensed secure detention beds in FY2006, 228 beds were dedicated to post-dispositional programs. Recidivism rates for post-dispositional programs are provided in the Reoffense Rates section.

HALFWAY HOUSES

The Department operates three halfway houses and contracts for one privately-operated independent living program. These facilities are designed to provide transitional skills to juveniles released from the JCCs.

Each halfway house program is designed to take advantage of the unique resources available in its community to meet the needs of the residents. Upon completion of the program, the resident will have gained additional skills to promote a continued positive adjustment and reduce the risk of recidivism. Recidivism rates are provided in the Reoffense Rates section.

DJJ District Court Service Units



COURT SERVICE UNIT ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES

Region I (Western)

10th	Charlotte Courthouse
21st	Martinsville
22nd	Rocky Mount
23rd	Salem
23A	Roanoke City
24th	Lynchburg
25th	Staunton
27th	Pulaski
28th	Abingdon
29th	Pearisburg
30th	Gate City

Region II (Northern)

14th	Henrico
15th	Fredericksburg
16th	Charlottesville
17th	Arlington
17F	Falls Church
18th	Alexandria
19th	Fairfax City/County
20L	Loudoun
20W	Warrenton
26th	Winchester
31st	Manassas

Region III (Eastern)


1st	Chesapeake
2nd	Virginia Beach
2A	Accomack
3rd	Portsmouth
4th	Norfolk
5th	Suffolk
6th	Hopewell
7th	Newport News
8th	Hampton
9th	Williamsburg
11th	Petersburg
12th	Chesterfield
13th	Richmond City

Statewide Activity for Court Service Units

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	103,729	120,117	124,297
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	18,546	18,313	20,096
Class 1 Misdemeanor	39,140	39,087	39,019
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	7,042	6,443	6,592
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	12,551	12,616	12,710
Other			
Technical Violations	11,487	11,456	11,082
Traffic	776	820	1,028
Other	2,291	2,257	1,842
Total Juvenile Complaints	91,833	90,992	92,369
Total Complaints	195,562	211,109	216,666

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹



* 92.1% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	43.6%	45.5%	46.0%
White	49.1%	47.0%	46.3%
Hispanic	4.8%	4.9%	5.0%
Other	2.5%	2.6%	2.7%
Sex			
Male	68.9%	68.9%	69.3%
Female	31.2%	31.2%	30.7%
Age			
8-12	7.6%	6.8%	6.4%
13	8.7%	8.4%	8.1%
14	14.5%	13.9%	13.4%
15	19.0%	19.6%	19.7%
16	22.8%	23.3%	23.7%
17	23.9%	24.5%	24.9%
18-20	2.8%	2.7%	2.8%
Error/Missing	0.8%	0.8%	1.0%
Total Juvenile Cases	66,532	65,122	65,367

•U.S. Census Data:

•Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 23% (from 637,222 to 781,196). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 828,890.

•FY 2006 Intakes:

•65,367 juvenile intakes and 92,369 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)

•Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):

- Domestic relations complaints increased 20%.
- Juvenile complaints increased 1%.
- Juvenile felony complaints increased 8%.
- CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 1%.

•Intake Disposition (FY 2006):

•A petition was filed in response to 76% of juvenile complaints. 19% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.

•Adjudication Time (FY 2006):

•The average time from intake to final disposition was 104 days.

•Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):

•Most likely to be either black or white, 17 years old, and male

•Risk Assessment (FY 2006):

•10,591 assessments completed, 52% had a moderate score

•FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:

- Intake - Assault or Larceny
- Probation - Assault
- Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
- Commitment - Larceny


•Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):

- Pre-dispositional Detention - 24 days
- Commitment to the State - 13.5 months

•Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):

•Statewide, there were 48,033 detention-eligible intake cases and 12,052 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.0 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	66.0%	50.0%	30.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	15.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	12.2%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	6.1%	50.0%	60.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>124,297</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%
Alcohol	2.7%	2.6%	0.7%	0.6%
Arson	1.0%	1.8%	1.3%	1.2%
Assault	14.7%	21.8%	16.9%	13.0%
Burglary	3.4%	5.3%	5.6%	8.8%
Contempt of Court	5.1%	1.3%	6.7%	2.2%
Disorderly Conduct	4.3%	4.1%	2.1%	1.6%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.9%
Extortion	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%
Failure to Appear	1.8%	0.1%	4.5%	0.3%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.5%	1.5%	1.4%	2.1%
Gangs	0.4%	0.5%	0.8%	0.9%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.1%	0.8%	0.3%
Larceny	12.8%	17.8%	11.7%	19.1%
Miscellaneous/Other	4.4%	2.2%	2.6%	1.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.3%
Narcotics	5.7%	8.3%	4.5%	4.9%
Obscenity	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%
Obstruction of Justice	1.5%	0.8%	2.2%	2.3%
Parole Violation	0.6%	0.0%	1.0%	3.2%
Probation Violation	5.8%	0.2%	11.3%	9.9%
Robbery	1.6%	2.1%	5.7%	7.4%
Sex Offense	1.3%	2.8%	3.1%	3.4%
Status Offense	12.2%	9.8%	1.2%	0.1%
Telephone Law	0.5%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%
Traffic	4.0%	1.6%	2.4%	3.1%
Trespass	3.0%	2.3%	1.3%	1.8%
Vandalism	6.9%	6.8%	5.3%	6.7%
Weapons	2.8%	4.4%	5.0%	3.9%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>92,369</i>	<i>7,243</i>	<i>20,298</i>	<i>2,954</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	4,879 Probation	6,748
Post-dispositional Reports	2,699 Parole	582
Transfer Reports	300 Intensive Prob.	249
Custody Investigations	75 Direct Care	1,060

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	5.8%	10.5%	20.2%	36.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.5%	2.9%	3.9%	7.1%
- Other	10.8%	19.9%	16.8%	35.7%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	16.0%	20.3%	10.9%	8.8%
- Other	25.8%	27.6%	14.1%	7.3%
Prob./Parole Violation	8.1%	0.2%	19.0%	4.2%
Court Order Violation	6.2%	1.3%	10.6%	0.1%
Status Offense	17.2%	9.9%	1.4%	0.0%
Other	8.7%	7.4%	2.8%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>65,367</i>	<i>7,243</i>	<i>12,052</i>	<i>914</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>100%</i>	<i>100%</i>	<i>100%</i>	<i>100%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 1
City of Chesapeake


Region III -- Director: Maury Brickhouse

301 Albemarle Drive
Chesapeake, Virginia 23322
757-382-8150

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,024	4,099	3,955
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	716	881	771
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,375	1,359	1,177
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	260	263	205
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	588	522	488
Other			
Technical Violations	360	317	344
Traffic	30	46	11
Other	57	37	31
Total Juvenile Complaints	3,386	3,425	3,027
Total Complaints	6,410	7,524	6,982

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 63.1% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	53.6%	51.9%	56.8%
White	43.6%	44.2%	40.4%
Hispanic	1.3%	1.6%	1.1%
Other	1.5%	2.3%	1.7%
Sex			
Male	66.6%	69.8%	69.9%
Female	33.4%	30.2%	30.1%
Age			
8-12	7.4%	7.4%	5.7%
13	7.3%	8.1%	6.3%
14	13.7%	11.8%	13.4%
15	18.3%	16.9%	18.3%
16	24.0%	24.5%	25.0%
17	25.4%	26.8%	27.6%
18-20	2.7%	3.7%	2.2%
Error/Missing	1.4%	0.8%	1.6%
Total Juvenile Cases	2,525	2,369	2,137

- U.S. Census Data:
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 46% (from 18,449 to 26,873). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 28,396.
- FY 2006 Intakes:
 - 2,137 juvenile intakes and 3,027 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 31%.
 - Juvenile complaints decreased 11%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 8%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 17%.
- Intake Disposition (FY 2006):
 - A petition was filed in response to 76% of juvenile complaints. 14% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- Adjudication Time (FY 2006):
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 125 days.
- Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):
 - Most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male
- Risk Assessment (FY 2006):
 - 379 assessments completed, 51% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:
 - Intake - Assault or Status Offense
 - Probation - Assault or Larceny
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
 - Commitment - Larceny
- Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 26 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 16.3 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):
 - The 1st District had 1,507 detention-eligible intake cases and 320 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.7 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	65.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	17.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	12.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	3,955	0	0	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.4%	4.9%	0.4%	0.0%
Arson	0.8%	2.1%	1.8%	0.0%
Assault	15.6%	24.3%	20.8%	11.6%
Burglary	4.5%	9.9%	5.7%	10.1%
Contempt of Court	4.5%	0.0%	6.8%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	4.6%	3.2%	4.8%	5.8%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Extortion	0.2%	0.7%	0.2%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	1.6%	0.0%	7.5%	1.4%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.5%	0.7%	1.8%	0.0%
Gangs	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.5%	0.4%	2.0%	2.9%
Larceny	13.6%	23.2%	14.2%	20.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.5%	1.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.1%	8.8%	2.3%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.6%	1.1%	4.1%	7.2%
Parole Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.9%	2.9%
Probation Violation	5.4%	0.4%	7.7%	17.4%
Robbery	2.1%	3.2%	6.6%	7.2%
Sex Offense	0.8%	0.7%	1.4%	1.4%
Status Offense	14.8%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	2.2%	1.8%	1.1%	2.9%
Trespass	3.2%	2.1%	1.8%	2.9%
Vandalism	7.0%	7.0%	4.8%	2.9%
Weapons	1.8%	3.5%	2.2%	2.9%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	3,027	284	557	69

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	242 Probation	194
Post-dispositional Reports	55 Parole	22
Transfer Reports	7 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	27

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	7.8%	12.3%	27.5%	23.5%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.1%	2.8%	2.5%	5.9%
- Other	13.7%	31.7%	19.1%	29.4%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	15.3%	19.7%	10.9%	17.6%
- Other	22.0%	26.4%	16.6%	11.8%
Prob./Parole Violation	5.6%	0.4%	3.8%	11.8%
Court Order Violation	7.5%	0.0%	19.1%	0.0%
Status Offense	20.7%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Other	6.4%	6.7%	0.3%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	2,137	284	320	17
<i>% of statewide</i>	3.27%	3.92%	2.66%	1.86%


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 2

City of Virginia Beach


Region III -- Director: Bruce E. Bright

2425 Nimmo Parkway, Bldg. 10-A
Virginia Beach, Virginia 23456
757-427-4361

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	7,226	7,675	8,614
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	1,042	792	1,211
Class 1 Misdemeanor	2,146	2,007	1,955
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	434	302	315
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	923	828	842
Other			
Technical Violations	717	755	705
Traffic	34	36	42
Other	135	422	64
Total Juvenile Complaints	5,431	5,142	5,134
Total Complaints	12,657	12,817	13,748

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 70.1% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	38.1%	42.2%	43.1%
White	54.8%	50.5%	48.8%
Hispanic	1.7%	2.3%	2.1%
Other	5.4%	5.1%	6.0%
Sex			
Male	69.7%	68.4%	70.9%
Female	30.3%	31.6%	29.1%
Age			
8-12	6.6%	6.4%	5.9%
13	7.4%	7.0%	6.2%
14	13.6%	12.4%	12.7%
15	18.5%	19.7%	20.9%
16	25.9%	24.7%	24.4%
17	23.9%	26.0%	25.7%
18-20	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%
Error/Missing	0.8%	0.5%	0.8%
Total Juvenile Cases	3,513	3,150	3,142

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 22% (from 43,303 to 52,749). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 54,085.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 3,142 juvenile intakes and 5,134 juvenile complaints (average of 1.6 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 19%.
 - Juvenile complaints decreased 5%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 16%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 9%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 70% of juvenile complaints. 16% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 100 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 481 assessments completed, 22% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Assault or Status Offense
 - Probation - Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault or Robbery
 - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 29 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 14.0 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 2nd District had 2,316 detention-eligible intake cases and 650 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.6 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	69.3%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	15.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	5.4%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>8,614</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.8%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Alcohol	2.6%	6.4%	1.4%	0.9%
Arson	0.8%	2.6%	2.3%	1.3%
Assault	14.7%	25.1%	16.9%	12.5%
Burglary	4.2%	6.8%	4.9%	14.3%
Contempt of Court	3.1%	2.3%	9.1%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	2.5%	1.9%	1.4%	1.8%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.9%
Extortion	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	3.5%	0.3%	9.8%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.9%	1.3%	0.2%	0.9%
Gangs	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.6%	1.1%	0.9%
Larceny	10.8%	16.1%	5.7%	22.8%
Miscellaneous/Other	6.4%	5.8%	6.8%	0.9%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%
Narcotics	4.4%	5.5%	1.3%	3.1%
Obscenity	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.9%
Obstruction of Justice	1.9%	2.3%	1.8%	4.0%
Parole Violation	1.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.3%
Probation Violation	9.1%	0.0%	8.7%	8.9%
Robbery	5.4%	4.5%	15.3%	12.1%
Sex Offense	0.5%	2.3%	1.2%	0.9%
Status Offense	12.9%	5.5%	1.1%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Traffic	2.4%	1.6%	0.9%	0.9%
Trespass	2.5%	1.6%	0.5%	1.8%
Vandalism	6.0%	3.5%	3.2%	4.0%
Weapons	2.3%	4.2%	3.8%	4.5%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>5,134</i>	<i>311</i>	<i>1,398</i>	<i>224</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	244 Probation	295
Post-dispositional Reports	33 Parole	46
Transfer Reports	26 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	56

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	5.9%	12.2%	22.8%	46.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.9%	1.0%	1.4%	6.4%
- Other	9.5%	21.2%	12.9%	31.9%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	16.2%	22.5%	13.5%	6.4%
- Other	24.3%	25.4%	20.3%	6.4%
Prob./Parole Violation	15.1%	0.0%	20.8%	2.1%
Court Order Violation	1.8%	2.3%	6.3%	0.0%
Status Offense	20.6%	5.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Other	5.7%	9.6%	0.6%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>3,142</i>	<i>311</i>	<i>650</i>	<i>47</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>4.81%</i>	<i>4.29%</i>	<i>5.39%</i>	<i>5.14%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 2A

Counties of Accomack and Northampton


Region III -- Director: John E. Curl

23371 Front Street
Accomac, Virginia 23301
757-787-5860

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	685	811	1,005
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	92	136	132
Class 1 Misdemeanor	212	271	266
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	25	19	18
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	47	59	54
Other			
Technical Violations	78	114	86
Traffic	7	1	9
Other	9	14	9
Total Juvenile Complaints	470	614	574
Total Complaints	1,155	1,425	1,579

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	53.3%	58.9%	63.5%
White	41.1%	35.6%	32.0%
Hispanic	4.7%	2.5%	1.8%
Other	0.9%	3.0%	2.8%
Sex			
Male	66.3%	69.1%	67.5%
Female	33.7%	30.9%	32.5%
Age			
8-12	3.3%	8.2%	7.1%
13	8.0%	8.9%	7.1%
14	15.4%	17.3%	15.6%
15	22.5%	16.6%	22.4%
16	24.0%	25.5%	20.2%
17	23.4%	20.8%	22.4%
18-20	2.4%	2.2%	4.3%
Error/Missing	1.2%	0.5%	1.0%
Total Juvenile Cases	338	404	397

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 19% (from 4,875 to 5,806). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 5,767.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 397 juvenile intakes and 574 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 47%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased 22%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 43%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 15%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 70% of juvenile complaints. 25% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 79 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be black, 15 or 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 112 assessments completed, 60% had a moderate score, versus 52% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Assault
 - Probation - Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Probation Violation
 - Commitment - Parole Violation
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 22 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 14.6 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - District 2A had 324 detention-eligible intake cases and 62 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.2 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	71.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	13.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>1,005</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.7%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.2%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Assault	19.0%	29.7%	12.5%	18.5%
Burglary	5.6%	6.9%	6.8%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%
Disorderly Conduct	7.0%	5.9%	3.4%	3.7%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.0%	2.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	15.0%	18.8%	11.4%	7.4%
Miscellaneous/Other	4.2%	5.9%	2.3%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%
Narcotics	1.9%	3.0%	1.1%	3.7%
Obscenity	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Parole Violation	3.7%	0.0%	8.0%	22.2%
Probation Violation	8.7%	0.0%	26.1%	14.8%
Robbery	0.7%	1.0%	3.4%	3.7%
Sex Offense	1.4%	3.0%	11.4%	7.4%
Status Offense	9.4%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespass	1.4%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	6.8%	5.9%	5.7%	3.7%
Weapons	2.6%	3.0%	5.7%	7.4%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>574</i>	<i>101</i>	<i>88</i>	<i>27</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	36 Probation	62
Post-dispositional Reports	37 Parole	11
Transfer Reports	0 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	11

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	3.8%	8.9%	25.8%	40.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.0%	4.0%	4.8%	10.0%
- Other	18.1%	27.7%	16.1%	10.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	25.4%	26.7%	9.7%	10.0%
- Other	16.4%	23.8%	8.1%	10.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	13.6%	0.0%	35.5%	20.0%
Court Order Violation	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense	12.6%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	5.5%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>397</i>	<i>101</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>0.61%</i>	<i>1.39%</i>	<i>0.51%</i>	<i>1.09%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 3
City of Portsmouth


Region III -- Director: David G. Lively

605 Crawford Street
Portsmouth, Virginia 23704
757-393-8571

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	2,449	2,972	3,139
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	365	331	294
Class 1 Misdemeanor	654	741	663
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	127	103	129
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	241	216	262
Other			
Technical Violations	166	178	184
Traffic	3	18	32
Other	17	20	16
Total Juvenile Complaints	1,573	1,607	1,580
Total Complaints	4,022	4,579	4,719

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 93.7% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	78.1%	78.2%	81.0%
White	20.5%	20.9%	17.3%
Hispanic	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%
Other	1.2%	0.5%	1.5%
Sex			
Male	72.4%	71.2%	69.2%
Female	27.6%	28.8%	30.8%
Age			
8-12	7.7%	5.8%	5.6%
13	8.8%	9.9%	8.2%
14	14.6%	15.9%	15.6%
15	20.1%	19.7%	24.2%
16	23.0%	25.3%	24.7%
17	22.8%	20.0%	18.7%
18-20	2.3%	3.1%	2.7%
Error/Missing	0.7%	0.4%	0.4%
Total Juvenile Cases	1,144	1,145	1,128

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 1% (from 11,278 to 11,389). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 11,577.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 1,128 juvenile intakes and 1,580 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 28%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased less than 1%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 19%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 9%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 78% of juvenile complaints. Only 4% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 105 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be black, 15 or 16 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 252 assessments completed, 25% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Assault
 - Probation - Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
 - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 25 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 9.3 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 3rd District had 799 detention-eligible intake cases and 254 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.1 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	77.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>3,139</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.5%	0.0%	0.7%	0.7%
Alcohol	1.1%	0.7%	0.0%	1.4%
Arson	1.0%	3.0%	2.2%	0.7%
Assault	22.8%	37.8%	28.8%	14.5%
Burglary	3.1%	8.9%	5.9%	8.0%
Contempt of Court	6.1%	0.0%	5.9%	0.7%
Disorderly Conduct	5.4%	3.7%	2.2%	1.4%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%	0.7%
Failure to Appear	0.2%	0.0%	0.5%	1.4%
Family Offense	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Larceny	6.1%	14.1%	8.8%	18.8%
Miscellaneous/Other	8.6%	2.2%	1.7%	2.9%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.1%	9.6%	4.9%	5.1%
Obscenity	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Obstruction of Justice	2.0%	0.0%	2.4%	3.6%
Parole Violation	0.8%	0.0%	0.7%	2.2%
Probation Violation	4.7%	0.0%	9.3%	13.8%
Robbery	0.9%	3.7%	4.4%	2.2%
Sex Offense	1.5%	2.2%	5.1%	0.0%
Status Offense	9.7%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	6.3%	0.7%	2.0%	9.4%
Trespass	3.9%	0.7%	2.7%	5.1%
Vandalism	3.9%	5.9%	5.9%	2.2%
Weapons	3.0%	6.7%	3.2%	4.3%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,580</i>	<i>135</i>	<i>410</i>	<i>138</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	210 Probation	116
Post-dispositional Reports	14 Parole	23
Transfer Reports	16 Intensive Prob.	7
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	29

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	8.3%	20.7%	29.1%	21.9%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.9%	5.2%	3.5%	6.3%
- Other	6.5%	22.2%	10.2%	40.6%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	24.1%	28.1%	22.0%	21.9%
- Other	15.7%	19.3%	6.3%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	7.6%	0.0%	16.1%	6.3%
Court Order Violation	7.5%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
Status Offense	20.9%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Other	7.4%	4.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	3.1%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,128</i>	<i>135</i>	<i>254</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>1.73%</i>	<i>1.86%</i>	<i>2.11%</i>	<i>3.50%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 4

City of Norfolk


Region III -- Director: Claudette Overton

800 East City Hall Avenue, 3rd Floor
Norfolk, Virginia 23510
757-664-7601

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	6,980	7,063	6,885
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	830	885	1,027
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,566	1,737	1,598
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	278	251	307
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	793	907	915
Other			
Technical Violations	603	610	490
Traffic	24	28	124
Other	278	272	275
Total Juvenile Complaints	4,372	4,690	4,736
Total Complaints	11,352	11,753	11,621

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 74.2% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	77.7%	77.5%	77.1%
White	19.5%	19.4%	19.5%
Hispanic	0.9%	1.3%	1.3%
Other	1.9%	1.7%	2.1%
Sex			
Male	65.7%	64.8%	62.8%
Female	34.3%	35.2%	37.2%
Age			
8-12	6.1%	7.2%	8.1%
13	9.2%	10.0%	7.9%
14	17.7%	16.6%	12.9%
15	22.8%	22.5%	20.0%
16	24.0%	22.2%	24.8%
17	17.5%	19.2%	21.7%
18-20	2.0%	1.4%	2.5%
Error/Missing	0.8%	1.1%	2.1%
Total Juvenile Cases	3,207	3,327	3,164

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 10% (from 21,119 to 23,298). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 23,844.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 3,164 juvenile intakes and 4,736 juvenile complaints (average of 1.5 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints decreased 1%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased 8%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 24%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 15%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 67% of juvenile complaints. 19% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 85 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be black, 16 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 586 assessments completed, 10% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Status Offense
 - Probation - Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Failure to Appear or Assault
 - Commitment - Robbery
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 30 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 17.7 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 4th District had 1,997 detention-eligible intake cases and 637 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.1 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	64.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	15.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	11.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	8.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>6,885</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.4%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.5%	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%
Arson	0.3%	1.1%	0.3%	2.0%
Assault	15.3%	26.1%	15.7%	14.3%
Burglary	2.3%	8.0%	3.1%	8.2%
Contempt of Court	4.2%	1.1%	4.9%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	5.2%	7.7%	2.3%	1.4%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.7%
Extortion	0.9%	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	4.4%	0.0%	17.7%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.7%	0.4%	0.6%	0.7%
Gangs	0.7%	1.9%	2.0%	1.4%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Larceny	7.5%	17.2%	7.1%	16.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	7.4%	3.8%	4.4%	2.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.9%	9.2%	4.0%	3.4%
Obscenity	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.7%
Obstruction of Justice	1.2%	0.4%	1.3%	0.7%
Parole Violation	0.7%	0.0%	1.1%	4.8%
Probation Violation	3.5%	0.0%	8.1%	10.9%
Robbery	3.4%	3.4%	9.4%	23.1%
Sex Offense	1.8%	5.0%	3.7%	4.1%
Status Offense	18.4%	3.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	6.7%	0.0%	2.9%	1.4%
Trespass	3.3%	1.5%	1.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	3.3%	5.0%	2.6%	2.7%
Weapons	1.9%	3.4%	3.4%	1.4%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>4,736</i>	<i>261</i>	<i>1,175</i>	<i>147</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	420 Probation	239
Post-dispositional Reports	32 Parole	52
Transfer Reports	48 Intensive Prob.	61
Custody Investigations	1 Direct Care	126

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	6.5%	16.1%	22.0%	65.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.7%	5.7%	4.6%	3.3%
- Other	7.0%	24.1%	12.6%	18.3%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	17.2%	21.5%	8.5%	1.7%
- Other	18.2%	22.6%	17.0%	5.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	6.0%	0.0%	16.5%	6.7%
Court Order Violation	6.7%	1.1%	15.5%	0.0%
Status Offense	26.2%	3.1%	1.6%	0.0%
Other	10.5%	5.7%	1.7%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>3,164</i>	<i>261</i>	<i>637</i>	<i>60</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>4.84%</i>	<i>3.60%</i>	<i>5.29%</i>	<i>6.56%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 5

Cities of Franklin and Suffolk
Counties of Isle of Wight and Southampton


Region III -- Director: William D. Harrell

150 North Main Street
Godwin Courts Building - 2nd Floor
Suffolk, Virginia 23439
757-923-2440

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	1,382	1,302	1,354
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	323	399	415
Class 1 Misdemeanor	657	757	758
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	123	119	158
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	119	139	144
Other			
Technical Violations	86	117	90
Traffic	16	16	17
Other	12	20	29
Total Juvenile Complaints	1,336	1,567	1,611
Total Complaints	2,718	2,869	2,965

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 99.8% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	60.9%	67.5%	67.8%
White	37.2%	30.9%	29.7%
Hispanic	0.6%	0.5%	0.8%
Other	1.3%	1.1%	1.7%
Sex			
Male	74.4%	75.2%	76.7%
Female	25.6%	24.8%	23.3%
Age			
8-12	5.6%	6.4%	5.7%
13	7.1%	8.7%	7.1%
14	13.6%	12.7%	11.4%
15	22.6%	19.8%	20.4%
16	22.8%	24.4%	23.8%
17	25.6%	24.4%	27.6%
18-20	2.5%	2.7%	3.2%
Error/Missing	0.3%	0.8%	0.9%
Total Juvenile Cases	930	1,064	1,036

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 26% (from 11,686 to 14,694). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 16,514.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 1,036 juvenile intakes and 1,611 juvenile complaints (average of 1.6 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints decreased 2%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased 21%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 28%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 21%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 100% of juvenile complaints. No juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 122 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 262 assessments completed, 38% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Assault
 - Probation - Larceny or Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
 - Commitment - Larceny or Assault
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 25 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 9.7 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 5th District had 811 detention-eligible intake cases and 190 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.3 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	68.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	15.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>1,354</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
Alcohol	2.4%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.1%	0.8%	2.4%	0.0%
Assault	19.3%	20.3%	18.1%	18.7%
Burglary	5.8%	12.0%	12.8%	12.2%
Contempt of Court	1.4%	0.0%	1.3%	1.6%
Disorderly Conduct	6.1%	3.0%	1.1%	1.6%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
Extortion	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	1.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.8%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.0%	3.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Gangs	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.8%
Kidnapping	0.4%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Larceny	10.5%	21.1%	15.4%	19.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	9.0%	6.8%	3.2%	4.9%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Narcotics	5.6%	5.3%	1.1%	3.3%
Obscenity	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.7%	0.0%	1.6%	0.8%
Parole Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	3.3%
Probation Violation	3.5%	0.0%	5.6%	8.9%
Robbery	1.1%	0.8%	3.5%	4.1%
Sex Offense	1.1%	2.3%	1.6%	1.6%
Status Offense	2.7%	3.0%	0.8%	0.8%
Telephone Law	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	4.8%	3.0%	1.6%	1.6%
Trespass	2.7%	2.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Vandalism	8.8%	3.8%	8.2%	6.5%
Weapons	5.5%	10.5%	14.4%	6.5%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,611</i>	<i>133</i>	<i>376</i>	<i>123</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	217 Probation	145
Post-dispositional Reports	11 Parole	20
Transfer Reports	27 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	31

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	9.4%	9.8%	30.0%	30.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.6%	1.5%	1.6%	6.7%
- Other	10.0%	30.1%	25.8%	40.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	20.9%	15.0%	10.5%	13.3%
- Other	28.2%	30.8%	14.7%	10.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	6.3%	0.0%	12.1%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	2.2%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%
Status Offense	11.7%	3.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Other	9.7%	9.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,036</i>	<i>133</i>	<i>190</i>	<i>30</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>1.58%</i>	<i>1.84%</i>	<i>1.58%</i>	<i>3.28%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 6

Cities of Emporia and Hopewell

Counties of Brunswick, Greensville, Prince George, Surry, and Sussex

Region III -- Director: John H. Weigel

100 East Broadway, Suite G05


Hopewell, Virginia 23860

804-541-2265

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	1,455	1,658	1,846
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	545	305	415
Class 1 Misdemeanor	762	643	702
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	111	114	91
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	123	149	118
Other			
Technical Violations	67	67	50
Traffic	3	3	1
Other	5	7	5
Total Juvenile Complaints	1,616	1,288	1,382
Total Complaints	3,071	2,946	3,228

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 94.8% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	71.9%	70.4%	70.8%
White	26.8%	28.6%	28.1%
Hispanic	1.3%	1.0%	0.7%
Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Sex			
Male	77.5%	75.5%	72.7%
Female	22.5%	24.6%	27.3%
Age			
8-12	8.7%	8.0%	6.4%
13	11.0%	8.2%	9.7%
14	15.5%	15.9%	15.4%
15	19.4%	18.9%	19.2%
16	22.3%	24.3%	21.7%
17	21.8%	21.6%	24.8%
18-20	1.2%	2.5%	2.1%
Error/Missing	0.3%	0.6%	0.8%
Total Juvenile Cases	1,041	937	922

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 11% (from 10,938 to 12,145). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 11,937.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 922 juvenile intakes and 1,382 juvenile complaints (average of 1.5 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 27%.
 - Juvenile complaints decreased 14%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 24%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 4%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 91% of juvenile complaints. Only 5% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 119 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 157 assessments completed, 55% had a moderate score, versus 52% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Assault
 - Probation - Larceny
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Larceny
 - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 31 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 15.3 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 6th District had 733 detention-eligible intake cases and 162 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.5 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	62.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	22.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	11.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>1,846</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.0%	0.0%	0.3%	2.1%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Assault	19.8%	23.5%	18.7%	14.6%
Burglary	7.0%	7.4%	7.8%	10.4%
Contempt of Court	1.7%	1.5%	6.1%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	8.5%	2.9%	2.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.2%	2.9%	0.7%	2.1%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	16.4%	32.4%	24.5%	25.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.9%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	5.9%	10.3%	3.7%	8.3%
Obscenity	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.9%	0.0%	1.4%	2.1%
Parole Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.3%	2.1%
Probation Violation	1.3%	0.0%	3.7%	10.4%
Robbery	2.2%	7.4%	10.2%	4.2%
Sex Offense	2.2%	4.4%	4.1%	14.6%
Status Offense	7.2%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	1.9%	1.5%	0.3%	0.0%
Trespass	3.5%	1.5%	1.7%	0.0%
Vandalism	9.3%	4.4%	5.4%	2.1%
Weapons	3.1%	0.0%	4.4%	2.1%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,382</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>294</i>	<i>48</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	138 Probation	46
Post-dispositional Reports	7 Parole	10
Transfer Reports	4 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	26

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	9.3%	20.6%	34.6%	41.7%
- Weapons/Narcotics	2.2%	4.4%	2.5%	0.0%
- Other	15.5%	35.3%	17.3%	50.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	23.6%	14.7%	13.6%	0.0%
- Other	26.0%	16.2%	10.5%	8.3%
Prob./Parole Violation	2.8%	0.0%	7.4%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	1.3%	1.5%	8.6%	0.0%
Status Offense	11.8%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%
Other	7.4%	7.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>922</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>162</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>1.41%</i>	<i>0.94%</i>	<i>1.34%</i>	<i>1.31%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 7

City of Newport News


Region III -- Director: Aderon L. Gibbs

2600 Washington Ave, 5th Floor
Newport News, Virginia 23607
757-926-8781

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,153	3,739	3,793
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	677	768	951
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,284	1,504	1,666
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	188	209	249
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	537	693	731
Other			
Technical Violations	471	407	334
Traffic	7	11	16
Other	59	69	56
Total Juvenile Complaints	3,223	3,661	4,003
Total Complaints	6,376	7,400	7,796

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹



* 91.4% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	71.8%	76.0%	74.3%
White	23.5%	20.1%	22.6%
Hispanic	2.8%	2.4%	1.9%
Other	1.9%	1.6%	1.3%
Sex			
Male	66.8%	66.3%	69.3%
Female	33.2%	33.7%	30.7%
Age			
8-12	8.2%	9.0%	7.6%
13	8.5%	9.0%	9.0%
14	13.3%	15.3%	14.9%
15	20.7%	19.6%	22.7%
16	24.3%	23.4%	23.5%
17	21.7%	19.9%	19.3%
18-20	2.0%	2.2%	2.0%
Error/Missing	1.2%	1.6%	1.0%
Total Juvenile Cases	2,302	2,673	2,780

•U.S. Census Data:

•Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 20% (from 17,381 to 20,893). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 22,107.

•FY 2006 Intakes:

•2,780 juvenile intakes and 4,003 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)

•Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):

- Domestic relations complaints increased 20%.
- Juvenile complaints increased 24%.
- Juvenile felony complaints increased 40%.
- CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 36%.

•Intake Disposition (FY 2006):

•A petition was filed in response to 67% of juvenile complaints. 29% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.

•Adjudication Time (FY 2006):

•The average time from intake to final disposition was 101 days.

•Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):

•Most likely to be black, 15 or 16 years old, and male

•Risk Assessment (FY 2006):

•578 assessments completed, 26% had a low score, versus 31% statewide

•FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:

- Intake - Assault or Status Offense
- Probation - Assault
- Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
- Commitment - Larceny


•Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):

- Pre-dispositional Detention - 26 days, versus 24 statewide
- Commitment to the State - 13.4 months, versus 13.5 statewide

•Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):

•The 7th District had 2,008 detention-eligible intake cases and 462 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.3 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	66.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	14.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	12.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	3,793	0	0	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.4%	0.0%	0.5%	0.6%
Alcohol	2.1%	1.6%	0.4%	1.1%
Arson	0.9%	1.9%	0.4%	2.8%
Assault	17.4%	24.4%	14.6%	14.4%
Burglary	3.4%	9.1%	6.3%	8.3%
Contempt of Court	4.6%	0.3%	4.7%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.9%	0.6%	0.8%	1.1%
Escapes	0.6%	0.0%	2.4%	0.6%
Extortion	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	1.1%
Failure to Appear	2.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Fraud	1.2%	0.6%	2.2%	2.2%
Gangs	0.1%	1.9%	0.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.6%	0.0%	2.1%	1.1%
Larceny	11.7%	19.8%	12.4%	21.1%
Miscellaneous/Other	3.9%	2.6%	2.7%	0.6%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Narcotics	5.9%	11.0%	6.2%	10.0%
Obscenity	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.4%	0.6%	1.9%	1.1%
Parole Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	3.9%
Probation Violation	2.8%	0.6%	5.2%	4.4%
Robbery	3.0%	6.8%	8.8%	7.8%
Sex Offense	1.3%	2.9%	1.9%	2.8%
Status Offense	15.7%	0.0%	3.5%	0.6%
Telephone Law	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	2.0%	1.6%	1.7%	3.3%
Trespass	6.0%	2.6%	3.4%	2.8%
Vandalism	4.4%	3.9%	2.1%	1.1%
Weapons	5.0%	5.8%	10.2%	6.7%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	4,003	308	959	180

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	374 Probation	247
Post-dispositional Reports	172 Parole	30
Transfer Reports	16 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	63

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	7.6%	21.4%	19.7%	40.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.9%	5.8%	7.4%	10.0%
- Other	9.1%	30.2%	21.0%	36.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	17.7%	15.6%	7.1%	2.0%
- Other	24.4%	18.5%	14.7%	4.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	4.9%	0.6%	12.3%	8.0%
Court Order Violation	6.7%	0.3%	10.6%	0.0%
Status Offense	22.1%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Other	5.7%	7.5%	3.9%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	2,780	308	462	50
<i>% of statewide</i>	4.25%	4.25%	3.83%	5.47%


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 8
City of Hampton


Region III -- Director: Michael Morton

35 Wine Street
Hampton, Virginia 23669
757-727-6184

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	2,916	3,808	3,478
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	545	375	651
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,230	1,278	1,264
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	205	179	195
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	575	608	750
Other			
Technical Violations	94	81	89
Traffic	217	205	261
Other	190	144	204
Total Juvenile Complaints	3,056	2,870	3,414
Total Complaints	5,972	6,678	6,892

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 88% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	71.0%	70.5%	69.4%
White	25.5%	24.3%	26.9%
Hispanic	2.0%	2.2%	1.6%
Other	1.5%	3.0%	2.2%
Sex			
Male	63.0%	68.9%	67.8%
Female	37.0%	31.1%	32.2%
Age			
8-12	9.7%	9.5%	8.9%
13	9.5%	7.7%	8.6%
14	14.2%	14.3%	12.2%
15	17.4%	17.6%	20.0%
16	22.0%	21.4%	22.4%
17	23.4%	25.7%	25.3%
18-20	2.7%	2.2%	1.7%
Error/Missing	1.1%	1.6%	0.9%
Total Juvenile Cases	2,299	2,274	2,491

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 22% (from 13,300 to 16,182). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 15,933.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 2,491 juvenile intakes and 3,414 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 19%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased 12%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 19%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 30%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 51% of juvenile complaints. 41% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 92 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 246 assessments completed, 28% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Misc./Other (See Appendix I for offense categories)
 - Probation - Larceny
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
 - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 20 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 16.2 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 8th District had 1,341 detention-eligible intake cases and 245 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.5 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	76.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	3,478	0	0	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Arson	0.4%	1.9%	0.5%	0.0%
Assault	13.2%	21.3%	22.8%	11.9%
Burglary	3.6%	5.6%	6.4%	16.7%
Contempt of Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	2.3%	1.9%	0.3%	0.0%
Escapes	0.7%	0.0%	3.0%	2.4%
Extortion	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	1.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.6%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Gangs	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%
Larceny	14.5%	27.8%	12.3%	21.4%
Miscellaneous/Other	17.1%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.7%	5.6%	5.0%	3.6%
Obscenity	0.5%	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.0%	0.9%	1.6%	3.6%
Parole Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.6%	2.4%
Probation Violation	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%	13.1%
Robbery	2.3%	13.9%	13.4%	4.8%
Sex Offense	1.2%	2.8%	5.3%	1.2%
Status Offense	11.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	11.7%	0.0%	3.8%	4.8%
Trespass	3.3%	2.8%	0.2%	1.2%
Vandalism	4.5%	4.6%	2.3%	7.1%
Weapons	2.6%	10.2%	9.2%	4.8%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	3,414	108	640	84

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	209 Probation	89
Post-dispositional Reports	2 Parole	20
Transfer Reports	3 Intensive Prob.	15
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	43

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	5.7%	22.2%	42.0%	30.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.6%	3.7%	6.9%	11.5%
- Other	7.7%	25.0%	16.3%	30.8%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	13.2%	19.4%	4.5%	11.5%
- Other	23.6%	27.8%	17.6%	7.7%
Prob./Parole Violation	2.9%	0.0%	6.9%	7.7%
Court Order Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Status Offense	28.3%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Other	17.8%	1.9%	3.3%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	2,491	108	245	26
<i>% of statewide</i>	3.81%	1.49%	2.03%	2.84%


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 9

Cities of Poquoson and Williamsburg and Counties of Charles City, Gloucester, James City, King and Queen, King William, Mathews, Middlesex, New Kent, and York


Region III -- Director: Thomas A. Gooding

4093 Ironbound Road, Suite D
Williamsburg, Virginia 23188
757-564-2460

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	2,637	3,032	3,038
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	470	690	694
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,233	1,201	1,252
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	288	267	319
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	222	218	205
Other			
Technical Violations	98	97	94
Traffic	27	28	41
Other	13	34	25
Total Juvenile Complaints	2,351	2,535	2,630
Total Complaints	4,988	5,567	5,668

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 88.5% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	29.5%	30.4%	26.7%
White	66.8%	66.3%	69.0%
Hispanic	1.8%	2.0%	2.3%
Other	2.0%	1.4%	2.0%
Sex			
Male	68.8%	69.2%	73.7%
Female	31.2%	30.8%	26.3%
Age			
8-12	6.0%	3.7%	5.4%
13	10.2%	6.5%	6.0%
14	10.7%	12.9%	13.2%
15	18.3%	18.1%	17.8%
16	22.2%	23.2%	24.7%
17	29.8%	32.1%	29.3%
18-20	2.6%	3.1%	3.1%
Error/Missing	0.3%	0.3%	0.6%
Total Juvenile Cases	1,588	1,538	1,531

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 32% (from 20,292 to 26,797). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 27,465.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 1,531 juvenile intakes and 2,630 juvenile complaints (average of 1.7 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 15%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased 12%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 48%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 8%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 78% of juvenile complaints. 16% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 109 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 148 assessments completed, 30% had a high score, versus 16% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Assault or Larceny
 - Probation - Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
 - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 22 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 12.4 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 9th District had 1,227 detention-eligible intake cases and 232 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.3 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	72.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	12.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	11.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>3,038</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	8.1%	2.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Arson	1.3%	3.0%	1.0%	2.4%
Assault	13.3%	29.7%	19.3%	14.6%
Burglary	4.9%	12.9%	7.6%	2.4%
Contempt of Court	1.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	2.6%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Extortion	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.4%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	3.7%	5.0%	7.8%	0.0%
Gangs	0.4%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Larceny	13.3%	15.8%	17.1%	36.6%
Miscellaneous/Other	4.7%	3.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Narcotics	7.1%	5.9%	2.8%	2.4%
Obscenity	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.3%	0.0%	3.6%	2.4%
Parole Violation	0.4%	0.0%	1.8%	2.4%
Probation Violation	1.9%	0.0%	6.4%	14.6%
Robbery	0.9%	1.0%	2.8%	2.4%
Sex Offense	2.4%	5.9%	3.4%	12.2%
Status Offense	5.3%	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Traffic	7.8%	1.0%	2.0%	2.4%
Trespass	3.8%	2.0%	0.2%	2.4%
Vandalism	10.8%	5.9%	5.6%	0.0%
Weapons	2.5%	5.0%	5.2%	2.4%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>2,630</i>	<i>101</i>	<i>502</i>	<i>41</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	94 Probation	86
Post-dispositional Reports	13 Parole	10
Transfer Reports	1 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	19

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	6.1%	8.9%	23.7%	33.3%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.4%	2.0%	3.0%	0.0%
- Other	13.5%	30.7%	26.7%	44.4%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	18.4%	29.7%	13.8%	5.6%
- Other	35.9%	21.8%	12.5%	11.1%
Prob./Parole Violation	3.9%	0.0%	16.4%	5.6%
Court Order Violation	1.2%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Status Offense	9.2%	1.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Other	10.3%	5.9%	0.9%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,531</i>	<i>101</i>	<i>232</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>2.34%</i>	<i>1.39%</i>	<i>1.92%</i>	<i>1.97%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 10

Counties of Appomattox, Buckingham, Charlotte, Cumberland, Halifax, Lunenburg, Mecklenburg, and Prince Edward


Region I -- Director: Charles L. Watts

430 Thomas Jefferson Highway
Charlotte Court House, Virginia 23923
434-542-5080

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	2,499	3,000	3,453
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	374	338	396
Class 1 Misdemeanor	848	865	1,115
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	147	160	151
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	295	333	327
Other			
Technical Violations	214	314	362
Traffic	1	8	11
Other	18	12	14
Total Juvenile Complaints	1,897	2,030	2,376
Total Complaints	4,396	5,030	5,829

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 91.3% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	57.4%	60.4%	60.9%
White	41.2%	38.0%	38.0%
Hispanic	0.2%	0.5%	0.3%
Other	1.2%	1.2%	0.8%
Sex			
Male	68.2%	67.6%	70.0%
Female	31.8%	32.4%	30.0%
Age			
8-12	7.1%	6.6%	8.1%
13	7.4%	8.9%	9.1%
14	14.4%	12.3%	12.7%
15	19.9%	20.0%	18.4%
16	26.3%	25.0%	23.8%
17	21.4%	23.8%	23.4%
18-20	2.4%	2.1%	2.3%
Error/Missing	1.1%	1.5%	2.2%
Total Juvenile Cases	1,439	1,557	1,725

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 6% (from 15,512 to 16,489). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 16,221.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 1,725 juvenile intakes and 2,376 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 38%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased 25%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 6%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 11%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 95% of juvenile complaints. Only 2% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 122 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be black, 16 or 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 209 assessments completed, 42% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Assault
 - Probation - Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
 - Commitment - Vandalism
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 22 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 14.2 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 10th District had 1,266 detention-eligible intake cases and 271 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.7 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/ Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	62.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	19.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	13.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	3,453	0	0	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	2.1%	0.0%	0.5%	2.0%
Arson	0.8%	2.3%	0.5%	4.0%
Assault	18.2%	17.6%	22.2%	6.0%
Burglary	5.0%	9.2%	8.4%	12.0%
Contempt of Court	11.5%	5.3%	16.6%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	6.6%	13.0%	3.2%	0.0%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.4%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	9.3%	15.3%	14.0%	24.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.1%	1.5%	3.4%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.9%	3.8%	1.8%	2.0%
Obscenity	0.8%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.8%	0.8%	1.1%	4.0%
Parole Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.5%	2.0%
Probation Violation	2.9%	0.0%	6.9%	6.0%
Robbery	0.3%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.5%	4.6%	4.5%	2.0%
Status Offense	13.0%	3.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.7%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	2.9%	0.8%	2.9%	0.0%
Trespass	1.9%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	10.5%	6.9%	6.3%	36.0%
Weapons	2.2%	3.8%	3.4%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	2,376	131	379	50

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	87 Probation	106
Post-dispositional Reports	41 Parole	8
Transfer Reports	5 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	11

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	3.8%	6.9%	19.2%	27.3%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.2%	2.3%	0.7%	0.0%
- Other	6.7%	18.3%	15.1%	45.5%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	22.0%	25.2%	15.5%	9.1%
- Other	21.9%	33.6%	10.3%	9.1%
Prob./Parole Violation	4.1%	0.0%	10.3%	9.1%
Court Order Violation	15.1%	5.3%	22.1%	0.0%
Status Offense	18.7%	3.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Other	6.5%	5.3%	5.5%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	1,725	131	271	11
<i>% of statewide</i>	2.64%	1.81%	2.25%	1.20%


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 11

City of Petersburg
Counties of Amelia, Dinwiddie, Nottoway, and Powhatan


Region III -- Director: Frances H. Brown

20 East Tabb Street, Suite 300
Petersburg, Virginia 23803
804-733-2371

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	2,239	2,224	2,478
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	474	575	527
Class 1 Misdemeanor	709	913	735
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	187	149	150
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	198	296	270
Other			
Technical Violations	226	292	166
Traffic	21	24	48
Other	21	14	20
Total Juvenile Complaints	1,836	2,263	1,916
Total Complaints	4,075	4,487	4,394

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 98% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	71.0%	68.7%	71.5%
White	27.1%	29.8%	26.3%
Hispanic	1.2%	0.7%	1.1%
Other	0.7%	0.8%	1.1%
Sex			
Male	70.7%	72.6%	75.9%
Female	29.3%	27.4%	24.1%
Age			
8-12	7.9%	6.4%	6.2%
13	10.6%	9.0%	9.0%
14	17.2%	12.4%	12.2%
15	17.2%	22.5%	18.2%
16	19.9%	22.8%	23.9%
17	24.3%	24.3%	27.8%
18-20	2.5%	1.9%	2.4%
Error/Missing	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Total Juvenile Cases	1,184	1,433	1,207

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 22% (from 10,126 to 12,350). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 12,585.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 1,207 juvenile intakes and 1,916 juvenile complaints (average of 1.6 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 11%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased 4%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 11%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 36%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 81% of juvenile complaints. Only 6% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 90 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 219 assessments completed, 19% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Assault
 - Probation - Larceny or Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
 - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 23 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 13.4 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 11th District had 877 detention-eligible intake cases and 263 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.3 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	68.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	19.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	2,478	0	0	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.5%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.5%	2.7%	1.2%	0.7%
Assault	18.7%	24.3%	18.8%	11.9%
Burglary	5.6%	5.4%	12.3%	8.1%
Contempt of Court	4.6%	0.9%	7.6%	4.4%
Disorderly Conduct	2.5%	3.6%	1.1%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.2%	0.9%	0.7%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.1%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.7%	0.0%	1.2%	3.0%
Gangs	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.7%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Larceny	11.6%	25.2%	11.4%	16.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	4.7%	2.7%	0.9%	0.7%
Murder	0.5%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.2%	7.2%	3.0%	7.4%
Obscenity	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.6%	0.0%	2.1%	0.7%
Parole Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.9%	5.9%
Probation Violation	3.4%	0.0%	7.0%	13.3%
Robbery	2.2%	0.0%	7.0%	10.4%
Sex Offense	0.5%	3.6%	1.4%	0.0%
Status Offense	11.1%	7.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	9.3%	0.0%	3.2%	4.4%
Trespass	3.0%	5.4%	3.0%	1.5%
Vandalism	6.3%	4.5%	7.0%	8.1%
Weapons	3.7%	5.4%	7.0%	2.2%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	1,916	111	568	135

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	135 Probation	124
Post-dispositional Reports	18 Parole	23
Transfer Reports	8 Intensive Prob.	2
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	51


OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	10.3%	9.9%	27.4%	30.4%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.7%	2.7%	1.9%	3.6%
- Other	13.8%	26.1%	25.5%	46.4%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	19.0%	22.5%	11.8%	7.1%
- Other	20.0%	24.3%	10.3%	7.1%
Prob./Parole Violation	4.7%	0.0%	12.9%	5.4%
Court Order Violation	4.5%	0.9%	9.5%	0.0%
Status Offense	17.9%	7.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Other	8.1%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	1,207	111	263	56**
<i>% of statewide</i>	1.85%	1.53%	2.18%	6.13%

* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.


**7% (4) of state commitments occurred as a result of an institutional offense.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 12

City of Colonial Heights
County of Chesterfield


Region III -- Director: Gayle L. Turner

7000 Lucy Corr Boulevard
Chesterfield, Virginia 23832
804-748-1372

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	4,145	5,470	5,212
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	1,415	1,291	1,375
Class 1 Misdemeanor	3,547	3,122	4,054
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	564	548	486
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	793	855	953
Other			
Technical Violations	458	489	581
Traffic	12	6	11
Other	188	230	163
Total Juvenile Complaints	6,977	6,541	7,623
Total Complaints	11,122	12,011	12,835

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	42.3%	43.2%	46.6%
White	55.1%	54.1%	50.3%
Hispanic	1.0%	1.1%	1.5%
Other	1.6%	1.7%	1.6%
Sex			
Male	69.0%	69.8%	67.1%
Female	31.1%	30.2%	32.9%
Age			
8-12	10.3%	6.5%	7.6%
13	10.5%	8.6%	9.4%
14	15.0%	15.1%	15.4%
15	18.6%	19.5%	20.2%
16	21.2%	22.1%	22.4%
17	22.1%	25.4%	22.3%
18-20	1.9%	2.4%	2.4%
Error/Missing	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%
Total Juvenile Cases	5,001	4,624	5,275

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 33% (from 27,880 to 37,144). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 39,350.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 5,275 juvenile intakes and 7,623 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 26%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased 9%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 3%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 20%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 52% of juvenile complaints. 48% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 106 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be white, 16 or 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 240 assessments completed, 21% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Assault or Larceny
 - Probation - Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Larceny
 - Commitment - Assault
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 26 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 12.7 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 12th District had 4,175 detention-eligible intake cases and 612 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 6.8 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	68.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	19.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	5,212	0	2	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.4%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%
Alcohol	2.7%	2.1%	0.6%	0.6%
Arson	1.4%	2.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Assault	17.4%	21.1%	12.4%	23.6%
Burglary	3.9%	8.5%	8.1%	5.2%
Contempt of Court	4.5%	7.0%	10.9%	6.3%
Disorderly Conduct	5.7%	3.5%	1.0%	1.1%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	1.1%
Extortion	0.4%	0.0%	0.1%	1.1%
Failure to Appear	1.9%	0.0%	4.9%	0.6%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.1%	1.4%	1.2%	5.7%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	4.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Larceny	17.2%	19.0%	14.4%	14.4%
Miscellaneous/Other	4.0%	0.0%	2.7%	1.7%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.9%	10.6%	3.1%	5.2%
Obscenity	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%	1.1%
Obstruction of Justice	1.7%	0.0%	3.2%	2.9%
Parole Violation	0.6%	0.7%	2.1%	6.9%
Probation Violation	2.5%	0.7%	9.2%	1.1%
Robbery	0.4%	1.4%	1.9%	1.1%
Sex Offense	0.8%	3.5%	2.5%	1.1%
Status Offense	11.1%	2.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	1.4%	0.0%	2.2%	3.4%
Trespass	4.6%	0.7%	1.4%	2.9%
Vandalism	8.5%	9.2%	10.0%	6.9%
Weapons	1.6%	4.9%	4.9%	1.1%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	7,623	142	1,052	174

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	176 Probation	130
Post-dispositional Reports	9 Parole	22
Transfer Reports	4 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	44

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	4.0%	8.5%	15.8%	27.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.5%	4.9%	2.9%	1.4%
- Other	11.1%	23.2%	20.1%	34.7%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	21.2%	19.0%	5.6%	23.6%
- Other	34.7%	28.2%	18.0%	9.7%
Prob./Parole Violation	3.1%	1.4%	15.7%	2.8%
Court Order Violation	5.6%	7.0%	17.6%	0.0%
Status Offense	13.6%	2.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Other	6.1%	5.6%	3.4%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	5,275	142	612	72**
<i>% of statewide</i>	8.07%	1.96%	5.08%	7.88%


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.
**35% (25) of state commitments occurred as a result of an institutional offense.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 13

City of Richmond

Region III -- Director: Stephanie Garrison

1600 Oliver Hill Way, Suite C-104


Richmond, Virginia 23219

804-646-2901

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,551	3,774	2,868
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	1,204	1,191	975
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,444	1,841	1,233
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	296	294	205
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	194	204	211
Other			
Technical Violations	318	321	295
Traffic	10	12	12
Other	19	25	47
Total Juvenile Complaints	3,485	3,888	2,978
Total Complaints	7,036	7,662	5,846

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 99.2% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	94.9%	96.3%	96.2%
White	4.0%	2.9%	3.1%
Hispanic	0.6%	0.5%	0.5%
Other	0.5%	0.3%	0.2%
Sex			
Male	77.4%	76.2%	74.5%
Female	22.6%	23.8%	25.5%
Age			
8-12	8.1%	6.8%	5.9%
13	10.3%	9.1%	9.0%
14	14.9%	15.1%	14.2%
15	21.5%	21.9%	21.7%
16	22.7%	24.0%	21.8%
17	20.1%	21.2%	21.9%
18-20	2.3%	1.8%	3.2%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.1%	2.3%
Total Juvenile Cases	2,159	2,334	1,907

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 12% (from 16,137 to 18,037). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 17,969.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 1,907 juvenile intakes and 2,978 juvenile complaints (average of 1.6 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints decreased 19%.
 - Juvenile complaints decreased 15%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 19%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 9%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 81% of juvenile complaints. 18% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 85 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be black, 15, 16 or 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 305 assessments completed, 41% had a high score, versus 16% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Assault
 - Probation - Assault or Larceny
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault, Narcotics, or Weapons
 - Commitment - Narcotics, Larceny, or Weapons
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 26 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 16.0 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 13th District had 1,645 detention-eligible intake cases and 765 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 2.2 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	68.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	16.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	4.6%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>2,868</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.3%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%
Alcohol	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%
Assault	19.9%	23.4%	15.7%	7.4%
Burglary	2.4%	5.5%	2.7%	2.3%
Contempt of Court	2.5%	1.4%	4.6%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	6.4%	4.1%	1.9%	2.0%
Escapes	0.9%	0.3%	1.9%	3.5%
Extortion	1.2%	0.3%	0.4%	0.8%
Failure to Appear	0.1%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Fraud	1.0%	1.0%	0.8%	2.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	0.8%
Larceny	8.1%	22.7%	6.4%	11.7%
Miscellaneous/Other	4.1%	0.3%	0.9%	1.2%
Murder	1.0%	0.0%	1.2%	2.0%
Narcotics	10.1%	16.8%	14.8%	13.3%
Obscenity	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%
Obstruction of Justice	2.5%	0.0%	3.7%	2.7%
Parole Violation	1.3%	0.0%	0.9%	2.3%
Probation Violation	6.1%	1.4%	10.5%	10.9%
Robbery	3.3%	3.4%	5.7%	7.0%
Sex Offense	1.8%	1.7%	3.0%	5.1%
Status Offense	4.8%	2.4%	0.5%	0.4%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	3.8%	0.3%	2.7%	4.3%
Trespass	3.9%	1.0%	2.5%	3.9%
Vandalism	3.9%	3.4%	3.0%	2.3%
Weapons	8.3%	9.6%	13.9%	12.5%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>2,978</i>	<i>291</i>	<i>1,384</i>	<i>256</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	69 Probation	227
Post-dispositional Reports	216 Parole	47
Transfer Reports	10 Intensive Prob.	62
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	134

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	12.6%	12.0%	25.9%	33.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	8.9%	10.7%	17.4%	27.5%
- Other	11.3%	28.2%	14.9%	27.5%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	21.0%	18.6%	5.6%	3.3%
- Other	19.0%	23.0%	10.6%	7.7%
Prob./Parole Violation	11.3%	1.4%	20.7%	1.1%
Court Order Violation	3.0%	1.4%	3.5%	0.0%
Status Offense	7.5%	2.4%	0.7%	0.0%
Other	5.3%	2.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,907</i>	<i>291</i>	<i>765</i>	<i>91</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>2.92%</i>	<i>4.02%</i>	<i>6.35%</i>	<i>9.96%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 14
County of Henrico


Region II -- Director: Kay Frye

4201 E. Parham Road
Richmond, Virginia 23228
804-501-4692

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,376	4,741	4,325
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	682	784	768
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,730	2,020	1,971
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	355	307	381
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	361	320	381
Other			
Technical Violations	334	387	335
Traffic	29	33	28
Other	7	7	16
Total Juvenile Complaints	3,498	3,858	3,880
Total Complaints	6,874	8,599	8,205

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 99.8% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	56.7%	61.7%	62.0%
White	40.5%	35.4%	33.5%
Hispanic	1.2%	1.2%	2.5%
Other	1.6%	1.7%	1.9%
Sex			
Male	70.8%	69.9%	71.0%
Female	29.2%	30.1%	29.0%
Age			
8-12	9.6%	8.2%	7.1%
13	11.3%	11.7%	10.3%
14	15.0%	14.1%	13.1%
15	17.8%	19.4%	18.1%
16	20.5%	21.2%	22.9%
17	23.4%	22.5%	26.1%
18-20	2.1%	2.3%	1.8%
Error/Missing	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%
Total Juvenile Cases	2,514	2,662	2,706

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 34% (from 20,817 to 27,958). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 31,391.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 2,706 juvenile intakes and 3,880 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 28%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased 11%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 13%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 6%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 78% of juvenile complaints. 16% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 129 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 348 assessments completed, 58% had a moderate score, versus 52% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Larceny or Assault
 - Probation - Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
 - Commitment - Larceny or Robbery
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 24 days, same as statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 18.4 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 14th District had 2,129 detention-eligible intake cases and 794 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 2.7 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	73.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	12.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	12.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	2.9%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	4,325	0	2	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.4%	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%
Alcohol	2.7%	1.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Arson	1.8%	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%
Assault	14.8%	23.7%	20.3%	14.8%
Burglary	3.0%	5.4%	5.7%	7.4%
Contempt of Court	1.1%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	9.2%	12.6%	4.3%	4.6%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Extortion	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	1.3%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	2.2%	1.8%	1.6%	0.0%
Gangs	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.3%	1.1%	0.9%
Larceny	14.8%	15.0%	11.8%	18.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.4%	2.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.8%	8.7%	3.1%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.5%	0.3%	0.6%	0.9%
Obstruction of Justice	1.7%	0.9%	2.6%	0.9%
Parole Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	5.6%
Probation Violation	6.2%	0.3%	15.5%	13.0%
Robbery	1.6%	2.1%	5.3%	18.5%
Sex Offense	0.7%	3.9%	2.0%	3.7%
Status Offense	8.4%	4.8%	1.6%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	3.7%	0.9%	2.6%	0.9%
Trespass	3.9%	2.4%	2.7%	1.9%
Vandalism	7.4%	6.3%	5.6%	3.7%
Weapons	2.9%	5.4%	3.6%	4.6%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	3,880	333	1,212	108

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	65 Probation	368
Post-dispositional Reports	258 Parole	14
Transfer Reports	18 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	2 Direct Care	35

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	5.4%	8.4%	20.3%	41.7%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.6%	3.9%	4.2%	2.8%
- Other	10.5%	19.2%	15.6%	27.8%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	15.5%	23.1%	12.5%	8.3%
- Other	34.7%	33.9%	16.0%	16.7%
Prob./Parole Violation	8.5%	0.3%	20.8%	2.8%
Court Order Violation	2.7%	0.0%	6.9%	0.0%
Status Offense	11.5%	4.8%	1.6%	0.0%
Other	9.8%	6.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	2,706	333	794	36
<i>% of statewide</i>	4.14%	4.60%	6.59%	3.94%


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 15

City of Fredericksburg and Counties of Caroline, Essex, Hanover, King George, Lancaster, Northumberland, Richmond, Spotsylvania, Stafford, and Westmoreland


Region II -- Director: Michael J. Mastropaolo

601 Caroline Street, Suite 400, 4th Floor
Fredericksburg, Virginia 22401
540-372-1068

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	7,679	9,112	9,807
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	1,395	1,093	1,314
Class 1 Misdemeanor	2,945	2,940	2,876
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	566	487	430
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	581	664	655
Other			
Technical Violations	710	647	677
Traffic	55	62	58
Other	62	29	24
Total Juvenile Complaints	6,314	5,922	6,034
Total Complaints	13,993	15,034	15,841

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹



* 92.9% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	33.6%	36.6%	37.8%
White	60.7%	58.2%	56.5%
Hispanic	2.4%	2.1%	2.7%
Other	3.2%	3.1%	3.0%
Sex			
Male	71.5%	70.1%	69.8%
Female	28.5%	29.9%	30.2%
Age			
8-12	7.0%	5.5%	6.7%
13	6.6%	8.5%	8.1%
14	13.9%	13.5%	13.8%
15	18.1%	19.4%	19.7%
16	24.3%	23.3%	22.3%
17	25.6%	26.3%	25.3%
18-20	3.8%	2.6%	3.1%
Error/Missing	0.7%	1.0%	0.9%
Total Juvenile Cases	4,104	4,118	4,078

•U.S. Census Data:

•Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 51% (from 32,310 to 48,880). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 56,388.

•FY 2006 Intakes:

•4,078 juvenile intakes and 6,034 juvenile complaints (average of 1.5 complaints per intake)

•Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):

- Domestic relations complaints increased 28%.
- Juvenile complaints decreased 4%.
- Juvenile felony complaints decreased 6%.
- CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 13%.

•Intake Disposition (FY 2006):

•A petition was filed in response to 78% of juvenile complaints. 16% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.

•Adjudication Time (FY 2006):

•The average time from intake to final disposition was 115 days.

•Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):

•Most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male

•Risk Assessment (FY 2006):

•731 assessments completed, 58% had a moderate score, versus 52% statewide

•FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:

- Intake - Larceny
- Probation - Assault
- Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault, Larceny, or Probation Violation
- Commitment - Larceny or Probation Violation


•Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):

- Pre-dispositional Detention - 22 days, versus 24 statewide
- Commitment to the State - 8.8 months, versus 13.5 statewide

•Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):

•The 15th District had 3,207 detention-eligible intake cases and 988 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.2 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/ Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	59.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	19.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	9,807	0	0	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.6%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%
Alcohol	3.6%	2.1%	1.3%	1.7%
Arson	1.1%	0.7%	2.1%	3.0%
Assault	12.3%	22.8%	14.6%	9.0%
Burglary	2.3%	6.1%	3.5%	6.4%
Contempt of Court	3.3%	1.2%	5.3%	3.4%
Disorderly Conduct	7.5%	9.9%	5.3%	3.0%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.9%
Extortion	0.5%	1.2%	0.5%	0.9%
Failure to Appear	0.3%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Fraud	2.4%	1.9%	2.7%	6.4%
Gangs	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%	0.4%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%
Larceny	15.9%	20.4%	13.5%	16.7%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.2%	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	0.7%	0.4%
Narcotics	6.9%	8.5%	5.9%	1.7%
Obscenity	0.5%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%
Obstruction of Justice	1.8%	0.7%	2.5%	3.0%
Parole Violation	0.8%	0.0%	1.7%	1.3%
Probation Violation	7.0%	0.5%	14.2%	15.0%
Robbery	0.7%	0.2%	1.7%	1.3%
Sex Offense	2.4%	2.8%	6.2%	7.3%
Status Offense	10.8%	2.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Telephone Law	0.7%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Traffic	4.1%	0.5%	4.0%	3.9%
Trespass	2.1%	2.6%	1.2%	0.0%
Vandalism	7.2%	10.6%	5.2%	10.3%
Weapons	3.3%	2.6%	3.0%	2.1%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	6,034	426	1,609	233

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	520 Probation	382
Post-dispositional Reports	70 Parole	43
Transfer Reports	42 Intensive Prob.	35
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	55


OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	5.5%	8.9%	15.7%	32.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.3%	2.3%	2.9%	3.1%
- Other	11.4%	22.3%	15.7%	42.2%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	14.7%	21.1%	13.5%	4.7%
- Other	31.3%	36.2%	16.5%	17.2%
Prob./Parole Violation	10.6%	0.5%	24.8%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	4.4%	1.2%	7.6%	0.0%
Status Offense	14.1%	2.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Other	6.8%	4.9%	2.3%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	4,078	426	988	64**
<i>% of statewide</i>	6.24%	5.88%	8.20%	7.00%

* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.


**3% (2) of state commitments occurred as a result of an institutional offense.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 16

City of Charlottesville and Counties of Albemarle, Culpeper, Fluvanna, Goochland, Greene, Louisa, Madison, and Orange


Region II -- Director: Martha Carroll

401-407 East High Street
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902
434-979-7191

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,877	4,816	5,139
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	545	467	722
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,097	1,108	1,239
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	179	165	169
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	382	377	367
Other			
Technical Violations	646	540	482
Traffic	12	22	15
Other	53	29	28
Total Juvenile Complaints	2,914	2,708	3,022
Total Complaints	6,791	7,524	8,161

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 97.6% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	39.3%	36.1%	36.2%
White	57.7%	60.4%	57.3%
Hispanic	1.7%	1.8%	3.9%
Other	1.3%	1.7%	2.6%
Sex			
Male	70.7%	68.4%	67.6%
Female	29.3%	31.6%	32.4%
Age			
8-12	5.7%	6.1%	5.0%
13	8.2%	8.8%	8.1%
14	15.4%	12.8%	13.3%
15	19.1%	19.6%	19.5%
16	22.3%	24.0%	26.6%
17	26.2%	25.7%	23.8%
18-20	2.6%	2.6%	3.3%
Error/Missing	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%
Total Juvenile Cases	2,246	2,152	2,241

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 35% (from 21,496 to 28,972). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 31,525.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 2,241 juvenile intakes and 3,022 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 33%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased 4%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 32%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 4%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 79% of juvenile complaints. 17% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 100 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be white, 16 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 455 assessments completed, 11% had a high score, versus 16% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Larceny
 - Probation - Larceny or Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Larceny
 - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 26 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 9.6 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 16th District had 1,746 detention-eligible intake cases and 280 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 6.2 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	76.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	9.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>5,139</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	2.8%	0.9%	0.2%	0.0%
Arson	1.3%	1.9%	1.4%	0.8%
Assault	12.0%	18.2%	13.0%	14.3%
Burglary	4.2%	6.3%	7.7%	12.0%
Contempt of Court	11.0%	1.6%	9.7%	6.8%
Disorderly Conduct	3.5%	3.5%	0.8%	0.8%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%
Extortion	0.6%	0.9%	2.6%	2.3%
Failure to Appear	4.2%	0.6%	5.9%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.5%	2.5%	2.8%	1.5%
Gangs	0.2%	0.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	14.9%	20.1%	19.3%	30.8%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.3%	1.3%	1.6%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.9%	1.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	5.4%	5.3%	3.4%	1.5%
Obscenity	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.7%	1.6%	3.0%	3.0%
Parole Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.8%	5.3%
Probation Violation	4.2%	0.0%	7.7%	1.5%
Robbery	1.1%	2.2%	4.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.5%	2.2%	3.7%	1.5%
Status Offense	12.1%	16.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	3.0%	1.3%	1.0%	5.3%
Trespass	1.8%	1.3%	0.2%	3.0%
Vandalism	6.5%	6.9%	3.9%	6.8%
Weapons	2.4%	2.8%	4.3%	1.5%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>3,022</i>	<i>318</i>	<i>493</i>	<i>133</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006

Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	236 Probation	259
Post-dispositional Reports	81 Parole	20
Transfer Reports	3 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	30


OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	6.1%	16.4%	26.8%	25.6%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.4%	3.1%	3.9%	2.6%
- Other	12.1%	23.6%	21.8%	48.7%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	12.4%	11.6%	6.1%	15.4%
- Other	28.2%	23.3%	14.3%	2.6%
Prob./Parole Violation	4.8%	0.0%	12.1%	5.1%
Court Order Violation	12.9%	1.6%	14.6%	0.0%
Status Offense	15.8%	16.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	6.1%	4.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>2,241</i>	<i>318</i>	<i>280</i>	<i>39**</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>3.43%</i>	<i>4.39%</i>	<i>2.32%</i>	<i>4.27%</i>

* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.


**3% (1) of state commitments occurred as a result of an institutional offense.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 17
County of Arlington


Region II -- Director: Patricia Romano
Locally Operated

1425 N. Courthouse Road, Suite 5100
Arlington, Virginia 22201
703-228-4600

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	960	832	749
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	255	287	305
Class 1 Misdemeanor	393	293	289
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	118	87	110
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	178	168	175
Other			
Technical Violations	477	428	407
Traffic	17	5	7
Other	122	91	123
Total Juvenile Complaints	1,560	1,359	1,416
Total Complaints	2,520	2,191	2,165

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	34.1%	34.4%	39.0%
White	22.7%	23.9%	24.2%
Hispanic	38.0%	37.8%	30.8%
Other	5.1%	3.9%	6.1%
Sex			
Male	69.5%	68.5%	69.7%
Female	30.5%	31.6%	30.4%
Age			
8-12	5.1%	3.1%	2.5%
13	7.1%	6.9%	5.1%
14	11.0%	13.0%	12.1%
15	20.6%	17.9%	21.9%
16	24.8%	27.4%	24.7%
17	27.9%	28.1%	29.5%
18-20	3.3%	3.3%	3.7%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%
Total Juvenile Cases	1,228	1,084	1,150

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 29% (from 9,370 to 12,101). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 12,023.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 1,150 juvenile intakes and 1,416 juvenile complaints (average of 1.2 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints decreased 22%.
 - Juvenile complaints decreased 9%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 20%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 2%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 85% of juvenile complaints. Only 4% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 93 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 126 assessments completed, 11% had a high score, versus 16% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Probation Violation
 - Probation - Status Offense
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Probation Violation
 - Commitment - Burglary
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 26 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 11.6 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 17th District had 802 detention-eligible intake cases and 310 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 2.6 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	45.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	22.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	15.5%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	16.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	749	0	1	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.7%	4.2%	0.5%	2.0%
Arson	0.4%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Assault	6.0%	15.5%	6.3%	10.2%
Burglary	3.0%	1.7%	5.7%	24.5%
Contempt of Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.3%	2.5%	0.7%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.2%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	3.3%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.1%	1.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Gangs	0.7%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Larceny	13.1%	15.1%	22.2%	18.4%
Miscellaneous/Other	8.8%	0.4%	5.9%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Narcotics	5.1%	7.1%	3.6%	4.1%
Obscenity	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.5%	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.2%	4.1%
Probation Violation	28.1%	0.0%	30.8%	10.2%
Robbery	1.7%	2.1%	3.4%	20.4%
Sex Offense	0.6%	0.4%	1.8%	4.1%
Status Offense	12.4%	39.7%	2.3%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.1%	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Traffic	6.5%	4.6%	1.4%	0.0%
Trespass	1.7%	1.3%	2.3%	0.0%
Vandalism	3.5%	2.5%	6.1%	0.0%
Weapons	0.4%	0.8%	0.5%	2.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	1,416	239	442	49

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	0 Probation	336
Post-dispositional Reports	0 Parole	7
Transfer Reports	0 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	18

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	3.8%	4.6%	10.6%	38.1%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.8%	1.7%	1.3%	4.8%
- Other	12.0%	14.6%	17.7%	47.6%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	4.9%	14.6%	6.8%	4.8%
- Other	13.7%	15.5%	11.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	34.5%	0.0%	43.9%	4.8%
Court Order Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense	15.0%	39.7%	3.2%	0.0%
Other	15.3%	9.2%	4.8%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	1,150	239	310	21
<i>% of statewide</i>	1.76%	3.30%	2.57%	2.30%


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 17F
City of Falls Church


Region II -- Director: Earl Conklin
Locally Operated

200 Little Falls Street, Suite 207
Falls Church, Virginia 22046
703-241-7630

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	21	41	37
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	13	2	21
Class 1 Misdemeanor	35	26	59
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	18	2	26
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	9	7	9
Other			
Technical Violations	21	26	28
Traffic	0	0	41
Other	3	9	2
Total Juvenile Complaints	99	72	186
Total Complaints	120	113	223

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	14.7%	8.2%	8.6%
White	65.3%	67.2%	73.7%
Hispanic	13.3%	21.3%	12.5%
Other	6.7%	3.3%	5.3%
Sex			
Male	70.7%	60.7%	65.1%
Female	29.3%	39.3%	34.9%
Age			
8-12	6.7%	0.0%	2.6%
13	4.0%	6.6%	1.3%
14	18.7%	16.4%	12.5%
15	16.0%	27.9%	11.2%
16	30.7%	13.1%	19.7%
17	16.0%	34.4%	36.8%
18-20	8.0%	1.6%	13.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
Total Juvenile Cases	75	61	152

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 48% (from 800 to 1,186). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 1,086.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 152 juvenile intakes and 186 juvenile complaints (average of 1.2 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 76%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased 88%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 62%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints remained the same.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 63% of juvenile complaints. 17% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 107 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 19 assessments completed, 16% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Traffic
 - Probation - Assault or Larceny
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
 - There were no commitments to the state in FY 2006.
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 14 days, versus 24 statewide
 - There were no releases from state commitments in FY 2006.
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - District 17F had 88 detention-eligible intake cases and 25 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.5 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	54.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	24.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	37	0	0	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	5.9%	25.0%	37.0%	0.0%
Burglary	1.6%	0.0%	7.4%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.5%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	11.3%	25.0%	11.1%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	5.9%	8.3%	3.7%	0.0%
Obscenity	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation Violation	15.1%	8.3%	22.2%	0.0%
Robbery	0.5%	8.3%	3.7%	0.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense	4.8%	16.7%	7.4%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	33.3%	8.3%	3.7%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	186	12	27	0

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	9 Probation	17
Post-dispositional Reports	2 Parole	0
Transfer Reports	0 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	0

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	3.9%	33.3%	16.0%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	7.2%	8.3%	24.0%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	3.3%	8.3%	16.0%	0.0%
- Other	28.9%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	14.5%	8.3%	20.0%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense	5.9%	16.7%	8.0%	0.0%
Other	36.2%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	16.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	152	12	25	0
<i>% of statewide</i>	0.23%	0.17%	0.21%	0.00%


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 18
City of Alexandria


Region II -- Director: Lillian B. Brooks

520 King Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
703-838-4144

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	1,748	1,849	1,769
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	215	288	248
Class 1 Misdemeanor	425	426	489
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	69	70	80
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	317	277	296
Other			
Technical Violations	164	145	130
Traffic	5	30	25
Other	21	27	15
Total Juvenile Complaints	1,216	1,263	1,283
Total Complaints	2,964	3,112	3,052

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	54.7%	55.5%	60.5%
White	15.7%	13.6%	11.6%
Hispanic	28.3%	27.5%	25.1%
Other	1.2%	3.4%	2.7%
Sex			
Male	66.7%	65.5%	68.4%
Female	33.3%	34.5%	31.6%
Age			
8-12	13.0%	12.7%	10.1%
13	9.4%	8.4%	8.1%
14	14.4%	14.1%	11.8%
15	19.6%	17.8%	16.6%
16	17.9%	21.6%	22.7%
17	21.4%	21.3%	26.0%
18-20	1.9%	0.8%	1.4%
Error/Missing	2.6%	3.3%	3.2%
Total Juvenile Cases	972	983	1,022

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 20% (from 6,347 to 7,622). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 7,401.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 1,022 juvenile intakes and 1,283 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 1%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased 6%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 15%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 7%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 66% of juvenile complaints. 23% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 76 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 241 assessments completed, 60% had a moderate score, versus 52% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Status Offense
 - Probation - Assault or Status Offense
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
 - Commitment - Robbery
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 29 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 18.0 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 18th District had 661 detention-eligible intake cases and 177 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.7 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	67.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	17.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>1,769</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	3.6%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	14.2%	19.9%	25.0%	21.2%
Burglary	1.6%	3.0%	4.9%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	3.4%	1.8%	1.1%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.1%	4.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Gangs	0.3%	1.8%	0.8%	3.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Larceny	14.9%	16.3%	15.2%	18.2%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.1%	2.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.7%	6.0%	9.8%	3.0%
Obscenity	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.6%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.4%	3.0%
Probation Violation	6.3%	0.0%	11.4%	15.2%
Robbery	4.1%	4.2%	13.6%	24.2%
Sex Offense	0.2%	1.2%	1.1%	3.0%
Status Offense	23.1%	19.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	6.9%	0.6%	1.1%	0.0%
Trespass	1.9%	1.8%	1.1%	0.0%
Vandalism	4.8%	7.2%	4.5%	6.1%
Weapons	2.9%	6.0%	4.2%	3.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,283</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>264</i>	<i>33</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	83 Probation	199
Post-dispositional Reports	1 Parole	10
Transfer Reports	2 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	4 Direct Care	18

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	8.1%	9.0%	31.1%	70.6%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.6%	0.0%	9.0%	5.9%
- Other	7.0%	14.5%	14.1%	17.6%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	10.3%	18.1%	9.6%	5.9%
- Other	25.3%	28.9%	11.3%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	8.1%	0.0%	17.5%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	4.2%	1.8%	1.7%	0.0%
Status Offense	27.5%	19.3%	2.8%	0.0%
Other	7.8%	8.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,022</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>177</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>1.56%</i>	<i>2.29%</i>	<i>1.47%</i>	<i>1.86%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 19

City of Fairfax
County of Fairfax


Region II -- Director: James Dedes
Locally Operated

4000 Chain Bridge Road
Fairfax, Virginia 22030
703-246-3343

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	6,698	7,809	7,962
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	1,420	1,423	1,634
Class 1 Misdemeanor	2,949	2,790	2,702
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	555	501	513
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	1,045	948	828
Other			
Technical Violations	1,222	1,280	1,163
Traffic	67	40	46
Other	584	485	453
Total Juvenile Complaints	7,842	7,467	7,339
Total Complaints	14,540	15,276	15,301

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 99.9% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	24.9%	25.4%	28.6%
White	48.1%	46.3%	44.4%
Hispanic	18.8%	19.7%	18.9%
Other	8.2%	8.6%	8.1%
Sex			
Male	67.8%	67.8%	71.5%
Female	32.2%	32.2%	28.5%
Age			
8-12	4.2%	4.2%	3.4%
13	7.0%	6.8%	6.7%
14	13.9%	12.6%	12.1%
15	18.5%	18.8%	19.3%
16	24.1%	24.9%	24.9%
17	26.4%	27.7%	28.0%
18-20	5.2%	4.7%	5.3%
Error/Missing	0.6%	0.3%	0.3%
Total Juvenile Cases	6,365	5,929	5,696

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 25% (from 88,062 to 110,320). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 115,694.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 5,696 juvenile intakes and 7,339 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 19%.
 - Juvenile complaints decreased 6%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 15%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 21%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 79% of juvenile complaints. 19% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 77 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 1,154 assessments completed, 42% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Larceny
 - Probation - Status Offense or Larceny
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Probation Violation
 - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 21 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 12.6 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 19th District had 4,078 detention-eligible intake cases and 1,150 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.5 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	62.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	25.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	7,962	0	0	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	1.3%
Alcohol	3.4%	1.8%	1.2%	0.0%
Arson	1.6%	1.8%	2.1%	2.6%
Assault	10.2%	17.9%	16.0%	17.9%
Burglary	2.4%	1.9%	3.3%	2.6%
Contempt of Court	4.2%	0.0%	4.7%	2.6%
Disorderly Conduct	2.3%	3.7%	1.2%	1.3%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Extortion	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	1.3%
Failure to Appear	1.6%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	2.4%	1.7%	1.7%	1.3%
Gangs	1.7%	1.5%	2.7%	6.4%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Larceny	13.6%	18.6%	11.7%	20.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	7.1%	2.9%	2.8%	1.3%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.2%	6.6%	3.1%	3.8%
Obscenity	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.9%	0.8%	1.2%	3.8%
Parole Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.9%	1.3%
Probation Violation	10.1%	0.0%	20.6%	10.3%
Robbery	1.6%	1.3%	5.9%	7.7%
Sex Offense	0.7%	1.8%	1.9%	2.6%
Status Offense	11.3%	19.9%	0.7%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	3.0%	1.8%	3.3%	2.6%
Trespass	2.9%	3.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Vandalism	8.0%	7.1%	6.8%	5.1%
Weapons	2.7%	4.9%	2.6%	3.8%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	7,339	946	1,685	78

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	105 Probation	960
Post-dispositional Reports	659 Parole	20
Transfer Reports	2 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	58 Direct Care	41

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	4.9%	5.6%	15.0%	51.6%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.1%	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%
- Other	12.8%	10.0%	15.7%	25.8%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	10.1%	20.9%	11.1%	12.9%
- Other	23.3%	33.3%	11.6%	9.7%
Prob./Parole Violation	13.1%	0.0%	31.5%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	6.3%	0.0%	8.7%	0.0%
Status Offense	14.1%	19.9%	0.3%	0.0%
Other	14.3%	9.4%	4.2%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	5,696	946	1,150	31
<i>% of statewide</i>	8.71%	13.06%	9.54%	3.39%


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 20L
County of Loudoun


Region II -- Director: Mark Crowley

P.O. Box 6370
Leesburg, Virginia 20178
703-777-0303

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	898	1,132	1,383
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	378	356	382
Class 1 Misdemeanor	771	636	654
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	113	90	160
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	202	203	221
Other			
Technical Violations	337	317	275
Traffic	7	16	4
Other	20	3	11
Total Juvenile Complaints	1,828	1,621	1,707
Total Complaints	2,726	2,753	3,090

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 97.9% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	19.7%	18.6%	14.9%
White	68.5%	64.9%	61.3%
Hispanic	6.9%	10.8%	17.0%
Other	5.0%	5.7%	6.7%
Sex			
Male	73.4%	73.3%	71.1%
Female	26.6%	26.7%	28.9%
Age			
8-12	3.3%	2.5%	2.9%
13	6.0%	7.3%	5.9%
14	11.9%	11.2%	11.4%
15	20.3%	20.9%	18.5%
16	27.2%	27.0%	24.2%
17	28.1%	28.3%	33.3%
18-20	3.1%	2.7%	3.7%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%
Total Juvenile Cases	1,211	1,157	1,179

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 108% (from 9,045 to 18,825). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 30,372.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 1,179 juvenile intakes and 1,707 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 54%.
 - Juvenile complaints decreased 7%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 1%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 9%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 77% of juvenile complaints. 15% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 112 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 146 assessments completed, 18% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Larceny
 - Probation - Assault or Larceny
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Contempt of Court
 - Commitment - Assault or Probation Violation
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 15 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 14.1 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - District 20L had 932 detention-eligible intake cases and 271 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.4 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	63.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	22.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	12.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>1,383</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.9%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Alcohol	9.0%	6.7%	0.8%	0.0%
Arson	3.0%	2.9%	0.8%	0.0%
Assault	7.6%	25.0%	13.2%	33.3%
Burglary	2.0%	3.8%	2.6%	16.7%
Contempt of Court	9.6%	2.9%	29.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.5%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Fraud	3.0%	1.9%	1.3%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	15.6%	23.1%	10.6%	16.7%
Miscellaneous/Other	3.7%	1.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	8.0%	2.9%	2.6%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.0%	2.9%	2.4%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Probation Violation	6.2%	0.0%	17.7%	33.3%
Robbery	0.9%	2.9%	1.8%	0.0%
Sex Offense	0.4%	5.8%	1.6%	0.0%
Status Offense	10.8%	3.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.2%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Traffic	2.8%	3.8%	3.2%	0.0%
Trespass	4.3%	4.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Vandalism	5.8%	4.8%	3.7%	0.0%
Weapons	2.0%	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,707</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>379</i>	<i>6</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	10 Probation	81
Post-dispositional Reports	42 Parole	3
Transfer Reports	0 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	9

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	4.7%	16.3%	9.2%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.4%	1.0%	1.5%	0.0%
- Other	16.5%	17.3%	12.2%	66.7%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	8.3%	23.1%	11.1%	33.3%
- Other	30.1%	33.7%	7.7%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	6.5%	0.0%	19.9%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	11.5%	2.9%	36.9%	0.0%
Status Offense	13.5%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	7.4%	1.9%	1.1%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,179</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>271</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>1.80%</i>	<i>1.44%</i>	<i>2.25%</i>	<i>0.33%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 20W

Counties of Fauquier and Rappahannock


Region II -- Director: Kenneth E. Smith

9 Court Street
Warrenton, Virginia 20186
540-347-8634

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	440	627	733
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	131	113	168
Class 1 Misdemeanor	190	133	175
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	30	38	42
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	27	42	35
Other			
Technical Violations	26	37	69
Traffic	1	3	13
Other	4	2	1
Total Juvenile Complaints	409	368	503
Total Complaints	849	995	1,236

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹



* 98.3% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	22.4%	24.0%	23.9%
White	72.6%	72.4%	72.0%
Hispanic	3.8%	2.0%	2.5%
Other	1.3%	1.6%	1.6%
Sex			
Male	72.6%	73.6%	72.9%
Female	27.4%	26.4%	27.1%
Age			
8-12	7.2%	5.6%	7.0%
13	7.6%	5.6%	6.7%
14	19.0%	11.2%	7.0%
15	21.1%	21.2%	20.1%
16	18.6%	28.0%	25.2%
17	21.1%	25.2%	31.9%
18-20	4.2%	3.2%	1.9%
Error/Missing	1.3%	0.0%	0.3%
Total Juvenile Cases	237	250	314

•U.S. Census Data:

•Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 31% (from 6,030 to 7,891). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 8,674.

•FY 2006 Intakes:

•314 juvenile intakes and 503 juvenile complaints (average of 1.6 complaints per intake)

•Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):

- Domestic relations complaints increased 67%.
- Juvenile complaints increased 23%.
- Juvenile felony complaints increased 28%.
- CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 30%.

•Intake Disposition (FY 2006):

•A petition was filed in response to 97% of juvenile complaints. Only 3% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.

•Adjudication Time (FY 2006):

•The average time from intake to final disposition was 110 days.

•Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):

•Most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male

•Risk Assessment (FY 2006):

•112 assessments completed, 44% had a low score, versus 31% statewide

•FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:

- Intake - Larceny
- Probation - Larceny or Assault
- Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
- Commitment - Larceny


•Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):

- Pre-dispositional Detention - 19 days, versus 24 statewide
- Commitment to the State - 21.0 months, versus 13.5 statewide

•Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):

•District 20W had 253 detention-eligible intake cases and 80 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.2 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	64.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	17.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	733	0	0	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	4.0%	4.7%	0.9%	0.0%
Arson	1.2%	3.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Assault	14.1%	17.6%	17.7%	3.2%
Burglary	8.0%	4.7%	8.8%	9.7%
Contempt of Court	1.8%	3.5%	5.3%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.8%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.2%	0.0%	0.9%	6.5%
Extortion	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.8%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	3.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.5%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	16.3%	18.8%	12.4%	29.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	5.4%	10.6%	3.5%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.8%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Probation Violation	11.3%	0.0%	15.0%	22.6%
Robbery	1.8%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.0%	1.2%	4.4%	0.0%
Status Offense	7.0%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	1.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Traffic	7.6%	4.7%	3.5%	9.7%
Trespass	1.6%	5.9%	0.9%	3.2%
Vandalism	6.2%	7.1%	7.1%	9.7%
Weapons	3.0%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	503	85	113	31

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	20 Probation	75
Post-dispositional Reports	35 Parole	3
Transfer Reports	0 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	6

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	7.3%	1.2%	23.8%	14.3%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	19.7%	18.8%	28.8%	85.7%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	14.0%	21.2%	8.8%	0.0%
- Other	21.7%	35.3%	7.5%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	15.3%	0.0%	22.5%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	2.9%	3.5%	7.5%	0.0%
Status Offense	10.8%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	8.3%	10.6%	1.3%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	314	85	80	7
<i>% of statewide</i>	0.48%	1.17%	0.66%	0.77%


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 21

City of Martinsville
Counties of Henry and Patrick


Region I -- Director: Robert W. Foster

3160 Kings Mountain Road, Suite E
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
276-634-4865

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	2,803	3,105	3,468
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	263	243	261
Class 1 Misdemeanor	479	486	532
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	86	99	107
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	132	136	151
Other			
Technical Violations	102	135	90
Traffic	10	5	3
Other	9	2	1
Total Juvenile Complaints	1,081	1,106	1,145
Total Complaints	3,884	4,211	4,613

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 91.6% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	57.3%	50.3%	55.5%
White	40.0%	47.2%	40.7%
Hispanic	1.0%	0.9%	1.0%
Other	1.7%	1.6%	2.8%
Sex			
Male	67.1%	68.2%	67.5%
Female	32.9%	31.8%	32.5%
Age			
8-12	7.9%	7.9%	7.1%
13	10.9%	8.8%	6.8%
14	20.1%	16.3%	14.5%
15	20.0%	23.7%	22.1%
16	17.1%	22.9%	24.9%
17	19.3%	17.7%	23.3%
18-20	3.6%	1.9%	0.7%
Error/Missing	1.1%	1.0%	0.5%
Total Juvenile Cases	700	799	827

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 2% (from 9,541 to 9,763). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 9,154.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 827 juvenile intakes and 1,145 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 24%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased 6%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 1%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 14%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 73% of juvenile complaints. 22% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 116 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be black, 16 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 248 assessments completed, 52% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Assault
 - Probation - Status Offense
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
 - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 17 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 11.1 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 21st District had 617 detention-eligible intake cases and 159 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.9 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	69.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	16.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>3,468</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	4.1%	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.6%	3.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Arson	1.1%	2.1%	2.5%	0.0%
Assault	18.1%	17.1%	23.8%	6.1%
Burglary	2.1%	4.1%	4.7%	21.2%
Contempt of Court	0.7%	0.0%	1.1%	3.0%
Disorderly Conduct	8.9%	8.3%	4.3%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	2.3%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	2.6%	0.5%	1.4%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Larceny	9.5%	11.9%	10.8%	27.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.4%	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.6%	9.3%	6.1%	18.2%
Obscenity	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.5%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Probation Violation	5.0%	0.0%	7.6%	9.1%
Robbery	0.9%	0.5%	3.2%	3.0%
Sex Offense	0.9%	1.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Status Offense	13.2%	20.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	4.3%	5.7%	1.4%	0.0%
Trespass	1.3%	2.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Vandalism	6.2%	7.8%	5.1%	3.0%
Weapons	6.1%	4.7%	13.0%	9.1%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,145</i>	<i>193</i>	<i>277</i>	<i>33</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	125 Probation	219
Post-dispositional Reports	22 Parole	11
Transfer Reports	13 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	9

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	7.3%	6.2%	25.8%	18.2%
- Weapons/Narcotics	3.6%	4.1%	6.9%	27.3%
- Other	10.6%	11.4%	18.2%	45.5%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	18.1%	16.1%	13.8%	0.0%
- Other	27.2%	35.8%	8.2%	9.1%
Prob./Parole Violation	6.8%	0.0%	13.8%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	1.2%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%
Status Offense	16.8%	20.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	8.3%	6.2%	10.1%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>827</i>	<i>193</i>	<i>159</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>1.27%</i>	<i>2.66%</i>	<i>1.32%</i>	<i>1.20%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 22

City of Danville

Counties of Franklin and Pittsylvania

Region I -- Director: Mary C. Elgin

275 South Main Street, Suite 53


Rocky Mount, Virginia 24151

540-483-3050

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	2,278	3,370	3,628
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	319	250	244
Class 1 Misdemeanor	903	922	702
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	127	102	97
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	383	332	383
Other			
Technical Violations	363	311	384
Traffic	5	11	6
Other	20	7	6
Total Juvenile Complaints	2,120	1,935	1,822
Total Complaints	4,398	5,305	5,450

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹



* 99.5% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	50.1%	53.1%	50.3%
White	48.7%	45.6%	48.4%
Hispanic	1.0%	0.7%	0.9%
Other	0.2%	0.6%	0.5%
Sex			
Male	69.0%	66.4%	64.4%
Female	31.0%	33.6%	35.6%
Age			
8-12	6.8%	6.7%	5.5%
13	9.5%	8.2%	9.8%
14	15.7%	14.4%	13.1%
15	15.8%	21.2%	19.5%
16	27.1%	21.3%	24.3%
17	21.9%	24.6%	23.2%
18-20	2.4%	2.7%	3.7%
Error/Missing	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%
Total Juvenile Cases	1,724	1,603	1,524

•U.S. Census Data:

•Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 9% (from 15,641 to 17,118). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 16,507.

•FY 2006 Intakes:

•1,524 juvenile intakes and 1,822 juvenile complaints (average of 1.2 complaints per intake)

•Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):

- Domestic relations complaints increased 59%.
- Juvenile complaints decreased 14%.
- Juvenile felony complaints decreased 24%.
- CHINS/CHINSup complaints remained the same.

•Intake Disposition (FY 2006):

•A petition was filed in response to 79% of juvenile complaints. 19% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.

•Adjudication Time (FY 2006):

•The average time from intake to final disposition was 113 days.

•Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):

•Most likely to be black, 16 or 17 years old, and male

•Risk Assessment (FY 2006):

•256 assessments completed, 17% had a low score, versus 31% statewide

•FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:

- Intake - Status Offense
- Probation - Assault
- Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
- Commitment - Larceny


•Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):

- Pre-dispositional Detention - 22 days, versus 24 statewide
- Commitment to the State - 10.8 months, versus 13.5 statewide

•Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):

•The 22nd District had 983 detention-eligible intake cases and 242 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.1 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	68.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	16.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	11.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>3,628</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.2%	3.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Arson	0.5%	3.1%	2.0%	1.1%
Assault	14.2%	27.6%	16.6%	16.1%
Burglary	1.4%	4.7%	6.6%	11.5%
Contempt of Court	11.8%	1.6%	9.5%	1.1%
Disorderly Conduct	4.9%	7.1%	2.3%	2.3%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Extortion	0.3%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	3.1%	0.0%	5.2%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.9%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	8.9%	14.2%	10.0%	23.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	8.0%	3.1%	8.9%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.4%	9.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.4%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.8%	1.6%	2.0%	0.0%
Parole Violation	1.2%	0.0%	2.3%	6.9%
Probation Violation	5.0%	0.0%	7.2%	10.3%
Robbery	0.9%	1.6%	2.9%	8.0%
Sex Offense	1.4%	3.9%	5.4%	9.2%
Status Offense	17.4%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	2.1%	2.4%	2.0%	2.3%
Trespass	1.9%	2.4%	0.6%	0.0%
Vandalism	5.9%	3.9%	6.6%	5.7%
Weapons	2.2%	3.1%	4.0%	1.1%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,822</i>	<i>127</i>	<i>349</i>	<i>87</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	155 Probation	124
Post-dispositional Reports	21 Parole	15
Transfer Reports	7 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	31

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	3.1%	10.2%	15.7%	40.6%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.9%	3.1%	2.1%	3.1%
- Other	5.1%	20.5%	14.0%	25.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	14.6%	27.6%	15.3%	6.3%
- Other	23.4%	22.8%	12.0%	3.1%
Prob./Parole Violation	6.8%	0.0%	13.6%	18.8%
Court Order Violation	13.6%	1.6%	14.0%	3.1%
Status Offense	24.5%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	8.3%	5.5%	13.2%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,524</i>	<i>127</i>	<i>242</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>2.33%</i>	<i>1.75%</i>	<i>2.01%</i>	<i>3.50%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 23

City of Salem
County of Roanoke


Region I -- Director: David Davis

400 East Main Street
Salem, Virginia 24153
540-387-6123

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	1,125	1,207	1,413
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	140	196	172
Class 1 Misdemeanor	738	822	660
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	195	123	157
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	665	391	258
Other			
Technical Violations	151	104	123
Traffic	12	7	14
Other	53	18	6
Total Juvenile Complaints	1,954	1,661	1,390
Total Complaints	3,079	2,868	2,803

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 99.4% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	14.4%	13.4%	13.1%
White	83.1%	83.9%	83.9%
Hispanic	0.9%	1.2%	1.3%
Other	1.5%	1.6%	1.7%
Sex			
Male	62.9%	64.9%	66.8%
Female	37.1%	35.1%	33.3%
Age			
8-12	13.7%	7.8%	7.7%
13	10.0%	8.9%	9.7%
14	12.9%	16.4%	14.4%
15	14.3%	20.7%	19.3%
16	21.4%	20.7%	21.8%
17	23.4%	23.5%	25.5%
18-20	1.4%	1.4%	1.3%
Error/Missing	2.9%	0.7%	0.2%
Total Juvenile Cases	1,772	1,346	1,206

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 11% (from 10,666 to 11,858). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 11,901.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 1,206 juvenile intakes and 1,390 juvenile complaints (average of 1.2 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 26%.
 - Juvenile complaints decreased 29%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 23%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 61%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 69% of juvenile complaints. 27% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 154 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 116 assessments completed, 37% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Assault or Status Offense
 - Probation - Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Contempt of Court
 - Commitment - Assault, Larceny, or Robbery
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 20 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 8.8 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 23rd District had 808 detention-eligible intake cases and 213 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.8 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	66.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	16.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	13.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>1,413</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.7%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Alcohol	2.9%	2.0%	1.4%	4.3%
Arson	0.6%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Assault	19.7%	20.4%	11.0%	17.4%
Burglary	1.2%	6.1%	2.8%	8.7%
Contempt of Court	7.6%	8.2%	24.7%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	4.7%	2.0%	3.9%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.6%	2.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.8%	0.0%	3.5%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.5%	2.0%	1.8%	13.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Larceny	11.2%	18.4%	9.9%	17.4%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.7%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Narcotics	8.6%	14.3%	8.8%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.0%	0.0%	0.7%	4.3%
Parole Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation Violation	1.2%	0.0%	4.9%	13.0%
Robbery	0.6%	2.0%	1.1%	17.4%
Sex Offense	1.7%	4.1%	5.7%	0.0%
Status Offense	18.6%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	3.7%	2.0%	2.5%	0.0%
Trespass	3.4%	2.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Vandalism	5.5%	10.2%	8.8%	4.3%
Weapons	1.6%	4.1%	2.1%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,390</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>283</i>	<i>23</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	96 Probation	27
Post-dispositional Reports	5 Parole	2
Transfer Reports	2 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	3

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	3.2%	16.3%	11.7%	33.3%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.9%	4.1%	3.8%	0.0%
- Other	6.2%	26.5%	10.3%	33.3%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	21.7%	18.4%	8.5%	33.3%
- Other	24.7%	18.4%	15.5%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	1.4%	0.0%	6.6%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	8.8%	8.2%	32.9%	0.0%
Status Offense	21.1%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Other	11.9%	8.2%	8.5%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,206</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>1.84%</i>	<i>0.68%</i>	<i>1.77%</i>	<i>0.33%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 23A
City of Roanoke


Region I -- Director: Rodney C. Hubbard

309 3rd Street, S.W., 3rd Floor
Roanoke, Virginia 24002
540-853-2615

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	2,123	2,329	2,464
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	366	378	406
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,093	976	947
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	106	118	107
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	202	224	265
Other			
Technical Violations	133	125	129
Traffic	6	3	4
Other	68	52	56
Total Juvenile Complaints	1,974	1,876	1,914
Total Complaints	4,097	4,205	4,378

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 87.8% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	64.3%	63.6%	63.0%
White	32.9%	33.4%	34.4%
Hispanic	0.9%	1.4%	1.2%
Other	1.9%	1.6%	1.3%
Sex			
Male	62.1%	63.3%	62.1%
Female	37.9%	36.7%	37.9%
Age			
8-12	10.1%	9.2%	10.1%
13	11.1%	10.8%	9.0%
14	16.7%	18.3%	15.7%
15	19.6%	20.3%	20.1%
16	19.6%	18.7%	21.8%
17	20.8%	21.4%	21.8%
18-20	1.7%	1.1%	1.3%
Error/Missing	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%
Total Juvenile Cases	1,550	1,487	1,485

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 5% (from 8,471 to 8,876). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 9,633.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 1,485 juvenile intakes and 1,914 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 16%.
 - Juvenile complaints decreased 3%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 11%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 31%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 75% of juvenile complaints. 21% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 125 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be black, 16 or 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 161 assessments completed, 11% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Assault
 - Probation - Larceny
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
 - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 24 days, same as statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 14.3 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - District 23A had 1,085 detention-eligible intake cases and 343 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.2 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	58.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	11.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>2,464</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	2.4%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.1%	5.8%	1.4%	0.0%
Assault	18.9%	17.4%	18.2%	7.6%
Burglary	3.2%	10.5%	4.6%	12.7%
Contempt of Court	1.8%	1.2%	6.5%	2.5%
Disorderly Conduct	7.1%	1.2%	2.1%	3.8%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Extortion	0.2%	1.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	4.4%	0.0%	14.5%	2.5%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.0%	2.3%	0.5%	2.5%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	15.0%	22.1%	7.1%	16.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	6.2%	4.7%	9.7%	1.3%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.5%	8.1%	6.5%	10.1%
Obscenity	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.1%	1.2%	2.5%	1.3%
Parole Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Probation Violation	1.9%	0.0%	6.0%	10.1%
Robbery	1.6%	3.5%	4.6%	13.9%
Sex Offense	0.3%	4.7%	0.2%	0.0%
Status Offense	13.8%	3.5%	4.8%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	2.6%	3.5%	2.8%	6.3%
Trespass	3.4%	1.2%	0.5%	1.3%
Vandalism	4.9%	4.7%	3.2%	1.3%
Weapons	2.5%	3.5%	2.5%	5.1%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,914</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>434</i>	<i>79</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	117 Probation	73
Post-dispositional Reports	17 Parole	9
Transfer Reports	1 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	21

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	6.0%	20.9%	16.3%	47.6%
- Weapons/Narcotics	2.2%	3.5%	3.8%	19.0%
- Other	10.4%	36.0%	14.3%	28.6%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	18.0%	15.1%	7.3%	0.0%
- Other	31.3%	12.8%	23.0%	4.8%
Prob./Parole Violation	2.8%	0.0%	8.5%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	2.4%	1.2%	8.2%	0.0%
Status Offense	16.8%	3.5%	5.5%	0.0%
Other	10.0%	7.0%	13.1%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,485</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>343</i>	<i>21</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>2.27%</i>	<i>1.19%</i>	<i>2.85%</i>	<i>2.30%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 24

Cities of Bedford and Lynchburg
Counties of Amherst, Bedford, Campbell, and Nelson


Region I -- Director: Robert G. Wade

901 Church Street, 2nd Floor
Lynchburg, Virginia 24504
434-455-2660

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	4,056	4,396	4,892
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	465	387	447
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,126	970	896
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	175	228	177
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	428	433	441
Other			
Technical Violations	449	449	511
Traffic	8	9	6
Other	9	13	20
Total Juvenile Complaints	2,660	2,489	2,498
Total Complaints	6,716	6,885	7,390

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 99.8% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	49.5%	47.5%	46.1%
White	48.3%	50.3%	51.2%
Hispanic	0.4%	0.4%	0.7%
Other	1.7%	1.8%	2.1%
Sex			
Male	66.9%	67.2%	67.1%
Female	33.1%	32.8%	33.0%
Age			
8-12	7.0%	7.3%	5.2%
13	10.3%	8.0%	8.2%
14	14.9%	14.6%	13.2%
15	20.0%	18.5%	19.4%
16	20.1%	24.7%	22.4%
17	23.8%	23.1%	26.9%
18-20	2.4%	2.3%	2.8%
Error/Missing	1.6%	1.5%	2.2%
Total Juvenile Cases	2,029	1,912	2,000

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 17% (from 21,365 to 24,949). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 25,124.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 2,000 juvenile intakes and 2,498 juvenile complaints (average of 1.2 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 21%.
 - Juvenile complaints decreased 6%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 4%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 3%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 91% of juvenile complaints. Only 8% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 98 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 368 assessments completed, 49% had a moderate score, versus 52% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Status Offense
 - Probation - Assault or Status Offense
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Larceny, Assault, or Contempt of Court
 - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 20 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 11.3 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 24th District had 1,422 detention-eligible intake cases and 342 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.2 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	59.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	18.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	4,892	0	0	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%
Alcohol	2.4%	3.5%	0.8%	0.0%
Arson	1.0%	1.4%	2.5%	1.6%
Assault	13.3%	21.2%	14.3%	14.8%
Burglary	3.5%	4.9%	7.8%	9.8%
Contempt of Court	12.9%	3.2%	15.3%	8.2%
Disorderly Conduct	3.4%	1.8%	2.5%	1.6%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	1.6%
Extortion	0.4%	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	2.1%	0.4%	3.3%	1.6%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Fraud	1.0%	2.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%
Larceny	11.7%	12.0%	15.7%	21.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.8%	0.4%	0.6%	1.6%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.1%	11.3%	5.5%	3.3%
Obscenity	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.8%	0.7%	3.5%	3.3%
Parole Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.8%	4.9%
Probation Violation	5.6%	0.4%	6.5%	3.3%
Robbery	0.2%	0.0%	0.8%	1.6%
Sex Offense	1.0%	3.2%	2.9%	0.0%
Status Offense	17.3%	19.8%	1.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.7%	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%
Traffic	2.2%	1.4%	0.6%	4.9%
Trespass	1.6%	1.4%	1.0%	1.6%
Vandalism	6.0%	7.8%	6.1%	9.8%
Weapons	1.5%	1.8%	4.5%	3.3%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	2,498	283	489	61

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	112 Probation	222
Post-dispositional Reports	99 Parole	20
Transfer Reports	5 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	10 Direct Care	30

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	4.5%	6.7%	18.4%	11.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.3%	3.5%	4.4%	0.0%
- Other	8.9%	17.0%	16.7%	52.9%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	14.8%	21.2%	11.1%	29.4%
- Other	18.4%	19.8%	13.2%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	7.5%	0.4%	10.5%	5.9%
Court Order Violation	17.3%	3.2%	23.7%	0.0%
Status Offense	21.2%	19.8%	1.5%	0.0%
Other	6.4%	8.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	2,000	283	342	17
<i>% of statewide</i>	3.06%	3.91%	2.84%	1.86%


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 25

Cities of Buena Vista, Covington, Lexington, Staunton, and Waynesboro
Counties of Alleghany, Augusta, Bath, Botetourt, Craig, Highland, and Rockbridge

Region I -- Director: Gary Conway


6 East Johnson Street, 3rd Floor
Staunton, Virginia 24401
540-245-5315

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	2,814	3,759	4,007
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	413	507	405
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,099	994	1,040
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	162	135	160
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	318	363	339
Other			
Technical Violations	192	139	152
Traffic	41	42	23
Other	24	19	23
Total Juvenile Complaints	2,249	2,199	2,142
Total Complaints	5,063	5,958	6,149

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 13% (from 19,714 to 22,250). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 22,213.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 1,580 juvenile intakes and 2,142 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 42%.
 - Juvenile complaints decreased 5%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 2%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 7%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 82% of juvenile complaints. 13% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 119 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be white, 16 or 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 164 assessments completed, 20% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Status Offense or Assault
 - Probation - Assault or Larceny
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
 - Commitment - Larceny

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 99.3% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	18.0%	16.1%	15.7%
White	79.5%	81.3%	82.1%
Hispanic	1.5%	1.0%	0.6%
Other	1.1%	1.6%	1.6%
Sex			
Male	69.4%	67.5%	69.9%
Female	30.6%	32.5%	30.1%
Age			
8-12	7.6%	8.6%	7.0%
13	8.0%	8.7%	9.7%
14	13.8%	13.4%	15.0%
15	20.5%	18.2%	19.2%
16	21.6%	22.0%	23.0%
17	25.2%	26.0%	22.9%
18-20	2.5%	2.1%	2.5%
Error/Missing	0.7%	1.1%	0.8%
Total Juvenile Cases	1,705	1,596	1,580

- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 19 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 16.5 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 25th District had 1,152 detention-eligible intake cases and 209 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.5 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	65.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	14.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	4,007	0	0	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	4.3%	1.9%	1.5%	0.0%
Arson	1.1%	2.8%	1.9%	5.1%
Assault	15.5%	17.9%	26.1%	5.1%
Burglary	2.1%	1.9%	3.1%	2.6%
Contempt of Court	4.4%	0.9%	5.4%	7.7%
Disorderly Conduct	5.3%	1.9%	1.1%	2.6%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.4%	1.9%	0.4%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.3%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	2.5%	3.8%	1.5%	17.9%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	10.5%	17.9%	14.2%	28.2%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.4%	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Narcotics	5.3%	13.2%	3.1%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.6%	2.8%	3.1%	2.6%
Parole Violation	0.7%	0.0%	2.7%	5.1%
Probation Violation	2.1%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%
Robbery	0.4%	0.9%	2.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.5%	10.4%	6.1%	2.6%
Status Offense	15.6%	1.9%	1.5%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.7%	0.0%	0.4%	2.6%
Traffic	3.8%	0.9%	3.4%	0.0%
Trespass	3.8%	0.9%	0.8%	10.3%
Vandalism	10.4%	5.7%	6.9%	7.7%
Weapons	2.4%	10.4%	4.6%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	2,142	106	261	39

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	65 Probation	104
Post-dispositional Reports	58 Parole	13
Transfer Reports	6 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	11

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	4.5%	16.0%	15.8%	18.2%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.3%	7.5%	2.4%	0.0%
- Other	11.9%	23.6%	19.6%	54.5%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	18.2%	18.9%	25.4%	9.1%
- Other	29.5%	26.4%	16.3%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	3.5%	0.0%	11.0%	18.2%
Court Order Violation	5.5%	0.9%	6.2%	0.0%
Status Offense	19.0%	1.9%	1.9%	0.0%
Other	6.6%	4.7%	1.4%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	1,580	106	209	11
<i>% of statewide</i>	2.42%	1.46%	1.73%	1.20%


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 26

Cities of Harrisonburg and Winchester

Counties of Clarke, Frederick, Page, Rockingham, Shenandoah, and Warren

Region II -- Director: Roderick D. Jones

Judicial Center, 2nd Floor
5 North Kent Street
Winchester, Virginia 22601
540-667-5770 ext:339

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,170	4,182	4,498
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	618	656	700
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,394	1,480	1,518
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	210	228	168
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	253	257	345
Other			
Technical Violations	356	452	664
Traffic	24	16	10
Other	16	6	11
Total Juvenile Complaints	2,871	3,095	3,416
Total Complaints	6,041	7,277	7,914

•U.S. Census Data:

•Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 27% (from 24,319 to 30,975). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 33,272.

•FY 2006 Intakes:

•2,303 juvenile intakes and 3,416 juvenile complaints (average of 1.5 complaints per intake)

•Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):

- Domestic relations complaints increased 42%.
- Juvenile complaints increased 19%.
- Juvenile felony complaints increased 13%.
- CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 36%.

•Intake Disposition (FY 2006):

•A petition was filed in response to 89% of juvenile complaints. Only 9% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.

•Adjudication Time (FY 2006):

•The average time from intake to final disposition was 93 days.

•Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):

•Most likely to be white, 16 or 17 years old, and male

•Risk Assessment (FY 2006):

•175 assessments completed, 66% had a moderate score, versus 52% statewide

•FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:

- Intake - Larceny or Contempt of Court
- Probation - Larceny
- Pre-dispositional Detention - Probation Violation
- Commitment - Vandalism


•Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):

- Pre-dispositional Detention - 25 days, versus 24 statewide
- Commitment to the State - 14.5 months, versus 13.5 statewide

•Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):

•The 26th District had 1,896 detention-eligible intake cases and 306 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 6.2 eligible intakes per detention placement.

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 94.9% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.

¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	11.1%	10.4%	13.8%
White	80.8%	80.8%	78.7%
Hispanic	5.8%	6.2%	6.1%
Other	2.3%	2.5%	1.4%
Sex			
Male	69.0%	69.8%	70.7%
Female	31.0%	30.2%	29.3%
Age			
8-12	8.1%	6.6%	4.3%
13	9.7%	8.7%	6.7%
14	14.9%	14.4%	13.9%
15	19.3%	19.1%	20.0%
16	21.4%	22.9%	25.1%
17	23.1%	24.8%	25.3%
18-20	2.9%	3.0%	3.0%
Error/Missing	0.6%	0.5%	1.7%
Total Juvenile Cases	1,954	1,981	2,303

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	62.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	13.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	14.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	10.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>4,498</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	2.5%	1.9%	0.9%	0.0%
Arson	1.1%	1.9%	0.2%	1.7%
Assault	11.0%	20.1%	15.6%	4.3%
Burglary	3.0%	6.9%	4.0%	2.6%
Contempt of Court	13.0%	3.8%	6.3%	4.3%
Disorderly Conduct	2.1%	3.1%	1.5%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	2.9%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Fraud	3.3%	2.5%	2.8%	1.7%
Gangs	0.9%	0.6%	2.3%	0.9%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Larceny	14.8%	23.3%	10.8%	16.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.2%	1.9%	0.8%	0.9%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
Narcotics	4.7%	5.0%	3.2%	3.5%
Obscenity	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.7%	1.9%	2.8%	2.6%
Parole Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Probation Violation	5.8%	0.0%	20.1%	10.4%
Robbery	0.5%	2.5%	2.1%	2.6%
Sex Offense	1.3%	5.7%	6.8%	8.7%
Status Offense	9.7%	2.5%	1.1%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	2.3%	0.6%	2.3%	0.9%
Trespass	1.8%	0.6%	0.4%	1.7%
Vandalism	11.9%	8.8%	5.1%	34.8%
Weapons	2.1%	6.3%	4.4%	0.9%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>3,416</i>	<i>159</i>	<i>527</i>	<i>115</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	61 Probation	142
Post-dispositional Reports	83 Parole	11
Transfer Reports	8 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	20

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	4.2%	18.9%	16.7%	42.1%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.9%	0.6%	2.0%	10.5%
- Other	13.2%	28.9%	18.3%	47.4%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	14.2%	13.2%	10.1%	0.0%
- Other	26.8%	28.9%	14.1%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	7.5%	0.0%	29.7%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	15.7%	3.8%	7.8%	0.0%
Status Offense	12.8%	2.5%	0.3%	0.0%
Other	4.7%	3.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>2,303</i>	<i>159</i>	<i>306</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>3.52%</i>	<i>2.20%</i>	<i>2.54%</i>	<i>2.08%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 27

Cities of Galax and Radford

Counties of Carroll, Floyd, Grayson, Montgomery, Pulaski, and Wythe

Region I -- Director: Kenneth Miller

143 3rd Street, N.W., Suite 2


Pulaski, Virginia 24301

540-980-7712

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,236	3,437	3,755
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	428	441	328
Class 1 Misdemeanor	988	1,003	973
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	194	205	186
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	399	376	298
Other			
Technical Violations	366	267	263
Traffic	41	42	44
Other	22	3	6
Total Juvenile Complaints	2,438	2,337	2,098
Total Complaints	5,674	5,774	5,853

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 92.3% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	10.4%	12.4%	10.4%
White	86.9%	85.4%	87.7%
Hispanic	2.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Other	0.4%	0.3%	0.9%
Sex			
Male	69.0%	69.4%	66.9%
Female	31.0%	30.6%	33.2%
Age			
8-12	7.0%	8.9%	6.5%
13	9.1%	6.8%	7.0%
14	16.3%	13.5%	11.9%
15	16.7%	19.5%	20.0%
16	19.1%	21.2%	22.4%
17	26.5%	24.3%	26.3%
18-20	4.8%	5.1%	4.7%
Error/Missing	0.7%	0.8%	1.1%
Total Juvenile Cases	1,845	1,714	1,602

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 3% (from 19,537 to 20,063). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 19,192.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 1,602 juvenile intakes and 2,098 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 16%.
 - Juvenile complaints decreased 14%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 23%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 25%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 72% of juvenile complaints. 17% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 104 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 243 assessments completed, 6% had a high score, versus 16% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Assault or Status Offense
 - Probation - Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
 - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 25 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 14.9 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 27th District had 1,175 detention-eligible intake cases and 197 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 6.0 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	62.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	15.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	15.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	3,755	0	0	0
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	4.8%	2.6%	1.0%	3.0%
Arson	0.5%	2.6%	2.0%	0.0%
Assault	14.0%	27.8%	22.4%	21.2%
Burglary	3.4%	3.1%	5.8%	12.1%
Contempt of Court	3.1%	7.7%	12.6%	3.0%
Disorderly Conduct	4.1%	1.5%	3.4%	0.0%
Escapes	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Extortion	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.9%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	11.5%	17.5%	12.9%	27.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.8%	0.5%	1.4%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	7.1%	5.7%	1.0%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.5%	1.5%	4.4%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Probation Violation	8.9%	0.0%	9.5%	12.1%
Robbery	0.2%	0.0%	1.0%	6.1%
Sex Offense	1.0%	5.2%	1.7%	3.0%
Status Offense	13.9%	11.3%	2.7%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	7.7%	1.5%	0.7%	0.0%
Trespass	1.6%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%
Vandalism	7.6%	5.7%	4.8%	9.1%
Weapons	2.5%	4.1%	5.1%	3.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	2,098	194	294	33

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	66 Probation	238
Post-dispositional Reports	101 Parole	7
Transfer Reports	1 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	8

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	3.7%	9.3%	15.7%	50.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%	0.0%
- Other	7.9%	14.9%	15.7%	25.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	15.6%	26.3%	21.8%	25.0%
- Other	30.5%	26.3%	16.8%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	11.3%	0.0%	13.7%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	3.4%	7.7%	13.2%	0.0%
Status Offense	16.7%	11.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Other	9.8%	2.6%	0.5%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	1,602	194	197	8
<i>% of statewide</i>	2.45%	2.68%	1.63%	0.88%


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 28

City of Bristol
Counties of Smyth and Washington


Region I -- Director: Randall T. Blevins

193 East Main Street
Abingdon, Virginia 24210
276-676-6284

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	1,977	2,229	2,189
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	157	220	154
Class 1 Misdemeanor	514	526	508
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	66	57	60
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	224	243	253
Other			
Technical Violations	567	689	589
Traffic	9	3	19
Other	58	28	14
Total Juvenile Complaints	1,595	1,766	1,597
Total Complaints	3,572	3,995	3,786

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	6.2%	8.8%	8.1%
White	91.2%	89.1%	90.8%
Hispanic	1.0%	0.6%	0.7%
Other	1.5%	1.5%	0.4%
Sex			
Male	61.9%	66.8%	65.5%
Female	38.1%	33.2%	34.5%
Age			
8-12	9.8%	7.0%	7.4%
13	9.9%	9.8%	12.3%
14	14.9%	13.0%	12.2%
15	19.6%	20.7%	17.3%
16	20.9%	22.9%	25.3%
17	22.5%	24.4%	23.4%
18-20	1.6%	1.6%	1.3%
Error/Missing	0.7%	0.6%	0.8%
Total Juvenile Cases	977	1,012	1,005

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) decreased 5% (from 10,423 to 9,945). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 10,063.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 1,005 juvenile intakes and 1,597 juvenile complaints (average of 1.6 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 11%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased less than 1%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 2%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 13%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 88% of juvenile complaints. 12% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 85 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be white, 16 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 188 assessments completed, 50% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Contempt of Court
 - Probation - Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Contempt of Court or Assault
 - Commitment - Larceny
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 16 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 27.7 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 28th District had 606 detention-eligible intake cases and 119 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.1 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	56.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	15.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	13.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	14.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>2,189</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.4%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	3.4%	3.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Arson	0.9%	2.3%	2.5%	0.0%
Assault	10.2%	27.9%	17.8%	0.0%
Burglary	0.9%	0.8%	1.5%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	29.4%	1.6%	19.8%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.7%	0.8%	2.5%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.3%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	1.7%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.3%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	6.1%	14.0%	6.9%	100.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.3%	8.5%	1.5%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.6%	5.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.8%	1.6%	2.0%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation Violation	7.4%	0.8%	17.3%	0.0%
Robbery	0.1%	0.8%	1.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.7%	2.3%	7.4%	0.0%
Status Offense	15.8%	12.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	3.8%	1.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Trespass	2.2%	0.8%	1.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	4.5%	10.9%	5.9%	0.0%
Weapons	1.1%	1.6%	0.5%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,597</i>	<i>129</i>	<i>202</i>	<i>1</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	109 Probation	120
Post-dispositional Reports	46 Parole	0
Transfer Reports	5 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	1

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	4.9%	8.5%	22.7%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.7%	0.8%	2.5%	0.0%
- Other	5.7%	14.0%	12.6%	100.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	12.1%	24.8%	12.6%	0.0%
- Other	23.9%	22.5%	12.6%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	7.2%	0.8%	13.4%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	16.4%	1.6%	20.2%	0.0%
Status Offense	24.2%	12.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	5.0%	14.7%	3.4%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>1,005</i>	<i>129</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>1.54%</i>	<i>1.78%</i>	<i>0.99%</i>	<i>0.11%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 29

Counties of Bland, Buchanan, Dickenson, Giles, Russell, and Tazewell


Region I -- Director: Ronald W. Belay

507 Wenonah Avenue
Pearisburg, Virginia 24134
540-921-3626

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	3,997	3,822	4,218
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	258	204	177
Class 1 Misdemeanor	582	488	365
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	92	71	65
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	286	285	260
Other			
Technical Violations	137	112	111
Traffic	4	5	11
Other	30	47	34
Total Juvenile Complaints	1,389	1,212	1,023
Total Complaints	5,386	5,034	5,241

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 97.7% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	2.7%	3.2%	4.3%
White	96.5%	96.4%	94.0%
Hispanic	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Other	0.6%	0.3%	1.6%
Sex			
Male	65.6%	61.8%	65.2%
Female	34.4%	38.2%	34.8%
Age			
8-12	8.7%	10.1%	8.2%
13	8.1%	7.9%	8.2%
14	13.8%	13.2%	10.9%
15	19.6%	18.0%	19.0%
16	19.1%	22.6%	22.8%
17	25.0%	24.1%	24.3%
18-20	4.0%	2.9%	3.1%
Error/Missing	1.7%	1.2%	3.6%
Total Juvenile Cases	1,000	910	816

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) decreased 23% (from 19,040 to 14,657). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 13,688.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 816 juvenile intakes and 1,023 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 6%.
 - Juvenile complaints decreased 26%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 31%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 9%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 89% of juvenile complaints. Only 8% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 112 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 183 assessments completed, 46% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Status Offense
 - Probation - Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Probation Violation
 - Commitment - Robbery
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 15 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 7.6 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 29th District had 502 detention-eligible intake cases and 87 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 5.8 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category <small>(See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)</small>	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	65.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	12.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	11.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	10.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>4,218</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	7.0%	17.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	2.0%	5.9%	2.9%	0.0%
Assault	11.7%	21.9%	18.3%	0.0%
Burglary	2.6%	1.2%	2.9%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	2.2%	0.6%	7.7%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.2%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.8%	0.6%	1.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	9.6%	9.5%	9.6%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	4.6%	2.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	1.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.7%	8.3%	2.9%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.8%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.7%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
Probation Violation	8.0%	0.6%	23.1%	0.0%
Robbery	0.1%	0.0%	1.0%	100.0%
Sex Offense	1.5%	3.6%	10.6%	0.0%
Status Offense	25.4%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.4%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	2.8%	1.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Trespass	1.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	7.1%	13.0%	5.8%	0.0%
Weapons	2.0%	2.4%	1.9%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,023</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>1</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	60 Probation	173
Post-dispositional Reports	81 Parole	9
Transfer Reports	5 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	5

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense <small>(See Appendix K for more info)</small>	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	3.6%	7.1%	14.9%	100.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.6%	3.6%	1.1%	0.0%
- Other	7.1%	11.2%	16.1%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	13.2%	26.0%	13.8%	0.0%
- Other	22.8%	39.1%	9.2%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	10.7%	0.6%	33.3%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	2.6%	0.6%	9.2%	0.0%
Status Offense	30.1%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	8.3%	5.9%	2.3%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>816</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>1.25%</i>	<i>2.33%</i>	<i>0.72%</i>	<i>0.11%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 30

City of Norton
Counties of Lee, Scott, and Wise


Region I -- Director: R. Wayne McClelland

105-B West Jackson Street
Gate City, Virginia 24251
276-386-9561

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	1,924	2,097	2,145
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	163	164	162
Class 1 Misdemeanor	583	446	375
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	70	79	95
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	331	296	253
Other			
Technical Violations	148	166	112
Traffic	3	9	4
Other	33	35	16
Total Juvenile Complaints	1,331	1,195	1,017
Total Complaints	3,255	3,292	3,162

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	1.6%	2.9%	1.7%
White	97.5%	95.8%	96.4%
Hispanic	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%
Other	0.9%	1.2%	1.6%
Sex			
Male	67.5%	64.5%	64.0%
Female	32.5%	35.5%	36.0%
Age			
8-12	12.6%	10.8%	9.3%
13	9.2%	8.2%	8.2%
14	12.5%	13.2%	13.7%
15	17.2%	18.4%	19.1%
16	21.1%	22.4%	22.0%
17	25.5%	23.3%	24.9%
18-20	1.0%	1.7%	1.2%
Error/Missing	1.0%	2.0%	1.6%
Total Juvenile Cases	1,032	947	806

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) decreased 17% (from 11,570 to 9,585). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 9,273.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 806 juvenile intakes and 1,017 juvenile complaints (average of 1.3 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 11%.
 - Juvenile complaints decreased 24%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints decreased 1%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints decreased 24%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 92% of juvenile complaints. Only 5% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 121 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 192 assessments completed, 63% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Status Offense
 - Probation - Narcotics
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Probation Violation
 - Commitment - Larceny, Narcotics, Probation Violation, Sex Offense, or Vandalism
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 31 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 12.5 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 30th District had 493 detention-eligible intake cases and 132 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 3.7 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	59.8%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	17.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	17.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>2,145</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	1.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	5.3%	3.7%	4.9%	0.0%
Arson	0.4%	1.1%	1.1%	7.1%
Assault	15.4%	18.5%	9.8%	7.1%
Burglary	2.8%	2.1%	8.7%	7.1%
Contempt of Court	2.0%	1.1%	4.9%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	3.0%	4.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Escapes	0.5%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Extortion	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	5.9%	4.2%	9.2%	14.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.1%	6.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	1.1%	1.6%	0.0%
Narcotics	9.1%	21.2%	2.2%	14.3%
Obscenity	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.7%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Parole Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation Violation	8.7%	0.0%	22.3%	14.3%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.2%	0.5%	2.2%	14.3%
Status Offense	24.9%	18.0%	15.2%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.6%	1.6%	0.5%	0.0%
Traffic	3.0%	2.6%	2.2%	0.0%
Trespass	1.4%	3.2%	2.2%	0.0%
Vandalism	7.4%	8.5%	9.8%	14.3%
Weapons	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>1,017</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>184</i>	<i>14</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	27 Probation	167
Post-dispositional Reports	144 Parole	6
Transfer Reports	1 Intensive Prob.	0
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	7

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	4.0%	5.3%	10.6%	40.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.7%	2.1%	0.0%	20.0%
- Other	6.2%	7.9%	9.8%	20.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	16.1%	19.6%	5.3%	0.0%
- Other	20.6%	19.0%	15.9%	20.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	11.0%	0.0%	31.1%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	2.5%	1.1%	6.8%	0.0%
Status Offense	30.1%	18.0%	20.5%	0.0%
Other	8.7%	27.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>806</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>132</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>1.23%</i>	<i>2.61%</i>	<i>1.10%</i>	<i>0.55%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

District 31

Cities of Manassas and Manassas Park
County of Prince William


Region II -- Director: James D. Rankin

9540 Center Street, Suite 200
Manassas, Virginia 20110
703-792-6200

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, FY 2004-2006

Domestic Relations	2004	2005	2006
Dom. Rel./Child Welfare	4,188	4,598	4,598
Juvenile Complaints			
Felony	850	897	1,244
Class 1 Misdemeanor	1,439	1,363	1,611
Class 2-4 Misdemeanor	323	274	324
CHINS/CHINSup (Status)	180	247	227
Other			
Technical Violations	630	541	518
Traffic	8	15	11
Other	52	25	18
Total Juvenile Complaints	3,482	3,362	3,953
Total Complaints	7,670	7,960	8,551

INTAKE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS, FY 2006¹




* 100% of complaints disposed with a detention order also included a petition.
¹Data on diversions reflect only successful diversions.

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	36.7%	37.4%	36.9%
White	46.7%	44.5%	44.1%
Hispanic	13.7%	15.2%	16.1%
Other	2.8%	2.9%	2.8%
Sex			
Male	72.9%	72.2%	74.7%
Female	27.1%	27.8%	25.3%
Age			
8-12	5.9%	6.0%	6.5%
13	6.6%	7.6%	7.7%
14	13.7%	10.9%	14.5%
15	18.7%	20.0%	18.8%
16	25.5%	25.6%	26.7%
17	27.2%	26.7%	23.3%
18-20	2.4%	2.9%	2.3%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%
Total Juvenile Cases	2,622	2,590	2,833

- **U.S. Census Data:**
 - Between calendar years 1990 and 2000, the juvenile population (ages 10-17) increased 40% (from 30,382 to 42,556). The juvenile population on July 1st, 2005 was 50,566.
- **FY 2006 Intakes:**
 - 2,833 juvenile intakes and 3,953 juvenile complaints (average of 1.4 complaints per intake)
- **Intake Trends (FY 2004-2006):**
 - Domestic relations complaints increased 10%.
 - Juvenile complaints increased 14%.
 - Juvenile felony complaints increased 46%.
 - CHINS/CHINSup complaints increased 26%.
- **Intake Disposition (FY 2006):**
 - A petition was filed in response to 83% of juvenile complaints. 16% of juvenile complaints were resolved or diverted.
- **Adjudication Time (FY 2006):**
 - The average time from intake to final disposition was 105 days.
- **Juveniles at Intake (FY 2006):**
 - Most likely to be white, 16 years old, and male
- **Risk Assessment (FY 2006):**
 - 791 assessments completed, 40% had a low score, versus 31% statewide
- **FY 2006 Most Common Juvenile Offense Category at:**
 - Intake - Larceny or Assault
 - Probation - Assault
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - Assault
 - Commitment - Assault or Burglary
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2006):**
 - Pre-dispositional Detention - 22 days, versus 24 statewide
 - Commitment to the State - 9.9 months, versus 13.5 statewide
- **Detention-Eligible Intake Cases (FY 2006):**
 - The 31st District had 2,372 detention-eligible intake cases and 503 pre-dispositional detention placements, for a rate of 4.7 eligible intakes per detention placement.

RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS, FY 2006



Unless noted otherwise, these data do not include domestic relations or child welfare complaints. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2006

Offense Category (See Appendix I for the full list of Miscellaneous/Other Offenses)	Intake Complaints	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Domestic Relations/Child Welfare Offenses				
Custody	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	13.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	17.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other DR/CW	2.1%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total DR/CW Offenses</i>	<i>4,598</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Juvenile Offenses				
Abusive Language	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.0%	2.2%	0.3%	1.0%
Arson	1.6%	2.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Assault	14.3%	18.9%	15.3%	15.8%
Burglary	5.6%	3.1%	8.7%	13.9%
Contempt of Court	3.3%	0.0%	5.6%	5.9%
Disorderly Conduct	3.1%	3.8%	1.0%	1.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	4.2%	0.0%	6.2%	1.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.7%	1.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Gangs	1.2%	0.9%	4.2%	6.9%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Larceny	15.7%	16.6%	11.5%	8.9%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.0%	0.7%	1.6%	2.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Narcotics	8.8%	6.3%	2.4%	5.9%
Obscenity	0.4%	3.8%	0.3%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.6%	0.9%	1.6%	1.0%
Parole Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Probation Violation	8.9%	0.0%	12.0%	8.9%
Robbery	1.8%	0.7%	6.5%	11.9%
Sex Offense	1.1%	2.2%	2.9%	4.0%
Status Offense	5.7%	12.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	2.7%	2.5%	2.8%	4.0%
Trespass	1.9%	4.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Vandalism	9.2%	11.2%	9.6%	6.9%
Weapons	3.6%	4.5%	2.8%	1.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Offenses</i>	<i>3,953</i>	<i>445</i>	<i>888</i>	<i>101</i>

CSU WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2006


Completed Reports	Activity	ADP
Pre-dispositional Reports	187 Probation	360
Post-dispositional Reports	214 Parole	14
Transfer Reports	4 Intensive Prob.	66
Custody Investigations	0 Direct Care	32

OFFENSE SEVERITY, FY 2006*

Offense Severity of the Most Serious Offense (See Appendix K for more info)	Juvenile Intake Cases	New Probation Cases	Pre-Dispos. Detention Placements	Commit. to the State
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	7.0%	6.3%	19.9%	40.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.5%	1.1%	1.4%	2.9%
- Other	18.4%	15.3%	23.7%	42.9%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	15.0%	20.0%	9.9%	2.9%
- Other	25.5%	35.5%	11.1%	11.4%
Prob./Parole Violation	11.6%	0.0%	20.7%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	4.8%	0.0%	10.1%	0.0%
Status Offense	7.4%	12.8%	0.2%	0.0%
Other	8.9%	9.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Juvenile Cases/Placements/Commitments</i>	<i>2,833</i>	<i>445</i>	<i>503</i>	<i>35</i>
<i>% of statewide</i>	<i>4.33%</i>	<i>6.14%</i>	<i>4.17%</i>	<i>3.83%</i>


* A single intake case, placement, or commitment may involve multiple offenses.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2006 RELEASES



* Post-dispositional detention with programs only (under COV §16.1 - 284.1)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION LOS DISTRIBUTION, FY 2006 RELEASES*



*Selected intervals are consistent with applicable citations in the COV

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

CSU Trends, FY 2004-2006

JUVENILE INTAKE CASES, NEW PROBATION CASES, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION PLACEMENTS, AND COMMITMENTS TO DJJ

Region	District	Juvenile Intake Cases			New Probation Cases			Pre-D Detention			Commitments to DJJ		
		2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006
1	10	1,439	1,557	1,725	122	155	131	239	234	271	16	8	11
	21	700	799	827	185	192	193	131	148	159	15	12	11
	22	1,724	1,603	1,524	213	159	127	284	257	242	40	38	32
	23	1,772	1,346	1,206	35	32	49	191	183	213	9	3	3
	23A	1,550	1,487	1,485	79	64	86	297	299	343	10	12	21
	24	2,029	1,912	2,000	268	278	283	364	345	342	32	44	17
	25	1,705	1,596	1,580	142	144	106	258	207	209	31	14	11
	27	1,845	1,714	1,602	259	265	194	238	212	197	8	10	8
	28	977	1,012	1,005	113	113	129	131	127	119	4	1	1
	29	1,000	910	816	183	172	169	104	96	87	12	2	1
	30	1,032	947	806	281	234	189	152	158	132	8	7	5
2	14	2,514	2,662	2,706	351	346	333	587	684	794	26	18	36
	15	4,104	4,118	4,078	448	372	426	1,068	917	988	85	77	64
	16	2,246	2,152	2,241	372	321	318	359	254	280	43	40	39
	17	1,228	1,084	1,150	220	239	239	329	331	310	14	17	21
	17F	75	61	152	20	13	12	20	17	25	1	0	0
	18	972	983	1,022	166	154	166	179	204	177	20	10	17
	19	6,365	5,929	5,696	1,064	1,019	946	1,377	1,232	1,150	36	39	31
	20L	1,211	1,157	1,179	86	70	104	431	342	271	7	10	3
	20W	237	250	314	95	118	85	34	40	80	3	3	7
	26	1,954	1,981	2,303	122	168	159	301	286	306	18	23	19
	31	2,622	2,590	2,833	457	471	445	421	441	503	27	36	35
3	1	2,525	2,369	2,137	275	272	284	417	325	320	24	23	17
	2	3,513	3,150	3,142	316	328	311	628	741	650	46	63	47
	2A	338	404	397	118	67	101	63	53	62	18	10	10
	3	1,144	1,145	1,128	146	158	135	301	245	254	33	26	32
	4	3,207	3,327	3,164	301	314	261	688	737	637	88	91	60
	5	930	1,064	1,036	143	133	133	169	191	190	36	25	30
	6	1,041	937	922	72	84	68	196	177	162	17	17	12
	7	2,302	2,673	2,780	291	214	308	598	592	462	75	58	50
	8	2,299	2,274	2,491	113	104	108	226	210	245	37	32	26
	9	1,588	1,538	1,531	99	107	101	266	209	232	44	15	18
	11	1,184	1,433	1,207	107	122	111	259	260	263	51	62	56
	12	5,001	4,624	5,275	142	150	142	539	550	612	40	64	72
		13	2,159	2,334	1,907	249	260	291	853	819	765	84	95
<i>Total</i>		66,532	65,122	65,367	7,653	7,412	7,243	12,698	12,123	12,052	1,058	1,005	914

- Data presented in this table reflect JCC commitments and detention placements. Therefore, the data may not be comparable to other tables.
- To account for variations in reporting across jurisdictions a single intake **case** includes all complaints reported for a single juvenile, on a single day, within a single locality.
- Between FY 2004 and FY 2006:
 - Juvenile Intakes decreased 1.8%.
 - New Probation Cases decreased 5.4%.
 - Pre-D Detention placements decreased 5.1%.
 - Commitments to DJJ decreased 13.6%.

Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act (VJCCCA)

A legislative study on the lack of alternatives to detention and substantial revisions to the juvenile code led the 1995 Virginia General Assembly to pass the Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act (VJCCCA) “to establish a community-based system of progressive intensive sanctions and services that correspond to the severity of offense and treatment needs” (COV §16.1-309.2). The purpose of the VJCCCA is, “to deter crime by providing immediate, effective punishment that emphasizes accountability of the juvenile offender for his actions as well as reduces the pattern of repeat offending” (COV §16.1-309.2).

Since January 1996, funding has been allocated to each local governing body (an independent city or county) through a formula based on factors including the number and types of arrests in a locality and the average daily cost for serving a child. In order to maintain their commitment to youth, participating localities must maintain the same level of contribution to these programs that they made in 1995.

LOCALITIES DEVELOP PLANS

To participate in VJCCCA, each jurisdiction must develop a plan for how it will use the funding and have that plan approved by the Board of Juvenile Justice. Some localities have combined programs and funding across jurisdictions. Communities are given substantial autonomy and flexibility to address local juvenile crime patterns. Development of the plan requires consultation with judges, court service unit directors, and Comprehensive Services Act Community Policy and Management Teams (an interagency body that manages the expenditure of state funding to serve children and families). The local governing body designates who will be responsible for managing the plan. In over one-half of the localities, this responsibility has been delegated to the court service unit.

All funding must be used to serve “juveniles before intake on complaints or the court on petitions alleging that the juvenile is a child in need of services, child in need of supervision or delinquent” (COV §16.1-309.2). Local governing bodies may provide services directly or purchase them from other public or private agencies. No specific types of programs or services are required, although a menu of permissible activities is in place. The intent is for programs and services to be developed to fit the needs of each locality.

PROGRAMS INCLUDED

In FY 2006, VJCCCA served over 12,000 youth through residential and non-residential programs and services including less secure detention; group homes; family-oriented group homes; crisis intervention and shelter care; outreach detention and electronic monitoring; intensive supervision; substance abuse assessment and treatment; sex offender assessment and treatment; mental health assessments; individual, group and family counseling; home-based, in-home, or family preservation services; mentoring; community service; restitution/restorative justice; after-school or extended day services; academic improvement; truancy programs; employment/vocational programs; shoplifting programs; law-related education; anger management; parenting skills; life skills; recreation and wilderness programs; and individually purchased services to meet specific needs.


SERVICES PROVIDED, FY 2006*

Services	
Juveniles Placed	12,578
Total Program Placements	20,002
Average Placements per Juvenile	1.59
Juveniles Eligible for Detention	78.9%

JUVENILE DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2006*

Demographics	Total	%
Race		
Black	5,826	46.3%
White	6,075	48.3%
Hispanic	418	3.3%
Other	259	2.1%
Sex		
Male	8,741	69.5%
Female	3,837	30.5%
Age		
Under 13	568	4.5%
13	994	7.9%
14	1,804	14.3%
15	2,609	20.7%
16	2,998	23.8%
17	3,111	24.7%
18 or 19	494	3.9%
Total Juveniles	12,578	100%

EXPENDITURES BY FUNDING SOURCE, FY 2006



*Locality of Nottoway is not included in the tables.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book.

PLACEMENTS BY STATUS, FY 2006*

Dispositional Status	Residential Status	
	Residential	Non-residential
Pre-dispositional	1,802 (9.0%)	9,109 (45.5%)
Post-dispositional	599 (3.0%)	8,492 (42.5%)

PLACEMENTS BY TYPE OF SERVICE, FY 2006*

Service Type	Total	%
Public Safety	8,608	43.0%
Outreach/Electronic Monitoring	4,298	21.5%
Less Secure Detention	490	2.4%
Intensive Supervision, Surveillance	2,489	12.4%
Crisis Intervention and Sheltercare	1,331	6.7%
Competency	5,745	28.7%
Anger Management Programs	805	4.0%
Case Management	164	0.8%
Home-Based or Family Preservation	582	2.9%
Individual, Group, Family Counseling	269	1.3%
Shoplifting Programs	242	1.2%
Employment/Vocational	171	0.9%
Academic Improvement Programs	46	0.2%
After School or Extended Day	291	1.5%
Parenting Skills	218	1.1%
Life Skills	175	0.9%
Mentoring	111	0.6%
Law Related Education	311	1.6%
Subst. Abuse Education/Treatment	1,523	7.6%
Sex Offender Education/Treatment	3	0.0%
Recreation and Wilderness	98	0.5%
Substance Abuse Assessment	360	1.8%
Mental Health Assessment	365	1.8%
Sex Offender Assessment	11	0.1%
Accountability	4,812	24.1%
Restitution/Restorative Justice	413	2.1%
Community Service	4,399	22.0%
Group Homes	543	2.7%
Family Oriented Group Homes	64	0.3%
Group Homes	479	2.4%
Individually Purchased Services	285	1.4%
Missing	9	0.0%
Total	20,002	100%

• *Program Services Provided (FY 2006):*

- 12,578 juveniles received services in 20,002 program placements, with an average of 1.59 placements per juvenile.
- 79% of FY 2006 juveniles met the statutory criteria for detention.

• *Juveniles Served by VJCCCA Programs (FY 2006):*

- Most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male

• *VJCCCA Funding (FY 2006):*

- These data are preliminary, based on budget appropriations of FY 2006. They do not reflect final actual expenditures as these data were not fully reconciled at the time of printing. It is not anticipated, however, that these preliminary figures will vary significantly.
- The State and Localities were expected to spend a combined total of \$26,540,860 on services.
- Nearly one-half of funding expended on VJCCCA was local funding.

• *Placements by Status (FY 2006):*

- Residential program placements typically provide more intensive public safety and/or competency services than non-residential programming; 12% of placements fell into this category in FY 2006. Non-residential program placements accounted for 88%.
- Almost half of the program placements were post-dispositional.


• *Placements by Type of Service (FY 2006):*

- 43% of VJCCCA program placements focused on public safety through electronic monitoring, outreach detention, surveillance and intensive supervision, less secure detention, and crisis intervention.
- Competency services accounted for 29% of program placements.
- Accountability programs (e.g., community service, restitution, and restorative justice) provided services to 24% of VJCCCA placements.

• *Program Placement Completions (FY 2006) as reported by VJCCCA Programs:*

- 78% completed the programs satisfactorily. The specific criteria for satisfactory completion are determined by each program.
- 14% were unsatisfactory completions.
- 8% left the programs due to unrelated reasons such as status changes, program closures, or juvenile relocation.

PLACEMENTS BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2006*



*Locality of Nottoway is not included in the tables.


All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book.



Youth Conservation Corp members and a crew supervisor working on Beaver Lake Trail at Pocahontas State Park during the summer education and community service program

Service Areas for Virginia's Juvenile Detention Homes

Italics indicate that an area is served by more than one detention home.



Blue Ridge Juvenile Detention Center – City of Charlottesville and counties of Albemarle, Fluvanna, and Greene

Chesapeake Juvenile Services – Cities of Portsmouth, Chesapeake, Franklin, and Suffolk, and counties of Isle of Wight and Southampton

Chesterfield Juvenile Detention Home – City of Colonial Heights and Chesterfield County

Crater Juvenile Detention Home – Cities of Hopewell, Petersburg and Emporia, and counties of Brunswick, Dinwiddie, Greenville, Prince George, Surry, and Sussex

Culpeper Juvenile Detention Center – Owned by the Commonwealth and can be utilized by all CSUs

Fairfax County Juvenile Detention Center – Fairfax City and County

Henrico Juvenile Detention Home – Henrico County

Highlands Juvenile Detention Center – Cities of Bristol and Norton, and counties of Washington, Smyth, Lee, Scott, Wise, Dickenson, Russell, Buchanan, and Tazewell

James River Juvenile Detention Center – Counties of Powhatan, Goochland, and Henrico

Loudoun County Juvenile Detention Center – Counties of Loudoun, Fauquier, and Rappahannock

Lynchburg Regional Juvenile Detention Center – Cities of Lynchburg and Bedford, and counties of Amherst, Appomattox, Bedford, Campbell, Charlotte, and Nelson

Merrimac Center – Cities of Williamsburg and Poquoson, and counties of Caroline, Charles City, Essex, Gloucester, Hanover, James City, King & Queen, King William, Lancaster, Mathews, Middlesex, New Kent, Northumberland, Richmond, Westmoreland, and York

New River Valley Juvenile Detention Home – Cities of Radford and Galax, and counties of Giles, Pulaski, Montgomery, Wythe, Carroll, Floyd, Grayson, and Bland

Newport News Secure Detention – Cities of Newport News and Hampton

Norfolk Juvenile Detention Center – City of Norfolk, and counties of Accomack and Northampton

Northern Virginia Juvenile Detention Home – Cities of Alexandria and Falls Church, and Arlington County

Northwestern Regional Juvenile Detention Center – City of Winchester, and counties of Frederick, Clarke, Page, Shenandoah, and Warren

Piedmont Regional Juvenile Detention Center – Counties of Amelia, Buckingham, Cumberland, Lunenburg, Nottoway, and Prince Edward

Prince William County Juvenile Detention Home – Cities of Manassas and Manassas Park, and Prince William County

Rappahannock Juvenile Detention Center – City of Fredericksburg, and counties of Spotsylvania, Stafford, Madison, Orange, Louisa, and King George

Richmond Juvenile Detention Home – City of Richmond

Roanoke Valley Juvenile Detention Center – Cities of Roanoke, Covington, and Salem, and counties of Roanoke, Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, and Franklin

Shenandoah Valley Juvenile Center – Cities of Staunton, Harrisonburg, Lexington, Waynesboro, Buena Vista, and counties of Augusta, Rockingham, Bath, Rockbridge, and Highland

Virginia Beach Juvenile Detention Center – City of Virginia Beach


W. W. Moore, Jr. Home for Juveniles – Cities of Danville and Martinsville, and counties of Pittsylvania, Patrick, Henry, Mecklenburg, and Halifax

Statewide Activity for Detention Homes

Total Capacity: 1,456 beds

In FY 2006, there were 25 secure detention facilities throughout the Commonwealth. These community-based, residential facilities provide temporary care for delinquents and alleged delinquents requiring secure custody pending court disposition or placement. Twelve of these facilities are locally operated and 12 are run by commissions; political entities comprised of three or more localities. Culpeper Detention Home is the only state-operated detention facility in the Commonwealth.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	22.8%	18.5%	16.2%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	27.6%	26.7%	24.4%
15+ (Secure Detention)	41.0%	50.2%	55.9%
Missing	8.5%	4.6%	3.6%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	50.4%	51.4%	54.2%
White	41.7%	40.3%	37.6%
Hispanic	5.6%	6.2%	6.0%
Other	2.3%	2.1%	2.1%
Sex			
Male	76.0%	76.4%	78.0%
Female	24.0%	23.6%	22.0%
Age			
8-12	2.7%	2.1%	1.8%
13	5.8%	6.3%	4.9%
14	13.6%	13.1%	12.8%
15	20.9%	21.7%	21.7%
16	27.0%	26.7%	27.8%
17	29.3%	29.7%	30.5%
18-20	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Total Admissions	17,619	17,087	17,569

•Source of Admissions:

- 42.6%, 38.1%, and 19.3% of admissions were from the Northern, Eastern, and Western regions respectively.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 6,857 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 3,703 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 10,560 juveniles and 17,569 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased less than 1% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and increased 3% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 55.9% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (18.3%) or Assault (17.0%)
- Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (24.6%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 42.1% of Pre-D; 17.8% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 24.2% of Pre-D; 27.9% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 18.7% of Pre-D; 25.3% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP increased 2% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 4% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by a specific detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 391,496. This is a 4.3% increase from the previous fiscal year (375,475 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 13,263 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 3,850 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 449 weekend admissions
- 456 Post-dispositional admissions with programs


All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.00%	0.11%	0.10%	0.00%
Alcohol	0.29%	1.01%	1.32%	0.66%
Arson	1.98%	0.74%	0.23%	1.10%
Assault	20.48%	11.86%	13.64%	11.84%
Burglary	6.84%	3.95%	3.35%	9.21%
Contempt of Court	4.52%	15.15%	20.08%	6.14%
Custody	0.00%	0.06%	0.00%	0.00%
Desertion/Support	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	0.00%
Disorderly Conduct	0.74%	2.36%	2.70%	0.88%
Escapes	0.48%	0.69%	0.16%	0.00%
Extortion	0.30%	0.56%	0.52%	0.00%
Failure to Appear	1.06%	13.64%	1.74%	1.75%
Family Offense	0.04%	0.04%	0.13%	0.00%
Fraud	0.77%	0.80%	0.57%	1.54%
Gangs	0.79%	0.20%	0.10%	0.00%
Kidnapping	0.84%	0.15%	0.05%	0.00%
Larceny	10.71%	8.27%	8.39%	14.25%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.71%	2.48%	0.60%	1.54%
Murder	1.12%	0.06%	0.03%	0.00%
Narcotics	4.85%	4.77%	4.36%	3.51%
Obscenity	0.01%	0.11%	0.10%	0.00%
Obstruction of Justice	1.25%	0.82%	0.73%	1.75%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	18.17%	18.48%	23.40%	35.09%
Robbery	9.91%	2.83%	0.55%	1.54%
Sex Offense	3.65%	1.55%	0.47%	0.66%
Spousal Abuse	0.00%	0.04%	0.00%	0.00%
Status Offense ²	0.13%	2.70%	7.51%	0.00%
Telephone Law	0.09%	0.07%	0.21%	0.22%
Traffic	0.71%	1.64%	3.61%	0.88%
Trespass	0.38%	1.17%	0.88%	0.44%
Vandalism	2.83%	2.38%	2.81%	3.51%
Weapons	3.66%	1.32%	1.56%	3.51%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	30.45%	7.73%	4.08%	9.43%
- Weapons/Narcotics	5.20%	2.25%	1.43%	4.82%
- Other	19.55%	12.88%	9.95%	23.46%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	10.58%	10.67%	12.44%	7.68%
- Other	7.82%	21.98%	16.57%	10.96%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	18.35%	19.23%	24.08%	35.53%
Court Order Violation	4.63%	17.59%	21.90%	5.92%
Status Offense ²	0.14%	2.96%	6.47%	0.00%
Others	1.60%	4.71%	3.01%	2.19%
Offense Information Missing	1.69%	0.00%	0.08%	0.00%
Total Admissions	7,891	5,372	3,850	456


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2010



In FY 2002, the Department of Juvenile Justice, in conjunction with the Governor's Inmate Population Forecast Committee, began producing a projection of local juvenile detention homes' average daily population. The most recent forecast projects the detention home population to remain stable from FY 2006 to FY 2010. The forecast accounts for growth from FY 2005 to FY 2006 and projects one more year of growth before the population is expected to level off. The forecast predicts that the average population in detention homes will increase 3.7% (40 juveniles) between FY 2006 and FY 2007. Due to increases and decreases in the actual population over time and the lack of a distinguishable trend, the forecast beyond FY 2007 reflects the long-term average population level.

ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006



All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


Blue Ridge Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: Charles S. Edwards
Capacity: 40 beds

195 Peregrory Lane
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902
434-951-9340

Owned by: A commission of the City of Charlottesville and Albemarle, Fluvanna, and Greene counties. Primary users: Members of the commission.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	17.3%	1.7%	3.2%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	23.5%	10.4%	12.2%
15+ (Secure Detention)	41.9%	74.8%	77.8%
Missing	17.3%	13.0%	6.9%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	42.7%	45.9%	50.0%
White	53.6%	50.4%	45.0%
Hispanic	2.3%	2.2%	2.1%
Other	1.4%	1.5%	2.9%
Sex			
Male	80.4%	79.6%	79.8%
Female	19.6%	20.4%	20.2%
Age			
8-12	2.0%	1.1%	0.8%
13	6.1%	5.6%	2.9%
14	15.3%	14.1%	10.7%
15	18.7%	21.5%	22.5%
16	27.1%	26.3%	29.1%
17	28.8%	29.3%	33.2%
18-20	2.0%	2.2%	0.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	347	270	382

•Source of Admissions:

- 95.3% of admissions were from the 16th, 11th, and 6th Districts. See pages 54-55, 44-45, and 34-35, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 205 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 74 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 279 juveniles and 382 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions increased 10% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 41% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 77.8% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Contempt of Court (12.9%), Assault (12.2%), Failure to Appear (11.0%), Larceny (11.8%) or Robbery (11.4%)
- Post-D - Contempt of Court (50.4%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 57.4% of Pre-D; 17.6% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 19.8% of Pre-D; 21.0% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 10.6% of Pre-D; 10.1% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP increased 53% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 63% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 9,560. This is a 63.0% increase from the previous fiscal year (5,864 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 263 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 107 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 1 weekend admission
- 12 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	2.1%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Assault	13.2%	9.5%	13.1%	25.0%
Burglary	9.5%	4.1%	0.9%	16.7%
Contempt of Court	6.3%	29.7%	55.1%	8.3%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.5%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	5.8%	24.3%	2.8%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	2.1%	2.7%	0.9%	8.3%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	13.8%	6.8%	6.5%	8.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	3.7%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.2%	0.0%	0.9%	8.3%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	6.9%	17.6%	10.3%	8.3%
Robbery	15.9%	0.0%	0.9%	16.7%
Sex Offense	6.9%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.5%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	1.6%	2.7%	1.9%	0.0%
Weapons	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	41.8%	4.1%	6.5%	33.3%
- Weapons/Narcotics	3.2%	1.4%	0.0%	8.3%
- Other	28.6%	10.8%	4.7%	33.3%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	3.7%	6.8%	9.3%	8.3%
- Other	9.5%	29.7%	13.1%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	7.9%	17.6%	10.3%	8.3%
Court Order Violation	5.3%	29.7%	55.1%	8.3%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Others	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Total Admissions	189	74	107	12


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006



Chesapeake Juvenile Services


Superintendent: Sam Taylor
Capacity: 100 beds

420 Albemarle Drive
Chesapeake, Virginia 23320
757-382-6364

Formerly the Tidewater Detention Home

Owned by: The City of Chesapeake. Primary users: Cities of Portsmouth, Chesapeake, Franklin, and Suffolk, and Isle of Wight and Southampton counties.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	21.7%	17.4%	9.7%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	28.5%	25.4%	19.8%
15+ (Secure Detention)	46.9%	54.0%	69.1%
Missing	2.9%	3.1%	1.4%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	59.1%	62.7%	72.1%
White	37.2%	33.8%	25.5%
Hispanic	1.3%	1.0%	0.9%
Other	2.4%	2.4%	1.4%
Sex			
Male	73.9%	75.7%	78.1%
Female	26.1%	24.3%	21.9%
Age			
8-12	2.7%	2.3%	2.7%
13	6.2%	7.7%	5.6%
14	12.3%	12.8%	13.5%
15	20.0%	19.4%	22.3%
16	29.1%	26.3%	25.4%
17	28.9%	31.1%	30.3%
18-20	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%
Total Admissions	2,080	1,291	1,059

•Source of Admissions:

- 93.6% of admissions were from the 1st, 3rd, and 5th Districts. See pages 22-23, 28-29, and 32-33, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 539 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 214 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 753 juveniles and 1,059 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 49% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 18% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 69.1% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Assault (24.7%)
- Post-D - Contempt of Court (26.2%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 50.1% of Pre-D; 22.3% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 26.5% of Pre-D; 26.7% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 10.9% of Pre-D; 16.5% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 42% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 8% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has been below capacity for 2 of the last 3 fiscal years.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 25,210. This is a 7.8% decrease from the previous fiscal year (27,345 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 853 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 187 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 1 weekend admission
- 19 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Arson	3.6%	1.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Assault	24.7%	24.8%	15.0%	31.6%
Burglary	10.9%	4.0%	4.3%	10.5%
Contempt of Court	2.0%	16.4%	28.3%	5.3%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.6%	3.5%	3.2%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	3.4%	10.1%	3.2%	5.3%
Family Offense	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.0%	0.0%	0.5%	5.3%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	14.4%	7.2%	9.1%	10.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.2%	2.6%	3.2%	5.3%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.8%	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	8.5%	14.4%	16.6%	15.8%
Robbery	10.5%	2.9%	1.1%	0.0%
Sex Offense	4.0%	1.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	2.3%	3.2%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.4%	1.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Trespass	0.4%	2.9%	0.5%	0.0%
Vandalism	3.0%	2.3%	3.7%	5.3%
Weapons	4.5%	1.2%	2.1%	5.3%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	42.1%	8.6%	6.4%	31.6%
- Weapons/Narcotics	4.0%	1.7%	1.1%	5.3%
- Other	24.1%	10.4%	11.2%	21.1%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	7.9%	23.1%	12.8%	10.5%
- Other	7.7%	19.3%	15.0%	5.3%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	8.5%	14.4%	16.6%	15.8%
Court Order Violation	5.1%	18.7%	31.6%	10.5%
Status Offense ²	0.2%	2.6%	2.7%	0.0%
Others	0.2%	1.2%	2.7%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	506	347	187	19


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Chesterfield Juvenile Detention Home

Director: D. Joe Campbell
Capacity: 90 beds

9700 Krause Road
Chesterfield, Virginia 23832
804-748-1460

Owned by: The County of Chesterfield. Primary users: City of Colonial Heights and Chesterfield County.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	24.4%	12.5%	12.1%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	29.4%	24.4%	30.2%
15+ (Secure Detention)	39.1%	53.5%	51.9%
Missing	7.1%	9.6%	5.7%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	47.9%	44.2%	48.1%
White	48.8%	50.4%	47.7%
Hispanic	2.3%	3.1%	2.7%
Other	0.9%	2.3%	1.5%
Sex			
Male	76.9%	79.4%	79.3%
Female	23.1%	20.6%	20.7%
Age			
8-12	4.1%	1.8%	1.7%
13	7.1%	4.7%	4.4%
14	12.0%	14.0%	13.9%
15	19.3%	18.9%	22.1%
16	26.6%	29.0%	24.0%
17	30.4%	30.8%	33.4%
18-20	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%
Total Admissions	772	867	932

•Source of Admissions:

- 99.5% of admissions were from the 12th District. See pages 46-47 for data pertaining to this CSU.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 351 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 198 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 549 juveniles and 932 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be either black or white, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions increased 21% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 7% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 51.9% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Contempt of Court (18.3%)
- Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (24.9%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 39.0% of Pre-D; 17.8% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 23.3% of Pre-D; 31.4% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 15.6% of Pre-D; 26.2% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP increased 38% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 32% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 21,224. This is a 31.0% increase from the previous fiscal year (16,207 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 623 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 296 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 50 weekend admissions
- 13 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.4%	2.4%	0.0%
Arson	1.8%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Assault	16.8%	7.6%	10.1%	23.1%
Burglary	9.8%	6.8%	0.7%	15.4%
Contempt of Court	14.2%	25.0%	19.3%	15.4%
Custody	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.8%	1.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	5.7%	12.3%	2.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.0%	0.8%	0.3%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	11.1%	10.6%	12.8%	15.4%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.3%	1.3%	0.3%	7.7%
Murder	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	2.3%	7.2%	6.4%	7.7%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.8%	0.3%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.3%	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	18.3%	9.3%	26.0%	0.0%
Robbery	4.4%	0.8%	0.7%	0.0%
Sex Offense	3.4%	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	1.7%	1.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Traffic	0.3%	4.2%	8.8%	0.0%
Trespass	0.3%	2.1%	1.7%	0.0%
Vandalism	2.3%	3.8%	2.0%	7.7%
Weapons	4.1%	2.1%	1.0%	7.7%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	21.7%	6.8%	4.7%	15.4%
- Weapons/Narcotics	3.9%	1.7%	1.4%	15.4%
- Other	22.5%	15.7%	9.5%	38.5%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	7.2%	2.5%	8.4%	7.7%
- Other	10.9%	29.2%	23.6%	7.7%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	18.3%	11.0%	27.0%	7.7%
Court Order Violation	14.2%	23.3%	18.2%	7.7%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	1.7%	1.0%	0.0%
Others	1.3%	8.1%	6.1%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	387	236	296	13


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Crater Juvenile Detention Home

Superintendent: Jack Scott
Capacity: 22 beds

6102 County Drive
Disputanta, Virginia 23842
804-732-3803

Owned by: A commission of the cities of Hopewell, Petersburg and Emporia, and counties of Dinwiddie, Prince George, Surry, and Sussex. Primary users: Members of the commission, as well as, Greensville and Brunswick counties.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	12.7%	12.7%	7.3%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	25.8%	18.1%	16.8%
15+ (Secure Detention)	57.6%	67.9%	75.2%
Missing	3.9%	1.3%	0.7%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	83.6%	82.4%	80.3%
White	15.2%	16.3%	18.9%
Hispanic	1.2%	1.2%	0.6%
Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Sex			
Male	82.0%	85.7%	85.7%
Female	18.0%	14.3%	14.3%
Age			
8-12	3.4%	1.9%	1.0%
13	7.4%	6.8%	5.6%
14	16.0%	12.6%	13.5%
15	22.0%	24.8%	20.7%
16	24.0%	32.0%	25.7%
17	27.2%	21.3%	33.5%
18-20	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Total Admissions	500	484	502

•Source of Admissions:

- 99.8% of admissions were from the 11th and 6th Districts. See pages 44-45 and 34-35, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 179 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 114 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 293 juveniles and 502 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions increased less than 1% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 4% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 75.2% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Assault (22.8%)
- Post-D - Contempt of Court (22.2%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 60.4% of Pre-D; 17.8% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 22.1% of Pre-D; 30.0% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 9.5% of Pre-D; 6.7% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 16% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 7% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently exceeded capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 9,842. This is a 7.1% decrease from the previous fiscal year (10,591 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 412 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 90 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 14 weekend admissions
- This detention home does not offer Post-dispositional programs.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	2.3%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Assault	24.1%	19.3%	18.9%	0.0%
Burglary	13.9%	6.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	1.7%	21.1%	22.2%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	10.9%	3.7%	13.3%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	1.7%	5.5%	5.6%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.7%	3.7%	2.2%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	7.9%	12.8%	6.7%	0.0%
Robbery	18.8%	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	4.3%	8.3%	2.2%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.3%	2.8%	16.7%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.3%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	2.8%	3.3%	0.0%
Vandalism	5.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Weapons	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	43.9%	17.4%	3.3%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.3%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	26.4%	10.1%	14.4%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	11.2%	18.3%	20.0%	0.0%
- Other	7.3%	13.8%	10.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	7.9%	13.8%	6.7%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	1.7%	20.2%	28.9%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.3%	2.8%	14.4%	0.0%
Others	0.0%	1.8%	1.1%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Total Admissions	303	109	90	0


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Culpeper Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: Crystal M. Wynn
Capacity: 14 beds

12240 Coffeewood Drive
Mitchells, Virginia 22729
540-727-3326

Owned by: The Commonwealth of Virginia. Primary users: All CSUs can use this facility.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	40.8%	8.7%	10.7%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	24.5%	13.0%	7.1%
15+ (Secure Detention)	34.7%	60.9%	75.0%
Missing	0.0%	17.4%	7.1%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	34.0%	42.3%	39.7%
White	58.5%	53.8%	43.1%
Hispanic	3.8%	1.9%	17.2%
Other	3.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	81.1%	82.7%	75.9%
Female	18.9%	17.3%	24.1%
Age			
8-12	1.9%	1.9%	0.0%
13	3.8%	3.8%	5.2%
14	19.8%	9.6%	5.2%
15	15.1%	23.1%	17.2%
16	17.9%	21.2%	43.1%
17	41.5%	40.4%	25.9%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
Total Admissions	106	52	58

•Source of Admissions:

- 98.3% of admissions were from the 16th District. See pages 54-55 for data pertaining to this CSU.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 34 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 9 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 43 juveniles and 58 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be white, 16 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 45% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and increased 12% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 75.0% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Larceny (17.1%)
- Post-D - Contempt of Court (47.1%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 61.0% of Pre-D; 0.0% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 12.2% of Pre-D; 11.8% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 14.6% of Pre-D; 41.2% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP remained the same between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and increased 100% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 1,450. This is a 73.2% increase from the previous fiscal year (837 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 41 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 17 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 4 weekend admissions
- This detention home does not offer Post-dispositional programs.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	16.7%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary	6.7%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	0.0%	27.3%	47.1%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	3.3%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	23.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	10.0%	27.3%	41.2%	0.0%
Robbery	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	6.7%	9.1%	11.8%	0.0%
Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	30.0%	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	33.3%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	6.7%	9.1%	11.8%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	10.0%	27.3%	41.2%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	0.0%	27.3%	47.1%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Others	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	30	11	17	0


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Fairfax County Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: George Corbin
Capacity: 121 beds

10650 Page Avenue
Fairfax, Virginia 22030
703-246-2844

Owned by: Fairfax County. Primary users: The City of Fairfax and Fairfax County.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	31.3%	29.0%	25.7%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	28.7%	34.9%	32.3%
15+ (Secure Detention)	29.5%	33.7%	37.6%
Missing	10.5%	2.4%	4.4%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	28.5%	32.9%	36.1%
White	42.9%	37.9%	34.5%
Hispanic	21.2%	23.3%	23.2%
Other	7.4%	5.9%	6.2%
Sex			
Male	73.8%	74.2%	78.4%
Female	26.2%	25.8%	21.6%
Age			
8-12	1.8%	2.2%	0.5%
13	4.5%	6.6%	5.2%
14	11.9%	12.8%	13.3%
15	21.9%	21.2%	21.0%
16	26.4%	26.9%	28.0%
17	33.1%	30.0%	31.8%
18-20	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%
Error/Missing	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	1,437	1,309	1,222

•Source of Admissions:

- 99.6% of admissions were from the 19th District. See pages 62-63 for data pertaining to this CSU.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 523 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 266 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 789 juveniles and 1,222 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 15% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 7% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 37.6% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (31.6%)
- Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (33.9%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 32.5% of Pre-D; 38.7% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 22.6% of Pre-D; 14.5% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 31.6% of Pre-D; 33.9% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 11% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 10% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 29,957. This is a 10.1% decrease from the previous fiscal year (33,330 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 1,160 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 30 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 29 weekend admissions
- 32 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	17.8%	9.3%	0.0%	3.1%
Burglary	3.6%	2.3%	0.0%	9.4%
Contempt of Court	1.0%	18.5%	13.3%	6.3%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.1%	10.8%	3.3%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.4%	1.3%	3.3%	3.1%
Gangs	2.6%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	13.4%	8.7%	23.3%	18.8%
Miscellaneous/Other	3.8%	2.3%	3.3%	3.1%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	2.5%	3.1%	3.3%	3.1%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.9%	0.0%	3.3%	3.1%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	33.9%	27.2%	26.7%	40.6%
Robbery	8.7%	2.1%	3.3%	9.4%
Sex Offense	2.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.5%	3.9%	3.3%	0.0%
Trespass	0.5%	0.8%	3.3%	0.0%
Vandalism	2.7%	3.3%	6.7%	0.0%
Weapons	1.7%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	20.4%	4.4%	10.0%	12.5%
- Weapons/Narcotics	2.3%	1.0%	3.3%	3.1%
- Other	17.8%	11.3%	23.3%	25.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	12.7%	8.2%	0.0%	3.1%
- Other	7.9%	18.3%	20.0%	6.3%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	33.9%	27.2%	26.7%	40.6%
Court Order Violation	1.0%	23.9%	13.3%	6.3%
Status Offense ²	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Others	3.8%	4.9%	3.3%	3.1%
Offense Information Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	771	389	30	32


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Henrico Juvenile Detention Home

Superintendent: Michael D. Bingham
Capacity: 20 beds

4201 East Parham Road
Richmond, Virginia 23273
804-501-4329

Owned and primarily used by: Henrico County

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	21.0%	11.9%	11.8%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	26.8%	28.3%	19.2%
15+ (Secure Detention)	45.7%	53.6%	67.9%
Missing	6.6%	6.2%	1.2%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	64.5%	64.8%	74.0%
White	32.8%	32.2%	22.1%
Hispanic	1.2%	0.9%	2.3%
Other	1.5%	2.1%	1.7%
Sex			
Male	77.6%	76.3%	79.7%
Female	22.4%	23.8%	20.3%
Age			
8-12	3.8%	2.2%	2.7%
13	6.1%	8.8%	5.7%
14	15.0%	15.4%	15.8%
15	21.3%	21.5%	20.6%
16	25.1%	26.0%	27.5%
17	28.3%	25.8%	27.3%
18-20	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Total Admissions	1,065	1,120	1,314

•Source of Admissions:

- 99.9% of admissions were from the 14th District. See pages 50-51 for data pertaining to this CSU.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 334 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 325 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 659 juveniles and 1,314 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 16 or 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions increased 23% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 17% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 67.9% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (20.2%) or Assault (20.0%)
- Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (23.6%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 45.3% of Pre-D; 20.1% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 25.8% of Pre-D; 44.4% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 20.2% of Pre-D; 23.6% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP increased 42% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 29% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has exceeded capacity for 2 of the last 3 fiscal years.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 9,821. This is a 25.5% increase from the previous fiscal year (7,827 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 1,055 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 258 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 30 weekend admissions
- This detention home does not offer Post-dispositional programs. The 1 Post-dispositional admission with programs reflects a temporary transfer from James River Regional Detention Center.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	26.0%	12.0%	20.5%	0.0%
Burglary	7.6%	3.5%	7.4%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	0.5%	4.7%	7.0%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.5%	7.1%	6.6%	0.0%
Escapes	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.2%	12.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	1.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Gangs	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	3.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	12.6%	9.3%	10.5%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	4.6%	3.5%	4.3%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.0%	1.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	15.7%	26.2%	23.3%	100.0%
Robbery	10.9%	2.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Sex Offense	3.1%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	2.4%	0.8%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.5%	2.7%	4.7%	0.0%
Trespass	0.7%	3.1%	2.7%	0.0%
Vandalism	2.6%	3.8%	3.1%	0.0%
Weapons	5.6%	0.4%	4.3%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	39.9%	3.1%	2.3%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	7.8%	1.6%	3.1%	0.0%
- Other	20.0%	10.6%	14.7%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	9.8%	13.3%	19.4%	0.0%
- Other	6.0%	25.9%	25.2%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	15.7%	26.2%	23.3%	100.0%
Court Order Violation	0.7%	12.6%	8.1%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	2.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Others	0.2%	4.2%	2.7%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	604	451	258	1


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Highlands Juvenile Detention Center

Director: Tim Dotson
Capacity: 35 beds

2105 Shakesville Road
Bristol, Virginia 24203
276-466-7800

Owned by: A commission of the cities of Bristol and Norton and the counties of Washington, Smyth, Lee, Scott, Wise, Russell, Buchanan, Tazewell, and Dickenson. Primary users: Members of the commission.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	29.0%	18.2%	20.3%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	28.6%	23.0%	23.4%
15+ (Secure Detention)	36.9%	47.3%	53.8%
Missing	5.5%	11.5%	2.5%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	3.8%	6.9%	8.7%
White	94.9%	90.2%	90.1%
Hispanic	0.2%	0.7%	0.2%
Other	1.2%	2.2%	0.9%
Sex			
Male	72.2%	75.6%	73.8%
Female	27.8%	24.4%	26.2%
Age			
8-12	3.1%	4.1%	2.4%
13	7.2%	6.4%	5.8%
14	12.3%	12.4%	11.7%
15	22.0%	20.0%	21.9%
16	26.4%	28.1%	29.7%
17	29.0%	28.9%	28.4%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Total Admissions	583	581	538

•Source of Admissions:

- 99.8% of admissions were from the 30th, 28th, and 29th Districts. See pages 88-89, 84-85, and 86-87, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 267 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 104 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 371 juveniles and 538 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be white, 16 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 8% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 7% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 53.8% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (24.4%)
- Post-D - Status Offense (24.3%) or Probation/Parole Violation (22.4%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 29.6% of Pre-D; 16.4% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 23.1% of Pre-D; 15.0% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 25.0% of Pre-D; 22.4% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 19% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 15% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has been below capacity for 2 of the last 3 fiscal years.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 10,711. This is a 13.3% decrease from the previous fiscal year (12,349 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 324 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 214 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 26 weekend admissions
- This detention home does not offer Post-dispositional programs.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.3%	3.6%	1.4%	0.0%
Arson	2.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	27.2%	6.6%	7.9%	0.0%
Burglary	2.5%	5.4%	4.2%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	11.4%	14.5%	19.2%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%
Escapes	0.6%	1.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Extortion	1.3%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	6.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	7.0%	4.2%	7.9%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	1.3%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	2.5%	3.6%	5.6%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.6%	1.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	19.6%	28.9%	22.4%	0.0%
Robbery	1.3%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Sex Offense	8.9%	2.4%	0.9%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	1.3%	15.1%	24.3%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	1.9%	1.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Trespass	0.6%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	3.2%	1.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Weapons	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	26.6%	7.2%	4.7%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.6%	1.8%	1.9%	0.0%
- Other	14.6%	9.0%	9.8%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	16.5%	4.2%	5.6%	0.0%
- Other	7.0%	18.7%	9.3%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	20.3%	29.5%	22.4%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	10.8%	13.9%	19.2%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	1.3%	15.1%	24.3%	0.0%
Others	2.5%	0.6%	2.8%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	158	166	214	0


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




James River Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: Patricia Carrington
Capacity: 60 beds

3650 Beaumont Road
Powhatan, VA 23139
804-556-8121

Owned by: A commission of Powhatan, Goochland, and Henrico counties. Primary users: Members of the commission.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	14.5%	8.7%	6.2%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	28.0%	27.9%	15.3%
15+ (Secure Detention)	51.0%	57.5%	76.4%
Missing	6.5%	5.9%	2.1%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	60.7%	68.9%	70.5%
White	38.1%	29.2%	24.9%
Hispanic	0.8%	0.6%	3.3%
Other	0.4%	1.3%	1.3%
Sex			
Male	86.1%	83.9%	83.5%
Female	13.9%	16.1%	16.5%
Age			
8-12	2.6%	1.5%	1.7%
13	4.8%	9.2%	3.6%
14	13.9%	14.6%	14.6%
15	22.6%	21.2%	21.6%
16	26.0%	27.3%	29.7%
17	30.0%	25.5%	28.5%
18-20	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	496	466	522

•Source of Admissions:

- 92.0% of admissions were from the 14th District. See pages 50-51 for data pertaining to this CSU.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 191 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 128 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 319 juveniles and 522 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 16 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions increased 5% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 12% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 76.4% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Assault (19.0%) or Probation/Parole Violation (18.4%)
- Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (42.5%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 56.6% of Pre-D; 25.5% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 21.1% of Pre-D; 22.2% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 18.4% of Pre-D; 42.5% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP increased 20% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 17% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 19,789. This is a 16.8% increase from the previous fiscal year (16,948 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 369 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 55 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 4 weekend admissions
- 98 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	20.7%	15.7%	7.3%	17.3%
Burglary	6.6%	2.4%	5.5%	7.1%
Contempt of Court	1.2%	0.8%	12.7%	1.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.7%	3.9%	1.8%	2.0%
Escapes	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	4.7%	3.6%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	3.7%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	13.6%	14.2%	9.1%	6.1%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	5.0%	4.7%	7.3%	3.1%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.7%	1.6%	1.8%	2.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	14.5%	26.0%	40.0%	43.9%
Robbery	15.7%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.4%	0.8%	3.6%	0.0%
Trespass	0.4%	3.9%	1.8%	2.0%
Vandalism	1.2%	6.3%	0.0%	6.1%
Weapons	4.1%	0.0%	3.6%	9.2%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	43.0%	11.0%	1.8%	5.1%
- Weapons/Narcotics	7.4%	1.6%	3.6%	10.2%
- Other	22.3%	13.4%	16.4%	12.2%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	6.6%	16.5%	5.5%	12.2%
- Other	5.0%	22.8%	12.7%	12.2%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	14.5%	26.0%	40.0%	43.9%
Court Order Violation	1.2%	2.4%	14.5%	1.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	3.9%	3.6%	0.0%
Others	0.0%	2.4%	1.8%	3.1%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	242	127	55	98


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Loudoun County Juvenile Detention Center

Acting Superintendent: Michelle Smith
Capacity: 24 beds

42020 Loudoun Center Place
Leesburg, Virginia 20175
703-771-5200

Owned by: Loudoun County. Primary users: Loudoun, Fauquier, and Rappahannock counties.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	27.9%	30.1%	22.8%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	20.9%	32.4%	28.5%
15+ (Secure Detention)	22.1%	28.1%	28.5%
Missing	29.1%	9.4%	20.2%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	22.1%	27.7%	20.4%
White	66.3%	58.2%	55.7%
Hispanic	6.5%	10.5%	19.6%
Other	5.1%	3.6%	4.3%
Sex			
Male	78.3%	78.8%	73.4%
Female	21.7%	21.2%	26.6%
Age			
8-12	1.7%	1.5%	1.4%
13	3.6%	4.1%	2.4%
14	12.5%	11.9%	7.6%
15	20.3%	26.8%	22.3%
16	27.6%	29.2%	31.8%
17	33.3%	26.5%	34.5%
18-20	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	526	411	368

•Source of Admissions:

- 99.5% of admissions were from the 20L and 20W Districts. See pages 64-65 and 66-67, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 152 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 80 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 232 juveniles and 368 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 30% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 10% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 28.5% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Contempt of Court (32.0%)
- Post-D - Larceny (24.3%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 28.1% of Pre-D; 32.4% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 18.1% of Pre-D; 21.6% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 20.5% of Pre-D; 13.5% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 5% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 5% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 7,556. This is a 4.6% decrease from the previous fiscal year (7,920 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 331 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 27 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 11 weekend admissions
- 10 Post-dispositional admissions with programs


All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006


Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.3%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	15.6%	6.9%	7.4%	0.0%
Burglary	2.3%	10.3%	14.8%	10.0%
Contempt of Court	33.1%	20.7%	29.6%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	2.6%	6.9%	3.7%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	6.3%	13.8%	18.5%	40.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	2.0%	10.3%	3.7%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	20.5%	17.2%	14.8%	20.0%
Robbery	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%
Trespass	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Weapons	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	13.9%	10.3%	3.7%	10.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	12.6%	24.1%	18.5%	50.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	11.3%	6.9%	3.7%	10.0%
- Other	6.6%	13.8%	18.5%	10.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	20.9%	17.2%	11.1%	20.0%
Court Order Violation	32.5%	24.1%	29.6%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	0.0%
Others	1.0%	3.4%	7.4%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	302	29	27	10

1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006



All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


Lynchburg Regional Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: Frank T. Currier
Capacity: 48 beds

1400 Florida Avenue
Lynchburg, Virginia 24501
434-455-7878

Owned by: The City of Lynchburg. Primary users: The cities of Lynchburg and Bedford, as well as, Amherst, Appomattox, Bedford, Campbell, Charlotte, and Nelson counties.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	19.9%	10.5%	13.4%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	28.1%	18.8%	25.0%
15+ (Secure Detention)	46.9%	65.5%	58.5%
Missing	5.1%	5.2%	3.1%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	53.8%	55.9%	50.4%
White	44.0%	42.1%	46.3%
Hispanic	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%
Other	1.8%	1.6%	3.1%
Sex			
Male	76.6%	78.9%	78.8%
Female	23.4%	21.1%	21.2%
Age			
8-12	3.8%	1.4%	1.0%
13	6.5%	7.7%	5.7%
14	14.7%	11.8%	11.4%
15	21.6%	20.1%	20.4%
16	28.2%	27.0%	25.3%
17	24.8%	31.7%	36.1%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%
Total Admissions	504	492	510

•Source of Admissions:

- 97.1% of admissions were from the 24th and 10th Districts. See pages 76-77 and 42-43, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 303 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 89 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 392 juveniles and 510 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions increased 1% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 4% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 58.5% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Contempt of Court (20.3%)
- Post-D - Contempt of Court (25.4%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 40.8% of Pre-D; 18.5% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 23.9% of Pre-D; 34.6% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 10.3% of Pre-D; 16.2% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 3% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 12% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 10,419. This is a 13.5% decrease from the previous fiscal year (12,046 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 380 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 113 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 9 weekend admissions
- 17 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.4%	1.9%	0.9%	0.0%
Arson	5.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	22.7%	8.4%	15.9%	5.9%
Burglary	10.2%	3.2%	4.4%	17.6%
Contempt of Court	9.3%	36.1%	26.5%	17.6%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.4%	4.5%	5.3%	0.0%
Escapes	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.9%	11.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	7.1%	8.4%	8.8%	23.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	8.4%	1.9%	1.8%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	3.1%	0.6%	1.8%	5.9%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	9.3%	11.0%	13.3%	23.5%
Robbery	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	4.4%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	3.2%	6.2%	0.0%
Telephone Law	1.3%	0.6%	1.8%	0.0%
Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	1.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Vandalism	5.3%	2.6%	7.1%	5.9%
Weapons	4.9%	1.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	30.7%	4.5%	0.9%	11.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	5.8%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	21.3%	9.7%	12.4%	41.2%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	12.4%	9.0%	18.6%	0.0%
- Other	9.3%	18.1%	20.4%	5.9%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	9.8%	11.0%	15.0%	23.5%
Court Order Violation	9.3%	41.9%	26.5%	17.6%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	3.2%	6.2%	0.0%
Others	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	225	155	113	17


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Merrimac Center

Superintendent: Joanne Smith
Capacity: 48 beds

9300 Merrimac Trail
Williamsburg, Virginia 23185
757-887-0225

Owned by: A commission of the cities of Williamsburg and Poquoson, and Caroline, Charles City, Essex, Gloucester, Hanover, James City, King & Queen, King William, Lancaster, Mathews, Middlesex, New Kent, Northumberland, Richmond, Westmoreland, and York counties. Primary users: Members of the commission.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	31.2%	19.4%	23.4%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	28.4%	31.0%	30.2%
15+ (Secure Detention)	37.3%	46.8%	44.5%
Missing	3.1%	2.8%	1.9%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	36.8%	34.1%	39.2%
White	61.1%	64.1%	57.7%
Hispanic	0.4%	0.8%	1.5%
Other	1.7%	1.0%	1.6%
Sex			
Male	74.3%	75.8%	75.8%
Female	25.7%	24.2%	24.2%
Age			
8-12	1.8%	1.6%	1.6%
13	7.3%	2.4%	4.0%
14	12.3%	10.7%	12.5%
15	19.2%	19.9%	20.1%
16	26.9%	25.0%	25.5%
17	32.1%	40.4%	36.2%
18-20	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Error/Missing	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	725	627	752

•Source of Admissions:

- 99.9% of admissions were from the 15th and 9th Districts. See pages 52-53 and 40-41, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 312 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 166 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 478 juveniles and 752 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions increased 4% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 20% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 44.5% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (21.3%) or Assault (20.3%)
- Post-D - Contempt of Court (19.8%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 44.9% of Pre-D; 27.5% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 24.2% of Pre-D; 29.3% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 21.3% of Pre-D; 17.6% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 13% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and increased 8% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 14,857. This is a 8.2% increase from the previous fiscal year (13,734 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 479 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 254 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 22 weekend admissions
- 19 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.9%	4.3%	0.0%
Arson	2.5%	1.8%	0.4%	0.0%
Assault	22.5%	13.2%	12.6%	0.0%
Burglary	6.0%	4.4%	7.9%	15.8%
Contempt of Court	4.1%	14.9%	20.9%	5.3%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.8%	1.8%	2.4%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	1.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.3%	8.8%	1.2%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.4%	3.5%	0.4%	10.5%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	15.1%	10.5%	14.6%	31.6%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.8%	5.3%	5.5%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.1%	0.9%	1.6%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	21.9%	19.3%	16.5%	26.3%
Robbery	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	3.6%	1.8%	1.2%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.5%	1.8%	3.9%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Traffic	0.8%	1.8%	1.2%	10.5%
Trespass	0.5%	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%
Vandalism	4.4%	3.5%	2.8%	0.0%
Weapons	1.6%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	24.4%	5.3%	3.9%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	4.1%	3.5%	2.4%	0.0%
- Other	21.9%	18.4%	18.5%	63.2%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	13.2%	14.0%	13.8%	0.0%
- Other	8.2%	19.3%	17.3%	5.3%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	21.9%	19.3%	16.9%	26.3%
Court Order Violation	4.1%	15.8%	23.2%	5.3%
Status Offense ²	0.5%	1.8%	2.4%	0.0%
Others	1.4%	2.6%	1.6%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	365	114	254	19


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




New River Valley Juvenile Detention Home

Superintendent: Robert S. Hall
Capacity: 24 beds

650 Wades Lane, N.W.
Christiansburg, Virginia 24073
540-381-0097

Owned by: A commission of the City of Radford and Giles, Pulaski, and Montgomery counties. Primary users: Members of the commission as well as the City of Galax, and Wythe, Carroll, Floyd, Grayson, and Bland counties.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	21.2%	25.2%	25.0%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	26.5%	20.9%	27.0%
15+ (Secure Detention)	48.3%	46.0%	43.2%
Missing	4.0%	7.9%	4.7%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	16.3%	17.6%	20.3%
White	79.5%	79.1%	78.4%
Hispanic	4.2%	2.8%	0.8%
Other	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%
Sex			
Male	72.1%	73.5%	73.9%
Female	27.9%	26.5%	26.1%
Age			
8-12	2.5%	2.1%	2.1%
13	6.2%	4.7%	4.2%
14	14.1%	12.7%	9.2%
15	13.3%	27.2%	24.8%
16	27.9%	22.5%	30.1%
17	35.6%	30.8%	29.3%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%
Total Admissions	405	426	379

•Source of Admissions:

- 99.5% of admissions were from the 27th and 29th Districts. See pages 82-83 and 86-87, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 156 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 84 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 240 juveniles and 379 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be white, 16 or 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 6% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 11% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 43.2% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Assault (26.4%)
- Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (35.3%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 32.1% of Pre-D; 14.4% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 37.3% of Pre-D; 18.0% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 15.6% of Pre-D; 38.3% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP increased 5% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and dropped 25% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has been below capacity for 2 of the last 3 fiscal years.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 7,764. This is a 24.0% decrease from the previous fiscal year (10,210 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 212 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 155 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 17 weekend admissions
- 12 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	8.3%
Arson	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%
Assault	35.8%	4.7%	10.3%	8.3%
Burglary	4.7%	0.0%	0.6%	8.3%
Contempt of Court	2.7%	35.9%	31.6%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	3.4%	0.0%	2.6%	8.3%
Escapes	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.7%	20.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	8.3%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	12.8%	10.9%	9.7%	8.3%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	2.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	6.1%	1.6%	0.6%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	12.8%	18.8%	35.5%	33.3%
Robbery	2.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.7%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	4.7%	1.9%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Vandalism	4.1%	0.0%	1.3%	8.3%
Weapons	1.4%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	20.9%	0.0%	5.8%	8.3%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	18.9%	10.9%	7.7%	16.7%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	26.4%	6.3%	7.1%	0.0%
- Other	15.5%	20.3%	9.0%	41.7%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	12.8%	21.9%	38.7%	33.3%
Court Order Violation	2.7%	35.9%	29.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	4.7%	1.9%	0.0%
Others	1.4%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	148	64	155	12


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Newport News Secure Detention

Superintendent: Sheena Christian-Howard
Capacity: 110 beds

350 25th Street
Newport News, Virginia 23607
757-926-1600

Owned by: The City of Newport News. Primary users: The cities of Newport News and Hampton.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	12.9%	8.3%	7.0%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	26.4%	22.2%	18.1%
15+ (Secure Detention)	48.0%	63.7%	71.7%
Missing	12.8%	5.8%	3.3%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	76.3%	78.9%	82.8%
White	19.3%	17.6%	14.8%
Hispanic	1.5%	1.7%	1.8%
Other	2.8%	1.8%	0.6%
Sex			
Male	73.3%	74.7%	80.0%
Female	26.7%	25.3%	20.0%
Age			
8-12	2.5%	2.1%	2.3%
13	5.9%	8.3%	4.7%
14	12.2%	13.3%	10.8%
15	22.9%	22.4%	23.1%
16	27.5%	24.9%	31.0%
17	28.8%	28.8%	28.1%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Total Admissions	968	871	878

•Source of Admissions:

- 99.5% of admissions were from the 7th and 8th Districts. See pages 36-37 and 38-39, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.

- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 394 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 166 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 560 juveniles and 878 detention admissions.

- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 16 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 9% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and increased 1% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 71.7% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Robbery (15.0%)

- Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (28.8%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 54.2% of Pre-D; 21.3% of Post-D

- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 21.7% of Pre-D; 18.8% of Post-D

- Probation/Parole Violation - 10.3% of Pre-D; 28.8% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 29% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 13% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

- ADP has been below capacity for 2 of the last 3 fiscal years.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 20,241. This is a 12.1% decrease from the previous fiscal year (23,038 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 718 Pre-dispositional admissions

- 137 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 82 weekend admissions

- 23 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%
Assault	14.6%	7.4%	18.2%	0.0%
Burglary	8.3%	1.0%	0.7%	4.3%
Contempt of Court	1.6%	19.7%	6.6%	13.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.2%	0.5%	1.5%	0.0%
Escapes	2.5%	13.3%	2.9%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.2%	23.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.6%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	11.3%	6.4%	5.8%	21.7%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.5%	2.5%	0.7%	0.0%
Murder	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	9.7%	1.0%	8.0%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%	4.3%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	11.5%	7.4%	27.0%	39.1%
Robbery	20.6%	1.0%	2.9%	4.3%
Sex Offense	2.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.6%	7.4%	19.7%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	1.2%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Trespass	1.4%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	1.7%	0.5%	0.7%	4.3%
Weapons	5.0%	1.5%	3.6%	4.3%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	37.5%	3.0%	13.1%	4.3%
- Weapons/Narcotics	10.1%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
- Other	21.7%	12.8%	5.8%	26.1%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	4.7%	9.9%	8.0%	4.3%
- Other	9.9%	30.0%	10.9%	13.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	11.5%	7.4%	27.0%	39.1%
Court Order Violation	1.6%	22.7%	6.6%	13.0%
Status Offense ²	0.6%	7.4%	22.6%	0.0%
Others	2.5%	6.9%	5.1%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	515	203	137	23


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Norfolk Juvenile Detention Center

Acting Superintendent: Antoine Ensley
Capacity: 80 beds

1260 Security Lane
Norfolk, Virginia 23502
757-441-5667

Owned by: The City of Norfolk. Primary users: The City of Norfolk, and Accomack and Northampton counties.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	16.9%	16.8%	12.8%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	30.5%	28.5%	25.6%
15+ (Secure Detention)	44.4%	52.0%	60.9%
Missing	8.3%	2.7%	0.7%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	79.2%	81.5%	82.5%
White	17.8%	15.8%	13.9%
Hispanic	1.2%	1.1%	1.7%
Other	1.8%	1.6%	1.8%
Sex			
Male	73.1%	71.9%	74.3%
Female	26.9%	28.1%	25.7%
Age			
8-12	1.3%	1.6%	1.2%
13	6.1%	6.1%	5.4%
14	18.3%	15.7%	12.4%
15	24.2%	24.1%	23.4%
16	25.9%	26.4%	27.6%
17	23.9%	25.8%	29.2%
18-20	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
Total Admissions	1,090	1,155	991

•Source of Admissions:

- 99.9% of admissions were from the 4th and 2A Districts. See pages 30-31 and 26-27, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 462 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 208 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 670 juveniles and 991 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 9% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 14% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 60.9% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Failure to Appear (18.6%)
- Post-D - Assault (20.4%) or Contempt of Court (20.0%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 37.7% of Pre-D; 16.8% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 24.0% of Pre-D; 34.0% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 17.3% of Pre-D; 16.8% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 8% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 17% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has exceeded capacity for 2 of the last 3 fiscal years.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 28,031. This is a 17.0% decrease from the previous fiscal year (33,776 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 741 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 219 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 4 weekend admissions
- 31 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	8.9%	14.5%	22.4%	6.5%
Burglary	4.1%	2.1%	2.3%	3.2%
Contempt of Court	0.6%	9.4%	22.8%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.3%	2.1%	6.4%	3.2%
Escapes	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.6%	31.9%	6.4%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Fraud	1.0%	0.5%	1.4%	0.0%
Gangs	2.2%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	4.8%	7.3%	5.0%	16.1%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.9%	0.9%	1.4%	0.0%
Murder	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.5%	4.2%	3.2%	16.1%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	21.0%	13.3%	11.4%	48.4%
Robbery	20.7%	4.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.9%	2.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	1.2%	6.4%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.6%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Trespass	0.3%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Vandalism	2.5%	2.3%	3.2%	3.2%
Weapons	5.7%	0.7%	0.9%	3.2%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	35.4%	10.8%	3.7%	3.2%
- Weapons/Narcotics	6.1%	2.8%	0.9%	16.1%
- Other	11.1%	13.1%	9.1%	19.4%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	4.5%	11.7%	21.5%	3.2%
- Other	8.3%	20.6%	16.0%	6.5%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	21.0%	14.5%	12.3%	48.4%
Court Order Violation	1.3%	23.0%	28.8%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	2.3%	5.5%	0.0%
Others	1.9%	1.2%	2.3%	3.2%
Offense Information Missing	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	314	427	219	31


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Northern Virginia Juvenile Detention Home

Executive Director: Krystal Kimrey
Capacity: 70 beds

200 South Whiting Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22304
703-751-3700

Owned by: A commission of the cities of Falls Church and Alexandria, and Arlington County. Primary users: Members of the commission.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	27.3%	27.1%	26.1%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	22.7%	25.0%	27.3%
15+ (Secure Detention)	31.8%	33.3%	31.8%
Missing	18.2%	14.6%	14.8%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	42.4%	40.6%	46.1%
White	16.7%	18.6%	15.4%
Hispanic	35.6%	38.3%	34.5%
Other	5.3%	2.5%	4.1%
Sex			
Male	67.8%	69.6%	73.7%
Female	32.2%	30.4%	26.3%
Age			
8-12	4.6%	1.8%	1.7%
13	9.2%	6.3%	3.2%
14	13.2%	16.3%	14.6%
15	21.0%	21.4%	22.3%
16	27.3%	24.9%	26.2%
17	24.4%	28.6%	31.8%
18-20	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Total Admissions	873	884	833

•Source of Admissions:

- 91.4% of admissions were from the 17th and 18th Districts. See pages 56-57 and 60-61, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 324 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 174 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 498 juveniles and 833 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 5% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 6% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 31.8% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (30.5%)
- Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (50.8%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 37.9% of Pre-D; 18.0% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 16.6% of Pre-D; 13.5% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 30.5% of Pre-D; 51.1% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP remained the same between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and increased 8% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 20,571. This is a 8.6% increase from the previous fiscal year (18,948 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 567 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 249 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 20 weekend admissions
- 17 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	13.0%	10.4%	8.0%	17.6%
Burglary	4.9%	5.4%	2.0%	5.9%
Contempt of Court	1.6%	0.5%	7.6%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.8%	1.1%	2.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Fraud	2.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	17.1%	12.4%	7.2%	29.4%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.6%	2.9%	0.8%	0.0%
Murder	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.3%	7.0%	6.4%	5.9%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	14.6%	34.9%	51.8%	35.3%
Robbery	3.3%	10.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	3.6%	5.2%	0.0%
Telephone Law	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
Traffic	0.8%	0.7%	3.2%	0.0%
Trespass	0.8%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.8%	1.8%	1.2%	0.0%
Weapons	0.0%	1.6%	2.4%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	12.2%	19.1%	4.8%	23.5%
- Weapons/Narcotics	2.4%	4.1%	2.4%	5.9%
- Other	22.0%	15.1%	8.4%	23.5%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	8.9%	6.5%	4.8%	5.9%
- Other	4.1%	11.0%	8.8%	5.9%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	14.6%	34.9%	52.2%	35.3%
Court Order Violation	1.6%	0.5%	7.2%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	3.8%	6.0%	0.0%
Others	2.4%	5.0%	5.2%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	31.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	123	444	249	17


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Northwestern Regional Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: Jim Stevenson
Capacity: 32 beds

145 Fort Collier Road
Winchester, Virginia 22603
540-722-6174

Owned by: A commission of the City of Winchester, and Frederick, Clarke, Page, Shenandoah, and Warren counties. Primary users: Members of the commission.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	11.0%	7.0%	7.6%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	26.0%	35.2%	34.9%
15+ (Secure Detention)	48.1%	45.8%	47.1%
Missing	14.9%	12.0%	10.5%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	11.5%	14.6%	14.0%
White	81.6%	77.4%	80.1%
Hispanic	4.3%	6.5%	5.0%
Other	2.6%	1.5%	0.9%
Sex			
Male	79.6%	80.2%	79.6%
Female	20.4%	19.8%	20.4%
Age			
8-12	2.3%	0.6%	0.7%
13	4.3%	2.5%	1.9%
14	15.1%	15.5%	12.6%
15	22.7%	19.8%	25.4%
16	25.0%	31.9%	25.4%
17	28.9%	29.4%	33.6%
18-20	1.6%	0.0%	0.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%
Total Admissions	304	323	422

•Source of Admissions:

- 96.0% of admissions were from the 26th District. See pages 80-81 for data pertaining to this CSU.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 172 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 92 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 264 juveniles and 422 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions increased 39% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 31% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 47.1% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (27.7%)
- Post-D - Contempt of Court (38.1%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 35.0% of Pre-D; 14.9% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 28.6% of Pre-D; 27.7% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 27.7% of Pre-D; 15.3% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP increased 25% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 32% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 9,002. This is a 29.3% increase from the previous fiscal year (6,963 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 220 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 181 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 7 weekend admissions
- 21 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.2%	0.0%	2.2%	4.8%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%
Assault	23.1%	2.1%	12.7%	4.8%
Burglary	8.1%	4.3%	1.1%	9.5%
Contempt of Court	4.6%	17.0%	42.0%	4.8%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.7%	4.3%	2.8%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.6%	46.8%	1.1%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Larceny	6.9%	8.5%	6.1%	4.8%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	2.9%	0.0%	3.3%	4.8%
Obscenity	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.3%	0.0%	1.1%	4.8%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	32.9%	8.5%	12.2%	42.9%
Robbery	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	2.1%	2.8%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Traffic	0.0%	2.1%	2.2%	4.8%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Vandalism	4.0%	4.3%	5.0%	0.0%
Weapons	3.5%	0.0%	2.2%	4.8%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	20.8%	4.3%	5.0%	23.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	2.3%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
- Other	15.0%	19.1%	6.6%	9.5%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	13.9%	0.0%	11.6%	4.8%
- Other	9.8%	46.8%	17.1%	14.3%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	32.9%	8.5%	12.2%	42.9%
Court Order Violation	4.6%	19.1%	42.5%	4.8%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	2.1%	2.2%	0.0%
Others	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	173	47	181	21


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Piedmont Regional Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: Spring Johnson
Capacity: 20 beds

701 Industrial Park Road
Farmville, Virginia 23901
434-392-3834

Owned by: A commission of Amelia, Buckingham, Cumberland, Lunenburg, Nottoway, and Prince Edward counties. Primary users: Members of the commission.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	20.2%	31.8%	20.1%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	16.7%	25.9%	20.1%
15+ (Secure Detention)	59.5%	42.4%	56.7%
Missing	3.6%	0.0%	3.0%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	70.7%	60.8%	67.7%
White	28.3%	36.3%	30.0%
Hispanic	0.5%	0.5%	0.7%
Other	0.5%	2.5%	1.7%
Sex			
Male	82.7%	71.1%	79.5%
Female	17.3%	28.9%	20.5%
Age			
8-12	1.6%	2.0%	2.4%
13	4.7%	4.4%	6.1%
14	18.3%	10.3%	13.5%
15	22.0%	24.0%	22.2%
16	27.7%	31.9%	26.3%
17	25.7%	27.5%	29.3%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	191	204	297

•Source of Admissions:

- 97.6% of admissions were from the 10th, 11th, 14th, and 6th Districts. See pages 42-43, 44-45, 50-51, and 34-35, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 187 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 49 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 236 juveniles and 297 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions increased 55% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 46% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 56.7% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Assault (22.2%)
- Post-D - Assault (30.6%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 42.7% of Pre-D; 8.2% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 22.6% of Pre-D; 49.0% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 15.3% of Pre-D; 6.1% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP increased 67% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 50% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 5,308. This is a 43.9% increase from the previous fiscal year (3,688 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 248 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 49 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 6 weekend admissions
- This detention home does not offer Post-dispositional programs.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	32.6%	9.1%	30.6%	0.0%
Burglary	10.9%	9.1%	2.0%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	0.7%	27.3%	22.4%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	5.5%	2.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	1.8%	4.1%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.7%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	11.6%	5.5%	6.1%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.6%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	14.5%	15.5%	6.1%	0.0%
Robbery	5.1%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	3.6%	1.8%	2.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	4.5%	14.3%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.7%	2.7%	4.1%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.9%	2.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	4.3%	1.8%	2.0%	0.0%
Weapons	3.6%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	32.6%	6.4%	4.1%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	22.5%	15.5%	4.1%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	13.8%	9.1%	32.7%	0.0%
- Other	5.8%	17.3%	16.3%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	14.5%	16.4%	6.1%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	0.7%	27.3%	30.6%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	4.5%	6.1%	0.0%
Others	0.7%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	138	110	49	0


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Prince William County Juvenile Detention Home

Superintendent: Curtis Harstad
Capacity: 72 beds

14873 Dumfries Road
Manassas, Virginia 20112
703-791-3181

Owned by: Prince William County. Primary users: The cities of Manassas and Manassas Park, and Prince William County.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	15.3%	14.1%	18.4%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	22.6%	20.0%	22.0%
15+ (Secure Detention)	57.8%	64.1%	59.1%
Missing	4.2%	1.8%	0.6%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	40.3%	39.4%	37.9%
White	43.8%	39.5%	40.8%
Hispanic	13.3%	19.3%	18.5%
Other	2.7%	1.8%	2.9%
Sex			
Male	77.1%	78.3%	79.0%
Female	22.9%	21.7%	21.0%
Age			
8-12	2.2%	2.0%	2.3%
13	2.4%	4.5%	4.6%
14	12.5%	6.6%	13.2%
15	19.0%	22.2%	20.0%
16	28.4%	30.8%	28.8%
17	35.1%	33.9%	31.2%
18-20	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	633	663	699

•Source of Admissions:

- 97.9% of admissions were from the 31st District. See pages 90-91 for data pertaining to this CSU.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 341 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 139 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 480 juveniles and 699 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions increased 10% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 5% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 59.1% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (20.0%)
- Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (47.6%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 44.6% of Pre-D; 17.6% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 21.6% of Pre-D; 14.1% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 20.6% of Pre-D; 47.6% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP increased 19% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 5% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 15,917. This is a 5.0% increase from the previous fiscal year (15,152 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 529 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 154 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 19 weekend admissions
- 16 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.4%	0.6%	0.0%	6.3%
Assault	18.3%	7.7%	7.1%	12.5%
Burglary	11.4%	4.8%	5.8%	12.5%
Contempt of Court	7.2%	12.5%	18.8%	6.3%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	1.9%	28.6%	0.6%	12.5%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.8%	0.6%	1.3%	0.0%
Gangs	5.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	1.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Larceny	7.5%	6.5%	2.6%	12.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.8%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	2.2%	3.0%	2.6%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	16.6%	27.4%	48.7%	37.5%
Robbery	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	3.6%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	0.6%	1.9%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	1.4%	1.8%	3.9%	0.0%
Trespass	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	1.9%	1.2%	3.2%	0.0%
Weapons	3.3%	0.6%	1.9%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	28.0%	1.8%	5.2%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.9%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
- Other	26.3%	17.9%	9.7%	31.3%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	11.6%	8.3%	5.2%	12.5%
- Other	5.0%	23.8%	7.8%	12.5%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	16.9%	28.6%	48.7%	37.5%
Court Order Violation	6.9%	16.1%	18.8%	6.3%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	0.6%	1.9%	0.0%
Others	2.8%	3.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	361	168	154	16


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Rappahannock Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: Douglas W. Jones
Capacity: 80 beds

275 Wyche Road
Stafford, Virginia 22554
540-658-1691

Owned by: A commission of the City of Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania, Stafford, Madison, Orange, Louisa, and King George counties. Primary users: Members of the commission.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	23.8%	24.4%	27.4%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	34.9%	33.7%	26.7%
15+ (Secure Detention)	33.8%	40.2%	40.1%
Missing	7.6%	1.7%	5.7%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	34.6%	33.4%	42.0%
White	59.8%	61.4%	52.6%
Hispanic	4.2%	3.6%	4.6%
Other	1.4%	1.6%	0.8%
Sex			
Male	76.6%	77.1%	76.9%
Female	23.4%	22.9%	23.1%
Age			
8-12	2.1%	1.5%	2.8%
13	3.7%	6.8%	5.9%
14	13.0%	10.4%	12.5%
15	18.1%	21.2%	18.2%
16	27.9%	24.2%	31.2%
17	33.9%	35.6%	28.1%
18-20	1.3%	0.4%	1.1%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%
Total Admissions	1,163	1,092	1,087

•Source of Admissions:

- 99.5% of admissions were from the 15th and 16th Districts. See pages 52-53 and 54-55, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 520 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 217 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 737 juveniles and 1,087 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be white, 16 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 7% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and less than 1% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 40.1% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (22.2%)
- Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (25.9%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 33.8% of Pre-D; 17.8% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 29.8% of Pre-D; 35.9% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 22.9% of Pre-D; 25.9% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP increased 14% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 27% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 23,786. This is a 27.7% increase from the previous fiscal year (18,627 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 828 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 228 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 21 weekend admissions
- 31 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.4%	2.6%	2.2%	0.0%
Arson	2.9%	1.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Assault	18.9%	14.6%	11.4%	9.7%
Burglary	5.2%	3.7%	2.6%	16.1%
Contempt of Court	7.9%	7.3%	14.0%	6.5%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.7%	5.0%	5.7%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.9%	0.5%	0.9%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.7%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Fraud	2.2%	1.6%	1.3%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	12.6%	14.4%	8.3%	16.1%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.7%	0.5%	1.3%	0.0%
Murder	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.4%	9.4%	3.9%	3.2%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.9%	1.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	22.5%	21.9%	24.1%	38.7%
Robbery	1.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	4.7%	2.9%	0.0%	3.2%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	0.5%	3.1%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%
Traffic	1.1%	2.3%	13.6%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	3.6%	2.6%	3.1%	6.5%
Weapons	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	23.6%	5.7%	2.2%	9.7%
- Weapons/Narcotics	2.7%	2.6%	0.9%	0.0%
- Other	17.1%	14.4%	11.8%	29.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	11.5%	14.4%	11.0%	6.5%
- Other	8.1%	27.4%	27.6%	9.7%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	23.6%	22.2%	24.1%	38.7%
Court Order Violation	7.0%	7.0%	13.6%	6.5%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	0.8%	3.5%	0.0%
Others	0.7%	5.5%	4.8%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	5.8%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Total Admissions	445	383	228	31


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Richmond Juvenile Detention Home

Superintendent: Dalee Thomas
Capacity: 60 beds

1700 Oliver Hill Way
Richmond, Virginia 23219
804-646-2937

Owned and primarily used by the City of Richmond.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	19.1%	14.2%	14.4%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	26.1%	22.1%	24.4%
15+ (Secure Detention)	44.7%	60.4%	59.9%
Missing	10.0%	3.4%	1.3%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	96.3%	98.1%	97.1%
White	3.2%	1.8%	1.7%
Hispanic	0.3%	0.1%	0.5%
Other	0.1%	0.0%	0.7%
Sex			
Male	86.0%	85.5%	85.3%
Female	14.0%	14.5%	14.7%
Age			
8-12	3.6%	2.5%	1.8%
13	6.1%	5.8%	6.5%
14	12.3%	12.6%	13.1%
15	24.4%	23.7%	22.6%
16	25.2%	27.8%	25.8%
17	26.2%	26.9%	29.6%
18-20	2.1%	0.4%	0.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%
Total Admissions	900	849	829

•Source of Admissions:

- 96.7% of admissions were from the 13th District. See pages 48-49 for data pertaining to this CSU.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 400 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 159 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 559 juveniles and 829 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 8% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 2% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 59.9% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (19.2%) or Narcotics (18.1%)
- Post-D - Contempt of Court (59.3%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 58.4% of Pre-D; 14.8% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 16.3% of Pre-D; 14.8% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 20.2% of Pre-D; 25.9% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 24% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 19% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has exceeded capacity for 2 of the last 3 fiscal years.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 18,737. This is a 18.5% decrease from the previous fiscal year (22,993 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 802 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 27 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 0 weekend admissions
- This detention home does not offer Post-dispositional programs.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	15.5%	11.3%	7.4%	0.0%
Burglary	3.8%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	0.9%	10.9%	59.3%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.4%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	2.9%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.2%	1.6%	3.7%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.2%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	7.7%	8.1%	7.4%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	2.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	16.6%	21.5%	18.5%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	2.2%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	18.6%	20.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	11.4%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	4.3%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.2%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespass	0.4%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.9%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Weapons	8.8%	4.0%	3.7%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	35.0%	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	18.0%	13.4%	3.7%	0.0%
- Other	13.2%	19.4%	11.1%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	3.8%	9.7%	11.1%	0.0%
- Other	10.1%	12.1%	3.7%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	18.6%	23.9%	25.9%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	0.9%	8.9%	44.4%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Others	0.2%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	555	247	27	0


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Roanoke Valley Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: Alan Hullette
Capacity: 81 beds

498 Coyner Springs Road
Roanoke, Virginia 24012
540-561-3840

Owned by: A commission of the cities of Roanoke and Salem, as well as, Botetourt, Franklin, and Roanoke counties. Primary users: Members of the commission, as well as the City of Covington and the counties of Alleghany, and Craig. Additional placements from other localities can occur if the population is below 48.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	24.9%	19.8%	17.9%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	25.3%	24.9%	17.5%
15+ (Secure Detention)	46.5%	54.2%	61.7%
Missing	3.3%	1.2%	2.9%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	42.8%	41.7%	45.7%
White	54.3%	55.6%	51.6%
Hispanic	1.0%	1.3%	1.6%
Other	1.9%	1.4%	1.2%
Sex			
Male	74.1%	75.6%	74.8%
Female	25.9%	24.4%	25.2%
Age			
8-12	3.5%	3.1%	3.5%
13	5.8%	6.3%	5.1%
14	13.8%	13.1%	12.6%
15	21.5%	20.5%	22.8%
16	26.9%	24.0%	28.8%
17	28.5%	33.0%	27.2%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Total Admissions	792	779	865

•Source of Admissions:

- 99.0% of admissions were from the 23A, 23rd, 22nd, and 25th Districts. See pages 74-75, 72-73, 70-71, and 78-79, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 394 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 193 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 587 juveniles and 865 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be white, 16 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions increased 9% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 11% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 61.7% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Assault (16.0%) or Contempt of Court (16.0%)
- Post-D - Status Offense (19.3%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 31.2% of Pre-D; 12.4% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 29.4% of Pre-D; 38.6% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 8.9% of Pre-D; 6.9% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP increased 8% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 13% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 19,520. This is a 12.7% increase from the previous fiscal year (17,316 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 663 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 184 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 24 weekend admissions
- 18 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.4%	1.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Arson	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	28.5%	7.2%	16.8%	16.7%
Burglary	5.8%	2.1%	3.8%	5.6%
Contempt of Court	2.6%	25.4%	11.4%	11.1%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1.1%	3.3%	3.8%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.4%	0.5%	1.1%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	19.5%	0.5%	11.1%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	1.1%	0.5%	1.1%	5.6%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	9.5%	4.1%	4.9%	16.7%
Miscellaneous/Other	2.6%	11.8%	3.8%	11.1%
Murder	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	9.5%	4.1%	7.1%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.7%	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	14.2%	5.1%	6.5%	11.1%
Robbery	6.2%	0.8%	0.5%	5.6%
Sex Offense	5.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	5.9%	21.2%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	1.1%	2.6%	5.4%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Vandalism	3.6%	3.3%	4.9%	5.6%
Weapons	2.9%	0.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	32.5%	2.6%	2.7%	5.6%
- Weapons/Narcotics	7.3%	0.8%	2.2%	0.0%
- Other	21.2%	6.9%	5.4%	27.8%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	14.6%	7.5%	16.3%	16.7%
- Other	4.7%	29.0%	22.8%	16.7%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	14.2%	5.1%	6.5%	11.1%
Court Order Violation	2.6%	25.7%	11.4%	11.1%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	5.9%	21.2%	0.0%
Others	2.9%	16.5%	11.4%	11.1%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	274	389	184	18


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Shenandoah Valley Juvenile Center

Superintendent: Timothy J. Smith
Capacity: 55 beds

300 Technology Drive
Staunton, Virginia 24401
540-886-0729

Owned by: A commission of the cities of Staunton, Harrisonburg, Lexington, and Waynesboro, as well as, the counties of Augusta, Rockbridge, and Rockingham. Primary users: Members of the commission, as well as, the City of Buena Vista, and Bath and Highland counties.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	27.9%	15.9%	28.4%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	35.4%	33.3%	31.7%
15+ (Secure Detention)	27.9%	47.8%	38.5%
Missing	8.8%	3.0%	1.4%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	16.1%	20.8%	22.4%
White	73.9%	70.2%	70.7%
Hispanic	8.2%	7.3%	5.6%
Other	1.8%	1.7%	1.3%
Sex			
Male	75.5%	75.5%	77.6%
Female	24.5%	24.5%	22.4%
Age			
8-12	2.6%	1.9%	0.7%
13	6.8%	5.6%	4.2%
14	13.5%	11.4%	13.6%
15	23.5%	23.5%	22.0%
16	27.3%	27.6%	27.8%
17	25.9%	30.0%	31.8%
18-20	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	498	413	450

•Source of Admissions:

- 98.0% of admissions were from the 25th and 26th Districts. See pages 78-79 and 80-81, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 215 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 93 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 308 juveniles and 450 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be white, 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions decreased 10% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and increased 9% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 38.5% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Assault (20.6%) or Probation/Parole Violation (19.9%)
- Post-D - Status Offense (29.0%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 40.6% of Pre-D; 10.7% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 27.4% of Pre-D; 26.6% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 20.3% of Pre-D; 14.2% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP increased 9% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 19% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 9,159. This is a 19.5% increase from the previous fiscal year (7,665 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 281 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 169 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 3 weekend admissions
- This detention home does not offer Post-dispositional programs.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	1.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	24.4%	9.7%	10.7%	0.0%
Burglary	4.3%	2.8%	3.6%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	0.5%	27.8%	18.9%	0.0%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.5%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	8.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	2.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Gangs	4.3%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	14.4%	9.7%	5.9%	0.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.3%	1.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.5%	1.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	24.4%	6.9%	14.2%	0.0%
Robbery	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	3.3%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	5.6%	29.0%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.5%	5.6%	4.1%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	2.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Vandalism	4.8%	2.8%	3.0%	0.0%
Weapons	2.4%	5.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	20.1%	2.8%	0.6%	0.0%
- Weapons/Narcotics	3.3%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
- Other	23.9%	18.1%	7.7%	0.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	18.2%	9.7%	12.4%	0.0%
- Other	7.2%	23.6%	14.2%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	24.9%	6.9%	14.2%	0.0%
Court Order Violation	0.0%	30.6%	30.8%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	5.6%	17.8%	0.0%
Others	1.4%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	209	72	169	0


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Virginia Beach Juvenile Detention Center

Superintendent: S. Pete Withers
Capacity: 90 beds

2533 George Mason Drive
Virginia Beach, VA 23456
757-385-1220

Owned and primarily used by the City of Virginia Beach.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	N/A	32.5%	18.5%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	N/A	28.3%	26.8%
15+ (Secure Detention)	N/A	36.7%	54.1%
Missing	N/A	2.5%	0.6%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	N/A	46.9%	51.0%
White	N/A	47.1%	42.7%
Hispanic	N/A	2.5%	1.7%
Other	N/A	3.4%	4.7%
Sex			
Male	N/A	71.8%	75.9%
Female	N/A	28.2%	24.1%
Age			
8-12	N/A	3.6%	1.1%
13	N/A	6.9%	4.1%
14	N/A	15.1%	11.7%
15	N/A	19.6%	22.6%
16	N/A	24.7%	29.0%
17	N/A	29.5%	31.3%
18-20	N/A	0.5%	0.1%
Error/Missing	N/A	0.1%	0.0%
Total Admissions	N/A	786	967

- **Source of Admissions:**
 - 99.2% of admissions were from the 2nd District. See pages 24-25 for data pertaining to this CSU.
 - Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.
- **Juveniles Admitted to Detention:**
 - 384 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 223 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 607 juveniles and 967 detention admissions.
 - A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male.
- **Admission Trends:**
 - Virginia Beach Detention Home opened in FY 2005 (October 20, 2004), therefore historical trends are unavailable.
- **DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):**
 - Of the juveniles who were detained, 54.1% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.
- **Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):**
 - Pre-D - Probation/Parole Violation (17.9%), Assault (16.8%) or Failure to Appear (16.7%)
 - Post-D - Probation/Parole Violation (36.7%)
- **Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):**
 - Felony - 36.9% of Pre-D; 11.0% of Post-D
 - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 34.3% of Pre-D; 30.5% of Post-D
 - Probation/Parole Violation - 20.5% of Pre-D; 39.0% of Post-D
- **Average Daily Population (ADP):**
 - Virginia Beach Regional Detention Center opened in FY 2005, therefore historical trends are unavailable.
 - In FY2006 (first full year of operation), ADP was below capacity.
- **Average Length of Stay (LOS):**
 - In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.
- **Child Care Days (CCD):**
 - Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 28,253.
- **Dispositional Status:**
 - 659 Pre-dispositional admissions
 - 284 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 34 weekend admissions
 - 24 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.0%	3.2%	4.2%	4.2%
Arson	3.5%	2.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Assault	20.4%	13.6%	11.6%	8.3%
Burglary	5.4%	4.3%	2.5%	0.0%
Contempt of Court	1.3%	13.9%	10.9%	4.2%
Custody	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.3%	0.6%	1.1%	0.0%
Escapes	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.3%	31.6%	3.2%	8.3%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	3.2%	5.5%	8.1%	20.8%
Miscellaneous/Other	1.6%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	1.0%	0.9%	3.9%	0.0%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.3%	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	25.2%	11.3%	35.6%	50.0%
Robbery	24.5%	4.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	0.6%	8.1%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	1.3%	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Vandalism	1.3%	0.6%	4.2%	0.0%
Weapons	4.1%	1.4%	0.7%	4.2%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	37.9%	9.3%	2.8%	4.2%
- Weapons/Narcotics	1.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Other	11.1%	13.9%	7.4%	16.7%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	15.3%	11.9%	11.6%	4.2%
- Other	6.4%	33.9%	19.4%	20.8%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	25.8%	15.7%	37.7%	54.2%
Court Order Violation	1.3%	10.7%	18.7%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.0%	1.2%	2.1%	0.0%
Others	0.3%	2.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Offense Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	314	345	284	24


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




W.W. Moore, Jr. Home for Juveniles

Superintendent: Michelle Johnson
Capacity: 60 beds

603 Colquhoun Street
Danville, Virginia 24541
434-799-5295

Owned by: The City of Danville. Primary users: The cities of Danville and Martinsville, and Pittsylvania, Patrick, Henry, Mecklenburg, and Halifax counties.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2004-2006



DAI SCORES AT ADMISSION*, FY 2004-2006

DAI Scores	2004	2005	2006
0-9 (Release)	32.7%	27.1%	13.2%
10-14 (Detention Alternative)	28.7%	24.0%	30.8%
15+ (Secure Detention)	35.4%	48.0%	55.5%
Missing	3.1%	0.9%	0.4%

*Only includes Pre-D and "non-judge ordered" cases

ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2004-2006

Demographics	2004	2005	2006
Race			
Black	67.5%	67.6%	65.1%
White	31.3%	31.4%	33.0%
Hispanic	0.8%	0.4%	0.4%
Other	0.5%	0.6%	1.5%
Sex			
Male	76.6%	76.9%	76.6%
Female	23.4%	23.1%	23.4%
Age			
8-12	2.3%	2.4%	2.1%
13	6.1%	6.1%	5.6%
14	15.9%	13.7%	13.2%
15	18.6%	22.3%	21.5%
16	29.0%	27.1%	28.3%
17	27.8%	27.4%	28.2%
18-20	0.3%	0.7%	0.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%
Total Admissions	661	672	713

•Source of Admissions:

- 98.3% of admissions were from the 22nd, 21st, and 10th Districts. See pages 70-71, 68-69, and 42-43, respectively, for data pertaining to these CSUs.
- Weekender admissions were counted as a single admission instead of counting each weekend separately. Data presented will not be comparable to data in *Data Resource Guides* before FY 2004.

•Juveniles Admitted to Detention:

- 319 juveniles were admitted once to detention, and 152 juveniles were admitted multiple times, for a total of 471 juveniles and 713 detention admissions.
- A juvenile at admission in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 16 or 17 years old, and male.

•Admission Trends:

- Admissions increased 8% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 6% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•DAI Scores at Admission (FY 2006):

- Of the juveniles who were detained, 55.5% had a DAI score indicating secure detention.

•Offense Category (Most serious detaining offense):

- Pre-D - Assault (21.2%)
- Post-D - Assault (22.6%), Contempt of Court (22.6%) or Probation/Parole Violation (21.6%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious detaining offense):

- Felony - 36.4% of Pre-D; 13.9% of Post-D
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 23.2% of Pre-D; 35.6% of Post-D
- Probation/Parole Violation - 12.1% of Pre-D; 21.6% of Post-D

•Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 9% between FY 2004 and FY 2006, and 7% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.
- ADP has consistently been below capacity.

•Average Length of Stay (LOS):

- In order to accurately account for movement of juveniles between facilities, LOS is calculated at the detaining CSU level. For specific LOS data, refer to pages for CSUs served by this detention home.

•Child Care Days (CCD):

- Total number of CCD for FY 2006 was 14,811. This is a 8.7% decrease from the previous fiscal year (16,224 CCD).

•Dispositional Status:

- 505 Pre-dispositional admissions
- 166 Post-dispositional admissions without programs including 11 weekend admissions
- 42 Post-dispositional admissions with programs

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY DETAINING OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Pre-Disp.		Post-Disp.	
	Judge Order? No	Yes	With Program? No	Yes
Offense Category				
Abusive Language	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	2.1%	1.9%	0.0%	2.4%
Assault	29.2%	14.0%	24.7%	14.3%
Burglary	5.8%	6.8%	3.6%	11.9%
Contempt of Court	1.7%	25.3%	24.1%	16.7%
Custody	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Desertion/Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	2.1%	1.1%	4.8%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.8%	9.8%	2.4%	2.4%
Family Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	7.1%	4.2%	4.8%	4.8%
Miscellaneous/Other	10.4%	10.6%	2.4%	7.1%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	3.8%	1.1%	3.6%	2.4%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	1.3%	0.4%	0.6%	2.4%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	10.0%	14.0%	19.3%	31.0%
Robbery	4.2%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	6.7%	1.5%	1.8%	0.0%
Spousal Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offense ²	0.4%	0.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Telephone Law	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	0.8%	1.1%	3.0%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Vandalism	3.8%	0.8%	0.6%	2.4%
Weapons	3.3%	2.3%	1.8%	2.4%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	25.0%	13.6%	2.4%	4.8%
- Weapons/Narcotics	4.2%	2.3%	2.4%	2.4%
- Other	17.9%	10.9%	6.6%	16.7%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	18.3%	10.2%	25.3%	11.9%
- Other	6.3%	11.7%	13.9%	9.5%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	10.0%	14.0%	19.3%	31.0%
Court Order Violation	1.7%	26.0%	24.7%	16.7%
Status Offense ²	0.4%	0.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Others	10.8%	10.9%	4.2%	7.1%
Offense Information Missing	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	240	265	166	42


1, 2 - Variations are due to slightly different offense categorization.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


ADMISSIONS BY COURT DISTRICT, FY 2006



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2004-2006



ADMISSIONS BY DISPOSITIONAL STATUS, FY 2006




Halfway Houses

In FY 2006, DJJ operated three state-run halfway houses to meet the transitional needs of juveniles released from juvenile correctional centers. DJJ operated Hampton Place located in Norfolk, Abraxas House in Staunton, and Discovery House in Roanoke. The Department also oversees the Apartment Living Program, a 10 bed contract for halfway house placements with the Tidewater Regional Group Home Commission located in Virginia Beach.

The purpose of a halfway house is to provide transitional living for juveniles who are unable to return home or whose service needs make this type of placement beneficial. Residents learn independent living skills and are required to enroll in school, work towards a GED, and/or be gainfully employed. Residents are placed on parole supervision during their stay at the halfway house. Supportive services such as substance abuse relapse services and sex offender relapse treatment are provided by public or private service providers with the use of transitional service funds. Upon completion of residence at a halfway house, juveniles may return to their families or live independently.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2003-2006



ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AGE, AND REGION, FY 2003-2006*


Demographics	2003	2004	2005	2006
Race				
Black	66.0%	65.6%	62.3%	62.5%
White	32.0%	25.8%	32.5%	34.7%
Hispanic	0.0%	5.4%	3.9%	1.4%
Other	2.1%	3.2%	1.3%	1.4%
Sex				
Male	91.8%	94.6%	96.1%	100.0%
Female	8.2%	5.4%	3.9%	0.0%
Age				
16	5.2%	3.2%	3.9%	6.9%
17	34.0%	17.2%	31.2%	33.3%
18	25.8%	37.6%	27.3%	27.8%
19	22.7%	23.7%	29.9%	26.4%
20	12.4%	18.3%	7.8%	5.6%
Region				
Western	24.7%	16.1%	16.9%	16.7%
Northern	20.6%	17.2%	10.4%	12.5%
Eastern	54.6%	66.7%	72.7%	70.8%
Total Admissions	97	93	77	72

* Includes data for all halfway houses.

Admissions Trends:

- Admissions to halfway houses decreased 26% between FY 2003 and FY 2006.
- *Juveniles Admitted to Halfway Houses (FY 2006):*
 - Most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male
 - Most likely to be from the Eastern Region
- *Capacity (FY 2006):*
 - All three of the halfway houses operated below capacity.
- *Offense Category (Most serious committing offense):*
 - Abraxas - Sex Offenses (39%); Discovery - Larceny (30%); Hampton - Sex Offenses (56%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):*
 - 60% of juveniles admitted to the halfway houses had a person felony as the most serious committing offense.
- *Average Length of Stay (LOS):*
 - Abraxas had the longest LOS (170 days) in FY 2006, an increase of 31% since FY 2005. The LOS for Discovery (106 days) increased in FY 2006, while Hampton's (122 days) average LOS decreased.
- *Admissions and Releases by Committing Court (FY 2006):*
 - Both the highest number of admissions (17%) and the highest number of releases (17%) came from the 4th CSU.

CAPACITY VS AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP), FY 2006




All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

ADMISSIONS BY COMMITTING OFFENSE, FY 2006 (A - ABRAXAS; D - DISCOVERY; H - HAMPTON)

Most Serious Offense	A	D	H	Total
Offense Category				
Assault	11.1%	14.8%	0.0%	8.3%
Burglary	5.6%	11.1%	0.0%	5.6%
Kidnapping	0.0%	3.7%	7.4%	4.2%
Larceny	11.1%	29.6%	3.7%	15.3%
Murder	5.6%	3.7%	3.7%	4.2%
Narcotics	11.1%	3.7%	7.4%	6.9%
Prob./Parole Violation	5.6%	3.7%	11.1%	6.9%
Robbery	11.1%	18.5%	11.1%	13.9%
Sex Offense	38.9%	0.0%	55.6%	30.6%
Weapons	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	4.2%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
- Against Persons	55.6%	44.4%	75.0%	59.7%
- Weapons/Narcotics	0.0%	14.8%	3.6%	6.9%
- Other	22.2%	25.9%	3.6%	16.7%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
- Against Persons	5.6%	3.7%	0.0%	2.8%
- Other	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	1.4%
Parole Violation	11.1%	7.4%	14.3%	11.1%
Probation Violation	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
Information Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Admissions</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>72</i>

AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY BY HALFWAY HOUSE, FY 2003-2006



ADMISSIONS, RELEASES, AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY BY COMMITTING COURT, FY 2006*

District	Admissions		Releases		Average LOS
	#	%	#	%	
1st	3	4.2%	2	2.5%	108
2nd	6	8.3%	10	12.3%	152
2A	2	2.8%	2	2.5%	99
3rd	5	6.9%	7	8.6%	125
4th	12	16.7%	14	17.3%	115
5th	1	1.4%	1	1.2%	170
6th	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	99
7th	10	13.9%	8	9.9%	84
8th	6	8.3%	8	9.9%	125
9th	2	2.8%	3	3.7%	127
10th	1	1.4%	1	1.2%	124
11th	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	N/A
12th	2	2.8%	3	3.7%	218
13th	1	1.4%	2	2.5%	127
14th	1	1.4%	1	1.2%	244
15th	1	1.4%	1	1.2%	168
16th	2	2.8%	2	2.5%	138
17th	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
17F	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
18th	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
19th	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
20L	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
20W	2	2.8%	2	2.5%	103
21st	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
22nd	1	1.4%	1	1.2%	165
23rd	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	N/A
23A	3	4.2%	2	2.5%	123
24th	2	2.8%	2	2.5%	196
25th	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	N/A
26th	1	1.4%	1	1.2%	175
27th	1	1.4%	1	1.2%	65
28th	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	N/A
29th	1	1.4%	1	1.2%	64
30th	1	1.4%	2	2.5%	195
31st	2	2.8%	3	3.7%	112
<i>Total</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>100%</i>	<i>81</i>	<i>100%</i>	<i>130</i>

* Includes data for all halfway houses.

- Admissions and Releases by Committing Court (continued):
 - Eight CSUs did not have any halfway house admissions.
 - The 14th CSU had the longest average LOS (8 months).

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Commitment to the State

NEEDS	WANTS
Rent 500	Cable 50.00
Food 100	Ent 7500
Car/trans 200	Ex 600
Elec 50	
Water 20	
Gas 70	
Furnishings 100	
Clothes 500	
Eng 100	
PHONE 30	
MED 60	
1,710	

Juvenile from Natural Bridge JCC taking part in a “Positive Living” lesson with his teacher.

Introduction to Institutional Programs

The Division of Institutional Programs has direct responsibility for juvenile offenders who have been committed to the state. It operates the Reception and Diagnostic Center (RDC), juvenile correctional centers (JCCs), community placement programs (CPPs), and contracts with private providers to house these juveniles and ensure that they receive treatment and educational services while in a safe and secure setting.

The six JCCs and RDC had a combined operating capacity of 1,039 in FY 2006. The Behavioral Services Unit, Central Infirmary, Youth Industries, Bon Air Complex Maintenance, and Food Services Unit provide support to the correctional centers. The Department of Correctional Education (DCE) also helps by meeting educational needs of the committed juveniles. DJJ provides supplemental, unique or transitional services in environments designed to more intensely deliver those services through the use of programs like CPP and private providers. In December 2004, DJJ began placing juveniles into the Community Placement Program (CPP) at Chesapeake Juvenile Services (formerly the Tidewater Detention Home). This program is the second of its kind for DJJ, the first opening in April 2004 at Shenandoah Valley Juvenile Detention Home. Both programs operate in a secure residential setting offering community reintegration and specialized services. The use of both Shenandoah Valley CPP and Chesapeake CPP continued in FY 2006 with adjustments in service delivery.


In FY 2005, DJJ was mandated by the General Assembly to develop a utilization plan in order to maximize the use of the Department's resources. As a result of a comprehensive review of all JCCs and their assets, Barrett JCC was chosen for closing by June 30, 2005. The basis for the Barrett selection was the

CAPACITY AND AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, FY 2006

Facility	Capacity	ADP On Site	ADP Off Site	ADP Total
Beaumont	322	255	6	261
Bon Air	220	262	2	264
Culpeper	120	119	1	120
Hanover	100	97	1	98
Natural Bridge	71	60	0	60
Oak Ridge	40	39	0	39
RDC	166	125	6	131
<i>JCC Total</i>	<i>1,039</i>	<i>957</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>973</i>
Wilderness (VWI)	32	33	0	33
Shenandoah CPP	10	10	0	10
Chesapeake CPP	10	10	0	10
Alt. Placements	N/A	2	0	2
<i>State Total</i>	<i>1,091</i>	<i>1,012</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>1,028</i>

proximity to other Richmond area facilities allowing the re-assignment of staff; and identified Barrett physical plant renovation requirements in comparison to other centers. The self assessment and plans for the closure were outlined in a utilization report presented to the 2005 General Assembly. In January 2005, in anticipation of the General Assembly approving the Department's utilization plan, DJJ began the planning process for the removal of wards from Barrett JCC and for the closure of the facility. The utilization plan called for movement of wards to other facilities; reassignment of staff; and physical mothballing of the facility. Once the utilization plan was approved, the planned process was implemented and achieved ahead of schedule. As of April 30, 2005 Barrett JCC was vacated and staff were assigned to new

CAPACITY, AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, ADMISSIONS, AND RELEASES, FY 1998-2006



Between FY 2005 and FY 2006, admissions to RDC decreased 6%. Alternative Placements are not included in JCC Capacity or ADP above.


ADMISSIONS BY RACE, SEX, AGE, AND REGION, FY 2003-2006

Demographics	2003	2004	2005	2006
Race				
Black	63.7%	64.5%	66.7%	67.7%
White	32.4%	31.8%	27.0%	26.0%
Hispanic	2.7%	2.6%	4.3%	4.4%
Other	1.1%	1.1%	2.0%	1.8%
Sex				
Male	91.0%	90.9%	90.1%	90.9%
Female	9.0%	9.1%	9.9%	9.1%
Age				
Under 14	3.5%	2.8%	2.9%	2.3%
14	9.1%	8.2%	8.0%	7.6%
15	16.7%	18.5%	18.2%	19.2%
16	30.4%	30.2%	27.3%	31.7%
17	35.7%	35.0%	36.1%	33.6%
18	4.1%	5.1%	6.7%	5.4%
19 or older	0.5%	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Region				
Western	18.1%	17.2%	16.1%	13.7%
Northern	24.7%	27.6%	27.1%	30.2%
Eastern	57.3%	55.2%	56.8%	56.1%
Total Admissions	1,172	978	923	868

A juvenile admitted to the JCCs in FY 2006 was most likely to be a black male age 17, from the Eastern Region.

facilities. The physical plant continues to be mothballed with only small portions of the facility remaining in use in accordance

ADMISSIONS BY PSYCHOTROPIC MEDICATION HISTORY AND DIAGNOSED MENTAL HEALTH DISORDER*, FY 2006



* Includes juveniles with a mental health disorder, according to diagnostic criteria in the DSM-IV (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, 4th edition). Juveniles with a diagnosis of Conduct Disorder (CD) or Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD) are not included in the graph above. This is a new measure and data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2005.

Over half (58%) of the juveniles admitted to the JCCs have a history of prescription psychotropic medication use. At admission, 47% of juveniles have a diagnosed mental health disorder (other than a DSM-IV diagnosis of CD or ODD).

with the utilization plan. Currently, Barrett JCC is used as a supplement to house the Department's Training Unit and a few Central Office Staff.


Throughout FY 2006 recommendations from the utilization plan continued to be implemented. This resulted in the ongoing management of the population through movement of juveniles as mandated by the plan and to meet the needs of the Department. When reviewing and adjusting the population for each facility there were several factors taken into consideration such as housing capacity,

Statewide Commitments, FY 2006

Darker areas indicate that a greater number of commitments come from this locality. The map also indicates the locations of the JCCs, RDC, VWI, and CPP facilities.

Number of Commitments

- 0
- 1-9
- 10-49
- 50+



All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


staffing levels and training or programming initiatives. Additionally, in an ongoing effort to improve facilities, DJJ has planned renovations and new capital construction, thus population management has become a vital tool. Revisions to capacities and shifts in the population in order to keep the treatment needs of the juveniles in the forefront were a natural result of the necessary closure to housing units on facility campuses. The utilization plan also called for a move toward therapeutic capacities within the facilities. As of yet, DJJ is still in the process of trying to institute this change. In addition to the factors effecting the population mentioned above, DJJ also began implementing new practices to reduce the size of juvenile groupings within the JCCs to allow for improved interrelations with staff and for improved security in treatment settings.

Two initiatives which were started in FY 2006 were the development of an institutional behavior management program called REACH and the return to unit management as a basic approach to pairing staff with youth. The REACH program will be fully implemented during FY 2007.

RECEPTION AND DIAGNOSTIC CENTER (RDC)


Because RDC is not a permanent placement for juveniles, data for RDC are incorporated into the statewide data. As part of its role, RDC plays a vital part in tracking juveniles through data. RDC develops the Juvenile Profile which provides a global picture of the juvenile, including education, mental health, physical, and social information. Additionally, the facility is

ADMISSIONS BY TREATMENT NEEDS, FY 2006



Although sex offender treatment is the least common treatment need, it can have the greatest impact on a ward's length of stay within the juvenile correctional centers.

ADMISSIONS BY READING, WRITING, AND MATH GRADE LEVELS, FY 2006



Female offenders consistently test at higher reading and writing grade levels. The difference is greatest for writing.

responsible for housing and maintaining all master files, is a clearinghouse for release information, and works in conjunction with the State Library on retention and destruction of documents.

The first encounter a juvenile has with a correctional center occurs at RDC, the central intake facility for committed youth. It was established in 1968 and currently consists of seven housing units; six for males and one for females. The juveniles range in age from 11 to 20, and the average length of stay at RDC is slightly less than seven weeks. The primary function of RDC is the orientation, evaluation, and classification of juveniles. All youth receive medical and dental examinations in addition to a psychological screening and evaluation. Sex offender evaluations are also performed at RDC. Each juvenile receives a battery of tests from the DCE staff to identify the appropriate educational level, vocational aptitude, and any special educational needs. RDC counselors are responsible for coordination of the evaluation process, individual and crisis counseling, completion of social history, and case management.

RDC operates a highly structured orientation and behavioral management program emphasizing development in areas of leadership, education, achievement, discipline, empowerment, and responsibility. The program is designed to have juveniles take responsibility for their own actions and allows their behavior to determine quality of life, in terms of privileges. At the conclusion of the evaluation process, individual evaluators meet to discuss each case to determine treatment needs, length of stay, classification, transitioning/re-entry, and placement recommendations. From RDC, juveniles are sent to their designated correctional facilities.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

BEHAVIORAL SERVICES UNIT (BSU)

BSU is the organizational unit within the Division of Institutions that is responsible for providing mental health, substance abuse, sex offender, and anger control treatment services to youth who are in the JCCs and RDC.

Organizational Structure: There are four BSU staff located in the Central Office: the Chief Psychologist who serves as the

ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Determinate		Indeterminate	
Offense Category				
Alcohol	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Arson	0	0.0%	16	2.1%
Assault	12	11.7%	119	15.6%
Burglary	5	4.9%	118	15.4%
Contempt of Court	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Disorderly Conduct	0	0.0%	6	0.8%
Escapes	0	0.0%	6	0.8%
Extortion	0	0.0%	2	0.3%
Failure to Appear	0	0.0%	4	0.5%
Fraud	0	0.0%	16	2.1%
Gangs	2	1.9%	9	1.2%
Kidnapping	2	1.9%	3	0.4%
Larceny	1	1.0%	177	23.1%
Miscellaneous/Other	0	0.0%	2	0.3%
Missing Information	1	1.0%	0	0.0%
Murder	11	10.7%	0	0.0%
Narcotics	2	1.9%	66	8.6%
Obstruction of Justice	0	0.0%	7	0.9%
Prob./Parole Violation	1	1.0%	33	4.3%
Robbery	56	54.4%	87	11.4%
Sex Offense	6	5.8%	46	6.0%
Traffic	1	1.0%	11	1.4%
Vandalism	1	1.0%	22	2.9%
Weapons	2	1.9%	13	1.7%
Offense Severity				
Felonies:				
Against Persons	88	85.4%	238	31.1%
Weapons/Narcotics	6	5.8%	61	8.0%
Other	7	6.8%	303	39.6%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:				
Against Persons	0	0.0%	69	9.0%
Other	0	0.0%	60	7.8%
Prob./Parole Violation	1	1.0%	33	4.3%
Court Violation	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Information Missing	1	1.0%	0	0.0%
Total Admissions	103	100.0%	765	100.0%

The most common offense for determinate admissions was robbery and for indeterminate admissions was larceny.

Director of BSU; the Program Manager for Substance Abuse Services; the Program Manager for Sex Offender Services; and the Program Manager for Anger Control Services. All of the JCCs, have a licensed clinical psychologist on site who serves as the treatment director for the institution as well as clinicians who provide direct services.


BSU employs doctoral and masters level clinicians (psychologists, clinical social workers, rehabilitation counselors, etc.) who provide direct services to youth. BSU attempts to hire licensed and certified clinicians in their respective specialties and staff are strongly encouraged to receive supervision and training to become certified and/or licensed. All supervisory staff are licensed mental health professionals.

Mental Health Treatment: Upon arrival at RDC, each youth undergoes psychological evaluation to identify his/her treatment needs. To address the mental health treatment needs of incarcerated youth, BSU provides individual, group, and family psychotherapy; crisis intervention services including assessment and prevention of self-injurious behavior; psychological assessment; case consultation; and staff training in treatment issues. Each institution has a part-time consulting psychiatrist who provides psychiatric evaluation and medication management. BSU provides 24/7 on-call services to respond to mental health emergencies including commitment to psychiatric hospital.

BSU staffs intensive services units in the larger institutions to address the treatment needs of youth with significant emotional problems who cannot function well in the general population. In addition, BSU staff play integral roles in the design and implementation of treatment programs for special populations including the intellectually challenged and girls.

Substance Abuse Treatment: During evaluation at RDC, the substance abuse treatment needs of youth are assessed and an individualized treatment plan is developed. State of the art approaches for the treatment of adolescent substance abusers are employed and include psychoeducation and process groups. BSU staffs a self-contained unit for girls and three self-contained units for boys with significant substance abuse problems. Treatment completion generally requires three to six months.

Sex Offender Treatment: DJJ opened its first state-operated juvenile sex offender treatment unit in January 1990. The program has significantly expanded since that time in order to provide services to all juvenile sex offenders in the system. DJJ currently has 11 self-contained sex offender treatment units across five JCCs. Beaumont and Culpeper offer treatment to older juveniles; Hanover and Bon Air offer services to younger high school and middle school juveniles; and Oak Ridge provides treatment to developmentally delayed juveniles of all ages.



Care provided through Health Services

Most juvenile sex offenders are placed in self-contained units. These units offer intensive milieu-based treatment where juveniles reside in housing units with other sex offenders. The units offer a range of treatment modalities that include individual and group psychotherapy, psycho-educational groups, and family psychotherapy. They are typically staffed by a psychologist, social worker, institutional counselor, and juvenile correctional officers. The clinical staff are either licensed or certified as sex offender treatment providers. Juvenile sex offenders are a heterogeneous population and treatment is individualized. However, all sex offenders address a number of core treatment objectives supplemented by individualized treatment activities. On average, successful completion of treatment requires approximately 18 months.

Because of the small number of female sex offenders, it has not been necessary to operate a self-contained sex offender treatment unit for girls.

HEALTH SERVICES

All medical, dental, nursing, and related health services are provided to youth confined within the JCCs. Forty percent of youth have a medical need that requires attention on intake that often is a result of being medically underserved prior to admission. To meet the needs of confined youth, DJJ maintains a staff of board-certified physicians, dentists, and nurses. Services are provided at all JCCs to ensure that the medical, psychiatric and dental care needs of youth are met during

confinement. DJJ has a contract with Anthem to provide access to community health care providers for juveniles confined to detention centers and JCCs. This contract ensures all health care services provided to confined youth, exterior to these confinement facilities, are delivered by qualified practitioners in a cost-effective fashion. The contract exemplifies the efforts of Health Services to provide quality care using the most efficient and economical service delivery models available.

DJJ Health Services division works with the Department of Education (DOE), VDH, Department of Social Services (DSS) and the Department of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse Services (DMHMRSAS) on a CDC initiative that encourages state agencies to work collaboratively to address the health needs of high risk youth.

The health services clinics in DJJ are clinical training sites for medical and nurse practitioner students from Virginia Commonwealth University and nurse practitioner students from George Mason University. The clinical staff of DJJ offers education courses to physician, dental, and nursing staff who work in correctional and detention centers from across the commonwealth to ensure that they are current on adolescent health medical issues.

SECURITY AND OPERATIONS

The Security and Operations section of the Division of Institutional Programs incorporates functions that support the facilities and allow them to operate properly. Operations include food service, maintenance, human resources, medical, recreation, and business office responsibilities that involve all personnel, families of the juveniles, volunteers, and the public. Operations staff handles all routine and emergency issues that arise within the JCCs and ensure that all operations are in proper order. The Ward Grievance process operates independently of the institution to provide a grievance procedure which allows juveniles to address issues for which they have expressed concern. Security involves both public safety and the safety of the juveniles themselves, and is facilitated by the correctional officers in the field. Security handles the facilities in a paramilitary style, utilizing the military ranking and title system. Correctional officers operate under standard operating procedures that set forth proper techniques for dealing with various situations.

TREATMENT AND PROGRAMS

The Treatment and Programs section serves in an advisory and monitoring capacity in conjunction with the Security and Operations section. This section monitors how treatment and programs are operated, assesses progress made in programs,



Director Green getting a haircut from a juvenile who participated in the Barbering Program at Beaumont JCC

sets parameters for programs, and deals with broad regulations on classifications as well as the residential location of juveniles from different classifications. They are responsible for establishing and updating the length of stay for each ward and the ward's custody classification. They follow case management practices that will eventually justify the release of a ward back to the community and work with the community to provide a transition and parole plan for re-entry. They ensure that all needed services are available and operational at the facilities. They also serve as a liaison from the field to the administrative offices, and vice versa, for procedures and resources. Treatment services in the JCCs include mental health, substance abuse, sex offender, anger management, and independent living skills development. Rearrest, reconviction, and reincarceration information for juveniles who received substance abuse or sex offender treatment while in the JCCs are provided in the Re-offense Rates section.

YOUTH INDUSTRIES

Juvenile re-entry programs begin with the premise that planning for release starts at intake. Recognizing that many of the youth released to the community from the JCCs are not prepared to enter the work force, the Youth Industries Program was created to help participants overcome barriers to employment. The program was designed as a partnership with the Department of Correctional Education (DCE) and the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL). DJJ manages its programs so that juveniles entrusted to its care are offered meaningful opportunities to learn employable

skills, and to practice those skills in a constructive environment. Participant selection is based on a ward's custody level, age, physical abilities, length of stay, and educational development and requirements. Those wards who meet established criteria, especially those wards who have child support payments, court costs, and/or other restitution to pay, are afforded the opportunity to engage in employment provided both on the JCC grounds and in local communities through agreements with agencies of state and local government, private employers, foundations, and charitable organizations. Additionally, wards who otherwise might not be able to complete or participate in all phases of the work-training program may be offered the opportunity to contribute toward meaningful community service work activities both on the facility grounds and in the local communities.

Each JCC, in cooperation with DCE, develops its own processes to provide work-training programs to their population, based on local resources, staff competencies, and juvenile characteristics. For this reason, programs offered at each of the JCCs vary. However, similar elementary components are provided which all lead towards a positive result as juveniles enter the workforce upon release. In an effort to improve a juvenile's likelihood of success, Youth Industries works with the State's One-Stop Centers, operated through the Virginia Workforce Council and Workforce Investment Boards, to help secure employment and other needed services for these youthful offenders.


Selected youth receive one or more of the following:

- vocational and academic instruction,
- hands-on work-based training,
- ongoing counseling services,
- transitional skills and services,
- and follow-up.

If warranted, participating wards are modestly compensated based on work-training hours completed or receive an established piecework rate. Fields of study may also be integrated with an apprenticeship program. Such fields of study include horticulture, silk screening, offset printing, food service, immediate assembly, woodworking, electrical, barbering, embroidery, vinyl sign-making, advertising and design, and computer repair. Each year, creative arts and crafts work produced by wards throughout DJJ are collected for display and sale at the annual *Expressions Arts and Crafts Exhibit* held at the General Assembly Building at the start of the legislative session.

DJJ continues to utilize the barbering and electrical programs initiated at Beaumont JCC through the FY 2004 DOL grant received in collaboration with DCE. Juveniles from the barbering and

ADMISSIONS BY SECURITY INITIAL CLASSIFICATION LEVEL, FY 2006



62% of wards admitted to the JCCs in FY 2006 had a low or medium initial classification level. (2 juveniles were missing classification scores)

electrical programs have also completed numerous jobs around the Beaumont facility, including electrical wiring and barbering work. To date, nine wards have completed the competencies in the barbering program. Five of the nine have received their barbering licenses.

In FY 2005, a second DOL grant was awarded for the development of a Work/Education Release program to be piloted at Natural Bridge JCC. This program provides selected juveniles an opportunity to obtain higher education or work-experiences outside of a JCC campus. Wages earned are first used to pay any restitutions, fines or court costs. The program has 10-available beds for participating juveniles in living quarters that are separate from other juveniles housed at Natural Bridge JCC. By the end of FY 2006, there had been a total of 33 juveniles enrolled in the program.

In addition to the Work/Education Release Component, the grant also provided funding for barbering and horticulture programs at Culpeper JCC, a facility which now houses a population of older juveniles with longer lengths of stay. Currently, the juveniles at Culpeper JCC who participate in the barbering program provide grooming services for other juveniles housed at the facility and in the coming months, DJJ plans to allow these juveniles to begin providing barbering services to staff as well. The horticulture program is operational in a classroom setting with both a teacher and supplies and a greenhouse is currently being constructed. The juveniles will be able to assist with landscaping the facility grounds seasonally, as well as being able to take on additional projects.

Those juveniles who participate in a program under the DOL grant are provided with an aftercare component that focuses on the

employability of the juvenile through education, work experience, direct job placement, follow-up, or a combination of these.

CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

The Board of Juvenile Justice Policy 19-00 states, “the Department has no higher duty than to provide for the safety of juveniles in its facilities and of the staff who supervise and care for them. Classification is essential to the operation of an orderly and safe juvenile correctional system. Classification enables the Department to gauge the proper custody level of a juvenile, to identify the juvenile’s educational, vocational and psychological needs, and to separate violent and non-violent juveniles.”

In 2001, the Department implemented an objective classification system to enable staff to assess wards’ appropriate security and custody levels, determine the most appropriate services and programs for all wards, assign juveniles to appropriate housing placements within a facility, and assess wards for placement in community transition programs and for special needs. The classification system also provides for periodic reviews of security, custody, and program placement in light of juveniles’ needs and progress and for the re-classification of wards as appropriate. A wards behavior, initial committing offense and willingness to participate in treatment programs will impact the classification level.

Wards are classified according to their need for structure:

- Level I - low structure
- Level II - medium structure
- Level III - high structure
- Level IV - intensive structure


Facilities are classified according to the level of security they provide:

- Level I - low security, structure, and supervision
- Level II - medium security, structure, and supervision
- Level III - high security, structure, and supervision
- Level IV - intensive security, structure, and supervision

Wards are assigned to facilities based on classification levels, age, sex, and other factors identified in Appendix D. The need for specific treatment may override a classification level, but classification level is still taken into consideration for room assignment, not treatment needs. For example, a Level I ward and a Level IV ward may both participate in Sex Offender treatment together, but they will not be housed in the same living quarters.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

ADMISSIONS BY LENGTH OF STAY ASSIGNMENTS, FY 2006



12% of wards admitted to the JCCs in FY 2006 had a determinate or blended sentence. Of those with an indeterminate sentence, 22% were assigned a minimum LOS range of 18 or more months.

LENGTH OF STAY (LOS)

Using guidelines issued by the Board of Juvenile Justice, the Department establishes the length of stay for indeterminately committed juveniles based on the severity of a juvenile's offense(s), chronicity of criminal behavior, and any aggravating or mitigating factors associated with the criminal behavior, with due consideration given to the youth's treatment needs and demonstrated behavior while under the Department's supervision. LOS categories are defined by an anticipated minimum and maximum number of months that the ward will

remain with DJJ. For example, the LOS category 3-6 indicates a ward is expected to stay between 3 and 6 months. The actual length of stay may vary from this range due to institutional offenses or failure to complete mandatory treatment. The guidelines and LOS categories can be found in Appendix E.

RELEASES BY ACTUAL LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2006*

LOS Category	Actual LOS	Releases	Releases, %
3 - 6 months	5.12	59	6.7%
6 - 9 months	6.57	5	0.6%
6 - 12 months	7.49	210	23.9%
9 - 12 months	13.45	2	0.2%
9 - 15 months	10.64	32	3.6%
12 - 18 months	12.39	228	26.0%
15 - 21 months	13.12	53	6.0%
18 - 24 months	16.77	53	6.0%
18 - 36 months	20.00	107	12.2%
21 - 36 months	20.50	13	1.5%
24 - 36 months	26.43	15	1.7%
Blended	27.44	12	1.4%
Total Indeterminate	12.35	781	89.1%
Determinate	28.04	84	9.6%


*Four juveniles had subsequent commitments with an LOS category exceeding 36 months

The average actual length of stay for juveniles admitted with a determinate sentence is 28 months.

PROJECTION FOR THE INSTITUTIONAL POPULATION

Each year the Department of Juvenile Justice, in conjunction with the Governor's Inmate Population Forecasting Committee, produces a projection of the state responsible juvenile average daily population. The most recent forecast projects the institutional population to decrease by 3% between FY 2006 and FY 2010. It is important to note that the values shown in the table are the June values for each fiscal year.

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (JUNE) - HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED, FY 2002-2010



The state responsible juvenile offender population (including private provider programs) is expected to decrease by 3% between FY 2006 - 2010. The historical ADP and forecast numbers shown in the table are the June values for each FY.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Virginia Wilderness Institute (VWI)

The Virginia Wilderness Institute (VWI) is a 32-bed facility that serves committed offenders. Remotely located in Grundy, Virginia, VWI is a highly structured, disciplined, residential program for males. The program focuses on accountability and competency. The facility emphasizes work ethics, education, self-discipline, responsibility, and accountability through participation in rigorous work and daily structure. VWI offers a full range of academic and vocational instruction. A community coordinator works with each ward, both before and after release from the facility, to assist in transition to the community. The community coordination includes assistance with job search, school re-enrollment, and locating other support services in the community. Information on rearrest, reconviction, and reincarceration rates for juveniles placed at VWI may be found in the Reoffense Rates section.


PLACEMENTS BY RACE, SEX, AGE, AND REGION FY 2003-2006

Demographics	2003	2004	2005	2006
Race				
Black	62.8%	75.0%	66.7%	57.1%
White	32.6%	25.0%	23.1%	37.1%
Hispanic	2.3%	0.0%	5.1%	2.9%
Other	2.3%	0.0%	5.1%	2.9%
Sex				
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age				
14	4.7%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%
15	9.3%	10.4%	10.3%	11.4%
16	32.6%	31.3%	33.3%	31.4%
17	34.9%	47.9%	30.8%	40.0%
18	16.3%	10.4%	15.4%	17.1%
19 or older	2.3%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Region				
Western	30.2%	14.6%	10.3%	11.4%
Northern	11.6%	25.0%	23.1%	37.1%
Eastern	58.1%	60.4%	66.7%	51.4%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>43</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>35</i>

PLACEMENTS BY LOS ASSIGNMENTS, FY 2006

LOS Category	Placements	LOS Category	Placements
6 - 12 Months	2	18 - 36 Months	5
12 - 18 Months	13	21 - 36 Months	1
15 - 21 Months	8	Determinate	2
18 - 24 Months	4	Total	35

VWI ADP vs CAPACITY, FY 2003-2006



Juveniles taking part in a college biology class in partnership with Southwestern Virginia Community College

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Chesapeake Community Placement Program (CPP)

Chesapeake Juvenile Services operates the Community Placement Program (CPP) to serve committed male offenders through a contractual partnership between DJJ and the detention center. This 10-bed program began in December of 2004 and allows for placement of state-committed juveniles in a smaller, community-based setting. Pursuant to the contractual agreement, the Chesapeake CPP utilizes a positive-based, incentive-earning, behavior management program and offers services including anger management, substance abuse, life and employability skills, and vocational education. Facility-specific services include Moral Reconciliation Therapy, family therapy, and a newly-instituted horticulture program, whereby residents maintain a greenhouse on the premises. Residents are enrolled in an accredited local school program, licensed by the Department of Education, and may work toward a high school diploma or GED.

PLACEMENTS BY RACE, SEX, AGE, AND REGION FY 2006

Demographics	Total	%
Race		
Black	16	64.0%
White	7	28.0%
Hispanic	2	8.0%
Other	0	0.0%
Sex		
Male	25	100.0%
Female	0	0.0%
Age		
Under 14	0	0.0%
14	1	4.0%
15	4	16.0%
16	10	40.0%
17	10	40.0%
18 or older	0	0.0%
Region		
Western	1	4.0%
Northern	1	4.0%
Eastern	23	92.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	25	100.0%



Juveniles taking part in moral reconnection therapy at CPP

PLACEMENTS BY LOS ASSIGNMENTS, FY 2006

LOS Category Placements		LOS Category Placements	
3 - 6 Months	1	15-21 Months	1
6 - 12 Months	6	18-24 Months	2
12-18 Months	14	24-36 Months	1

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2006*

Most Serious Offense	Total	%
Offense Category		
Assault	1	4.0%
Burglary	6	24.0%
Disorderly Conduct	1	4.0%
Fraud	2	8.0%
Kidnapping	1	4.0%
Larceny	8	32.0%
Narcotics	2	8.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	1	4.0%
Robbery	1	4.0%
Vandalism	2	8.0%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	3	12.0%
Weapons/Narcotics	0	0.0%
Other	19	76.0%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	1	4.0%
Other	1	4.0%
Prob./Parole Violation	1	4.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	25	100.0%

*None of the wards placed at Chesapeake CPP in FY 2006 had determinate sentences.

- *Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):*
 - Felony - 88.0% of placements
 - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 8.0% of placements
- *Average Daily Population vs Capacity:*
 - In FY 2006, ADP was 10 and capacity was also 10.

Shenandoah Community Placement Program (CPP)

The Community Placement Program (CPP) at Shenandoah Valley Juvenile Center, which began in April of 2004, serves committed male offenders through a contractual partnership between DJJ and the detention center. The program allows for placement of state-committed juveniles in a smaller, community-based setting by providing them with a secure 10-bed pod separate from the detention population. CPP utilizes a positive-based, incentive-earning, behavior management program and offers services based upon a cognitive-behavioral theoretical model including anger management and substance abuse. The Department of Education provides the educational program as well as a teacher specifically dedicated to CPP. The curriculum includes life, employability and vocational skills. In addition, CPP residents may work toward high school diploma credits or work on and even earn a GED while in the Program. Residents who meet the appropriate criteria may qualify for social and community outings, including participation in the Adopt-A-Highway Program.

PLACEMENTS BY RACE, SEX, AGE, AND REGION FY 2006

Demographics	2005	2006
Race		
Black	63.6%	66.7%
White	33.3%	33.3%
Hispanic	0.0%	0.0%
Other	3.0%	0.0%
Sex		
Male	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%
Age		
Under 14	0.0%	4.2%
14	6.1%	4.2%
15	18.2%	25.0%
16	30.3%	20.8%
17	45.5%	45.8%
18 or older	0.0%	0.0%
Region		
Western	30.3%	20.8%
Northern	21.2%	45.8%
Eastern	48.5%	33.3%
<i>Total Placements</i>	33	24

PLACEMENTS BY LOS ASSIGNMENTS, FY 2006

LOS Category	Placements	LOS Category	Placements
3 - 6 Months	1	18-24 Months	1
6 - 12 Months	13	18-36 Months	1
12-18 Months	7	Determinate	1

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2006

Most Serious Offense	Det.	Indet.
Offense Category		
Arson	0.0%	4.3%
Assault	0.0%	13.0%
Fraud	0.0%	4.3%
Gangs	0.0%	13.0%
Larceny	0.0%	30.4%
Narcotics	0.0%	21.7%
Robbery	100.0%	4.3%
Vandalism	0.0%	4.3%
Weapons	0.0%	4.3%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	100.0%	17.4%
Weapons/Narcotics	0.0%	8.7%
Other	0.0%	56.5%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	0.0%	8.7%
Other	0.0%	8.7%
Prob./Parole Violation	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	1	23



CPP juvenile celebrating his high school graduation

- *Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):*
 - Felony - 82.6% of indeterminate placements
 - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 17.4% of indeterminate placements
- *Average Daily Population vs Capacity:*
 - In FY 2006, ADP was 10 and capacity was also 10.

All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Beaumont JCC

Superintendent: Michael Ito

3500 Beaumont Road
Beaumont, Virginia 23014
804-556-3316

PROGRAMS AVAILABLE

Anger Management, Sex Offender, Life Skills, Substance Abuse Treatment, and Youth Industries Programs

The Beaumont facility provides specialized programs such as sex offender treatment, substance abuse treatment, and anger management, as well as individual and group mental health therapy. Beaumont houses the older, more aggressive male offenders in a close custody facility. The Virginia Department of Correctional Education (DCE) offers a comprehensive high school education program via the Paul S. Blandford School and Annex. DCE provides academic, business, career and technical, apprenticeship programs, GED classes and testing, a College Bound Program, and SAT testing.

PLACEMENTS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2003-2006

Demographics	2003	2004	2005	2006
Race				
Black	68.1%	72.6%	70.1%	74.5%
White	26.3%	21.1%	24.3%	20.9%
Hispanic	4.1%	4.6%	3.9%	3.5%
Other	1.6%	1.7%	1.8%	1.1%
Sex				
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age				
Under 14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
15	2.8%	1.3%	2.1%	0.5%
16	30.6%	30.8%	14.1%	23.6%
17	47.2%	41.4%	58.5%	57.6%
18	15.3%	23.6%	21.8%	15.8%
19 or older	3.4%	2.5%	3.5%	2.4%
Total Placements	320	237	284	368

• *Source of Placements:*

- 64.7% were from the Eastern Region, 22.6% were from the Northern Region, and 12.8% were from the Western Region.

• *Juveniles Placed at Beaumont:*

- A juvenile placed in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male.

• *Treatment Programs:*

- 78.8% had a substance abuse treatment need.
- 89.4% had an anger management treatment need.
- 9.2% had a mandatory sex offender treatment need.

• *Committing Offenses:*

- The 368 juveniles placed at Beaumont in FY 2006 were committed for a combined total of 1,323 offenses, with an average of 3.6 offenses per juvenile.

• *Offense Category (Most serious committing offense):*

- Determinate Sentence - Robbery (47.6%)
- Indeterminate Sentence - Larceny (22.0%)

• *Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):*

- Felony - 98.4% of Determinate; 76.4% of Indeterminate
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 14.8% of Indeterminate

• *LOS Assignment:*

- 17.1% had a determinate or blended sentence.
- 41.9% had an assigned LOS of 6-12 or 12-18 months.
- 25.3% (93 wards) had a minimum LOS range 18 or more months.

• *Custody Classification:*

- 39.4% of all juveniles placed in FY 2006 with a classification level of IV were housed at Beaumont.


• *Average Daily Population:*

- ADP remained fairly constant between FY 1998 and FY 2000, then declined 19% between FY 2000 and FY 2001. There was another decrease of 15% between FY 2004 and FY 2006.

• *Utilization Rate:*

- Beaumont's utilization rate increased from 79.2% in FY 2005 to 81.1% in FY 2006.

PLACEMENTS BY TREATMENT NEEDS, FY 2006




All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2006


Most Serious Offense	Det.	Indet.
Offense Category		
Alcohol	0.0%	0.3%
Arson	0.0%	2.3%
Assault	14.3%	11.8%
Burglary	6.3%	13.1%
Contempt of Court	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.7%
Extortion	0.0%	0.7%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.3%
Fraud	0.0%	2.0%
Gangs	3.2%	0.7%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.7%
Larceny	4.8%	22.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.3%
Murder	3.2%	0.3%
Narcotics	6.3%	8.9%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	1.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	1.6%	8.9%
Robbery	47.6%	12.5%
Sex Offense	11.1%	7.2%
Traffic	0.0%	1.6%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.0%	2.0%
Weapons	1.6%	3.0%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	76.2%	34.1%
Weapons/Narcotics	12.7%	10.2%
Other	9.5%	32.1%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	0.0%	8.5%
Other	0.0%	6.2%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	1.6%	8.9%
Total Placements	63	305

¹ - Variations may be due to slightly different offense categorization.


PLACEMENTS BY ASSIGNED LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2006



PLACEMENTS BY CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION LEVELS, FY 2006



CAPACITY, AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, AND PLACEMENTS, FY 1998-2006



All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Bon Air JCC

Superintendent: Linda S. McWilliams

1900 Chatsworth Avenue
Bon Air, Virginia 23235
804-323-2550

PROGRAMS AVAILABLE

Anger Management, Sex Offender, Substance Abuse Treatment, and Youth Industries Programs

During FY 2004, the Bon Air facility housed males ages 11 to 20. In FY 2005, females were transferred from Culpeper JCC to Bon Air making it the only co-ed correctional center housing both males and all females committed to DJJ. All programming for females has been transferred to Bon Air and DJJ is working with DCE to provide enhanced academic and vocational experiences for the females. Gender specific programs and approaches have also been implemented. DCE continues to offer a full high school curriculum for all wards including: GED preparation and testing, Reading Program, Tutorial Program, Distance Learning for future college courses, and Cognitive Skills Training. Specialized programs include sex offender, substance abuse, anger management, and an intensive services program for wards with severe emotional problems. Individual, group, and family therapy services are provided by social workers and psychologists. A consulting psychiatrist monitors the needs of wards requiring psychotropic medication.

PLACEMENTS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2003-2006

Demographics	2003	2004	2005	2006
Race				
Black	69.6%	70.4%	71.8%	73.9%
White	26.6%	25.5%	23.8%	22.2%
Hispanic	2.4%	2.5%	3.0%	2.8%
Other	1.4%	1.6%	1.5%	1.1%
Sex				
Male	100.0%	100.0%	81.5%	80.1%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	18.5%	19.9%
Age				
Under 14	1.9%	2.2%	3.8%	3.1%
14	9.2%	12.9%	10.3%	13.6%
15	23.7%	27.7%	27.0%	31.3%
16	25.8%	31.4%	35.5%	34.7%
17	32.1%	20.8%	17.3%	13.9%
18	6.5%	4.1%	5.3%	2.8%
19 or older	0.7%	0.9%	1.0%	0.6%
Total Placements	414	318	400	352

Source of Placements:

- 62.8% were from the Eastern Region, 26.1% were from the Northern Region, and 11.1% were from the Western Region.

Juveniles Placed at Bon Air:

- A juvenile placed in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 16 years old, and male.

Treatment Programs:

- 66.5% had a substance abuse treatment need.
- 95.2% had an anger management treatment need.
- 11.6% had a mandatory sex offender treatment need.

Committing Offenses:

- The 352 juveniles placed at Bon Air in FY 2006 were committed for a combined total of 1,206 offenses, with an average of 3.4 offenses per juvenile.

Offense Category (Most serious committing offense):

- Determinate Sentence - Robbery (44.8%)
- Indeterminate Sentence - Larceny (26.0%)

Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):

- Felony - 100.0% of Determinate; 76.5% of Indeterminate
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 18.9% of Indeterminate

LOS Assignment:

- 8.2% had a determinate or blended sentence.
- 47.4% had an assigned LOS of 6-12 or 12-18 months.
- 24.4% (86 wards) had a minimum LOS range 18 or more months.

Custody Classification:

- 24.4% of all juveniles placed in FY 2006 with a classification level of IV were housed at Bon Air.


Average Daily Population:

- ADP increased 47% from FY 1998 to FY 2000 then decreased 19% between FY 2000 and FY 2006.

Utilization Rate:

- Bon Air's utilization rate increased from 100.0% in FY 2005 to 120.0% in FY 2006.

PLACEMENTS BY TREATMENT NEEDS, FY 2006




All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2006


Most Serious Offense	Det.	Indet.
Offense Category		
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	2.2%
Assault	13.8%	18.3%
Burglary	3.4%	12.7%
Contempt of Court	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.9%
Escapes	0.0%	0.3%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.3%
Fraud	0.0%	1.5%
Gangs	3.4%	0.3%
Kidnapping	3.4%	0.9%
Larceny	3.4%	26.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	13.8%	0.3%
Narcotics	0.0%	6.5%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	1.2%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	0.0%	4.6%
Robbery	44.8%	10.2%
Sex Offense	13.8%	7.7%
Traffic	0.0%	0.6%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.0%	3.4%
Weapons	0.0%	1.9%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	89.7%	33.1%
Weapons/Narcotics	0.0%	6.5%
Other	10.3%	36.8%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	0.0%	9.9%
Other	0.0%	9.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	0.0%	4.6%
Total Placements	29	323

¹ - Variations may be due to slightly different offense categorization.


PLACEMENTS BY ASSIGNED LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2006



PLACEMENTS BY CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION LEVELS, FY 2006



CAPACITY, AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, AND PLACEMENTS, FY 1998-2006



All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Culpeper JCC

Superintendent: Crystal M. Wynn

12240 Coffeewood Drive
Mitchells, Virginia 22729
540-727-3333

PROGRAMS AVAILABLE

Anger Management, Sex Offender, Substance Abuse Treatment, Independent Living, and Youth Industries Programs

In June 2002, Culpeper JCC was converted to a female-only facility to serve juveniles ages 11 to 20. During FY 2005, Culpeper JCC was converted back to an all male facility with a major emphasis on Circuit Court committed wards who are 18.6 years of age or older. This decision was made in order to meet a federal mandate requiring sight and sound separation for such wards. Several opportunities for work are available to qualified wards. DJJ is working with DCE to provide enhanced academic and vocational experiences for this population of older males. The Department has received a U.S. Department of Labor grant that has helped to address some of the issues presented by this population and specifically targeted vocational training, employability, and reentry. The grant allows for juveniles to receive vocational training in barbering and horticulture.

PLACEMENTS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2003-2006

Demographics	2003	2004	2005	2006
Race				
Black	63.5%	57.5%	65.2%	82.3%
White	35.4%	40.0%	30.4%	15.0%
Hispanic	1.0%	0.0%	3.6%	1.4%
Other	0.0%	2.5%	0.7%	1.4%
Sex				
Male	0.0%	1.3%	66.7%	100.0%
Female	100.0%	98.8%	33.3%	0.0%
Age				
Under 14	6.3%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%
14	7.3%	16.3%	5.8%	0.0%
15	19.8%	17.5%	4.3%	0.0%
16	29.2%	30.0%	13.8%	2.0%
17	33.3%	30.0%	26.8%	34.7%
18	4.2%	6.3%	29.0%	40.1%
19 or older	0.0%	0.0%	17.4%	23.1%
Total Placements	96	80	138	147

Source of Placements:

- 75.5% were from the Eastern Region, 12.9% were from the Northern Region, and 11.6% were from the Western Region.

Juveniles Placed at Culpeper:

- A juvenile placed in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 18 years old, and male.

Treatment Programs:

- 80.3% had a substance abuse treatment need.
- 88.4% had an anger management treatment need.
- 17.7% had a mandatory sex offender treatment need.

Committing Offenses:

- The 147 juveniles placed at Culpeper in FY 2006 were committed for a combined total of 411 offenses, with an average of 2.8 offenses per juvenile.

Offense Category (Most serious committing offense):

- Determinate Sentence - Robbery (54.5%)
- Indeterminate Sentence - Robbery (29.7%)

Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):

- Felony - 100.0% of Determinate; 91.9% of Indeterminate
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 2.7% of Indeterminate

LOS Assignment:

- 74.9% had a determinate or blended sentence.
- 8.9% had an assigned LOS of 6-12 or 12-18 months.
- 12.9% (19 wards) had a minimum LOS range 18 or more months.

Custody Classification:

- 22.5% of all juveniles placed in FY 2006 with a classification level of IV were housed at Culpeper.


Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 53% between FY 2000 and FY 2004, then increased 131% by FY 2006.

Utilization Rate:

- Culpeper's utilization rate increased from 84.7% in FY 2005 to 100.0% in FY 2006.

PLACEMENTS BY TREATMENT NEEDS, FY 2006




All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2006


Most Serious Offense	Det.	Indet.
Offense Category		
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%
Assault	11.8%	8.1%
Burglary	3.6%	5.4%
Contempt of Court	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	1.8%	0.0%
Larceny	0.0%	18.9%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	8.2%	5.4%
Narcotics	3.6%	5.4%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	0.0%	5.4%
Robbery	54.5%	29.7%
Sex Offense	12.7%	21.6%
Traffic	0.9%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.9%	0.0%
Weapons	0.9%	0.0%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	92.7%	64.9%
Weapons/Narcotics	4.5%	10.8%
Other	2.7%	16.2%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	0.0%	2.7%
Other	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	0.0%	5.4%
Total Placements	110	37

¹ - Variations may be due to slightly different offense categorization.


PLACEMENTS BY ASSIGNED LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2006



PLACEMENTS BY CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION LEVELS, FY 2006



CAPACITY, AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, AND PLACEMENTS, FY 1998-2006



All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Hanover JCC

Superintendent: Sharon P. Havens

7093 Broad Neck Road
Hanover, Virginia 23069
804-537-5316

PROGRAMS AVAILABLE

JROTC, Anger Management, Sex Offender, Substance Abuse Treatment, and Youth Industries Programs


The Hanover facility houses male offenders with moderate to serious committing offenses. Specialized programs include JROTC, anger management, sex offender treatment, and substance abuse treatment. To participate in JROTC, wards must be at least in the 9th grade and on a GED or high school diploma track. Participants can be 18 if they are working toward their GED or high school diploma. Recidivism rates for released juveniles who participated in JROTC while at Hanover JCC are provided in the Reoffense Rates section. Due to a renovation project affecting several cottages, Hanover's population had to be reduced. Beginning in May 2005, the Department systematically lowered the population from 154 to 96 wards. Renovations are on schedule to be completed before the end of CY 2006, at which time Hanover's population will be increased.

PLACEMENTS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2003-2006

Demographics	2003	2004	2005	2006
Race				
Black	69.5%	62.9%	69.8%	57.8%
White	27.6%	34.8%	22.9%	33.6%
Hispanic	1.5%	1.7%	6.3%	4.7%
Other	1.5%	0.6%	1.0%	3.9%
Sex				
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age				
Under 14	7.4%	2.2%	2.1%	0.0%
14	25.1%	9.0%	10.9%	4.7%
15	20.2%	23.6%	22.9%	15.6%
16	25.6%	27.0%	26.0%	39.8%
17	18.2%	30.3%	26.6%	35.9%
18	3.4%	7.9%	9.4%	3.1%
19 or older	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.8%
Total Placements	203	178	192	128

- *Source of Placements:*
 - 55.5% were from the Eastern Region, 32.8% were from the Northern Region, and 11.7% were from the Western Region.
- *Juveniles Placed at Hanover:*
 - A juvenile placed in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 16 years old, and male.
- *Treatment Programs:*
 - 62.5% had a substance abuse treatment need.
 - 82.8% had an anger management treatment need.
 - 25.8% had a mandatory sex offender treatment need.
- *Committing Offenses:*
 - The 128 juveniles placed at Hanover in FY 2006 were committed for a combined total of 512 offenses, with an average of 4.0 offenses per juvenile.
- *Offense Category (Most serious committing offense):*
 - Determinate Sentence - Robbery (58.8%)
 - Indeterminate Sentence - Larceny (27.0%)
- *Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):*
 - Felony - 100.0% of Determinate; 95.5% of Indeterminate
 - Class 1 Misdemeanor - 3.6% of Indeterminate
- *LOS Assignment:*
 - 13.3% had a determinate or blended sentence.
 - 45.3% had an assigned LOS of 6-12 or 12-18 months.
 - 31.3% (40 wards) had a minimum LOS range 18 or more months.
- *Custody Classification:*
 - 8.1% of all juveniles placed in FY 2006 with a classification level of IV were housed at Hanover.
- *Average Daily Population:*
 - ADP decreased 48% between FY 1998 and FY 2002, increased by 30% from FY 2002 to FY 2003, and decreased 44% between FY 2003 and FY 2006.
- *Utilization Rate:*
 - Hanover's utilization rate decreased from 100.6% in FY 2005 to 98.0% in FY 2006.

PLACEMENTS BY TREATMENT NEEDS, FY 2006




All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2006


Most Serious Offense	Det.	Indet.
Offense Category		
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	2.7%
Assault	0.0%	5.4%
Burglary	0.0%	22.5%
Contempt of Court	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.9%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	1.8%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	5.9%	1.8%
Larceny	0.0%	27.0%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics	5.9%	3.6%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	0.9%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	0.0%	0.9%
Robbery	58.8%	8.1%
Sex Offense	29.4%	19.8%
Traffic	0.0%	1.8%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.0%	1.8%
Weapons	0.0%	0.9%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	94.1%	45.0%
Weapons/Narcotics	5.9%	3.6%
Other	0.0%	46.8%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	0.0%	0.9%
Other	0.0%	2.7%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	0.0%	0.9%
Total Placements	17	111

¹ - Variations may be due to slightly different offense categorization.


PLACEMENTS BY ASSIGNED LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2006



PLACEMENTS BY CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION LEVELS, FY 2006



CAPACITY, AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, AND PLACEMENTS, FY 1998-2006



All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Natural Bridge JCC

Superintendent: John C. Loving, Jr.

1425 Arnolds Valley Road
Natural Bridge Station, Virginia 24579
540-291-2129

PROGRAMS AVAILABLE

Anger Management, Substance Abuse Treatment, and Youth Industries Programs

The Natural Bridge facility houses male offenders who are lower security risks with all types of committing offenses. The Department places a limited number of major and serious offenders at Natural Bridge as a step down or transition placement prior to release. This facility also offers the Camp New Hope program that provides an outdoor, experiential program of interpersonal, self-reliant, independent, and interdependent relationships in a wilderness setting. Natural Bridge JCC is the pilot-site for the Work Release Program. Funding through the U.S. Department of Labor Grant received at the end of FY 2005 was used to implement the program.

PLACEMENTS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2003-2006

Demographics	2003	2004	2005	2006
Race				
Black	67.4%	62.4%	57.8%	58.6%
White	29.8%	33.1%	39.1%	33.8%
Hispanic	1.7%	3.8%	2.5%	6.2%
Other	1.1%	0.8%	0.6%	1.4%
Sex				
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age				
Under 14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15	5.0%	2.3%	4.3%	3.4%
16	23.8%	21.1%	23.0%	22.1%
17	43.6%	42.1%	39.8%	40.7%
18	19.3%	30.1%	26.1%	23.4%
19 or older	7.7%	4.5%	6.8%	10.3%
Total Placements	181	133	161	145

•Source of Placements:

- 53.1% were from the Eastern Region, 29.7% were from the Northern Region, and 17.2% were from the Western Region.

•Juveniles Placed at Natural Bridge:

- A juvenile placed in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male.

•Treatment Programs:

- 68.3% had a substance abuse treatment need.
- 85.5% had an anger management treatment need.
- 2.8% had a mandatory sex offender treatment need.

•Committing Offenses:

- The 145 juveniles placed at Natural Bridge in FY 2006 were committed for a combined total of 534 offenses, with an average of 3.7 offenses per juvenile.

•Offense Category (Most serious committing offense):

- Determinate Sentence - Robbery (75.0%)
- Indeterminate Sentence - Larceny (22.5%)

•Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):

- Felony - 100.0% of Determinate; 82.2% of Indeterminate
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 15.5% of Indeterminate

•LOS Assignment:

- 11.0% had a determinate sentence.
- 38.6% had an assigned LOS of 6-12 or 12-18 months.
- 33.8% (49 wards) had a minimum LOS range 18 or more months.

•Custody Classification:

- Less than 1% of all juveniles placed in FY 2006 with a classification level of IV were housed at Natural Bridge.


•Average Daily Population:

- ADP increased 52% between FY 1998 and FY 2000, decreased by 16% from FY 2000 to FY 2005, and increased 7% between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

•Utilization Rate:

- Natural Bridge's utilization rate increased from 78.9% in FY 2005 to 84.5% in FY 2006.

PLACEMENTS BY TREATMENT NEEDS, FY 2006




All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2006


Most Serious Offense	Det.	Indet.
Offense Category		
Alcohol	0.0%	1.6%
Arson	0.0%	0.8%
Assault	6.3%	10.9%
Burglary	6.3%	17.8%
Contempt of Court	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	2.3%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.8%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	1.6%
Gangs	0.0%	0.8%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%
Larceny	0.0%	22.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.8%
Murder	0.0%	2.3%
Narcotics	0.0%	16.3%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	0.8%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	0.0%	2.3%
Robbery	75.0%	11.6%
Sex Offense	12.5%	1.6%
Traffic	0.0%	0.8%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.0%	3.1%
Weapons	0.0%	1.6%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	93.8%	29.5%
Weapons/Narcotics	0.0%	16.3%
Other	6.3%	36.4%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	0.0%	8.5%
Other	0.0%	7.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	0.0%	2.3%
Total Placements	16	129

¹ - Variations may be due to slightly different offense categorization.


PLACEMENTS BY ASSIGNED LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2006



PLACEMENTS BY CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION LEVELS, FY 2006



CAPACITY, AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, AND PLACEMENTS, FY 1998-2006



All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Oak Ridge JCC

Superintendent: Kathryn B. Dickerson

1801 Bon Air Road
Bon Air, Virginia 23235
804-323-2335

PROGRAMS AVAILABLE

Behavioral, Life Skills, Sex Offender, Anger Management, Substance Abuse Treatment, and Youth Industries Programs

The Oak Ridge facility houses male offenders that range in age from 11 to 20 with developmental disabilities and behavior disorders. The population has measured intelligence scores in the borderline to moderately retarded range with Woodcock-Johnson academic achievement scores at or below the fourth grade level. Offenders typically have a history of maladaptive behavior and problems adjusting in school. The facility operates a behavioral "token economy" program and offers mental health services, sex offender, anger management, and substance abuse treatment programs.

PLACEMENTS BY RACE, SEX, AND AGE, FY 2003-2006

Demographics	2003	2004	2005	2006
Race				
Black	79.4%	89.4%	81.1%	89.1%
White	20.6%	10.6%	16.2%	8.7%
Hispanic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	2.2%
Sex				
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age				
Under 14	5.9%	2.1%	0.0%	4.3%
14	17.6%	8.5%	5.4%	4.3%
15	20.6%	27.7%	21.6%	19.6%
16	14.7%	34.0%	29.7%	26.1%
17	32.4%	21.3%	21.6%	41.3%
18	5.9%	6.4%	18.9%	2.2%
19 or older	2.9%	0.0%	2.7%	2.2%
Total Placements	34	47	37	46

Source of Placements:

- 76.1% were from the Eastern Region, 13.0% were from the Northern Region, and 10.9% were from the Western Region.

Juveniles Placed at Oak Ridge:

- A juvenile placed in FY 2006 was most likely to be black, 17 years old, and male.

Treatment Programs:

- 78.3% had a substance abuse treatment need.
- 95.7% had an anger management treatment need.
- 10.9% had a mandatory sex offender treatment need.

Committing Offenses:

- The 46 juveniles placed at Oak Ridge in FY 2006 were committed for a combined total of 154 offenses, with an average of 3.3 offenses per juvenile.

Offense Category (Most serious committing offense):

- Determinate Sentence - Robbery (33.3%)
- Indeterminate Sentence - Larceny (32.5%)

Offense Severity (Most serious committing offense):

- Felony - 100.0% of Determinate; 87.5% of Indeterminate
- Class 1 Misdemeanor - 7.5% of Indeterminate

LOS Assignment:

- 13.1% had a determinate or blended sentence.
- 47.8% had an assigned LOS of 6-12 or 12-18 months.
- 30.4% (14 wards) had a minimum LOS range 18 or more months.

Custody Classification:

- 5.0% of all juveniles placed in FY 2006 with a classification level of IV were housed at Oak Ridge.


Average Daily Population:

- ADP has remained almost constant between FY 1998 and FY 2006.

Utilization Rate:

- Oak Ridge's utilization rate remained the same (97.5%) between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

PLACEMENTS BY TREATMENT NEEDS, FY 2006




All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2006


Most Serious Offense	Det.	Indet.
Offense Category		
Alcohol	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	7.5%
Assault	16.7%	5.0%
Burglary	0.0%	12.5%
Contempt of Court	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0.0%	0.0%
Escapes	0.0%	0.0%
Extortion	0.0%	0.0%
Failure to Appear	0.0%	0.0%
Fraud	0.0%	0.0%
Gangs	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	16.7%	0.0%
Larceny	0.0%	32.5%
Miscellaneous/Other	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	16.7%	0.0%
Narcotics	0.0%	17.5%
Obscenity	0.0%	0.0%
Obstruction of Justice	0.0%	0.0%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	0.0%	5.0%
Robbery	33.3%	12.5%
Sex Offense	16.7%	2.5%
Traffic	0.0%	0.0%
Trespass	0.0%	0.0%
Vandalism	0.0%	5.0%
Weapons	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Severity		
Felonies:		
Against Persons	100.0%	25.0%
Weapons/Narcotics	0.0%	15.0%
Other	0.0%	47.5%
Class 1 Misdemeanors:		
Against Persons	0.0%	5.0%
Other	0.0%	2.5%
Prob./Parole Violation ¹	0.0%	5.0%
Total Placements	6	40

¹ - Variations may be due to slightly different offense categorization.


PLACEMENTS BY ASSIGNED LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2006



PLACEMENTS BY CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION LEVELS, FY 2006



CAPACITY, AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, AND PLACEMENTS, FY 1998-2006



All data were downloaded on 11/14/2006. Any changes after that date are not reflected in this book. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Reoffense Rates



Juveniles taking part in the BADD Day (Bikers Against Drinking and Drugs) at Beaumont Juvenile Correctional Center.

Measuring Reoffense Rates

Recidivism, or reoffending, is an important concept for juvenile and adult criminal justice systems because it provides a measure of outcome success. In terms of public awareness, this concept is usually the primary measure of interest when evaluating program effectiveness. Use of a standardized measure of recidivism allows evaluation across different types of programs and facilitates comparison to program outcomes from other states.

While there are many studies on offender recidivism, comparison of results is often difficult because the evaluation methodologies used vary widely. Definitions of recidivism differ from study to study. Characteristics of the juveniles studied may not be adequately identified. These issues, as well as others surrounding reoffense analysis, were discussed in DJJ's research quarterly on juvenile recidivism in Virginia (available on the DJJ website at www.djj.virginia.gov/Resources/DJJ_Publications/research_quarterlies.cfm)

METHODS FOR STUDYING REOFFENDING

One of the methods used to evaluate reoffending is the longitudinal cohort study. A cohort is simply a group of individuals who share some common characteristic, such as release from incarceration during a specific year. This method may use the entire population of interest or a sample from that population. The chosen cohort is then followed over a period of time so that any trends for that group may be identified. For reoffense rates, a cohort is tracked for a specific follow-up period and any reoffenses are counted.

Most recidivism studies use the longitudinal cohort method, including the recent Florida Department of Corrections report on adult recidivism (2003) and Virginia's annual evaluations of juvenile reoffending (see previous *Data Resource Guides*). This method provides a better picture of long-term trends and allows public safety agencies to see patterns in offending.

The diagram shows a typical path for a longitudinal cohort analysis. First, the cohort is chosen (for example, all juveniles released from juvenile correctional centers during FY 2004). At the end of a specified follow-up period (for this example, 12 months after release) all instances of reoffending are identified. The cohort is then divided into two groups – those who did or did not reoffend.

DEFINITIONS OF REOFFENDING

The American Correctional Association (ACA) has stated that the definition of recidivism is one of the primary issues for juvenile and adult correctional organizations. According to the ACA, "...there are numerous ways to measure recidivism... [d]epending on what perspective is taken, statistical outcomes may vary."

There are three commonly accepted definitions used to measure reoffending:


- *Rearrest* refers to a petitioned juvenile complaint for a new criminal offense made at intake or an adult arrest for a new criminal offense. Rearrest is an important measure of reoffending because it represents

the initial official contact with the criminal justice system. Uses of rearrest rates are limited as a gauge of reoffending because rearrest measures police activity, and juveniles may be rearrested for offenses they did not actually commit.

- *Reconviction* refers to a guilty adjudication for a delinquent or criminal offense. This measure represents a more stringent way to measure reoffending. Because reconviction rates are based on the final disposition for an offense, only cases with an admission of guilt or a court adjudication of guilty are counted. Only reconviction meets the definition of recidivism used by DJJ.
- *Reincarceration* refers to a return to incarceration (after having been previously released from incarceration in a juvenile or adult facility) subsequent to rearrest and reconviction on a new criminal offense. This measure indicates that the new offense is serious enough to warrant a return to incarceration.

A recent report on offender risk assessment in Virginia (Ostrom et al., 2002) emphasized that the way recidivism is defined influences the interpretation of study results. According to their report, there are strengths and weaknesses associated with each measure of recidivism. Use of rearrest as a measure of recidivism has the advantage of not being influenced by court proceedings (offense reduction, plea bargaining, diversion) but may overestimate the level of reoffending because arrest criteria are

REOFFENSE ANALYSIS FOR JUVENILES RELEASED/ PLACED ON PROBATION IN A GIVEN FISCAL YEAR



less stringent than conviction criteria. Rearrest rates represent the *maximum* rate for reoffending as captured in official records.

Use of reconviction as a measure of recidivism lessens the likelihood of overinflating reoffending rates. It should be noted that time to reconviction is best measured as the “number of days until a new arrest for which the offender will be subsequently convicted,” so that any discrepancies in court procedures will not influence the measurement of time to reoffense. Therefore, reconvictions represent a subset of rearrests.

Reincarceration rates offer the most stringent measure of reoffending. Juveniles recommitted to a juvenile correctional center or sentenced to an adult facility have been considered by a judge to have committed offenses serious enough to justify return to a correctional facility. The measure represents a further subset of rearrests – reoffending that has been adjudicated guilty and resulted in reentry into a juvenile correctional facility or sentence for incarceration into an adult facility.

ISSUES WITH THE STUDY OF REOFFENDING

Ostrom et al. (2002) note that studies of reoffending (recidivism) differ in terms of the length of time used for follow-up. Length of follow-up in these studies has ranged anywhere from three months to five years. Most studies use a one year follow-up period to assess reoffending. While reoffending rates are often highest within the first year after release or judicial action, the limitation of follow-up to one year does not allow for a comprehensive analysis of reoffending patterns. Studies of reoffending should use longer follow-up periods in order to get a better idea of the recidivism process. Ostrom et al. (2002) recommend the use of a follow-up period of at least one to three years.

There are other issues relevant to the examination of reoffending, particularly for juveniles. First, most studies have focused on offenders who have been released from correctional centers. Focusing on this group limits the understanding of reoffending rates because it does not allow for examination of all individuals who have contact with the justice system and eliminates the possibility of comparison between individuals who have been incarcerated in secure facilities versus individuals who may have been sent to diversion programs or placed on probation.

Also, few studies have tracked offenses through both the juvenile and adult justice systems. A complete examination of juvenile reoffending is not possible unless all juvenile and adult contacts with the justice system are included.

Additionally, studies of reoffending should include information on gender and age differences when presenting reoffense data, particularly evaluations of juvenile reoffending. There are well documented normal developmental differences between males and females, as well as juveniles in early adolescence versus late adolescence. Therefore, reoffending patterns should not be

assumed to be the same for all juveniles. For example, a 2002 study by DJJ found that female offenders admitted to JCCs were more likely than male offenders to have been admitted for a non-felony. A recent study by Oregon (2004) on juvenile recidivism found that juveniles ages 13-16 were most likely to reoffend within the Oregon juvenile justice system.

DJJ'S STUDY OF JUVENILE REOFFENDING

Data on juvenile offenders in Virginia are contained in the DJJ Juvenile Tracking System (JTS). The JTS contains information on juvenile intakes, detention placements, commitments to juvenile correctional centers or other incarceration alternatives, and probation placements for all localities within Virginia. This information allows for the examination of juvenile reoffending patterns in a standardized way. DJJ also obtains statewide adult arrest and conviction information from the Virginia State Police. In addition, DJJ acquires information on subsequent incarcerations from the Department of Corrections (DOC) and the State Compensation Board. Information from these sources enables the study of statewide juvenile reoffending patterns with long-term follow-up periods.

Juvenile reoffending patterns for FY 2000 through FY 2005 were examined for this *Data Resource Guide*. Juvenile and adult arrest data on juveniles released from Virginia juvenile correctional centers or placed on probation during these years were examined. The follow-up period ranged from a minimum of one year to a maximum of three years, depending on the date the juvenile was released or placed. Demographic information for reoffenses was also included.

Although all three measures of reoffending were included in this *Data Resource Guide*, it is important to note that the official DJJ recidivism definition is based on measures of reconviction. In February 2000, the Director of the Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice issued an administrative directive (07-710) that established an official definition for recidivism to be used by the Department (this directive was updated in December 2004).

For the purposes of reporting recidivism rates of juveniles as required by Code of Virginia §2.2-222, the Department will use the following definition:

A recidivist is a person who is found by a court to have committed, after being (a) placed on probation or (b) released from confinement, a delinquent or criminal act other than violation of probation or parole.

As the recidivism definition currently stands, all instances of petitioned delinquent intakes and adult arrests for criminal activity (for which a juvenile has been adjudicated guilty) that occur after a juvenile is released from a JCC or is placed on probation are collected by DJJ. Technical violations are not included in this definition of reoffending. Tracking information on *all* subsequent offenses provides a better measure of reoffending than simply gathering information from the juvenile justice system alone.

Reoffense Rates for JCC Releases and Probation Placements

Reoffense data were examined for FY 2000 through FY 2005 for the following cohorts:

- **JCC Releases** – all juveniles released from juvenile correctional centers;
- **Probation Placements** – juveniles placed on probation for the first time (the **entire** population was used for FY 2002 through FY 2005; randomly selected, representative samples were used for FY 2000 and FY 2001); and
- **Juveniles in DJJ Programs** – all juveniles who were in various treatment programs (including juveniles served by VJCCCA) or incarceration alternatives (including post-dispositional detention programs) between FY 2003 and FY 2005.

Tables on the next few pages include the following data:

- **Rearrest rates** are presented from FY 2000 and FY 2005, for both JCC Releases and Probation Placement cohorts;
- **Reconviction rates** are presented for FY 2000- FY 2004 for the JCC Release cohorts, and from FY 2001-2004 for the Probation Placement cohorts; and
- **Reincarceration rates** are presented for FY 2000 through FY 2004 JCC Releases. These rates represent recommitment back into a JCC, incarceration in a penitentiary (not including blended sentences), or a jail sentence imposed by a judge. Data on any commitment to a JCC or an adult incarceration are also included for the post-dispositional detention program cohorts.

Reoffense data did not include the following offenses: violation of probation or parole, contempt of court, failure to appear, noncriminal domestic relations/child welfare complaints, or non-criminal traffic violations. Also, only petitioned delinquent intakes were used for the analysis.

When the length of time to rearrest or reconviction is reported, it indicates the time between the date the juvenile was released from a JCC or placed on probation, and the date of a new arrest. For reincarceration length of time, the difference between the release date from a JCC and the reincarceration date was used.

The examination of reoffense rates for DJJ programs and commitment alternatives was expanded this year to include the Apartment Living Program and the Halfway Houses.

There is a slight discrepancy between the total number of JCC Releases/Probation Placements in the reoffense analysis when compared with the total number of juveniles released from the JCCs or placed on probation reported in other sections of this *Data Resource Guide*. This slight discrepancy is due to the following methodological criteria used to establish the cohorts:

- Probation Placement cohorts did not include those few juveniles with missing date of birth data. This information is required to match cases in different state data systems (such as the DJJ JTS and the Virginia Criminal Information Network used by the Virginia State Police).
- Juveniles released from the JCCs under the following conditions were not included in the reoffense analysis: juveniles placed into RDC pre-dispositionally but not committed to the JCCs, juveniles sent directly to DOC upon release from the JCCs, or juveniles released from the JCCs on appeal (these juveniles were included in the analysis for any *subsequent* admissions and releases once the appeal process was completed and the juveniles were found guilty).

TWELVE-MONTH REOFFENSE RATES, FY 2000-2005

	JCC Releases						Probation Placements					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Rearrest	48.9%	49.7%	52.1%	49.5%	51.9%	48.9%	36.8%	36.5%	36.0%	34.9%	35.7%	35.6%
Reconviction	39.2%	41.0%	43.1%	40.3%	40.4%	N/A	N/A	26.0%	26.4%	25.7%	25.6%	N/A
Reincarceration	19.3%	22.0%	22.7%	21.9%	20.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Recidivism rates for FY 2004 (defined as reconviction rates by DJJ) at 12-months were:
 - 40.4% for juveniles released from the JCCs;
 - 25.6% for juveniles placed on probation.
- JCC Releases had higher 12-month rearrest rates than Probation Placements between FY 2000 and FY 2005, and higher reconviction rates between FY 2001 and FY 2004.
- The following reoffending patterns were noted at 12-months comparing FY 2003 and FY 2004:
 - For JCC Releases, the rearrest rate increased while reconviction remained stable and reincarceration rates decreased slightly.
 - For Probation Placements, the rearrest rate increased while the reconviction rate remained the same.

REARREST RATES, FY 2000-2005

Time to Reoffense	JCC Releases						Probation Placements					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
3 months	13.9%	14.9%	15.1%	13.3%	16.4%	14.2%	14.5%	14.3%	14.8%	13.6%	14.5%	14.1%
6 months	29.4%	31.2%	30.2%	29.3%	31.7%	29.1%	24.0%	23.6%	23.9%	21.9%	23.3%	22.9%
12 months	48.9%	49.7%	52.1%	49.5%	51.9%	48.9%	36.8%	36.5%	36.0%	34.9%	35.7%	35.6%
24 months	67.8%	68.5%	70.3%	69.2%	70.3%	N/A	52.2%	50.6%	51.0%	49.8%	50.3%	N/A
36 months	76.3%	76.1%	78.1%	76.4%	N/A	N/A	61.2%	59.8%	59.8%	58.7%	N/A	N/A

As mentioned earlier, DJJ does not use rearrest rates for the official definition for recidivism. Examining rearrest rates is helpful, however, because these rates reflect the level of additional official contact juveniles have with the justice system after release or placement. Also, analysis of rearrest allows for comparison to other studies that use rearrest as their measure of recidivism. Evaluation of rearrest rates provides a sense of the *maximum rate* of known reoffending that occurs over time.

Although FY 2005 reconviction rates are not being reported because of fluctuations in the data resultant of the court process, FY 2005 rearrest rates are considered accurate and thus will be reported.

- Rearrest rates for Probation Placements have been consistently lower than rearrest rates for JCC Releases since FY 2000 (with the exception of the 3-month rearrest rates in FY 2000 and FY 2003).
- The 12-month rearrest rate for JCC Releases decreased from 51.9% in FY 2004 to 48.9% in FY 2005. For Probation Placements, the 12-month rearrest rate remained stable between FY 2004 and FY 2005.
- Rearrest rates by time to reoffense are listed in the table above.
 - For JCC Releases, the rearrest rates between FY 2000 and FY 2005 were relatively stable with the difference in yearly rates ranging between 2% and 3%.
 - Much like JCC Releases, the rearrest rates for Probation Placements also remained relatively stable between FY 2000 and FY 2005. Again, the variation between yearly rates was minimal, ranging between 1% and 3%.
- Of the successfully diverted intakes, 19% were rearrested for a new offense (unrelated to the one for which they were diverted).

TWELVE-MONTH REARREST RATES BY SEX, RACE, AND AGE, FY 2005*

Demographics	JCC Releases			Probation Placements		
	Total	Rearrests		Total	Rearrests	
Sex						
Male	846	427	50.5%	5,461	2,153	39.4%
Female	91	31	34.1%	1,932	477	24.7%
Race						
Black	608	325	53.5%	3,178	1,333	41.9%
White	283	112	39.6%	3,478	1,074	30.9%
Hispanic	33	15	45.5%	528	169	32.0%
Other	13	6	46.2%	209	54	25.8%
Age						
Under 12	0	0	0.0%	100	25	25.0%
12	0	0	0.0%	228	65	28.5%
13	10	5	50.0%	605	232	38.3%
14	23	12	52.2%	1,136	452	39.8%
15	69	39	56.5%	1,556	601	38.6%
16	197	93	47.2%	1,833	634	34.6%
17	274	132	48.2%	1,707	557	32.6%
18 or older	364	177	48.6%	228	64	28.1%
Total	937	458	48.9%	7,393	2,630	35.6%

Demographic data for 12-month rearrest rates for JCC Releases and Probation Placements are presented in the table on the left.

- Males had higher rearrest rates than females for both JCC Releases and Probation Placements.
- Black juveniles had higher rearrest rates for both JCC Releases and Probation Placements.
- Juveniles who were 15-years-old at the time of their release from the JCCs (in FY 2005) had higher rearrest rates than most other age groups.
- One percent (10 juveniles) in FY 2005 were age 13 or younger when they were released from the JCCs. Because this number is small, the rearrest of only a few juveniles strongly influences the rearrest rate.
- For Probation Placements, juveniles who were 14-years-old at the time of probation placement in FY 2005 had the highest rearrest rates.

*Total number of JCC Releases and Probation Placements reported in this section differ from total numbers reported in other sections. Please refer to the Page 183 for an explanation of these slight variations.

RECONVICTION RATES¹

Time to Reoffense	JCC Releases					Probation Placements			
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2001	2002	2003	2004
3 months	9.9%	11.0%	11.0%	9.9%	12.1%	9.3%	10.1%	9.5%	10.1%
6 months	22.4%	24.6%	22.6%	22.7%	24.0%	16.1%	16.5%	15.9%	16.5%
12 months	39.2%	41.0%	43.1%	40.3%	40.4%	26.0%	26.4%	25.7%	25.6%
24 months	58.6%	60.4%	60.8%	58.2%	N/A	39.3%	39.9%	38.0%	N/A
36 months	67.8%	68.9%	69.2%	N/A	N/A	47.7%	47.9%	N/A	N/A

¹The large size of the probation placement samples made it impractical to retrieve reconviction data for years prior to FY 2001.

DJJ's official definition of recidivism requires a new conviction. This rate is preferred because it considers the final adjudication of guilt, rather than level of arrest activity. Only offenses for which there has been a clear final disposition of guilt are counted as reconvictions. Because there is a larger number of cases still pending at the time of analysis, the most recent reconviction rates are unavailable (FY 2005 rates, FY 2004 24-month rate, FY 2003 36-month rate). These missing cases significantly effect the rate, for example, the reconviction rate for the JCC 2004 release population was reported last year as 37.6%. When that same population was examined this year, the rate increased to 40.4%. For purposes of accuracy and consistency, DJJ is reporting updated FY 2004 12-month reconviction rates.

- Reconviction rates for Probation Placements have been consistently lower than the reconviction rates for JCC Releases.
- The 12-month reconviction rates for JCC Releases and Probation Placements remained stable from FY 2003 to FY 2004.
- Reconviction rates by time to reoffense are listed in the table above.
 - For JCC Releases, the reconviction rates remained relatively stable, varying by only 1% to 4%, between FY 2000 and FY 2004.
 - For Probation Placements, the reconviction rate also showed little fluctuation. Between FY 2001 and FY 2004 Probation Placement reconviction rates only varied, in most instances, by less than one percent.

TWELVE-MONTH RECONVICTION RATES BY SEX, RACE, AND AGE, FY 2004 (UPDATED)*

Demographics	JCC Releases			Probation Placements		
	Total	Reconvictions		Total	Reconvictions	
Sex						
Male	991	414	41.8%	5,729	1,595	27.8%
Female	98	26	26.5%	1,933	366	18.9%
Race						
Black	691	293	42.4%	3,190	990	31.0%
White	354	138	39.0%	3,736	814	21.8%
Hispanic	29	7	24.1%	510	114	22.4%
Other	15	2	13.3%	226	43	19.0%
Age						
Under 12	0	0	0.0%	123	14	11.4%
12	2	1	50.0%	258	57	22.1%
13	6	4	66.7%	615	171	27.8%
14	39	17	43.6%	1,185	315	26.6%
15	98	47	48.0%	1,624	430	26.5%
16	215	94	43.7%	1,820	471	25.9%
17	308	129	41.9%	1,791	451	25.2%
18 or older	421	148	35.2%	246	52	21.1%
Total	1,089	440	40.4%	7,662	1,961	25.6%

Demographic data for 12-month reconviction rates for JCC Releases and Probation Placements are presented in the table on the left.

- Males had higher reconviction rates than females for both JCC Releases and Probation Placements.
- Black juveniles had the highest reconviction rates for both JCC Releases and Probation Placements.
- Juveniles who were 15-years-old at the time of their release from the JCCs (in FY 2004) had higher reconviction rates than most other age groups.
 - Less than one percent (8 juveniles) in FY 2004 were age 13 or younger when they were released from the JCCs. Because this number is small, the reconviction of only a few juveniles strongly influences the rate.
- For Probation Placements, juveniles who were 13-years-old at the time of probation placement in FY 2004 had the highest reconviction rates.

*Total number of JCC Releases and Probation Placements reported in this section differ from total numbers reported in other sections. Please refer to the Page 183 for an explanation of these slight variations.

REINCARCERATION RATES, FY 2000-2004

DJJ now tracks both juvenile and adult reincarceration. Reincarceration rates are based on any new commitment to a JCC, DOC, or a sentence for time in a local jail. The addition of adult reincarceration data allows for a complete comparison of reoffense rates for all former DJJ wards, from rearrest to possible reincarceration. The inclusion of adult incarceration information, for both the prisons and jails, is especially useful because DJJ retains custody of some wards until age 21.

Reincarceration rates presented in this *Data Resource Guide* may differ from rates presented in previous years because of updated information obtained from DOC and from the Virginia Compensation Board (local jail sentence information) for FY 2000 through FY 2004 JCC Releases.

Time to Reoffense	JCC Releases				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
3 months	2.2%	2.0%	2.1%	1.8%	2.6%
6 months	7.5%	8.0%	7.7%	8.8%	8.0%
12 months	19.3%	22.0%	22.7%	21.9%	20.8%
24 months	40.6%	40.9%	42.6%	41.7%	N/A
36 months	52.0%	53.0%	54.9%	N/A	N/A

- Reincarceration rates remained relatively stable for most follow-up intervals between FY 2000 and FY 2004.
- Each year between 7% and 8% of JCC Releases are recommitted to the JCCs within 12-months of release. The remaining reincarcerations are into adult facilities.

TWELVE-MONTH REINCARCERATION RATES BY SEX, RACE, AND AGE, FY 2004* (UPDATED)

Demographic data for 12-month reincarceration rates for JCC Releases are presented in the table below.

- Males had higher reincarceration rates than females.
- Black juveniles had the highest reincarceration rates, followed by white juveniles.
- Reincarceration rates were highest for juveniles who were age 17 at the time of their release from the JCCs.
 - Less than one percent (8 juveniles) were age 13 or younger when they were released from the JCCs in FY 2004. Because this number is small, the reincarceration of only a few juveniles strongly influences the rate.

Demographics	JCC Releases		
	Total	Reincarcerations	
Sex			
Male	991	214	21.6%
Female	98	12	12.2%
Race			
Black	691	155	22.4%
White	354	65	18.4%
Hispanic	29	4	13.8%
Other	15	2	13.3%
Age			
Under 12	0	0	0.0%
12	2	1	50.0%
13	6	1	16.7%
14	39	10	25.6%
15	98	22	22.4%
16	215	49	22.8%
17	308	84	27.3%
18 or older	421	59	14.0%
Total	1,089	226	20.8%



*Total number of JCC Releases and Probation Placements reported in this section differ from total numbers reported in other sections. Please refer to the Page 183 for an explanation of these slight variations.

TWELVE-MONTH REARREST AND RECONVICTION RATES BY COURT DISTRICT*

CSU	JCC Releases				Probation Placements			
	FY 2005		FY 2004		FY 2005		FY 2004	
	Total Cases	Rearrest	Total Cases	Reconviction	Total Cases	Rearrest	Total Cases	Reconviction
1	22	40.9%	25	56.0%	272	41.9%	274	27.4%
2	55	45.5%	64	42.2%	328	38.1%	318	34.3%
02A	16	31.3%	17	29.4%	67	25.4%	118	11.0%
3	31	41.9%	39	41.0%	158	39.2%	146	30.8%
4	67	53.7%	107	41.1%	314	43.0%	301	32.6%
5	31	51.6%	41	34.1%	132	36.4%	143	24.5%
6	16	43.8%	29	31.0%	84	54.8%	72	36.1%
7	68	50.0%	69	27.5%	214	44.9%	290	23.8%
8	35	48.6%	31	32.3%	104	37.5%	114	23.7%
9	25	24.0%	35	22.9%	107	43.0%	99	23.2%
10	16	37.5%	17	35.3%	155	31.6%	121	29.8%
11	36	44.4%	37	43.2%	122	46.7%	107	38.3%
12	34	64.7%	31	51.6%	149	57.0%	142	41.5%
13	73	60.3%	87	50.6%	260	50.0%	250	38.0%
14	26	53.8%	25	36.0%	346	41.6%	352	33.0%
15	79	49.4%	83	49.4%	372	37.1%	448	31.0%
16	42	57.1%	48	45.8%	321	31.2%	382	23.6%
17	20	25.0%	11	18.2%	237	14.8%	221	14.0%
17F	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	27.3%	20	15.0%
18	15	46.7%	13	23.1%	153	30.7%	164	29.9%
19	27	51.9%	41	43.9%	1,018	30.9%	1,064	19.0%
20L	2	50.0%	4	25.0%	70	35.7%	87	24.1%
20W	5	60.0%	3	66.7%	118	29.7%	95	17.9%
21	11	72.7%	24	41.7%	191	35.1%	185	29.2%
22	33	36.4%	36	36.1%	159	32.7%	213	19.7%
23	4	75.0%	10	30.0%	32	34.4%	35	31.4%
23A	9	55.6%	8	37.5%	64	43.8%	79	34.2%
24	40	45.0%	35	45.7%	276	31.5%	268	27.6%
25	20	40.0%	35	45.7%	144	41.0%	142	31.0%
26	22	63.6%	18	61.1%	168	39.9%	122	23.0%
27	6	50.0%	14	50.0%	265	28.3%	257	19.8%
28	2	0.0%	7	42.9%	113	34.5%	113	21.2%
29	10	30.0%	7	28.6%	172	28.5%	183	13.1%
30	7	57.1%	6	16.7%	233	30.5%	277	15.9%
31	30	56.7%	32	28.1%	464	28.9%	460	25.9%
Total	937	48.9%	1,089	40.4%	7,393	35.6%	7,662	25.6%

The CSU District is identified by the Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court that committed the juvenile to the Department or placed the juvenile on probation.

*Total number of JCC Releases and Probation Placements reported in this section differ from total numbers reported in other sections. Please refer to the Page 183 for an explanation of these slight variations.

TWELVE-MONTH REOFFENSE RATES FOR JCC RELEASES AND PROBATION PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL*

Risk Level	JCC Releases			Probation Placements	
	FY 2005	FY2004		FY 2005	FY2004
	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	Rearrest	Reconviction
Low	27.1%	19.0%	10.3%	23.5%	15.2%
Moderate	47.4%	37.3%	16.9%	37.4%	29.2%
High	52.9%	44.4%	23.6%	51.5%	38.9%

The Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice Risk Assessment Instrument (see Appendix H) is completed by CSU staff to determine a juvenile's relative risk of reoffending. The risk assessment instrument classifies reoffense risk as low, moderate, or high. The risk assessment is completed as part of a social history report, and is therefore not completed for all juveniles. A juvenile's risk assessment score is one of the factors examined when parole supervision level is established. Juveniles with high risk assessment scores usually receive higher levels of parole supervision when first released from the JCCs.

The table above presents the reoffense rates for JCC Releases and Probation Placements with completed risk assessment scores. For both JCC Releases and Probation Placements, juveniles with low risk levels had the lowest reoffense rates and juveniles with high risk levels had the highest reoffense rates. These rates are consistent with probable reoffense risk (according to risk score levels). In FY 2004, 6% of JCC Releases and 18% of Probation Placements were missing risk assessment scores.

- JCC Releases had somewhat higher rearrest and reconviction rates than Probation Placements at the low risk level.
- For the moderate risk level, both rearrest and reconviction rates for JCC Releases were markedly higher than the rates for Probation Placements.
- While reconviction rates at the high risk level were higher for the JCC Releases than the Probation Placements, there was not much difference between rearrest rates.
- When looking at the various risk levels in reference to reoffense data, the rates show that the Risk Assessment Instrument is accurately classifying juveniles with regard to their risk of reoffense.

REARREST RATE COMPARISON FOR JUVENILES PLACED IN VJCCCA PROGRAMS, FY 2003-2005*

Time to Rearrest	VJCCCA Placements			Probation Placements			JCC Releases		
	2003	2004	2005	2003	2004	2005	2003	2004	2005
3 months	17.0%	16.7%	17.3%	13.6%	14.5%	14.1%	13.3%	16.4%	14.2%
6 months	25.6%	24.9%	26.0%	21.9%	23.3%	22.9%	29.3%	31.7%	29.1%
12 months	36.9%	36.1%	37.7%	34.9%	35.7%	35.6%	49.5%	51.9%	48.9%
Total Juveniles	12,314	12,851	12,862	7,747	7,662	7,393	1,169	1,089	937

VJCCCA programs serve thousands of juveniles each year, with a variety of programs in each locality. One of the outcome measures chosen for evaluation of VJCCCA programs is rearrest (defined as a new petitioned intake or adult arrest). The rearrest rates at 3-, 6- and 12-month follow-up intervals for juveniles who were placed in VJCCCA programs are provided in the table above. For comparative purposes, the rearrest rates for Probation Placements and JCC Releases for these years are also listed.

- The rearrest rates at the 3-, 6- and 12-month follow-up intervals remained relatively stable for the VJCCCA Placements, as well as for the Probation Placements and JCC Releases.
- For FY 2003 through FY 2005, VJCCCA Placements had the highest 3-month rearrest rates when compared to JCC Releases and Probation Placements. The 6- and 12-month rearrest rates for VJCCCA Placements were lower than the rearrest rates for JCC Releases but higher than the rates for Probation Placements.

*Total number of JCC Releases and Probation Placements reported in this section differ from total numbers reported in other sections. Please refer to the Page 183 for an explanation of these slight variations.

TWELVE-MONTH REOFFENSE RATES FOR AGENCY PROGRAMS AND COMMITMENT ALTERNATIVES

	Rearrest			Reconviction		Reincarceration	
	2003	2004	2005	2003	2004	2003	2004
Substance Abuse Treatment Need	50.9%	53.6%	50.4%	41.0%	41.2%	22.4%	20.6%
Sex Offender Treatment Need	29.3%	35.7%	29.7%	23.9%	27.6%	10.9%	9.2%
Youth Industries	34.0%	34.1%	30.8%	22.6%	14.6%	11.3%	7.3%
Hanover JROTC	27.8%	15.2%	32.3%	25.0%	15.2%	13.9%	9.1%
RSAT Program	24.0%	20.0%	17.9%	20.0%	10.0%	6.0%	3.3%
Virginia Wilderness Institute	50.0%	38.1%	32.4%	45.5%	28.6%	22.7%	16.7%
Halfway Houses	37.5%	41.3%	44.0%	30.2%	32.6%	14.6%	17.4%
Apartment Living Program	N/A	48.3%	45.2%	N/A	37.9%	N/A	17.2%
Post-D Detention (with programs)	40.4%	42.9%	46.0%	33.8%	33.2%	13.8%	17.1%

Many juveniles committed to DJJ participate in programs designed to meet their treatment needs. There are also various programmatic opportunities to assist in the successful return of these committed juveniles to the community. Not all juveniles who are adjudicated guilty are placed in the JCCs, however. There are commitment alternatives available to judges for placement of juveniles who may be better served in non-JCC residential facilities. The table above presents the 12-month reoffense rates for juveniles in these programs who were released from the JCCs or post-dispositional detention programs between FY 2003 to FY 2005.

The table is not intended to provoke a comparison between the different programs – these programs often serve vastly different groups of juveniles with varying offense histories, treatment needs, and skills. Also, some programs serve a small number of youth each year – in such instances the reoffenses of only a few juveniles may result in a seemingly “high” overall reoffense rate. The information in this table may be useful as DJJ evaluates these programs and commitment alternatives over time. Also, these data may serve as a source of comparison for similar programs in other states. It should be noted that all programs in the table *except post-dispositional detention* are subsets of the overall JCC population; no comparisons are made between program reoffense rates and the overall JCC release rates for that reason.

Substance Abuse Treatment Need – between FY 2003 and FY 2004 the reincarceration rate decreased.

Sex Offender Treatment Need – The reconviction rate increased from FY 2003 to FY 2004, but over that same time, the reincarceration rate remained stable. These rates include only males with a sex offender treatment need (see pages 184 - 186 for the reoffense rates for male JCC Releases).

Youth Industries Programs – all reoffense rates decreased between FY 2003 and FY 2005.

Hanover JCC Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps (JROTC) – all reoffense rates decreased between FY 2003 and FY 2004; in FY 2005 rearrest rates increased.

Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) Program – all reoffense rates decreased over the fiscal years examined. The RSAT program only serves females in the JCCs (see pages 184 -186 for the reoffense rates for female JCC Releases).

Virginia Wilderness Institute (VWI) – all reoffense rates decreased over the fiscal years examined.

Halfway Houses – all reoffense rates increased over the fiscal years examined.

Apartment Living Program – rearrest rates declined slightly between FY 2004 and FY 2005. Reconviction and reincarceration rates are currently only available for FY 2004.

Post-Dispositional Detention (with programs) – rearrest and reincarceration rates increased between FY 2003 and FY 2004. Although juveniles placed into post-dispositional programs were not in the JCCs, a “reincarceration” rate is reported for FY 2003 and FY 2004 to illustrate the rate of their return to a secure setting.

Appendices



In the Virginia Wilderness Institute's dog training program, juveniles train service dogs for people with special needs. (Operated in cooperation with Canine Assistants).

Appendix A

CSU STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2006*

District	Clerical/Admin Support	Psychologist	Probation Officers	Probation Supv / Mgrs	CSU Director	Total
1 - Chesapeake	6	0	21	4	1	32
2 - Virginia Beach	7	0	32	6	1	46
2A - Accomack	2	0	6	1	1	10
3 - Portsmouth	6	0	16	3	1	26
4 - Norfolk	13	0	54	11	1	79
5 - Suffolk	4	0	10	2	1	17
6 - Hopewell	6	0	10	2	1	19
7 - Newport News	8	0	31	5	1	45
8 - Hampton	8	0	18	3	1	30
9 - Williamsburg	7	0	14	2	1	24
10 - Charlotte Court House	6	0	12.5	2	1	21.5
11 - Petersburg	6	0	11	3	1	21
12 - Chesterfield	7	0	19	3	1	30
13 - Richmond	10	1	28	7	1	47
14 - Henrico	6	0	21	3	1	31
15 - Fredericksburg	9	0	31	6	1	47
16 - Charlottesville	8	0	17	3	1	29
18 - Alexandria	5	0	12	3	1	21
20L - Loudoun	3	0	7	2	1	13
20W - Warrenton	1	0	3	1	1	6
21 - Martinsville	2	0	12	2	1	17
22 - Rocky Mount	5	0	15	2	1	23
23 - Salem	2	0	8	2	1	13
23A - Roanoke	4	0	13	2	1	20
24 - Lynchburg	6	0	21	3	1	31
25 - Staunton	7	0	15	2	1	25
26 - Winchester	4	0	11	1	1	17
27 - Pulaski	5	0	19	3	1	28
28 - Abingdon	4	0	10	1	1	16
29 - Pearisburg	7	1	13	2	1	24
30 - Gate City	4	0	9	1	1	15
31 - Manassas	5	1	29	7	1	43
CSU Totals (Filled)	183	3	548.5	100	32	866.5
CSU Totals (Vacancies)	18	0	34	0	0	52

*Districts 17, 17F, and 19 are not included because they are locally funded.

Note: Part-time employee positions are denoted by a 0.5 value.

Appendix B JCC STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2006

Job Title	Beaumont	Bon Air	Culpeper	Hanover	Natural Bridge	Oak Ridge	RDC	Total
Superintendent	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7
Assistant Superintendent	2	3	2	3	2	2	2	16
Captain	5	4	1	1	1	1	1	14
Lieutenant	6	6	5	5	5	4	4	35
Sergeant	27	25	11	19	6	6	17	111
JCO/JCO Sr.	210	153	73	70	37	28	64	635
Treatment Staff	15	13	8	10	6	5	16	73
Medical Staff	8	0	4	4	2	0	0	18
Maintenance Staff	12	0	4	8	4	0	0	28
Food Service Staff	21	0	11	12	8	0	0	52
Clerical/Administrative Staff	18	15	10	8	6	5	15	77
BSU Staff	15	16	5	13	2	2	8.5	61.5
Facility Totals (Filled)	340	236	135	154	80	54	128.5	1,127.5
Facility Totals (Vacancies)	26	49	84	29	8	5	12	213

Note: Bon Air, Oak Ridge, and RDC utilize contractual services for food service. These facilities share staff at Central Infirmary (Filled 21.5; Vacant: 13) and Central Maintenance (Filled: 15; Vacant: 1).

Appendix C JCC EXPENDITURES, FY 2006

	Beaumont	Bon Air	Culpeper	Hanover	Natural Bridge	Oak Ridge	RDC	Grand Total
Personal Service	15,994,976	10,808,059	6,871,679	7,470,776	4,243,034	2,993,608	6,882,571	55,264,703
Contractual Services	885,762	1,213,349	659,359	727,489	183,987	253,017	590,527	4,513,490
Supplies & Materials	1,799,638	513,682	874,004	841,415	454,361	150,528	259,642	4,893,270
Continuous Charges	14,864	24,032	3,483	2,103	510	737	3,790	49,519
Equipment	389,459	246,044	349,048	199,403	61,340	61,027	193,043	1,499,364
Transfer Payments	10,500	9,431	0	35,273	13,577	0	0	68,781
Property and Improvements	116,852	212,070	185,798	345,661	107,150	81,433	118,745	1,167,709
Plant and Improvements	0	0	0	640	0	0	0	640
Grand Total	19,212,051	13,026,667	8,943,371	9,622,760	5,063,959	3,540,350	8,048,318	67,457,476

PER CAPITA COSTS, FY 2006*

- \$ 81,283.00 DJJ Per Capita Cost
- \$ 19,424.00 Department of Correctional Education Per Capita Cost
- \$100,707.00 Total cost to hold one juvenile for one year**

* DJJ had an additional \$2.6 million in personal service expenditures in FY 2006 due to the July 1st extra pay roll. This resulted in an additional \$2,615.69 added to the per capita costs.

Appendix D

FACILITY CLASSIFICATIONS AND GUIDELINES FOR PLACEMENT, JULY 1, 2006

Facility	Capacity by Gender	Classification Levels	Age Range	LOS Parameters
Reception and Diagnostic Center	136 Males 20 Females	I, II, III, IV	11-20	Indeterminate: Max. = 36 months Determinate: ALL Major Offender: ALL
Beaumont	288 Males	I, II, III, IV	17-20	Indeterminate: Max. = 36 months Determinate: ALL Major Offender: ALL
Bon Air*	190 Males 63 Females	I, II, III, IV	11-18	Indeterminate: Max. = 36 months Determinate: Max. = 36 months Major Offender: ALL
Culpeper*	144 Males	I, II, III, IV	18-20	Indeterminate: Max. = 36 months Determinate: ALL Major Offender: ALL
Hanover*	100 Males	I, II, III	11-20	Indeterminate: Max. = 36 months Determinate: ALL Major Offender: ALL
Natural Bridge	71 Males	I, II	15-20	Indeterminate: Max. = 15 months Determinate: Max. = 12 months Major Offender: Max. = 6 months; CCRC may approve longer
Oak Ridge	40 Males	I, II, III, IV	11-20	Indeterminate: Max. = 36 months Determinate: ALL Major Offender: ALL

* General Population Bed Capacity will be changing due to renovation/construction projects and availability and level of funding.

Note: Additional changes to these guidelines may occur as facilities, such as Hanover, undergo construction and juveniles are dispersed to other facilities. All institutions, with the exception of Natural Bridge and Oak Ridge, also classify within the institution and separate ages where possible.

Appendix E LENGTH OF STAY (LOS) GUIDELINES

SEVERITY LEVEL AND RELATED OFFENSES

LOS Level	Offense Type	Examples
1	<i>Class 1 Misdemeanors</i> and unclassified felonies carrying a maximum sentence of one year	Simple Assault
2	<i>Class 4, 5, and 6 Felonies</i> and unclassified felonies carrying a maximum sentence of 10 years	Unauthorized Use Extortion
3	<i>Class 3 Felonies</i> and unclassified felonies carrying a maximum sentence of 20 years	Burglary Grand Larceny Malicious Wounding
4	<i>Class 1 and 2 Felonies</i> and unclassified felonies carrying a maximum sentence of more than 20 years	Armed Robbery Rape Arson

CHRONICITY SCORE DETERMINATION

Offense Type	Weighted Score
All Felonies	2 points
Class 1 Misdemeanors	1 point

Note: All felonies and all Class 1 misdemeanors are counted EXCEPT the offenses that were used to determine the severity level.

INITIAL LOS STEPS AND ADJUSTMENTS TO DETERMINE FINAL LOS RANGE

Numbers refer to Current and Prior Offense Severity, respectively, according to the Severity Level table above. For example, a juvenile has an initial LOS Step of 1-3. Therefore, the LOS level for the most serious Current Offense is 1, and the LOS level for the most serious Prior Offense is 3. This juvenile will have an LOS range of 6-12 months barring any adjustments for chronic offense behavior and aggravating or mitigating circumstances.

Current and Prior Most Serious Offense Severity Level	Chronicity Score (points)	Final LOS Early - Late
1 - 1	0 - 7	3 - 6 months
	8 - 11	6 - 9 months
	12 or more	9 - 12 months
1 - 2, 1 - 3 2 - 1, 2 - 2	0 - 7	6 - 12 months
	8 - 11	9 - 15 months
	12 or more	12 - 18 months
1 - 4 2 - 3, 2 - 4 3 - 1, 3 - 2, 3 - 3	0 - 7	12 - 18 months
	8 - 11	15 - 21 months
	12 or more	18 - 24 months
3 - 4 4 - 1, 4 - 2, 4 - 3, 4 - 4	0 - 7	18 - 36 months
	8 - 11	21 - 36 months
	12 or more	24 - 36 months

Appendix F

INITIAL CLASSIFICATION CUSTODY DESIGNATION FORM

INITIAL CUSTODY DESIGNATION FORM

SECTION A										DEMOGRAPHIC DATA										
1. ASSESSMENT DATE: MM-DD-YYYY					2. COMMITMENT DATE: MM-DD-YYYY															
3. LAST NAME					4. FIRST NAME					5. MIDDLE INITIAL					6. SUFFIX					
7. BIRTH DATE: MM-DD-YYYY					8. JUVENILE #															
9. SEX: M=MALE F=FEMALE					10. COMMITTING COURT (FIPS)															
SECTION B										CLASSIFICATION SCORING										
1. SEVERITY OF CURRENT OFFENSE Most serious current offense (<i>according to the scale shown on the right, with "Person Felony with Injury" being the most serious</i>) for which the ward has been adjudicated guilty, including any detainers										250 = Person Felony with Injury										Points
										150 = Person Felony without Injury, Weapons Felony, or Circuit Court Commitment for Non-Person Felony										
2. PRIOR OFFENSE HISTORY Most serious prior offense (<i>according to the scale shown on the right, with "Person Felony with Injury" being the most serious</i>) for which the ward has been adjudicated guilty										50 = Person Misdemeanor (with or without injury)										
										25 = Other Felony										
3. PRIOR COMMITMENTS										10 = Non-Person Misdemeanor Offense										
										5 = Parole Violation or Traffic Offense										
4. ASSAULTIVE BEHAVIOR DURING PRIOR COMMITMENTS TO DJJ, IN SECURE DETENTION, OR PRIOR ALTERNATIVE/SPECIAL PLACEMENTS Assaultive behavior refers to unprovoked assaults, not fights. Frequent fights may indicate a pattern of aggressive behavior. Does not include detention immediately preceding current commitment.										100 = Person Felony with Injury										
										50 = Person Felony without Injury, Weapons Felony, or Circuit Court Commitment for Non-Person Offense										
5. INSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENT (RDC/DETENTION) RDC Staffing Team Assessment Includes time at RDC and time in detention immediately preceding current commitment										25 = Person Misdemeanor (with or without injury)										
										10 = Other Felony										
6. ESCAPE OR RUNAWAY HISTORY										5 = Non-Person Misdemeanor Offense										
										0 = Traffic Offense, Status Offense, or None										
7. CUSTODY TOTAL										25 = More than One Prior Commitment to DJJ										
										15 = One Prior Commitment to DJJ										
8. ASSIGNED CUSTODY LEVEL (Form-assigned)										0 = No Prior Commitments										
										350 = One or More Instances of Assaultive Behavior with Injury										
9. COUNSELOR SUPERVISOR										175 = More than One Instance of Assaultive Behavior without Injury										
										50 = One Instance of Assaultive Behavior without Injury, or a Pattern of Aggressive Behavior										
10. INSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENT (RDC/DETENTION) RDC Staffing Team Assessment Includes time at RDC and time in detention immediately preceding current commitment										0 = None or No Prior Commitments										
										350 = Serious Threat to Institutional Security/Safety (pattern of predatory behavior; harassing peers; physically aggressive)										
11. ESCAPE OR RUNAWAY HISTORY										175 = Moderate Threat to Institutional Security/Safety (oppositional/defiant behavior; no pattern of predatory behavior; overtly resistant to authority; mild verbal or physical aggression)										
										50 = Frequent Compliance Problems, Not a Threat to Institutional Security/Safety										
12. SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CONCERNS - MARK "X" FOR ALL THAT APPLY:										25 = Some Compliance Problems (slow to comply with authority)										
										0 = Good Adjustment										
13. RECOMMEND OVERRIDE OF FORM-ASSIGNED CUSTODY LEVEL										350 = One or More Escapes or Attempts to Escape from Any Facility or Police Custody, With Force Against a Person										
										175 = One or More Escapes or Attempts to Escape from a Secure Facility or Police Custody										
14. INSTITUTION RECOMMENDED:										50 = One or More Escapes or Runaways from Non-secure Facility or Home										
										0 = None										
15. COUNSELOR SUPERVISOR										SUM OF ITEMS 1 thru 6										
										I = 5-50 Points II = 55-245 Points III = 250-495 Points IV = 500-1425 Points										
SECTION C										PLACEMENT CONSIDERATIONS										
1. STATURE ___ EXTRA SMALL ___ SMALL ___ MEDIUM ___ LARGE ___ EXTRA LARGE										3. RECOMMEND OVERRIDE OF FORM-ASSIGNED CUSTODY LEVEL ___ NO ___ YES - CUSTODY LEVEL (AFTER OVERRIDE) _____ - REASON (REQUIRED) ___ Criminal investigation ongoing ___ Pending court charges ___ Unusually violent/heinous/notorious current offense ___ Predatory/manipulative behavior resulting in the form of mental or physical abuse of others ___ Ward's behavior is significantly better than indicated by the form (reduce custody level) ___ Other _____										
2. SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CONCERNS - MARK "X" FOR ALL THAT APPLY: ___ NONE ___ PENDING CHARGES ___ INSTITUTIONAL PREDATORY OFFENSE ___ KNOWN MANAGEMENT PROBLEM ___ ESCAPE RISK ___ LOW FUNCTIONING ___ MENTAL HEALTH RISK/ DISABILITY ___ SUICIDE RISK ___ SPECIAL EDUCATION ___ GANG MEMBER ___ PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENT ___ SPECIAL MEDICAL NEEDS ___ ENEMIES - INSTITUTION ___ KNOWN ASSOCIATES - INSTITUTION ___ OTHER										4. INSTITUTION RECOMMENDED: _____										
5. COUNSELOR SUPERVISOR										PRINT _____ SIGNATURE _____										

REVISED DATE: FEBRUARY 12, 2001

Appendix G

RECLASSIFICATION FORM, PAGE 1

CUSTODY RECLASSIFICATION FORM – PAGE ONE

		SECTION A				DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION			
1. ASSESSMENT DATE: MM-DD-YYYY				-		2. INSTITUTION			
3. LAST NAME		4. FIRST NAME				5. MIDDLE INITIAL		6. SUFFIX	
7. BIRTH DATE: MM-DD-YYYY				-		8. JUVENILE #			
9. SEX: M=MALE F=FEMALE		10. COUNSELOR							
11. PREVIOUS CUSTODY: IV = MAXIMUM III = HIGH II = MEDIUM I = LOW		12. RECLASSIFICATION REASON:				1 = QUARTERLY REVIEW 2 = INCIDENT 3 = INSTITUTIONAL TRANSFER 4 = REVISION/CORRECTION/OTHER			
		SECTION B				CUSTODY SCORING			
BEHAVIOR PRIOR TO CURRENT COMMITMENT						Points			
1. SEVERITY OF CURRENT OFFENSE Most serious current offense (<i>according to the scale shown on the right, with "Person Felony with Injury" being the most serious</i>) for which the ward has been adjudicated guilty, including any detainers.		250 = Person Felony with Injury 150 = Person Felony without Injury, Weapons Felony, or Circuit Court Commitment for Non-Person Felony 50 = Person Misdemeanor (with or without injury) 25 = Other Felony 10 = Non-Person Misdemeanor Offense 5 = Parole Violation or Traffic Offense							
2. PRIOR OFFENSE HISTORY Most serious prior offense (<i>according to the scale shown on the right, with "Person Felony with Injury" being the most serious</i>) for which the ward has been adjudicated guilty.		100 = Person Felony with Injury 50 = Person Felony without Injury, Weapons Felony, or Circuit Court Commitment for Non-Person Offense 25 = Person Misdemeanor (with or without injury) 10 = Other Felony 5 = Non-Person Misdemeanor Offense 0 = Traffic Offense, Status Offense, or None							
3. PRIOR COMMITMENTS		25 = More than One Prior Commitment to DJJ 15 = One Prior Commitment to DJJ 0 = No Prior Commitments							
4. ESCAPE OR RUNAWAY HISTORY		350 = One or More Escapes or Attempts to Escape from Any Facility or Police Custody, With Force Against a Person 175 = One or More Escapes or Attempts to Escape from a Secure Facility or Police Custody 50 = One or More Escapes or Runaways from Non-secure Facility or Home 0 = None							
INSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENT									
5. ASSAULTIVE/ESCAPE BEHAVIOR Only offenses for which the ward has been found guilty. A ward displays a pattern of aggressive behavior by having at least four instances of the following over a six-month period: Fighting Simple Assault (Moderate Offense) Verbal Threats/Physical Gesturing Throwing Objects Abusive Language/Obscene Gesturing		400 = One or More Instances of Assault (Major Offense) with Injury, or Escapes/Attempts to Escape During Past 90 Days 300 = One or More Instances of Assault (Major Offense) with Injury During Past Year 200 = One or More Instances of Escapes/Attempts to Escape During Past Year 150 = One or More Instances of Assault (Major Offense) without Injury, During Past 90 Days 100 = One or More Instances of Assault (Major Offense) without Injury, During Past Year, OR Displayed a Pattern of Aggressive Behavior Over Past Six Months 0 = No Instances of Escape or Assault (Major Offense), or None Within the Past Year -100 = No Instances of Escape or Assault (Major Offense) During Past 18 Months (Not To Be Used Until Ward Has Remained With DJJ for at Least 18 Months)							
6. FREQUENCY OF INSTITUTIONAL OFFENSES Only offenses for which the ward has been found guilty.		300 = More Than Two Majors, During Past 90 Days 150 = Two or Fewer Majors, During Past 90 Days 50 = More Than Ten Moderates, During Past 90 Days 0 = Ten or Fewer Moderates, During Past 90 Days -50 = No Offenses, During Past 90 Days -100 = No Institutional Offenses for Six Months or More							
7. TREATMENT PROGRAM PARTICIPATION		200 = Expelled From Program for Disruptive Behavior, During Past 90 Days 100 = No Participation (Refuses to Participate, On Suspension), During Past 90 Days 0 = Awaiting Services, During Past 90 Days -25 = Fair Participation, During Past 90 Days -50 = Good Participation, During Past 90 Days -75 = Good Participation for Six Months or More -100 = Completed All Programs							
8. EDUCATION/ WORK PROGRAM/ VOCATIONAL TRAINING PARTICIPATION		200 = Behavior is Consistently Seriously Disruptive, During Past 90 Days 100 = No Participation, During Past 90 Days 0 = Is Not In an Educational/Vocational Program -25 = Fair Participation, During Past 90 Days -50 = Good Participation, During Past 90 Days -100 = Good Participation for Six Months or More, or Successfully Completed Program							
9. CUSTODY TOTAL		SUM OF ITEMS 1 thru 8							
10. FORM-RECOMMENDED RECLASSIFICATION		I = 50 or Fewer Points II = 55-245 Points III = 250-495 Points IV = 500 or More Points							

REVISION DATE: JULY 31, 2002

RECLASSIFICATION FORM, PAGE 2

CUSTODY RECLASSIFICATION FORM - PAGE TWO

SECTION C	PLACEMENT CONSIDERATIONS																								
<p>1. STATURE</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> EXTRA SMALL <input type="checkbox"/> SMALL <input type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM <input type="checkbox"/> LARGE <input type="checkbox"/> EXTRA LARGE</p>																									
<p>2. SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CONCERNS – MARK "X" FOR ALL THAT APPLY:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NONE</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> SUICIDE RISK</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> SERVED 75% OR MORE OF MINIMUM LOS</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL EDUCATION NEEDS</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> SERVED 75% OR MORE OF MAXIMUM LOS</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> DRUG TRAFFICKER</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> PAST MAXIMUM LOS</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> GANG MEMBER</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> PENDING CHARGES</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENT</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> INSTITUTIONAL PREDATORY OFFENSE</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL MEDICAL NEEDS</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> KNOWN MANAGEMENT PROBLEM</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> ENEMIES – INSTITUTION</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> ESCAPE RISK</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> KNOWN ASSOCIATES – INSTITUTION</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> LOW FUNCTIONING</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> OTHER</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> MENTAL HEALTH RISK/DISABILITY</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	<input type="checkbox"/> SUICIDE RISK	<input type="checkbox"/> SERVED 75% OR MORE OF MINIMUM LOS	<input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL EDUCATION NEEDS	<input type="checkbox"/> SERVED 75% OR MORE OF MAXIMUM LOS	<input type="checkbox"/> DRUG TRAFFICKER	<input type="checkbox"/> PAST MAXIMUM LOS	<input type="checkbox"/> GANG MEMBER	<input type="checkbox"/> PENDING CHARGES	<input type="checkbox"/> PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> INSTITUTIONAL PREDATORY OFFENSE	<input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL MEDICAL NEEDS	<input type="checkbox"/> KNOWN MANAGEMENT PROBLEM	<input type="checkbox"/> ENEMIES – INSTITUTION	<input type="checkbox"/> ESCAPE RISK	<input type="checkbox"/> KNOWN ASSOCIATES – INSTITUTION	<input type="checkbox"/> LOW FUNCTIONING	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	<input type="checkbox"/> MENTAL HEALTH RISK/DISABILITY					
<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	<input type="checkbox"/> SUICIDE RISK																								
<input type="checkbox"/> SERVED 75% OR MORE OF MINIMUM LOS	<input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL EDUCATION NEEDS																								
<input type="checkbox"/> SERVED 75% OR MORE OF MAXIMUM LOS	<input type="checkbox"/> DRUG TRAFFICKER																								
<input type="checkbox"/> PAST MAXIMUM LOS	<input type="checkbox"/> GANG MEMBER																								
<input type="checkbox"/> PENDING CHARGES	<input type="checkbox"/> PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENT																								
<input type="checkbox"/> INSTITUTIONAL PREDATORY OFFENSE	<input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL MEDICAL NEEDS																								
<input type="checkbox"/> KNOWN MANAGEMENT PROBLEM	<input type="checkbox"/> ENEMIES – INSTITUTION																								
<input type="checkbox"/> ESCAPE RISK	<input type="checkbox"/> KNOWN ASSOCIATES – INSTITUTION																								
<input type="checkbox"/> LOW FUNCTIONING	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER																								
<input type="checkbox"/> MENTAL HEALTH RISK/DISABILITY																									
<p>3. TREATMENT NEEDS – CIRCLE ALL THAT APPLY.</p> <p>M = MANDATORY R = RECOMMENDED A = ANCILLARY</p> <table border="0"> <tr><td>M</td><td>R</td><td>A</td><td>ANGER CONTROL</td></tr> <tr><td>M</td><td>R</td><td>A</td><td>SUBSTANCE ABUSE</td></tr> <tr><td>M</td><td>R</td><td>A</td><td>SUBSTANCE ABUSE – BARRETT THERAPEUTIC COMMUNITY</td></tr> <tr><td>M</td><td>R</td><td>A</td><td>SEX OFFENDER – PRESCRIPTIVE</td></tr> <tr><td>M</td><td>R</td><td>A</td><td>SEX OFFENDER – SELF-CONTAINED UNIT</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>R</td><td>A</td><td>INDEPENDENT LIVING SKILLS</td></tr> </table>	M	R	A	ANGER CONTROL	M	R	A	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	M	R	A	SUBSTANCE ABUSE – BARRETT THERAPEUTIC COMMUNITY	M	R	A	SEX OFFENDER – PRESCRIPTIVE	M	R	A	SEX OFFENDER – SELF-CONTAINED UNIT		R	A	INDEPENDENT LIVING SKILLS	<p>4. RECOMMEND OVERRIDE OF FORM-ASSIGNED CUSTODY LEVEL</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> NO</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> YES – CUSTODY LEVEL (AFTER OVERRIDE) _____</p> <p>– REASON (REQUIRED):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Criminal investigation ongoing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Pending court charges</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unusually violent/heinous/notorious current offense</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Predatory/manipulative behavior resulting in the form of mental or physical abuse of others</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Ward's behavior is significantly better than indicated by the form (reduce custody level)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other _____</p>
M	R	A	ANGER CONTROL																						
M	R	A	SUBSTANCE ABUSE																						
M	R	A	SUBSTANCE ABUSE – BARRETT THERAPEUTIC COMMUNITY																						
M	R	A	SEX OFFENDER – PRESCRIPTIVE																						
M	R	A	SEX OFFENDER – SELF-CONTAINED UNIT																						
	R	A	INDEPENDENT LIVING SKILLS																						
<p>5. INSTITUTION RECOMMENDED:</p>	<p>6. COTTAGE RECOMMENDED (if the ward is not changing institutions):</p>																								
<p>7. NEXT REVIEW DATE: MM-DD-YYYY</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20px;"> </td> <td style="width: 20px;"> </td> <td style="width: 20px;"> </td> <td style="width: 20px;">-</td> <td style="width: 20px;"> </td> <td style="width: 20px;">-</td> <td style="width: 20px;"> </td> <td style="width: 20px;"> </td> <td style="width: 20px;"> </td> <td style="width: 20px;"> </td> </tr> </table>					-		-																		
			-		-																				
<p>8. CHAIR – Treatment Team _____ PRINT _____ SIGNATURE _____</p>																									

REVISION DATE: JULY 31, 2002

Appendix H

RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT

Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice Risk Assessment		
Name: _____	JTS#: _____	Assessment Date: ____/____/____
Date of Birth: ____/____/____	Officer Name: _____	
		Score
<hr/> <hr/>		
1. Age at First Referral to CSU		
a. 14 or older.....	0	
b. 13 or younger.....	1	_____
2. Total Number of Adjudicated Offenses (separate incidents) in Most Recent Disposition		
a. One	0	
b. Two or more	1	_____
3. Total Number of Petitions for Violent Offenses (separate incidents) in Youth's Record		
a. Two or less.....	0	
b. Three or more	1	_____
4. Total Number of Petitions for Drug or Conspiracy Offenses (separate incidents) in Youth's Record		
a. None	0	
b. One	1	
c. Two or more	2	_____
5. Types of Petitions for Property Offense (separate incidents) in Youth's Record		
<input type="checkbox"/> Burglary <input type="checkbox"/> Larceny (includes auto theft) <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> Arson <input type="checkbox"/> Trespass/Vandalism		
a. None	0	
b. One type	1	
c. Two types	2	
d. Three types	3	_____
6. Substance Abuse		
a. None or Experimentation Only/No Problem	0	
b. Problematic use of alcohol and/or other drugs	1	_____
7. School Status		
a. Attending regularly or attending but truancy problems	0	
b. Dropped out/Expelled.....	2	_____
8. Peer Group		
a. No negative associations or youth is a loner.....	-2	
b. Some delinquent peers.....	0	
c. Mostly delinquent peers.....	2	_____
9. History of Runaways/Escapes		
a. No	0	
b. Yes.....	2	_____
10. Youth a Victim of Child Abuse/Neglect		
a. No	0	
b. Yes, referred DSS for alleged or founded CA/N complaint	2	_____
11. Family Functioning		
a. No problem	-1	
b. Some disorganization	0	
c. Major disorganization.....	2	_____
12. Family Member (Parent/Sibling) on Probation or Incarcerated in Past Three Years		
a. No	0	
b. Yes, Parent Figure OR Sibling	1	
c. Yes, Parent Figure AND Sibling	2	_____
Risk Level: _____ Low (-3 to 1)		
_____ Moderate (2 to 7)		
_____ High (8 to 21)		
TOTAL RISK SCORE		_____

RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUCTIONS

RISK INSTRUMENT DEFINITIONS

1. **Age at First Referral to CSU** - Determine the youth's age at the time he/she was first referred to the CSU for a delinquent or status offense. Do not include referrals for dependency or custody. The outcome (e.g., diverted, petitioned) of the first referral does not matter.
2. **Number of Adjudicated Offenses Disposed of at Current Disposition** - This is a count of the number of ADJUDICATED OFFENSES that were/are to be disposed of at the current dispositional hearing. Do not include any offenses/charges that were not adjudicated. In counting adjudicated offenses, count only those that represented separate incidents. If a youth was adjudicated for two burglaries that occurred on two different nights that is two adjudications. However, if a youth was involved in a robbery and got charged/adjudicated for multiple counts of lesser included offenses (e.g., robbery, assault, theft) that should be counted as one offense, not three.
3. **Total Petitions for Violent Offenses** - This is a count of all PETITIONS for violent offenses in the youth's record. Be sure to count any and all petitions for violent offenses that occurred up to the date of the current disposition (even if they have not yet been adjudicated or disposed of). The petitions must reflect separate incidents, not multiple charges arising from the same incident. Violent offenses include all offenses against persons that are assaultive in nature including felony and misdemeanor assaults, kidnapping, murder, armed robbery, and robbery, carjacking, sexual assaults, etc.
4. **Total Petitions for Drug or Conspiracy Offenses** - This is a count of all PETITIONS in the youth's record for drug charges (sales, possession with intent to sell, use, possession) or for conspiracy charges. Count only those petitions arising from separate incidents, not multiple charges arising from the same incident. If a youth gets arrested for drug sales and gets three separate petitions alleging sales and possession, that is one incident (not two) and should be counted as one petition. Be sure to count any and all drug or conspiracy petitions that occurred up to the date of the current disposition.
5. **Types of Petitions for Property Offenses** - This is a count of the number of different TYPES of property offense petitions. It is not a count of the total number of petitions for property offenses. There are a total of five different types of property offenses that are considered: burglary; larceny; fraud; arson; and trespass/vandalism. Check the youth's entire record to determine how many of these different types the youth has been petitioned for. As above, only count petitions arising from separate incidents. If a youth committed one burglary during which he stole something, and was petitioned for burglary and theft, that's one petition. In such cases, count the most serious type. Be sure to count any and all property offense petitions that occurred up to the current disposition.
6. **Substance Abuse** - Indicate the degree to which drug/alcohol involvement has affected youth's normal functioning in the two years prior to the current disposition. Consider both frequency (e.g., "occasional", "frequent") and effect of use ("some" or "serious disruption/interference").
 - No Problem/experimentation:** no use or occasional use that does not result in disruption of functioning. Uses less than once per month; OR more frequent use but relationships with parents not strained over use or involvement with using peers; AND no school problems associated with use; AND no arrests for D/A related offenses (within past two years).
 - Problematic:** use of substances is associated with some or major disruption of functioning. Family relationships may have become strained over use; OR strong ties to D/A involved peers; OR deterioration in school performance believed to be drug/alcohol related; OR one or more school disciplinary actions related to substance abuse; OR one or more substance abuse-related arrests (past two years); OR any referral in past two years for out-patient or in-patient treatment for D/A abuse; OR admitted or diagnosed dependency.
7. **School Status**- Indicate whether the youth is enrolled and attending school, or has been expelled or has dropped out. If the youth has truancy problems, but is still going to school, he/she should be given a score of "0". However, if the youth has been truant for more than half the days in the last 9 months of school, consider them to have "dropped out" (and score "2").
8. **Peer relationships** - Use the definitions below to guide the scoring of this item.
 - No negative associations or youth is a loner:** friends not known to be delinquent or to have influenced youth's involvement in delinquent behavior- no more than one referral involving co-defendants; OR youth has no/few friends; engages in solitary pursuits and/or spends most time with much younger children.
 - Some delinquent peers:** some companions involved in delinquent behavior. Has had co-defendants in 2-3 arrests and/or some friends have been referred to juvenile court.
 - Mostly delinquent peers:** primary peer group has a strong delinquent orientation and/or most friends have been referred to juvenile court, and/or four or more arrests involving codefendants; and or youth is a gang or posse member or affiliate.
9. **History of Runaways/Escapes** - Indicate whether the youth has a history of multiple runaways from home (defined as being gone for 24 hours or more) OR had ever runaway or escaped from a placement facility (secure or non-secure). The time frame for this question is "ever".
10. **Referred to DSS** - Indicate whether the youth was ever referred to DSS as a victim of child abuse of neglect. It does not matter if the allegation was founded/substantiated or not.
11. **Family Functioning Characteristics**- Use the definitions below to determine the extent of family disorganization.
 - No Problem:** family functioning was basically stable and supportive. Physical and emotional needs of youth were being met. Parental discipline and control were generally effective.
 - Some Disorganization:** family problems interfered with youth functioning (or youth problems interfered with family functioning). Parent-parent or parent-child conflict occurred frequently and at times was disruptive, OR parental discipline and control were often ineffective or inconsistent, OR there was residential instability or financial problems, or there had been; recent disruptive event such as divorce, remarriage or a death in the family.
 - Major Disorganization:** problems severely inhibited the youth's ability to function. Youth's physical or emotional needs were not being met, OR parent rejecting of, or refused responsibility for youth, OR chronic, serious parental problems (financial, mental health, illness, criminality), OR parent-parent or parent-child conflict occurred consistently and created turmoil, OR repeated instances of family violence, OR parental discipline and control were almost non-existent, OR parents contributed to youth's delinquency.
12. **Family Criminality** - Indicate whether a parent figure or sibling (or both) was on probation/parole or incarcerated during the three years prior to the current disposition. Incarcerated means sentenced to incarceration (do not include pre-trial jail or detention.) If a parent OR a sibling had been on probation or incarcerated, score "1". If BOTH had been, score "2". Parent figure includes natural parents, step-parents and live-in boyfriends/girlfriends. Do not include aunts, uncles, or other relatives unless they are caretakers/parent figures.

Appendix I

MISCELLANEOUS/OTHER OFFENSES

The following offense categories were grouped into the combined category of Miscellaneous/Other in the offense category distribution tables in this report. They were selected to be collapsed into this category due to their low incidence.

Abortion	Federal Offense	Perjury
Accomplice	Fire Prevention Code	Prisoners
Agriculture, Horticulture & Food	Fire Protection/Safety	Professions and Occupations
Aircraft/Aviation	Gambling	Psychiatric Inpatient Treatment (minors)
Animals	Game, Fish, Wildlife	Riot and Unlawful Assembly
Arrests (for use by Police & Magistrates)	Health	School - Student's Behavior
Banking and Finance	Judicial Reviews	School Attendance
Barratry	Juvenile & Domestic Court - Other	Solicitation
Boating	Lottery	Tax Laws
Bribery	Marriage Law	Terrorism
Computer Crime	Mental Health	Trade and Commerce
Conservation	Military & Emergency	Traffic - Perjury
Conspiracy	Miscellaneous Crime	Treason
Dangerous Conduct	Money Laundering	Venue
Dog/Cat Offenses	Ordinance, City or County	Violent Activities
Drugs/Cosmetics Misbranded	Paraphernalia, Controlled	Waters, Ports and Harbors
Election/Voting Offenses	Paternity	Wire Communications
Erosion Control	Peace, Conservator of the	

Appendix J

CODE OF VIRGINIA: CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJJ

Title 66	Creation of the Department of Juvenile Justice Creates the Department of Juvenile Justice and provides the authority to establish and operate juvenile correctional centers.
§16.1-222	Established powers of Director (Virginia Juvenile Justice Information System) Establishes a separate information system for collecting and maintaining information on juveniles who have had contact with the juvenile justice system.
§16.1-228	General definitions pertaining to Virginia family and juvenile laws Provides the definitions for terms and concepts unique to the jurisdiction of the juvenile court.
§16.1-241	Jurisdiction of the Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court Describes the physical jurisdiction for the Juvenile and Domestic Relations (J&DR) Court and the types of cases, matters, and proceedings for which the court has exclusive jurisdiction.
§16.1-246	When and how child may be taken into immediate custody Explains when and how a child may be taken into immediate custody and outlines the acceptable offense classifications and scenarios.
§16.1-247	Duties of person taking child into custody Dictates the duties of an official taking a child into custody. It provides specific release options, mandates for parties to notify, and time-frames for judicial review. It differentiates between instances when the court is open and the court is closed. This statute also indicates how long a juvenile that is not being transferred to a facility or institution may be held in custody and where that juvenile may be held.

CODE OF VIRGINIA: CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJJ (CONT.)

- § 16.1-248.1 **Criteria for detention or shelter care**
 Specifies the criteria for placement in secure detention and shelter care, outlining the acceptable offense classifications and scenarios.
- § 16.1-250 **Procedures for detention hearing**
 Presents the guidelines followed when a juvenile appears before a judge for a pending charge. This section includes information on the following: how quickly a juvenile must appear before the judge if taken into custody, the manner in which the court appearance occurs (personal appearance or two-way electronic video and audio communication), the juvenile's rights before the court, and evidentiary rules for the hearing.
- § 16.1-260 **Intake; petition; investigation**
 Explains the process for filing petitions for matters falling under the jurisdiction of the J&DR court. It also establishes the modes of communication deemed acceptable to process a petition, defines when an intake officer may use his or her discretion to proceed informally without filing a petition, dictates when a petition must be filed, and includes language about appealing an intake officer's decision.
- § 16.1-269.1 **Trial in Circuit Court; preliminary hearing; direct indictment; remand**
 Relates the procedures used to transfer or certify for trial in Circuit Court any cases deemed more appropriate for Circuit Court (for juveniles age 14 or older). The criteria used to determine such appropriateness are also described.
- § 16.1-270 **Waiver of jurisdiction of juvenile court in certain cases**
 Establishes criteria used for juveniles 14 years of age or older to have a case transferred to the appropriate circuit court.
- § 16.1-272 **Power of Circuit Court over juvenile offender**
 Describes the authority of the Circuit Court over juveniles who have been tried or convicted as adults. This section details the various sentencing options available to the court, including imposition of an adult sentence, use of a juvenile disposition, or a "blended sentencing," which combines both an adult sentence and a juvenile disposition.
- § 16.1-278.4 **Children in need of services (CHINS)**
 Identifies the dispositional options for the supervision, care, and rehabilitation of a child found to be in need of services. It also specifies which public agencies are to be involved in such dispositional options.
- § 16.1-278.5 **Children in need of supervision (CHINSup)**
 Identifies the dispositional options for the supervision, care, and rehabilitation of a child found to be in need of supervision. It also specifies which public agencies are to be involved in such dispositional options.
- § 16.1-278.7 **Commitment to the Department of Juvenile Justice**
 Specifies the conditions under which a juvenile may be committed to the custody of DJJ. This section also mentions transfers of custody to the Virginia Department of Corrections.
- § 16.1-278.8 **Delinquent juveniles**
 Describes the dispositional options available to the J&DR or Circuit Courts if a juvenile is found to be delinquent (including conditions for commitment of a juvenile to DJJ).
- § 16.1-284.1 **Placement in secure local facility (Post-dispositional)**
 Addresses the criteria (age, offense history, commitment history, response to past treatment efforts, etc.) for post-dispositional placement in a secure local facility. It also defines the time-frames for mandatory judicial reviews, establishes the maximum length of a juvenile's stay, and references the DJJ's role in assisting localities.
- § 16.1-285 **Duration of commitments**
 Establishes DJJ's authority to commit a juvenile for an indeterminate period as well as the time and age limits for such commitments. The section also specifies that the Department has the authority to discharge juveniles from its custody.
- § 16.1-285.1 **Commitment of serious offenders**
 Specifies conditions considered by the court when making a decision to determinately commit a juvenile to DJJ. The court specifies the period of commitment.
- § 16.1-292 **Violation of court order by any person**
 Provides the dispositional alternatives available to the court in contempt of court cases. It specifically spells out dispositions that can be utilized for juveniles found to have willfully and materially violated a court order.
- § 16.1-293 **Supervision of juveniles during commitment and on parole; placing juveniles in halfway houses**
 Describes the contact and supervision activities of the CSU while a juvenile is committed to DJJ or is on parole supervision after release from commitment. This section also provides information on CSU actions while a juvenile is placed in a halfway house.
- § 16.1-309.2 **Purpose and intent (Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act-VJCCCA)**
 Identifies the purpose and general goals for the VJCCCA, including assistance to local communities for service planning and delivery.

Appendix K

DETENTION ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT, PAGE 1

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE
DETENTION ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT

Juvenile Name: _____ DOB: ____/____/____ Juvenile #: _____ ICN# _____
 Intake Date: ____/____/____ Time: ____:____ AM PM Worker Name: _____ CSU #: _____
 Completed as Part of Detention Decision: Completed as Follow-Up (On-Call Intake):

	Score
1. Most Serious Alleged Offense (see reverse for examples of offenses in each category)	
Category A: Felonies against persons.....	15
Category B: Felony weapons and felony narcotics distribution.....	12
Category C: Other felonies.....	7
Category D: Class 1 misdemeanors against persons.....	5
Category E: Other Class 1 misdemeanors.....	3
Category F: Violations of probation/parole.....	2
2. Additional Charges in this Referral	
Two or more additional current felony offenses.....	3
One additional current felony offense.....	2
One or more additional misdemeanor OR violation of probation/parole offenses.....	1
One or more status offenses OR No additional current offenses.....	0
3. Prior Adjudications of Guilt (includes continued adjudications with "evidence sufficient to finding of guilt")	
Two or more prior adjudications of guilt for felony offenses.....	6
One prior adjudication of guilt for a felony offense.....	4
Two or more prior adjudications of guilt for misdemeanor offenses.....	3
Two or more prior adjudications of guilt for probation/parole violations.....	2
One prior adjudication of guilt for any misdemeanor or status offense.....	1
No prior adjudications of guilt.....	0
4. Petitions Pending Adjudication or Disposition (exclude deferred adjudications)	
One or more pending petitions/dispositions for a felony offense.....	8
Two or more pending petitions/dispositions for other offenses.....	5
One pending petition/disposition for an other offense.....	2
No pending petitions/dispositions.....	0
5. Supervision Status	
Parole.....	4
Probation based on a Felony or Class 1 misdemeanor.....	3
Probation based on other offenses OR CHINSup OR Deferred disposition with conditions.....	2
Informal Supervision OR Intake Diversion.....	1
None.....	0
6. History of Failure to Appear (within past 12 months)	
Two or more petitions/warrants/detention orders for FTA in past 12 months.....	3
One petition/warrant/detention order for FTA in past 12 months.....	1
No petition/warrant/detention order for FTA in past 12 months.....	0
7. History of Escape/ Runaways (within past 12 months)	
One or more escapes from secure confinement or custody.....	4
One or more instances of absconding from non-secure, court-ordered placements.....	3
One or more runaways from home.....	1
No escapes or runaways w/in past 12 months.....	0
8. TOTAL SCORE	_____

Indicated Decision: 0 - 9 Release 10 - 14 Detention Alternative 15+ Secure Detention

Mandatory Overrides: 1. Use of firearm in current offense
 (must be detained) 2. Escapee from a secure placement
 3. Local court policy (indicate applicable policy) _____

Discretionary Override: 1. Aggravating factors (override to more restrictive placement than indicated by guidelines)
 2. Mitigating factors (override to less restrictive placement than indicated by guidelines)
 3. Approved local graduated sanction for probation/parole violation

Actual Decision / Recommendation: <input type="checkbox"/> Release <input type="checkbox"/> Alternative <input type="checkbox"/> Secure Detention

DETENTION ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT, PAGE 2

Offense Categories and Included Offenses

Category A: Felonies Against Persons

Abduction
 Aggravated assault
 Aggravated sexual battery
 Arson of an occupied dwelling
 Assault, law enforcement officer
 Burglary of an occupied dwelling
 Carjacking
 Forcible sodomy
 Larceny > \$5 from a person
 Malicious wounding
 Murder
 Manslaughter
 Inanimate object sexual penetration
 Rape
 Reckless driving/disregard police with bodily injury
 Robbery
 Take indecent liberties with a child

Category B: Felony Weapons & Felony Narcotics

Distribute Schedule I or II
 Distribute Schedule I, II, III, IV or marijuana on school property
 Possess Schedule I or II with intent to sell
 Possess Schedule I or II
 Sell Schedule I or II or > 1 oz. Marijuana to a minor 3 years junior
 Brandish/point a firearm on school property or within 1000 ft.
 Discharge firearm from motor vehicle
 Discharge firearm in/at an occupied building
 Possess a sawed-off shotgun

Receive a stolen firearm

Category C: Other Felonies

Arson of an unoccupied dwelling
 Auto theft
 Burglary/Breaking and entering/
 Possess burglary tools
 Escape from a correctional facility (not detention)
 Escape from secure juvenile detention by force/violence
 Extortion
 Failure to appear in court for a felony
 Fraud/bad checks/credit card > \$200
 Grand larceny/Larceny > \$200
 Larceny of a firearm
 Receive stolen goods > \$200
 Shoplift > \$200
 Unauthorized use of an automobile
 Vandalism > \$1000 damage

Category D: Misdemeanors Against Persons

Assault, simple
 Sexual battery

Category E: Other Misdemeanors

Brandish/point a firearm
 Carry concealed weapon
 Disorderly conduct
 Escape from secure juvenile detention without force/violence
 Fraud/bad checks/credit card < \$200
 Failure to appear for a misdemeanor
 Larceny < \$200
 Receive stolen goods < \$200

Common Aggravating / Mitigating Factors (Known at the time of Intake)

Aggravating

History of 2+ violent/assaultive offenses
 Parent unwilling to provide appropriate supervision
 Parent unable to provide appropriate supervision
 Juvenile has significant mental health problem/mental retardation
 Juvenile has significant substance abuse problem
 Juvenile does not regularly attend school/work
 Juvenile has violated conditions of a detention alternative
 Juvenile is charged with a new (detainable) offense while in a detention alternative
 Juvenile is an explicit threat to flee if released
 Juvenile is currently an absconder from a non-secure placement
 Other Aggravating factor
 Detention alternative not available

Mitigating

Juvenile marginally involved in the offense
 Parent able/willing to provide appropriate supervision
 Juvenile has significant mental health problem/mental retardation
 Juvenile has significant substance abuse problem
 Juvenile regularly attends school/work
 Offense less serious than indicated by charge
 Juvenile has no/minor prior record

Appendix L
CENSUS INFORMATION, JULY 1, 2005

POPULATION BY RACE (AGE 10-17)

CSU	Black	White	Hispanic	Indian	Asian	Other
1	9,193	17,112	835	90	501	665
2	13,096	33,112	3,254	183	2,334	2,106
02A	2,336	2,967	382	10	14	58
3	7,010	3,962	262	46	58	239
4	13,241	8,369	926	65	515	728
5	7,145	8,665	314	46	117	227
6	6,007	5,316	390	15	75	134
7	10,751	8,805	1,214	78	437	822
8	8,439	6,032	653	55	252	502
9	4,277	21,190	806	181	490	521
10	6,646	9,066	271	30	50	158
11	5,574	6,614	235	22	38	102
12	9,053	26,736	1,678	122	991	770
13	14,153	2,945	514	28	78	251
14	10,351	18,081	1,059	97	1,205	598
15	10,745	40,895	2,474	172	707	1,395
16	5,485	23,971	961	80	455	573
17	1,135	6,504	3,072	33	957	322
17F	73	842	86	2	53	30
18	2,257	3,032	1,626	25	263	198
19	12,076	68,181	14,881	216	16,279	4,061
20L	2,536	21,354	2,778	57	2,562	1,085
20W	694	7,406	336	18	56	164
21	2,478	6,155	366	16	45	94
22	5,295	10,625	357	25	61	144
23	734	10,606	188	10	230	133
23A	3,733	5,288	219	10	135	248
24	5,389	18,736	368	67	185	379
25	1,482	19,895	411	57	114	254
26	1,580	29,044	1,830	44	283	491
27	866	17,438	486	29	182	191
28	338	9,451	127	20	26	101
29	308	13,124	116	11	54	75
30	182	8,897	91	14	29	60
31	10,567	26,375	9,192	138	2,273	2,021
All	195,225	526,791	52,758	2,112	32,104	19,900

*Data Source: U.S. Census Bureau