

**Value Engineering
of
State Agency Capital Outlay Projects
for
Fiscal Year 2008**



**DEPARTMENT OF
GENERAL SERVICES**

BUREAU OF CAPITAL OUTLAY MANAGEMENT

Serving Government. Serving Virginians.

September 2, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	PAGE
Executive Summary	---
Introduction	1
Background	1
Projects Studied and Savings Identified	2
Study Costs	3
Waivers Granted / Projects Excluded	3
Table 1 - Value Engineering Savings vs. Construction Budget	---
Table 2 - Value Engineering Savings vs. Study Cost	---
Table 3 – Other Projects Exceeding \$5,000,000 Threshold	---

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I. Introduction

The Director of the Department of General Services is required by Section 2.2-1133 of the *Code of Virginia* to report to the Governor and the General Assembly on or before September 15 of each year, the following:

- (i) the number and value of the state capital projects where value engineering (VE) was employed
- (ii) the identity of the capital projects for which a waiver of the requirements of Section 2.2-1133.B was granted, including a statement of the compelling reasons for granting the waiver.

This report provides information for the period from July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008.

II. Projects

Thirteen (13) projects with a combined estimated construction value of approximately \$234 million were reported by Agencies as undergoing the Value Engineering process during Fiscal Year 2008. The requirements for Value Engineering are defined in Section 2.2-1133 of the *Code of Virginia*. The associated administrative procedures are provided in the Commonwealth of Virginia's *Construction and Professional Services Manual*.

III. Savings / Cost

Estimated savings for owner-accepted VE items were provided for these projects by the applicable agencies and institutions. The estimated savings recommended by the value engineering teams and accepted by state agencies for these projects totaled approximately \$13.0 million. The average VE savings were 5.6% of the estimated construction value.

The average cost of a VE Study was \$32,000. The average savings in construction value was \$1,001,000. The aggregate costs of the VE studies as a percent of aggregate savings were 3.2%. This is equivalent to a payback ratio of 31:1 for employing the VE process.

IV. Waivers Granted / Projects Excluded

Nineteen (19) reported projects were granted waivers or otherwise excluded from the VE process. These nineteen projects and the associated reasons for exclusion are identified in Table 3. Projects approved for procurement using the "Design Build" methodology are typically excluded from the standard VE process as the Design Build Contractor provides a lump sum fixed price prior to design and contract award. Projects procured using Construction Management at Risk (CM at Risk or CM/GC) are also typically exempted from the VE process. Projects procured under the provisions of the *Public-Private Education Facilities and Infrastructure Act of 2002* (PPEA) are specifically exempted from the value engineering requirements defined in Code of Virginia Section 2.2-1133.

VALUE ENGINEERING OF STATE CAPITAL OUTLAY PROJECTS FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2007 - JUNE 30, 2008

1. Introduction

The Director of the Department of General Services is required by Section 2.2-1133 of the Code of Virginia to report by September 15 each year to the Governor and the General Assembly on the (i) number and value of the capital projects where value engineering (VE) was employed and (ii) identity of the capital projects for which a waiver of the requirements of Section 2.2-1133.B was granted, including a statement of the compelling reasons for granting the waiver. This report provides the information for Fiscal Year 2008 which encompasses the period from July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008.

2. Background

Section 2.2-1133.A of the *Code of Virginia* establishes the requirement for use of value engineering on any capital project costing more than five million (\$5,000,000) dollars. This requirement became effective in 1994 and procedures for implementing a value engineering program were developed and issued to state agencies in July 1994. The procedures for implementing the VE process are contained Section 814.0 of the Commonwealth of Virginia's *Construction and Professional Services Manual (CPSM)*.

Value engineering is a systematic process of review and analysis of a project design performed by an independent team of persons not originally involved in the design of the project. The team members are themselves licensed design professionals and the team leader is specially trained in conducting the team study process.

The purpose of the Value Engineering review and analysis of the design is to offer suggestions to the project owner and project design firm that improve project quality and reduce total project cost by combining or eliminating inefficient or expensive parts or steps in the original design or recommending redesign of the project using different technologies, materials or methods. Value engineering is often used to deal with "cost growth" during the project design phase. In some cases, a VE study may result in an increase in initial cost for a portion of a project. This generally occurs when the team recommends a design change that may involve a higher initial investment during construction, but is more cost effective when measured on a life cycle basis (construction cost plus long term operating costs).

Not all projects are candidates for VE. Where an initial analysis of a project indicates that the cost of conducting the VE study may not produce sufficient recommendations of cost savings to cover study costs, there is no potential net

benefit in conducting the study. Also, projects which are site adaptations or reuse of previously value-engineered projects are not typically cost-effective for another VE study.

Current state procedures require capital projects with an estimated construction cost exceeding **\$5,000,000** to be value engineered, unless waived by the Director of the Department of General Services. The VE study is conducted at the preliminary design stage of the project after the design concept has been selected and the various building systems evaluated and selected by the designer. The project design is approximately **35% complete** at the preliminary design stage.

The Commonwealth's process involves a **40-hour study** of the project by the VE team. The team is composed of registered design professionals that practice architecture and the engineering disciplines (civil, electrical, mechanical, etc.) involved in the project design and a certified value specialist who is the VE team leader. The A/E (architect/engineer) firm that designed the project is a part-time participant in the VE study. Building shape, floor plan layout and building systems components are sufficiently developed at the preliminary stage of design for all VE team disciplines to evaluate the essential elements of the design and suggest alternatives where appropriate.

The recommendations produced by the VE team are reviewed by the project owner and the A/E firm employed to design the project. Recommendations are selected or rejected by the project owner in consultation with the design firm based on program requirements, cost, technical feasibility, aesthetics, and other related considerations.

Recommendations dealing with technical design issues must ultimately be accepted or rejected by the owner's design consultant as the designer of record is the party with ultimate liability for the design and is required by law to professionally seal the design documents.

Accepted recommendations must be incorporated into the project design and most often this will require additional work on the part of the design consultant. Since the nature and scope of this additional work is not known when the A/E design contract and price are negotiated, the A/E is entitled to a fee for this additional design service.

3. Projects Studied and Savings Identified

Thirteen (13) projects with a combined estimated construction value of approximately \$234 million were reported by Agencies as undergoing the VE process during Fiscal Year 2008. The Value Engineering teams identified design changes, which were accepted by the agencies and institutions, which produced an

aggregate estimated savings in construction cost of approximately \$13.0 million. (See Table 1.)

The aggregate VE savings reported are equivalent to 5.6% of the combined preliminary budgets of these thirteen projects.

4. Study Costs

The aggregate cost for the VE consultants for these 13 projects was \$413,000. Costs ranged from a low of \$13,000 to a high of \$47,000. The average study cost was \$32,000. Deducting the study costs, the Commonwealth realized a net savings in estimated construction value of approximately \$12,597,000 by employing the Value Engineering process. The VE Cost as a percent of the VE Savings as an aggregate for these 13 projects was 3.2%. Stated otherwise, this represents a payback ratio of 31 to 1. (See Table 2.)

5. Waivers Granted / Projects Excluded

Agencies are requested each year to report all projects under their purview which were at the preliminary design phase during the reporting period and which exceed the \$5,000,000 threshold, but did not undergo a formal VE process.

Nineteen (19) projects exceeding the \$5,000,000 threshold were identified by agencies as being granted waivers or otherwise excluded from the VE process. These nineteen projects and the associated reasons for exclusion from the VE process are identified in Table 3.

Projects approved for procurement using the "Design Build" (D/B) methodology are typically excluded from the standard VE process as the Design Build Contractor provides a lump sum fixed price prior to design and contract award. Projects procured using Construction Management at Risk (CM at Risk or CM/GC) are also typically exempted from the VE process. Projects procured under the provisions of the Public Public-Private Education Facilities and Infrastructure Act of 2002 (PPEA) are specifically exempted from the VE provisions mandated in Section 2.2-1133 of the Code of Virginia. (The PPEA exemption from the Value Engineering process is identified in § 56-575.16 of the *Code of Virginia*.)

Exemptions from the formal VE process continue to expand due to the use of these alternative procurement methods for major projects. Agencies did, however, report "value" savings of approximately \$40 million for these D/B and CM projects. Based on their aggregate construction value of approximately \$538 million, the savings reported represent 7.4% of the total construction value.

Table 1
VE Study Savings vs. Construction Budget

Item No.	Project Code	Agency / Institution	Project Title	Estimated VE Savings (Accepted Items)	Preliminary Construction Budget	VE Savings as a % of Con. Budget
1)	204-16784	College of William & Mary	Renovation of Small Hall	\$328,000	\$20,018,000	1.6%
2)	204-17189	College of William & Mary	School of Education	\$3,392,000	\$35,000,000	9.7%
3)	207-17153 *	University of Virginia	Bavaro Hall	\$2,303,000	\$26,600,000	8.7%
4)	209-17302	University of Virginia Medical Center	Hospital Bed Expansion	\$1,680,000	\$57,200,000	2.9%
5)	215-17021	University of Mary Washington	Convocation Center (Anderson Center)	\$796,000	\$16,900,000	4.7%
6)	216-17505	James Madison University	Softball and Baseball Complex	\$230,000	\$7,100,000	3.2%
7)	246-17362	University of Virginia's College at Wise	Smiddy Hall Renovation and IT Building	\$1,991,000	\$11,072,000	18.0%
8)	246-17363	University of Virginia's College at Wise	Residence Hall III	\$121,000	\$6,884,000	1.8%
9)	246-17364	University of Virginia's College at Wise	Dining Hall	\$235,000	\$6,868,000	3.4%
10)	246-17451	University of Virginia's College at Wise	Science Building Renovation	\$213,000	\$8,716,000	2.4%
11)	260-16849	VCCS / JSRCC	Workforce Training and Technology Center	\$341,000	\$8,178,000	4.2%
12)	260-17379	VCCS / BRCC	Information Technology Building	\$130,000	\$5,130,000	2.5%
13)	260-17387	VCCS / NVCC / Annandale Campus	Academic Building VI	\$1,250,000	\$24,560,000	5.1%
TOTAL				\$13,010,000	\$234,226,000	
AVERAGE				\$1,001,000	\$18,017,000	5.6%

Notes:

* - University revised savings from prior year's report, \$5,239,000 to \$2,303,000.

Table 2
VE Study Savings vs. VE Study Cost

Item No.	Project Code	Agency / Institution	Project Title	VE Study Cost	Estimated VE Savings (Accepted Items)	Study Cost as % of VE Savings	Payback Ratio
1)	204-16784	College of William & Mary	Renovation of Small Hall	\$33,000	\$328,000	10.1%	10:1
2)	204-17189	College of William & Mary	School of Education	\$32,000	\$3,392,000	0.9%	106:1
3)	207-17153 *	University of Virginia	Bavaro Hall	\$32,000	\$2,303,000	1.4%	72:1
4)	209-17302	University of Virginia Medical Center	Hospital Bed Expansion	\$13,000	\$1,680,000	0.8%	129:1
5)	215-17021	University of Mary Washington	Convocation Center (Anderson Center)	\$47,000	\$796,000	5.9%	17:1
6)	216-17505	James Madison University	Softball and Baseball Complex	\$34,000	\$230,000	14.8%	7:1
7)	246-17362	University of Virginia's College at Wise	Smiddy Hall Renovation and IT Building	\$43,000	\$1,991,000	2.2%	46:1
8)	246-17363	University of Virginia's College at Wise	Residence Hall III	\$18,000	\$121,000	14.9%	7:1
9)	246-17364	University of Virginia's College at Wise	Dining Hall	\$22,000	\$235,000	9.4%	11:1
10)	246-17451	University of Virginia's College at Wise	Science Building Renovation	\$20,000	\$213,000	9.4%	11:1
11)	260-16849	VCCS / JSRCC	Workforce Training and Technology Center	\$39,000	\$341,000	11.4%	9:1
12)	260-17379	VCCS / BRCC	Information Technology Building	\$38,000	\$130,000	29.2%	3:1
13)	260-17387	VCCS / NVCC / Annandale Campus	Academic Building VI	\$42,000	\$1,250,000	3.4%	30:1
TOTAL				\$413,000	\$13,010,000		
AVERAGE				\$32,000	\$1,001,000	3.2%	31:1

Notes:

* - University revised savings from prior year's report, \$5,239,000 to \$2,303,000.

Table 3
Other Projects Exceeding \$5,000,000 Threshold

Item No.	Project Code	Agency / Institution	Project Title	Estimated "Value" Savings (Accepted Items)	Preliminary Construction Budget	"Value" Savings as a % of Con. Budget	Reason Reported for VE Study Exemption
1)	208-17291	Virginia Tech	Critical Technology & Applied Sciences Ph. II	\$274,000	\$24,400,000	1.1%	CM-at-Risk project.
2)	208-17294	Virginia Tech	Improve Residence & Dining Halls (A / J Hall)	\$1,569,000	\$55,000,000	2.9%	CM-at-Risk project.
3)	208-L00008	Virginia Tech	VBI Addition Facility	\$2,730,000	\$20,000,000	13.7%	CM-at-Risk project.
4)	208-L00005	Virginia Tech	Basketball Practice Facility	\$437,000	\$16,400,000	2.7%	CM-at-Risk project.
5)	216-17339	James Madison University	CISAT Dining Hall	\$769,000	\$18,768,000	4.1%	CM-at-Risk project.
6)	217-17026	Radford University	Dedmon Center Roof Replacement & A/C	\$0	\$10,800,000	0.0%	Combined two projects.*
7)	221-17483-001	Old Dominion University	Football Complex (Gameday Building Portion)	\$1,126,000	\$11,960,000	9.4%	Design-Build project.
8)	221-17347	Old Dominion University	Football Complex (Parking Garage)	\$750,000	\$12,970,000	5.8%	Design-Build project.
9)	221-17342-002	Old Dominion University	Quad Student Housing, Phase II, Bldgs D & E	\$1,995,000	\$19,489,000	10.2%	CM-at-Risk project.
10)	236-17405-001	Virginia Commonwealth U.	Monroe Park Campus Student Rec Center	\$1,145,000	\$38,581,000	3.0%	CM-at-Risk project.
11)	236-17405-002	Virginia Commonwealth U.	MCV Campus Student Rec Center	\$770,000	\$12,023,000	6.4%	CM-at-Risk project.
12)	236-17452	Virginia Commonwealth U.	Addition to School of Dentistry	\$1,323,000	\$15,808,000	8.4%	CM-at-Risk project.
13)	247-16745	George Mason University	Prince William Campus Performing Arts Center	\$3,500,000	\$38,074,000	9.2%	CM-at-Risk project.
14)	247-17049	George Mason University	Parking Deck III	\$2,900,000	\$27,500,000	10.5%	Design-Build project.
15)	247-17371	George Mason University	Biomedical Research Lab	\$2,800,000	\$41,748,000	6.7%	CM-at-Risk project.
16)	247-17374	George Mason University	Conference Center/Hotel	\$1,738,000	\$40,000,000	4.3%	PPEA / Design-Build project.
17)	260-17378	VCCS / TCC	Regional Health Professions Center, VB	\$910,000	\$17,885,000	5.1%	CM-at-Risk project.
18)	260-17386	VCCS / JTCC	Phase II Building, Midlothian Campus	\$3,869,000	\$17,924,000	21.6%	CM-at-Risk project.
19)	799-17491-004	Department of Corrections	Mount Rogers Medium Security Facility	\$11,500,000	\$99,000,000	11.6%	Design-Build project.
TOTAL				\$40,105,000	\$538,330,000	7.4%	

Notes:
* - Feasibility study completed in 2004 exploring roof options; A/C portion offered few VE opportunities, however, underwent system selection and energy reviews.