# Governor's 2009 Workforce Evaluation Report State Administered Workforce Programs October 1, 2009

### **Background**

Section 2.2-435.7(11) requires the Governor, as the Chief Workforce Development Officer, to submit to the chairs of the House and Senate Commerce and Labor Committees copies of evaluations by the Chief Workforce Development Officer of the accountability and performance of the Commonwealth's workforce programs; and regional workforce, education and economic development reports of the Office of the Chancellor of the Virginia Community College System.

Section 2.2-435.7(8) and 10(i) requires the Chief Workforce Development Officer to annually evaluate state administered workforce programs according to the following:.

- Use the performance measures developed through the strategic planning process, which are the program success rates in relation to the performance measures established by the Virginia Workforce Council (VWC).
- To the extent feasible, compare per-person costs for each program or activity.
- To the extent feasible, provide a comparative rating of each program or activity based on success in meeting program objectives.

## **Workforce System Measures Established**

The performance measures established by the VWC in 2005 are considered workforce system measures in that they indicate how the state administered workforce programs are performing as a system rather than as individual programs. The system outcome measures are to be applied across all workforce programs. Those measures are:

- Short-term employment rate (are participants employed six months after program exit?)
- Long-term employment rate (are participants employed twelve months after program exit?)
- Earnings level (median earning six months after program exit)
- Credential completion rate (obtained a credential while enrolled in the program or twelve months after program exit)
- Repeat employer customer (customer satisfaction).

These outcome measures are intended to answer the following policy questions:

- Do people get jobs?
- What are they paid?
- Do the jobs last?
- To what extent do education levels increase?
- Are participants trained for jobs in demand?
- Are employers satisfied with the service?

Additionally, the effectiveness of each program in relation to the others is to be determined and then programs are to be ranked. The statute requires that the evaluations and comparative ratings shall be considered in allocating resources for workforce development and training programs.

## **Executive Branch Direction**

In 2007, the Senior Advisor for Workforce contracted with the Upjohn Institute for Employment Research to evaluate the state's major workforce programs as required at § Section 2.2-435.7(8) and 10(i) of the Code of Virginia. Upjohn used available data on individuals served by these programs and wage and employment data from the Virginia Employment Commission's Unemployment Insurance files. The results of this analysis revealed several challenges to joining state agency data files and comparing state agency workforce program outcomes.

Additionally, Governor Kaine issued Executive Order Sixty-One (2008) to articulate expectations in regard to workforce system program performance and accountability. Specifically, a workforce program participant data repository was to be developed to address the statutory mandate for workforce program evaluation..

#### **Challenges in Comparing and Ranking State Workforce Programs**

The first major challenge involved the varying differences in many aspects of the state administered workforce programs. There are seventeen programs listed in Executive Order 61 spanning eleven state agencies. Each of these programs, while supporting the development of a strong and competent workforce in the Commonwealth, has varying directives and requirements prescribed by the funding that supports it. Some of Virginia's workforce programs focus on immediate labor force attachment (e.g., the Wagner-Peyser employment service, the Virginia Initiative for Employment not Welfare (VIEW) program), while others focus on longer-term human capital development (e.g., the Adult Basic Education program, Post-secondary Career and Technical Education programs).

Additionally, at least one agency, the Department of Business Assistance (DBA), operates a workforce program (the Virginia Jobs Investment Program) whose participants are employers, rather than individual workers or jobseekers. Finally, many programs have mandatory participation requirements (e.g., VIEW, the Department of Correctional Education's (DCE) Career and Technical Education Program) while others are voluntary and/or require applicants to meet specific eligibility criteria (e.g., the vocational rehabilitation programs operated by the Department of Rehabilitative Services(DRS) and the Department for the Blind and Vision Impaired (DBVI)).

Differences in funding sources and mandates have led individual programs to establish accountability and reporting systems that are not necessarily complementary, and often require collection of very different data elements. As a result, the available information for reporting on outcomes varies from program to program and all programs do not collect the data necessary to support the VWC workforce system measures.

Under such widely varying conditions it is virtually impossible to compare across programs in any meaningful way and it is not methodologically acceptable to do so, unless the playing field is leveled. Joining the data together and using statistical methods and analysis to standardize the data is a means to level the playing field.

The impacted agencies offer the following recommendations as remedies for the administrative challenges.

- I. Virginia's workforce agencies, under the guidance of the Chief Workforce Development Officer (CWDO), should collaborate to develop and implement an interagency plan for workforce program evaluation that establishes a common model and methodology for measuring the performance of each program.
- II. The CWDO should work with the state's workforce agencies, using the interagency plan for workforce program evaluation, to develop and implement integrated performance information (IPI) system for Virginia's workforce programs that makes use of shared information from existing administrative data systems.
- III. The General Assembly should consider modifying the current requirement for annual evaluations by reducing the frequency of the evaluations to every 2-4 years as a cost-saving measure.
- IV. The General Assembly should consider developing consistent language for each of the Virginia Code sections authorizing the relevant workforce programs to emphasize that datasharing for evaluation purposes is a proper use of the administrative data maintained by each of the programs.

The first two recommendations would be addressed by the next Administration. The last two recommendations require General Assembly action. The agencies submitting these recommendations include: Department of Labor and Industry; Virginia Community College System; Virginia Department for the Aging; Department of Rehabilitative Services; Department for the Blind and Vision Impaired; Department of Correctional Education; Department of Education; Department of Juvenile Justice; Department of Social Services; Virginia Employment Commission; and the Department of Business Assistance.

The second major challenge involves prohibitions in the Virginia Government Data Collection Dissemination Practices Act, commonly known as the Privacy Act. According to the Attorney General's Office, the Privacy Act, prohibits the joining together of state agency workforce program data for the purposes of evaluation. Consequently, a comparison of performance and cost against the VWC workforce system measures could not be done. The Governor's Office will be drafting legislation to remedy this challenge.

# **Individual Program Performance**

While a comparative evaluation was not possible on the workforce system measures due to constraints previously noted, the agencies have produced information on their individual program performance. That information follows.

# **Compilation of Performance Information, State Administered Workforce Programs**

Administering Agency	Program Name	Federal or State Performance Element	Target	Actual Outcome	Reporting Period	Cost per Participant Served
Department for	Senior	Entered Employment	40%	54%	7/1/07 -	\$5,691
the Aging	Community Services Employment	Employment Retention	64%	71.7%	6/30/08	
		Average Earnings	\$6,568	\$5,578		
	Program	Service Level	155%	139.7%		
		Community Service	74%	79.4%		
Department for the Blind and Vision Impaired	Vocational Rehabilitation	The number of individuals exiting the Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) program who achieved an employment outcome during the current performance period.	197	183		\$10,215
		Of all individuals who exited the VR program after receiving services, the percentage that are determined to have achieved an employment outcome.	68.9%	60.80%		
		% who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage	35.4%	84.70%		
		% of those who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program that have significant disability	89%	99%		
		Ratio of average hourly earnings of exiters compared to statewide average hourly earnings	.59	.677		
		Difference between percentage of exiters who reported their own income as largest source and the percent of those reporting at the time they apply for VR services	30.4%	36.13%		

Department of Business	Virginia Jobs Investment	Service rate for all individuals from minority background as a ratio to service rate for all individuals from non minority background  Assistance for economic	.80	.831	7/1/08 – 6/30/09	\$502.63
Assistance	Program	development projects  Jobs created or retained	13,000	14,587	-	
Administering Agency	Program Name	Federal or State Performance Element	Target	Actual Outcome	Reporting Period	Cost per Participant Served
Department of Correctional Education	Career and Technical Education	Increase between pre and post test scores for youth	22.2	25.71	7/1/08 – 6/30/09	\$1,482 (\$1,512 when including federal funds)
		Youth participants completing with minimum established competencies	95.53%	100%		
		Rate of competencies obtained for adult course completions	97.36%	98.2%		
		Increased number o construction trades programs with an industry based certifications	49	51		
Department of Education	Adult Education and Literacy	Adult Basic Education (ABE) Beginning Literacy	48%	47%	7/1/07 – 6/30/08	\$436.64
		ABE Beginning Basic Education	50%	45%		
		ABE Intermediate Low	50%	45%		
		ABE Intermediate High	40%	38%		
		Adult Secondary Education (ASE) Low	36%	38%		
		English as Second Language (ESL) beginning Literacy	41%	36%		
		Low beginning ESL	40%	46%	_	
		High Beginning ESL ESL Intermediate Low	40% 50%	47% 43%	4	
		ESL Intermediate Low	51%	44%	=	
		High				
		Advanced ESL	32%	28%		

		Secondary Credential	89%	91%		
		Entering Employment	36%	54%	1	
		Retaining Employment	58%	67%	╡	
		Employment	3070	0770		
		Entering Post	33%	43%	1	
		Secondary Education	3370	1370		
		or Training				
Department of	Youth	Re- arrest rate of those		34.6%	7/1/06 -	No system to
Juvenile Justice	Industries and	released			6/30/06	track separate
	Institutional	Re-conviction rate of	N/A	21.2%		program cost
	Work Program	those released				from overall
		Re-incarceration rate	N/A	13.5%		cost
		of those released				
Department of	Registered	Increase the number of		3.8%	7/1/08 -	\$56.07
Labor and	Apprenticeship	active registered		decrease	6/30/09	
Industry		apprentices				
		Increase net number of		5.2%		
		registered sponsors		decrease		
	_					
Administering	Program	Federal or State	Target	Actual	Reporting	Cost per
Agency	Name	Performance		Outcome	Period	Participant
Danastmant of	Vegetional	Element		265	10/1/07	Served
Department of	Vocational Pababilitation	Increase number from pr		265	10/1/07 -	\$3,297
Rehabilitative	Vocational Rehabilitation	Increase number from program of those exiting wh	o achieve	decrease	10/1/07 – 9/30/08	
		Increase number from property year of those exiting when the employment following results.	o achieve	decrease from		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whemployment following rown vR services	o achieve receipt of	decrease from FFY2007		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whemployment following rown vR services Rehabilitation Rate	o achieve receipt of	decrease from FFY2007 57.35%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whemployment following rown vR services Rehabilitation Rate % who exit in	o achieve receipt of	decrease from FFY2007		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whemployment following rVR services Rehabilitation Rate % who exit in competitive, self or	o achieve receipt of	decrease from FFY2007 57.35%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whemployment following rown vR services Rehabilitation Rate who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise	o achieve receipt of	decrease from FFY2007 57.35%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whemployment following rown vR services Rehabilitation Rate % who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least	o achieve receipt of	decrease from FFY2007 57.35%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whemployment following rown vR services Rehabilitation Rate who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage	o achieve receipt of 55.8% 72.6%	decrease from FFY2007 57.35% 92.47%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whe employment following rown vR services Rehabilitation Rate % who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage % of those who exit in	o achieve receipt of	decrease from FFY2007 57.35%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whe employment following rown vR services  Rehabilitation Rate  who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage  for those who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage	o achieve receipt of 55.8% 72.6%	decrease from FFY2007 57.35% 92.47%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting when the employment following rown vR services  Rehabilitation Rate  who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage  for those who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise	o achieve receipt of 55.8% 72.6%	decrease from FFY2007 57.35% 92.47%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting when the employment following rown vR services  Rehabilitation Rate  who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage  for those who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program that have	o achieve receipt of 55.8% 72.6%	decrease from FFY2007 57.35% 92.47%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting when the employment following rown vR services  Rehabilitation Rate  who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage  for those who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program that have significant disability	o achieve receipt of 55.8% 72.6% 62.4%	decrease from FFY2007 57.35% 92.47%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting when the employment following rown vR services  Rehabilitation Rate  Who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage  of those who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program that have significant disability  Ratio of average	o achieve receipt of 55.8% 72.6%	decrease from FFY2007 57.35% 92.47%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting when the employment following rown vR services  Rehabilitation Rate  Who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage  of those who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program that have significant disability  Ratio of average hourly earnings of	o achieve receipt of 55.8% 72.6% 62.4%	decrease from FFY2007 57.35% 92.47%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whe employment following rown vR services  Rehabilitation Rate  Who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage  Wof those who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program that have significant disability  Ratio of average hourly earnings of exiters compared to	o achieve receipt of 55.8% 72.6% 62.4%	decrease from FFY2007 57.35% 92.47%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting when the employment following rown vR services  Rehabilitation Rate  Who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage  of those who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program that have significant disability  Ratio of average hourly earnings of	o achieve receipt of 55.8% 72.6% 62.4%	decrease from FFY2007 57.35% 92.47%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whe employment following rown vR services  Rehabilitation Rate  % who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage  % of those who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program that have significant disability  Ratio of average hourly earnings of exiters compared to statewide average	o achieve receipt of 55.8% 72.6% 62.4%	decrease from FFY2007 57.35% 92.47%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whe employment following rown vR services  Rehabilitation Rate  % who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage  % of those who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program that have significant disability  Ratio of average hourly earnings of exiters compared to statewide average	o achieve receipt of 55.8% 72.6% 62.4%	decrease from FFY2007 57.35% 92.47%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whe employment following rown vR services  Rehabilitation Rate  % who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage  % of those who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program that have significant disability  Ratio of average hourly earnings of exiters compared to statewide average	o achieve receipt of 55.8% 72.6% 62.4%	decrease from FFY2007 57.35% 92.47%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whe employment following rown vR services  Rehabilitation Rate  % who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage  % of those who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program that have significant disability  Ratio of average hourly earnings of exiters compared to statewide average	o achieve receipt of 55.8% 72.6% 62.4%	decrease from FFY2007 57.35% 92.47%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whe employment following rown vR services  Rehabilitation Rate  % who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage  % of those who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program that have significant disability  Ratio of average hourly earnings of exiters compared to statewide average	o achieve receipt of 55.8% 72.6% 62.4%	decrease from FFY2007 57.35% 92.47%		
Rehabilitative		Increase number from pryear of those exiting whe employment following rown vR services  Rehabilitation Rate  % who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program with at least minimum wage  % of those who exit in competitive, self or business enterprise program that have significant disability  Ratio of average hourly earnings of exiters compared to statewide average	o achieve receipt of 55.8% 72.6% 62.4%	decrease from FFY2007 57.35% 92.47%		

		Difference between percentage of exiters who reported their own income as largest source and the percent of those reporting at the time they apply for VR services.  Service rate for all individuals from minority background as a ratio to service rate for all individuals from non minority background	.80	.992		
Department of Social Services	Food Stamp Employment and Training	Number of participants receiving workforce services	7,407	7,511	10/1/07 – 9/30/08	\$145.99 per component assignment
Department of Social Services	Virginia Initiative for	Work participation rate	37%	46%	7/1/08 – 6/30/09	\$1,688
	Employment	Percent Employed	50%	52.4%		
	and not Welfare	Job Retention at 90 days	75%	70.2%		
Virginia Community	Postsecondary Career and	Technical Skills Attainment	60.5%	73.8%	6/1/07 – 5/31/08	\$33.46
College System	Technical	Completion	42%	40.6%	3/31/00	
- •	Education	Retention and Transfer	50%	71.6%	1	
	(Carl Perkins program)	Non-traditional gender representation	18.5%	18.4%		
		Non-traditional gender completion	14%	16.9%		
Virginia Community	Workforce Investment	Entered Employment Rate	82%	78.3%*	7/1/07 – 6/30/08	\$737
College System	Act Adult program	Employment Retention Rate	86%	79%*		
		Average Earnings	\$11,208	\$9,924*		
		Employment and Credential Rate	64%	66.9%		
Virginia Community	Workforce Investment	Entered Employment Rate	85%	82.2%*	7/1/07 – 6/30/08	\$1,589
College System	Act Dislocated Worker	Employment Retention Rate	92%	90.9%*		
	program	Average Earnings	\$14,000	\$13,423*		
		Employment and Credential Rate	68%	65% 1		
Administering Agency	Program Name	Federal or State Performance Element	Target	Actual Outcome	Reporting Period	Cost per Participant
Virginia Community College System	Workforce Investment Act Youth	Older youth entered employment rate	75%	71%*	7/1/07 – 6/30/08	\$3,494
	program					

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Considered to "meet" outcome under federal program standards

	<del>                                     </del>	011	050	02.20/ \$		
		Older youth	85%	83.3%*		
		employment retention				
		rate	Φ2.000	<b>#2.255</b>		
		Older youth earnings	\$3,000	\$3,275		
		change				
		Older youth	68%	36.1%		
		employment and				
		credential rate				
		Younger youth skill attainment rate	88%	68.4%		
		Younger youth high	68%	58%*		
		school diploma or	0070	3070		
		equivalent rate				
			66%	64.6%*	_	
		Younger youth retention rate	00%	04.0%**		
Virginia	Workforce	Participant customer	80%	75%	7/1/07 -	N/A
Community	Investment	satisfaction rate	1		6/30/08	
College System	Act overall	Employer customer	80%	77%		
		satisfaction rate				
Virginia	Job Service	Entered Employment	75%	69% <sup>2</sup>	10/1/07 -	\$51.49 overall
Employment	Voo Berviee	Rate	7570	0570	9/30/08	for Job
Commission		Employment	81%	83%	7/30/00	Service
Commission		Retention Rate	0170	0370		Bervice
		Average Earnings	\$11,642	\$12,402		
		e e		*		
Virginia	Job Service	Veterans Entered	67%	69%	10/1/07 -	\$51.49 overall
Employment		Employment Rate			9/30/08	for Job
Commission		Veterans Employment	82%	84%		Service
		Retention Rate				
		Veterans Average	\$15,184	\$15,887		
		Earnings				
		Disabled Veterans	64%	66%		
		Entered Employment				
		Rate				
		Disabled Veterans	81%	83%		
		Employment				
		Retention Rate				
		Disabled Veterans	\$15,648	\$16,784		
		Average Earnings	Ψ10,0.0	Ψ10,70.		
Virginia	Trade	Entered Employment	73%	61%	10/1/07 -	\$3,111
Employment	Adjustment	Rate	7370	0170	09/30/08	ψ3,111
Commission	Assistance <sup>3</sup>	Employment	91%	87%	07/30/00	
Commission	115515141100	Retention Rate	71/0	07/0		
		Average Earnings	\$14,050	\$10,517	$\dashv$	
Virginia	Disabled	Disabled Veterans	64%	66%	10/1/07 -	See below
	Veterans		0470	00%	09/30/08	See below
Employment Commission		Entered Employment Rate after staff			09/30/08	
Commission	Outreach	services				
	Program		010/	920/	=	
	(DVOP)	Disabled Veterans	81%	82%		
		Employment				
		Retention Rate after				
		staff services		<u> </u>		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Considered to "meet" outcome under federal program standards
<sup>3</sup> Trade program results are not state-level specific; rather they are national figures

Virginia	Local veterans	Recently separated	67%	72%	10/1/07 -	See below
Employment	Employment	Veterans Entered			09/30/08	
Commission	Representative	Employment Rate				
	Program	after staff services				
	(LVER)	Recently separated	80%	85%		
		Veterans Employment				
		Retention Rate after				
		staff services				
Virginia	Consolidated	Entered employment	68%	71%	10/1/07 -	\$247.08
Employment	DVOP and	rate after staff services			09/30/08	
Commission	LVER	Employment	82%	84%		
	program for all	Retention Rate after				
	Veterans	staff services				
		Average Earnings	\$15,265	\$15,978		

## **Virginia Community College System Regional Collaboration**

The Office of the Chancellor of the Virginia Community College System has not produced any reports on accomplishments and recommendations related to regional cooperation on workforce, education, and economic development issues, but Virginia's Community Colleges are regularly at the forefront in designing and implementing effective education and skills strategies to meet employer-defined needs that support regional economic development efforts. Some examples include:

**NoVaHealthFORCE Initiative** - championed by Northern Virginia Community College, the SkillSource Group, the Northern Virginia Workforce Investment Board (Area #11) and multiple regional health care providers as the result of an increasingly threatening shortage in the region's health-care workforce and recognition that collaborative action by the region's health-care stakeholders was the only solution to this worker shortage crisis. The initiative has resulted in increased capacity in the health-care education and training system; sustaining an ongoing supply of people interested in health-care careers; and nurturing innovation, specifically in health information technology. The effort was recognized in a national report released by the American Association of Community Colleges' Center for Workforce and Economic Development and the National Center on Education and the Economy Workforce Strategies Group.

The Southeast Virginia Advanced Manufacturing Pipeline - is a regional project directed by Thomas Nelson Community College Workforce Development, and in association with Tidewater, Paul D. Camp and Eastern Shore community colleges in partnership with the Greater Peninsula, Hampton Roads and Bay Consortium Workforce Investment Boards and their One-Stop career center systems. Key features of the Pipeline are an individual assessment of each company to determine its needs, job profiling of the positions within the company, recruitment and training assistance, employee training and apprenticeships. This demand-driven, flexible-entry-flexible-exit (FEFE) system has proven to be highly effective in preparing job candidates, leading to greatly improved performance and retention.

Fast Track Software Development Training Program – a regional response to meet the education and training needs of the Information Technology sector spurred by the opening of two major facilities in Southwest Virginia; CGI and Northrop Grumman, which combined will create over 700 new jobs. The program was designed by Southwest Virginia Community College, Mountain Empire Community College, and Virginia Highlands Community College (VHCC), who in conjunction with IT industry subject matter experts designed an accelerated training program focused on developing skills in JAVA and C++ programming. The colleges participate in a regional partnership team comprised of the

Department of Business Assistance, Russell County Public Schools, Southwest Virginia Higher Education Center, University of Virginia at Wise, Virginia Tech, Radford, East Tennessee State University and the Southwest Virginia Workforce Investment Board, along with the two companies, to ensure that they have success in hiring and other workforce needs.

Central Virginia Engineering Program – This collaborative of the Central Virginia Community College (CVCC) and University of Virginia (UVA) will result in the establishment of a UVA undergraduate engineering program in Lynchburg, Virginia. CVCC will provide the first two years of instruction with UVA providing the instruction for years three and four at the CVCC main campus. UVA will provide instruction with full time/adjunct faculty and distance education. CVCC and local companies will provide the necessary laboratory facilities. Another essential component of the program is a direct affiliation with local companies for students. Sponsoring companies can provide tuition assistance, co-op. mentoring, financial assistance, and/or job opportunities to these students in an effort to retain local students in the five jurisdictions that comprise Region 2000 in Central Virginia, thereby also meeting economic development interests for existing and potential business with varied engineering needs. The Region 2000 Workforce Investment Board and its one stop center will also be used to recruit and screen potential students for these companies vested in the program.

#### **Summary**

Despite the administrative challenges that exist, state workforce agencies agree that the opportunity exists to enhance their collective ability to provide the General Assembly and other policy makers with valid, meaningful and reliable information on workforce program outcomes. With the remedies suggested earlier in this report and changes to the Virginia Privacy Act, these opportunities can be realized.