Residential Services for Children in the Comprehensive Services Act

Utilization, Length of Stay and Expenditures Statewide and by Locality

Program Year 2008

Report by: Virginia Office of Comprehensive Services for At-Risk Youth & Families December 15, 2008

Residential Care in CSA For Program Year Ending June 30, 2008 December 15, 2008

Mandate and Scope of Report

The 2008 Appropriations Act (*Item 283, B 2.d*) requires the Office of Comprehensive Services for At Risk Youth and Families (*OCS*) to report to the Governor and Chairs of the House Appropriations and Senate Finance Committees on utilization rates and average lengths of stays in residential care statewide and by locality. Each locality is required to submit to OCS "information on utilization of residential facilities for treatment of children and length of stay in such facilities."

This report defines residential care as group homes, residential treatment facilities, and psychiatric hospitals. It provides utilization, length of stay, and expenditure data on residential placements statewide and by locality for Program year 2008, compared to 2007, 2006 and 2005. Program year (*PY*) is defined as all children's services that were provided using CSA funds from July 1 through June 30 of each year. The written report provides statewide data. Locality data is included in the following attached schedules:

- Number of children, average length of stay (*number of days during the year*), and expenditures on residential care during the program year by locality for 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008 (*Schedule 1*).
- Number of children and average length of stay (*number of days during the year*) for children in residential care placed through foster care, special education and non-mandated categories by locality for PY08 (*Schedule 2*)..
- Number of children and expenditures for residential treatment facilities, group homes, and psychiatric hospitals by locality for program years 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008 (*Schedules 3-5 respectively*).
- Utilization rates by locality for program years 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008 (*Schedules 6-9 respectively*). Utilization defined as:
 - Percentage of CSA children in residential care;
 - Percentage of the total youth population in the community that was in residential care;
 - o Percentage of all CSA services that were residential services; and
 - Percentage of all CSA expenditures spent on residential care.

CSA Statutory Framework

The purpose of the Comprehensive Services Act (*CSA*) is to create a collaborative system of services and funding for troubled youth and their families that is child-centered, family-focused and community-based. A fundamental purpose of CSA is to preserve and strengthen families through providing appropriate services for children and their families in the least restrictive setting (§ 2.2-5200).

Children who are eligible for CSA services and funds have emotional and/or behavior problems that are significantly disabling in several community settings (*e.g., home, school or with peers*). They require services that are beyond the normal services or routine collaboration across agencies. They require coordinated interventions by at least two agencies (§2.2-5212). State and local governments are mandated by federal and state law to provide services to children who receive foster care services and specific special education services (§2.2-5211.C).

The CSA statute places the primary responsibility, authority and accountability for this federally and state mandated program to community teams. In each community, teams of professionals and family members collaboratively work to improve outcomes for children and families and to control the rate of growth in CSA expenditures. They decide how to provide services across sectors, enabling children to remain in their homes, schools and communities whenever appropriate while protecting the welfare of children and the safety of the public. They pool resources across sectors, including family, private insurance, Medicaid, federal Title IV-E, CSA state pool of funds, private and community resources.

Family Assessment and Planning Teams (*FAPTs*) assess the strengths and needs of children and their families, develop individual family services plans (*IFSPs*), refer children and families to services, and designate case managers to monitor children's progress. The teams are responsible for engaging families in participating in all aspects of assessment, planning and implementation of services (§2.2-5208). The teams are comprised of a parent and representatives from the local child serving agencies (*community services boards, courts service units, social services, and public schools*). They may include a local health department and private provider (§2.2-5207). Communities include other representatives they deem appropriate for their community or for individual children and families served.

The FAPTs work in accordance with policies established by the CSA Community Policy and Management Teams (*CPMTs*). CPMTs have the statutory authority and accountability for managing collaborative efforts and implementing interagency policies that govern CSA in the community. They coordinate community wide planning, develop needed services, maximize and pool resources across sectors, and manage local CSA funds (§2.2-5206). The CPMTs are comprised of a parent, local government official, agency heads from the local child serving agencies (*community services boards, courts service units, health, social services, and public schools*) and a private provider representative (§2.2-5205). Communities include other representatives they deem appropriate.

CSA Coordinators are hired by many, but not all communities to manage local CSA implementation. Each community has a fiscal agent to manage CSA funds.

While local teams make service and funding decisions, the Commonwealth provides the majority of CSA funds to support local decisions. On average, the State provides 64% of total CSA state pool funds, with local governments providing an average match of 36%.

At the state level, the State Executive Council (*SEC*) serves as the supervisory council that provides leadership for CSA (§2.2-2648). It oversees the development and implementation

of state interagency program and fiscal policies. The SEC is chaired by the Secretary of Health and Human Resources or a designated deputy. It is comprised of two General Assembly members, state government agency heads (*from the five child serving agencies, the Virginia Department of Medical Assistance Services, and the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court*), two local government officials, the chair of the State and Local Advisory Team (*SLAT*), and representatives from parents and a private provider association. The Office of Comprehensive Services for At Risk Youth and Families (*OCS*) serves as the administrative entity of the SEC and ensures that its decisions are implemented. SLAT advises the SEC and OCS by managing cooperative efforts at the state level and providing support to community efforts.

Summary Statewide Information on Residential Care

Children served in residential care. There were 4,313 children placed in residential care at some point during PY08. Thus, 23.7% of all CSA children (*18,195*), or approximately one out of every four CSA children, received residential services. This percentage increased slightly from PY07 when 23.3% of all children served in CSA were placed in residential at some point during the program year.

There were 12 more children placed in residential care in FY08 than in PY07, representing less than a 1% increase (0.3%). This favorably compares to PY07 results, where 29 more children were placed in resident care that in PY06, representing a 0.07% increase. This percentage declined from 5.7% in PY06 when 233 more children were placed in residential care than in PY05.

While the children in residential care varied in PY08, teenage males were the typical recipients. Demographics describing these youth included:

- On average, they were 15 years and 11 months old.
- 61% were male; 39% were female.
- 51% were Caucasian; 44% African American; 1% American Indian; 4% undetermined.

These children primarily had behavioral or emotional issues. Sixty percent had a DSM-IV mental health diagnosis; almost half (48%) took psychotropic medications for mental health issues. The most prevalent reasons that caseworkers reported for providing services for these youth and their families at the last assessment were:

- 22% due to parental neglect, physical abuse, caregiver incapacity, and caregiver absence;
- 23% due to behavioral issues;
- 20% for emotional, mental health, or substance abuse problems;
- 21% court involvement, truancy, physical aggression, runaway, or illegal activity; and
- 7% for special education issues.

Almost two-thirds of the children were originally referred to CSA by local departments of social services (63%). More than one-quarter of the children were referred by the schools

(15%) and juvenile justice system (14%) combined. Fewer referrals came from community service boards (4%) and families (1%). Three percent were referred by the Fairfax Interagency Team which is the only locality that captures data this way.

In PY08, children spent 7 months (229 days) in residential care on average. This average length of stay increased by 12 days (217 days) over PY07, compared to an increase of 6 days (211 days) over PY06. This calculation is based on the total number of days children received residential services during the year divided by the total number of children in residential care during the year. This method allows comparisons across years.

Children are placed in different types of residential care:

- Residential treatment facilities; (see Schedule 3): These programs included secure residential treatment facilities and campus style residential programs. An unduplicated count of 3,006 children was placed in these facilities in PY08 representing a 1.2% (35 placements) increase from PY07. An unduplicated count of 2,971 children was placed in these facilities in PY07. There were 113 fewer children placed in these facilities during PY07 compared to FY06 (3,084 total placements. In FY06, this percentage had increased by 2.9% over PY05 when 2,998 children had been placed in these facilities.
- Group homes; (see Schedule 4): An unduplicated count of 1,938 children were served in group homes in PY08 representing a 4.0% increase from PY07. The unduplicated count in PY07 was 1,863 children representing a 3.5% increase over PY06 when 1,800 children were placed. This was lower than the 6.7% increase in children placed in PY06 over PY05 (1,687).
- Psychiatric hospitals; (see schedule 5) An unduplicated count of 104 children were placed in PY08 representing a 31.6% from PY07. There was an unduplicated count of 79 children placed in PY07. This represents a 1.3% increase over PY06 when 78 children were placed in these facilities. This is a decrease of 25.6% when 98 children were served in these placements in PY05.

It is important to note that the same child could have been placed in a residential treatment facility and group home during the year and were counted in each category above. However, the numbers of children within each type of residential care were unduplicated if they were placed twice during the same year.

Length of Stay Varies by Placement

The length of stay in the different types of residential care varied. This calculation was derived by the total number of days children received these services during the year divided by the total number of children served.

• During PY08, children in residential treatment facilities were in care on average 213 days, an average of 7 months. In increased slightly from PY07 where children in residential treatment facilities stayed on average 6.9 months (209 days). This increased by 12 days (197) over PY06, after declining by 16 days (213) over PY05.

- In group homes, children stayed an average of 171 days or 5.6 months. This is up slightly from PY07 when children stayed on average 5.4 months (*165 days*) during PY07. This increased by 2 days (*163*) over PY06, after declining by 15 days (*178*) over FY05.
- During PY08, children stayed in psychiatric hospitals an average of 121 days, 3.9 months. Children stayed on average 3.2 months (*97days*) during PY07. This increased by 19 days (*78*) over FY06, after declining by 20 days (*98*) over PY05.

Expenditures. State and local governments spent \$152.0 million (net) in CSA expenditures on residential care during PY08, representing 39.7% of all CSA state pool net expenditures (*\$380.5 million*).

The percentage of total residential cost to total annual net pool fund cost continues to decline. The PY08 rate of 39.7% declined 2.7% from the PY07 rate of 42.4%. The FY07 percentage of 42.4% of all CSA expenditures spent on residential care declined from 45% (*\$132.6 of \$295 million*) in PY06 and 46.9% (*\$128.1 of \$273.2 million*) in PY05.

A total of 70% of all residential care expenditures were on residential treatment facilities during PY08. Group homes accounted for 28.8% and psychiatric hospitals for 1.1% of all residential care expenditures.

Expenditures on the three different categories of residential programs included:

- In PY08, a total of \$106.6 million (net) was spent for residential treatment facilities, up 4.5% from PY07 when a total of \$102 million was spent for these facilities. In PY07, residential treatment expenditures increased by 9% over PY06, which was significant when compared to the 1.7% increase in PY06 costs over PY05. Actual dollars spent increased by \$8.4 million in PY07 over PY06, compared to \$1.6 million in PY06 over PY05. A total of \$93.6 million was spent in PY06; \$92.1 million was spent in PY05. In PY07, costs for these facilities represented almost one-third (29.8%) of all CSA pool net expenditures.
- In PY08 a total of \$43.7 million was spent on group homes, up 5.0% from FY07. Group homes expenditures were \$41.6 million in PY07. These expenditures increased by 10.3% over PY06, which was higher than the 9.4% increase in PY06 costs over PY05. Actual dollars increased by \$3.9 million in PY07 over PY06, compared to \$3.2 million in PY06 over PY05. A total of \$37.7 million was spent in PY06; \$34.5 million was spent in PY05. Costs on group homes represented 11.5% of all PY08 CSA pool net expenditures.
- In PY08 a total of \$1.7 million was spent on psychiatric hospital stays, up 3.0% from PY07. A total of \$1.65 million was spent in PY07. This was about the same amount spent (\$1.55 million) on these placements in PY05. They represented less than 1% (0.5%) of all CSA pool net expenditures in PY07.

Average cost can be influenced by the number of children, intensity of services provided, length of stay and program cost. By residential care category:

- For residential treatment facilities, the FY08 average net cost increased 11.7% from PY07. In PY08, the average residential cost was \$35,465 versus \$31,746 in PY07. Residential treatment facilities average cost increased 15.1% from \$27,582 in PY06 to \$31,746 in PY07. It had declined from \$29,840 in PY05.
- Group home average net cost increased 6.9% in PY08, from an average PY08 net cost of \$22,536 compared to \$21,071 in PY07. Group homes average cost increased 10.1% from \$19,130 in PY06 to \$21,071 in PY07. It had declined from \$20,213 in PY05.
- Psychiatric hospital average net cost declined 23.6%, from an average PY08 net cost of \$15,935 compared to \$20,872 in FY07. The average cost increased 35% from \$15,458 in PY06 to \$20,872 in PY07. However, it remained about the same as the average cost of \$15,532 in PY05.

In addition to CSA funds, communities used Medicaid funds to pay residential services for CSA children to maximize other funding sources. Medicaid expenditures totaled \$100.8 million from July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008 (*based on service billings received and paid through October 2008*). These costs represent 50% federal; 32% state; and on average an 18% local match. Medicaid expenditures included:

- Residential treatment facilities (*not including campus style settings*). A total of \$83.6 million was spent in PY07. This represents an 11.9% increase, or \$8.9 million, over the prior year total of \$74.7 million.
- Group homes. A total of \$17.2 million was spent in PY07. This represents an 55% increase, or \$6.1 million, over the prior year amount of \$11.1 million.

Adding CSA and Medicaid expenditures for CSA children, almost \$253 million in state, local and federal funds was spent on residential care in PY08. This represents a 9.5%% increase over PY07 when \$231 million was spent. These costs do not include federal IV-E expenditures and other Medicaid services paid for CSA children during these placements.

FY09 Major Initiative to manage the use of residential care

The Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee (JLARC) identified that community based service gaps are the primary obstacle to serve children in the most appropriate, least restrictive setting. In 2007/08, the Casey Strategic Consulting Group provided policy advice to strengthen CSA financial incentives to reduce reliance on residential care, serve children in their homes and invest funds for the development of community based services. Though modified during the 2008 General Assembly session, in short, the policy advice consisted of phasing in a system of financial incentives over the biennium that is consistent with the statutory purposes of the CSA:

- preserve and strengthen families;
- design and provide services that are responsive to the unique and diverse strengths and needs of troubled youth and families and;
- provide appropriate services in the least restrictive environment, while protecting the welfare of children and maintaining the safety of the public.

The following are excerpts from the CSA 2008 Appropriations Act, Chapter 879, mandating the establishment of an incentive match rate system for CSA pool fund expenditures:

"Notwithstanding the provisions of C 2 of this Item, beginning July 1, 2008, the Secretary of Health and Human Resources shall oversee the implementation of a system of financial incentives that is consistent with the statutory purposes of the Comprehensive Services Act. The financial incentive system shall use the methodology in place on July 1, 2007, for calculating the base rate for each locality. The Secretary shall establish a work group to implement the changes in state and local match rates for the Comprehensive Services Act (CSA) program. The work group shall include representatives from the Virginia Association of Counties, the Virginia Municipal League, the Virginia League of Social Services Executives, the Virginia Association of Community Service Boards, the Virginia Coalition of Private Providers, the Virginia Association of School Superintendents, the Department of Education, the Department of Juvenile Justice, and the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court. The work group shall examine the impact of the match rate changes on local and state administration of the program, reporting requirements, service development and delivery, quality assurance, utilization management, and care coordination to ensure that children continue to receive appropriate and cost-effective services.

Community Based Services. Beginning July 1, 2008, the local match rate for community based services for each locality shall be reduced by 50 percent.

Localities shall review their caseloads for those individuals who can be served appropriately by community-based services and transition those cases to the community for services. Beginning January 1, 2009, the local match rate for residential services for each locality shall be increased by 15 percent above the fiscal year 2007 base rate after a locality has incurred a total of \$100,000 in residential care expenditures for the period of January 1, 2009, through June 30, 2009. Beginning July 1, 2009, the local match rate for residential services for each locality shall be 25 percent above the fiscal year 2007 base rate after a after a locality has incurred a total of \$200,000 in residential care expenditures"

Refer to the "Status on the Implementation of the Comprehensive Services Act Match Rate Incentive for Residential Care", November 2008, for additional information regarding initial indicators from this major policy initiative.

Report Methodology

This report used information from the CSA Data Set and financial systems as of December 1, 2008. The CSA Data Set contains demographic, service, and expenditure information on all children funded through the CSA state pool of funds. This information is reported three times annually by 131 localities. Information from the 4th quarter data set report, which is cumulative for the program year, was used in determining the results

in this report. For PY08, one locality had not filed the quarter 4 data set information. For this locality, third quarter information was used, which is comprised of year to date actual through March 2008 plus fourth quarter projections.

Program year (PYxx) is defined as all children's services that were provided using CSA funds from July 1 through June 30 of each year.

Length of stay information is derived from:

• Average number of days during the year. This calculation was derived by isolating all children who resided in a residential care setting at some point in PY08 obtained from the 4th quarter CSA Data Set master file. For these children, length of stay was calculated by the total numbers of days in residential care during the year divided by the total number of children in residential care. This calculation allows comparisons of length of stay across years. Schedules 1 used this calculation.

When reviewing these reports, it is also important to note that when the data set was initiated in July 2003, local governments were required to populate information based on placement as of July 1, 2003. Local governments were not required to provide historical placements prior to July 1, 2003. As such, placement information for individual children may not include the pre-July 2003 residential service.

Finally, there are two cautions to consider when reviewing the locality data in the attached schedules. First, localities should carefully review and determine the accuracy of the data they reported to OCS. This data is increasingly being used by state and local decision makers. Second, a community may have a significantly higher percentage of children or expenditures than other communities. The reason for this could be that the CSA caseload for the community is small. Thus, one or two children in residential care comprise a larger percentage of the total caseload than communities with more children.

CSA Residential Report (Group Homes, Residential Treatment Facilities Psychiatric Hospitals) FY05-FY08 Summary

	# C	nildrei	n In Res	sider	ntial Care	•		A	verage	e # Re	siden	tial Days/	Child		Total C	SA Expend	itures on R	esidential			
	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange		FY0	5 FY06	FY07	FY08	% Cha	nge		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange	
					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to
FIPS Locality					FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08
1 Accomack	30	32	24	18	6.7%	-25.0%	-25.0%	243	253	170	225	4.1%	-32.8%	32.4%	1,208,549	1,340,700	823,089	622,520	10.9%	-38.6%	-24.4%
3 Albemarle	70	88	81	76	25.7%	-8.0%	-6.2%	261	236	268	359	-9.6%	13.6%	34.0%	3,124,395	3,081,665	2,676,634	3,096,883	-1.4%	-13.1%	15.7%
5 Alleghany	12	19	23	16	58.3%	21.1%	-30.4%	333	284	241	199	-14.7%	-15.1%	-17.4%	439,881	529,816	560,689	306,105	20.4%	5.8%	-45.4%
7 Amelia	2	1	3	3	-50.0%	200.0%	0.0%	365	365	203	462	0.0%	-44.4%	127.6%	36,383	640	57,882	90,655	-98.2%	8944.1%	56.6%
9 Amherst	15	15	19	20	0.0%	26.7%	5.3%	214	278	250	286	29.9%	-10.1%	14.4%	202,025	415,251	510,819	580,311	105.5%	23.0%	13.6%
11 Appomattox	6	5	8	8	-16.7%	60.0%	0.0%	151	164	205	228	8.6%	25.0%	11.2%	66,111	88,882	124,330	218,336	34.4%	39.9%	75.6%
13 Arlington	80	102	99	94	27.5%	-2.9%	-5.1%	228	209	276	289	-8.3%	32.1%	4.7%	3,856,463	5,130,989	4,869,160	5,553,550	33.0%	-5.1%	14.1%
15 Augusta	50	52	49	49	4.0%	-5.8%	0.0%	190	208	240	237	9.5%	15.4%	-1.3%	1,157,734	1,373,278	1,428,147	2,125,633	18.6%	4.0%	48.8%
17 Bath	4	5	3	2	25.0%	-40.0%	-33.3%	278	242	294	366	-12.9%	21.5%	24.5%	22,588	79,661	39,073	15,123	252.7%	-51.0%	-61.3%
19 Bedford County	59	51	42	39	-13.6%	-17.6%	-7.1%	190	197	196	213	3.7%	-0.5%	8.7%	1,767,850	1,416,618	1,303,873	1,035,939	-19.9%	-8.0%	-20.5%
21 Bland	6	2	1	0	-66.7%	-50.0%	-100.0%	110	316	279	0	187.3%	-11.7%	-100.0%	25,717	37,921	16,179	0	47.5%	-57.3%	-100.0%
23 Botetourt	10	19	16	14	90.0%	-15.8%	-12.5%	195	186	188	268	-4.6%	1.1%	42.6%	391,434	690,274	503,857	693,872	76.3%	-27.0%	37.7%
25 Brunswick	5	6		13		83.3%	18.2%	74	272	262	261	267.6%	-3.7%	-0.4%		156,292	486,368	538,020	103.7%		
27 Buchanan	30			25		-12.5%	-10.7%	228	175	176	241	-23.2%	0.6%	36.9%	557,165	366,101	384,531	505,565	-34.3%	5.0%	
29 Buckingham	11	7	7	10		0.0%	42.9%	272	178	224	278	-34.6%	25.8%	24.1%	246,286	169,031	199,565	170,032	-31.4%	18.1%	
31 Campbell	22	32	39	32		21.9%	-17.9%	238	204	204	282	-14.3%	0.0%	38.2%	706,522	972,975	1,299,221	1,553,437	37.7%	33.5%	
33 Caroline	7			10		133.3%	42.9%	212	190	179	223	-10.4%	-5.8%	24.6%	86,679	212,320	309,599	253,972	144.9%	45.8%	
35 Carroll	13	14	14	17	7.7%	0.0%	21.4%	183	143	286	221	-21.9%	100.0%	-22.7%	341,821	501,137	716,102	344,809	46.6%	42.9%	
36 Charles City	1	1	4	6	0.0%	300.0%	50.0%	1	365	134	257	36400.0%	-63.3%	91.8%	4,069	141,435	118,323	233,325	3375.9%	-16.3%	
37 Charlotte		5	9	13		80.0%	44.4%	148	161	214	264	8.8%	32.9%	23.4%	29,761	49,743	348,104	510,609	67.1%	599.8%	
41 Chesterfield	97			115		-1.0%	16.2%	231	140	186	150	-39.4%	32.9%	-19.4%	3,465,002	2,873,943	3,856,456	3,582,539	-17.1%	34.2%	
43 Clarke	8		8	5	-12.5%	14.3%	-37.5%	225	177	293	280	-21.3%	65.5%	-4.4%		434,863	670,366	318,895	-9.7%	54.2%	
45 Craig	2			14		366.7%	0.0%	223	300	206	327	4.9%	-31.3%	58.7%	6,084	203,002	296,021	366,587	3236.7%	45.8%	
47 Culpeper	25			35		0.0%	20.7%	230	274	236	256	18.1%	-13.9%	8.5%	771,713	1,089,504	966,667	1,506,301	41.2%	-11.3%	
49 Cumberland	10			16		15.4%	6.7%	168	204	239	185	21.4%	17.2%	-22.6%	298,417	474,642	322,721	303,416	59.1%	-32.0%	
51 Dickenson	16			27	18.8%	57.9%	-10.0%	166	177	151	200	6.6%	-14.7%	32.5%	324,010	497,803	596,570	660,710	53.6%	19.8%	
53 Dinwiddie				12		11.1%	20.0%	152	206	147	232	35.5%	-28.6%	57.8%	129,969	126,775	123,882	258,762	-2.5%	-2.3%	
57 Essex	10	-	8	7	10.0%	-27.3%	-12.5%	258	301	365	554	16.7%	21.3%	51.8%	426,701	523,181	312,473	257,989	22.6%	-40.3%	
61 Fauguier	27			36		18.5%	12.5%	175	228	201	168	30.3%	-11.8%	-16.4%	736,469	1,038,024	978,121	1,120,298	40.9%	-40.3%	
61 Floyd	11		10	9		42.9%	-10.0%	202	220	201	209	21.8%	-6.9%	-10.4 %		342,799	407,891	332,095	-38.2%	19.0%	
65 Fluvanna	29			18		-24.2%	-28.0%	202	240	229	322	-3.0%	9.8%	30.9%	970,863	948,517	969,277	821,887	-36.2%	2.2%	
	44		60	54		62.2%	-28.0%	176	203	157	240	-3.0%	-22.7%	52.9%	1,266,259	1,090,901	1,409,540	1,122,643	-13.8%	2.2%	
67 Franklin County	20		29	- 54 14		20.8%	-51.7%	223	176	225	240	-21.1%	27.8%	21.8%	1,267,943			1,098,290	-13.8%	36.5%	
69 Frederick	11			14				223		225	183				209,611	1,155,498	1,577,795			-48.0%	
71 Giles	11	14 15	12 8	5	36.4%	-14.3% -46.7%	0.0%		191		265	-25.1% -30.7%	20.9% 58.8%	-20.8%		356,073	185,177	287,698	69.9%	-48.0%	
73 Gloucester	(э 7	-11.1%	-46.7%	-37.5%	238 245	165 161	262 233	205	-30.7%	58.8% 44.7%	3.9%		341,641 247,259	301,090 284,109	225,316	-18.5% -10.1%		
75 Goochland		-		9														190,040		14.9%	
77 Grayson	10			9 22	-20.0%	25.0%	-10.0%	151	184	197	197	21.9%	7.1%	0.0%		206,581	144,201	154,563	3.2%	-30.2%	
79 Greene	26					-24.0%	15.8%	208	212	205	175	1.9%	-3.3%	-14.6%	791,218	964,085	1,041,137	1,066,378	21.8%	8.0%	
83 Halifax	23			38		24.0%	22.6%	153	229	333	317	49.7%	45.4%	-4.8%	458,768	887,218	1,293,671	1,689,080	93.4%	45.8%	
85 Hanover	32			32		28.0%	0.0%	278	285	258	271	2.5%	-9.5%	5.0%	1,575,236	1,072,172	1,919,529	1,893,772	-31.9%	79.0%	
87 Henrico	95	-	158	88		10.5%	-44.3%	239	211	213	253	-11.7%	0.9%	18.8%	2,807,469	3,234,150	3,366,325	3,210,687	15.2%	4.1%	
89 Henry	10			7		-20.0%	-12.5%	186	105	118	190	-43.5%	12.4%	61.0%		132,010	77,885	248,872	-49.4%	-41.0%	
91 Highland	1	0	0	0		#DIV/0!			0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	1	0		0			
93 Isle of Wight	7	-		7		-38.5%			145	135	189	59.3%	-6.9%	40.0%		159,620	185,156				
95 James City	4	- u		6		200.0%				102	323	-23.3%	-26.1%	216.7%		19,073	28,817	81,050	-73.8%		
97 King & Queen	0			4	#DIV/0!	100.0%			156	38	276	#DIV/0!	-75.6%			77,238	24,654	153,108		-68.1%	
99 King George	17			23		4.8%			258	325	330	-4.8%	26.0%	1.5%		631,373	1,125,277	1,119,373			
101 King William	6		5	5		25.0%			_	304	262	63.7%	10.5%	-13.8%		109,528	119,492	189,225			
103 Lancaster	6			11		-30.0%			210	365	180	30.4%	73.8%	-50.7%		212,543	346,664	371,932			
105 Lee	29	23	27	29	-20.7%	17.4%	7.4%	212	244	219	183	15.1%	-10.2%	-16.4%	692,130	1,029,174	1,023,220	909,490	48.7%	-0.6%	-11.1%

CSA Residential Report (Group Homes, Residential Treatment Facilities Psychiatric Hospitals) FY05-FY08 Summary

		# CI	hildrei	n In R	esider	ntial Care)		A	verage	e # Re	sider	tial Days/	Child		Total C	SA Expend	litures on R	esidential			
		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Cha	ange		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	nange	
						FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to
FIPS	Locality					FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08
107	Loudoun	42	. 41	55	48	-2.4%	34.1%	-12.7%	315	249	218	222	-21.0%	-12.4%	1.8%	1,819,627	1,587,284	2,458,755	2,188,579	-12.8%	54.9%	-11.0%
109	Louisa	24	24	29	30	0.0%	20.8%	3.4%	215	203	207	221	-5.6%	2.0%	6.8%	757,692	767,835	746,852	826,857	1.3%	-2.7%	10.7%
111	Lunenburg	4	10	13	15	150.0%	30.0%	15.4%	249	177	246	276	-28.9%	39.0%	12.2%	120,647	241,437	411,393	495,255	100.1%	70.4%	20.4%
	Madison	11	15	18	16	36.4%	20.0%	-11.1%	214	209	173	231	-2.3%	-17.2%	33.5%	130,228	348,339	455,784	516,256	167.5%	30.8%	13.3%
115	Mathews	5	2	5	2	-60.0%	150.0%	-60.0%	137	377	257	42	175.2%	-31.8%	-83.7%	199,559	289,376	577,079	41,613	45.0%	99.4%	-92.8%
117	Mecklenburg	24	23	32	25	-4.2%	39.1%	-21.9%	243	191	256	264	-21.4%	34.0%	3.1%	855,092	630,098	1,182,622	1,310,050	-26.3%	87.7%	10.8%
	Middlesex	1	1	1	0	0.0%	0.0%	-100.0%	214	60	274	0	-72.0%	356.7%	-100.0%	750	2,007	21,264	0		959.5%	-100.0%
121	Montgomery	31	25	29	27	-19.4%	16.0%	-6.9%	212	214	196	228	0.9%	-8.4%	16.3%	1,472,417	955,125	845,801	999,033	-35.1%	-11.4%	18.1%
	Nelson	6	13	12	9	116.7%	-7.7%	-25.0%	210	89	229	373	-57.6%	157.3%	62.9%	217,609	155,908	494,007	672,234	-28.4%	216.9%	36.1%
	New Kent	12	8	14	11	-33.3%	75.0%	-21.4%	92	152	134	205	65.2%	-11.8%	53.0%	273,135	168,013	525,779	463,374	-38.5%	212.9%	-11.9%
	Northampton	6		4	7	33.3%	-50.0%	75.0%	299	235	365	294	-21.4%	55.3%	-19.5%	347,469	359,078	228,818	240,714			5.2%
	Northumberland	4	. 7	4	1	75.0%	-42.9%	-75.0%	365	370	230	677	1.4%	-37.8%	194.3%	71,444	143,594	71,119	39,124		-50.5%	-45.0%
	Nottoway	4	5	8	11	25.0%	60.0%	37.5%	301	260	279	258	-13.6%	7.3%	-7.5%	344,235	435,983	350,595	385,524	26.7%	-19.6%	10.0%
	Orange	6	-	5	9	0.0%	-16.7%	80.0%	266	162	133	251	-39.1%	-17.9%	88.7%	171,145	146,389	207,665	261,363		41.9%	25.9%
	Page	22	-	-		36.4%	16.7%	2.9%	242	220	258	239	-9.1%	17.3%	-7.4%	797,971	1,335,233	1,577,172	1,690,322			7.2%
	Patrick	8		4	4	12.5%	-55.6%	0.0%	211	255	379	268	20.9%	48.6%	-29.3%	114,984	199,643	118,942	120,466		-40.4%	1.3%
	Pittsylvania	29	41	26	33		-36.6%	26.9%	257	269	315	296	4.7%	17.1%	-6.0%	1,079,938	1,321,701	653,293	931,803			42.6%
	Powhatan	20					11.1%	20.0%	257	248	241	270	-3.5%	-2.8%	12.0%	562,966	475,043	460,730	595,376		-3.0%	29.2%
-	Prince Edward	17					6.7%	0.0%	260	279	221	208	7.3%	-20.8%	-5.9%	753,911	681,949	349,496	380,444		-48.8%	8.9%
	Prince George		5		10	25.0%	-60.0%	100.0%	252	148	366	179	-41.3%	147.3%	-51.1%	88,661	52,458	26,896	100,534		-48.7%	273.8%
	Prince William	145	-	132	140	-21.4%	15.8%	6.1%	232	140	159	175	-21.2%	-4.8%	10.1%	4,016,337	2,799,927	3,886,192	4,444,700		38.8%	14.4%
	Pulaski	48				12.5%	-3.7%	-11.5%	212	191	223	225	-21.2%	-4.8%	0.9%	1,210,727	1,302,966	1,473,575	1,410,860		13.1%	-4.3%
		40	1	4	40	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	200	371	261	337	32.0%	-29.6%	29.1%	113,455	143,696	318,164	558,355			75.5%
	Rappahannock	4	8	9	8	100.0%	12.5%	-11.1%	274			271	-24.8%		17.3%	102,894		269,541		79.0%	46.3%	20.9%
	Richmond County	4		-	6 47	24.6%	8.5%			206	231		-24.8%	12.1%		· · ·	184,179		325,851		46.3%	-34.9%
	Roanoke County	57 23			47		-13.2%	-39.0% 24.2%	237	205	200	260		-2.4%	30.0%	2,775,971	2,602,649	3,189,215	2,075,079	-6.2%	17.0%	
	Rockbridge					65.2%			215	171	248	240	-20.5%	45.0%	-3.2%	824,332	1,040,055	1,217,317	1,727,421			41.9%
	Rockingham	56				12.5%	-7.9%	-6.9%	246	369	362	356	50.0%	-1.9%	-1.7%	2,389,092	2,975,760	2,716,472	2,249,736		-8.7%	-17.2%
	Russell	27				-18.5%	-13.6%	10.5%	168	141	203	139	-16.1%	44.0%	-31.5%	400,828	389,824	449,293	356,318		15.3%	-20.7%
	Scott	21			18	-19.0%	29.4%	-18.2%	127	229	190	224	80.3%	-17.0%	17.9%	150,001	170,119	236,778	339,217		39.2%	43.3%
	Shenandoah	29			23		2.8%	-37.8%	203	225	237	218	10.8%	5.3%		765,336	1,228,830	1,105,069	780,130			-29.4%
	Smyth	27		29	30	7.4%	0.0%	3.4%	215	151	200	162	-29.8%	32.5%	-19.0%	474,678	375,553	526,023	501,732		40.1%	-4.6%
	Southampton	C		1	4	#DIV/0!	0.0%	300.0%	0	198	168	95	#DIV/0!	-15.2%	-43.5%	0	18,125	16,218	41,230		-10.5%	154.2%
	Spotsylvania	52				11.5%	20.7%	20.0%	187	200	213	230	7.0%	6.5%		2,237,342	2,326,461	3,826,867	3,840,839		64.5%	0.4%
	Stafford	44				-2.3%	27.9%	-21.8%	250	263	196	218	5.2%	-25.5%	11.2%	2,056,531	2,369,708	2,064,780	1,850,827	15.2%		-10.4%
	Surry	0		0	0	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	0	99	0	0	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	0	6,609	0	0			#DIV/0!
	Sussex	4	0	-	1	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	-50.0%	208	0	40	359	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	797.5%	23,380	0	6,652	42,701			541.9%
	Tazewell	35					50.0%	2.4%	201	429	178	225	113.4%	-58.5%	26.4%	692,046	1,503,382	1,026,802	1,116,963			8.8%
	Warren	32		41	45		7.9%	9.8%	229	218	212	219	-4.8%	-2.8%	3.3%	1,411,053	1,441,811	1,429,248	1,228,548		-0.9%	-14.0%
	Washington	12	. 14		13		-14.3%	8.3%	246	249	266	286	1.2%	6.8%		294,452	329,194	262,965	486,333		-20.1%	84.9%
193	Westmoreland	6	6 4	5	7	-33.3%	25.0%	40.0%	220	280	310	308	27.3%	10.7%		308,011	104,026	183,224	375,468			104.9%
195	Wise	11	11	3	15	0.0%	-72.7%	400.0%	155	222	324	225	43.2%	45.9%	-30.6%	82,715	37,314	46,070	189,018	-54.9%	23.5%	310.3%
	Wythe	15	21		29		52.4%	-9.4%	183	225	184	255	23.0%	-18.2%	38.6%	414,172	472,416	861,724	911,305			5.8%
199	York	7	9	8	9	28.6%	-11.1%	12.5%	337	226	290	304	-32.9%	28.3%	4.8%	517,193	261,686	247,346	340,817	-49.4%	-5.5%	37.8%
510	Alexandria	67	60	65	70	-10.4%	8.3%	7.7%	214	217	215	216	1.4%	-0.9%	0.5%	2,591,110	2,512,457	2,835,811	3,146,538	-3.0%	12.9%	11.0%
515	Bedford City	13	15	10	7	15.4%	-33.3%	-30.0%	197	218	201	252	10.7%	-7.8%	25.4%	236,808	306,246	212,269	236,131	29.3%	-30.7%	11.2%
520	Bristol	25	21	23	26	-16.0%	9.5%	13.0%	261	276	280	346	5.7%	1.4%	23.6%	459,260	611,389	469,183	605,069	33.1%	-23.3%	29.0%
530	Buena Vista	5	10	14	15	100.0%	40.0%	7.1%	141	247	144	143	75.2%	-41.7%	-0.7%	83,189	311,966	412,984	392,939	275.0%	32.4%	-4.9%
540	Charlottesville	112	114	116	128	1.8%	1.8%	10.3%	202	205	224	213	1.5%	9.3%	-4.9%	3,346,953	3,337,581	4,374,385	5,183,713	-0.3%	31.1%	18.5%
	Chesapeake	58	52	43	47	-10.3%	-17.3%	9.3%	256	159	193	165	-37.9%	21.4%	-14.5%	1,849,303	1,479,324	1,469,454	939,546	-20.0%	-0.7%	-36.1%
	Colonial Heights	7					14.3%		120		110	96	-31.7%			61,390	122,218	191,274	101,607			

CSA Residential Report (Group Homes, Residential Treatment Facilities Psychiatric Hospitals) FY05-FY08 Summary

	# Cł	nildrer	ı In R	esider	ntial Care	•			Ave	erage	# Re	siden	tial Days/	Child		Tota	I CSA Expen	ditures on R	esidential			
	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange		F	Y05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Cha	inge		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% CI	hange	
					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to						FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to
FIPS Locality					FY06	FY07	FY08						FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08
580 Covington	18	17	15	14	-5.6%	-11.8%	-6.7%	4	404	365	309	156	-9.7%	-15.3%	-49.5%	583,	52 449,95	1 435,655	297,672	-22.8%	-3.2%	-31.7%
590 Danville	33	40	40	45	21.2%	0.0%	12.5%	2	224	234	263	290	4.5%	12.4%	10.3%	695,	929,68	1 1,102,154	1,247,886	33.6%	18.6%	13.2%
620 Franklin City	3	5	8	5	66.7%	60.0%	-37.5%	1	234	252	174	108	7.7%	-31.0%	-37.9%	77,	46 182,05	4 138,852	42,103	134.5%	-23.7%	-69.7%
630 Fredericksburg	15	22	25	16	46.7%	13.6%	-36.0%	1	245	214	199	213	-12.7%	-7.0%	7.0%	622,	59 782,25	5 781,565	612,217	25.7%	-0.1%	-21.7%
640 Galax	2	5	2	2	150.0%	-60.0%	0.0%	1	256	128	259	366	-50.0%	102.3%	41.3%	76,	97 123,99	50,149	61,210	61.0%	-59.6%	22.1%
650 Hampton	36	39	36	15	8.3%	-7.7%	-58.3%		129	139	155	107	7.8%	11.5%	-31.0%	477,	57 745,31	2 823,929	210,465	56.1%	10.5%	-74.5%
660 Harrisonburg	43	45	55	55	4.7%	22.2%	0.0%	1	249	359	384	390	44.2%	7.0%	1.6%	1,429,	62 1,578,93	9 2,437,061	3,054,958	10.4%	54.3%	25.4%
670 Hopewell	21	17	19	15	-19.0%	11.8%	-21.1%	1	249	284	282	254	14.1%	-0.7%	-9.9%	820,	1,129,24	7 1,031,564	762,588	37.6%	-8.7%	-26.1%
678 Lexington	4	4	2	2	0.0%	-50.0%	0.0%	2	280	241	211	229	-13.9%	-12.4%	8.5%	272,	62 246,19	5 203,020	189,651	-9.8%	-17.5%	-6.6%
680 Lynchburg	29	52	78	141	79.3%	50.0%	80.8%	•	105	185	95	140	76.2%	-48.6%	47.4%	254,	32 1,075,20	2 912,169	2,217,137	322.4%	-15.2%	143.1%
683 Manassas City	17	13	16	13	-23.5%	23.1%	-18.8%	1	238	244	258	232	2.5%	5.7%	-10.1%	913,	61 712,60	7 1,007,246	770,355	-22.0%	41.3%	-23.5%
685 Manassas Park	14	17	15	9	21.4%	-11.8%	-40.0%	:	306	294	221	367	-3.9%	-24.8%	66.1%	975,	06 1,100,81	6 525,181	129,945	12.9%	-52.3%	-75.3%
690 Martinsville	4	1	2	2	-75.0%	100.0%	0.0%	2	215	60	177	58	-72.1%	195.0%	-67.2%	78,	23 4,69	6 45,704	23,997	-94.0%	873.3%	-47.5%
700 Newport News	136	143	139	91	5.1%	-2.8%	-34.5%	•	187	206	198	148	10.2%	-3.9%	-25.3%	4,681,	84 5,359,71	9 4,561,086	2,299,368	14.5%	-14.9%	-49.6%
710 Norfolk	264	234	266	214	-11.4%	13.7%	-19.5%	•	145	144	138	147	-0.7%	-4.2%	6.5%	4,764,	20 4,437,28	5 5,839,009	4,655,352	-6.9%	31.6%	-20.3%
720 Norton	0	3	3	3	#DIV/0!	0.0%	0.0%		0	40	173	133	#DIV/0!	332.5%	-23.1%		0 1,87	7 8,403	35,480	#DIV/0	347.7%	322.2%
730 Petersburg	52	51	55	64	-1.9%	7.8%	16.4%	1	251	267	251	283	6.4%	-6.0%	12.7%	1,505,	81 1,742,18	2 1,891,031	1,979,488	15.7%	8.5%	4.7%
735 Poquoson	0	0	1	2	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	100.0%		0	0	365	218	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-40.3%		0	0 179,046	246,715	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!	37.8%
740 Portsmouth	37	38	32	32	2.7%	-15.8%	0.0%	1	221	185	226	246	-16.3%	22.2%	8.8%	788,	61 884,32	9 1,039,032	1,093,267	12.2%	17.5%	5.2%
750 Radford	7	8	5	5	14.3%	-37.5%	0.0%		150	79	169	143	-47.3%	113.9%	-15.4%	276,	40 100,15	1 100,765	69,146	-63.8%	0.6%	-31.4%
760 Richmond City	321	353	197	369	10.0%	-44.2%	87.3%	:	385	197	191	223	-48.8%	-3.0%	16.8%	10,698,	9,099,93	2 6,894,132	12,082,664	-14.9%	-24.2%	75.3%
770 Roanoke City	111	108	103	103	-2.7%	-4.6%	0.0%	1	274	247	248	221	-9.9%	0.4%	-10.9%	4,265,	4,007,46	2 4,225,695	4,056,061	-6.0%	5.4%	-4.0%
775 Salem	24	22	23	19	-8.3%	4.5%	-17.4%		247	212	183	228	-14.2%	-13.7%	24.6%	732,	82 762,53	3 779,276	673,229	4.0%	2.2%	-13.6%
790 Staunton	28	20	24	26	-28.6%	20.0%	8.3%	1	225	249	245	237	10.7%	-1.6%	-3.3%	863,	671,68	2 686,955	735,061	-22.2%	2.3%	7.0%
800 Suffolk	23	15	12	20	-34.8%	-20.0%	66.7%		126	192	248	174	52.4%	29.2%	-29.8%	340,	354,64	7 465,544	522,536	4.1%	31.3%	12.2%
810 Virginia Beach	194	181	194	192	-6.7%	7.2%	-1.0%	:	320	248	259	244	-22.5%	4.4%	-5.8%	4,720,	4,636,02	1 5,587,468	5,423,023	-1.8%	20.5%	-2.9%
820 Waynesboro	14	16	18	24	14.3%	12.5%	33.3%		175	225	191	178	28.6%	-15.1%	-6.8%	357,	321,75	2 349,114	505,118	-9.9%	8.5%	44.7%
830 Williamsburg	4	4	3	4	0.0%	-25.0%	33.3%		189	257	291	293	36.0%	13.2%	0.7%	20,	71 60,92	5 103,185	152,842	193.3%	69.4%	48.1%
840 Winchester	27	32	35	34	18.5%	9.4%	-2.9%	1	251	205	259	254	-18.3%	26.3%	-1.9%	1,305,	05 1,445,51	6 2,080,109	2,055,270	10.8%	43.9%	-1.2%
1200 Greensville/Emporia	5	8	13	12	60.0%	62.5%	-7.7%		195	190	245	207	-2.6%	28.9%	-15.5%	115,	48 270,82	5 257,960	246,800	135.2%	-4.8%	-4.3%
1300 Fairfax/Falls Church	299	333	288	290	11.4%	-13.5%	0.7%	1	227	195	225	237	-14.1%	15.4%	5.3%	16,425,	00 16,552,94	5 17,371,278	18,463,626	0.8%	4.9%	6.3%
Totals	4,041	4,272	4,301	4,313	5.7%	0.7%	0.3%	1	234	211	217	229	-9.8%	2.8%	5.5%	132,937,	73 138,066,61	1 149,732,023	155,185,888	3.9%	8.4%	3.6%

Office of Comprehensive Services FY08 Locality Length of Stay by Mandate As of June 30, 2008 Locality Data Set Reporting

FIPS	LOCALITY	# Children in Residential though Foster care	Average # of Days by Child (FY08 Only)	FIPS	LOCALITY	# Children in Residential through Special Education	Average # of Days by Child (FY08 Only)	FIPS	LOCALITY	# Children in Residential through NonmandateS ervices	Average # of Days by Child (FY08 Only)
1	Accomack	10	180	1	Accomack	7	287	1	Accomack	2	112
3	Albemarle	94	264	3	Albemarle	31	303	3	Albemarle	5	268
5	Alleghany	20	156	5	Alleghany	1	47	5	Alleghany	0	0
7	Amelia	4	346	7	Amelia	0	0	7	Amelia	0	0
9	Amherst	21	271	9	Amherst	3	365	9	Amherst	0	0
11	Appomattox	9	202	11	Appomattox	1	21	11	Appomattox	0	0
13	Arlington	81	271	13	Arlington	20	259	13	Arlington	0	0
15	Augusta	59	186	15	Augusta	6	210	15	Augusta	1	304
17	Bath	2	365	17	Bath	0	0	17	Bath	0	0
19	Bedford County	40	195	19	Bedford County	10	194	19	Bedford County	2	99
21	Bland	0	0	21	Bland	0	0	21	Bland	0	0
23	Botetourt	15	230	23	Botetourt	5	180	23	Botetourt	0	0
25	Brunswick	7	290	25	Brunswick	6	228	25	Brunswick	0	0
27	Buchanan	28	214	27	Buchanan	0	0	27	Buchanan	1	30
29	Buckingham	5	146	29	Buckingham	10	257	29	Buckingham	0	0
31	Campbell	31	256	31	Campbell	4	333	31	Campbell	0	0
33	Caroline	12	157	33	Caroline	1	341	33	Caroline	0	0
35	Carroll	21	179	35	Carroll	0	0	35	Carroll	0	0
36	Charles City	5	235	36	Charles City	1	365	36	Charles City	0	0
37	Charlotte	10	263	37	Charlotte	3	266	37	Charlotte	0	0
41	Chesterfield	120	87	41	Chesterfield	38	151	41	Chesterfield	19	138
43	Clarke	2	305	43	Clarke	3	263	43	Clarke	0	0
45	Craig	13	323	45	Craig	1	365	45	Craig	0	0
47	Culpeper	33	247	47	Culpeper	3	265	47	Culpeper	0	0
49	Cumberland	6	131	49	Cumberland	14	149	49	Cumberland	1	71
51	Dickenson	28	192	51	Dickenson	2	284	51	Dickenson	0	0
53	Dinwiddie	11	224		Dinwiddie	4	170	53	Dinwiddie	1	91
57	Essex	9	365	57	Essex	2	291	57	Essex	0	0
61	Fauquier	42	91	61	Fauquier	9	237	61	Fauquier	1	92
63	Floyd	10	151	63	Floyd	1	365	63	Floyd	0	0
65	Fluvanna	17	298	65	Fluvanna	2	365	65	Fluvanna	0	0
67	Franklin County	75	148	67	Franklin County	15	219	67	Franklin County	6	87
69	Frederick	7	238	69	Frederick	7	310	69	Frederick	0	0
71	Giles	13	148	71	Giles	2	193	71	Giles	0	0
73	Gloucester	5	192	73	Gloucester	4	240	73	Gloucester	0	0
75	Goochland	7	242	75	Goochland	0	0	75	Goochland	0	0
77	Grayson	10	177	77	Grayson	0	0	77	Grayson	0	0
79	Greene	20	137	79	Greene	10	161	79	Greene	0	0
83	Halifax	43	238	83	Halifax	10	288	83	Halifax	0	0
85	Hanover	32	204	85	Hanover	8	248	85	Hanover	1	132
87	Henrico	87	225	87	Henrico	19	279	87	Henrico	0	0
89	Henry	9	148	89	Henry	0	0	89	Henry	0	0
91	Highland	0	0	91	Highland	0	0	91	Highland	0	0
93	Isle of Wight	8	130	93	Isle of Wight	1	279	93	Isle of Wight	0	0
95	James City	6	267	95	James City	1	335	95	James City	0	0

Office of Comprehensive Services FY08 Locality Length of Stay by Mandate As of June 30, 2008 Locality Data Set Reporting

FIPS	LOCALITY	# Children in Residential though Foster care	Average # of Days by Child (FY08 Only)	FIPS	LOCALITY	# Children in Residential through Special Education	Average # of Days by Child (FY08 Only)	FIPS	LOCALITY	# Children in Residential through NonmandateS ervices	Average # of Days by Child (FY08 Only)
97	King & Queen	3	228	97	King & Queen	2	209	97	King & Queen	0	0
99	King George	19	322	99	King George	4	365	99	King George	0	0
101	King William	0	0	101	King William	5	261	101	King William	0	0
103	Lancaster	10	183		Lancaster	2	74	103	Lancaster	0	0
105	Lee	33	157	105	Lee	11	176	105	Lee	0	0
107	Loudoun	44	156	107	Loudoun	10	252	107	Loudoun	6	206
109	Louisa	30	192	109	Louisa	6	160	109	Louisa	0	0
111	Lunenburg	11	291	111	Lunenburg	8	264	111	Lunenburg	0	0
113	Madison	16	204	113	Madison	2	208	113	Madison	0	0
115	Mathews	1	80	115	Mathews	2	42	115	Mathews	0	0
117	Mecklenburg	17	279	117	Mecklenburg	9	205	117	Mecklenburg	0	0
119	Middlesex	0	0	119	Middlesex	0	0	119	Middlesex	0	0
121	Montgomery	25	207	121	Montgomery	5	190	121	Montgomery	0	0
125	Nelson	6	200	125	Nelson	7	307	125	Nelson	0	0
127	New Kent	9	185	127	New Kent	4	147	127	New Kent	0	0
131	Northampton	6	299	131	Northampton	1	365	131	Northampton	1	260
133	Northumberland	2	339	133	Northumberland	0	0	133	Northumberland	0	0
135	Nottoway	11	258	135	Nottoway	0	0	135	Nottoway	0	0
137	Orange	10	225	137	Orange	0	0	137	Orange	0	0
139	Page	23	210		Page	13	289	139	Page	0	0
141	Patrick	3	325	141	Patrick	0	0	141	Patrick	1	94
143	Pittsylvania	37	164		Pittsylvania	20	186	143	Pittsylvania	1	199
145	Powhatan	7	152	145	Powhatan	11	202	145	Powhatan	0	0
147	Prince Edward	12	236	147	Prince Edward	9	207	147	Prince Edward	1	93
149	Prince George	6	119		Prince George	0	0	149	Prince George	0	0
153	Prince William	144	160	153	Prince William	35	178	153	Prince William	12	118
155	Pulaski	59	172	155	Pulaski	8	127	155	Pulaski	1	45
157	Rappahannock	8	315	157	Rappahannock	3	300	157	Rappahannock	0	0
159	Richmond County	8	182		Richmond County	6	177	159	Richmond County	0	0
161	Roanoke County	44	236		Roanoke County	12	179	161	Roanoke County	7	137
163	Rockbridge	48	194		Rockbridge	6	209	163	Rockbridge	3	48
165	Rockingham	57	303		Rockingham	7	289	165	Rockingham	0	0
167	Russell	26	112	167	Russell	0	0	167	Russell	0	0
169	Scott	19	211	169	Scott	2	365	169	Scott	0	0
171	Shenandoah	21	204	171	Shenandoah	4	178	171	Shenandoah	0	0
173	Smyth	31	152	173	Smyth	0	0	173	Smyth	4	71
175	Southampton	4	94	175	Southampton	0	0	175	Southampton	0	0
177	Spotsylvania	92	191	177	Spotsylvania	25	236	177	Spotsylvania	4	155
179	Stafford	36	204	179	Stafford	14	215	179	Stafford	3	90
181	Surry	0	0	181	Surry	0	0	181	Surry	0	0
183	Sussex	1	359	183	Sussex	0	0	183	Sussex	0	0
185	Tazewell	48	201	185	Tazewell	0	0	185	Tazewell	0	0
187	Warren	42	199		Warren	14	225	187	Warren	0	0
191	Washington	8	282	191	Washington	5	292	191	Washington	0	0
193	Westmoreland	7	268	193	Westmoreland	3	335	193	Westmoreland	0	0
195	Wise	13	217		Wise	0	0	195	Wise	2	275

Office of Comprehensive Services FY08 Locality Length of Stay by Mandate As of June 30, 2008 Locality Data Set Reporting

		# Children in Residential though Foster	Average # of Days by Child			# Children in Residential through Special	Average # of Days by Child				# Children in Residential through NonmandateS	Average # of Days by Child
-	LOCALITY	care	(FY08 Only)	-	LOCALITY	Education	(FY08 Only)		-	LOCALITY	ervices	(FY08 Only)
197	Wythe	31	219	197	Wythe	3	234	Ļ	197	Wythe	0	0
199	York	9	252	199	York	3	152	-	199	York	0	0
510	Alexandria	79 8	162 220	510	Alexandria	12 0	190 0	-	510	Alexandria	2	23 0
515	Bedford City	-	220	515	Bedford City	4	255	-	515	Bedford City	-	285
520 530	Bristol	25 14	147	520 530	Bristol	4	255 112	-	520 530	Bristol	3	285 37
530	Buena Vista Charlottesville	14	147	530 540	Buena Vista Charlottesville	14	211	-	530	Buena Vista Charlottesville	7	219
550		49	94	550		9	108	ŀ	550		12	186
550	Chesapeake	49 3	94 127	550 570	Chesapeake Colonial Heights	9	73	-	570	Chesapeake	12	24
570	Colonial Heights	3 16	127	570	U U	4	37	ŀ	580	Colonial Heights	0	0
580	Covington	58	129	580 590	Covington	8	247	-	590	Covington	0 4	150
620	Danville	3	131	620	Danville Franklin City	0	118	ŀ	620	Danville	4	27
	Franklin City		131			і Г	174	ŀ		Franklin City	0	
630 640	Fredericksburg	13 2	365	630 640	Fredericksburg	5	0	-	630 640	Fredericksburg	0	0
	Galax	∠ 15	54	640 650	Galax	17	47	ŀ		Galax	4	90
650	Hampton		54 315		Hampton			-	650	Hampton	-	
660	Harrisonburg	61		660	Harrisonburg	6	365 117	-	660	Harrisonburg	0	0
670	Hopewell	16	230	670	Hopewell			-	670	Hopewell	1	365
678	Lexington	3	152	678	Lexington	0	0	-	678	Lexington	0	0
680	Lynchburg	166	99	680	Lynchburg	4	70	-	680	Lynchburg	42	73
683	Manassas City	11	215	683	Manassas City	2	245	-	683	Manassas City	5	134
685	Manassas Park	6	335	685	Manassas Park	5	330	-		Manassas Park	0	0
690	Martinsville	2	58	690	Martinsville	0	0	-	690	Martinsville	0	0
700	Newport News	87	138	700	Newport News	11	162	-	700	Newport News	9	105
710	Norfolk	249	115	710	Norfolk	80	115	-	710	Norfolk	130	59
720	Norton	2	134	720	Norton	1	131		720	Norton	0	0
730	Petersburg	70	258	730	Petersburg	1	203		730	Petersburg	0	0
735	Poquoson	2	218	735	Poquoson	0	0		735	Poquoson	0	0
740	Portsmouth	31	220		Portsmouth	8	220		740	Portsmouth	2	89
750	Radford	5	142	750	Radford	0	0	ŀ	750	Radford	0	0
760	Richmond City	574	139	760	Richmond City	20	117		760	Richmond City	6	203
770	Roanoke City	137	155	770	Roanoke City	6	173		770	Roanoke City	3	169
775	Salem	18	194	775	Salem	5	165		775	Salem	1	7
790	Staunton	36	163	790	Staunton	8	194		790	Staunton	1	82
800	Suffolk	13	176	800	Suffolk	0	0		800	Suffolk	9	132
810	Virginia Beach	224	150	810	Virginia Beach	35	242		810	Virginia Beach	20	235
820	Waynesboro	26	164	820	Waynesboro	0	0		820	Waynesboro	0	0
830	Williamsburg	4	293	830	Williamsburg	0	0		830	Williamsburg	0	0
840	Winchester	30	243	840	Winchester	9	177		840	Winchester	0	0
1200	Greensville/Emporia	9 291	186 169	1200	Greensville/Emporia	4 66	259 234			Greensville/Emporia	0 36	0 147
1300	Fairfax/Falls Church			1300	Fairfax/Falls Church			╞	1300	Fairfax/Falls Church		
	Statewide Totals	4,697	176		Statewide Totals	894	206			Statewide Totals	387	112

			(Childro	en					Expend	ditures				
		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange	
						FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to
						FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08
1	Accomack	29			17	3.4%	-33.3%	-15.0%	1,157,966	1,178,703	754,365	604,786	1.8%	-36.0%	-19.8%
3	Albemarle	59	69	52	66	16.9%	-24.6%	26.9%	2,165,983	2,097,248	1,886,261	2,121,929	-3.2%	-10.1%	12.5%
5	Alleghany	11	19	22	14	72.7%	15.8%	-36.4%	388,590	529,816	494,289	256,867	36.3%	-6.7%	-48.0%
7	Amelia	2		3	2	-50.0%	200.0%	-33.3%	36,383	640	57,882	55,791	-98.2%	8944.1%	-3.6%
9	Amherst	12	14	16	12	16.7%	14.3%	-25.0%	171,419	359,387	369,297	335,727	109.7%	2.8%	-9.1%
11	Appomattox	4	4	6	5	0.0%	50.0%	-16.7%	55,016	45,266	93,088	99,157	-17.7%	105.6%	6.5%
	Arlington	59	71	83	84	20.3%	16.9%	1.2%	3,120,654	4,172,343	4,376,386	5,086,421	33.7%	4.9%	16.2%
15	Augusta	49	52	49	47	6.1%	-5.8%	-4.1%	1,101,558	1,254,479	1,049,821	1,501,355	13.9%	-16.3%	43.0%
17	Bath	2	2	1	0	0.0%	-50.0%	-100.0%	22,586	50,532	26,302	0	123.7%	-47.9%	-100.0%
19	Bedford County	34	25	19	21	-26.5%	-24.0%	10.5%	467,509	546,105	571,485	442,152	16.8%	4.6%	-22.6%
21	Bland	6	2	1	0	-66.7%	-50.0%	-100.0%	25,717	37,921	16,179	0	47.5%	-57.3%	-100.0%
23	Botetourt	7	12	14	10	71.4%	16.7%	-28.6%	260,672	567,289	417,957	387,189	117.6%	-26.3%	-7.4%
25	Brunswick	4	6	11	8	50.0%	83.3%	-27.3%	42,910	113,914	439,995	343,312	165.5%	286.3%	-22.0%
27	Buchanan	20	24	23	18	20.0%	-4.2%	-21.7%	406,053	193,160	328,303	409,340	-52.4%	70.0%	24.7%
29	Buckingham	8	5	7	9	-37.5%	40.0%	28.6%	203,516	76,451	199,565	159,088	-62.4%	161.0%	-20.3%
31	Campbell	19	23	20	20	21.1%	-13.0%	0.0%	537,675	502,283	829,948	1,241,280	-6.6%	65.2%	49.6%
33	Caroline	5	3	5	5	-40.0%	66.7%	0.0%	52,904	212,320	261,964	171,797	301.3%	23.4%	-34.4%
35	Carroll	4	9	10	9	125.0%	11.1%	-10.0%	64,929	401,358	539,483	250,972	518.1%	34.4%	-53.5%
36	Charles City	0	0	4	6	0.0%	#DIV/0!	50.0%	0	0	118,323	233,325	0.0%	#DIV/0!	97.2%
37	Charlotte	3	5	9	13	66.7%	80.0%	44.4%	26,407	49,743	348,104	510,609	88.4%	599.8%	46.7%
41	Chesterfield	87	97	92	98	11.5%	-5.2%	6.5%	2,784,079	2,634,552	3,367,206	2,887,734	-5.4%	27.8%	-14.2%
43	Clarke	6	7	7	4	16.7%	0.0%	-42.9%	465,027	415,163	605,447	256,617	-10.7%	45.8%	-57.6%
45	Craig	2	3	12	11	50.0%	300.0%	-8.3%	6,084	203,002	285,594	358,676	3236.7%	40.7%	25.6%
47	Culpeper	16	18	19	23	12.5%	5.6%	21.1%	432,170	531,322	537,079	774,923	22.9%	1.1%	44.3%
	Cumberland	10	10	9	10	0.0%	-10.0%	11.1%	271,642	284,469	113,482	157,372	4.7%	-60.1%	38.7%
51	Dickenson	7	8	18	17	14.3%	125.0%	-5.6%	203,234	294,143	470,724	529,591	44.7%	60.0%	12.5%
53	Dinwiddie	4	3	7	12	-25.0%	133.3%	71.4%	69,983	32,008	91,237	251,500	-54.3%	185.0%	175.7%
57	Essex	9	9	6	7	0.0%	-33.3%	16.7%	418,084	497,785	189,003	209,708	19.1%	-62.0%	11.0%
61	Fauquier	20	23	24	20	15.0%	4.3%	-16.7%	616,771	716,109	749,433	930,447	16.1%	4.7%	24.2%
	Floyd	11	5	7	4	-54.5%	40.0%	-42.9%	511,106	332,919	327,055	231,162	-34.9%	-1.8%	-29.3%
65	Fluvanna	26	29	14	11	11.5%	-51.7%	-21.4%	854,187	812,743	483,269	467,312	-4.9%	-40.5%	-3.3%
	Franklin County	16	12	18	16	-25.0%	50.0%	-11.1%	547,986	338,592	545,873	350,951	-38.2%	61.2%	-35.7%
	Frederick	18	18	24	14	0.0%	33.3%	-41.7%	1,203,222	959,882	1,478,240	1,098,290	-20.2%	54.0%	-25.7%
	Giles	8	11	10	10	37.5%	-9.1%	0.0%	148,205	141,587	117,608	262,998	-4.5%	-16.9%	123.6%
	Gloucester	6	9	7	4	50.0%	-22.2%	-42.9%	248,433	163,575	262,086	225,143	-34.2%	60.2%	-14.1%
	Goochland	9			3	-55.6%	0.0%	-25.0%	231,433	141,505	100,911	94,756	-38.9%	-28.7%	-6.1%
	Grayson	6	7	7	5		0.0%	-28.6%	95,375	164,358	140,662	86,560	72.3%	-14.4%	-38.5%

			(Childre	en					Expend	litures				
		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	nange		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange	
						FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to
						FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08
79	Greene	20	21	19	19	5.0%	-9.5%	0.0%	620,780	875,153	1,004,006	921,684	41.0%	14.7%	-8.2%
83	Halifax	13	14	19	25	7.7%	35.7%	31.6%	291,173	593,387	663,293	1,197,992	103.8%	11.8%	80.6%
85	Hanover	23	21	24	25	-8.7%	14.3%	4.2%	1,140,539	822,608	1,456,662	1,400,333	-27.9%	77.1%	-3.9%
87	Henrico	78	130	147	83	66.7%	13.1%	-43.5%	1,334,651	2,105,136	2,248,902	2,778,888	57.7%	6.8%	23.6%
89	Henry	10	10	8	7	0.0%	-20.0%	-12.5%	260,792	132,010	77,885	207,140	-49.4%	-41.0%	166.0%
91	Highland	1	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	1,502	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
93	Isle of Wight	5	5	2	5	0.0%	-60.0%	150.0%	38,894	63,880	47,401	179,687	64.2%	-25.8%	279.1%
95	James City	3	3	2	4	0.0%	-33.3%	100.0%	51,192	19,073	10,837	33,854	-62.7%	-43.2%	212.4%
97	King & Queen	0	2	4	4	#DIV/0!	100.0%	0.0%	0	43,083	24,654	134,233	#DIV/0!	-42.8%	444.5%
99	King George	14	16	15	15	14.3%	-6.3%	0.0%	352,538	517,314	673,855	534,496	46.7%	30.3%	-20.7%
101	King William	5	4	5	5	-20.0%	25.0%	0.0%	191,931	109,528	119,492	189,225	-42.9%	9.1%	58.4%
103	Lancaster	5	8	6	10	60.0%	-25.0%	66.7%	259,737	111,671	306,087	290,205	-57.0%	174.1%	-5.2%
105	Lee	8	6	7	13	-25.0%	16.7%	85.7%	398,942	575,771	480,364	593,791	44.3%	-16.6%	23.6%
107	Loudoun	33	32	43	40	-3.0%	34.4%	-7.0%	957,038	815,706	1,677,854	1,933,935	-14.8%	105.7%	15.3%
109	Louisa	23	22	25	23	-4.3%	13.6%	-8.0%	577,935	593,759	576,199	507,251	2.7%	-3.0%	-12.0%
111	Lunenburg	4	7	10	9	75.0%	42.9%	-10.0%	120,647	224,285	233,735	225,032	85.9%	4.2%	-3.7%
113	Madison	5	8	12	13	60.0%	50.0%	8.3%	77,087	185,337	246,624	311,060	140.4%	33.1%	26.1%
115	Mathews	2	2	4	2	0.0%	100.0%	-50.0%	15,387	273,489	367,194	41,613	1677.4%	34.3%	-88.7%
117	Mecklenburg	15	12	13	13	-20.0%	8.3%	0.0%	455,377	255,485	525,078	499,084	-43.9%	105.5%	-5.0%
	Middlesex	1	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	750	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
121	Montgomery	27	21	18	11	-22.2%	-14.3%	-38.9%	1,379,506	849,051	623,000	608,111	-38.5%	-26.6%	-2.4%
125	Nelson	6	6	9	8	0.0%	50.0%	-11.1%	217,609	133,862	381,300	542,777	-38.5%	184.8%	42.3%
127	New Kent	10	6	13	10	-40.0%	116.7%	-23.1%	125,081	143,955	525,329	391,391	15.1%	264.9%	-25.5%
131	Northampton	5	7	4	6	40.0%	-42.9%	50.0%	284,791	338,678	228,818	214,374	18.9%	-32.4%	-6.3%
133	Northumberland	4	5	3	1	25.0%	-40.0%	-66.7%	71,444	104,428	59,676	10,945	46.2%	-42.9%	-81.7%
135	Nottoway	3	3	6	6	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	330,595	308,545	204,238	228,987	-6.7%	-33.8%	12.1%
	Orange	5	2	4	8	-60.0%	100.0%	100.0%	156,778	55,913	40,256	251,976	-64.3%	-28.0%	525.9%
	Page	13	20	28	31	53.8%	40.0%	10.7%	533,043	947,289	1,417,421	1,608,845	77.7%	49.6%	13.5%
	Patrick	6	8	4	3	33.3%	-50.0%	-25.0%	77,539	192,345	111,482	79,253	148.1%	-42.0%	-28.9%
143	Pittsylvania	26	26	19	27	0.0%	-26.9%	42.1%	795,831	571,499	418,813	730,184	-28.2%	-26.7%	74.3%
145	Powhatan	6	7	8	12	16.7%	14.3%	50.0%	440,602	456,976	400,330	467,065	3.7%	-12.4%	16.7%
147	Prince Edward	14	12	14	13	-14.3%	16.7%	-7.1%	684,594	510,339	260,192	354,449	-25.5%	-49.0%	36.2%
149	Prince George	1	3	1	3	200.0%	-66.7%	200.0%	14,965	43,131	8,880	73,442	188.2%	-79.4%	727.0%
	Prince William	109	82	77	98	-24.8%	-6.1%	27.3%	2,913,901	1,628,493	2,424,221	3,068,519	-44.1%	48.9%	26.6%
155	Pulaski	48	54	49	39	12.5%	-9.3%	-20.4%	1,176,572	1,167,327	1,253,099	923,221	-0.8%	7.3%	-26.3%
157	Rappahannock	0	2	4	6	#DIV/0!	100.0%	50.0%	0	54,780	318,164	488,253	#DIV/0!	480.8%	53.5%
	Richmond County	4	5	6	8	25.0%	20.0%	33.3%	89,530	173,609	212,406	276,128	93.9%	22.3%	30.0%

			(Childro	en					Expend	litures				
		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange	
						FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to
						FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08
161	Roanoke County	32	38	39		18.8%	2.6%	-38.5%	1,616,414	1,354,432	1,701,715	1,242,381	-16.2%	25.6%	-27.0%
163	Rockbridge	23	38	28	36	65.2%	-26.3%	28.6%	824,332	1,039,093	791,032	1,234,289	26.1%	-23.9%	56.0%
165	Rockingham	56	59	54	45	5.4%	-8.5%	-16.7%	2,131,520	2,627,928	2,335,834	1,765,199	23.3%	-11.1%	-24.4%
167	Russell	19	15	16	17	-21.1%	6.7%	6.3%	356,089	271,777	387,143	312,771	-23.7%	42.4%	-19.2%
169	Scott	5	2	7	6	-60.0%	250.0%	-14.3%	61,535	3,291	50,588	152,158	-94.7%	1437.2%	200.8%
171	Shenandoah	22	27	37	14	22.7%	37.0%	-62.2%	432,234	766,843	792,773	408,367	77.4%	3.4%	-48.5%
173	Smyth	12	11	9	5	-8.3%	-18.2%	-44.4%	187,705	97,852	178,779	82,481	-47.9%	82.7%	-53.9%
175	Southampton	0	1	1	3	#DIV/0!	0.0%	200.0%	0	18,125	16,218	40,230	#DIV/0!	-10.5%	148.1%
177	Spotsylvania	42	44	49	66	4.8%	11.4%	34.7%	1,550,889	1,699,403	2,784,510	2,637,607	9.6%	63.9%	-5.3%
179	Stafford	36	37	48	36	2.8%	29.7%	-25.0%	1,779,136	2,007,137	1,910,448	1,761,386	12.8%	-4.8%	-7.8%
181	Surry	0	1	0	0	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	0	6,609	0	0	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
183	Sussex	1	0	1	1	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	0.0%	19,636	0	5,076	42,701	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	741.2%
185	Tazewell	17	14	14	17	-17.6%	0.0%	21.4%	436,333	936,704	553,729	559,851	114.7%	-40.9%	1.1%
187	Warren	24	31	38	39	29.2%	22.6%	2.6%	1,098,199	1,167,013	1,384,534	1,122,347	6.3%	18.6%	-18.9%
191	Washington	11	13	11	12	18.2%	-15.4%	9.1%	256,097	306,667	248,095	477,693	19.7%	-19.1%	92.5%
193	Westmoreland	5	3	4	7	-40.0%	33.3%	75.0%	274,550	78,154	158,948	375,348	-71.5%	103.4%	136.1%
195	Wise	6	5	1	3	-16.7%	-80.0%	200.0%	48,668	15,152	19,000	93,588	-68.9%	25.4%	392.6%
197	Wythe	13	16	18	10	23.1%	12.5%	-44.4%	388,284	389,366	676,912	359,316	0.3%	73.8%	-46.9%
199	York	5	7	8	7	40.0%	14.3%	-12.5%	284,778	128,537	129,316	171,149	-54.9%	0.6%	32.3%
510	Alexandria	67	59	56	64	-11.9%	-5.1%	14.3%	2,580,455	2,508,741	2,303,077	2,311,108	-2.8%	-8.2%	0.3%
515	Bedford City	8	11	3	2	37.5%	-72.7%	-33.3%	103,501	158,219	45,401	43,746	52.9%	-71.3%	-3.6%
520	Bristol	24	19	21	22	-20.8%	10.5%	4.8%	455,548	580,489	426,403	484,968	27.4%	-26.5%	13.7%
530	Buena Vista	5	10	11	10	100.0%	10.0%	-9.1%	83,189	311,966	147,240	251,866	275.0%	-52.8%	71.1%
540	Charlottesville	69	75	69	81	8.7%	-8.0%	17.4%	1,889,477	1,753,517	2,310,768	3,193,087	-7.2%	31.8%	38.2%
550	Chesapeake	36	27	24	30	-25.0%	-11.1%	25.0%	1,130,368	824,751	882,130	454,526	-27.0%	7.0%	-48.5%
570	Colonial Heights	7	14	16	5	100.0%	14.3%	-68.8%	61,390	122,218	146,469	101,607	99.1%	19.8%	-30.6%
580	Covington	14	17	13	11	21.4%	-23.5%	-15.4%	524,147	351,934	306,867	150,368	-32.9%	-12.8%	-51.0%
	Danville	28	26	35	40	-7.1%	34.6%	14.3%	613,558	467,384	815,242	898,148	-23.8%	74.4%	10.2%
620	Franklin City	2	3	4	3	50.0%	33.3%	-25.0%	25,978	38,705	35,162	26,710	49.0%	-9.2%	-24.0%
630	Fredericksburg	13	18	20	13	38.5%	11.1%	-35.0%	516,440	577,014	631,340	464,255	11.7%	9.4%	-26.5%
	Galax	1	4	2	2	300.0%	-50.0%	0.0%	76,067	123,804	50,127	61,210	62.8%	-59.5%	22.1%
650	Hampton	29	31	25	5	6.9%	-19.4%	-80.0%	384,439	519,505	308,013	49,950	35.1%	-40.7%	-83.8%
	Harrisonburg	39	38	50	47	-2.6%	31.6%	-6.0%	1,195,843	1,285,377	1,937,300	2,495,374	7.5%	50.7%	28.8%
	Hopewell	11	8	8	9	-27.3%	0.0%	12.5%	365,440	687,266	488,334	508,465	88.1%	-28.9%	4.1%
	Lexington	4	4	0	2	0.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	272,962	246,195	0	8600	-9.8%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
	Lynchburg	7	16	31	66	128.6%	93.8%	112.9%	78,841	415,030	371,064	985,318	426.4%	-10.6%	165.5%
	Manassas City	16	13	13	10	-18.8%	0.0%	-23.1%	886,077	712,607	893,648	583,590	-19.6%	25.4%	-34.7%

		C	Childr	en					Expen	ditures				
	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% C h	ange		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange	
					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to
					FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08
685 Manassas Park	5	5	9	7	0.0%	80.0%	-22.2%	587,431	309,266	113,778	67,583	-47.4%	-63.2%	-40.6%
690 Martinsville	4	1	2	2	-75.0%	100.0%	0.0%	78,523	4,696	45,704	23,997	-94.0%	873.3%	-47.5%
700 Newport News	111	98	55	34	-11.7%	-43.9%	-38.2%	3,227,986	2,894,056	2,001,289	951,471	-10.3%	-30.8%	-52.5%
710 Norfolk	91	73	102	95	-19.8%	39.7%	-6.9%	2,065,518	2,155,169	2,794,447	2,392,283	4.3%	29.7%	-14.4%
720 Norton	0	2	2	0	#DIV/0!	0.0%	-100.0%	0	1,202	4,503	0	#DIV/0!	274.6%	-100.0%
730 Petersburg	24	26	28	36	8.3%	7.7%	28.6%	487,848	737,445	889,775	1,072,707	51.2%	20.7%	20.6%
735 Poquoson	0	0	1	2	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	100.0%	0	0	179,046	246,715	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	37.8%
740 Portsmouth	34	31	22	18	-8.8%	-29.0%	-18.2%	454,435	415,984	444,789	558,187	-8.5%	6.9%	25.5%
750 Radford	3	4	3	3	33.3%	-25.0%	0.0%	22,484	53,023	60,042	64,717	135.8%	13.2%	7.8%
760 Richmond City	255	213	52	179	-16.5%	-75.6%	244.2%	5,290,301	4,144,643	1,929,657	4,000,322	-21.7%	-53.4%	107.3%
770 Roanoke City	102	97	98	95	-4.9%	1.0%	-3.1%	3,579,745	3,639,138	3,895,359	3,711,820	1.7%	7.0%	-4.7%
775 Salem	12	9	11	11	-25.0%	22.2%	0.0%	440,681	389,271	427,372	366,876	-11.7%	9.8%	-14.2%
790 Staunton	28	18	21	19	-35.7%	16.7%	-9.5%	863,087	584,071	546,051	468,717	-32.3%	-6.5%	-14.2%
800 Suffolk	12	9	10	11	-25.0%	11.1%	10.0%	92,922	154,439	348,105	194,723	66.2%	125.4%	-44.1%
810 Virginia Beach	167	164	165	164	-1.8%	0.6%	-0.6%	3,771,267	3,877,502	4,340,322	4,259,376	2.8%	11.9%	-1.9%
820 Waynesboro	14	16	17	23	14.3%	6.3%	35.3%	357,263	288,347	341,227	494,765	-19.3%	18.3%	45.0%
830 Williamsburg	1	2	3	4	100.0%	50.0%	33.3%	449	30,805	103,185	152,842	6760.8%	235.0%	48.1%
840 Winchester	26	30	33	30	15.4%	10.0%	-9.1%	1,274,379	1,364,907	1,921,239	1,780,732	7.1%	40.8%	-7.3%
1200 Greensville/Emporia	5	7	13	10	40.0%	85.7%	-23.1%	103,108	228,689	202,670	189,913	121.8%	-11.4%	-6.3%
1300 Fairfax/Falls Church	242	283	248	253	16.9%	-12.4%	2.0%	13,816,393	14,539,454	15,654,029	16,294,221	5.2%	7.7%	4.1%
Totals	2,998	3,084	2,971	3,006	2.9%	-3.7%	1.2%	95,358,680	97,460,479	105,137,477	108,885,985	2.2%	7.9%	3.6%

Schedule	4
Conocació	

		C	hildre	en					Expen	ditures				
	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Cha	inge	
					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to
					FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08
1 Accomack	3	5	5	2	66.7%	0.0%	-60.0%	38,903	161,997	68,724	17,734	316.4%	-57.6%	-74.2%
3 Albemarle	29	35	43	38	20.7%	22.9%	-11.6%	611,616	875,790	790,373	974,954	43.2%	-9.8%	23.4%
5 Alleghany	1	0	6	4	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	-33.3%	620	0	66,400	32,784	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	-50.6%
7 Amelia	0	0	0	1	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	34864	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
9 Amherst	3	1	6	9	-66.7%	500.0%	50.0%	30,606	55,864	141,522	244,584	82.5%	153.3%	72.8%
11 Appomattox	3	1	3	4	-66.7%	200.0%	33.3%	11,095	43,616	31,242	119,179	293.1%	-28.4%	281.5%
13 Arlington	33	36	23	17	9.1%	-36.1%	-26.1%	691,842	943,232	492,774	467,129	36.3%	-47.8%	-5.2%
15 Augusta	6	8	13	14	33.3%	62.5%	7.7%	55,302	118,799	378,320	624,278	114.8%	218.5%	65.0%
17 Bath	2	3	2	2	50.0%	-33.3%	0.0%	2	29,129	12,771	15,123	1456350.0%	-56.2%	18.4%
19 Bedford County	39	33	30	22	-15.4%	-9.1%	-26.7%	1,299,171	838,893	732,388	593,787	-35.4%	-12.7%	-18.9%
21 Bland	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
23 Botetourt	3	11	7	7	266.7%	-36.4%	0.0%	130,762	122,985	85,900	306,683	-5.9%	-30.2%	257.0%
25 Brunswick	4	2	3	5	-50.0%	50.0%	66.7%	33,820	42,378	46,373	194,708	25.3%	9.4%	319.9%
27 Buchanan	13	17	9	11	30.8%	-47.1%	22.2%	151,112	172,941	56,228	96,225	14.4%	-67.5%	71.1%
29 Buckingham	4	2	0	2	-50.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	42,770	92,580	0	10944	116.5%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
31 Campbell	4	17	23	13	325.0%	35.3%	-43.5%	168,847	470,692	469,273	312,157	178.8%	-0.3%	-33.5%
33 Caroline	2	0	3	6	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	100.0%	33,775	0	47,635	82,175	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	72.5%
35 Carroll	10	9	9	12	-10.0%	0.0%	33.3%	261,292	95,129	176,619	93,837	-63.6%	85.7%	-46.9%
36 Charles City	1	1	0	0	0.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	3,780	141,435	0	0	3641.7%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
37 Charlotte	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
41 Chesterfield	42	13	20	35	-69.0%	53.8%	75.0%	680,923	239,391	489,250	694,805	-64.8%	104.4%	42.0%
43 Clarke	2	1	1	1	-50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16,771	19,700	64,919	62,278	17.5%	229.5%	-4.1%
45 Craig	0	0	2	3	0.0%	#DIV/0!	50.0%	0	0	10,427	7,911	0.0%	#DIV/0!	-24.1%
47 Culpeper	11	15	13	11	36.4%	-13.3%	-15.4%	316,330	523,197	394,785	658,312	65.4%	-24.5%	66.8%
49 Cumberland	1	7	8	8	600.0%	14.3%	0.0%	26,775	190,173	209,238	134,603	610.3%	10.0%	-35.7%
51 Dickenson	12	12	14	11	0.0%	16.7%	-21.4%	120,776	203,660	125,846	131,119	68.6%	-38.2%	4.2%
53 Dinwiddie	7	7	6	1	0.0%	-14.3%	-83.3%	59,986	94,767	32,645	4,512	58.0%	-65.6%	-86.2%
57 Essex	1	1	1	3	0.0%	0.0%	200.0%	8,616	19,484	16,883	40,921	126.1%	-13.3%	142.4%
61 Fauquier	9	9	12	18	0.0%	33.3%	50.0%	119,698	321,915	228,688	189,851	168.9%	-29.0%	-17.0%
63 Floyd	1	3	5	7	200.0%	66.7%	40.0%	43,419	9,880	80,836	100,933	-77.2%	718.2%	24.9%
65 Fluvanna	6	3	17	8	-50.0%	466.7%	-52.9%	109,861	121,824	486,008	354,575	10.9%	298.9%	-27.0%
67 Franklin County	34	29	44	44		51.7%	0.0%	718,273	752,309	863,667	771,692	4.7%	14.8%	-10.6%
69 Frederick	3	9	6	0	200.0%	-33.3%	-100.0%	64,721	195,616	99,555	0	202.2%	-49.1%	-100.0%
71 Giles	8	6	4	3		-33.3%	-25.0%	61,406	214,486	67,569	22,170	249.3%	-68.5%	-67.2%
73 Gloucester	6	8	3	1	33.3%	-62.5%	-66.7%	170,938	178,066	39,004	173	4.2%	-78.1%	-99.6%
75 Goochland	2	4	5	4		25.0%	-20.0%	43,614	99,594	151,978	95,284	128.4%	52.6%	-37.3%
77 Grayson	4	2	2	3	-50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	104,771	33,076	1,059	52,313	-68.4%	-96.8%	4839.8%

			C	hildre	en					Expen	ditures				
		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Char	nge	
						FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to
						FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08
79	Greene	2	4	0	3	100.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	18,823	74,123	0	31785	293.8%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
83	Halifax	14	14	22	24	0.0%	57.1%	9.1%	167,595	293,831	625,821	491,088	75.3%	113.0%	-21.5%
85	Hanover	12	7	13	14	-41.7%	85.7%	7.7%	431,092	249,564	449,172	491,894	-42.1%	80.0%	9.5%
87	Henrico	38	41	52	12	7.9%	26.8%	-76.9%	1,436,741	1,105,915	1,116,975	416,833	-23.0%	1.0%	-62.7%
89	Henry	0	0	0	2	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	41732	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
91	Highland	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
93	Isle of Wight	5	7	6	4	40.0%	-14.3%	-33.3%	9,618	93,536	137,755	43,367	872.5%	47.3%	-68.5%
95	James City	1	0	7	3	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	-57.1%	21,722	0	17,980	47,196	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	162.5%
97	King & Queen	0	1	0	1	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	0	34,155	0	18875	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
99	King George	4	5	6	7	25.0%	20.0%	16.7%	53,015	114,059	366,626	409,517	115.1%	221.4%	11.7%
101	King William	1	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	11,727	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
103	Lancaster	1	3	1	2	200.0%	-66.7%	100.0%	1,606	100,872	40,577	81,727	6180.9%	-59.8%	101.4%
105	Lee	21	19	23	19	-9.5%	21.1%	-17.4%	293,188	453,403	542,856	315,595	54.6%	19.7%	-41.9%
107	Loudoun	20	19	23	13	-5.0%	21.1%	-43.5%	862,589	771,578	780,901	254,644	-10.6%	1.2%	-67.4%
109	Louisa	5	4	6	10	-20.0%	50.0%	66.7%	179,757	174,076	170,653	313,256	-3.2%	-2.0%	83.6%
111	Lunenburg	0	3	5	6	#DIV/0!	66.7%	20.0%	0	17,152	177,658	270,223	#DIV/0!	935.8%	52.1%
113	Madison	5	6	9	5	20.0%	50.0%	-44.4%	22,904	106,066	209,160	205,196	363.1%	97.2%	-1.9%
115	Mathews	3	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	184,172	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
117	Mecklenburg	9	15	19	13	66.7%	26.7%	-31.6%	389,020	374,613	657,544	810,966	-3.7%	75.5%	23.3%
119	Middlesex	0	1	1	0	#DIV/0!	0.0%	-100.0%	0	2,007	21,264	0	#DIV/0!	959.5%	-100.0%
121	Montgomery	4	5	11	15	25.0%	120.0%	36.4%	92,416	106,074	217,861	330,913	14.8%	105.4%	51.9%
125	Nelson	0	8	4	5	#DIV/0!	-50.0%	25.0%	0	22,046	112,707	129,457	#DIV/0!	411.2%	14.9%
127	New Kent	2	1	1	3	-50.0%	0.0%	200.0%	26,230	1,575	450	71983	-94.0%	-71.4%	15896.2%
131	Northampton	1	1	0	1	0.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	62,678	20,400	0	26340	-67.5%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
133	Northumberland	0	5	2	1	#DIV/0!	-60.0%	-50.0%	0	39,166	11,391	28,179	#DIV/0!	-70.9%	147.4%
135	Nottoway	1	3	4	5	200.0%	33.3%	25.0%	13,640	127,438	146,357	156,537	834.3%	14.8%	7.0%
137	Orange	2	3	1	1	50.0%	-66.7%	0.0%	10,902	35,692	5,577	9,160	227.4%	-84.4%	64.2%
139	Page	9	10	7	5	11.1%	-30.0%	-28.6%	264,928	387,944	159,751	81,477	46.4%	-58.8%	-49.0%
141	Patrick	2	2	2	1	0.0%	0.0%	-50.0%	37,445	7,298	7,460	41,213	-80.5%	2.2%	452.5%
143	Pittsylvania	6	22	14	10	266.7%	-36.4%	-28.6%	284,107	753,842	234,480	201,619	165.3%	-68.9%	-14.0%
145	Powhatan	3	3	1	4	0.0%	-66.7%	300.0%	122,364	18,067	19,884	124,631	-85.2%	10.1%	526.8%
147	Prince Edward	4	4	4	3	0.0%	0.0%	-25.0%	69,317	171,610	89,304	25,995	147.6%	-48.0%	-70.9%
149	Prince George	3	3	2	3	0.0%	-33.3%	50.0%	73,696	9,327	18,016	27,092	-87.3%	93.2%	50.4%
153	Prince William	66	61	69	58	-7.6%	13.1%	-15.9%	1,053,619	1,162,717	1,461,971	1,376,181	10.4%	25.7%	-5.9%
155	Pulaski	0	0	4	21	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	425.0%	0	0	35,666	381,289	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	969.1%
157	Rappahannock	3	3	0	3	0.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	73,035	88,916	0	70102	21.7%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
159	Richmond County	2	6	4	4	200.0%	-33.3%	0.0%	13,364	10,570	57,135	49,723	-20.9%	440.5%	-13.0%

Schedule	4
Concuaic	-

		C	hildre	en					Expen	ditures				
	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Cha	nge	
					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to
					FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08
161 Roanoke County	43	44	47	26	2.3%	6.8%	-44.7%	1,159,557	1,233,390	1,487,500	832,698	6.4%	20.6%	-44.0%
163 Rockbridge	0	1	10	15	#DIV/0!	900.0%	50.0%	0	962	298,599	483,160	#DIV/0!	30939.4%	61.8%
165 Rockingham	8	21	19	14	162.5%	-9.5%	-26.3%	233,627	330,316	375,841	388,054	41.4%	13.8%	3.2%
167 Russell	11	10	9	8	-9.1%	-10.0%	-11.1%	44,739	118,047	62,150	43,547	163.9%	-47.4%	-29.9%
169 Scott	17	17	18	13	0.0%	5.9%	-27.8%	88,466	166,828	186,190	187,059	88.6%	11.6%	0.5%
171 Shenandoah	11	12	12	11	9.1%	0.0%	-8.3%	333,102	448,830	297,006	371,763	34.7%	-33.8%	25.2%
173 Smyth	18	24	23	26	33.3%	-4.2%	13.0%	286,973	277,701	347,244	413,600	-3.2%	25.0%	19.1%
175 Southampton	0	0	0	1	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	1000	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
177 Spotsylvania	19	22	28	34	15.8%	27.3%	21.4%	683,653	624,981	945,197	1,203,232	-8.6%	51.2%	27.3%
179 Stafford	7	8	11	9	14.3%	37.5%	-18.2%	126,600	362,571	154,332	89,441	186.4%	-57.4%	-42.0%
181 Surry	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
183 Sussex	2	0	1	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	2,751	0	1,576	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	-100.0%
185 Tazewell	17	14	29	31	-17.6%	107.1%	6.9%	246,833	501,358	473,073	557,112	103.1%	-5.6%	17.8%
187 Warren	10	11	6	11	10.0%	-45.5%	83.3%	312,854	274,798	44,714	106,201	-12.2%	-83.7%	137.5%
191 Washington	1	1	2	1	0.0%	100.0%	-50.0%	38,355	22,527	14,870	8,640	-41.3%	-34.0%	-41.9%
193 Westmoreland	1	1	1	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33,461	25,872	24,276	120	-22.7%	-6.2%	-99.5%
195 Wise	5	6	2	12	20.0%	-66.7%	500.0%	34,047	22,162	27,070	95,430	-34.9%	22.1%	252.5%
197 Wythe	1	10	20	23	900.0%	100.0%	15.0%	100	60,065	184,812	551,989	59965.0%	207.7%	198.7%
199 York	4	2	3	3	-50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	86,091	86,106	99,935	139,928	0.0%	16.1%	40.0%
510 Alexandria	1	0	19	20	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	5.3%	8,564	0	527,151	820,180	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	55.6%
515 Bedford City	8	6	7	6	-25.0%	16.7%	-14.3%	127,862	111,833	159,096	192,385	-12.5%	42.3%	20.9%
520 Bristol	1	2	3	10	100.0%	50.0%	233.3%	3,712	30,900	42,780	120,101	732.4%	38.4%	180.7%
530 Buena Vista	0	0	5	6	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	20.0%	0	0	265,744	141,073	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-46.9%
540 Charlottesville	64	64	65	73	0.0%	1.6%	12.3%	1,367,841	1,303,687	1,972,310	1,733,853	-4.7%	51.3%	-12.1%
550 Chesapeake	34	30	26	28	-11.8%	-13.3%	7.7%	716,360	654,573	587,324	485,020	-8.6%	-10.3%	-17.4%
570 Colonial Heights	0	0	3	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	0	0	44,805	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-100.0%
580 Covington	8	7	8	7	-12.5%	14.3%	-12.5%	59,005	98,017	128,788	142,513	66.1%	31.4%	10.7%
590 Danville	8	21	9	13	162.5%	-57.1%	44.4%	82,119	420,207	286,912	349,738	411.7%	-31.7%	21.9%
620 Franklin City	2	5	6	2	150.0%	20.0%	-66.7%	51,668	143,349	103,690	15,393	177.4%	-27.7%	-85.2%
630 Fredericksburg	4	6	6	5	50.0%	0.0%	-16.7%	105,719	205,241	150,225	147,962	94.1%	-26.8%	-1.5%
640 Galax	1	1	1	0	0.0%	0.0%	-100.0%	930	186	22	0	-80.0%	-88.2%	-100.0%
650 Hampton	7	14	19	14	100.0%	35.7%	-26.3%	92,493	225,522	515,916	160,515	143.8%	128.8%	-68.9%
660 Harrisonburg	9	18	19	13	100.0%	5.6%	-31.6%	231,959	293,562	303,545	305,658	26.6%	3.4%	0.7%
670 Hopewell	10	10	13	8		30.0%	-38.5%	351,856	441,981	543,230	254,123	25.6%	22.9%	-53.2%
678 Lexington	0	0	2	1	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-50.0%	0	0	203,020	181,051	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-10.8%
680 Lynchburg	23	38	42	91	65.2%	10.5%	116.7%	175,691	660,172	390,267	957,193	275.8%	-40.9%	145.3%
683 Manassas City	1	0	3	4		#DIV/0!	33.3%	27,684	0	113,598	186,765	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	64.4%

			C	Childre	en					Expen	ditures				
		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Cha	nge	
						FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to
						FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08
685	Manassas Park	9	15	9	3	66.7%	-40.0%	-66.7%	353,820	733,470	411,403	62,362	107.3%	-43.9%	-84.8%
690	Martinsville	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
700	Newport News	40	65	106	62	62.5%	63.1%	-41.5%	1,446,784	2,465,663	2,556,599	1,338,516	70.4%	3.7%	-47.6%
710	Norfolk	208	192	210	155	-7.7%	9.4%	-26.2%	2,525,955	2,281,961	3,026,681	2,263,069	-9.7%	32.6%	-25.2%
720	Norton	0	1	1	3	#DIV/0!	0.0%	200.0%	0	675	3,900	35,480	#DIV/0!	477.8%	809.7%
730	Petersburg	33	31	32	33	-6.1%	3.2%	3.1%	988,354	922,668	1,001,256	899,501	-6.6%	8.5%	-10.2%
735	Poquoson	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
740	Portsmouth	10	13	18	18	30.0%	38.5%	0.0%	261,187	445,797	558,824	534,447	70.7%	25.4%	-4.4%
750	Radford	4	4	2	2	0.0%	-50.0%	0.0%	237,370	47,128	40,723	4,429	-80.1%	-13.6%	-89.1%
760	Richmond City	271	266	144	296	-1.8%	-45.9%	105.6%	5,388,081	4,947,583	4,954,494	7,974,993	-8.2%	0.1%	61.0%
770	Roanoke City	23	27	21	28	17.4%	-22.2%	33.3%	685,589	368,324	330,336	344,241	-46.3%	-10.3%	4.2%
775	Salem	15	17	13	10	13.3%	-23.5%	-23.1%	277,617	372,022	351,904	306,353	34.0%	-5.4%	-12.9%
790	Staunton	1	5	7	18	400.0%	40.0%	157.1%	220	87,611	140,607	266,344	39723.2%	60.5%	89.4%
800	Suffolk	15	10	3	11	-33.3%	-70.0%	266.7%	247,891	200,208	117,439	327,813	-19.2%	-41.3%	179.1%
810	Virginia Beach	55	39	52	56	-29.1%	33.3%	7.7%	932,222	744,129	1,247,146	1,163,647	-20.2%	67.6%	-6.7%
820	Waynesboro	0	1	1	3	#DIV/0!	0.0%	200.0%	0	33,405	7,887	10,353	#DIV/0!	-76.4%	31.3%
830	Williamsburg	3	4	0	0	33.3%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	20,322	30,120	0	0	48.2%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
840	Winchester	2	3	4	7	50.0%	33.3%	75.0%	30,726	80,609	158,870	274,538	162.3%	97.1%	72.8%
1200	Greensville/Emporia	1	1	1	2	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	12,040	42,136	55,290	56,887	250.0%	31.2%	2.9%
1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	83	81	71	80	-2.4%	-12.3%	12.7%	2,597,488	1,931,168	1,716,935	2,159,395	-25.7%	-11.1%	25.8%
Totals	5	1,687	1,800	1,863	1,938	6.7%	3.5%	4.0%	35,633,841	39,230,651	42,894,953	44,607,247	10.1%	9.3%	4.0%

						Psyc	hiatric H	ospitals							
				Chil	dren					Expe	nditures				
		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch			FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08		nange	
						FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to
FIPS	Locality					FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08
1	Accomack	2	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	11,680	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
3	Albemarle	9	9	0	0	0.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	346,796	108,627	0	0	-68.7%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
5	Alleghany	0	0	0	3		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	16454	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
	Amelia	0	-	-	0		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0			0.0%	#DIV/0!
9	Amherst	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
	Appomattox	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
	Arlington	3		0	0	-66.7%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	43,967	15,414	0	0	-64.9%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
	Augusta	2	0	1	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	874	0	6	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	-100.0%
17	Bath	0	-	-	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
19	Bedford County	1	2	0	0	100.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	1,170	31,620	0	0	2602.6%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
21	Bland	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
23	Botetourt	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
25	Brunswick	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
27	Buchanan	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
29	Buckingham	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
31	Campbell	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
33	Caroline	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
35	Carroll	1	1	0	0	0.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	15,600	4,650	0	0	-70.2%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
36	Charles City	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
37	Charlotte	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
41	Chesterfield	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
43	Clarke	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
45	Craig	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
47	Culpeper	1	1	1	2	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	23,213	34,985	34,803	73,066	50.7%	-0.5%	109.9%
49	Cumberland	0	0	1	2	0.0%	#DIV/0!	100.0%	0	0	1	11441	0.0%	#DIV/0!	1144000.0%
51	Dickenson	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
53	Dinwiddie	0	0	0	1	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	2750	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
57	Essex	0	1	1	1	#DIV/0!	0.0%	0.0%	0	5,910	106,587	7,360	#DIV/0!	1703.5%	-93.1%
61	Fauquier	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
	Floyd	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
65	Fluvanna	1	1	0	0	0.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	6,815	13,950	0	0	104.7%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
67	Franklin County	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
	Frederick	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
	Giles	0	0	0	1	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	2530	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
	Gloucester	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
	Goochland	0	1	1	0	#DIV/0!	0.0%	-100.0%	0	6,160	31,220	0	#DIV/0!	406.8%	-100.0%

						Psyc	hiatric H	ospitals							
				Chil	dren					Expe	nditures				
		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Cł	nange	
						FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to
FIPS	Locality					FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08
77	Grayson	0	1	1	2	#DIV/0!	0.0%	100.0%	0	9,147	2,480	15,690	#DIV/0!	-72.9%	532.7%
79	Greene	6	2	2	4	-66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	151,615	14,809	37,131	112,909	-90.2%	150.7%	204.1%
83	Halifax	0	0	1	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	0	0	4,557	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	-100.0%
85	Hanover	1	0	1	2	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	100.0%	3,605	0	13,695	1,545	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	-88.7%
87	Henrico	7	6	1	2	-14.3%	-83.3%	100.0%	36,077	23,099	448	14966	-36.0%	-98.1%	3240.6%
89	Henry	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
91	Highland	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
93	Isle of Wight	0	1	0	0	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	0	2,204	0	0	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
95	James City	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
97	King & Queen	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
99	King George	0	0	1	1	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.0%	0	0	84,796	175,360	0.0%	#DIV/0!	106.8%
101	King William	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
103	Lancaster	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
105	Lee	0	0	0	1	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	104	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
107	Loudoun	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
109	Louisa	0	0	0	1	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	6350	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
111	Lunenburg	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
113	Madison	1	1	0	0	0.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	30,236	56,936	0	0	88.3%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
115	Mathews	0	1	1	0	#DIV/0!	0.0%	-100.0%	0	15,887	209,885	0	#DIV/0!	1221.1%	-100.0%
117	Mecklenburg	1	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	10,695	0	0	0	-100.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
119	Middlesex	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
	Montgomery	1	0	1	4	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	300.0%	495	0	4,940	60,009	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	1114.8%
125	Nelson	0	-	-	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
127	New Kent	1	2	0	0	100.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	121,824	22,483	0	0	-81.5%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
131	Northampton	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
	Northumberland	0	0	1	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	0	0	52	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-100.0%
135	Nottoway	0			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
137	Orange	1	2	2	1	100.0%	0.0%	-50.0%	3,465	54,784	161,832	227	1481.1%	195.4%	-99.9%
139	Page	0			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0		0.0%	#DIV/0!
141	Patrick	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
143	Pittsylvania	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
145	Powhatan	0	0	2	1	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-50.0%	0	0	40,516	3,680	0.0%	#DIV/0!	-90.9%
147	Prince Edward	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
149	Prince George	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
153	Prince William	11	2	0	0	-81.8%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	48,817	8,717	0	0	-82.1%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
155	Pulaski	2	1	2	2	-50.0%	100.0%	0.0%	34,155	135,639	184,810	106,350	297.1%	36.3%	-42.5%

						Psyc	hiatric H	ospitals							
				Chil	dren					Expe	nditures				
		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08		nange	
						FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to
FIPS	Locality					FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08
157	Rappahannock	1	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	40,420	0	0	0	-100.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
159	Richmond County	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
161	Roanoke County	0	1	0	0	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	0	14,827	0	0	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
163	Rockbridge	0	0	1	1	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.0%	0	0	127,686	9,972	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-92.2%
165	Rockingham	3	4	3	4	33.3%	-25.0%	33.3%	23,945	17,516	4,797	96,483	-26.8%	-72.6%	1911.3%
167	Russell	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
169	Scott	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
171	Shenandoah	0	2	1	0	#DIV/0!	-50.0%	-100.0%	0	13,157	15,290	0	#DIV/0!	16.2%	-100.0%
173	Smyth	0	0	0	1	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	5651	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
175	Southampton	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
177	Spotsylvania	1	1	1	0	0.0%	0.0%	-100.0%	2,800	2,077	97,160	0	-25.8%	4577.9%	-100.0%
179	Stafford	2	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	150,795	0	0	0	-100.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
181	Surry	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
183	Sussex	2	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	993	0	0	0	-100.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
185	Tazewell	2	3	0	0	50.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	8,880	65,320	0	0	635.6%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
187	Warren	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
191	Washington	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
193	Westmoreland	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
195	Wise	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
197	Wythe	2	1	0	0	-50.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	25,788	22,985	0	0	-10.9%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
199	York	2	2	2	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	146,324	47,043	18,095	29,740	-67.9%	-61.5%	64.4%
510	Alexandria	2	3	4	2	50.0%	33.3%	-50.0%	2,091	3,716	5,583	15,250	77.7%	50.2%	173.2%
515	Bedford City	1	3	1	0	200.0%	-66.7%	-100.0%	5,445	36,194	7,772	0	564.7%	-78.5%	-100.0%
520	Bristol	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
530	Buena Vista	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
540	Charlottesville	10	8	10	8	-20.0%	25.0%	-20.0%	89,635	280,377	91,307	256,773	212.8%	-67.4%	181.2%
550	Chesapeake	1	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	2,575	0	0	0	-100.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
570	Colonial Heights	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
580	Covington	0	0	0	1	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	4791	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
590	Danville	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
620	Franklin City	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
630	Fredericksburg	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
640	Galax	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
650	Hampton	1	1	0	0	0.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	425	285	0	0	-32.9%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
	Harrisonburg	1	0	5	7	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	40.0%	2,060	0	196,216	253,926	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	29.4%
	Hopewell	5	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	103,204	0	0	0	-100.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!

						Psyc	hiatric H	ospitals							
				Chil	dren					Expe	nditures				
		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Ch	ange		FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	% Cł	nange	
						FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to					FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to
FIPS	Locality					FY06	FY07	FY08					FY06	FY07	FY08
678	Lexington	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
680	Lynchburg	0	0	21	27	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	28.6%	0	0	150,838	274,626	0.0%	#DIV/0!	82.1%
683	Manassas City	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
685	Manassas Park	1	1	0	0	0.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	34,155	58,080	0	0	70.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
690	Martinsville	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
700	Newport News	2	0	1	2	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	100.0%	6,414	0	3,198	9,381	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	193.3%
710	Norfolk	1	1	2	0	0.0%	100.0%	-100.0%	520	155	17,881	0	-70.2%	11436.1%	-100.0%
720	Norton	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
730	Petersburg	1	1	0	1	0.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	29,779	82,069	0	7280	175.6%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
735	Poquoson	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
740	Portsmouth	0	2	3	1	#DIV/0!	50.0%	-66.7%	0	22,548	35,419	633	#DIV/0!	57.1%	-98.2%
750	Radford	1	0	0	0	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	16,686	0	0	0	-100.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
760	Richmond City	1	2	1	14	100.0%	-50.0%	1300.0%	210	7,706	9,981	107,349	3569.5%	29.5%	975.5%
770	Roanoke City	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
775	Salem	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
790	Staunton	0	0	1	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	-100.0%	0	0	297	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-100.0%
800	Suffolk	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
810	Virginia Beach	2	3	0	0	50.0%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!	16,524	14,390	0	0	-12.9%	-100.0%	#DIV/0!
820	Waynesboro	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
830	Williamsburg	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
840	Winchester	0	0	0	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
1200	Greensville/Emporia	0	-	-	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	#DIV/0!
1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	1	3	1	2	200.0%	-66.7%	100.0%	11,219	82,323	314	10010	633.8%	-99.6%	3087.9%
Totals		98	78	79	104	-20.4%	1.3%	31.6%	1,611,986	1,335,789	1,699,593	1,692,656	-17.1%	27.2%	-0.4%

Children and Expenditures in Residential Care (Group Homes, Residential Treatment Facilities, Psychiatric Hospitals)

Sorted by Locality

FIPS	Locality Name	Unduplicated # of Children in CSA Residential	Unduplicated Total # Children served by CSA	% of Total CSA Children in Residential	Total Youth Population* in Locality aged 0-20	% of Local Youth Population* in CSA Residential	Total # of CSA Services Provided	# of CSA Residential Services Provided	% of all CSA Services that were Residential	Total Gross** CSA Expenditure (state & local)	Total CSA** Residential Expenditures	% of All CSA Expenditures Spent on Residential Care
1	Accomack	30	75	40.0%	10,074	0.30%	98	34	34.7%	\$1,874,894	\$1,208,549	64.5%
3	Albemarle	70	268	26.1%	26,081	0.27%	436	97	22.2%	\$5,918,893	\$3,124,395	52.8%
5	Alleghany	12	30	40.0%	4,172	0.29%	69	25	36.2%	\$651,465	\$439,881	67.5%
7	Amelia	2	19	10.5%	3,186	0.06%	20	2	10.0%	\$138,623	\$36,383	26.2%
9	Amherst	15	61	24.6%	8,430	0.18%	75	15	20.0%	\$637,727	\$202,025	31.7%
11	Appomattox	6	33	18.2%	3,542	0.17%	45	7	15.6%	\$238,933	\$66,111	27.7%
13	Arlington	80	306	26.1%	36,386	0.22%	441	102	23.1%	\$8,781,461	\$3,856,463	43.9%
	Augusta	50	205	24.4%	17,353	0.29%	299	57	19.1%	\$2,443,471	\$1,157,734	47.4%
17	Bath	4	7	57.1%	1,042	0.38%	10	4		\$69,096	\$22,588	32.7%
19	Bedford County	59	183	32.2%	15,673	0.38%	265	74	27.9%	\$3,093,899	\$1,767,850	57.1%
	Bland	6	15	40.0%	1,461	0.41%	28	6	21.4%	\$126,349	\$25,717	20.4%
23	Botetourt	10	61	16.4%	8,125	0.12%	68	10	14.7%	\$1,312,852	\$391,434	29.8%
25	Brunswick	5	22	22.7%	3,916	0.13%	37	8	21.6%	\$286,298	\$76,730	26.8%
27	Buchanan	30	97	30.9%	5,580	0.54%	115	33	28.7%	\$814,693	\$557,165	68.4%
29	Buckingham	11	48	22.9%	3,527	0.31%	67	12	17.9%	\$793,097	\$246,286	31.1%
31	Campbell	22	186	11.8%	13,318	0.17%	201	23	11.4%	\$2,560,680	\$706,522	27.6%
33	Caroline	7	30	23.3%	6,354	0.11%	38	7	18.4%	\$552,634	\$86,679	15.7%
35	Carroll	13	56	23.2%	6,703	0.19%	69	15	21.7%	\$469,124	\$341,821	72.9%
36	Charles City	1	11	9.1%	1,539	0.06%	11	1	9.1%	\$126,879	\$4,069	3.2%
37	Charlotte	3	36	8.3%	3,403	0.09%	39	3	7.7%	\$357,885	\$29,761	8.3%
41	Chesterfield	97	279	34.8%	86,696	0.11%	738	129	17.5%	\$7,513,783	\$3,465,002	46.1%
43	Clarke	8	33	24.2%	3,429	0.23%	40	9	22.5%	\$806,195	\$481,798	59.8%
45	Craig	2	15	13.3%	1,272	0.16%	24	2	8.3%	\$64,068	\$6,084	9.5%
47	Culpeper	25	120	20.8%	11,695	0.21%	143	28	19.6%	\$1,392,145	\$771,713	55.4%
49	Cumberland	10	52	19.2%	2,509	0.40%	73	12	16.4%	\$709,547	\$298,417	42.1%
51	Dickenson	16	119	13.4%	3,806	0.42%	182	20	11.0%	\$923,571	\$324,010	35.1%
53	Dinwiddie	9	41	22.0%	6,785	0.13%	57	11	19.3%	\$524,741	\$129,969	24.8%
57	Essex	10	29	34.5%	2,654	0.38%	32	11	34.4%	\$707,389	\$426,701	60.3%
61	Fauquier	27	136	19.9%	18,114	0.15%	189	29	15.3%	\$2,102,445	\$736,469	35.0%
	Floyd	11	21	52.4%	3,707	0.30%	25	12	48.0%	\$687,322	\$554,525	80.7%
65	Fluvanna	29	101	28.7%	6,057	0.48%	133	33	24.8%	\$1,690,359	\$970,863	57.4%
67	Franklin County	44	177	24.9%	12,371	0.36%	266	50	18.8%	\$2,769,193	\$1,266,259	45.7%
69	Frederick	20	89	22.5%	20,102	0.10%	101	22	21.8%	\$2,034,907	\$1,267,943	62.3%
71	Giles	11	40	27.5%	4,185	0.26%	64	16	25.0%	\$396,004	\$209,611	52.9%
73	Gloucester	11	40	27.5%	9,632	0.11%	50	12	24.0%	\$697,652	\$419,371	60.1%
75	Goochland	9	39	23.1%	4,472	0.20%	51	11	21.6%	\$944,100	\$275,047	29.1%
77	Grayson	10	51	19.6%	3,586	0.28%	57	10	17.5%	\$713,691	\$200,146	28.0%
79	Greene	26	56	46.4%	4,959	0.52%	71	28	39.4%	\$1,232,820	\$791,218	64.2%
83	Halifax	23	71	32.4%	9,333	0.25%	90	27	30.0%	\$1,311,054	\$458,768	35.0%
85	Hanover	32	118	27.1%	27,609	0.12%	231	36	15.6%	\$3,576,668	\$1,575,236	44.0%
87	Henrico	95	301	31.6%	80,105	0.12%	476	123	25.8%	\$6,299,851	\$2,807,469	44.6%
89	Henry	10	118	8.5%	13,134	0.08%	132	10	7.6%	\$681,535	\$260,792	38.3%
91	Highland	1	2	50.0%	463	0.22%	2	1	50.0%	\$27,975	\$1,502	5.4%
93	Isle of Wight	7	23	30.4%	8,646	0.08%	31	10	32.3%	\$138,055	\$48,512	35.1%
95	James City	4	16	25.0%	13,642	0.03%	24	4	16.7%	\$159,563	\$72,914	45.7%
97	King & Queen	0	13	0.0%	1,596	0.00%	14	0	0.0%	\$188,206	\$0	0.0%
99	King George	17	44	38.6%	5,991	0.28%	46	18	39.1%	\$845,005	\$405,553	48.0%
101	King William	6	25	24.0%	4,149	0.14%	34	6	17.6%	\$483,061	\$203,658	42.2%
103	Lancaster	6	20	30.0%	2,251	0.27%	24	6	25.0%	\$418,461	\$261,343	62.5%
105	Lee	29	79	36.7%	5,751	0.50%	87	29	33.3%	\$984,755	\$692,130	70.3%
107	Loudoun	42	212	19.8%	79,396	0.05%	275	53	19.3%	\$5,716,463	\$1,819,627	31.8%
109	Louisa	24	56	42.9%	7,387	0.32%	69	28	40.6%	\$927,993	\$757,692	81.6%

111 Lunchung 4 24 16.7% 2.88 0.458 32 4 22.9% S3.26 0.538 22 11 S3.28 S3.27 S3.28 S3.27 S3.28 S3.27 S3.27 S3.27 S3.27 S3.27 S3.27 S3.27	FIPS Locality Name	Unduplicated # of Children in CSA Residential	Unduplicated Total # Children served by CSA	% of Total CSA Children in Residential	Total Youth Population* in Locality aged 0-20	% of Local Youth Population* in CSA Residential	Total # of CSA Services Provided	# of CSA Residential Services Provided	% of all CSA Services that were Residential	Total Gross** CSA Expenditure (state & local)	Total CSA** Residential Expenditures	% of All CSA Expenditures Spent on Residential Care
11b Number of the set of t	111 Lunenburg	4	24	16.7%	2,898	0.14%	32	4	12.5%	\$378,003	\$120,647	31.9%
111 Medslenburg 24 91 28.46 7.56 0.32% 112 25 28.38 \$1.386,708 \$855,022 121 Medigenery 31 67 35.68 23.468 0.138 33 63 32.37% \$1.985,108 \$1.472,417 121 Medion 6 25 4.406 \$3.551 63.354 0.178 33 65 18.29 \$8.50,017 \$2.171,699 122 Netword 6 22 18.26 2.001 64.85 12.24,59 \$2.42,51 \$2.77,13 3.344 2.85 131 Notimeprice 6 68.86 7.64 0.096 85 8 14.46 8.377,713 3.344 2.81 1.936 8.377,713 3.344 2.81 1.936 8.357,726 3.577,717 14.14 14 14.555 0.095 .85 8 4.48 1.937,737 3.344,225 1.937,737 3.237,713 3.141,234 1.444 1.938 1.221,141.494 1.444	113 Madison	11	19	57.9%	3,365	0.33%	22	11	50.0%	\$201,336	\$130,228	64.7%
117 Meddemburg 24 91 26.46 7.56 0.328 112 25 23.85 1.138,708 \$855,022 119 Meddesox 1 25 4.0% \$257.206 \$3760 \$855,022 \$3760 121 Medingmenty 31 67 35.6% 23.460 0.1% 33 61 14.2% \$853,012 \$277,160 121 Networth 12 31 38.7% 4.031 0.28% 53 13 24.5% \$843,515 \$277,135 121 Networth 12 31 38.7% 4.031 0.28% 53 31 24.5% \$843,515 \$277,135 \$377,454 133 Notimumpoint 4 22.7% 6.028 0.03% 103 22 24.4% \$877,71 \$344,253 1149 44 148 8 \$32,771 \$114,494 44 44 149 22.4% \$3,57,531 \$107,938 444 149 22.4% \$3,57,531 \$107,938 444 149 22.4% \$3,57,531 \$107,938 444 1		5	22					5	18.5%	\$365,330	\$199,559	54.6%
11b Modesex 1 25 4.0% 2.0.12 0.0% 2.5 1 4.0% S257.28 S100.12 S17.0 120 Mordporrery 31 87 85.06.01 S17.0 S100.12 S17.17.00 121 New ort 12 33 8.7% 4.001.12 S17.0 S100.012 S17.17.01 S100.012 S17.77.13 S	117 Mecklenburg	24	91	26.4%		0.32%		25	22.3%	\$1.396.709	\$855.092	61.2%
121 Montgomery 31 87 33.686 22.466 0.13% 135.32 22.7% 51.989.125 51.472.477 122 New Kont 12 31 38.7% 4.091 0.295 53 13 24.455 5530.012 53.77.460 131 Northumberland 4 22 16.2% 2.503 0.165 30 4 13.55 5222.051 571.440 130 Northumberland 4 22 16.2% 2.503 0.169 30 4 13.5% 5220.551 571.442 130 Northumberland 4 2.6 8.38 7.453 0.0498 85 6 9.4% 858.007 571.442 130 Darage 6 6.8 7.453 0.0498 85 6 9.4% 858.007 571.4731 514.698 140 Phaybania 2 2.816 6.402 0.1498 2.0193.877.531 51.073.3753 51.073.3753 51.073.3753 51.073.3753 51.073.3753 51.073.3753 51.073.3753 51.073.3753 51.073.3753 51.073.3753		1		4.0%			25			\$257,266	\$750	0.3%
12b Netson 6 25 24.0% 3.549 0.17% 33 6 18.2% 55.30.012 527.1% 13b Northmenton 6 4.3 14.0% 3.242 0.19% 6.3 13 24.5% 5924.515 527.135 13b Northmentand 4 21 18.2% 25.03 0.19% 6.0 13.2% 5924.515 527.146 13b Northmentand 4 22 18.2% 25.03 0.19% 6.0 4.2% 55.77.11% 55.42.03 1.11% 1.12% 1.11% 1.12% 1.11% <		31						32		. ,		74.8%
122 New Kent 12 31 38, 7% 4, 001 0.29% 55 13 28, 4% 594,3,516 527,313 133 Northumberland 4 22 19,8 48 6 12,5% 522,207 5347,460 133 Northumberland 4 22 19,8 48 6 12,5% 522,207 5347,460 139 Page 22 99 22,6% 0,068 86 8 9,4% 5524,007 5171,116 139 Page 22 99 22,6% 0,026 0,068 103 22 14,4% 5777,771 514,494 141 Patrick 8 38 21,1% 4,246 0,198 42 6 18,085 51,071,573 5179,393 5109,331 5109,331 5109,331 5109,331 5109,331 5109,331 5109,331 5109,331 5109,331 5109,331 5109,331 5109,331 5109,331 5109,331 5109,331 5109,331 5109												41.1%
131 Northampton 6 43 14.0% 3.242 0.19% 48 6 12.5% Sez2.807 Siz7.469 132 Northampton 4 12.3% 2.503 0.16% 30 4 13.5% Siz7.713 Siz4.235 132 Drange 6 6.8 8.5% 7.43 0.09% 65 8 9.4% Siz4.235 Siz7.713 Siz4.235 Siz4.235 139 Page 22 99 2.22% 6.028 0.39% 65 8 9.4% Siz4.237 Siz4.232 Siz2.25% 55 8 9.4% 85 56 9.4% Siz7.713 Siz4.232 Siz2.866 51 Siz4.232 Siz2.866 52 Siz4.232 Siz2.866 52 Siz4.232 Siz2.826 Siz4.232 Siz4.232 Siz2.827 Siz4.323 Siz4.232 Siz2.827 Siz4.323 Siz2.827 Siz2.827 Siz4.323 Siz4.232 Siz4.232 Siz4.323 Siz4.232 Siz4.232 Siz4.332 Siz2.827 Siz2.827 Siz2.826 Siz4.335 Siz4.335 Siz4.335 Siz4.335		12						13			. ,	28.9%
13b Northumberland 4 22 18.2% 2.503 0.16% 30 4 13.3% S220.351 \$71.444 13b Northumber 6 6.6 8.8% 7.543 0.00% 15 4 26.7% 537.71.13 33.4235 13b Otarage 22 9 22.2% 6.028 0.36% 103 22 21.4% 51.77.115 33.4235 14b Patrick 8 35 21.1% 4.248 0.19% 42 8 19.0% 52.37.771 33.44255 14b Powhatan 9 12 28.1% 6.402 0.14% 41 9 22.0% 51.073.327 5562.666 14b Powhatan 9 32 28.1% 6.402 0.4% 64 19.66 53.107.63.15 51.073.327 5562.666 14b Prince George 4 40 10.0% 9.750 0.4% 64 8.24.65.315 54.17.63.37 115.966 0.33.65 54.17.63.053 54.17.63.053 54.17.63.053 54.17.63.053 54.17.63.053 54.17.												42.2%
13b Nationary 4 14 28.6% 3.820 0.10% 15 4 28.77.73 3.834235 130 Crange 6 6.88 7.643 0.08% 85 6.94% 565.007 3771.713 5344235 139 Prage 22 99 22.2% 6.028 0.03% 103 22 21.4% 51.721.156 3777.731 1514944 149 Prince Link 8 32 21.4% 6.020 0.14% 41 92.05 53.07.531 51.079.332 552.666 149 Prince Link 4 0.00% 9.750 0.04% 44 8.25.8% 53.109.0372 552.5666 150.9573 150.866 \$3.86.61 150.9573 150.866 \$3.86.61 150.9573 150.866 \$3.86.61 150.9573 150.866 \$3.86.61 150.9573 150.866 \$3.86.61 150.9573 150.866 \$3.86.61 150.97727 150.88 40 18.8 22.42.512.29.42.510.29.42.52.51.99.512.113.150.51 150.17727 <td></td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>. ,</td> <td>. ,</td> <td>31.0%</td>		4								. ,	. ,	31.0%
137 Orange 6 6 8 8 9 9 9 22 9 9 22 9 9 22 9 9 22 22 9 9 22 21 45 17 11 14								4			. ,	91.1%
13b Page 22 99 22.2% 6.028 0.38% 103 22 21.4% \$1,721,166 \$779,771 141 Patrick 8 38 21.1% 4.248 0.19% 429 10.0% \$52,4771 \$114,984 144 Prince Edward 17 56 30.4% 6.402 0.14% 41 9 22.0% \$1,073,327 \$552,056.066 144 Prince Edward 17 56 30.4% 5,914 0.29% 40 44 82.8% \$31,060.13% \$40.163.37 10.58.061 1358 Feoreg 4 40 10.0% 9.70 0.04% 40 82.8% \$53.1661 \$40.13.37 10.56.13% \$44.13.26.08.061 131.45.5 170.72 10.24% \$24.31.09.02.24% \$21.09.03 \$12.107.27 170.72 115.96 10.01% 171.40.01% 17.40.84.9% \$11.3.86 \$82.33.240.71 \$11.03.24.32 168 Rockingham 66 16.9 3.3.4% \$1.13.86 \$82.43.3											. ,	26.0%
140 Patrick 8 38 21.1% 4.248 0.0% 22 16 19.0% \$224,771 \$114.984 14b Pitroy Marian 9 32 28.1% 6.402 0.14% 41 9 22.0% \$1073.327 \$552.966 147 Prince Edward 17 56 0.4% 5.944 0.29% 68 18 22.0% \$1077.327 \$552.966 132 Prince Milam 145 390 37.2% 115.966 0.13% 840 188 22.4% \$60.158.31 \$41.06.337 135 Prince Milam 145 390 37.2% 115.966 0.13% 840 188 22.4% \$60.531.81 \$41.06.337 157 Rappahanock 4 21 2.3% 1.790 0.22% 23 41.74% \$52.54.45 \$11.34.55 158 Rockingham 56 169 3.1% 19.24.32 2.4% 2.30 2.4.3% \$30.02 2.5.4.4.3% \$30.02<	v											46.4%
142 Pittsylvania 29 160 18.1% 15.589 0.19% 297 50 18.8% 53.37.531 51.073.932 S622.966 147 Pinice Edward 17 56 30.4% 5.914 0.29% 68 18 22.0% 51.073.927 S562.966 149 Pinice Edward 17 56 30.4% 5.914 0.29% 68 18 22.0% 51.073.937 S753.911 159 Pinice Edward 146 390 37.2% 115.96 0.13% 640 188 22.4% 55.913 34.016.337 159 Piagaki 48 12.0727 197 80.94% 138.9 22.85 32.81.968 31.20.277 197 182.33.93 23.597 0.24% 24.6 62.23 33.8% 34.74.04.94 42.75.971 182 183.086 54.76.97 24.3% 53.807.638 52.38.90.92 116 10.80.92 116 10.80.92 116 10.80.92 10.80.92 116 10.80.92	v				,					. , ,	. /	49.0%
140 Periode Edward 17 556 30.4% 6.5.914 0.29% 668 18 22.0% \$1.073.327 \$562.966 140 Prince Edward 17 556 30.4% 5.914 0.29% 668 16 26.5% \$3.100.817 \$753.911 158 Prince William 146 390 37.2% 115.966 0.13% 440 188 24% \$5.915.831 \$4.016.337 159 Pulaski 48 150 32.0% 7.817 0.613% 440 12.4% \$1.210.277 157 Ragnahanck 4 22 11.23% 1.790 0.22% 23 4 17.4% \$25.254.4 \$10.2394 158 Rockhridge 23 5.2 4.42% 4.936 0.47% 66 23 34.8% \$1.13.86 \$26.43.52 158 Rockhridge 23 5.2 4.42% 4.936 0.47% 66 23 34.8% \$3.807.638 \$2.88.02.350 \$5.8												32.2%
147 Prince Edward 17 56 30.4% 5.914 0.29% 68 18 26.5% \$1.90.817 3753.911 159 Puinse William 145 300 37.2% 115.966 0.13% 840 188 22.4% 55.915.831 \$4.016.337 159 Puiaski 48 150 32.0% 7.817 0.61% 171 50 22.24% 52.261.90.8% \$1.210.727 157 Rappahannock 4 22 18.2% 1.700 0.22% 23 4 17.4% \$222.244 \$10.2804 158 Rokinodp 23 4 17.4% \$222.244 \$10.2804 158 Rokinodp 23 4 17.4% \$222.244 \$10.308 \$123.1027 158 Rokinodp 23 3.4% \$1.13.865 \$23.35% \$47.40.549 \$27.75.971 158 Rokinodp 23 3.4% \$1.51.368 \$543.348 \$543.302 \$543.348 \$543.302 \$543.368<											.,,,	52.5%
140 Prince George 4 40 10.0% 9.750 0.04% 49 4 8.2% \$381,666 \$88,661 153 Prince William 145 300 32.2% 115,966 0.13% 840 188 22.4% \$521,027.37 115 116 115 116 11		-								.,,,		69.1%
153 Purice William 145 390 37.2% 115.966 0.13% 840 188 22.4% \$6,015.81 \$4,016.337 155 Pulaski 4 22 18,2% 1.790 0.23% 23 4 17.4% \$252.544 \$113.455 159 Richmond Coun 4 10 40.0% 1.899 0.24% 235 4 17.4% \$252.544 \$113.455 161 Roancke County 57 162 31.3% 23.997 0.24% 245 82 33.5% \$4,740.549 \$2.775.971 163 Rocktridge 23 52 44.2% 4.936 0.4% 66 23 34.8% \$111.3.866 \$82.432 168 Rocktridge 23 52 44.2% 4.936 0.4% 52 33.5% \$1.415.436 \$52.775.971 168 Rocktridge 23 54 42.98 \$3.39.092 12 27.8% \$34.2166 \$15.001 171										.,,,		23.2%
155 Pulaski 48 150 32.0% 7.817 0.61% 171 50 22.2% S2.210.089 S1.210.727 157 Ragpahamock 4 12 412.4% S25.2544 \$113.465 159 Richmond Count 4 10 40.0% 1.899 0.21% 13 6 46.2% \$26.3242 \$102.894 161 Roanoke Count 57 182 31.3% 23.97 0.24% 245 82 33.5% \$4,740.548 \$2.775.971 168 Rockndpam 66 169 33.1% 19.477 0.29% 276 67 24.3% \$3.807.638 \$2.389.092 167 Russell 27 75 36.0% 6.546 0.41% 122 31 28.4% \$3.807.638 \$2.389.092 173 Breandoah 29 93 31.2% 9.655 0.30% 172 30 17.4% \$52.37.840.633 \$57.53.066 \$52.27.342 173 Spot	U									<i>t 1</i>		58.1%
197 Rappahamock 4 22 18.2% 17.90 0.22% 23 4 17.4% \$252.544 \$113.455 198 Richmond Count 57 182 31.3% 23.597 0.24% 245 82 33.5% \$\$4,740.549 \$2.775.971 168 Rockbridge 23 52 44.2% 4.936 0.47% 66 23 34.8% \$\$1,113.866 \$52.43.32 168 Rockbridge 23 52 44.2% 4.936 0.47% 66 23 34.8% \$\$1,113.866 \$52.43.32 168 Rockmigham 66 109 33.1% 19.646 0.41% 122 31 25.4% \$3.80,062 173 South 21 167 75 36.0% 6.546 0.41% 122 31 25.4% \$50.001 173 Brughyani 27 75 36.0% 7.66 0.39% 112 30 17.4% \$57.398 \$0 173 Brughyani 27 139 19.4% 7.766 0.39% 112												46.2%
Isb Richmond Count 4 10 40.0% 1.999 0.24% 13 6 46.2% \$263,242 \$102,894 ItB Roanoko Count 57 182 31.3% 23,677 0.24% 245 82 33.5% \$5,770,571 110 ItB Roakoko Count 57 182 44.2% 4.936 0.47% 66 23 34.8% \$1,113,866 \$824,332 ItB Roakoko Count 27 75 36.0% 6.646 0.41% 122 31 25.4% \$3601,703 \$2,389,092 ItER Russell 27 75 36.0% 6.646 0.41% 122 31 25.4% \$3601,755,306 \$378,766,336 173 \$501,406 \$576,336 173 \$574,946 \$756,336 173 \$574,946 \$756,336 173 \$574,946 \$756,336 173 \$501,406 \$5766,336 173 \$501,406 \$53,998,294 \$2,237,342 173 \$501,433 \$52,237,342 173												40.2%
1et Roanoke County 57 182 31.3% 22.397 0.24% 245 82 33.5% \$4.740.549 \$2.775.971 163 Rockingham 66 168 33.1% 19.547 0.29% 66 23 34.8% \$1.113.86 \$824.332 165 Rockingham 66 168 33.1% 19.547 0.29% 276 67 24.3% \$3.807.638 \$2.399.092 167 Russell 27 75 36.0% 6.546 0.41% 122 31 25.4% \$881.415 \$400.628 171 Stort 21 67 31.3% 5.625 0.39% 117 33 22.05 \$474.678 173 Stort 209 24.9% 37.748 0.14% 315 62 19.7% \$4.438.613 \$2.237.42 173 Stort 44 151 2.9% 30.040 0.14% 315 62 19.7% \$4.438.613 \$2.237.42 173S												
163 Rockbridge 23 52 44.2% 4.936 0.47% 66 23 94.8% \$1.113.866 \$824.332 168 Rockingham 56 169 33.1% 19.547 0.29% 276 67 24.3% \$3.307,638 \$2.389,092 167 Russell 27 75 36.0% 6.546 0.41% 122 31 25.4% \$3842.156 \$150,001 171 Shenandoah 29 93 31.2% 9.655 0.30% 118 33 28.0% \$1543,004 \$766.336 173 Shouthampton 0 5 0.0% 4.281 0.00% 6 0 0.0% \$474.678 177 Spotylavia 52 209 24.9% 37,748 0.14% 315 62 19.7% \$4.836.613 \$2.2,37,342 178 Stafford 44 151 29.1% 30.334 0.11% 20.05% \$3.399,294 \$2.066,531 181 Suryn								-		. ,		
165 Rockingham 56 169 33.1% 19.547 0.29% 276 67 24.3% S3.07.638 52.389.092 167 Russell 27 75 36.0% 6.546 0.41% 122 31 25.4% \$581.415 \$400.826 169 Scott 21 67 31.3% 5.422 0.3% 79 22 27.8% \$581.415 \$400.826 173 Snythh 27 139 19.4% 7.766 0.3% 118 33 28.0% \$1,543.046 \$765.336 173 Snythh 27 139 19.4% 7.766 0.3% 175 60 0.0% \$35.798 \$0 147 177 Sottsyvania 52 209 24.9% 37.748 0.14% 315 62 19.7% \$4.838.613 \$2.32.342 179 Stafford 44 161 29.1% 39.034 0.11% 20 45 20.5% \$3.399.294 \$2.056.5												58.6%
167 Russell 27 75 36.0% 6.546 0.41% 122 31 25.4% \$681,415 \$400,828 169 Scott 21 67 31.3% 5,422 0.39% 79 22 27.8% \$342,156 \$150,001 171 Shenandoah 29 93 31.2% 9.655 0.30% 118 33 28.0% \$1,543,046 \$766,6336 173 Shenandoah 29 93 31.2% 9.655 0.30% 1172 30 17.4% \$753,906 \$\$474,678 175 Southampton 0 5 0.0% 4.281 0.00% 6 0 0.0% \$\$35,798 50 177 Spotsylvania 52 209 24.9% 37,748 0.14% 315 62 19.7% \$\$4,838,613 \$2.237,342 181 Surry 0 11 0.0% \$1,712 0.00% 11 0 0.33 \$22,33,392 \$24,331 \$23,39	v											74.0%
169 Scott 21 67 31.3% 5.422 0.39% 79 22 27.8% \$342,156 \$150,001 171 Shenandah 29 93 31.2% 9.655 0.30% 118 33 28.0% \$1,53,046 \$765,396 \$753,906 \$775,396 \$474,678 173 Southampton 0 5 0.0% 4.281 0.00% 6 0 0.0% \$35,798 \$50 177 Spottyvania 52 209 24.9% 37,748 0.14% \$15 62 19.7% \$4,838,613 \$22,237,342 2 173 Spityvania 52 209 24.9% 37,748 0.14% \$20.0% \$33,399,294 \$2,237,342 177 Spottyvania 52 2.09 24.9% 37,748 0.14% \$20.5% \$33,399,294 \$2,237,342 183 Sussex 4 38 10.5% 2,522 0.16% 48 5 10.4% \$24,333 \$2	V											62.7%
171 Shenandoah 29 93 31.2% 9.655 0.30% 118 33 22.0% \$1.543.046 \$7765.366 173 Smyth 27 139 19.4% 7.766 0.35% 172 30 17.4% \$753.906 \$474.678 175 Southampton 0 5 0.0% 4.281 0.00% 6 0 0.0% \$33.79.98 \$0 177 Southampton 0 5 0.0% 4.281 0.00% 6 0 0.0% \$33.99.294 \$2.065.631 179 Stafford 44 151 29.1% 39.034 0.11% 20.0% \$11 0 0.0% \$3.399.294 \$2.065.651 181 Sursex 4 38 10.5% 2.52 0.1% 48 5 10.4% \$2.83.063 \$2.380.206 \$2.340.006 \$2.43.31 \$2.330.046 \$2.389.393 \$1.41.053 \$185 Tazewell 35 166 21.1% 10.167 0.33% 114 36 31.6% \$2.248.993 \$1.411.053 \$2.320.466 \$2.489.93												58.8%
173 Smyth 27 139 19.4% 7.766 0.35% 172 30 17.4% \$753.906 \$474.678 177 Spotsylvania 52 209 24.9% 37.748 0.14% 315 62 19.7% \$\$438.613 \$2.237.342 177 Spotsylvania 52 209 24.9% 37.748 0.14% 315 62 19.7% \$\$438.35.798 \$\$2.237.342 178 Stafford 44 151 29.1% 39.034 0.11% 220 45 20.5% \$\$3.399.294 \$\$2.056.531 181 Sursex 4 38 10.0% 1.712 0.00% 11 0 0.0% \$\$89.175 \$\$0 183 Sussex 4 38 10.5% 5.122.365 \$\$692.046 \$\$183.04 \$\$18.31 \$\$1.33 \$\$2.28.993 \$\$1.411.053 187 Warren 32 87 36.8% 9.576 0.33% 114 36 31.6% \$\$2.28.993 \$\$1.411.053 197 Washington 12 106 11.3% 11										. ,		43.8%
175 Southampton 0 5 0.0% 4.281 0.00% 6 0 0.0% \$35,798 \$0 177 Stafford 44 151 29.1% 39.034 0.11% 220 45 20.5% \$3.392 44.237,342 179 Stafford 44 151 29.1% 39.034 0.11% 20.0% \$3.342 \$3.237,342 181 Surry 0 11 0.0% \$3.9034 \$3.058 \$3.092 \$3.066 \$3.06 \$3.360 \$3.360 \$3.360 \$3.360 \$3.365 \$692,046 \$3.365 \$692,046 \$3.365 \$692,046 \$3.187,33.365 \$692,046 \$3.187,33.365 \$692,046 \$3.187,33.365 \$692,046 \$3.187,33.365 \$692,046 \$3.187,978,356 \$692,046 \$3.187,978,356 \$692,046 \$3.187,978,356 \$692,046 \$3.187,978,356 \$692,046 \$3.187,978,356 \$692,046 \$3.187,978,356 \$692,046 \$3.342,973,342 \$3.342,9452 \$3.342,9452 \$3.348,944 \$6 \$3.56,776,973										.,,,		49.6%
177 Spotsylvania 52 209 24.9% 37,748 0.14% 315 62 19.7% \$4.838.613 \$2,237,342 177 Stafford 44 151 29.1% 39,034 0.11% 220 45 20.5% \$3,399,294 \$2,056,531 181 Surry 0 11 0.0% \$82,175 \$\$0 183 Sussex 4 38 10.5% 2,522 0.16% 48 5 10.4% \$\$24,331 \$\$23,300 187 Warren 32 87 36.8% 9,576 0.33% 114 36 31.6% \$\$22,89,93 \$\$1,411,053 191 Washington 12 106 11.3% 11,818 0.10% 140 12 8.6% \$\$768,608 \$\$294,452 193 Westmoreland 6 37 16.2% 4.026 0.15% 44 6 13.6% \$\$204,707 \$308,011 195 Wythe 15 69 21										. ,		63.0%
179 Stafford 44 151 29.1% 39.034 0.11% 220 45 20.5% \$3.399.294 \$2.056,531 181 Sury 0 11 0.0% 1.11 0 0.0% \$83,175 \$0 183 Sussex 4 38 10.5% 2.522 0.16% 48 5 10.4% \$624,331 \$23,380 185 Tazewell 35 166 21.1% 10.167 0.34% 185 36 19.5% \$1,823,365 \$692,046 187 Warren 32 87 36.8% 9.576 0.33% 114 36 31.6% \$2,288,993 \$1,410,053 191 Washington 12 106 11.3% 10,187 0.11% 12.3 11 8.9% \$303,993 \$82,715 193 Worke 15 69 21.7% 6.583 0.23% 89 16 18.0% \$94,707 \$308,011 197 Wythe <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>÷</td><td></td><td></td><td>. ,</td><td></td><td>0.0%</td></t<>							÷			. ,		0.0%
181 Surry 0 11 0.0% 1,712 0.00% 11 0 0.0% \$89,175 \$0 183 Sussex 4 38 10.5% 2,522 0.16% 48 5 10.4% \$624,331 \$23,380 185 Tazewell 35 166 21.1% 10,167 0.34% 185 36 19.5% \$1.823,365 \$692,046 187 Warren 32 87 36.8% 9.576 0.33% 114 36 31.6% \$2,288,993 \$1,11,053 191 Washington 12 106 11.3% 11,818 0.10% 140 12 8.6% \$768,608 \$2,288,993 \$1,11,053 193 Westmoreland 6 37 16.2% 4,026 0.15% 44 6 13.6% \$904,707 \$308,011 195 Wise 11 98 11.8% 10,187 0.11% 123 11 8.9% \$33,93,93 \$2,715												46.2%
183 Sussex 4 38 10.5% 2,522 0.16% 48 5 10.4% \$624,331 \$23,380 185 Tazewell 35 166 21.1% 10,167 0.34% 185 36 19.5% \$1,823,369 \$624,331 \$23,380 187 Waren 32 87 38.8% 9,576 0.33% 114 36 31.6% \$2,289,93 \$1,411,053 191 Washington 12 106 11.3% 11,818 0.10% 140 12 8.6% \$2768,608 \$294,452 193 Westmoreland 6 37 16.2% 4,026 0.15% 44 6 13.6% \$904,707 \$308,011 195 Wise 11 98 11.2% 10,187 0.11% 123 11 8.9% \$3039,6\$\$42,715 199 Vighthe 15 6 31.7% 6,583 0.23% 89 16 18.0% \$4545,3404 \$455,540,545,542,591,118 <td></td> <td>.,,,</td> <td>60.5%</td>											.,,,	60.5%
185 Tazewell 35 166 21.1% 10,167 0.34% 185 36 19.5% \$1,823,365 \$692,046 187 Warren 32 87 36.8% 9,576 0.33% 114 36 31.6% \$2,288,903 \$1,411,053 191 Washington 12 106 11.3% 11,818 0.10% 140 12 8.6% \$769,077 \$308,011 193 Westmoreland 6 37 16.2% 4,026 0.15% 44 6 13.5% \$904,707 \$308,011 195 Wise 11 98 11.2% 10,187 0.11% 123 11 8.9% \$303,993 \$82,715 197 Wythe 15 6.9 21.7% 6.583 0.23% 89 16 18.0% \$\$85,60,35 \$\$2,591,118 510 Alexandria 68 399 17.0% 26,582 0.26% 829 71 8.6% \$\$85,50,35 \$\$2,591,118		-										0.0%
187 Warren 32 87 36.8% 9,576 0.33% 114 36 31.6% \$2,288,993 \$1,411,053 191 Washington 12 106 11.3% 11,818 0.10% 140 12 8.6% \$768,608 \$294,452 193 Westmoreland 6 37 16.2% 4,026 0.15% 44 6 13.6% \$904,707 \$308,011 195 Wise 11 98 11.2% 10,187 0.11% 123 11 8.9% \$303,993 \$82,715 197 Wythe 15 69 21.7% 6,583 0.23% 89 16 18.0% \$916,856 \$\$414,172 199 York 7 37 18.9% 18.863 0.04% 54 11 20.4% \$\$859,005 \$\$517,193 515 Bedrord City 13 33 39.4% 1,522 0.85% 48 17 35.4% \$\$256,91,118 520		-						-		. ,		3.7%
191 Washington 12 106 11.3% 11.818 0.10% 140 12 8.6% \$768,608 \$294,452 193 Westmoreland 6 37 16.2% 4,026 0.15% 44 6 13.6% \$904,707 \$3308,011 195 Wise 11 98 11.2% 10,187 0.11% 123 11 8.9% \$303,993 \$\$2,715 197 Wythe 15 69 21.7% 6,583 0.23% 89 16 18.0% \$916,856 \$\$414,172 199 York 7 37 18.9% 18,863 0.04% 54 11 20.4% \$\$8556,375 \$\$2,591,118 516 Bedford City 13 33 39.4% 1,522 0.85% 48 17 35.4% \$\$453,404 \$\$236,808 520 Bristol 255 105 23.8% 4,038 0.62% 148 26 17.6% \$\$465,003 \$\$3,346,953												38.0%
193 Westmoreland 6 37 16.2% 4,026 0.15% 44 6 13.6% \$904,707 \$308,011 195 Wise 11 98 11.2% 10,187 0.11% 123 11 8.9% \$303,993 \$82,715 197 Wythe 15 69 21.7% 6,583 0.23% 89 16 18.0% \$\$916,886 \$\$414,172 199 York 7 37 18.9% 18.863 0.04% 54 11 20.4% \$\$8,556,375 \$\$2,591,118 510 Alexandria 68 399 17.0% 26,582 0.26% 829 71 8.6% \$\$453,404 \$\$236,808 520 Bistol 25 105 23.8% 4,038 0.62% 148 26 17.6% \$\$896,029 \$\$453,404 \$\$236,808 520 Bistol 25 105 23.8% 4,038 0.62% 148 26 17.6% \$\$896,029 \$\$4	187 Warren											61.6%
195 Wise 11 98 11.2% 10,187 0.11% 123 11 8.9% \$303,993 \$82,715 197 Wythe 15 69 21.7% 6,583 0.23% 89 16 18.0% \$916,856 \$414,172 199 York 7 37 18.9% 18,863 0.04% 54 11 20.4% \$859,005 \$\$517,193 510 Alexandria 68 399 17.0% 26,582 0.26% 829 71 8.6% \$\$8,556,375 \$\$2,591,118 515 Bedford City 13 33 39.4% 1,522 0.85% 48 17 35.4% \$\$453,404 \$\$23,600 520 Bristol 25 105 23.8% 4,038 0.62% 148 26 17.6% \$\$86,602 \$\$459,260 \$\$33,849,953 \$\$40 Charlottesville 112 317 35.3% 8,737 1.28% 544 155 28.5% \$6,646,503 \$3,346	191 Washington									\$768,608	. ,	38.3%
197 Wythe 15 69 21.7% 6,583 0.23% 89 16 18.0% \$916,856 \$414,172 199 York 7 37 18.9% 18,863 0.04% 54 11 20.4% \$859,005 \$517,193 510 Alexandria 68 399 17.0% 26,582 0.26% 829 71 8.6% \$8,556,375 \$2,591,118 515 Bedford City 13 33 39.4% 1,522 0.85% 48 17 35.4% \$453,404 \$236,808 520 Bitstol 25 105 23.8% 4,038 0.62% 148 26 17.6% \$469,029 \$4459,260 \$459,260 \$459,260 \$459,260 \$459,260 \$459,260 \$459,260 \$459,260 \$459,260 \$459,260 \$453,346,953 \$50 Cheaveate 58 242 24.0% 67,841 0.09% 472 71 15.0% \$3,394,299 \$1,849,303 \$570 Colonial Heights <td>193 Westmoreland</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>16.2%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td>\$904,707</td> <td>\$308,011</td> <td>34.0%</td>	193 Westmoreland	-		16.2%				6		\$904,707	\$308,011	34.0%
199 York 7 37 18.9% 18,863 0.04% 54 11 20.4% \$859,005 \$517,193 510 Alexandria 68 399 17.0% 26,582 0.26% 829 71 8.6% \$8,556,375 \$2,591,118 515 Bedford City 13 33 39.4% 1,522 0.85% 48 17 35.4% \$453,404 \$236,808 520 Bristol 25 105 23.8% 4,038 0.62% 148 26 17.6% \$896,029 \$4459,260 530 Buena Vista 5 17 29.4% 1,655 0.30% 23 5 21.7% \$286,688 \$83,189 540 Charlottesville 112 317 35.3% 8,737 1.28% 544 155 28.5% \$6,646,503 \$3,346,953 550 Chesapeake 58 242 24.0% 67,841 0.09% 472 71 15.0% \$3,394,993 \$449,3		11		11.2%	10,187	0.11%	123	11	8.9%	\$303,993	\$82,715	27.2%
510 Alexandria 68 399 17.0% 26,582 0.26% 829 71 8.6% \$8,556,375 \$2,591,118 515 Bedford City 13 33 39.4% 1,522 0.85% 48 17 35.4% \$453,404 \$236,808 520 Bristol 25 105 23.8% 4,038 0.62% 148 26 17.6% \$896,029 \$459,260 530 Buena Vista 5 17 29.4% 1,655 0.30% 23 5 21.7% \$286,688 \$83,189 540 Charlottesville 112 317 35.3% 8,737 1.28% 544 155 28.5% \$6,646,503 \$3,346,953 550 Chesapeake 58 242 24.0% 67,841 0.09% 472 71 15.0% \$3,394,299 \$1,849,303 570 Colonial Heights 7 21 33.3% 4,406 0.16% 59 7 11.9% \$440,125	197 Wythe				6,583					. ,	÷)	45.2%
515 Bedford City 13 33 39.4% 1,522 0.85% 48 17 35.4% \$453,404 \$236,808 520 Bristol 25 105 23.8% 4,038 0.62% 148 26 17.6% \$896,029 \$459,260 530 Buena Vista 5 17 29.4% 1,655 0.30% 23 5 21.7% \$286,688 \$83,189 540 Charlottesville 112 317 35.3% 8,737 1.28% 544 155 28.5% \$6,646,503 \$3,346,953 550 Chesapeake 58 242 24.0% 67,841 0.09% 472 71 15.0% \$3,394,299 \$1,849,303 570 Colonial Heights 7 21 33.3% 4,406 0.16% 59 7 11.9% \$440,125 \$61,390 580 Covington 18 31 58.1% 1,283 1.40% 91 47 51.6% \$790,848 \$58	199 York									\$859,005	\$517,193	60.2%
515 Bedford City 13 33 39.4% 1,522 0.85% 48 17 35.4% \$453,404 \$236,808 520 Bristol 25 105 23.8% 4,038 0.62% 148 26 17.6% \$896,029 \$459,260 530 Buena Vista 5 17 29.4% 1,655 0.30% 23 5 21.7% \$286,688 \$83,189 540 Charlottesville 112 317 35.3% 8,737 1.28% 544 155 28.5% \$6,646,503 \$3,346,953 550 Chesapeake 58 242 24.0% 67,841 0.09% 472 71 15.0% \$3,394,299 \$1,849,303 570 Colonial Heights 7 21 33.3% 4,406 0.16% 59 7 11.9% \$440,125 \$61,390 580 Covington 18 31 58.1% 1,283 1.40% 91 47 51.6% \$790,848 \$58	510 Alexandria	68	399	17.0%			829	71	8.6%	\$8,556,375		30.3%
530 Buena Vista 5 17 29.4% 1,655 0.30% 23 5 21.7% \$286,688 \$83,189 540 Charlottesville 112 317 35.3% 8,737 1.28% 544 155 28.5% \$6,646,503 \$3,346,953 550 Chesapeake 58 242 24.0% 67,841 0.09% 472 71 15.0% \$3,394,299 \$1,849,303 570 Colonial Heights 7 21 33.3% 4,406 0.16% 59 7 11.9% \$440,125 \$61,390 580 Covington 18 31 58.1% 1,283 1.40% 91 47 51.6% \$790,848 \$583,179 580 Covington 18 31 58.1% 1,283 1.40% 91 47 51.6% \$790,848 \$583,179 580 Davinlle 33 180 18.3% 11,936 0.28% 270 46 17.0% \$2,276,332 \$6	515 Bedford City			39.4%		0.85%	48			\$453,404	\$236,808	52.2%
540 Charlottesville 112 317 35.3% 8,737 1.28% 544 155 28.5% \$6,646,503 \$3,346,953 550 Chesapeake 58 242 24.0% 67,841 0.09% 472 71 15.0% \$3,394,299 \$1,849,303 570 Colonial Heights 7 21 33.3% 4,406 0.16% 59 7 11.9% \$440,125 \$61,390 580 Covington 18 31 58.1% 1,283 1.40% 91 47 51.6% \$790,848 \$583,179 590 Danville 33 180 18.3% 11,936 0.28% 270 46 17.0% \$2,276,332 \$695,677 620 Franklin City 3 12 25.0% 2,468 0.12% 18 5 27.8% \$179,181 \$77,646 630 Fredericksburg 15 66 22.7% 6,230 0.24% 91 17 18,7% \$1,199,060	520 Bristol			23.8%	4,038	0.62%	148	26	17.6%	\$896,029	\$459,260	51.3%
540 Charlottesville 112 317 35.3% 8,737 1.28% 544 155 28.5% \$6,646,503 \$3,346,953 550 Chesapeake 58 242 24.0% 67,841 0.09% 472 71 15.0% \$3,394,299 \$1,849,303 570 Colonial Heights 7 21 33.3% 4,406 0.16% 59 7 11.9% \$440,125 \$61,390 580 Covington 18 31 58.1% 1,283 1.40% 91 47 51.6% \$790,848 \$583,179 580 Covington 18 31 58.1% 1,283 1.40% 91 47 51.6% \$790,848 \$583,179 590 Darville 33 180 18.3% 11,936 0.28% 270 46 17.0% \$2,276,332 \$695,677 620 Franklin City 3 12 25.0% 2,468 0.12% 18 5 27.8% \$179,181 <td< td=""><td>530 Buena Vista</td><td>5</td><td>17</td><td>29.4%</td><td>1,655</td><td>0.30%</td><td>23</td><td>5</td><td>21.7%</td><td>\$286,688</td><td>\$83,189</td><td>29.0%</td></td<>	530 Buena Vista	5	17	29.4%	1,655	0.30%	23	5	21.7%	\$286,688	\$83,189	29.0%
550 Chesapeake 58 242 24.0% 67.841 0.09% 472 71 15.0% \$3,394,299 \$1,849,303 570 Colonial Heights 7 21 33.3% 4,406 0.16% 59 7 11.9% \$440,125 \$61,390 580 Covington 18 31 58.1% 1,283 1.40% 91 47 51.6% \$790,848 \$583,179 590 Danville 33 180 18.3% 11,936 0.28% 270 46 17.0% \$2,276,332 \$695,677 620 Franklin City 3 12 25.0% 2,468 0.12% 18 5 27.8% \$179,181 \$77,646 630 Fredericksburg 15 66 22.7% 6,230 0.24% 91 17 18.7% \$1,199,060 \$622,159 640 Galax 2 29 6.9% 1,828 0.11% 31 2 6.5% \$148,895 \$76,997		112	317	35.3%				155	28.5%			50.4%
570 Colonial Heights 7 21 33.3% 4,406 0.16% 59 7 11.9% \$440,125 \$61,390 580 Covington 18 31 58.1% 1,283 1.40% 91 47 51.6% \$790,848 \$583,179 590 Danville 33 180 18.3% 11,936 0.28% 270 46 17.0% \$2,276,332 \$695,677 620 Franklin City 3 12 25.0% 2,468 0.12% 18 5 27.8% \$179,181 \$77,646 630 Fredericksburg 15 66 22.7% 6,230 0.24% 91 17 18.7% \$1,199,060 \$622,159 640 Galax 2 29 6.9% 1,828 0.11% 31 2 6.5% \$148,895 \$76,997												54.5%
580 Covington 18 31 58.1% 1.283 1.40% 91 47 51.6% \$790,848 \$583,179 590 Danville 33 180 18.3% 11,936 0.28% 270 46 17.0% \$2,276,332 \$695,677 620 Franklin City 3 12 25.0% 2,468 0.12% 18 5 27.8% \$179,181 \$77,646 630 Fredericksburg 15 66 22.7% 6,230 0.24% 91 17 18.7% \$1,199,060 \$622,159 640 Galax 2 29 6.9% 1,828 0.11% 31 2 6.5% \$148,895 \$76,997										\$440,125	\$61,390	13.9%
590 Danville 33 180 18.3% 11,936 0.28% 270 46 17.0% \$2,276,332 \$695,677 620 Franklin City 3 12 25.0% 2,468 0.12% 18 5 27.8% \$179,181 \$77,646 630 Fredericksburg 15 66 22.7% 6,230 0.24% 91 17 18.7% \$1,199,060 \$622,159 640 Galax 2 29 6.9% 1,828 0.11% 31 2 6.5% \$148,895 \$76,997								47				73.7%
620 Franklin City 3 12 25.0% 2,468 0.12% 18 5 27.8% \$179,181 \$77,646 630 Fredericksburg 15 66 22.7% 6,230 0.24% 91 17 18.7% \$1,199,060 \$622,159 640 Galax 2 29 6.9% 1,828 0.11% 31 2 6.5% \$148,895 \$76,997	v											30.6%
630 Fredericksburg 15 66 22.7% 6,230 0.24% 91 17 18.7% \$1,199,060 \$622,159 640 Galax 2 29 6.9% 1,828 0.11% 31 2 6.5% \$148,895 \$76,997												
640 Galax 2 29 6.9% 1,828 0.11% 31 2 6.5% \$148,895 \$76,997	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											51.9%
												51.7%
II 6501Hampton I 361 3781 9.5%1 39.8641 0.09%1 7471 371 5.0%I \$3.674.6531 \$477.3571	650 Hampton	36		9.5%	39,864		747	37		\$3,674,653	\$477,357	13.0%
660 Harrisonburg 43 131 32.8% 13,413 0.32% 228 49 21.5% \$2,781,979 \$1,429,862										. , ,		51.4%

FIPS Locality Name	Unduplicated # of Children in CSA Residential	Unduplicated Total # Children served by CSA	% of Total CSA Children in Residential	Total Youth Population* in Locality aged 0-20	% of Local Youth Population* in CSA Residential	Total # of CSA Services Provided	# of CSA Residential Services Provided	% of all CSA Services that were Residential	Total Gross** CSA Expenditure (state & local)	Total CSA** Residential Expenditures	% of All CSA Expenditures Spent on Residential Care
670 Hopewell	21	84	25.0%	6,868	0.31%	99	27	27.3%	\$2,035,251	\$820,500	40.3%
678 Lexington	4	8	50.0%	2,017	0.20%	10	4	40.0%	\$318,105	\$272,962	85.8%
680 Lynchburg	29	321	9.0%	18,056	0.16%	484	30	6.2%	\$3,967,061	\$254,532	6.4%
683 Manassas City	17	54	31.5%	11,990	0.14%	63	17	27.0%	\$1,558,880	\$913,761	58.6%
685 Manassas Park	14	31	45.2%	4,197	0.33%	32	15	46.9%	\$1,390,731	\$975,406	70.1%
690 Martinsville	4	60	6.7%	3,731	0.11%	69	4	5.8%	\$157,691	\$78,523	49.8%
700 Newport News	136	653	20.8%	56,740	0.24%	866	155	17.9%	\$13,533,394	\$4,681,184	34.6%
710 Norfolk	264	1,571	16.8%	66,021	0.40%	0	300	-	\$11,507,033	\$4,764,120	41.4%
720 Norton	0	7	0.0%	961	0.00%	8	0	0.0%	\$19,630	\$0	0.0%
730 Petersburg	52	161	32.3%	9,098	0.57%	193	60	31.1%	\$3,501,613	\$1,505,981	43.0%
735 Poquoson	0	13	0.0%	3,420	0.00%	13	0	0.0%	\$388,943	\$0	0.0%
740 Portsmouth	37	259	14.3%	29,084	0.13%	491	44	9.0%	\$3,846,023	\$788,161	20.5%
750 Radford	7	23	30.4%	4,417	0.16%	28	8	28.6%	\$417,874	\$276,540	66.2%
760 Richmond City	321	736	43.6%	51,874	0.62%	1,325	527	39.8%	\$17,507,135	\$10,698,392	61.1%
770 Roanoke City	111	554	20.0%	23,119	0.48%	1,084	125	11.5%	\$9,800,752	\$4,265,334	43.5%
775 Salem	24	48	50.0%	5,653	0.42%	58	28		\$941,868	\$732,882	77.8%
790 Staunton	28	146	19.2%	4,985	0.56%	187	29	15.5%	\$1,786,873	\$863,307	48.3%
800 Suffolk	23	115	20.0%	23,186	0.10%	166	27	16.3%	\$1,064,798	\$340,813	32.0%
810 Virginia Beach	194	651	29.8%	127,579	0.15%	959	224	23.4%	\$8,825,749	\$4,720,013	53.5%
820 Waynesboro	14	118	11.9%	5,413	0.26%	154	14	9.1%	\$932,589	\$357,263	38.3%
830 Williamsburg	4	13	30.8%	3,893	0.10%	17	4	23.5%	\$47,728	\$20,771	43.5%
840 Winchester	27	80	33.8%	6,554	0.41%	95	28	29.5%	\$2,174,078	\$1,305,105	60.0%
1200 Greensville/Emp	5	37	13.5%	3,876	0.13%	52	6	11.5%	\$494,653	\$115,148	23.3%
1300 Fairfax/Falls Chu	299	1,008	29.7%	289,683	0.10%	1,665	326	19.6%	\$33,035,712	\$16,425,100	49.7%
Totals	4,042	16,256	24.9%	2,074,890	0.19%	22,670	4,894	21.6%	\$285,771,552	\$132,937,908	46.5%

* 2005 Population Estimates - July 1, 2005 by Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service, University of Virginia ** Gross expenditures do not include refunds or credits

Schedule	7

	Children and Ex	penditures in R	esidential Care	e (Group Home	s, Residentia	al Treatment Fa	cilities, P	sychiatric H	lospitals)			
	Sorted by Localit											
	Program Year 20											
		Unduplicated	Unduplicated		Total Youth		Total # of	# of CSA	% of all CSA			% of all CSA
		# of	Total #	% of Total	Population*	% of Local Youth	CSA	Residential	Services	Total Gross**	Total CSA**	Expenditures
		Children in	Children	CSA Children in	in Locality	Population* in	Services	Services	that were	CSA Expenditure	Residential	Spent on
FIPS	Locality Name	CSA Residential	served by CSA	Residential	aged 0-20	CSA Residential	Provided		Residential	(state & local)	Expenditures	Residential
1	Accomack	32	106			0.32%		35	27.6%			
3	Albemarle	88	282			0.34%			22.9%	\$6,556,892		
5	Alleghany	19	41			0.46%			39.6%	\$719,748		-
7	Amelia	1	16			0.03%			6.3%	\$124,960		-
9 11	Amherst Appomattox	15 5	59 35	25.4% 14.3%		0.18% 0.14%		15 5	18.5% 13.2%			38.8% 20.5%
13	Arlington	102	298			0.14%			28.6%	\$9,690,920		-
15	Augusta	52	290	23.2%		0.20%			20.0%	\$9,090,920		
17	Bath	52	6	83.3%		0.48%			71.4%	\$81,313		
19	Bedford County	51	162		,	0.33%			28.0%	\$2,762,063		51.3%
21	Bland	2	16			0.14%			11.8%	\$101,785		37.3%
23	Botetourt	19	59			0.23%			29.1%	\$1,256,640		
25	Brunswick	6	22			0.15%	29	8	27.6%	\$272,024		
27	Buchanan	32	90	35.6%	5,580	0.57%	137	41	29.9%	\$655,951	\$366,101	55.8%
29	Buckingham	7	58	12.1%	3,527	0.20%	70	7	10.0%	\$782,274	\$169,031	21.6%
31	Campbell	32	202		,	0.24%			16.3%	\$3,068,376		
33	Caroline	3	22	13.6%		0.05%			12.5%			-
35	Carroll	14	54	25.9%		0.21%			24.1%			
36	Charles City	1	17	5.9%		0.06%			4.5%			
37	Charlotte	5	53	9.4%	,	0.15%			7.8%	\$497,737	\$49,743	-
41	Chesterfield	100	277	36.1%		0.12%			14.7%	\$7,570,319		38.0%
43	Clarke	7	27	25.9%	,	0.20%			26.7%	\$736,934 \$254,984		59.0%
45 47	Craig Culpeper	29	11 117	27.3% 24.8%	,	0.24%			27.3% 25.2%	\$254,984 \$1,657,847	\$203,002	79.6% 65.7%
49	Cumberland	13	66			0.52%			14.5%	\$1,187,946		
51	Dickenson	19	137	13.9%		0.50%			11.8%	\$1,041,143		47.8%
53	Dinwiddie	9	38			0.13%			20.8%	\$569,313		22.3%
57	Essex	11	34	32.4%		0.41%			33.3%	\$960,796		
61	Fauguier	27	139	19.4%		0.15%	218	33	15.1%	\$2,546,360		-
63	Floyd	7	17	41.2%		0.19%	19	8	42.1%		\$342,799	66.0%
65	Fluvanna	33	122	27.0%	6,057	0.54%	159	33	20.8%	\$1,823,346	\$948,517	52.0%
67	Franklin County	37	210	17.6%	12,371	0.30%	307		13.7%	\$2,987,533	\$1,090,901	36.5%
69	Frederick	24	106	22.6%	,	0.12%			21.8%	\$1,851,812		-
71	Giles	14	49			0.33%			21.5%	\$775,914		
73	Gloucester	16	48			0.17%			30.5%	\$623,316		
75	Goochland	8	49			0.18%		9	14.8%	\$985,806		-
77	Grayson	8	49			0.22%			17.9%	\$611,942		33.8%
79 83	Greene Halifax	25 25	63 77	39.7% 32.5%		0.50%			32.9% 28.2%	\$1,269,712 \$1,908,624		75.9%
оз 85	Hanover	25	127			0.27%			13.3%	\$1,908,624	. ,	-
87	Henrico	143	331	43.2%	,	0.09%			32.0%	\$6,797,533		-
-	Henry	143	139			0.08%						
	Highland	0	3									
93	Isle of Wight	13	33						29.5%			
	James City	3	22									
	King & Queen	2	16						15.0%	\$316,439		
	King George	21	50			0.35%			40.4%			
	King William	4	25			0.10%	29	4	13.8%			
	Lancaster	10	27			0.44%			32.4%			
105	Lee	23	90			0.40%			22.2%			
	Loudoun	41	199						19.0%			
109	Louisa	24	56	42.9%	7,387	0.32%	65	26	40.0%	\$1,195,537	\$767,835	64.2%

Sc	hed	lu	le	7

		Unduplicated	Unduplicated		Total Youth		Total # of	# of CSA	% of all CSA			% of all CSA
		# of	Total #	% of Total	Population*	% of Local Youth	-	Residential	Services	Total Gross**	Total CSA**	Expenditures
		Children in	Children	CSA Children in	in Locality	Population* in	Services	Services	that were	CSA Expenditure	Residential	Spent on
FIPS	Locality Name	CSA Residential	served by CSA	Residential	aged 0-20	CSA Residential	Provided	Provided	Residential	(state & local)	Expenditures	Residential
111	Lunenburg	10	27	37.0%	2,898	0.35%	34	10	29.4%	\$452,951	\$241,437	53.3%
113	Madison	15	32		3,365	0.45%	34	15		\$412,373	\$348,339	84.5%
115	Mathews	2	16	12.5%	1,937	0.10%	18	3	16.7%	\$439,958	\$289,376	65.8%
117	Mecklenburg	23	94	24.5%	7,505	0.31%	113	27	23.9%	\$1,148,835	\$630,098	54.8%
119	Middlesex	1	37	2.7%	2,012	0.05%	42	1	2.4%	\$419,598	\$2,007	0.5%
121	Montgomery	25	80	31.3%	23,469	0.11%	118	27	22.9%	\$1,436,027	\$955,125	66.5%
125	Nelson	13	28	46.4%	3,549	0.37%		14	-	. ,	\$155,908	30.2%
	New Kent	8	31	25.8%	4,091	0.20%		9	-		\$168,013	20.2%
131	Northampton	8	53	15.1%	3,242	0.25%		8			\$359,078	40.2%
	Northumberland	7	29	24.1%	2,503	0.28%		10	-		\$143,594	42.0%
135	Nottoway	5	17		3,820	0.13%		6	_	\$458,507	\$435,983	95.1%
	Orange	6	63	9.5%	7,543	0.08%	-	7	-	\$607,879	\$146,389	24.1%
139	Page	30	92	32.6%	6,028	0.50%	-	30	_	\$2,184,390	\$1,335,233	61.1%
	Patrick	9	55	16.4%	4,248	0.21%		10	-	\$248,118	\$199,643	80.5%
	Pittsylvania	41	195	21.0%	15,589	0.26%		65	-	\$4,706,040	\$1,321,701 \$475,043	28.1%
145 147	Powhatan Prince Edward	9 15	27 63	33.3% 23.8%	6,402 5,914	0.14%		<u>10</u> 16	_	\$938,216 \$1,089,409	\$681,949	50.6% 62.6%
147		5	28	17.9%	9,750	0.25%	-	6	-	\$404,932	\$52,458	
149	Prince George Prince William	114	376	30.3%	9,750	0.05%		147		\$5,433,572	\$32,438	13.0% 51.5%
	Pulaski	54	170	31.8%	7,817	0.69%		55			\$1,302,966	47.3%
	Rappahannock	4	24	16.7%	1,790	0.22%	-	5			\$143,696	45.2%
	Richmond County	8	14	57.1%	1,899	0.42%		11	-	\$541,187	\$184,179	34.0%
161	Roanoke County	71	226	31.4%	23,597	0.30%		89	_	\$4,981,822	\$2,602,649	52.2%
	Rockbridge	38	58	65.5%	4,936	0.77%	-	39	-	\$1,352,794	\$1,040,055	76.9%
165	Rockingham	63	169	37.3%	19,547	0.32%		84	_	\$4,574,370	\$2,975,760	65.1%
167	Russell	22	77	28.6%	6,546	0.34%	124	26	21.0%	\$862,589	\$389,824	45.2%
169	Scott	18	65	27.7%	5,422	0.33%	91	20	22.0%	\$436,339	\$170,122	39.0%
171	Shenandoah	36	117	30.8%	9,655	0.37%	147	41	27.9%	\$2,353,236	\$1,228,830	52.2%
173	Smyth	29	147	19.7%	7,766	0.37%	202	39	19.3%	\$894,206	\$375,553	42.0%
175	Southampton	1	7	14.3%	4,281	0.02%	7	1	14.3%	\$43,143	\$18,125	42.0%
177	Spotsylvania	58	229	25.3%	37,748	0.15%	309	67	21.7%	\$4,718,475	\$2,326,461	49.3%
	Stafford	43	188	22.9%	39,034	0.11%	-	45			\$2,369,708	63.9%
	Surry	1	7	14.3%	1,712	0.06%		1			\$6,609	6.7%
	Sussex	0	30	0.0%	2,522	0.00%		0		\$582,023	\$0	0.0%
185	Tazewell	28	142	19.7%	10,167	0.28%		64	-	\$1,944,587	\$1,503,382	77.3%
	Warren	38	99	38.4%	9,576	0.40%		42	_	\$2,553,407	\$1,441,811	56.5%
191	Washington	14	131	10.7%	11,818	0.12%	-	14	-	\$887,113	\$329,194	37.1%
	Westmoreland	4	45	8.9%	4,026	0.10%		4		\$647,890 \$282.454	\$104,026 \$37,314	16.1%
195 197	Wise Wythe	21	128 88	8.6% 23.9%	10,187 6,583	0.11%		11 27		\$383,154 \$1,349,038	\$37,314 \$472,416	9.7% 35.0%
197	York	9	46	19.6%	18,863	0.05%	-	11	-	\$840,348	\$261,686	31.1%
510	Alexandria	60	40	14.8%	26,582	0.03%		68	_	\$9,028,332	\$2,512,457	27.8%
	Bedford City	15	400	36.6%	1,522	0.23%		20	-	\$581,161	\$306,246	52.7%
	Bristol	21	116	18.1%	4,038	0.52%		20	-		\$611,389	56.9%
530	Buena Vista	10	24	41.7%	1,655	0.60%	-	10	_	., ,	\$311,966	58.1%
	Charlottesville	114	312	-	8,737	1.30%	-	158	_	\$6,894,512	\$3,337,581	48.4%
	Chesapeake	52	204		67,841	0.08%		64			\$1,479,324	51.2%
	Colonial Heights	14	34			0.32%		17			\$122,218	27.9%
	Covington	17	23	-		1.33%		24	-		\$449,951	82.6%
	Danville	40	214			0.34%		58			\$929,681	31.4%
620	Franklin City	5	12			0.20%	20	8	40.0%	\$257,279	\$182,054	70.8%
630	Fredericksburg	22	58			0.35%		24			\$782,255	66.3%
	Galax	5	28			0.27%		5			\$123,990	65.9%
	Hampton	39	566	-		0.10%		50	-		\$745,312	13.4%
	Harrisonburg	45	153		,	0.34%		56	_		\$1,578,939	49.3%
	Hopewell	17	108	-		0.25%		18	-		\$1,129,247	44.7%
678	Lexington	4	9	44.4%	2,017	0.20%	· 10	4	40.0%	\$274,317	\$246,195	89.7%

680 Lynchburg 52 294 17.7% 18,056 0.29% 423 54 12.8% \$3,404,627 \$1,075,202 31.6% 683 Manassas City 14 60 23.3% 11,990 0.12% 65 15 23.1% \$1,542,088 \$736,671 47.8% 685 Manassas Park 17 29 58.6% 4,197 0.41% 41 21 51.22% \$1,512,97 \$1,00,816 89.3% 690 Martinsville 1 54 1.9% 56,740 0.25% 804 163 20.3% \$1,51,97,74 \$5,359,719 39.5% 700 Newport News 143 605 23.6% 56,740 0.25% 804 163 20.3% \$1,41,004,754 \$4,437,285 31.7% 710 Norton 3 10 30.0% 961 0.31% 10 3 30.0% \$4,264,375 \$1,142,182 40.3% 720 Norton 38 271 14.0%													Schedule 7
Children in FIPS Children in cocality Name CSA Residential served by CSA Population* in Residential Services Provided Services Provided that were Residential CSA Expenditure (state & local) Residential Spent on Residential FIPS Locality Name CSA Residential services Provided Provided Provided Residential Expenditures Residential Expenditures Residential Expenditures Residential Expenditures Residential Services Residential Services Residential Services Residential State & local) Expenditures Residential State & local) State & local) State & local) State & local) Residential State & local) Residential State & local) State & local) State &			Unduplicated	Unduplicated		Total Youth		Total # of	# of CSA	% of all CSA			% of all CSA
FIPS Locality Name CSA Residential served by CSA Residential Provided Provided Residential (state & local) Expenditures Residential 680 Lynchburg 52 294 17.7% 18,056 0.29% 423 54 12.8% \$3,404,627 \$1,075,202 31,6% 683 Manassas City 14 600 23.3% \$1,1900 0.12% 65 15 52.31% \$1,542,088 \$37,6671 47.8% 690 Martinsville 1 54 1.9% 3.711 0.03% 59 1 1.7% \$161,074 \$4,666 2.9% 710 Nortoln 3 10 30.0% \$26,53 317 12.4% \$14,04,754 \$4,437,285 31,747,773 720 Norton 3 10 30.0% \$26,544 \$1,742,182 40.9% 735 Poquoson 0 142 3.5% 9.098 0.65% 165 59 38.8% \$4,264,375 \$1			# of	Total #	% of Total	Population*	% of Local Youth	CSA	Residential	Services	Total Gross**	Total CSA**	Expenditures
680 Lynchburg 52 294 17.7% 18,056 0.29% 423 54 12.8% \$3,404,627 \$1,075,202 31,8% 683 Manassas City 14 60 23,3% 11,990 0.12% 65 15 23,1% \$1,522,028 \$1,075,202 31,8% 684 Manassas City 1 54 1,3% \$1,3731 0.03% 59 1 1,7% \$161,074 \$4,696 29,3% 690 Martinsville 1 54 1,3% \$5,771 \$5,359,719 31,579,774 \$5,359,719 39,5% 710 Norfolk 234 1,574 14,9% 66,021 0,35% 2,563 317 12,4% \$14,004,754 \$4,437,285 31,77 71,7%			Children in	Children	CSA Children in	in Locality	Population* in	Services	Services	that were	CSA Expenditure	Residential	Spent on
Banassas City 14 60 23.3% 11,990 0.12% 65 15 23.1% \$1,542,088 \$736,671 47.8% 685 Manassas Park 17 29 56.6% 4,197 0.41% 41 21 51.2% \$1,232,879 \$1,100,616 89.3% 600 Martinsvile 1 54 1.9% 3,731 0.03% 59 1 1.7% \$161,074 \$4,686 2.9% 700 Newport News 143 605 23.6% 56,740 0.25% 804 163 20.3% \$13,579,774 \$5,359,719 39.5% 710 Norton 3 10 30.0% 961 0.31% 10 3 30.0% \$26,544 \$1,877 7.1% 730 Petersburg 51 142 39.9% 9,098 0.56% 165 59 83.8% \$4,264,375 \$1,742,182 40.9% 735 Pequeson 0 12 0.0% \$13,554 \$0.0% <td>FIPS</td> <td>Locality Name</td> <td>CSA Residential</td> <td>served by CSA</td> <td>Residential</td> <td>aged 0-20</td> <td>CSA Residential</td> <td>Provided</td> <td>Provided</td> <td>Residential</td> <td>(state & local)</td> <td>Expenditures</td> <td>Residential</td>	FIPS	Locality Name	CSA Residential	served by CSA	Residential	aged 0-20	CSA Residential	Provided	Provided	Residential	(state & local)	Expenditures	Residential
665 Manassas Park 17 29 58.6% 4,197 0.41% 41 21 51.2% \$1,232,879 \$1,100,816 89.3% 660 Martinsville 1 54 1.9% 3,731 0.03% 59 1 1.7% \$161,074 \$4,696 2.9% 51,777 \$100,754 \$4,437,285 2.9% \$11,7% \$14,004,754 \$4,437,285 31,7% 710 Norton 3 10 30.0% \$26,544 \$1,777 \$1,717 718 714 0.0% 31,420 0.0% 12 0 0.0% \$25,17,644 \$1,827 71,717 717 730 Readord 81,877 71,40% 29,084 0.13%	680	Lynchburg	52	294	17.7%	18,056	0.29%	423	54	12.8%	\$3,404,627	\$1,075,202	31.6%
690 Martinsville 1 54 1.9% 3,731 0.03% 59 1 1.7% \$161,074 \$4,696 2.9% 700 Newport News 143 605 23.6% 56,740 0.25% 804 163 20.3% \$13,579,774 \$5,359,719 \$9,35% 710 Norton 3 10 30.0% 961 0.31% 10 30.0% \$26,543 \$11,774 \$4,398 \$31,777 \$7,71 \$7,71 \$7,71 \$7,72 \$7,90 \$26,544 \$1,877 \$7,71 \$7,71 \$7,71 \$7,71 \$7,71 \$7,71 \$7,71 \$7,71 \$7,71 \$7,71 \$7,71 \$7,71 \$7,72 \$7,72 \$7,72 \$1,72 \$6,764 \$1,877 \$1,872 \$1,030 \$2,6544 \$1,742,182 \$40,97 \$1,742,182 \$40,97 \$1,742,182 \$40,97 \$1,742,182 \$40,97 \$1,742,182 \$40,97 \$1,742,182 \$40,97 \$1,742,182 \$40,97 \$1,742,182 \$40,97 \$1,77	683	Manassas City	14	60	23.3%	11,990	0.12%	65	15	23.1%	\$1,542,088	\$736,671	47.8%
Newport News 143 605 28.6% 56,740 0.25% 804 163 20.3% \$13,579,774 \$5,359,719 39.5% 710 Noriolk 234 1,574 14.9% 66,021 0.35% 2,563 317 12.4% \$14,004,754 \$4,437,285 31.7% 720 Norion 3 10 30.0% 9098 0.56% 165 59 35.8% \$4,264,375 \$1,742,182 40.9% 735 Poquoson 0 12 0.0% 34.20 0.00% 12 0 0.0% \$351,564 \$0 0.0% 740 Portsmouth 38 271 14.0% 29.084 0.13% 625 50 8.0% \$4,195,53 \$884,329 18.3% 760 Rachord 8 28 26.6% 4,417 0.68% 1,472 584 39.7% \$19,075,703 \$9,09,932 47.7% 770 Roanoke City 108 5653 0.39% 63 2	685	Manassas Park	17	29	58.6%	4,197	0.41%	41	21	51.2%	\$1,232,879	\$1,100,816	89.3%
Norfolk 234 1,574 14.9% 66,021 0.35% 2,563 317 12.4% \$14,004,754 \$4,437,285 31.7% 720 Norton 3 10 30.0% 961 0.31% 10 3 30.0% \$26,544 \$1,877 7.1% 730 Petersburg 51 142 35.9% 9,098 0.06% 165 59 35.8% \$4,264,375 \$1,742,182 40.9% 735 Poquoson 0 12 0.00% 12 0.00% \$351,564 \$0 0.0% 740 Portsmouth 38 271 14.0% 29,084 0.13% 625 50 8.0% \$4,819,535 \$884,329 18.3% 760 Radford 8 28 8.6% 4,417 0.18% 31 8 25.8% \$19,075,703 \$19,099,932 47.7% 770 Roanoke City 108 568 19.0% 15,265 135 8.8% \$9,975,213 \$762,533 </td <td>690</td> <td>Martinsville</td> <td>1</td> <td>54</td> <td>1.9%</td> <td>3,731</td> <td>0.03%</td> <td>59</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.7%</td> <td>\$161,074</td> <td>\$4,696</td> <td>2.9%</td>	690	Martinsville	1	54	1.9%	3,731	0.03%	59	1	1.7%	\$161,074	\$4,696	2.9%
Norton 3 10 30.0% 961 0.31% 10 3 30.0% \$26,544 \$1,877 7.1% 730 Petersburg 51 142 35.9% 9.098 0.56% 165 59 35.8% \$4,264,375 \$1,742,182 40.9% 730 Portsmouth 38 271 14.0% 29,084 0.13% 625 50 8.0% \$4,819,535 \$884,329 18.3% 750 Radford 8 28 28.6% 4,417 0.18% 31 8 25.8% \$238,564 \$10,0151 41.9% 760 Richmond City 353 756 46.7% 51.874 0.68% 1,472 584 \$2.8% \$2.883 \$100,151 41.9% 770 Roanoke City 108 568 19.0% 23,119 0.47% 1,526 135 8.8% \$9,475,889 \$4,007,462 42.3% 775 Salem 22 48 45.8% 5.653 0.39%	700	Newport News	143	605	23.6%	56,740	0.25%	804	163	20.3%	\$13,579,774	\$5,359,719	39.5%
730 Petersburg 51 142 35.9% 9,098 0.56% 165 59 35.8% \$4,264,375 \$1,742,182 40.9% 735 Poquoson 0 12 0.0% 3,420 0.00% 12 0.0% \$351,564 \$0 0.0% 740 Portsmouth 38 271 14.0% 29,084 0.13% 625 50 8.0% \$4,819,535 \$884,329 18.3% 750 Radford 8 28 \$4,417 0.18% 31 8 \$25.8% \$238,83 \$100,151 14.9% 42.3% 760 Richmond City 353 756 46.7% 51.874 0.68% 1,472 584 39.7% \$19,075,703 \$9,099,932 47.7% 770 Roanke City 108 568 19.0% 23,119 0.47% 1,526 135 8.6% \$9,475,889 \$4,007,462 42.3% 790 Staunton 20 134 14.9% 4,985 <td< td=""><td>710</td><td>Norfolk</td><td>234</td><td>1,574</td><td>14.9%</td><td>66,021</td><td>0.35%</td><td>2,563</td><td>317</td><td>12.4%</td><td>\$14,004,754</td><td>\$4,437,285</td><td>31.7%</td></td<>	710	Norfolk	234	1,574	14.9%	66,021	0.35%	2,563	317	12.4%	\$14,004,754	\$4,437,285	31.7%
735 Poquoson 0 12 0.0% 3,420 0.00% 12 0 0.0% \$351,564 \$0 0.0% 740 Portsmouth 38 271 14.0% 29,084 0.13% 625 50 8.0% \$4,819,535 \$884,329 18.3% 750 Radford 8 28 28.6% 4,417 0.18% 31 8 25.8% \$238,883 \$100,151 41.9% 760 Richmond City 353 756 46.7% 51,874 0.68% 1,472 584 39.7% \$19,075,703 \$9,999,932 47.7% 770 Roanoke City 108 568 19.0% 23,119 0.47% 1,526 135 8.8% \$9,475,889 \$4,007,462 42.3% 775 Salem 22 48 45.8% 5,653 0.39% 63 27 42.9% \$995,211 \$762,533 7.6% 800 Suffolk 15 106 14.2% 23,186 0.06% 133 20 15.0% \$1,090,511 \$354,647 32.5%	720	Norton	3	10	30.0%	961	0.31%	10	3	30.0%	\$26,544	\$1,877	7.1%
740 Portsmouth 38 271 14.0% 29,084 0.13% 625 50 8.0% \$4,819,535 \$884,329 18.3% 750 Radford 8 28 28.6% 4,417 0.18% 31 8 25.8% \$25.8% \$23.883 \$100,151 41.9% 760 Richmond City 353 756 46.7% 51,874 0.68% 1,472 584 39.7% \$19,075,703 \$9,099,932 47.7% 770 Roanoke City 108 568 19.0% 23,119 0.47% 1,526 133 8.8% \$9,475,889 \$4,007,462 42.3% 775 Salem 22 48 45.8% 5,653 0.39% 63 27 42.9% \$995,211 \$762,533 7.6% 780 Staunton 20 134 41.9% 4,985 0.40% 165 23 13.9% \$1,799,540 \$\$671,682 37.7% 800 Suffolk 15 106	730	Petersburg	51	142	35.9%	9,098	0.56%	165	59	35.8%	\$4,264,375	\$1,742,182	40.9%
750 Radford 8 28 28.6% 4,417 0.18% 31 8 25.8% \$238,883 \$100,151 41.9% 760 Richmond City 353 756 46.7% 51,874 0.68% 1,472 584 39.7% \$19,075,703 \$9,099,932 47.7% 770 Roanoke City 108 568 19.0% 23,119 0.47% 1,526 135 8.8% \$9,475,889 \$4,007,462 42.3% 775 Salem 22 48 45.8% 5,653 0.39% 63 27 42.9% \$995,211 \$762,533 76.6% 790 Staunton 20 134 14.9% 4,985 0.40% 165 23 13.9% \$1,779,540 \$671,682 37.7% 800 Suffolk 15 106 14.2% 23,186 0.06% 133 20 15.0% \$1,090,511 \$354,647 32.5% 810 Virginia Beach 181 666 27.2% 127,579 0.14% 1,095 262 23.9% \$8,7428 \$60,925 6	735	Poquoson	0	12	0.0%	3,420	0.00%	12	0	0.0%	\$351,564	\$0	0.0%
760 Richmond City 353 756 46.7% 51,874 0.68% 1,472 584 39.7% \$19,075,703 \$9,099,932 47.7% 770 Roanoke City 108 568 19.0% 23,119 0.47% 1,526 135 8.8% \$9,475,889 \$4,007,462 42.3% 775 Salem 22 48 45.8% 5,653 0.39% 63 27 42.9% \$995,211 \$762,533 76.6% 790 Staunton 20 134 14.9% 4,985 0.40% 165 23 13.9% \$1,779,540 \$671,682 37.7% 800 Suffolk 15 106 14.2% 23,186 0.06% 133 20 15.0% \$1,090,511 \$354,647 32.5% 810 Virginia Beach 181 666 27.2% 127,579 0.14% 1,095 262 23.9% \$8,939,242 \$4,636,021 51.9% 820 Waynesboro 16 126 12.7% 5,413 0.30% 158 17 10.8% \$1,111,272 \$2321,752<	740	Portsmouth	38	271	14.0%	29,084	0.13%	625	50	8.0%	\$4,819,535	\$884,329	18.3%
770 Roanoke City 108 568 19.0% 23,119 0.47% 1,526 135 8.8% \$9,475,889 \$4,007,462 42.3% 775 Salem 22 48 45.8% 5,653 0.39% 63 27 42.9% \$995,211 \$762,533 76.6% 790 Staunton 20 134 14.9% 4,985 0.40% 165 23 13.9% \$1,779,540 \$6671,682 37.7% 800 Suffolk 15 106 14.2% 23,186 0.06% 133 20 15.0% \$1,090,511 \$354,647 32.5% 810 Virginia Beach 181 666 27.2% 127,579 0.14% 1,095 262 23.9% \$8,939,242 \$4,636,021 51.9% 820 Waynesboro 16 126 12.7% 5,413 0.30% 158 17 10.8% \$1,111,272 \$321,752 29.0% 840 Winchester 32 103 31.1%6	750	Radford	8	28	28.6%	4,417	0.18%	31	8	25.8%	\$238,883	\$100,151	41.9%
775 Salem 22 48 45.8% 5,653 0.39% 63 27 42.9% \$995,211 \$762,533 76.6% 790 Staunton 20 134 14.9% 4,985 0.40% 165 23 13.9% \$1,779,540 \$671,682 37.7% 800 Suffolk 15 106 14.2% 23,186 0.06% 133 20 15.0% \$1,090,511 \$354,647 32.5% 810 Virginia Beach 181 666 27.2% 127,579 0.14% 1,095 262 23.9% \$8,939,242 \$4,636,021 51.9% 820 Waynesboro 16 126 12.7% 5,413 0.30% 158 17 10.8% \$1,111,272 \$321,752 29.0% 830 Williamsburg 4 8 50.0% 3,893 0.10% 18 6 33.3% \$87,428 \$60,925 69.7% 840 Winchester 32 103 31.1% 6,554 0.49% 121 35 28.9% \$2,324,724 \$1,445,516 62.2%	760	Richmond City	353	756	46.7%	51,874	0.68%	1,472	584	39.7%	\$19,075,703	\$9,099,932	47.7%
790 Staunton 20 134 14.9% 4,985 0.40% 165 23 13.9% \$1,779,540 \$671,682 37.7% 800 Suffolk 15 106 14.2% 23,186 0.06% 133 20 15.0% \$1,090,511 \$354,647 32.5% 810 Virginia Beach 181 666 27.2% 127,579 0.14% 1,095 262 23.9% \$8,939,242 \$4,636,021 51.9% 820 Waynesboro 16 126 12.7% 5,413 0.30% 158 17 10.8% \$1,111,272 \$321,752 29.0% 830 Williamsburg 4 8 50.0% 3,893 0.10% 18 6 33.3% \$87,428 \$60,925 69.7% 840 Winchester 32 103 31.1% 6,554 0.49% 121 35 28.9% \$2,324,724 \$1,445,516 62.2% 840 Winchester 333 1,059 31.4% 289,683 0.11% 3,575 408 11.4% \$33,583,503 \$16,552,945	770	Roanoke City	108	568	19.0%	23,119	0.47%	1,526	135	8.8%	\$9,475,889	\$4,007,462	42.3%
800 Suffolk 15 106 14.2% 23,186 0.06% 133 20 15.0% \$1,090,511 \$354,647 32.5% 810 Virginia Beach 181 666 27.2% 127,579 0.14% 1,095 262 23.9% \$8,939,242 \$4,636,021 51.9% 820 Waynesboro 16 126 12.7% 5,413 0.30% 158 17 10.8% \$1,111,272 \$321,752 29.0% 830 Williamsburg 4 8 50.0% 3,893 0.10% 18 6 33.3% \$87,428 \$60,925 69.7% 840 Winchester 32 103 31.1% 6,554 0.49% 121 35 28.9% \$2,324,724 \$1,445,516 62.2% 840 Winchester 333 1,059 31.4% 289,683 0.11% 3,575 408 11.4% \$33,583,503 \$16,552,945 37.0% 1200 Greensville/Emporia 8 4 19.5% 3,876 0.21% 48 8 16.7% \$731,948 \$270,825	775	Salem	22	48	45.8%	5,653	0.39%	63	27	42.9%	\$995,211	\$762,533	76.6%
810 Virginia Beach 181 666 27.2% 127,579 0.14% 1,095 262 23.9% \$8,939,242 \$4,636,021 51.9% 820 Waynesboro 16 126 12.7% 5,413 0.30% 158 17 10.8% \$1,111,272 \$321,752 29.0% 830 Williamsburg 4 8 50.0% 3,893 0.10% 18 6 33.3% \$87,428 \$60,925 69.7% 840 Winchester 32 103 31.1% 6,554 0.49% 121 35 28.9% \$2,324,724 \$1,445,516 62.2% 1200 Greensville/Emporia 8 41 19.5% 3,876 0.21% 48 8 16.7% \$731,948 \$270,825 37.0% 1300 Fairfax/Falls Churct 333 1,059 31.4% 289,683 0.11% 3,575 408 11.4% \$33,583,503 \$16,552,945 49.3% 1300 Fairfax/Falls Churct 333 1,059 31.4% 289,683 0.11% 30,172 5,453 18.1% <t< td=""><td>790</td><td>Staunton</td><td>20</td><td>134</td><td>14.9%</td><td>4,985</td><td>0.40%</td><td>165</td><td>23</td><td>13.9%</td><td>\$1,779,540</td><td>\$671,682</td><td>37.7%</td></t<>	790	Staunton	20	134	14.9%	4,985	0.40%	165	23	13.9%	\$1,779,540	\$671,682	37.7%
820 Waynesboro 16 126 12.7% 5,413 0.30% 158 17 10.8% \$1,111,272 \$321,752 29.0% 830 Williamsburg 4 8 50.0% 3,893 0.10% 18 6 33.3% \$87,428 \$60,925 69.7% 840 Winchester 32 103 31.1% 6,554 0.49% 121 35 28.9% \$2,324,724 \$1,445,516 62.2% 1200 Greensville/Emporid 8 41 19.5% 3,876 0.21% 48 8 16.7% \$731,948 \$270,825 37.0% 1300 Fairfax/Falls Churct 333 1,059 31.4% 289,683 0.11% 3,575 408 11.4% \$33,583,503 \$16,552,945 49.3% Totals 4,275 17,128 2,074,890 0.21% 30,172 5,453 18.1% \$307,333,837 \$138,091,117 44.9% * 2005 Population Estimates - July 1, 2005 by Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service, University of Virginia 0.21% 30,172 5,453 18.1% 50.7% 49.3% <td>800</td> <td>Suffolk</td> <td>15</td> <td>106</td> <td>14.2%</td> <td>23,186</td> <td>0.06%</td> <td>133</td> <td>20</td> <td>15.0%</td> <td>\$1,090,511</td> <td>\$354,647</td> <td>32.5%</td>	800	Suffolk	15	106	14.2%	23,186	0.06%	133	20	15.0%	\$1,090,511	\$354,647	32.5%
830 Williamsburg 4 8 50.0% 3,893 0.10% 18 6 33.3% \$87,428 \$60,925 69.7% 840 Winchester 32 103 31.1% 6,554 0.49% 121 35 28.9% \$2,324,724 \$1,445,516 62.2% 1200 Greensville/Emporia 8 41 19.5% 3,876 0.21% 48 8 16.7% \$731,948 \$270,825 37.0% 1300 Fairfax/Falls Church 333 1,059 31.4% 289,683 0.11% 3,575 408 11.4% \$333,583,503 \$16,552,945 49.3% Totals 4,275 17,128 25.0% 2,074,890 0.21% 30,172 5,453 18.1% \$307,333,837 \$138,091,117 44.9% * 2005 Population Estimates - July 1, 2005 by Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service, University of Virginia 5,453 18.1% \$307,333,837 \$138,091,117 44.9%	810	Virginia Beach	181	666	27.2%	127,579	0.14%	1,095	262	23.9%	\$8,939,242	\$4,636,021	51.9%
840 Winchester 32 103 31.1% 6,554 0.49% 121 35 28.9% \$2,324,724 \$1,445,516 62.2% 1200 Greensville/Emporid 8 41 19.5% 3,876 0.21% 48 8 16.7% \$731,948 \$270,825 37.0% 1300 Fairfax/Falls Churct 333 1,059 31.4% 289,683 0.11% 3,575 408 11.4% \$333,583,503 \$16,552,945 49.3% Totals 4,275 17,128 25.0% 2,074,890 0.21% 30,172 5,453 18.1% \$307,333,837 \$138,091,117 44.9% * 2005 Population Estimates - July 1, 2005 by Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service, University of Virginia <td< td=""><td>820</td><td>Waynesboro</td><td>16</td><td>126</td><td>12.7%</td><td>5,413</td><td>0.30%</td><td>158</td><td>17</td><td>10.8%</td><td>\$1,111,272</td><td>\$321,752</td><td>29.0%</td></td<>	820	Waynesboro	16	126	12.7%	5,413	0.30%	158	17	10.8%	\$1,111,272	\$321,752	29.0%
1200 Greensville/Emporia 8 41 19.5% 3,876 0.21% 48 8 16.7% \$731,948 \$270,825 37.0% 1300 Fairfax/Falls Church 333 1,059 31.4% 289,683 0.11% 3,575 408 11.4% \$33,583,503 \$16,552,945 49.3% Totals 4,275 17,128 25.0% 2,074,890 0.21% 30,172 5,453 18.1% \$307,333,837 \$138,091,117 44.9% * 2005 Population Estimates - July 1, 2005 by Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service, University of Virginia Image: Content of Public Service, University of V	830	Williamsburg	4	8	50.0%	3,893	0.10%		6	33.3%	\$87,428	\$60,925	69.7%
1300 Fairfax/Falls Churct 333 1,059 31.4% 289,683 0.11% 3,575 408 11.4% \$33,583,503 \$16,552,945 49.3% Totals 4,275 17,128 25.0% 2,074,890 0.21% 30,172 5,453 18.1% \$307,333,837 \$138,091,117 44.9% * 2005 Population Estimates - July 1, 2005 by Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service, University of Virginia	840	Winchester	32	103	31.1%	6,554	0.49%	121	35	28.9%	\$2,324,724	\$1,445,516	62.2%
Totals 4,275 17,128 25.0% 2,074,890 0.21% 30,172 5,453 18.1% \$307,333,837 \$138,091,117 44.9% * 2005 Population Estimates - July 1, 2005 by Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service, University of Virginia	1200	Greensville/Emporia	8	41	19.5%	3,876	0.21%	48	8	16.7%	\$731,948	\$270,825	37.0%
2005 Population Estimates - July 1, 2005 by Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service, University of Virginia	1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	333	1,059	31.4%	289,683	0.11%	3,575	408	11.4%	\$33,583,503	\$16,552,945	49.3%
		Totals	4,275	17,128	25.0%	2,074,890	0.21%	30,172	5,453	18.1%	\$307,333,837	\$138,091,117	44.9%
** Gross expenditures do not include refunds or credits		* 2005 Population E	stimates - July 1,	2005 by Weldon C	cooper Center for I	Public Service,	University of Virgi	nia					
		** Gross expenditur	es do not include	refunds or credits									

Children & Expenditures in Residential Care Residential Services in Residential Treatment Facilities, Psychiatric Hospitals & Group Homes Fiscal Year 2007

		Unduplicated	Unduplicated	% of Total	Total Youth *	% of Local Youth	Total # of	# of CSA	% of all CSA	Total Gross **	Total CSA **	% of all CSA
		# of	Total #	CSA Children in	Population	Population in	CSA	Residential	Services	CSA Expenditures	Residential	Expenditures
		Children in	Children	Residential	in locality	CSA Residential	Services	Services	that were	(State & Local)	Expenditures	spent on
		CSA Residential	served by CSA		aged <20		Provided	Provided	Residential			Residential
1	Accomack	24	104	23.1%	49,626	0.05%	128	25	19.5%	1,878,443	823,089	43.8%
3	Albemarle	81	280	28.9%	117,004	0.07%	488	95	19.5%	6,204,478	2,676,634	43.1%
5	Alleghany	23	45	51.1%	21,416	0.11%	61	28	45.9%	773,436	560,689	72.5%
7	Amelia	3 19	13 45	23.1% 42.2%	15,793 40,480	0.02%	17 65	4 22	23.5% 33.8%	200,606	<u>57,882</u> 510,819	28.9% 50.6%
9 11	Amherst Appomattox	8	45 72	42.2%	17,693	0.05%	95	10	10.5%	1,008,927 849,903	124,330	14.6%
13	Arlington	99	306	32.4%	230,513	0.05%	333	107	32.1%	9,317,659	4,869,160	52.3%
15	Augusta	49	251	19.5%	87,673	0.06%	330	63	19.1%	2,857,831	1,428,147	50.0%
17	Bath	3	4	75.0%	5,853	0.05%	4	3	75.0%	73,944	39,073	52.8%
19	Bedford County	42	147	28.6%	81,059	0.05%	200	49	24.5%	2,462,784	1,303,873	52.9%
21	Bland	1	9	11.1%	8,580	0.01%	9	1	11.1%	70,031	16,179	23.1%
23	Botetourt	16	65	24.6%	40,165	0.04%	79	21	26.6%	1,242,861	503,857	40.5%
25	Brunswick	11	25	44.0%	22,622	0.05%	43	14	32.6%	670,177	486,368	72.6%
27	Buchanan	28	69	40.6%	30,642	0.09%	91	32	35.2%	697.396	384,531	55.1%
29	Buckingham	7	55	12.7%	19,931	0.04%	72	7	9.7%	862,076	199,565	23.1%
31	Campbell	39	237	16.5%	65,521	0.06%	280	45	16.1%	3.638.768	1.299.221	35.7%
33	Caroline	7	30	23.3%	32,553	0.02%	38	8	21.1%	870,267	309,599	35.6%
35	Carroll	14	92	15.2%	36,422	0.04%	119	19	16.0%	1,080,317	716,102	66.3%
36	Charles City	4	17	23.5%	8,643	0.05%	26	4	15.4%	396,418	118,323	29.8%
37	Charlotte	9	76	11.8%	16,182	0.06%	90	10	11.1%	835,433	348,104	41.7%
41	Chesterfield	99	306	32.4%	381,000	0.03%	837	140	16.7%	7,903,778	3,856,456	48.8%
43	Clarke	8	27	29.6%	17,608	0.05%	29	8	27.6%	1,028,699	670,366	65.2%
45	Craig	14	26	53.8%	6,366	0.22%	28	14	50.0%	518,900	296,021	57.0%
47	Culpeper	29	175	16.6%	56,725	0.05%	198	33	16.7%	2,544,481	966,667	38.0%
49	Cumberland	15	76	19.7%	12,189	0.12%	146	20	13.7%	917,099	322,721	35.2%
51	Dickenson	30	155	19.4%	20,038	0.15%	216	32	14.8%	1,523,131	596,570	39.2%
53	Dinwiddie	10	33	30.3%	33,213	0.03%	48	13	27.1%	480,049	123,882	25.8%
57	Essex	8	37	21.6%	13,231	0.06%	37	8	21.6%	900,388	312,473	34.7%
61	Fauquier	32	162	19.8%	83,220	0.04%	267	42	15.7%	2,586,643	978,121	37.8%
63	Floyd	10	22	45.5%	18,789	0.05%	29	12	41.4%	586,735	407,891	69.5%
65	Fluvanna	25	102	24.5%	31,912	0.08%	139	31	22.3%	1,925,819	969,277	50.3%
67	Franklin County	60	242	24.8%	63,976	0.09%	360	62	17.2%	3,854,492	1,409,540	36.6%
69	Frederick	29	104	27.9%	91,561	0.03%	120	30	25.0%	2,147,980	1,577,795	73.5%
71	Giles	12	51	23.5%	20,697	0.06%	71	14	19.7%	647,256	185,177	28.6%
73 75	Gloucester Goochland	8 9	26 53	30.8% 17.0%	45,625 24,383	0.02%	<u>34</u> 61	10 10	29.4% 16.4%	529,118 1,116,054	301,090 284,109	56.9% 25.5%
75	Goochiand Grayson	9 10	46	21.7%	24,383 19,983	0.04%	56	10	17.9%	441,422	284,109	<u> </u>
79	Greene	10	50	38.0%	22,203	0.09%	63	21	33.3%	1,460,289	1,041,137	71.3%
83	Halifax	31	111	27.9%	45,691	0.07%	162	42	25.9%	2,710,820	1,293,671	47.7%
85	Hanover	32	136	23.5%	124,269	0.03%	238	38	16.0%	4,121,772	1,919,529	46.6%
87	Henrico	158	356	44.4%	367,948	0.04%	751	254	33.8%	7,850,223	3,366,325	40.0%
89	Henry	8	113	7.1%	67,499	0.01%	127	10	7.9%	457,725	77,885	17.0%
91	Highland	0	0	#DIV/0!	2,853	0.00%	0	0	#DIV/0!		0	#DIV/0!
93	Isle of Wight	8	31	25.8%	41,944	0.02%	47	8	17.0%	482.290	185,156	38.4%
95	James City	9	32	28.1%	73,448	0.01%	59	9	15.3%	269,478	28,817	10.7%
97	King & Queen	4	27	14.8%	8,581	0.05%	38	4	10.5%	472,478	24,654	5.2%
99	King George	22	41	53.7%	27,679	0.08%	41	22	53.7%	1,370,218	1,125,277	82.1%
101	King William	5	24	20.8%	19,201	0.03%	32	5	15.6%	494,376	119,492	24.2%
103	Lancaster	7	27	25.9%	13,828	0.05%	27	7	25.9%	691,012	346,664	50.2%
105	Lee	27	92	29.3%	30,844	0.09%	114	30	26.3%	1,712,640	1,023,220	59.7%
107	Loudoun	55	237	23.2%	354,446	0.02%	406	78	19.2%	7,500,018	2,458,755	32.8%

		Unduplicated # of Children in CSA Residential	Unduplicated Total # Children served by CSA	% of Total CSA Children in Residential	Total Youth * Population in locality aged <20	% of Local Youth Population in CSA Residential	Total # of CSA Services Provided	# of CSA Residential Services Provided	% of all CSA Services that were Residential	Total Gross ** CSA Expenditures (State & Local)	Total CSA ** Residential Expenditures	% of all CSA Expenditures spent on Residential
109	Louisa	29	67	43.3%	38,026	0.08%	80	31	38.8%	1,273,471	746,852	
111	Lunenburg	13	37	35.1%	16,323	0.08%	49	15	30.6%	592,242	411,393	
113	Madison	18	43	41.9%	17,140	0.11%	58	21	36.2%	743,365	455,784	
115	Mathews	5	24	20.8%	11,067	0.05%	24	5	20.8%	696,519	577,079	
117	Mecklenburg	32	144	22.2%	40,407	0.08%	170	32	18.8%	2,054,876	1,182,622	
119	Middlesex	1	26	3.8%	12,276	0.01%	26	1	3.8%	440,381	21,264	
121	Montgomery	29	80	36.3%	112,071	0.03%	93	30	32.3%	1,570,998	845,801	53.8%
125	Nelson	12	27	44.4%	18,631	0.06%	33	13	39.4%	788,761	494,007	
127	New Kent	14	40	35.0%	20,671	0.07%	63	14	22.2%	1,400,252	525,779	
131	Northampton	4	54	7.4%	16,462	0.02%	55	4	7.3%	1,020,561	228,818	
133	Northumberland	4	23	17.4%	15,715	0.03%	40	6	15.0%	305,238	71,119	
135	Nottoway	8	20	40.0%	19,711	0.04%	26	10 7	38.5%	437,941	350,595	
137 139	Orange	5 35	77 100	6.5% 35.0%	39,467 30,195	0.01%	94 117	35	7.4% 29.9%	833,462	207,665 1,577,172	
-	Page	35 4								2,291,242	, ,	
141 143	Patrick Pittsylvania	4 26	47 213	8.5% 12.2%	23,790 77,984	0.02%	54 390	6 52	11.1% 13.3%	201,541 4,905,481	118,942 653,293	
143	Pittsylvania Powhatan	10	31	32.3%	33,532	0.03%	390	52	31.4%	4,905,481	460,730	
145	Pownatan Prince Edward	16	76	21.1%	26,890	0.03%	35 114	18	15.8%	1,121,060	349,496	
147	Prince George	2	41	4.9%	46,136	0.00%	45	3	6.7%	522,857	26,896	
149	Prince William	132	41	31.0%	489,724	0.03%	791	146	18.5%	6,879,986	3,886,192	
155	Pulaski	52	143	36.4%	42,231	0.12%	168	55	32.7%	2,792,861	1,473,575	
157	Rappahannock	4	28	14.3%	8,703	0.05%	37	4	10.8%	468,659	318,164	
159	Richmond County	9	15	60.0%	11,634	0.08%	16	10	62.5%	458,165	269,541	
161	Roanoke County	77	262	29.4%	113,768	0.07%	320	88	27.5%	6.726.654	3,189,215	
163	Rockbridge	33	72	45.8%	26,591	0.12%	98	39	39.8%	1,766,544	1,217,317	
165	Rockingham	58	189	30.7%	92,813	0.06%	352	76	21.6%	4,723,378	2,716,472	
167	Russell	19	81	23.5%	35,439	0.05%	129	26	20.2%	743,148	449,293	
169	Scott	22	104	21.2%	29,313	0.08%	155	25	16.1%	621,081	236,778	
171	Shenandoah	37	127	29.1%	49,834	0.07%	219	50	22.8%	2,583,010	1,105,069	
173	Smyth	29	145	20.0%	39,813	0.07%	178	33	18.5%	1,064,014	526,023	49.4%
175	Southampton	1	15	6.7%	22,538	0.00%	16	1	6.3%	52,182	16,218	31.1%
177	Spotsylvania	70	209	33.5%	156,722	0.04%	286	78	27.3%	6,231,599	3,826,867	61.4%
179	Stafford	55	198	27.8%	160,614	0.03%	299	59	19.7%	3,915,785	2,064,780	52.7%
181	Surry	0	8	0.0%	8,555	0.00%	8	0	0.0%	208,401	0	0.0%
183	Sussex	2	42	4.8%	14,646	0.01%	62	2	3.2%	498,065	6,652	
185	Tazewell	42	165	25.5%	54,199	0.08%	202	45	22.3%	2,441,284	1,026,802	
187	Warren	41	111	36.9%	44,891	0.09%	142	45	31.7%	2,744,151	1,429,248	
191	Washington	12	139	8.6%	64,407	0.02%	210	13	6.2%	823,599	262,965	
193	Westmoreland	5	47	10.6%	20,776	0.02%	49	5	10.2%	1,036,947	183,224	
195	Wise	3	189	1.6%	51,713	0.01%	302	3	1.0%	842,981	46,070	
197	Wythe	32	100	32.0%	34,438	0.09%	132	38	28.8%	1,664,799	861,724	
199	York	8	36	22.2%	82,318	0.01%	58	13	22.4%	797,470	247,346	
510	Alexandria	65	388	16.8%	158,364	0.04%	1,080	90	8.3%	10,174,833	2,835,811	
515	Bedford City	10	36	27.8%	7,586	0.13%	44	11	25.0%	555,988	212,269	
520	Bristol	23	101	22.8%	21,445	0.11%	180	24	13.3%	997,521	469,183	
530	Buena Vista	14 116	26 313	53.8%	8,025	0.17%	31	16	51.6%	603,963 8.286.169	412,984	
540 550	Charlottesville	43	313 179	37.1% 24.0%	48,464 284,122	0.24%	531 432	153 62	28.8% 14.4%	-,,	4,374,385 1,469,454	
570	Chesapeake Colonial Heights	43	36	44.4%	284,122	0.02%	432	22	20.0%	3,121,925 658,030	1,469,454	
570	Colonial Heights	15	24	62.5%	6,984	0.07%	34	22	61.8%	547,154	435,655	
590	Danville	40	197	20.3%	57,455	0.21%	318	63	19.8%	3,521,614	1,102,154	
620	Franklin City	8	18	44.4%	10,745	0.07%	310	10	27.8%	283,219	1,102,154	
620	Fredericksburg	25	66	37.9%	28,014	0.09%	88	26	29.5%	1,326,750	781,565	
640	Galax	23	31	6.5%	8,694	0.02%	37	3	8.1%	109,889	50,149	
650	Hampton	36	545	6.6%	185,629	0.02%	1,367	48	3.5%	6,827,783	823,929	
660	Harrisonburg	55	163	33.7%	58,170	0.09%	297	74	24.9%	4,172,829	2,437,061	
670	Hopewell	19	99	19.2%	29,441	0.06%	106	21	19.8%	2,360,762	1,031,564	
678	Lexington	2	3	66.7%	9,314	0.02%	4	2	50.0%	207,135	203,020	
0.0			, v	00.170	0,011	0.0270	-	4	00.070	207,100	200,020	00.070

		Unduplicated # of	Unduplicated Total #	% of Total CSA Children in	Total Youth * Population	% of Local Youth Population in	Total # of CSA	# of CSA Residential	% of all CSA Services	Total Gross ** CSA Expenditures	Total CSA ** Residential	% of all CSA Expenditures
		Children in	Children	Residential	in locality	CSA Residential	Services	Services	that were	(State & Local)	Expenditures	spent on
		CSA Residential	served by CSA	Residential	aged <20	OOA Residential	Provided	Provided	Residential	(otate & Local)	Experiances	Residential
680	Lynchburg	78	358	21.8%	87,015	0.09%	809	97	12.0%	4,568,728	912,169	
683	Manassas City	16	61	26.2%	48,526	0.03%	73	16	21.9%	1,885,328	1,007,246	
685	Manassas Park	15	41	36.6%	18,366	0.08%	53	18	34.0%	1,069,329	525,181	
690	Martinsville	2	45	4.4%	17,954	0.01%	47	2	4.3%	111.522	45.704	
700	Newport News	139	586	23.7%	237,912	0.06%	787	164	20.8%	12,687,765	4.561.086	35.9%
710	Norfolk	266	1882	14.1%	302,278	0.09%	2,867	372	13.0%	17,025,143	5,839,009	
720	Norton	3	22	13.6%	4,770	0.06%	22	3	13.6%	29,710	8,403	28.3%
730	Petersburg	55	151	36.4%	39,338	0.14%	171	61	35.7%	4,187,733	1,891,031	
735	Poquoson	1	12	8.3%	15,259	0.01%	12	1	8.3%	369,384	179,046	48.5%
740	Portsmouth	32	281	11.4%	127,798	0.03%	502	43	8.6%	6,253,097	1,039,032	16.6%
750	Radford	5	22	22.7%	19,684	0.03%	29	5	17.2%	339,045	100,765	29.7%
760	Richmond City	197	1027	19.2%	243,565	0.08%	1,037	198	19.1%	24,282,491	6,894,132	28.4%
770	Roanoke City	103	584	17.6%	115,133	0.09%	1,721	139	8.1%	11,177,880	4,225,695	37.8%
775	Salem	23	51	45.1%	30,229	0.08%	66	27	40.9%	1,182,042	779,276	65.9%
790	Staunton	24	140	17.1%	27,537	0.09%	177	29	16.4%	1,728,307	686,955	39.7%
800	Suffolk	12	73	16.4%	103,439	0.01%	137	13	9.5%	1,297,823	465,544	35.9%
810	Virginia Beach	194	661	29.3%	560,527	0.03%	1,113	254	22.8%	11,270,057	5,587,468	49.6%
820	Waynesboro	18	116	15.5%	25,422	0.07%	136	18	13.2%	1,391,729	349,114	
830	Williamsburg	3	11	27.3%	17,203	0.02%	14	3	21.4%	174,963	103,185	59.0%
840	Winchester	35	96	36.5%	32,625	0.11%	112	38	33.9%	2,913,591	2,080,109	71.4%
1200	Greensville/Emporia	13	30	43.3%	21,820	0.06%	43	14	32.6%	529,390	257,960	
1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	288	1100	26.2%	41,701	0.69%	3,471	354	10.2%	36,978,016	17,371,278	47.0%
Totals		4,301	18458	23.3%	8,443,808	0.05%	31,238	5,267	16.9%	352,770,924	149,732,023	42.4%

* 2006 Population Estimates - July 1, 2006 by Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service, Universtiy of Virginia ** Gross expenditures do not include refunds or credits

Children & Expenditures in Residential Care Residential Services in Residential Treatment Facilities, Psychiatric Hospitals & Group Homes Fiscal Year 2008

		Unduplicated	Unduplicated	% of Total	Total Youth *	% of Local Youth		# of CSA	% of all CSA	Total Gross **	Total CSA **	% of all CSA
		# of	Total #	CSA Children in	Population	Population in	CSA	Residential	Services	CSA Expenditures	Residential	Expenditures
		Children in	Children	Residential	in locality	CSA Residential	Services	Services	that were	(State & Local)	Expenditures	spent on
		CSA Residential			aged <20		Provided	Provided	Residential			Residential
1	Accomack	18	75	24.0%	10,033	0.18%	92	19	20.7%	1,513,557	622,520	41.1%
3	Albemarle	76	266	28.6%	25,418	0.30%	459	104	22.7%	6,896,190	3,096,883	44.9%
5	Alleghany	16	38	42.1%	4,162	0.38%	50	21	42.0%	589,628		51.9%
7	Amelia	3	11	27.3%	3,361	0.09%	14	4	28.6%	392,000	90,655	23.1%
9	Amherst	20	63	31.7%	8,703	0.23%	68	21	30.9%	1,156,724	580,311	50.2%
11	Appomattox	8	55	14.5%	3,705	0.22%	76	9	11.8%	910,368	218,336	24.0%
13	Arlington	94	292	32.2%	37,971	0.25%	328	101	30.8%	9,858,582	5,553,550	56.3%
15	Augusta	49	256	19.1%	16,306	0.30%	428	61	14.3%	3,934,348		54.0%
17	Bath	2	10	20.0%	1,056	0.19%	10	2	20.0%	83,290	15,123	18.2%
19	Bedford County	39	161	24.2%	15,857	0.25%	219	43	19.6%	2,411,079		43.0%
21	Bland	0	18	0.0%	1,472	0.00%	21	0	0.0%	81,948		0.0%
23	Botetourt	14	68	20.6%	8,467	0.17%	88	17	19.3%	1,545,841	693,872	44.9%
25	Brunswick	13	29	44.8%	3,940	0.33%	44	13	29.5%	866,190	538,020	62.1%
27	Buchanan	25	85	29.4%	5,243	0.48%	106	29	27.4%	787,767	505,565	64.2%
29	Buckingham	10	66	15.2%	3,558	0.28%	90	11	12.2%	878,733	,	19.3%
31	Campbell	32	230	13.9%	13,529	0.24%	255	34	13.3%	4,029,170		38.6%
33	Caroline	10	49	20.4%	6,945	0.14%	61	13	21.3%	1,176,780	253,972	21.6%
35	Carroll	17	79	21.5%	6,828	0.25%	104	21	20.2%	828,114	,	41.6%
36	Charles City	6	23	26.1%	1,601	0.37%	23	6	26.1%	542,711	233,325	43.0%
37	Charlotte	13	76	17.1%	3,402	0.38%	81	13	16.0%	950,731	510,609	53.7%
41	Chesterfield	115	297	38.7%	84,277	0.14%	848	164	19.3%	7,977,354	3,582,539	44.9%
43	Clarke	5	29	17.2%	3,497	0.14%	31	5	16.1%	607,680	318,895	52.5%
45	Craig	14	38	36.8%	1,316	1.06%	41	14	34.1%	553,541	366,587	66.2%
47	Culpeper	35	189	18.5%	12,917	0.27%	201	36	17.9%	3,778,942	1,506,301	39.9%
49	Cumberland	16	70	22.9%	2,593	0.62%	121	21	17.4%	829,515	303,416	36.6%
51	Dickenson	27	158	17.1%	3,644	0.74%	222	28	12.6%	1,700,567	660,710	38.9%
53	Dinwiddie	12	28	42.9%	6,869	0.17%	46	14	30.4%	582,078	258,762	44.5%
57	Essex	7	34	20.6%	2,775	0.25%	54	11	20.4%	1,221,196	257,989	21.1%
61	Fauquier	36	171	21.1%	17,013	0.21%	289	52	18.0%	3,216,168	1,120,298	34.8%
63	Floyd	9	19	47.4%	3,784	0.24%	29	11	37.9%	539,388	332,095	61.6%
65	Fluvanna	18	87	20.7%	6,339	0.28%	128	19	14.8%	2,084,912	821,887	39.4%
67	Franklin County	54	260	20.8%	12,890	0.42%	583	86	14.8%	3,777,279	1,122,643	29.7%
69	Frederick	14	85	16.5%	18,451	0.08%	89	14	15.7%	1,751,591	1,098,290	62.7%
71	Giles	12	50	24.0%	4,212	0.28%	73	14	19.2%	978,517	287,698	29.4%
73	Gloucester	5	29	17.2%	9,845	0.05%	41	6	14.6%	671,293	225,316	33.6%
75	Goochland	7	56	12.5%	4,736	0.15%	59	7	11.9%	939,903	190,040	20.2%
77	Grayson	9	54	16.7%	3,497	0.26%	59	10	16.9%	474,782	154,563	32.6%
79	Greene	22	53	41.5%	5,198	0.42%	70	26	37.1%	1,494,332	1,066,378	71.4%
83	Halifax	38	127	29.9%	9,330	0.41%	188	49	26.1%	3,160,143	1,689,080	53.4%
85	Hanover	32	133	24.1%	26,840	0.12%	233	41	17.6%	4,515,885	1,893,772	41.9%
87	Henrico	88	383	23.0%	75,847	0.12%	457	97	21.2%	9,328,536	3,210,687	34.4%
89	Henry	7	105	6.7%	13,177	0.05%	119	9	7.6%	623,177	248,872	39.9%
91	Highland	0	1	0.0%	460	0.00%	1	0	0.0%	874	0	0.0%
93	Isle of Wight	7	24	29.2%	9,025	0.08%	46	9	19.6%	625,692	223,054	35.6%
95	James City	6	30	20.0%	14,881	0.04%	56	7	12.5%	389,530	81,050	20.8%
97	King & Queen	4	28	14.3%	1,611	0.25%	40	5	12.5%	716,602	153,108	21.4%
99	King George	23	41	56.1%	6,651	0.35%	41	23	56.1%	1,471,297	1,119,373	76.1%
101	King William	5	24	20.8%	4,413	0.11%	32	5	15.6%	579,401	189,225	32.7%
103	Lancaster	11	31	35.5%	2,308	0.48%	38	12	31.6%	841,776	371,932	44.2%
105	Lee	29	86	33.7%	5,426	0.53%	125	34	27.2%	1,916,566		47.5%

		Unduplicated # of Children in CSA Residential	Unduplicated Total # Children served by CSA	% of Total CSA Children in Residential	Total Youth * Population in locality aged <20	% of Local Youth Population in CSA Residential	CSA Services Provided	# of CSA Residential Services Provided	% of all CSA Services that were Residential	Total Gross ** CSA Expenditures (State & Local)	Total CSA ** Residential Expenditures	% of all CSA Expenditures spent on Residential
107	Loudoun	48	266	18.0%	85,550	0.06%	456	60	13.2%	8,051,828	2,188,579	27.2%
109	Louisa	30	90	33.3%	8,024	0.37%	110	34	30.9%	2,017,343	826,857	41.0%
111	Lunenburg	15	53	28.3%	2,934	0.51%	66	15	22.7%	683,417	495,255	72.5%
113	Madison	16	46	34.8%	3,447	0.46%	60	18	30.0%	928,227	516,256	55.6%
115	Mathews	2	19	10.5%	1,962	0.10%	21	2	9.5%	194,188		21.4%
117	Mecklenburg	25	127	19.7%	7,573	0.33%	148	26	17.6%	2,400,934	, ,	54.6%
119	Middlesex	0	33	0.0%	2,029	0.00%	34	0	0.0%	579,628		0.0%
121	Montgomery	27	101	26.7%	22,720	0.12%	121	30	24.8%	1,799,385	999,033	55.5%
125	Nelson	9	21	42.9%	3,590	0.25%	29	13	44.8%	994,367	672,234	67.6%
127	New Kent	11 7	37	29.7%	4,453	0.25%	57	13 7	22.8%	1,448,571	463,374	32.0%
131	Northampton		54	13.0%	3,245	0.22%	57		12.3%	1,199,359		20.1%
133	Northumberland	1	26	3.8%	2,559	0.04%	34	2	5.9%	262,790		14.9%
135	Nottoway	11	29	37.9%	3,778	0.29%	30	11	36.7%	528,618		72.9%
137	Orange	9	84 112	10.7%	8,332	0.11%	111	10	9.0%	1,175,417		22.2% 72.4%
139 141	Page Patrick	36 4	112 39	32.1% 10.3%	6,131 4,253	0.59%	132 41	36 4	27.3% 9.8%	2,336,179 180,143		66.9%
141	Pittsylvania	33	230	14.3%	4,255	0.09%	383	57	9.8% 14.9%	5,743,274	931,803	16.2%
145	Powhatan	12	44	27.3%	6,811	0.18%	59	17	28.8%	1,538,547	595,376	38.7%
143	Prince Edward	12	82	19.5%	6,162	0.26%	114	16	14.0%	1,305,410		29.1%
147	Prince George	4	53	7.5%	9,683	0.04%	59	6	10.2%	804,122	100,534	12.5%
153	Prince William	140	440	31.8%	116,420	0.12%	878	156	17.8%	7,501,869	4,444,700	59.2%
155	Pulaski	46	148	31.1%	7,953	0.58%	191	62	32.5%	3,455,500	, ,	40.8%
157	Rappahannock	8	32	25.0%	1,839	0.43%	36	9	25.0%	686,839		81.3%
159	Richmond County	8	11	72.7%	1,818	0.44%	16	12	75.0%	522,055	325,851	62.4%
161	Roanoke County	47	267	17.6%	22,177	0.21%	381	57	15.0%	6,209,680	2,075,079	33.4%
163	Rockbridge	41	85	48.2%	5,071	0.81%	120	52	43.3%	2,494,034	1,727,421	69.3%
165	Rockingham	54	202	26.7%	19,007	0.28%	356	63	17.7%	4,765,767	2,249,736	47.2%
167	Russell	21	117	17.9%	6,478	0.32%	182	26	14.3%	966,610	356,318	36.9%
169	Scott	18	93	19.4%	5,486	0.33%	140	19	13.6%	892,650	339,217	38.0%
171	Shenandoah	23	134	17.2%	10,105	0.23%	182	25	13.7%	2,365,606	,	33.0%
173	Smyth	30	161	18.6%	7,752	0.39%	201	33	16.4%	1,125,586		44.6%
175	Southampton	4	10	40.0%	4,385	0.09%	10	4	40.0%	138,416	· · · · ·	29.8%
177	Spotsylvania	84	243	34.6%	34,870	0.24%	335	100	29.9%	6,628,383	3,840,839	57.9%
179	Stafford	43	201	21.4%	37,139	0.12%	287	45	15.7%	4,663,661	1,850,827	39.7%
181	Surry	0	10	0.0%	1,788	0.00%	10	0	0.0%	189,294	0	0.0%
183	Sussex	1	37	2.7%	2,555	0.04%	47	1	2.1%	561,103	42,701	7.6%
185	Tazewell	43	200	21.5%	9,912	0.43%	253	48	19.0%	2,698,289	1,116,963	41.4%
187	Warren	45	120	37.5%	10,003	0.45%	168	51	30.4%	2,567,787	1,228,548	47.8%
191	Washington	13	142	9.2%	12,016	0.11%	227	13	5.7%	1,439,840	486,333	33.8%
193	Westmoreland	7	47	14.9%	4,070	0.17%	60	8	13.3%	1,115,675	375,468	33.7%
195	Wise	15	197	7.6%	9,961	0.15%	304	15	4.9%	1,047,814	189,018	18.0%
197	Wythe	29	118	24.6%	6,611	0.44%	141	33	23.4%	2,056,886	911,305	44.3%
199	York	9	45	20.0%	19,192	0.05%	60	12	20.0%	1,196,648		28.5%
510	Alexandria	70	415	16.9%	27,406	0.26%	1,061	93	8.8%	11,306,419		27.8%
515	Bedford City	7	43	16.3%	1,500	0.47%	68	8	11.8%	732,811	236,131	32.2%
520	Bristol	26	112	23.2%	4,037	0.64%	207	32	15.5%	1,460,068	,	41.4%
530	Buena Vista	15	44	34.1%	1,668	0.90%	52	16	30.8%	641,144	392,939	61.3%
	Charlottesville	128	305	42.0%	9,038	1.42%	522	175	33.5%	9,485,437		
	Chesapeake	47	176	26.7%	61,761	0.08%	476	69	14.5%	3,268,153		28.7%
	Colonial Heights	5	26	19.2%	4,447	0.11%	61	5	8.2%	423,641		24.0%
	Covington	14	34	41.2%	1,298	1.08%	50	19	38.0%	722,326		41.2%
590	Danville	45	211	21.3%	11,675	0.39%	331	69	20.8%	4,103,928		30.4%
620	Franklin City	5	19	26.3%	2,498	0.20%	41	5	12.2%	387,859		10.9%
630	Fredericksburg	16	76	21.1%	6,529	0.25%	100	18	18.0%	1,325,933		46.2%
640	Galax	2	35	5.7%	1,799	0.11%	38	2	5.3%	137,088		44.7%
650	Hampton	15	468	3.2%	39,362	0.04%	1,190	27	2.3%	7,466,238	210,465	2.8%

		Unduplicated	Unduplicated	% of Total	Total Youth *	% of Local Youth	Total # of	# of CSA	% of all CSA	Total Gross **	Total CSA **	% of all CSA
		# of	Total #	CSA Children in	Population	Population in	CSA	Residential	Services	CSA Expenditures	Residential	Expenditures
		Children in	Children	Residential	in locality	CSA Residential	Services	Services	that were	(State & Local)	Expenditures	spent on
		CSA Residential	served by CSA		aged <20		Provided	Provided	Residential			Residential
660	Harrisonburg	55	200	27.5%	13,785	0.40%	348	67	19.3%	5,450,991	3,054,958	
670	Hopewell	15	107	14.0%	6,927	0.22%	117	17	14.5%	2,502,865	762,588	30.5%
678	Lexington	2	6	33.3%	2,093	0.10%	7	3	42.9%	238,621	189,651	79.5%
680	Lynchburg	141	392	36.0%	19,208	0.73%	1005	212	21.1%	5,709,770	2,217,137	38.8%
683	Manassas City	13	49	26.5%	11,934	0.11%	55	14	25.5%	1,701,869	770,355	45.3%
685	Manassas Park	9	50	18.0%	4,469	0.20%	70	10	14.3%	1,050,722	129,945	
690	Martinsville	2	46	4.3%	3,708	0.05%	49	2	4.1%	89,401	23,997	26.8%
700	Newport News	91	567	16.0%	57,472	0.16%	759	98	12.9%	12,731,919	, ,	
710	Norfolk	214	1,154	18.5%	71,411	0.30%	1,760	317	18.0%	16,367,842	, ,	
720	Norton	3	36	8.3%	929	0.32%	37	3	8.1%	116,774		
730	Petersburg	64	164	39.0%	9,182	0.70%	185	70	37.8%	3,897,406	1,979,488	
735	Poquoson	2	13	15.4%	3,434	0.06%	13	2	15.4%	409,465		
740	Portsmouth	32	266	12.0%	28,481	0.11%	509	37	7.3%	6,104,061	1,093,267	
750	Radford	5	28	17.9%	4,443	0.11%	33	5	15.2%	499,449		
760	Richmond City	369	1,031	35.8%	53,060	0.70%	3,146	591	18.8%	26,760,691	12,082,664	
770	Roanoke City	103	620	16.6%	23,252	0.44%	1,438	146	10.2%	14,332,438		
775	Salem	19	51	37.3%	5,639	0.34%	84	24	28.6%	1,202,322	673,229	
790	Staunton	26	147	17.7%	4,950	0.53%	240	37	15.4%	2,109,223	735,061	
800	Suffolk	20	87	23.0%	23,277	0.09%	162	22	13.6%	1,558,963	,	
810	Virginia Beach	192	606	31.7%	124,210	0.15%	1,053	279	26.5%	12,074,416	, ,	
820	Waynesboro	24	126	19.0%	5,523	0.43%	168	26	15.5%	1,570,775	/ -	
830	Williamsburg	4	8	50.0%	3,848	0.10%	10	4	40.0%	246,758	- /-	
840	Winchester	34	95	35.8%	6,604	0.51%	112	37	33.0%	2,749,094	, ,	
1200	Greensville/Emporia	12	30	40.0%	3,885	0.31%	44	12	27.3%	687,098		
1300	Fairfax/Falls Church	290	1,076	27.0%	286,128	0.10%	3,486	387	11.1%	40,797,398	18,463,626	45.3%
Totals		4,313	18,195	23.7%	2,071,662	0.21%	32,771	5,552	16.9%	388,665,383	155,185,888	39.9%

* 2007 Population Estimates - July 1, 2007 by Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service, University of Virginia ** Gross expenditures do not include refunds or credits