Residential Services for Children in the Comprehensive Services Act

Utilization, Length of Stay and Expenditures Statewide and by Locality

Program Year 2010

Report by:
Virginia Office of Comprehensive Services for At-Risk Youth & Families
December 15, 2010

Residential Care in CSA For Program Year Ending June 30, 2010

December 15, 2010

Mandate and Scope of Report

The 2010 Appropriations Act (*Item 274, B 2.d*) requires the Office of Comprehensive Services for At Risk Youth and Families (*OCS*) to report to the Governor and Chairs of the House Appropriations and Senate Finance Committees on utilization rates and average lengths of stays in residential care statewide and by locality. Each locality is required to submit to OCS "information on utilization of residential facilities for treatment of children and length of stay in such facilities."

Historically, this report defined residential care as group homes, residential treatment facilities, and psychiatric hospitals. Beginning in 2009, the Commonwealth enacted the Annie E. Casey Strategic consulting Group policy advice to strengthen CSA financial incentives to reduce reliance on residential care (see section FY09 Major Initiative to Manage the Use of Residential Care; page 3). In short, the policy modification reduced the local match on community care and increased the local match on the use of congregate (residential) care. Refer to the "Status on the Implementation of the Comprehensive Services Act Match Rate Incentive for Residential Care", November 2010, for additional information regarding current results from this major policy initiative.

To more closely monitor expenditure trends, the State Executive Council, at the June 12, 2008 meeting, developed final CSA match rate and service categories along with service definition. Beginning in 2009, the service categories comprising residential care include group homes, residential treatment facilities along with temporary care facilities, and congregate care education cost for both non-Medicaid and Medicaid funded cases. Previously, the temporary care facility and residential education categories were included in the group home, residential or psychiatric hospital for cost and census information. Beginning in PY09, psychiatric hospital cases were no longer included as part of the residential category.

The attached schedule (Schedule 1) provides utilization (# of Children in Residential Care), length of stay (Average # Residential Days/Child), and expenditure data on residential placements statewide and by locality for program years 2005 though 2010. Program Year (*PY*) is defined as all children's services that were provided using CSA funds from July 1 through June 30 of each year as reported by local governments through the CSA Data Set.

CSA Statutory Framework

The purpose of the Comprehensive Services Act (CSA) is to create a collaborative system of services and funding for troubled youth and their families that is child-centered, family-

focused and community-based. A fundamental purpose of CSA is to preserve and strengthen families through providing appropriate services for children and their families in the least restrictive setting (\S 2.2-5200).

Children who are eligible for CSA services and funds have emotional and/or behavior problems that are significantly disabling in several community settings (e.g., home, school or with peers). They require services that are beyond the normal services or routine collaboration across agencies. They require coordinated interventions by at least two agencies (§2.2-5212). State and local governments are mandated by federal and state law to provide services to children who receive foster care services and specific special education services (§2.2-5211.C).

The CSA statute places the primary responsibility, authority and accountability for this federally and state mandated program to community teams. In each community, teams of professionals and family members collaboratively work to improve outcomes for children and families and to control the rate of growth in CSA expenditures. They decide how to provide services across sectors, enabling children to remain in their homes, schools and communities whenever appropriate while protecting the welfare of children and the safety of the public. They pool resources across sectors, including family, private insurance, Medicaid, federal Title IV-E, CSA state pool of funds, private and community resources.

Family Assessment and Planning Teams (FAPTs) assess the strengths and needs of children and their families, develop individual family services plans (IFSPs), refer children and families to services, and designate case managers to monitor children's progress. The teams are responsible for engaging families in participating in all aspects of assessment, planning and implementation of services (§2.2-5208). The teams are comprised of a parent and representatives from the local child serving agencies (community services boards, courts service units, social services, and public schools). They may include a local health department and private provider (§2.2-5207). Communities include other representatives they deem appropriate for their community or for individual children and families served.

The FAPTs work in accordance with policies established by the CSA Community Policy and Management Teams (*CPMTs*). CPMTs have the statutory authority and accountability for managing collaborative efforts and implementing interagency policies that govern CSA in the community. They coordinate community wide planning, develop needed services, maximize and pool resources across sectors, and manage local CSA funds (§2.2-5206). The CPMTs are comprised of a parent, local government official, agency heads from the local child serving agencies (*community services boards, courts service units, health, social services, and public schools*) and a private provider representative (§2.2-5205). Communities include other representatives they deem appropriate.

CSA Coordinators are hired by many, but not all communities to manage local CSA implementation. Each community has a fiscal agent to manage CSA funds.

While local teams make service and funding decisions, the Commonwealth provides the majority of CSA funds to support local decisions.

At the state level, the State Executive Council (SEC) serves as the supervisory council that provides leadership for CSA (§2.2-2648). It oversees the development and implementation of state interagency program and fiscal policies. The SEC is chaired by the Secretary of Health and Human Resources or a designated deputy. It is comprised of two General Assembly members, state government agency heads (from the five child serving agencies, the Virginia Department of Medical Assistance Services, and the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court), two local government officials, the chair of the State and Local Advisory Team (SLAT), two parents representatives, a public provider and two private providers. The Office of Comprehensive Services for At Risk Youth and Families (OCS) serves as the administrative entity of the SEC and ensures that its decisions are implemented. SLAT advises the SEC and OCS by managing cooperative efforts at the state level and providing support to community efforts.

FY09 Major Initiative to manage the use of residential care

The Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee (JLARC) identified that community based service gaps are the primary obstacle to serve children in the most appropriate, least restrictive setting. In 2007/08, the Casey Strategic Consulting Group provided policy advice to strengthen CSA financial incentives to reduce reliance on residential care, serve children in their homes and invest funds for the development of community based services. Though modified during the 2008 General Assembly session, in short, the policy advice consisted of phasing in a system of financial incentives over the biennium that is consistent with the statutory purposes of the CSA:

- preserve and strengthen families;
- design and provide services that are responsive to the unique and diverse strengths and needs of troubled youth and families and;
- provide appropriate services in the least restrictive environment, while protecting the welfare of children and maintaining the safety of the public.

The following are excerpts from the CSA 2008 Appropriations Act, Chapter 879, mandating the establishment of an incentive match rate system for CSA pool fund expenditures:

"Notwithstanding the provisions of C 2 of this Item, beginning July 1, 2008, the Secretary of Health and Human Resources shall oversee the implementation of a system of financial incentives that is consistent with the statutory purposes of the Comprehensive Services Act. The financial incentive system shall use the methodology in place on July 1, 2007, for calculating the base rate for each locality. The Secretary shall establish a work group to implement the changes in state and local match rates for the Comprehensive Services Act (CSA) program. The work group shall include representatives from the Virginia Association of Counties, the Virginia Municipal League, the Virginia League of Social Services Executives, the Virginia Association of Community Service Boards, the Virginia Coalition of Private Providers, the Virginia Association of School Superintendents, the Department of Education, the Department of

Juvenile Justice, and the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court. The work group shall examine the impact of the match rate changes on local and state administration of the program, reporting requirements, service development and delivery, quality assurance, utilization management, and care coordination to ensure that children continue to receive appropriate and cost-effective services.

Community Based Services. Beginning July 1, 2008, the local match rate for community based services for each locality shall be reduced by 50 percent.

Localities shall review their caseloads for those individuals who can be served appropriately by community-based services and transition those cases to the community for services. Beginning January 1, 2009, the local match rate for residential services for each locality shall be increased by 15 percent above the fiscal year 2007 base rate after a locality has incurred a total of \$100,000 in residential care expenditures for the period of January 1, 2009, through June 30, 2009. Beginning July 1, 2009, the local match rate for residential services for each locality shall be 25 percent above the fiscal year 2007 base rate after a locality has incurred a total of \$200,000 in residential care expenditures"

Summary Statewide Information on Residential Care

Children served in residential care. There were 3,156 children placed in residential care at some point during PY10. Thus, 18.0% of all CSA children (17,568), or less than one out of every five CSA children, received residential services. This percentage declined almost 3% from PY09 when 21.0% of all children served in CSA (17,628 total children served) were placed in residential (3,697 unduplicated residential placements) at some point during the program year.

There were 541 fewer children placed in residential care in PY10 than in PY09, representing a 14.6% decline. This ratio is consistent to PY09 results, where 616 fewer children were placed in resident care than in PY08, representing less than a 14.3% decrease.

While the children in residential care varied in PY10, near teenage males were the typical recipients. Demographics describing these youth included:

- On average, they were 12 years and 9 month old.
- 61% were male; 39% were female.
- 56% were Caucasian; 37% African American; 1% Asian; 6% undetermined.

Almost two-thirds of the children were originally referred to CSA by local departments of social services (58%). One-quarter of the children were referred by the schools (25%) and the juvenile justice system referred 8%. Fewer referrals came from community service boards (7%) and families (less than1%). Two percent were referred by the Fairfax Interagency Team or another source.

Children are placed in different types of residential care:

- Residential Treatment Facilities: These programs included secure residential treatment facilities and campus style residential programs. An unduplicated count of 1,331 children was placed in these facilities in PY10 representing a 29.8% (565 placements) decline from PY09, when 1,896 children were served in a residential placement.
- Group Homes: An unduplicated count of 1,248 children was served in group homes in PY10 representing a 18.4% decrease from PY09. The unduplicated count in PY09 was 1,529 children.
- <u>Psychiatric Hospitals:</u> An unduplicated count of 47 children was placed in PY10 representing a 19.0% decline from PY09. There was an unduplicated count of 58 children placed in PY09.
- <u>Temporary Care Facilities:</u> An unduplicated count of 93 children was served in a temporary care facility, representing a 32.1% decline from PY09 when local government reported serving 137 children in this category.
- Congregate Educational Services: This service type is a combination of children receiving congregate care educational services as directed by their Individual Education Plan (IEP), children receiving education services while in a residential care or group home facility but placed for purposes other than an IEP, or the education services for a Medicaid child whose other residential services are paid by the Department of Medicaid Assistance services.

In FY10, 1,288 children received Medicaid (service) funded educational services compared to 1,300 in FY09 and another 670 children received non-Medicaid (services) funded congregate care educational services in FY10 compared to 873 in FY09.

Prior to FY09, education services for residential or group home children would have been reported as a component of the total cost (and census) of the residential or group home placement. In the case of a DMAS funded residential placement, the education cost could have either been part of residential cost or could have been reported as private day residential. As with Temporary Care facilities, PY09 was the initial year congregate care education costs were reported separately.

It is important to note that the same child could have been placed in a residential treatment facility, a group home, psychiatric hospital, or temporary facility during the year and were counted in each category. Most all children received some form of CSA funded education services, so that case count is duplicative with the other congregate care categories. However, the numbers of children within each type of residential care were unduplicated if they were placed more than once during the same year.

Length of Stay Varies by Placement

The length of stay in the different types of residential care varied. This calculation was derived by the total number of days children received these services during the year divided by the total number of children served.

- During PY10, children in residential treatment facilities were in care on average 267 days, an average of 8.78 months. This represents a decrease from the PY 09 length of stay where children in residential treatment facilities stayed on average 9.27 months (282 days).
- In PY10 there was an overall reduction in the use of residential care. Total use of all residential care declined from 3,697 unduplicated cases in F09 to 3,156 cases in PY10, representing 534 cases or an annual decrease of 14.6%.
- In 2009 a new child assessment instrument, the Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths Assessment (CANS) was implemented. Once fully integrated statewide, further analysis of children in residential facilities, including there needs and characteristics will be available.
- Below is further information on the CSA census in PY10

The PY10 unduplicated census reported by local governments is 17,568; the PY09 unduplicated census was 17,628. The chart below provides a three year comparison between PY08 through PY10 census by primary expenditure mandate category, isolating the categories that comprise the 60 child count FY2010 census decline:

CSA Unduplicated Census Comparison

PY2008	PY2009	PY2010	PY 09 -10 Change
9,512	8,506	7,802	-704
3,186	3,221	3,801	580
364	541	556	15
2,663	2,730	2,626	-104
1,353	1,355	1,408	53
1,117	1,275	1,375	100
18,195	17,628	17,568	-60
	9,512 3,186 364 2,663 1,353 1,117	9,512 8,506 3,186 3,221 364 541 2,663 2,730 1,353 1,355 1,117 1,275	9,512 8,506 7,802 3,186 3,221 3,801 364 541 556 2,663 2,730 2,626 1,353 1,355 1,408 1,117 1,275 1,375

Again in PY10, the census decline was mainly realized from a decline in foster care services. These categories include (1) Abuse and Neglect – local DSS custody; (2) Child in Need of Services – Custody; (3) Court Ordered for Truancy; and (4) Court Ordered for Delinquent Behaviors. The CSA decline in foster care services coincides with the Department of Social Services OASIS census reports. Their reports indicate an overall foster care census decline from 6,848 children in service in July 2009 to 6,314 in July 2010. (source: The DSS Foster Care Child Demographic Report).

The increase in foster care prevention services indicates an emphasis on early intervention services to minimize the risk of a more restrictive and costly foster care placement (local custody etc)

Expenditures. State and local governments spent \$94.9 million (gross) in CSA expenditures on residential care during PY10, representing 26.6% of all CSA state pool data set gross expenditures (\$356.8 million).

The percentage of total residential cost to total annual gross pool fund cost continues to declined for five consecutive years:

	Residential	Total Gross	
	Cost	Cost	%
2010	\$ 94.9M	\$356.8M	26.6%
2009	\$120.8M	\$376.4M	32.1%
2008	\$155.2M	\$388.7M	39.9%
2007	\$149.7M	\$352.8M	42.4%
2006	\$138.1M	\$307.2M	44.9%
2005	\$132.9M	\$283.6M	46.9%

Below are the categories comprising the \$94.9M in PY10 residential expenditures and their respective gross cost:

* Residential Treatment Facilities	\$27.1M
* Group Homes	\$27.9M
* Temporary Care Facilities	\$ 0.9M
* Residential Educational Cost	
(non-Medicaid and Medicaid)	\$ 39.0M
Total Residential	\$ 94.9M

In addition to CSA funds, communities used Medicaid funds to pay residential services for CSA children to maximize other funding sources. Medicaid expenditures totaled \$82.4M million from July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010. This total represents a decline of \$13.3M, or 13.9% from the FY09 total of \$95.7M.

Report Methodology

This report used information from the CSA Data Set system as of November 15, 2010. The CSA Data Set contains demographic, service, and expenditure information on all children funded through the CSA state pool of funds. This information is reported three times annually by 131 localities. Information from the 4th quarter data set report, which is cumulative for the program year, was used in determining the results in this report.

Program year (*PYxx*) is defined as all children's services that were provided using CSA funds from July 1 through June 30 of each year.

Length of stay information is derived from:

• Average number of days during the year. This calculation was derived by isolating all children who resided in a residential care setting at some point in PY10 obtained from the 4th quarter CSA Data Set master file. For these children, length of stay was calculated by the total numbers of days in residential care during the year divided by the total number of children in residential care. This calculation allows comparisons of length of stay across years. Schedules 1 used this calculation.

When reviewing these reports, it is also important to note that when the data set was initiated in July 2003, local governments were required to populate information based on placement as of July 1, 2003. Local governments were not required to provide historical placements prior to July 1, 2003. As such, placement information for individual children may not include the pre-July 2003 residential service.

Finally, there are two cautions to consider when reviewing the locality data in the attached schedules. First, localities should carefully review and determine the accuracy of the data they report to OCS. This data is increasingly being used by state and local decision makers. Second, a community may have a significantly higher percentage of children or expenditures than other communities. The reason for this could be that the CSA caseload for the community is small. Thus, one or two children in residential care comprise a larger percentage of the total caseload than communities with more children.

CSA Residential Report (Group Homes, Residential Treatment Facilities, Temporary Care Facilities and Residential Educational Cost) FY05-FY10 Summary

	_	# Children In Residential Care Average # Residential Days/Child								Total CSA Expenditures on Residentia																				
	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08 FY09 FY	_	hange				FY05	FY06	FY07 F								FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	% CI	ange			
							FY07 to					\Box			FY05 to			FY08 to										FY07 to		FY09 to
FIPS Locality	_			10 10	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10						FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10							FY06	FY07	- 11	FY09	FY10
1 Accomack 3 Albemarle	7		24 81		12 6.79 47 25.79					243	253		25 250 59 518	322	4.1% -9.6%		32.4% 34.0%	11.3%		1,208,549	1,340,700 3.081.665	823,089 2.676.634	622,520 3.096.883	380,320 2.771.349	371,105 2.145,945	10.9%	-38.6% -13.1%	-24.4% 15.7%	-38.9% -10.5%	-2.4%
5 Alleghany	1		23		9 58.39					333	284		99 416		-14.7%		-17.4%	109.0%		439,881	529,816	560,689	306,105	219,858	187,414	20.4%	5.8%	-45.4%	-28.2%	-14.8%
7 Amelia		2 1	3	3 2	6 -50.0%				200 200	365	365		62 365		0.0%		127.6%	-21.0%		36,383	640	57,882	90,655	64,747	100,035	-98.2%	8944.1%	56.6%	-28.6%	54.5%
9 Amherst	1	5 15	19		21 0.09	1				214 151	278 164		86 247 28 233	_	29.9%		14.4% 11.2%	-13.6%		202,025	415,251 88.882	510,819 124,330	580,311 218.336	639,760 291,574	452,520 176,745	105.5% 34.4%	23.0%	13.6% 75.6%	10.2% 33.5%	-29.3% -39.4%
11 Appomattox 13 Arlington	8	0 102	99		52 27.59					228	209		89 236		-8.3%		4.7%	-18.5%		3,856,463	5.130.989	4.869.160	5,553,550	3.511.643	2.228.688	33.0%	-5.1%	14.1%	-36.8%	-36.5%
15 Augusta	5	52	49		27 4.09	-5.8%	0.0%	-14.3%	-35.7%	190	208	240 2	37 238	418	9.5%	15.4%	-1.3%	0.6%	75.4%	1,157,734	1,373,278	1,428,147	2,125,633	1,246,957	440,889	18.6%	4.0%	48.8%	-41.3%	-64.6%
17 Bath	1	4 5	3	2 2	0 25.09				2.0	278	242		66 117		-12.9%		24.5%	-68.0%		22,588	79,661	39,073	15,123	4,280	C	252.7%	-51.0%	-61.3%	-71.7%	-100.0%
19 Bedford County 21 Bland	5	51	42	39 23	17 -13.69 0 -66.79					190	197 316		13 310	_	3.7%		8.7% -100.0%	45.4%		1,767,850	1,416,618 37,921	1,303,873	1,035,939	289,271	195,776	-19.9% 47.5%	-8.0% -57.3%	-20.5% -100.0%	-72.1% 0.0%	-32.3% 0.0%
23 Botetourt	1	19	16	14 14	12 90.09					195	186	_	68 359		-4.6%		42.6%	33.8%		391,434	690,274	503,857	693,872	425,300	382,993	76.3%	-27.0%	37.7%	-38.7%	-9.9%
25 Brunswick		5 6	11	13 7	9 20.0%					74	272	_	61 405		267.6%		-0.4%	55.1%		76,730	156,292	486,368	538,020	422,485	359,923	103.7%	211.2%	10.6%	-21.5%	-14.8%
27 Buchanan	1		28	25 35 10 12	9 -36.49					228	175 178		41 348 78 371		-23.2%		36.9% 24.1%	44.6%	2.00	557,165 246,286	366,101 169,031	384,531 199,565	505,565 170.032	603,636 350.091	513,826 223,688	-34.3% -31.4%	5.0% 18.1%	31.5% -14.8%	19.4%	-14.9% -36.1%
29 Buckingham 31 Campbell	2	_	39		22 45.59					238	204	-	82 372	_	-14.3%		38.2%	31.7%		706.522	972,975	1,299,221	1,553,437	1.039.356	632,707	37.7%	33.5%	19.6%	-33.1%	-39.1%
33 Caroline		7 3	7	10 9	13 -57.19	6 133.3%	42.9%	-10.0%	44.4%	212	190	179 2	23 167	7 210	-10.4%	-5.8%	24.6%	-25.0%	25.6%	86,679	212,320	309,599	253,972	290,809	297,791	144.9%	45.8%	-18.0%	14.5%	2.4%
35 Carroll	1	3 14	14	17 25	31 7.79				2.22	183	143		21 376	_	-21.9%		-22.7%	70.2%		341,821	501,137	716,102	344,809	553,899	672,114	46.6%	42.9%	-51.8%	60.6%	21.3%
36 Charles City 37 Charlotte	+	1 1	4	13 11	4 0.09 8 66.79				2.0	1 148	365 161	_	57 303 64 333	\rightarrow	36400.0%		91.8%	18.0%	2.00	4,069	141,435 49,743	118,323 348,104	233,325 510.609	163,067 416,004	41,262 253,712	3375.9% 67.1%	-16.3% 599.8%	97.2% 46.7%	-30.1% -18.5%	-74.7% -39.0%
41 Chesterfield	9	7 100	99	14 11	24 3.19				2.22	231	140	-	50 85		-39.4%		-19.4%	-43.5%		3,465,002	2,873,943	3,856,456	3,582,539	1,465,586	520,034	-17.1%	34.2%	-7.1%	-59.1%	-64.5%
43 Clarke		B 7	8	5 6	9 -12.59					225	177		80 285		-21.3%		-4.4%	1.6%		481,798	434,863	670,366	318,895	431,872	579,476	-9.7%	54.2%	-52.4%	35.4%	34.2%
45 Craig	1	2 3	14	14 9	6 50.09				2.0	286	300		27 313		4.9%		58.7%	-4.2%		6,084	203,002	296,021	366,587	139,199	323,526	3236.7%	45.8%	23.8%	-62.0%	132.4%
47 Culpeper 49 Cumberland	1	_	29 15	35 34 16 3	27 16.09 3 30.09					232 168	274		56 256 85 256		18.1%		8.5% -22.6%	-0.1% 38.4%		771,713 298,417	1,089,504 474,642	966,667 322,721	1,506,301 303,416	1,277,700 70,911	769,506 98,510	41.2% 59.1%	-11.3% -32.0%	55.8% -6.0%	-15.2% -76.6%	-39.8% 38.9%
51 Dickenson	1	_	30		21 18.89					166	177		00 242		6.6%	-	32.5%	21.1%		324,010	497,803	596,570	660,710	343,284	300,267	53.6%	19.8%	10.8%	-48.0%	-12.5%
53 Dinwiddie		9 9	10	12 14	11 0.09	-				152	206	-	32 184		35.5%		57.8%	-20.5%		129,969	126,775	123,882	258,762	227,460	217,551	-2.5%	-2.3%	108.9%	-12.1%	-4.4%
57 Essex	1 2		8	7 6 36 23	6 10.09					258 175	301 228		54 500 68 353		16.7%		51.8% -16.4%	-9.7% 109.8%		426,701 736,469	523,181 1.038.024	312,473 978,121	257,989 1.120.298	154,427 1.050.380	103,557 968,058	22.6%	-40.3% -5.8%	-17.4% 14.5%	-40.1% -6.2%	-32.9% -7.8%
61 Fauquier 63 Floyd	1	-	32 10	36 23 9 7	5 -36.49					202	246	_	09 592	-	21.8%		-16.4% -8.7%	109.8%		736,469	1,038,024	407,891	1,120,298	1,050,380 314,169	968,058 221,016	40.9% -38.2%	-5.8% 19.0%	-18.6%	-6.2%	-7.8%
65 Fluvanna	2	9 33	25	18 21	17 13.89	6 -24.2%	-28.0%	16.7%	-19.0%	231	224	246 3	22 370		-3.0%	9.8%	30.9%	14.8%	-9.4%	970,863	948,517	969,277	821,887	681,047	882,581	-2.3%	2.2%	-15.2%	-17.1%	29.6%
67 Franklin County	4	_	60		38 -15.99					176	203	_	40 384		15.3%		52.9%	60.1%		1,266,259	1,090,901	1,409,540	1,122,643	1,082,731	902,694	-13.8%	29.2%	-20.4%	-3.6%	-16.6%
69 Frederick 71 Giles	1		29 12	14 14 12 4	19 20.09 5 27.39					223	176 191		74 267 83 462		-21.1% -25.1%		21.8% -20.8%	-2.6% 152.6%		1,267,943	1,155,498 356.073	1,577,795	1,098,290	642,066 79.511	875,676 74,140	-8.9% 69.9%	36.5% -48.0%	-30.4% 55.4%	-41.5% -72.4%	36.4% -6.8%
73 Gloucester	1	-	8	5 7	6 36.49					238	165	_	65 206		-30.7%		1.1%	-22.2%		419,371	341,641	301,090	225,316	178,485	157,167	-18.5%	-11.9%	-25.2%	-20.8%	-11.9%
75 Goochland		9 8	9	7 7	9 -11.19	6 12.5%	-22.2%	0.0%	28.6%	245	161	233 2	42 135	5 40	-34.3%	44.7%	3.9%	-44.3%	-70.3%	275,047	247,259	284,109	190,040	187,105	46,328	-10.1%	14.9%	-33.1%	-1.5%	-75.2%
77 Grayson	1	_	10	9 12	4 -20.09					151	184	_	97 210	_	21.9%	-	0.0%	6.5%		200,146	206,581	144,201	154,563	347,558	162,748	3.2%	-30.2%	7.2%	124.9%	-53.2%
79 Greene 83 Halifax	2		19 31	22 18 38 31	12 -3.89 39 8.79				2.0	208 153	212		75 439 17 325		1.9%		-14.6% -4.8%	150.8%		791,218 458,768	964,085 887,218	1,041,137	1,066,378	1,122,508	994,166	21.8% 93.4%	8.0% 45.8%	2.4%	5.3% -19.9%	-11.4% 25.6%
85 Hanover	3	_	32		33 -21.99					278	285		71 181		2.5%		5.0%	-33.1%	2.00	1,575,236	1,072,172	1,919,529	1,893,772	2,270,459	1,993,073	-31.9%	79.0%	-1.3%	19.9%	-12.2%
87 Henrico	9		158	88 73	34 50.5%					239	211		53 340		-11.7%		18.8%	34.3%		2,807,469	3,234,150	3,366,325	3,210,687	2,520,340	1,237,522	15.2%	4.1%	-4.6%	-21.5%	-50.9%
89 Henry	1	10	8	7 7	6 0.09					186 226	105	_	90 267	_	-43.5% -100.0%		61.0%	40.4%		260,792	132,010	77,885	248,872	242,289	206,233	-49.4% -100.0%	-41.0% 0.0%	219.5%	-2.6% 0.0%	-14.9% 0.0%
91 Highland 93 Isle of Wight	+	7 13	8	7 9	2 85.79					91	145	_	89 107	_	59.3%		40.0%	-43.3%		48,512	159,620	185,156	223,054	95,882	82,386	229.0%	16.0%	20.5%	-57.0%	-14.1%
95 James City		4 3	9	6 4	4 -25.09	6 200.0%	-33.3%	-33.3%	0.0%	180	138	102 3	23 301	334	-23.3%	-26.1%	216.7%	-6.9%	11.1%	72,914	19,073	28,817	81,050	59,935	55,824	-73.8%	51.1%	181.3%	-26.1%	-6.9%
97 King & Queen		0 2	4	4 11	3 0.09					0	156		76 274		0.0%		626.3%	-0.8%		0	77,238	24,654	153,108	208,869	121,764	0.0%	-68.1%	521.0%	36.4%	-41.7%
99 King George 101 King William	1	7 21	22 5	23 18	30 23.59					271 168	258 275		30 231 62 365		-4.8% 63.7%		1.5% -13.8%	-29.9% 39.3%		405,553 203,658	631,373 109,528	1,125,277	1,119,373	609,380 227.503	546,593 56,206	55.7% -46.2%	78.2% 9.1%	-0.5% 58.4%	-45.6% 20.2%	-10.3% -75.3%
103 Lancaster		6 10	7	11 4	4 66.79					161	210		80 300		30.4%		-50.7%	66.8%		261,343	212,543	346,664	371,932	56,351	132,566	-18.7%	63.1%	7.3%	-84.8%	135.3%
105 Lee	2	_	27	29 26	17 -20.79					212	244		83 346	_	15.1%		-16.4%	89.3%		692,130	1,029,174	1,023,220	909,490	1,031,067	421,548	48.7%	-0.6%	-11.1%	13.4%	-59.1%
107 Loudoun 109 Louisa	2		55 29	-	36 -2.49 19 0.09					315 215	249		22 225		-21.0% -5.6%		1.8% 6.8%	1.2%		1,819,627 757,692	1,587,284 767.835	2,458,755 746,852	2,188,579 826.857	1,646,564 827.958	934,914 631,107	-12.8% 1.3%	54.9% -2.7%	-11.0% 10.7%	-24.8% 0.1%	-43.2% -23.8%
111 Lunenburg	-	4 10	13		15 150.09				2.000	249	177	_	76 191	_	-28.9%		12.2%	-30.9%		120,647	241,437	411,393	495,255	345,929	243,494	100.1%	70.4%	20.4%	-30.2%	-29.6%
113 Madison	1	1 15	18	16 15	12 36.49					214	209		31 489		-2.3%		33.5%	111.6%		130,228	348,339	455,784	516,256	457,854	389,794	167.5%	30.8%	13.3%	-11.3%	-14.9%
115 Mathews	2	5 2	5	2 1	2 -60.09				200 200	137	377 191	_	12 423 64 198		175.2%		-83.7% 3.1%	907.1%		199,559 855,092	289,376 630.098	577,079 1.182.622	41,613 1,310,050	19,394 1,226,991	36,780 603,784	45.0%	99.4% 87.7%	-92.8% 10.8%	-53.4%	89.6% -50.8%
117 Mecklenburg 119 Middlesex	+ 2	1 1	32	25 39 0 2	2 -4.29					243	191 60		64 198 0 361	_	-21.4% -72.0%		-100.0%	-25.1%		855,092 750	630,098 2,007	1,182,622	1,310,050	1,226,991	603,784 25,796	-26.3% 167.6%	87.7% 959.5%	-100.0%	-6.3% 0.0%	-50.8% -76.3%
121 Montgomery	3	1 25	29	27 22	12 -19.49	6 16.0%	-6.9%	-18.5%	-45.5%	212	214	-	28 205	167	0.9%	-8.4%	16.3%	-10.3%	-18.4%	1,472,417	955,125	845,801	999,033	716,031	316,026	-35.1%	-11.4%	18.1%	-28.3%	-55.9%
125 Nelson		6 13	12	9 8	8 116.79	1				210	89		73 252		-57.6%		62.9%	-32.4%	2.00	217,609	155,908	494,007	672,234	254,436	379,196	-28.4%	216.9%	36.1%	-62.2%	49.0%
127 New Kent 131 Northampton	1	4 8 8 8	14	7 9	4 -33.39 9 33.39				2.22	92 299	152 235		05 231 94 225		65.2%		53.0% -19.5%	12.6% -23.5%		273,135 347,469	168,013 359,078	525,779 228.818	463,374 240,714	179,388 177,739	117,783 253,753	-38.5% 3.3%	212.9% -36.3%	-11.9% 5.2%	-61.3% -26.2%	-34.3% 42.8%
133 Northumberland		4 7	4	1 3	3 75.09					365	370		77 266		1.4%		194.3%	-60.7%		71,444	143,594	71,119	39,124	18,032	54,271	101.0%	-50.5%	-45.0%	-53.9%	201.0%
135 Nottoway		4 5	8		18 25.09					301	260	_	58 229	297	-13.6%		-7.5%	-11.4%		344,235	435,983	350,595	385,524	551,202	843,554	26.7%	-19.6%	10.0%	43.0%	53.0%
137 Orange	+ -	6 6	5	9 10 36 28	13 0.09					266	162 220		51 323 39 219	_	-39.1% -9.1%		88.7% -7.4%	28.6% -8.4%	2.00	171,145 797,971	1,335,233	207,665 1,577,172	261,363 1.690.322	296,536 1,241,235	651,006 887,997	-14.5% 67.3%	41.9% 18.1%	25.9% 7.2%	13.5% -26.6%	119.5% -28.5%
139 Page 141 Patrick	2	2 30 B 9	35 4	36 28 4 3	1 12.59					242	255		68 504		-9.1%		-7.4%	-8.4% 88.1%		114,984	1,335,233	1,577,172	1,690,322	1,241,235 73,161	35,769	73.6%	-40.4%	1.3%	-26.6%	-28.5% -51.1%
143 Pittsylvania	2	9 41	26		27 41.49	-36.6%	26.9%	18.2%	-30.8%	257	269		96 229	237	4.7%	17.1%	-6.0%	-22.7%	3.5%	1,079,938	1,321,701	653,293	931,803	910,213	723,919	22.4%	-50.6%	42.6%	-2.3%	-20.5%
145 Powhatan		9 9	10		12 0.09	1				257	248	-	70 250		-3.5%		12.0%	-7.5%		562,966	475,043	460,730	595,376	360,681	412,963	-15.6%	-3.0%	29.2%	-39.4%	14.5%
147 Prince Edward 149 Prince George	1	7 15 4 5	16 2	16 20	16 -11.89 5 25.09		0.0%		2 2 2 2 2	260	279 148	221 2 366 1		3 319	7.3%	-20.8% 147.3%	-5.9% -51.1%	-2.3% 41.2%		753,911 88,661	681,949 52,458	349,496 26,896	380,444 100.534	364,375 182,705	303,496 54,984	-9.5% -40.8%	-48.8% -48.7%	8.9% 273.8%	-4.2% 81.7%	-16.7% -69.9%
153 Prince William	14	5 114	132	140 142 1	135 -21.49					212	167	159 1	75 146	119	-21.2%			-16.7%		4,016,337	2,799,927	3,886,192	4,444,700	3,246,013	4,085,766		38.8%		-27.0%	25.9%
155 Pulaski	4	B 54	52		51 12.59					206	191	223 2	25 404	283	-7.3%		0.9%	79.4%		1,210,727	1,302,966	1,473,575	1,410,860	1,383,886	1,267,477	7.6%	13.1%		-1.9%	-8.4%
157 Rappahannock	+	4 4	4		8 0.09 5 100.09							261 3 231 2						46.3% 14.8%		113,455 102,894	143,696 184,179	318,164 269,541	558,355 325,851	282,264 100.798	182,965 184,984	26.7% 79.0%	121.4% 46.3%	75.5% 20.9%	-49.4% -69.1%	-35.2% 83.5%
159 Richmond County 161 Roanoke County	5	7 71	77		28 24.69							200 2			-24.8%		30.0%	5.1%		2,775,971	2,602,649	3,189,215	2,075,079	1,077,229	184,984 659,136	79.0% -6.2%	46.3% 22.5%		-69.1% -48.1%	-38.8%
163 Rockbridge	2		33	41 37	32 65.29	-13.2%	24.2%	-9.8%	-13.5%	215	171	248 2	40 365	391	-20.5%	45.0%	-3.2%	51.9%	7.2%	824,332	1,040,055	1,217,317	1,727,421	995,377	1,048,503	26.2%	17.0%	41.9%	-42.4%	5.3%
165 Rockingham	5	63	58		43 12.59							362 3						24.3%		2,389,092	2,975,760	2,716,472	2,249,736	1,467,093	1,673,043		-8.7%		-34.8%	14.0%
167 Russell 169 Scott	2		19 22		27 -18.59 5 -19.09							203 1 190 2						71.6%		400,828 150,001	389,824 170,119	449,293 236,778	356,318 339,217	430,045 102,613	455,549 200.123	-2.7% 13.4%	15.3% 39.2%	-20.7% 43.3%	20.7% -69.8%	5.9% 95.0%
171 Shenandoah	2	_	37		19 24.19							237 2						64.7%		765,336	1,228,830	1,105,069	780,130	843,233	543,863		-10.1%		8.1%	-35.5%
173 Smyth	2		29		13 7.49	6 0.0%	3.4%	-46.7%	-18.8%	215	151	200 1	62 125	147	-29.8%	32.5%	-19.0%	-23.1%	18.0%	474,678	375,553	526,023	501,732	274,774	178,319		40.1%	-4.6%	-45.2%	-35.1%
175 Southampton		0 1	1		5 0.09	6 0.0%	300.0%	50.0%	-16.7%	0	198	168 !	95 212	259	0.0%	-15.2%	-43.5%	123.3%	22.1%	0	18,125	16,218	41,230	114,728	96,376	0.0%	-10.5%	154.2%	178.3%	-16.0%

CSA Residential Report (Group Homes, Residential Treatment Facilities, Temporary Care Facilities and Residential Educational Cost) FY05-FY10 Summary

	# Children In Residential Care Average # Residential Days/Child																	Total CSA	Expendit	ures on Res	identia														
	FY05 FY06 FY07 FY08 FY09 FY10 % Change FY10 FY05 FY06 FY07 FY08 FY09 FY10 % Change													FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	% Ch	inge		$\overline{}$												
							FY0	5 to F	FY06 to	FY07 to	FY08 to	FY09 to	\top						FY05 to	FY06	6 to FY	/07 to	Y08 to	FY09 to							FY05 to	FY06 to	FY07 to	FY08 to	FY09 to
FIPS Locality							FY	06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	\top						FY06	FY	07 F	Y08	FY09	FY10							FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10
177 Spotsylvania	52	58	70	84	65	5 64	4 11	1.5%	20.7%	20.0%	-22.6%	-1.5%	187	200	213	230	273	235	7.0	% 6	6.5%	8.0%	18.8%	-14.0%	2,237,342	2,326,461	3,826,867	3,840,839	2,733,750	2,292,656	4.0%	64.5%	0.4%	-28.8%	-16.1
179 Stafford	44	43	55	43	3 35	5 30	0 -2	2.3%	27.9%	-21.8%	-18.6%	-14.3%	250	263	196	218	319	371	5.2	% -25	5.5%	11.2%	46.2%	16.4%	2,056,531	2,369,708	2,064,780	1,850,827	1,294,061	793,178	15.2%	-12.9%	-10.4%	-30.1%	-38.7
181 Surry	0	1	0	-	1	2 .	1 (0.0%	-100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-50.0%	0	99	0	0	176	365	0.0	% 0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	108.0%	0	6,609	0	0	7912	21547	0.0%	-100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	172.3
183 Sussex	4	0	2	1	1 3	3 5	5 -100	0.0%	0.0%	-50.0%	200.0%	66.7%	208	0	40	359	213	177	-100.0	% 0	0.0% 7	97.5%	-40.8%	-16.8%	23,380	0	6,652	42,701	73,268	75,371	-100.0%	0.0%	541.9%	71.6%	2.9
185 Tazewell	35	28	42	43	3 25	5 15	5 -20	0.0%	50.0%	2.4%	-41.9%	-40.0%	201	429	178	225	517	431	113.4	% -58	3.5%	26.4%	129.6%	-16.6%	692,046	1,503,382	1,026,802	1,116,963	575,260	388,209	117.2%	-31.7%	8.8%	-48.5%	-32.5
187 Warren	32	38	41	45	34	4 26	6 18	3.8%	7.9%	9.8%	-24.4%	-23.5%	229	218	212	219	246	283	-4.8	% -2	2.8%	3.3%	12.3%	15.1%	1,411,053	1,441,811	1,429,248	1,228,548	954,502	759,303	2.2%	-0.9%	-14.0%	-22.3%	-20.5
191 Washington	12	14	12	13	3 12	2 9	9 16	6.7%	-14.3%	8.3%	-7.7%	-25.0%	246	249	266	286	371	476	1.2	% 6	6.8%	7.5%	29.6%	28.4%	294,452	329,194	262,965	486,333	203,799	187,410	11.8%	-20.1%	84.9%	-58.1%	-8.0
193 Westmoreland	6	4	5	7	7 5	5 5	5 -33	3.3%	25.0%	40.0%	-28.6%	0.0%	220	280	310	308	505	314	27.3	% 10).7%	-0.6%	63.9%	-37.8%	308,011	104,026	183,224	375,468	292,872	155,489	-66.2%	76.1%	104.9%	-22.0%	-46.9
195 Wise	11	11	3	15	20	0 13	3 (0.0%	-72.7%	400.0%	33.3%	-35.0%	155	222	324	225	356	372	43.2	<mark>%</mark> 45	5.9% -	-30.6%	58.2%	4.5%	82,715	37,314	46,070	189,018	507,533	160,750	-54.9%	23.5%	310.3%	168.5%	-68.3
197 Wythe	15	21	32	29	27	7 2	1 40	0.0%	52.4%	-9.4%	-6.9%	-22.2%	183	225	184	255	410	368	23.0	% -18	3.2%	38.6%	60.8%	-10.3%	414,172	472,416	861,724	911,305	629,822	470,880	14.1%	82.4%	5.8%	-30.9%	-25.2
199 York	7	9	8	9	12	2 13	3 28	3.6%	-11.1%	12.5%	33.3%	8.3%	337	226	290	304	393	389	-32.9	% 28	3.3%	4.8%	29.4%	-1.1%	517,193	261,686	247,346	340,817	368,263	431,768	-49.4%	-5.5%	37.8%	8.1%	17.2
510 Alexandria	67				54	4 54		0.4%	8.3%	7.7%	-22.9%	0.0%	214	_	215		274	_	1.4		0.9%	0.5%	26.9%	-58.0%	2,591,110	2,512,457	2,835,811	3,146,538	2,187,808	617,600		12.9%	11.0%	-30.5%	-71.8
515 Bedford City	13				7 8	8 5		5.4%	-33.3%	-30.0%	14.3%	-37.5%	197	218	_		341	434	10.7	***	-	25.4%	35.3%	27.3%	236,808	306,246	212,269	236,131	210,016	109,396	-	-30.7%	11.2%	-	-47.9
520 Bristol	25		23		_	_	_	6.0%	9.5%	13.0%	-19.2%	-33.3%	261	276	280		330		5.7	***		23.6%	-4.7%	10.1%	459,260	611,389	469,183	605,069	481,576	255,355		-23.3%	29.0%		-47.0
530 Buena Vista	5	10	14	15			2 100	0.0%	40.0%	7.1%	26.7%	-36.8%	141	247	144		373	472	75.2		1.7%	-0.7%	160.9%	26.5%	83,189	311,966	412,984	392,939	502,077	415,767		32.4%	-4.9%	27.8%	-17.2
540 Charlottesville	112							1.8%	1.8%	10.3%	-15.6%	-27.8%	202		224		299		1.5			-4.9%	40.2%	-2.9%	3,346,953	3,337,581	4,374,385	5,183,713	4,552,545	3,275,324		31.1%	18.5%		-28.1
550 Chesapeake	58	_	43	47	42	2 36		0.3%	-17.3%	9.3%	-10.6%	-14.3%	256	159	193		254	79	-37.9			-14.5%	54.0%	-68.9%	1,849,303	1,479,324	1,469,454	939,546	996,706	525,393		-0.7%	-36.1%	6.1%	-47.3
570 Colonial Heights	7	14	16		5 (0 0		0.0%	14.3%	-68.8%	-100.0%	0.0%	120	82	110		0	0	-31.7			-12.7%	-100.0%	0.0%	61,390	122,218	191,274	101,607	0	0	99.1%	56.5%	-46.9%		0.0
580 Covington	18			_	_	9 10	_	5.6%	-11.8%	-6.7%	-35.7%	11.1%	404		309		417		-9.7		-	49.5%	167.1%	22.2%	583,152	449,951	435,655	297,672	211,812	308,612		-3.2%	-31.7%		45.7
590 Danville	33	40	40	45	37	7 39		1.2%	0.0%	12.5%	-17.8%	5.4%	224	234	263		334		4.5			10.3%	15.2%	-16.8%	695,677	929,681	1,102,154	1,247,886	1,012,275	1,248,371	33.6%	18.6%	13.2%	-18.9%	23.3
620 Franklin City	3	5	8		5 4	4 5		6.7%	60.0%	-37.5%	-20.0%	25.0%	234	252	174		226		7.7			-37.9%	109.5%	-33.7%	77,646	182,054	138,852	42,103	61,600	194,826	-	-23.7%	-69.7%	46.3%	216.3
630 Fredericksburg	15	22	25	16	3 20	0 15		6.7%	13.6%	-36.0%	25.0%	-25.0%	245	_	199		261	174			7.0%	7.0%	22.7%	-33.4%	622,159	782,255	781,565	612,217	628,764	266,911		-0.1%		-	-57.5
640 Galax	2	5	2	2	2 4	4 (0.0%	-60.0%	0.0%	100.0%	-100.0%	256	128	259		284	0	-50.0			41.3%	-22.5%	-100.0%	76,997	123,990	50,149	61,210	55,157	0	61.0%	-59.6%	22.1%	-9.9%	-100.0
650 Hampton	36				_	2 2		3.3%	-7.7%	-58.3%	-86.7%	0.0%	129	139	155		58	273	7.8	***		-31.0%	-45.8%	370.7%	477,357	745,312	823,929	210,465	44,811	389,070		10.5%	-74.5%	-78.7%	768.2
660 Harrisonburg	43						_	4.7%	22.2%	0.0%	-30.9%	-13.2%	249	359	384		457	498	44.2		7.0%	1.6%	17.3%	8.9%	1,429,862	1,578,939	2,437,061	3,054,958	1,344,402	1,211,016	10.4%	54.3%	25.4%	-	-9.9
670 Hopewell	21	17	19	15	23	3 22		9.0%	11.8%	-21.1%	53.3%	-4.3%	249	284	282		232		14.1			-9.9%	-8.7%	1.3%	820,500	1,129,247	1,031,564	762,588	782,294	685,963	37.6%	-8.7%	-26.1%	2.6%	-12.3
678 Lexington	- 4	- 4	70	141	2 3	3 3	-	0.0%	-50.0% 50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	280	241	211		441	332	-13.9		2.4%	8.5% 47.4%	92.6%	-24.7%	272,962	246,195	203,020	189,651	85,443	148,725	-	-17.5%	-6.6%	-54.9%	74.1
680 Lynchburg	29 17	52 13			_	3 /		9.3% 3.5%	23.1%	80.8% -18.8%	-34.0% -30.8%	-23.7% -11.1%	105	185 244	95 258		154 206	113	76.2 2.5			-10.1%	10.3%	-26.8% -7.4%	254,532 913,761	1,075,202 712.607	912,169	2,217,137 770.355	1,846,111 379,540	1,614,307 237.076	322.4% -22.0%	-15.2% 41.3%	143.1% -23.5%	-16.7% -50.7%	-12.6°
683 Manassas City 685 Manassas Park	14	_	_		3 3	9 8	-	1.4%	-11.8%	-18.8%	-30.8%	-11.1%	306	294	221		172	_	-3.9			66.1%	-53.1%	235.5%	975,406	1.100.816	525,181	129,945	242,229	131,255		-52.3%	-23.5% -75.3%	-50.7% 86.4%	-37.5
690 Martinsville	14	- 17	13	-	, ,	0 0	_	5.0%	100.0%	0.0%	-100.0%	0.0%	215	60	177		0	0	-72.1			67.2%	-100.0%	0.0%	78,523	4,696	45,704	23,997	242,229	131,233		873.3%	-47.5%		0.0
700 Newport News	136	143	139	91	1 42	2 14	_	5.1%	-2.8%	-34.5%	-53.8%	-66.7%	187	206	198		164		10.2		_	25.3%	10.9%	-3.2%	4,681,184	5.359.719	4.561.086	2.299.368	1.381.629	336,741		-14.9%	-49.6%	-39.9%	-75.6
710 Norfolk	264	_		_	_			1.4%	13.7%	-19.5%	-31.8%	-11.6%	145	144	138		151	137	-0.7		1.2%	6.5%	2.6%	-9.1%	4,764,120	4,437,285	5.839.009	4.655.352	2.461.308	2,495,114	-6.9%	31.6%	-20.3%	-47.1%	1.4
720 Norton	201	3	3	2.11	3 .	1 6		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-66.7%	500.0%	0	40	173		53	274	0.0			-23.1%	-60.2%	417.0%	4,704,120	1.877	8,403	35,480	2,101,000	79,175		347.7%			
730 Petersburg	52	51	55	64	1 47	7 40		1.9%	7.8%	16.4%	-26.6%	-14.9%	251	267	251		248	_	6.4		-	12.7%	-12.5%	-0.3%	1,505,981	1,742,182	1.891.031	1,979,488	1.659.956	1,312,065		8.5%	4.7%	-	-21.0
735 Poquoson	0	0	1	7	2 4	4	_	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-25.0%	0	0	365		210	_	0.0			40.3%	-3.8%	74.0%	0	0	179.046	246,715	206.547	158.697	0.0%	0.0%	37.8%	-16.3%	-23.2
740 Portsmouth	37	38	32	32	2 35	5 27		2.7%	-15.8%	0.0%	9.4%	-22.9%	221	185	226		427	324	-16.3			8.8%	73.5%	-24.1%	788.161	884.329	1,039,032	1,093,267	1,269,472	547,834		17.5%	5.2%		-56.8
750 Radford	7	8	5		5	3 2	_	4.3%	-37.5%	0.0%	-40.0%	-33.3%	150	79	169		59	49	-47.3		-	-15.4%	-58.7%	-16.9%	276,540	100,151	100,765	69,146	34,886	19,272		0.6%	-31.4%	-	-44.8
760 Richmond City	321	353	197	369	331	1 329	_	0.0%	-44.2%	87.3%	-10.3%	-0.6%	385	197	191		331	257	-48.8			16.8%	48.4%	-22.3%	10,698,392	9,099,932	6,894,132	12,082,664	9,579,083	6,391,341	-14.9%	-24.2%	75.3%	-20.7%	-33.3
770 Roanoke City	111	108	_				-	2.7%	-4.6%	0.0%	-1.0%	-9.8%	274		248		176	-	-9.9			-10.9%	-20.2%	149.6%	4,265,334	4,007,462	4,225,695	4,056,061	2,971,222	2,160,966		5.4%	-4.0%		-27.3
775 Salem	24				-	-	_	3.3%	4.5%	-17.4%	-42.1%	9.1%	247	212	183		272	-	-14.2		_	24.6%	19.3%	-62.1%	732,882	762,533	779,276	673,229	371,193	191,352		2.2%	-13.6%	-	-48.4
790 Staunton	28				3 16			3.6%	20.0%	8.3%	-38.5%	12.5%	225	249	245		197	239	10.7			-3.3%	-16.9%	21.4%	863,307	671,682	686,955	735,061	334,221	327,665	-22.2%	2.3%	7.0%	-54.5%	-2.0
800 Suffolk	23	15	12	20	16	6 17	7 -34	4.8%	-20.0%	66.7%	-20.0%	6.3%	126	192	248	174	159	147	52.4	% 29	9.2% -	-29.8%	-8.8%	-7.4%	340,813	354,647	465,544	522,536	271,777	242,043	4.1%	31.3%	12.2%	-48.0%	-10.9
810 Virginia Beach	194	181	194	192	174	4 160	0 -6	6.7%	7.2%	-1.0%	-9.4%	-8.0%	320	248	259	244	230	243	-22.5	% 4	1.4%	-5.8%	-5.8%	5.7%	4,720,013	4,636,021	5,587,468	5,423,023	5,922,472	5,289,999	-1.8%	20.5%	-2.9%	9.2%	-10.7
820 Waynesboro	14	16	18	24	1 14	4 8	8 14	4.3%	12.5%	33.3%	-41.7%	-42.9%	175	225	191	178	309	329	28.6	% -15	5.1%	-6.8%	73.6%	6.5%	357,263	321,752	349,114	505,118	319,231	91,462	-9.9%	8.5%	44.7%	-36.8%	-71.3
830 Williamsburg	4	4	3	4	1 6	6 3	3 (0.0%	-25.0%	33.3%	50.0%	-50.0%	189	257	291	293	408	311	36.0	% 13	3.2%	0.7%	39.4%	-23.8%	20,771	60,925	103,185	152,842	121,555	42,258	193.3%	69.4%	48.1%	-20.5%	-65.2
840 Winchester	27	32	35	34	1 24	4 15	5 18	3.5%	9.4%	-2.9%	-29.4%	-37.5%	251	205	259	254	320	295	-18.3	% 26	6.3%	-1.9%	25.8%	-7.7%	1,305,105	1,445,516	2,080,109	2,055,270	905,853	518,131	10.8%	43.9%	-1.2%	-55.9%	-42.8
1200 Greensville/Emporia	5	8	13	12	2 9	9 4	4 60	0.0%	62.5%	-7.7%	-25.0%	-55.6%	195	190	245		320	423	-2.6			-15.5%	54.8%	32.0%	115,148	270,825	257,960	246,800	154,301	131,941	135.2%	-4.8%	-4.3%	-37.5%	-14.5
1300 Fairfax/Falls Church	299	333	288	290	297	7 27	7 11	1.4%	-13.5%	0.7%	2.4%	-6.7%	227	195	225	237	322	228	-14.1	% 15	5.4%	5.3%	35.8%	-29.2%	16,425,100	16,552,945	17,371,278	18,463,626	17,124,478	14,265,959	0.8%	4.9%	6.3%	-7.3%	-16.7
Totals	4,041	4,272	4,301	4,313	3,697	7 3,156	6 5	5.7%	0.7%	0.3%	-14.3%	-14.6%	234	211	217	229	282	267	-9.8	% 2	2.8%	5.5%	22.9%	-5.2%	132,937,873	138,066,611	149,732,023	155,185,888	120,787,571	94,875,962	3.9%	8.4%	3.6%	-22.2%	-21.5