COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

JOSEPH W. WALTERS
DIRECTOR

Department of Corrections

P.O. BOX 26963
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23261
(804) 674-3000

September 1, 2026

The Honorable L. Louise Lucas
Chairman
Senate Finance and Appropriations Committee
201 North Ninth Street, Room 1223
Richmond, Virginia 23219

The Honorable Luke E. Torian
Chairman
House Appropriations Committee
201 North Ninth Street, Room 1404
Richmond, Virginia 23219

Mr. Michael D. Maul
Director
Virginia Department of Planning and Budget
1111 East Broad Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219

Dear Chair Lucas, Chair Torian, and Director Maul:

Pursuant to the language in the General Assembly's 2026 Chapter 725 Appropriations Act, please find attached the report in accordance with Item 390(L).

Item 390(L) requires the Virginia Department of Corrections to remit data to the Director of the Department of Planning and Budget and the Chairs of the House Appropriations and Senate Finance and Appropriations Committees regarding medical treatment provided to offenders at each facility.

Specifically, the data shall include – as a proportion of average daily population at each facility – the levels of inmates who received care, including: the specific proportions of inmates from each facility who were treated as inpatients; the specific proportion of inmates from each facility who were treated as outpatients; data on prescription drug administration; and the proportion of inmates from each facility who received other discrete services.

For purposes of this report, other discrete services were defined by the Virginia Department of Corrections to reflect the level of average daily population at each facility who received onsite telemedicine services, onsite dentistry services, and onsite dialysis services.

Although the enclosed data reflects the most robust information available for Fiscal Year 2026, there are limitations present.

First, the Department is somewhat reliant upon third party administrator information, which limits the Department's ability to collect and interpret complete data. Additionally, only outpatient and inpatient measures could be reported as a true proportion of average daily population. All other measures are provided as observable counts with calculations that reflect the count as a ratio to incarcerated individuals.

Please do not hesitate to contact my office should you require any additional information regarding the Department's data outlined in this report.

Sincerely,



Joseph Walters
Director

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
1			Inpatient[1]		Outpatient[2]		Rx Pills Administered[3]		Rx Filled[4]		Telemedicine[5]		Onsite Dentistry[6,7]		Onsite Dialysis[8]	
2	Facility	FY26 Average Daily Population	Number of Inmates	Proportion (%)	Number of Inmates	Proportion (%)	Number of Inmates	Number Per Inmate	N	Number Per Inmate	Number of Inmates	Proportion (%)	Number of Inmates	Proportion (%)	Number of Inmates	Proportion (%)
3	Appalachian Community Corrections Alternative Program	83	0	0%	68	82%	11,255	136	2,645	32	2	2%	58	-----	-----	0%
4	Baskerville Correctional Unit	361	8	2%	147	41%	84,763	235	8,507	24	9	2%	972	269%	-----	0%
5	Beaumont Correctional Center	221	141	64%	244	110%	237,781	1,076	24,679	112	16	7%	800	362%	-----	0%
6	Bland Correctional Center	586	16	3%	248	42%	346,399	591	24,298	41	26	4%	2,742	468%	-----	0%
7	Brunswick Community Corrections Alternative Program	118	0	0%	17	14%	32,391	0	5,627	48	9	8%	30	-----	-----	0%
8	Buckingham Correctional Center	920	60	7%	492	53%	332,645	0	38,119	41	27	3%	1,482	161%	-----	0%
9	Caroline Correctional Unit	82	2	2%	46	56%	5,842	0	2,644	32	2	2%	100	-----	-----	0%
10	Central Virginia Correctional Unit 13	190	9	5%	109	57%	153,866	810	12,517	66	11	6%	506	266%	-----	0%
11	Chesterfield Community Corrections Alternative Program	78	0	0%	73	94%	27,606	354	5,488	70	6	8%	70	-----	-----	0%
12	Coffeewood Correctional Center	959	55	6%	350	36%	495,983	517	42,903	45	23	2%	4,510	470%	-----	0%
13	Cold Springs Correctional Unit	65	2	3%	27	42%	2,754	42	1,604	25	1	2%	104	-----	-----	0%
14	Cold Springs Community Corrections Alternative Program	611	0	0%	0	0%	21,315	35	3,661	6	0	0%	112	-----	-----	0%
15	Deerfield Correctional Center	976	193	20%	551	56%	1,112,905	1,140	70,603	72	52	5%	1,700	174%	-----	0%
16	Deerfield Men's I & Men's II Work Center	220	1	0%	77	35%	7,278	33	6,015	27	2	1%	494	-----	-----	0%
17	Dillwyn Correctional Center	801	48	6%	384	48%	324,457	405	31,010	39	34	4%	1,270	159%	-----	0%
18	Fluvanna Correctional Center	932	90	10%	563	60%	1,909,473	2,049	73,148	78	34	4%	3,735	401%	-----	0%
19	Green Rock Correctional Center	974	29	3%	407	42%	406,222	417	35,672	37	33	3%	4,072	418%	-----	0%
20	Greensville Correctional Center	2,101	257	12%	909	43%	1,262,805	601	82,658	39	55	3%	2,552	121%	40	2%
21	Halifax Correctional Center	76	1	1%	16	21%	3,476	46	1,849	24	4	5%	86	113%	-----	0%
22	Harrisonburg Community Corrections Alternative Program	96	0	0%	13	14%	7,678	80	2,703	28	12	13%	94	98%	-----	0%
23	Haynesville Correctional Center	878	53	6%	365	42%	323,360	368	30,634	35	31	4%	2,314	264%	-----	0%
24	Indian Creek Correctional Center	879	46	5%	286	33%	358,779	408	33,366	38	26	3%	3,202	364%	-----	0%
25	Keen Mountain Correctional Center	1,082	12	1%	557	51%	548,668	507	35,814	33	28	3%	6,672	617%	-----	0%
26	Lawrenceville Correctional Center	717	70	10%	424	59%	434,997	-----	65,073	91	48	7%	2,164	302%	-----	0%
27	Lunenburg Correctional Center	913	57	6%	309	34%	592,705	649	34,297	38	30	3%	1,884	206%	-----	0%
28	Marion Correctional Center	189	11	6%	134	71%	365,914	1,936	13,429	71	5	3%	1,058	560%	-----	0%
29	Nottoway Correctional Center	1,103	68	6%	827	75%	657,941	597	39,937	36	48	4%	2,654	241%	-----	0%
30	Patrick Henry Correctional Unit	55	0	0%	13	24%	1,449	26	1,868	34	1	2%	26	47%	-----	0%
31	Pocahontas State Correctional Center	907	34	4%	450	50%	666,648	735	36,656	40	26	3%	2,464	272%	-----	0%
32	Red Onion State Prison	814	21	3%	373	46%	1,119,916	1,376	33,056	41	5	1%	2,078	255%	-----	0%
33	River North Correctional Center	1,001	17	2%	597	60%	893,538	893	48,683	49	22	2%	1,770	177%	-----	0%
34	Rustburg Correctional Unit	77	0	0%	30	39%	3,584	47	1,890	25	3	4%	122	158%	-----	0%
35	St. Brides Correctional Center	1,130	37	3%	305	27%	422,860	374	38,078	34	22	2%	2,852	252%	-----	0%
36	State Farm Correctional Center	658	52	8%	290	44%	443,773	674	25,565	39	14	2%	1,632	248%	-----	0%
37	State Farm Work Center	109	4	4%	45	41%	95,782	879	6,265	57	1	1%	130	119%	-----	0%
38	Sussex I State Prison	1,025	120	12%	475	46%	677,552	661	45,709	45	31	3%	1,726	168%	-----	0%
39	Virginia Correctional Center for Women	391	23	6%	207	53%	554,911	1,419	33,366	85	19	-----	1,123	287%	-----	0%
40	Wallens Ridge State Prison	1,069	35	3%	536	50%	1,131,979	1,059	34,060	32	19	2%	2,506	234%	-----	0%
41	Wise Correctional Unit	71	2	3%	58	82%	6,752	95	2,096	30	3	4%	94	-----	-----	0%

Table Notes
[1] In-Patient count defined as number of inpatient stays reported by a DOC institution to HSU to obtain check for Medicaid coverage. Calculation reflects number of stays per person based on ADP, but reflected as a proportion as requested.
[2] Out-Patient count defined as number of unique inmate who have seen a physician as recorded in Anthem billing data. Calculation reflects the proportion of unique inmates divided by ADP.
[3] Prescription Pills Administered defined as number of individual doses provided across all offenders at that facility. Calculation reflects number of doses administered per person based on ADP. Issuing a monthly supply counts as one administration.
[4] Prescriptions Filled defined as number of individidual prescriptions that were filled. This may include refills of the same prescription for the same inmate, therefore, calculation based off number of prescriptions filled per person based on ADP.
[5] Telemedicine defined as number of telemedicine clinic visits performed at the specified facility across all offenders at that facility. Calculation reflects number of visits per person based on ADP, but reflected as a proportion as requested.
[6] On-Site Dentistry defined as number of appointments performed by *dental personnel* at a facility across all offenders at that facility. Calculation reflects number of appointments per person based on ADP, but reflected as a proportion as requested.
[7] On-Site Dentistry is managed through clusters of institutions throughout the state. Inmates may be transported to another facility to receive dental care.
[8] On-Site Dialysis defined as census of inmates receiving dialysis at the specified facility. Calculation reflects census as a proportion of ADP at that facility.